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Executive Summary

There is a lack of pedagogic culture underpinning the teaching and learning of research methods within the social sciences. Contemporary research explores both teaching and learning practices and the pedagogical challenges of research methods teaching. As part of this, however, it is necessary to also consider the role of pedagogic resources, specifically research methods textbooks. This study aimed to explore the pedagogical devices employed in leading research methods textbooks, identify the explicit pedagogies embodied within the textbooks, and examine how textbooks foster support for experiential aspects of methods teaching and learning.

The research comprises a literature review of 30 leading social science research methods textbooks. Our sample recognises a spread of qualitative, quantitative and mixed-methods books by single authors or small authorial teams. Books were drawn from all social science disciplines and identified on the basis of: i) authorship by pedagogic leaders ii) citation as a signifier of impact, iii) revision through multiple editions, iv) current course collections and reading lists v) sustained sales and ‘best-seller’ status. Textbooks were reviewed according to an iteratively-developed analytic template.

In our data collection and analysis, an array in-text pedagogical devices were observed to connect learners to research and spur active learning. Experiential learning is gestured to, through voicing of authorial experience and connection to real-world cases and data. Functionally, we find the pedagogies of textbooks are used as a vehicle to effect the pedagogical content knowledge that is characteristic of methodological teaching. The importance of engaging multiple perspectives to model different approaches to methods, and expose the ‘messy reality’ of methods practices is also pertinent. For example, Strauss & Corbin’s (2015) use of ‘insider insights’ from guest authors in the field, or Field’s (2017) use of personas to voice questions and insights. The use of standpoints and situated perspectives are deployed alongside reflexive tasks and activities.

Many authors are explicit about their pedagogies, discussing how their book might be read or applied by different audiences, gesturing to how research, teaching and authorial roles blur and how they have engaged students’ learning experiences to develop their materials across editions. There is a significant discourse within the field that holds that many methods cannot be taught in theory, expressing the tension between the abstract and the applied signature pedagogies of research methods. In short, the pedagogies of learning-by-doing, and associated experiential pedagogies are deemed essential. Our findings suggest that authors work creatively to address this perceived divide.

As research councils seek to answer the demands of the knowledge economy, pedagogy can be occluded by discourses of training and capacity building. In response, we sought to spur pedagogic dialogue. We argue for sustained attention to the pedagogies of research methods, through research that seeks to develop and enrich the pedagogical culture of this emerging field.
Introduction

To date, there is a consensus that research methods teaching lacks the pedagogic culture necessary to ensure excellent teaching and learning (Earley, 2014; Wagner et al., 2011, Kilburn et al., 2014). This is manifest in a lack of dialogue that can be observed in cross-citation, a developed research literature, networks, forums, debate and robust discourse on the values and practices of research method teaching and learning. At present, teachers of methods cannot draw upon an established pedagogic research literature when developing their methods teaching (Earley, 2014). What there is can be characterised as largely based upon small scale studies based on a particular course or cohort, rather than cross-case empirical research and analysis (Nind, Kilburn & Luff, 2015). Whilst these have value, significant gaps remain in understanding how methods expertise are developed in higher education. This is troubling given that the ability to undertake and evaluate research are foundational within the social sciences (Ryan et al., 2014).

To engage this concern, the UK Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC) funded the Pedagogy of Methodological Learning project1 (2015-2018) at the National Centre for Research Methods. This major study explored the distinctive pedagogical challenges faced by methods teachers and learners (see Lewthwaite and Nind, 2016, Nind and Lewthwaite, 2018). This scoping study builds upon this research, addressing an important new dimension - moving from teaching and learning practices to consider the important role of pedagogic resources, specifically, social science research methods textbooks, in the development of methods competencies.

Methods textbooks deserve particular attention. They are amongst the most highly cited in the social sciences (Green, 2016) and constitute a resilient and influential area of academic publishing. Both within and outside the classroom, methods textbooks are near totemic resources for learners. Proponents argue textbooks document the very underpinnings of the disciplines (Keith and Elder, 2005). However, the pedagogies of these books remain largely unexamined.

In this report, we offer an analysis of the pedagogies embedded in a substantial selection of leading textbooks designed for postgraduate students and researchers. We do this to help identify the pedagogical approaches and strategies employed, and further, to stimulate the pedagogic dialogue and pedagogical culture that is much needed for methodological learning (Nind, Kilburn & Luff, 2016).

Our findings afford new insights into cutting-edge textbook pedagogies that address the mix of procedural knowledge, theoretical understanding and technical know-how that is unique to building methodological expertise (Kilburn et al, 2014). We elucidate the pedagogies that are both implicit and explicit within our textbook sample. We discuss

1 http://pedagogy.ncrm.ac.uk
distinct pedagogical approaches articulated by different textbooks by outlining modal distinctions inclusive of manuals for independent learning, to course-based, and hybrid forms. We also recognise the diverse pedagogical approaches that are demonstrated by methods textbooks to manage the challenges of methodological pluralism, interdisciplinarity and the readers' methodological intension.

To begin, we observe that the current research literature scrutinising social science research methods textbooks is scarce. This reflects not only the state of research into research methods teaching but also the current state of textbook research in higher education as a whole (Kernohan & Rolfe, 2017). Within this small literature, pedagogy is rarely discussed. Where it does occur – usually within disciplinary teaching journals – methods textbook pedagogy is often found within challenging, deficit framing discourses. Methods textbooks are criticised on various grounds: as being static (Hood 2006), lacking nuance (Hood, 2006; Dixon and Quirk, 2017), being prone to error (Hood, 2006; Schweingruber and Wohlstein, 2005), conveying (only) procedural knowledge (Dixon and Quirk, 2017), presenting knowledge as uncontested (Hood, 2006), lacking in criticality (Hood, 2006) being subject to commercial influences (Kendell, 1999), and being out of step with academic research (Best & Schweingruber, 2003; Puentes & Gougherty, 2013, Dixon and Quirk, 2014, 2017). Within this largely negative context, pedagogy (in the form of disciplinary teaching knowledge and classroom practice) has been held to be in conflict with both innovation (Kendell, 1999) and disciplinary content (Best & Schweingruber, 2003). The methods textbook itself is identified as a source of conflict in the classroom. Hood (2006) writes critically, asserting that as textbooks ‘require expertise on a wide range of material that is almost always broader than the expertise of the author’ – they will contain ‘at least some material that is clearly in error’ (Hood, 2006: 207). For Hood, the failure of social science research methods textbooks represents a moment to ‘grapple with misleading and inaccurate statements’, ‘myths’ and ‘mainstream folklore’ (p. 207).

Aside from methods, wider writing on textbook pedagogy in the social sciences expands on these criticisms. For example, in economics, Paxton (2007) argues that the literary practices of textbooks tend to be single-voiced, which gives the impression of consensus in the discipline and encourages rote-learning and plagiarism.

Taken together, the recent textbook methods literature mirrors issues identified by textbook research from the 1980s (see Sheldon, 1988 for a comprehensive review), suggesting there is still more to do. Pedagogic textbook research has flourished in other disciplines and at other educational stages (for example, in mathematics [see Fan et al., 2018], economics, in secondary education research and elsewhere). Yet pedagogic

Due to the small literature, the literature review for this report included a high-sensitivity search of peer-reviewed literature (2000-2017) and subsequent search of cross- and received citation to facilitate discovery.
research into methodology textbooks remains scarce. This, accompanied by the largely negative framing literature and the lack of representation of pedagogy, is a concern because, for pedagogic culture to thrive, ideas must be shared, debated and subject to empirical research (Wagner at al. 2011). There is evidence of debate regarding the status and content of textbooks within disciplines (see the exchanges between Schweingruber, 2005, and Keith and Ender 2005, concerning the latter’s review of sociology textbooks and the ‘disciplinary core’ published in 2004). This has not extended in any meaningful way to pedagogy – or, more precisely – the pedagogical content knowledge (Shulman, 1984) that might constitute robust resources for building methodological competencies. The pedagogy of social science research methods textbooks requires exploration, and this scoping study offers a first step to engaging this research gap with a view to stimulating the pedagogical culture of research methods in textbook pedagogy.

Methods Pedagogy

Beyond a textbook focus, recent deep thematic reviews of the (albeit limited) research methods teaching literature (Kilburn, Nind and Wiles, 2014) suggest three pedagogic approaches that characterise methods teaching with relevance to this study. The first concerns how teachers connect learners with research, seeking to make the research process visible by actively engaging leaners. These ‘active learning’ approaches deploy tasks that require hands-on engagement and teaching methods ‘which get students actively involved’ as opposed to relying on didactic, transmissive modes of teaching (Keyser, 2000: p35). Secondly, learning by doing represents an established approach in which students are provided with first-hand experience of undertaking research in real-world contexts, or using authentic empirical data. This integrates the immersive and experiential qualities that build tacit knowledge and have been deemed essential to a research methods education. Proponents argue such experiential learning is essential and that methods cannot be taught in abstraction (Hammersley, 2012). Lastly, reflexivity represents a third tenant in the methods teaching literature. This denotes how teachers involve students in reflection upon their own learning and facilitate the examination of positionality, standpoints and multiple-perspectives, alongside scrutiny of learner experience and research practice (Kilburn, Nind and Wiles, 2014). Notably, these reflective practices exist in opposition to practices that rely solely on procedural knowledge or technical activity (Schon, 1983).

Active learning, learning-by-doing/experiential learning and reflexivity then represent three distinct, but overlapping streams of core methods pedagogy. Knowledge of these three strands informed our subsequent research design.
Methodology

Nind et al. (2016) identify pedagogy as having 'three key, interrelated dimensions' (Nind, 2016, p.10). The first, 'pedagogy as specified', relates to assumptions about appropriate modes of teaching and content, focussing on an educator's intent. The second, 'pedagogy as enacted' details how pedagogical approaches are articulated, and this has been the focus of our project. The final, third, dimension deals with 'pedagogy as experienced'. This project deals with textbook content – and the 'pedagogy as specified' – that the textbook embodies.

Our rationale also anticipated pedagogic aspects that are characteristic of the research methods teaching literature would also be found in our textbook sample, namely that pedagogies of active learning, learning by doing/experiential learning and reflexivity would be articulated in a given textbook sample. To this end, this study proposed three inter-related research questions:

RQ1: What pedagogical orientations, communication styles, technical devices and supplementary online resources and activities are employed in leading research methods textbooks?

RQ2: How explicit are the pedagogies embodied within the methods textbooks to guide the reader’s learning?

RQ3: How do these textbooks foster or incorporate support for experiential aspects of methods teaching and learning?

These were proposed with a view to:

- Adding to understanding about how methods textbooks inform the teaching and learning of methods in higher education;
- Providing an educational analysis of implicit and explicit pedagogies in methods textbook authorship;
- Examining commonalities and differences in the pedagogies at play within methods textbooks.

For this study, ‘textbooks’ were taken to included handbooks, course books, source books, and manuals, functioning within Bierman’s definition (2006: 1) ‘both as a mechanism for initial learning and as a reference for the future’. These had to be methodologically focused, excluding, for example, introductory disciplinary textbooks or those focused on study skills.

The research comprises a review of 30 leading social science research methods textbooks. Our sampling strategy sought to recognise a spread of qualitative, quantitative methods and mixed-methods works by single authors, or small authorial teams that could supply a coherent pedagogic voice across a volume. Edited collections were deemed out
of the scope of this review. E-Books, open textbooks and e-courses were also excluded due to the differing pedagogy.

The review sought textbooks pertaining to social science disciplines as delineated by the ESRC (2018). No limit was placed on publication dates and a long list was identified on the basis of:

i) Authorship by ‘pedagogic leaders’ (Lucas and Claxton 2013) in the field. These are researcher-educators who share their distinct and developed pedagogy.

ii) Citation as a signifier of research impact (see Green, 2016; Martin-Martin et al., 2014). Citations over 20,000 were considered as strong.

iii) Revision of a text through multiple (>3) editions, as an indication of sustained pedagogic development, and a genealogy within methods learning.

iv) Evidence of student use/expert recommendation: ascertained through listings in current course collections and reading lists for leading social science methods summer schools.

v) Sustained sales / 'best-seller' status: through sales data from book sellers and publishers.

We recognise, amongst these, that the notion of pedagogic leadership is contentious, and that our selected authors would not necessarily define themselves as ‘pedagogical leaders’. Nonetheless, we hold that the writing and publishing practices of these authors ‘set the cultural tone’ (Lucas and Claxton, 2013: 15) for textbook methods learning and development. As ‘expertise develops slowly and can be characterised by a large integrated knowledge base’ (Shraw, 2006, p. 259) these pedagogic leaders are identified as senior academics and scholars with significant experience of methods publishing and of teaching advanced research methods at a postgraduate level. This follows work by Lewthwaite & Nind (2016) which also noted such expertise are marked by ‘peer-recommendation (through the National Centre for Research Methods’ teaching networks and expert advisory group)’ (Lewthwaite & Nind, 2016, p. 416), alongside the publication of ground-breaking and influential methods textbooks, and published reflections on pedagogy for methods teaching. Many hold leading positions within international methods societies, journals and as trans-national visiting academics. Selected authors have also been involved in pedagogic research into the teaching of advanced research methods (see Lewthwaite & Nind, 2016). In this way, we characterise these authors as ‘pedagogic leaders’, for the purposes of this review.

3 These comprise demography and social statistics, methods and computing; development studies, human geography, environmental planning; economics, management and business studies; education, social anthropology, linguistics; law, economic and social history; politics, international relations; psychology; sociology; science and technologies studies; social policy and social work.
Using these criteria and associated resources, a long list of 324 titles was produced. The initial search was refined to identify books that were strong across multiple criteria, with exceptions maintained for important outliers. The refined list identified 87 citations by 58 lead authors. From here, final shortlisting required qualitative judgements about the relative value of these criteria. For example, taken alone, citation is a blunt metric. It shows how a source is applied in published research, but citation does not indicate the extent to which a textbook is read, or applied in student work4. Thus, for inclusion purposes, pedagogic leadership and edition number were weighted over citation count in some cases, as we took these to be more indicative of pedagogic interest for the purposes of this study.5

Table 1 shows the 30 textbooks reviewed and the criteria for their selection (marked with an asterisk).

4 Green’s (2016) analysis of the top ten cited social science methodology textbooks, that was relevant to this study. However this citation data, whilst initially useful, could not be replicated; firstly due to the dynamics of citation metrics (which accrue and alter daily) and observed differences in the way in which Google Scholar measures citation counts for multiple editions, which can be uneven in cases where there are changes to authorship, for example with Miles, Huberman and Saldaña (2015).

5 Our analysis has centred on the content of the book. In doing so, we have focussed on ‘pedagogy as specified’ (Nind, Curtin & Hall, 2016). We note two necessary limitations – first that there can be a tendency to evoke a singular ‘author’ without reference to the editorial, publisher, student and reader influence on the text (as this wider context is beyond the scope of this report). Second, that our (evidenced) reading – cannot substitute for learner experience (‘pedagogy as experienced’, Nind, Curtin & Hall, 2016). Reading is an active endeavour, that may be mediated by peers, teachers and others.
## Table 1: Textbooks reviewed in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Textbook Reference</th>
<th>Citations</th>
<th>Editions</th>
<th>Reading lists</th>
<th>Sales</th>
<th>Pedagogic leaders</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bazeley, P. &amp; Jackson, K. (2013) <em>Qualitative Data Analysis with NVivo</em>. SAGE. 2nd Edition.</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bryman, A. (2015). <em>Social research methods</em>. Oxford University Press. 5th Edition.</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charmaz, K. (2014). <em>Constructing grounded theory</em>. SAGE. 2nd Edition.</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creswell, J. W. (2013). <em>Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches</em>. SAGE. 4th Edition.</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field, A. (2013 / 2017). <em>Discovering statistics using IBM SPSS statistics</em>. SAGE. 4th and 5th Editions.</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flick, U. (2014). <em>An introduction to qualitative research</em>. SAGE. 5th Edition.</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fox, J. (2015). <em>Applied Regression Analysis, and General Linear Models</em>. Thousand Oaks: SAGE. 3rd Edition.</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greene, W. H. (2011). <em>Econometric analysis</em>. Pearson Education. 7th Edition.</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kline, R. B. (2015) <em>Principles and Practice of Structural Equation Modeling</em>. Guilford Press. 4th Edition.</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Author(s)</td>
<td>Publisher</td>
<td>Edition</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naturalistic inquiry (Vol. 75)</td>
<td>Lincoln, Y. S., &amp; Guba, E. G. (1985)</td>
<td>SAGE</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualitative researching</td>
<td>Mason, J. (2002/2017)</td>
<td>SAGE</td>
<td>2nd and 3rd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualitative data analysis: A methods sourcebook</td>
<td>Miles, M. B., Huberman, A. M., &amp; Saldaña, J. (2014)</td>
<td>SAGE</td>
<td>3rd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualitative evaluation and research methods</td>
<td>Patton, M. Q. (2015)</td>
<td>SAGE</td>
<td>4th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social network analysis</td>
<td>Scott, J. (2017)</td>
<td>SAGE</td>
<td>4th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using Software in Qualitative Research</td>
<td>Silver, C., &amp; Lewins, A. (2014)</td>
<td>SAGE</td>
<td>2nd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpreting qualitative data: Methods for analyzing talk, text and interaction</td>
<td>Silverman, D. (2015)</td>
<td>SAGE</td>
<td>5th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundations of mixed methods research: Integrating quantitative and qualitative approaches in the social and behavioral sciences</td>
<td>Teddlie, C., &amp; Tashakkori, A. (2009)</td>
<td>SAGE</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case study research: Design and methods</td>
<td>Yin, R. K. (2013/2018)</td>
<td>SAGE</td>
<td>5th and 6th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
All textbooks were reviewed according to an iteratively developed analytic template. This included identifying pedagogical devices, such as the use of examples, case studies, and exercises. Explicit pedagogy was highlighted through discussion of pedagogy by the authors, and implicit pedagogical devices were examined, for example, in the use of ‘pedagogical hooks’ (Lewthwaite and Nind, 2016) such as the use of authentic data. Quotations from the text and select images were collected as evidence of pedagogy and examples of pedagogical devices. All data was input into NVivo (version 11). Each analytic template was coded and grouped into higher-level categories, enabling an in-depth analysis to capture key elements and concepts within the data. The initial coding was conducted by one researcher, with refinement and grouping into higher-level categories by two researchers. Additionally, a subset of six textbooks were reviewed a second time, in-depth by one researcher, to generate further insight and supply additional points of reference for theory building. This included two quantitative (Field, 2013/2017; Groves et al., 2009); two qualitative (Miles, Huberman and Saldaña, 2014; Mason, 2012/2017) and two mixed-methods textbooks (Creswell, 2013; Bryman, 2015), selected on the basis of distinctive pedagogy and a spread of methods.
Findings

Our selection criteria returned a cross-section of 30 leading contemporary social science textbooks, covering a range of methods. This process resulted in a varied group of texts, incorporating course books and sourcebooks, books that instruct and others that gesture to possibility. A reflexive account of the breadth of authorial approach we encountered is articulated by Charmaz (2014: xiiiv) ‘Although some authors provide methodological maps to follow, I raise questions and outline strategies to indicate possible routes to take’.

We found textbooks and textbook pedagogy to constitute a dynamic, changing field. Three texts (Field, 2017; Mason, 2017 and Yin, 2018) were released in a new edition in the course of data collection. All these new editions reflected the need to maintain up to date / state of the art content (Grove’s, 2009) and changes in mediating technologies (Field, 2017). Changes in data and the growth of distinct fields were also seen, for example the addition of Bayesian statistics in Field (2017) and eResearch in Bryman (2016), or a new title – as in the case of Yin’s, (2018) ‘Case Study Research and Applications’. Contrary to research that positions textbooks as a detached, static and inflexible form, authors reflexively expressed shifts in the discourse of methods that is (importantly) pedagogically informed. As Saldaña states: ‘Books on research methods can no longer require; they can only recommend’ (Miles, Huberman & Saldaña, 2014, p. xvii).

Within the sample, we found some texts to be pedagogically more singular (for example Greene, 2011; Kline, 2015; Tabachnick and Fidell, 2013) and others more multi-facetted in their presentation (for example, Field, 2017; Miles, Huberman and Saldaña, 2014, as will be discussed). As a result, some authors’ works are represented more strongly than others within our results and discussion. Textbooks deploying a singular pedagogic vision may usefully express one aspect of pedagogic content knowledge for a specific audience in a given context (Shulman, 1984). However, for the purposes of this study, more diverse and expressive pedagogy is discussed in more detail.

In terms of pedagogical orientation, we found the vast majority of texts represent a hybrid form, functioning between course books and sourcebooks. With course books organised according to the structure of the course delivering content sequentially, with a view to being facilitated by a teacher in class and knowledge being accrued over the course of reading from beginning to end. Whilst sourcebooks are texts orientated to independent learning and self-learning, where chapters may standalone and gesture backwards and forwards to content in other chapters, anticipating that they will be dipped into.

______________________________

6 The latest two editions were reviewed for these books, the edition is specified in the text for these cases.
Some authors explicitly discuss the relationship between the textbook and formal (in-class) research methods teaching. Gelman and Hill (2007); Groves et al., (2009); and Krippendorff, (2013) state explicitly that their books have originated from teaching, from authorial experiences as educators, as well as developing course notes and exercises into a textbook. Eight authors (Corbin and Strauss, Fox, 2015; Gelman and Hill, 2007; Greene, 2011; Groves et al., 2009; Kline, 2015, Krippendorff, 2013; Salkind, 2016) state that their textbook could be used within educational (classroom) settings. A subset of texts (e.g. Fox, 2015; Groves et al., 2009; and Kline 2015) address teachers directly. For example, Fox (2015) frames his exercises for educators:

...like all real-data analysis – these exercises are fundamentally open-ended. It is therefore important for instructors to set aside time to discuss data-analytic exercises in class, both before and after students tackle them. (Fox, 2015, p.xxii)

Over the course of many editions, authors refer to the various ways in which the pedagogy of a volume is iterated. This may be formal, through a publishers’ peer-review process or in the form of teacher and student feedback (Babbie, 2012; Groves et al., 2009); ‘informal survey’ (Miles, Huberman and Saldaña, 2014, p. xxii); ‘asking for collegial advice’ (Miles, Huberman and Saldaña, 2014, p.xxii); and reader/learner correspondence (Field, 2017); or through teaching:

As always, the process of teaching from the book taught us a great deal (Miles, Huberman and Saldaña, 2014, p.xxii)

Several authors use frequently asked questions (FAQs) within the text, or include a chapter of FAQs (Corbin and Strauss, 2015; Flick, 2014; Groves et al., 2009; Krueger and Casey, 2014; Patton, 2015; Silverman, 2015). Authors explicitly state that these questions are raised by students or commonly asked by researchers.

Notably, these authorial steps do no constitute systematic pedagogic research, however, they do express a proximity to teaching and student interest that is not recognised in research about methods textbooks. They also gesture to the ways in which feedback and use are seen to lead to text improvement.

Authors address multiple audiences in different ways and recognise that any one reader may use the text in a number of different ways, for various purposes as they gain expertise and experience (Field, 2017; Bryman, 2016). To explore this, we begin with an examination of explicit pedagogy. Our subsequent discussion of findings are structured as outlined in Table 2.
Table 2: Findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Explicit Pedagogy</th>
<th>In-Text Features</th>
<th>Pedagogic Themes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Starting points</td>
<td>• Generic book features</td>
<td>• Active learning,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Defining audience</td>
<td>• Methods-specific pedagogical devices</td>
<td>• Learning by doing/experiential learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Dualism in theory and practice</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Reflexivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Pedagogic Hooks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Student-centred pedagogy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Explicit Pedagogy

Explicit pedagogy refers to authorial direct address, whereby pedagogic reasoning, teaching and learning strategies are voiced to the reader. These occur frequently in cover notes, prefaces to new editions or through direct addresses to specific audiences.

Starting points

Many books include a guide for how to use the book and these function in multiple ways: positioning the book, setting the pedagogic tone and relating the reader to the content for the first time. In introductory sections titled 'Guide to the book' (Bryman, 2015) 'The nature of the book' (Miles, Huberman and Saldaña, 2014), 'How to use this book' (Field, 2017) amongst others we noted the sophisticated ways in which pedagogic issues such as content and approaches to difficulty are outlined for different audiences giving access to the pedagogic decision making. Many texts function effectively as multi-faceted pedagogic objects, and within these hybrid textbooks, this faceting of content through different modes of presentation is made very explicit. Modes for delivery include styling these sections as a readers' 'Frequently Asked Questions' - 'What background knowledge do I need' (Field, 2017), 'why use this book?' (Bryman, 2015), an invitation and imagined dialogue: ‘You might ask, what does the journey entail? Where do I start? How do I proceed? Which obstacles lie ahead?’ (Charmaz, 2014, p.1), or more simply in a preface or introduction.

Defining Audience

Authors define their audience explicitly by stating who the book is for and by developing the foundations from which the book will build. Themes here include **multidisciplinarity** - for example, drawing attention to use of examples from across social sciences and stating ‘relevant discussions’ for other disciplines such as Health (see Miles, Huberman and Saldaña, 2014). Within quantitative textbooks necessary **pre-requisite/prior knowledge** (statistical or technical) is often outlined (Greene, 2011; Gelman & Hill, 2007; Field, 2017) allowing authors to make assumptions regarding prior knowledge and pitch difficulty accordingly. **Educational level** (undergraduate, post graduate or post-doc) is frequently stated. **Competency** for those outside formal education is also framed by some authors, who recognise (for example) a ‘beginning researcher’, or ‘staff specialists
and managers’. Reference is more often (or simultaneously) given to the nature of the educational or research task at hand. Bryman (2015) identifies first, undergraduates in social sciences, who will take a methods course, and second, undergraduates and postgraduates who are required to conduct a research project. Bryman (2015) explicitly highlights content accordingly: ‘Chapter 4 has been written specifically for students doing research projects’ (p.xxv). Whilst Bryman does not style these as exclusive categories, notably, books often address ‘the project’ or ‘the course’. As we have seen, this can be for the teacher/reader. But the role of the book in support of courses is more readily attuned to student/reader perspectives, for example including repeated ‘suggestions for using the book in qualitative research methods courses’ (Bryman, 2015 p.5).

**Dualism in theory and practice**

Babbie (2012), Groves et al., (2009) and Silverman (2015) explicitly discuss the dualism between theory and practice early in their texts; authors highlighted that other books within their subject areas either presented extensive theory in abstract terms or detailed, step-by-step instructions on how to conduct research, de-emphasising the theories underpinning research methods. Many authors (Charmaz, 2014; Flick, 2014; Groves et al., 2009, Lincoln and Guba, 1985; Teddlie and Tashakkori, 2009; Yin, 2018) rejected the discourse of a ‘cook-book’ or ‘recipe book’ for research, preferring to provide a solid foundation on both the theoretical principles of research and how these principles are reflected in research method techniques; bridging the gap between theory and practice. However, some authors (Bazeley and Jackson, 2013; Creswell, 2013; Krueger and Casey, 2014; Salkind, 2016; Silver and Lewins, 2014) explicitly distil content to a set of core ideas for readers to planning to conduct research.

Why isn’t this theory stuff and more in Statistics for People Who (Think They) Hate Statistics? Simple. Right now, you don’t need it. It’s not that I don’t think it’s important. Rather, at this point in time in your studies, I want to offer you material at a level I think you can understand and learn with some reasonable amount of effort, whilst at the same time not be scared off from taking additional courses in the future. I (and your professor) want you to succeed (Salkind, 2016, p.xxiv)

**In-Text Features**

There are number of book features which can be considered generic to textbook pedagogy. Authors include detailed contents pages, meaningful titling, glossaries, appendices, and author and subject indexes. Most authors have similar chapter organisation including overviews and summaries. Chapters are preceded with an outline that highlights the upcoming themes, and are concluded with a concise list of main points central to the topic, providing a chapter summary and useful review. All facilitate learning.

7 Textbook authors are included in parenthesis as pertinent examples, not an exhaustive list.
As one would expect, many textbooks used figures, tables, and visualisations throughout the text to articulate their methodological content (Babbie, 2012; Field, 2017; Miles, Huberman and Saldaña, 2014; Salkind, 2016; Teddlie and Tashakkori, 2009). This adds visual appeal, with the text broken up with tables, graphs, figures, photographs, and flow charts, as well as offering different routes to learning, through visual and multiple representation and metaphor. These access points gesture to inclusive practices (Nind and Lewthwaite, 2018) that have particular resonance in quantitative methods as readers connect with methodological logic. Authors also deploy textboxes. These boxes can present major issues, summarise material, give practical advice, or provide additional explanation. They allow authors to structure the text in a way for easy access for the reader.

Sidebars are boxed items of interest that supplement the text with examples and extended quotations from knowledgeable qualitative theorists and practitioners. They are a way of highlighting experts' insights, case study exemplars, supplementary readings, and additional resources (Patton, 2015, p.xxi).

In light of this, we note that authors use a range of pedagogical devices specific to social science research methods. Amongst these, a key pedagogic device is the use of real world research examples and data from varying disciplines, providing an idea of the potential for research methods as well as for readers to develop an understanding of how research is conducted and analysed. Authors also include insights from researchers from their prior experience, and advice for researchers through practical hints and tips. Several authors provide practical research resources, such as checklists, step-by-step guides, and research process templates (Bazeley and Jackson, 2013; Corbin and Strauss, 2015; Krueger and Casey, 2014; Mason, 2002; Salkind, 2016; Silver and Lewins, 2014). Books also include a number of activities for readers, such as practical exercises with data and end of chapter review questions.

**Pedagogic Themes**

In Kilburn et al.’s (2014) review of the literature, research into methods pedagogy is found to gravitate around three major pedagogic streams – active learning (and learning by doing), experiential learning, and reflexivity. We find evidence of these in our sample, articulated in sophisticated and diverse ways.

**Active Learning**

There is significant discourse within the field that research methods cannot be taught in theory alone. This identifies the pedagogies that are unique to research methods – extending from theoretical understanding to procedural knowledge and technical skills.

Active learning pedagogies are in evidence in textbook form, with authors having the difficulty of integrating this approach into a textbook. Acknowledging this, authors approach the textbook with an active approach and focus the book on conducting research. Many books include research exercises, varying from to writing a research
proposal (Babbie, 2012) to analysis of real-world data (Scott, 2017). Silverman (2015) highlights the importance of this approach:

To be effective, a textbook should offer an active learning experience... Thus I provide many exercises, linked to the surrounding text. These exercises often involve the reader in the gathering and/or analysing data. My aim is that the users of this book will learn some basic skills in generating researchable problems and analysing qualitative data (Silverman, 2015, p.xviii).

Acknowledging the difficulty of facilitating an active approach in book form, many authors use an active learning approach deploying varied in-text features, and inclusion of review questions and other activities. Teddlie and Tashakkori (2009) provide questions and exercises for readers to engage with the subject covered. This is different from getting readers to undertake mixed-methods research or analysis, but helps to get students engaged with the topic and understand the chapter content.

**Learning by Doing / Experiential Learning**

The pedagogies of learning-by-doing, and associated experiential pedagogies have been deemed essential to a robust methods education (Hammersley). However, facilitating experiential learning remotely is a challenge for authors. To this end, books include authors' authentic personal accounts, incorporating rich narratives of experience as both researchers and teachers to provide readers with experiential reference points (see: Miles, Huberman, and Saldaña, 2014; Patton, 2015; Teddlie and Tashakkori, 2009). Silverman (2015) acknowledges that authors' experiences and assumptions are embedded within the writing of a comprehensive textbook on research methods. Authors share their research stories with readers, embodying and modelling the acquisition of methodological competencies:

Those early focus groups opened up a new territory for us. We were stunned by what we learned by gathering a group of people, asking questions, and listening. We learned about the topics, and we learned how to conduct focus groups. We made plenty of mistakes. (Krueger and Casey, 2014, p.xviii)

Additionally, authors include others' experiences with research methods, this emphasises multiple perspectives (Corbin and Strauss, 2015; Krueger and Casey, 2014; Lincoln and Guba, 1985; Patton, 2015; Salkind, 2016; Silverman, 2015). On one hand, this challenges notions of conformity and consensus in ways that foster reflexivity. On the other, this introduces a strong experiential pedagogic dynamic. For example, Bryman (2015) includes 'supervisor tip boxes' and 'student experience boxes'. The aim of these boxes is to include authentic experiences in methods, to help readers gain insight into how a method works in practice. Several other qualitative authors also include researcher insights, Corbin and Strauss (2015), Miles, Huberman and Saldaña (2014), and Patton (2015). These comments regarding research are more anecdotal advice based on authors' and researchers' experiential knowledge. This gestures to difficulties and issues within research from backstage accounts of research experience, rather than presenting
the 'perfect' front-stage accounts of research published in academic papers. Many authors also offered 'research tips' with guidance for research tasks, field-work, step-by-step directions, and technical tips. On occasion these in-text pedagogical devices expose readers to the authors' experiential learning.

We learned what makes a good focus group question. We developed different ways of analysing the data, based on the purpose of the study. We got dirt under our fingernails – and we keep getting them dirty. Now we want to share what we’ve learnt with you, (Krueger and Casey, 2014, p.xviii).

Mason (2002) uses questions to structure the book, as a way to engage the reader. The questions are a prompt for researchers to answers themselves as part of the research process, with Mason providing around the topic discussion rather than a 'correct' answer. Mason (2002) explains:

I did not produce a book laden with rich descriptions of qualitative research experience. Although such descriptions are interesting and important for other purposes, I felt they were not the best way to stimulate and support the active engagement of the researcher around their own set of research questions, that I think is so vital to the conduct of good quality qualitative research. Instead, I focused the book on ‘difficult questions’ that qualitative researchers need to ask themselves, and to resolve, in the process and practice of doing their research (Mason, 2002, p.vii).

**Reflexivity**

Many textbooks instil reflexivity, by encouraging reflection, demonstrating its value and modelling its place as essential to methodological competence. Activities centred on reflection include directions to use research journaling alongside textbook activities (Miles, Huberman & Saldaña, 2014; Yin, 2018) or use of questions that require the reader to reflect (Yin, 2018; Miles, Huberman & Saldaña, 2014; Field, 2017). More subtly, some (predominantly qualitative) texts use positionality and standpoints, as a thread across the text, expressed through vignettes of personal experience or an authorial standpoint that is explicit about the positionality of the text, relative to others and the wider methodological landscape (Charmaz, 2014; Lincoln and Guba, 1984; Silverman, 2015). In the case of Miles, Huberman and Saldaña (2014) reflexivity is baked-in, with contents including 'Our Orientation', as well as the deliberate use of 'we', and, when 'opinions seem to diverge' the text specifies 'whose belief is being discussed' (xviii). Deploying authorial voice in the first, second or third person (and alternating between these) draws attention to the ways in which research is situated and necessitates critical positionality; key issues for reflexive methodological learning (Kilburn et al., 2014).

In this way, many author biographies work to express expertise, an simultaneously model routes into methods and methodology, drawing out the positionality of the authors relative to one another – highlighting multiple perspectives – and creating a space for reader
reflection that actively works against the reification of a monolithic/positivistic authorial voice (see Miles, Huberman and Saldaña, 2014).

Other implicit features of the physical text for reader reflection and engagement include the use of wide margins and white space that invites note-taking, a feature of some larger format textbooks. For example: Yin (2018) and Miles, Huberman and Saldaña (2014).

**Pedagogic Hooks**

Authors use a number of ‘pedagogic hooks’ (Lewthwaite and Nind, 2016), to connect students to research methods, hooking them in and getting them interested in the topic – strategies observed in research in the methods classroom (Lewthwaite and Nind, 2016). These hooks can involve research ideas, data or methods, but fundamentally seek to connect learners to research ‘so that they might see or know research in engaging ways’ (Lewthwaite and Nind, 2016, p. 421).

**Real World Research and Authentic Data**

One of the most common hooks is the inclusion of real world research to demonstrate the principles of a method, its application, illustrate major issues, and highlight the method’s potential (see: Gelman and Hill, 2007; Greene, 2011; Groves et al., 2009; Hammersley and Atkinson, 2007; Lofland et al., 2004; Morgan and Winship, 2014). Fox describes selecting real world datasets that add 'intrinsic interest' and 'embody a variety of characteristics' (Fox, 2015 p.xxii). Field also identifies the role of data in adding interest, having ‘trawled the world for examples of research on really fascinating topics’ (Field, 2017, p. xxi).

Authors also show research in use. For example Bryman (2016) includes 'Research in the news' textboxes, with examples of research in the media, highlighting the potential role research has on informing and influencing the public. Yin (2013) also punctuates his text with brief case studies ‘deliberately drawing from different academic and professional fields’. He continues:

> Each box contains one or more concrete examples of published case studies, to illustrate the points made in the text. The citations will increase your access to existing and (often) exemplary case studies. (Yin, 2013, p.xxii).

**Humour**

Field (2017), Patton (2015) and Salkind (2016) also use techniques to make research methods fun for readers and learners, acknowledging the importance of engaging readers who may feel anxious about learning a complex topic such as research method (see for example, the substantial literature on perceived ‘statistical anxiety’). Field (2017) and Salkind (2016) include humorous anecdotes and examples, in order to make the topic personable and approachable to readers. These two books in particular focus on quantitative content and take a visual approach to presenting the complex content. There
is a visual depiction of research process throughout the book, with illustrations and figures to explicate both simple and more complex ideas.

The Sixth Edition of Neil J Salkind’s best-selling *Statistics for People Who (Think They) Hate Statistics* promises to ease student anxiety around an often intimidating subject with a humorous, personable, and informative approach. (Salkind, 2016, cover notes).

**Narrative**

Patton (2015) and Field (2017) include storytelling amongst a battery of hooks to engage readers, using both auto-biographical narratives that serve to embody the methods, alongside stories, cartoons, fictional characters and personas to develop narrative threads. Examples include Patton’s ‘rumination’ “written in a voice and style more empathetic and engaging than traditional textbook style” (2015: 429). Field (2017) includes his school reports in his preface, and bookends his chapters with ‘My life story’. He explains his rationale:

I strongly believe that people appreciate the human touch, and so I inject a lot of my own personality and sense of humour (and lack of) into my *Discovering Statistics Using...* books (Field, 2017, p.xvi)

Gelman and Hill (2007) and Krueger and Casey (2014) also use story-telling early on to demonstrate the application of statistical methods to engage readers the potential of the methods in question.

**Student-Centred Pedagogy**

Student-centred learning is compatible with active and experiential learning, insofar as learners are actively engaged and constructing meanings for themselves, in this case, in interaction with the text. Starting points for this pedagogic approach are the experiences, interests and needs of methods learners.

Authors include a number of implicit and explicit student-centred pedagogical devices to encourage self-learning within their readers. For example, we have already discussed the ways in which pedagogic hooks are used, how content is facetted for different learners, and how content is iteratively developed with student/reader input. In addition, we notice several authors acknowledge that readers are also learners over a life course. They understand the reader/student/beginner researcher within a learning journey. They highlight that although a textbook provides details on the process and techniques for research and that the book provides learning opportunities for students, their learning belongs to them (Charmaz, 2014; Fox, 2015; Kline, 2015; Miles, Huberman, and Saldana, 2014). The provision of pedagogic devices, such as exercises, reflection, and examples, combines both the content knowledge and reader involvement, aiding the readers' self-learning and their learning journey. The metaphor of the journey is used knowingly by Charmaz:
This book takes you through a journey of constructing grounded theory by traversing basic grounded theory steps. The book will provide a path, expand your vistas, quicken your pace, and point out obstacles and opportunities along the way. We can share the journey but the adventure is yours. (Charmaz, 2014, p.xiv).

Further, several authors (Corbin and Strauss, 2015; Field, 2017; Miles, Huberman and Saldaña, 2014; Salkind, 2016) deploy holistic, student-centred approaches to the reader that recognise the emotional toll of methods learning. A number of authors include a direct address to the reader (Field, 2017; Kline, 2015; Krippendorff, 2013; Mason, 2002; Patton, 2015). Miles, Huberman and Saldaña entreat readers not to ‘despair’ (2015, p.6)/ ‘I will speak to you (through my author’s voice) as one researcher to another, not as a statistician to the quantitatively naïve.’ states Kline (2015, p. 2) ‘this is one journey that you do not have to make alone’ (p. 3). Such expressions of fellowship empathise with readers, acknowledging the emotional difficulty associated with learning research methods. In the same vein, Corbin and Strauss seek to ‘save others some of the struggles I faced’ (2015, p.82). We note that within more contemporary edition, texts increasingly acknowledge that readers may feel anxious, desperate, or struggle with their learning journey (see Bryman, 2015; Salkind, 2016; Field, 2017; Corbin and Strauss, 2015). This trend echoes an increasing research literature that holistically engages student experiences of research and the PhD as an embodied, situated experience (see Devine and Hunter, 2017, as one example). This permeates both qualitative and quantitative texts.

**Characters**

One particularly striking pedagogic device is the use of fictional characters to illustrate various pedagogic points. Patton (2015) introduces ‘Halcom’ (pronounced ‘How Come’), using this character to provide the fundamental philosophical underpinnings for qualitative research, in each chapter. Field (2017) also deploys characters (11 in total) to pedagogic ends. Some act as cyphers for particular tasks (Smart Alex poses questions, Oditi highlights online video, Labcoat Leni denotes ‘real data, from a real research study to analyse’) proffering familiar pedagogic resources in a more graphic form. Some characters model and organise difficulty. Brian Haemorrhage ‘knows nothing’ but ‘flaunts his newly found knowledge’ at the end of each chapter. Jane Superbrain ‘appears to tell you advanced things that are tangential to the main text’ (Field, 2017, p.xxii). Cramming Sam summarises key points for students revising for exams. In this respect, characters present familiar learner tropes: personas and scenarios that readers may choose to identify with at different times, promoting engagement with the text and learner agency in the selection of learning material.

**Building Difficulty**

Authors also use a number of approaches to deal with difficult or complex content. Some state that they include content for audiences of varying knowledge and expertise (Creswell, 2013; Flick, 2014; Greene, 2011; Groves et al., 2009; Miles, Huberman and
Saldaña, 2014; Robson and McCartan, 2015). Yin (2013) ensures the book is adaptable to audiences of differing competence. There are notes and tutorials which provide further details and reading to the main content, there are also research tips which allow readers to dip in and out of the text for their learning needs. Other authors are more explicit about the varying degrees of difficulty in the content, for example Fox (2015) marks chapters, sections, and exercises with an asterisk for more challenging content and exercises. Field (2017) gives a difficulty rating to particular activities, coding each section with an icon – from ‘introductory’ to ‘incinerate your brain’ (Field, 2017, p.xxii).

In-text features such as textboxes are provided for additional explanation of a concept, with more detail than in the core text (Babbie, 2012; Bryman, 2015; Charmaz, 2014; Field, 2017; Patton, 2015; Robson and McCartan, 2015; Yin, 2018). This may be used by readers who do not understand a concept, or by readers who wish to understand further about a topic.

Thinking deeply boxes encourage you to consider an area in greater depth; either analysing a topic or issue further, or explaining the ins and outs of a current debate or significant discussion that has occurred between researchers. This feature introduces you to some of the complexities involved in using social research methods. (Bryman, 2015, p.xxx).

Varied techniques for sequencing content and providing scaffolding for the reader on their learning journey are presented (Babbie, 2012; Bryman, 2015; Field, 2017; Gelman and Hill, 2007; Greene, 2011). Many books are organised in a way in which sections are broken down into key elements and build on one another to enhance on student learning (Corbin and Strauss, 2015; Miles, Huberman and Saldaña, 2014; Salkind, 2016).

My reorganizing decisions […] are based on pedagogical knowledge of how most university graduate students learn and on how I personally prefer to teach: progressing in a highly organized, systematic way, one building block at a time, towards a spiralled, cumulate synthesis (Miles, Huberman and Saldaña, 2014, p.xviii).

However, some authors acknowledge that readers may use the book as a reference source and use techniques to direct the reader. These books use a number of in-text features, such as a detailed content pages, and chapter overviews which allows readers to easily discover elements that relate to their learning aims. Foreshadowing is used within the text (Bryman, 2015; Morgan and Winship, 2014; Scott, 2017; Tabachnick and Fidell, 2013) providing readers with ideas and concepts and then highlighting the chapters where this will be discussed further on in the book in more detail. Taken together, these rhetorical and pedagogical devices gesture to both the explicit and implicit ways in which authors make content accessible to learners in ways that meet their learning needs.
Conclusions

This scoping research marks an important first engagement with the pedagogy of social science research methods textbooks.

Our findings afford new insights into cutting edge textbook pedagogies that address the mix of procedural knowledge, theoretical understanding and technical know-how that is arguably unique to building methodological expertise. Pedagogies are both implicit and explicit within our sample. Authors use prefaces and direct addresses to the reader to identify the function and audience of the book. Authors also discuss distinct pedagogical approaches – use within curriculums and articulate the dualism between theory and practice in their texts. There are a number of in-text features, generic to textbook pedagogy, which demonstrate diverse pedagogical approaches by authors. Many authors approach the textbook with a learning-by-doing pedagogic approach and focus the book on conducting research. Acknowledging the difficulty of facilitating a learning-by-doing approach in book form, many authors deploy active learning through the inclusion of review questions, and other activities. The textbooks also include experiential learning content, relating authors’ own experiences as researchers, or including others’ experiences with research methods. Pedagogic hooks are reflexively used to engage learners with research methods, incorporating examples of real world research, research in practice and the media, as well as using illustrations and humour to make research methods personable. Authors also use an array of devices to encourage self-learning and facilitate access to the text for multiple forms of use. In this key respect, we find that textbooks are multi-faceted pedagogic objects that address a varied readership in a dynamic way.

Within this research, we were particularly interested in the ways in which the pedagogies of social science research methods were articulated with the textbook. Arguably, this moves discussion from Pedagogic Content Knowledge (Shulman, 1984) to Technological Pedagogic Content Knowledge (TPCK) (Koehler and Mishra, 2009). Pedagogical Content Knowledge refers to teacher understanding of what constitutes effective pedagogy for specific methodological content. TPCK evokes pedagogic content knowledge that is technologically mediated – requiring a ‘complex interaction between three bodies of knowledge; content, pedagogy, and technology’. Traditionally TPCK has focused on digital mediation of learning – however, this also offers a useful tool for thinking about methods textbook pedagogy.

Key Findings for Future Research

Going forward, there is scope for social science textbook pedagogy to be greatly developed as a field of study. Several vistas for scholarship present themselves.

Many texts offer extra-text features online. The extra-text was beyond the scope of this study. However, the scope for teaching with and through data online – and the
preponderance of linked data sets, self-test questions, answers to questions within the book, and demonstration videos (see Bryman, 2015; Creswell, 2013; Field, 2017; Flick, 2014; Robson and McCartan 2015) show that companion websites are an increasingly common addition to the traditional physical textbook. We note an emergent influence of (or resonance with) the informal pedagogies of the Web. We have observed the influence of Web text traditions – such as the use of Frequently Asked Questions which gesture to the dialogic discourses of the Web across a substantial number of books (see ‘Experiential Learning’ p20). At the same time, the challenge of recognising reader diversity parallels informal (Web) pedagogies, where resources must engage and serve multiple interests. Here, learning can be characterised as ‘open-ended, non-threatening, enjoyable and explorative’ (Boekaerts and Minnaert 1999, p. 536, cited in Tan, 2013, p.464). This accords with the growing evidence of student-centred, holistic textbook approaches and the use of humour found in our study.

The informal pedagogies of the Web can deliver personalised and self-regulated learning by promoting autonomy in learners. In textbooks we see this through the use of explicit pedagogy (whereby the learner can reflexively engaged with their own approach to content) and the faceting of content to accommodate different levels of difficulty, detail, different routes to content, multiple voices and perspectives etc. In these ways, many textbooks use increasingly sophisticated pedagogic methods to spur nuanced learner engagement for independent learning, handing ‘learning decisions’ (Downes, 2010) to the reader. Such pedagogies gesture to the ways in which the textbook represents a hybriding-form, not only in terms of relationships to digital materials (the eLearning course, digital resources, accompanying websites etc.) but also as a locus for developing pedagogy, influenced by and influencing other pedagogic spheres.

Our methodology has meant that certain types of text are more likely to be reviewed than others. Hence, new fields of research, niche methods or methods texts that have not yet gained traction across the disciplines are not represented. These constitute important horizons for pedagogical innovation, as new methods iterate pedagogy in new directions. The role of methodological journals, handbooks and major edited collections as pedagogically diverse bastions of methodological learning also bear examination. Engagement with research methods textbooks by discipline (as has begun within sociology), and/or according to specific methodological genres (digital methods, interpretive methods, ethnographic methods) may also help further the development of the pedagogic content knowledge (Shulman, 1984) that characterises the teaching of specific methods and how these relate to disciplinary tradition. Given the dynamic nature of social science research methods (and the changing nature of textbooks and
publishing\(^8\)) future research considering how methodology and pedagogy develop and iterate across editions would benefit from exploration.

Additionally, we note the complex and overlapping relationships between authors, publishers, readers, teachers and reviewers in the co-ordination (and construction) of texts. Future research should explore how these active agents shape textbooks to give nuance to understandings of authorship as part of wider contextual and socio-cultural scrutiny that consider the politics of methods textbooks from post-colonial and indigenous perspectives.

This scoping study has considered methods textbooks as stand-alone artefacts that 'enact' pedagogy, according to the triumvirate of approaches to pedagogic research established by Nind et al. (2017), that is: pedagogy as specified, pedagogy as enacted, and pedagogy as experienced. This has value. Outside the physical (or notional) container of the textbook two clear lines of enquiry can be pursued, beyond the limits of this study. First, engaging authors themselves in research about the pedagogic development of research methods textbooks (and how pedagogic discourse in this space is 'specified'). Second, seeking to understand how these books are read (pedagogy as it is 'experienced') and the subsequent impact on methods understanding, competencies and broader capacity. This is aspect is particularly important, given that learner perspectives within the wider literature remain scarce.

---

\(^8\) See the Open Textbook movement and new research by the UK Open Textbook Project
[http://ukopentextbooks.org](http://ukopentextbooks.org)
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