

Tertiary phosphine oxide complexes of lanthanide diiodides and dibromides

Robert D. Bannister, William Levason,* Mark E. Light and Gillian Reid

School of Chemistry, University of Southampton, Southampton SO17 1BJ, U.K.

Abstract

The reaction of OPR_3 ($\text{R} = \text{Me}, \text{Ph}$) with YbI_2 , EuI_2 and EuBr_2 in rigorously anhydrous MeCN under N_2 produces the divalent lanthanide complexes $[\text{LnX}_2(\text{OPR}_3)_4]$ ($\text{Ln} = \text{Yb}, \text{Eu}, \text{X} = \text{I}; \text{Ln} = \text{Eu}, \text{X} = \text{Br}$) in moderate to good yield, whilst $[\text{SmI}_2(\text{OPR}_3)_4]$ were obtained from SmI_2 and OPR_3 in dry, degassed thf. These are the first examples involving divalent lanthanide ions and the complexes have been characterised by microanalysis, IR, UV/visible and $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectroscopy. The X-ray crystal structure of $[\text{EuI}_2(\text{OPPh}_3)_4] \cdot \text{MeCN}$ confirmed the six-coordinate Eu(II) species with a *cis*-octahedral geometry, which IR spectroscopy suggests is present in all of the OPPh_3 complexes. In contrast the $[\text{LnX}_2(\text{OPMe}_3)_4]$ complexes appear to be *trans* isomers. The OPPh_3 complexes are readily oxidised by dry O_2 or I_2 , yielding the corresponding trivalent cations, $[\text{LnX}_2(\text{OPPh}_3)_4]^+$; a crystal structure of the product formed by oxidation of $[\text{EuI}_2(\text{OPPh}_3)_4]$ in MeCN solution confirms this to be $[\text{EuI}_2(\text{OPPh}_3)_4]\text{I}_3 \cdot 1.5\text{MeCN}$, containing a *trans*-octahedral cation.

Keywords: ytterbium diiodide, samarium diiodide, europium diiodide, europium dibromide, phosphine oxide; crystal structure

1. Introduction

While the overwhelming majority of lanthanide coordination chemistry involves the Ln(III) state [1-3], the last twenty five years have seen a steady growth of complexes of divalent lanthanides, mostly based upon Eu, Yb and Sm, but with a small number of complexes of Dy, Nd and Tm also characterised [4,5]. In parallel, an organometallic chemistry containing low valent lanthanide centres has been developed, and EuI_2 and SmI_2 are valuable one electron reductants and cross-coupling reagents in organic chemistry [6-8]. Tertiary phosphine oxides (OPR_3) have proved to be popular

* Corresponding author: e-mail wxl@soton.ac.uk

ligands for trivalent lanthanides, and many examples with a range of R groups are known as oxo-anion salts (nitrate, triflate, etc.), diketonates and halides, as described in a very recent comprehensive review [9]. Taking OPPh₃ (the most thoroughly investigated ligand) as an example, all the LnCl₃ complexes are six-coordinate, with both *mer*-[LnCl₃(OPR₃)₃] and *trans*-[LnCl₂(OPR₃)₄]Cl characterised for all (except Pm). The former type is preferred for the early lanthanides, with the cations becoming more favoured later in the series [10], whilst the [LnX₂(OPPh₃)₄]X (X = Br, I) are favoured for the heavier halides [11,12]. There are also some [LnCl(OPPh₃)₅]²⁺ cations formed using halide abstractors and one series of homoleptic cations in the form [Ln(OPMe₃)₆][PF₆]₃ [13]. No examples of divalent lanthanide phosphine oxide complexes have been reported [9], although hexamethylphosphoramide ((Me₃N)₃PO, HMPA) complexes, [Yb(HMPA)₄(thf)₂]₂, [Sm(HMPA)₆]₂ and [Sm₂(HMPA)₄] have been isolated from reaction of the appropriate LnI₂ with HMPA in thf solution [14].

Here we report the preparations and properties of complexes of OPPh₃ and OPMe₃ with the divalent LnI₂ (Ln = Yb, Eu and Sm) and EuBr₂.

2. Experimental

Infrared spectra were recorded as Nujol mulls between CsI plates using a Perkin-Elmer Spectrum 100 spectrometer over the range 4000–200 cm⁻¹. UV/visible spectra were recorded from sealed PTFE cell with silica window on neat samples, using the diffuse reflectance attachment, in a Perkin Elmer 750S spectrometer. ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra were recorded using a Bruker AV 400 spectrometer and are referenced to external 85% H₃PO₄. Microanalyses were undertaken by London Metropolitan University. Lanthanide dihalides, solvents and other reagents were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich and used as received. Trimethylphosphine oxide was dried by sublimation *in vacuo*, and triphenylphosphine oxide melted under vacuum before use. MeCN was dried by distillation from CaH₂ and thf from sodium benzophenone-ketyl. Syntheses were routinely carried out under a dry dinitrogen atmosphere, and all solids and spectroscopic samples were handled in a dry dinitrogen filled glove box. Since the complexes have limited stability in dilute solution, all NMR samples were freshly prepared immediately before recording data.

2.1 [YbI₂(OPPh₃)₄]

YbI₂ (0.05 g, 0.12 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous MeCN (10 mL), a solution of OPPh₃ (0.13 g, 0.47 mmol) in MeCN (5 mL) added, and the mixture stirred from 20 min. during which the orange colour of the solution deepened. The solution was concentrated to a small volume when a bright yellow precipitate formed. This was filtered off, and dried *in vacuo* to yield a bright yellow powder. Yield 0.14 g, 79%. Required for C₇₂H₆₀I₂O₄P₄Yb (1539.2): C, 56.13; H, 3.93. Found: C, 55.89; H, 3.77%. IR spectrum

(Nujol mull/cm⁻¹): 1140 br s, 1085 m (PO). UV/vis (diffuse reflectance / cm⁻¹): 27 320. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CD₃CN): δ = +36.1.

The MeCN solution of [YbI₂(OPPh₃)₄] exposed to air or O₂ resulted in rapid diminution of the ³¹P{¹H} NMR resonance and development of a new resonance at δ = -39.0, assigned as a Yb(III) species, often with a weak feature at +29.6 ppm (OPPh₃). The same species was generated by addition of I₂ to an MeCN solution of the divalent complex.

2.2 [YbI₂(OPMe₃)₄]

Was made similarly from YbI₂ (0.05 g, 0.12 mmol) and OPMe₃ (0.043 g, 0.47 mmol) in MeCN. The product was a pale yellow powder. Yield 0.02 g, 21%. Required for C₁₂H₃₆I₂O₄P₄Yb (795.0): C, 18.11; H, 4.56. Found: C, 18.05; H, 4.56%. IR spectrum (Nujol mull/cm⁻¹): 1112 br s (PO). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CD₃CN): δ = +50.9. Treatment of the CD₃CN solution with I₂ produced an orange-brown solution with δ (³¹P{¹H}) = -13.9 and -25.0.

2.3 [EuI₂(OPPh₃)₄]

EuI₂ (0.05 g, 0.12 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous MeCN (10 mL), a solution of OPPh₃ (0.14 g, 0.49 mmol) in MeCN (5 mL) added, and the pale yellow stirred from 20 min. The solution was concentrated to small volume when a yellow precipitate formed. This was filtered off and dried *in vacuo* to yield a yellow powder. Yield 0.12 g, 64%. Required for C₇₂H₆₀EuI₂O₄P₄ (1598.1): C, 56.91; H, 3.98. Found: C, 56.63; H, 4.11%. IR spectrum (Nujol mull/cm⁻¹): 1135 br s, 1082 m (PO). UV/vis (diffuse reflectance / cm⁻¹): 26 900. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CD₃CN): not observed. An I₂ (or air) oxidised solution had ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CD₃CN): δ = -125.0. Crystals were obtained from cooling an MeCN solution of the complex.

2.4 [EuI₂(OPMe₃)₄]

Was made similarly from EuI₂ (0.05 g, 0.12 mmol) and OPMe₃ (0.045 g, 0.49 mmol) in MeCN. The product was a pale yellow powder. Yield 0.06 g, 66%. Required for C₁₂H₃₆EuI₂O₄P₄ (773.9): C, 18.61; H, 4.69. Found: C, 18.41; H, 4.53%. IR spectrum (Nujol mull/cm⁻¹): 1142 (sh), 1104 br,s, (PO). UV/vis (diffuse reflectance / cm⁻¹): 27 470(sh), 26 455. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CD₃CN): δ = not observed. ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of [EuI₂(OPMe₃)₄] after exposure to air (CD₃CN, 298 K): -8.0.

2.5 [EuBr₂(OPPh₃)₄]

EuBr₂ (0.05 g, 0.16 mmol) was dissolved in MeCN (10mL) and a solution of OPPh₃ (0.18 g, 0.64 mmol) in MeCN (10 mL) added, and the mixture stirred overnight. On standing a yellow precipitate formed, and the solution was concentrated to small volume, and the precipitate filtered off and dried *in vacuo*. Yield 0.14 g, 62%. Required for C₇₂H₆₀Br₂EuO₄P₄ (1424.1): C, 60.67; H, 4.25. Found: C, 60.55; H, 4.43%.

IR spectrum (Nujol mull/cm⁻¹): 1141 br s, 1084 m (PO). UV/vis (diffuse reflectance / cm⁻¹): 26 040. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CD₃CN): not observed. An air-oxidised solution had ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CD₃CN): δ = – 97.0.

2.6 [EuBr₂(OPMe₃)₄]

Was made similarly from EuBr₂ (0.05 g, 0.16 mmol) and OPMe₃ (0.059 g, 0.64 mmol). The product was a pale yellow powder. Yield 0.07 g, 64%. Required for C₁₂H₃₆Br₂EuO₄P₄ (680.1): C, 21.19; H, 5.34. Found: C, 21.31; H, 5.45%. IR spectrum (Nujol mull/cm⁻¹): 1108 br s (PO). UV/vis (diffuse reflectance / cm⁻¹): 25 840. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CD₃CN): not observed. ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of [EuBr₂(OPMe₃)₄] after addition of Br₂ (CD₃CN, 298 K): –7.7.

2.7 [SmI₂(OPPh₃)₄]

SmI₂ (0.05 g, 0.12 mmol) was dissolved in thf (10 mL) to form a dark blue solution. A solution of OPPh₃ (0.14 g, 0.49 mmol) in thf (5 mL) was then added. On stirring, the solution became dark purple and on concentration a dark blue solid was deposited, which was filtered off and dried *in vacuo*. Yield: 0.13 g, 71%. Required for C₇₂H₆₀I₂O₄P₄Sm (1516.5): C, 56.97; H, 3.99. Found: C, 56.59; H, 4.24%. IR spectrum (Nujol mull/cm⁻¹): 1167 br s, 1071 s (PO). UV/vis (diffuse reflectance / cm⁻¹): 26 730, 17 300. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (d₈-thf): δ = +18.5. Treatment of the d₈-thf solution with I₂ produced a red brown solution with new resonances at δ = +35.6, +25.7 (Ph₃PO), together with a red-brown precipitate.

2.8 [SmI₂(OPMe₃)₄]


Was made similarly from SmI₂ (0.05 g, 0.12 mmol) and OPMe₃ (0.046 g, 0.49 mmol) in thf. The product was a pale blue powder. Yield 0.08 g, 84%. Required for C₁₂H₃₆I₂O₄P₄Sm (772.3): C, 18.64; H, 4.70. Found: C, 18.53; H, 4.70%. IR spectrum (Nujol mull/cm⁻¹): 1103 br s, (PO). ³¹P{¹H} NMR: insoluble in d₈-thf and CD₂Cl₂, decomposed by CD₃CN.

2.9 X-ray experimental:

Details of the crystallographic data collection and refinement parameters are given in Table 1. Crystals suitable for single crystal X-ray analysis were obtained as described above. Data collections used a Rigaku AFC12 goniometer equipped with an enhanced sensitivity (HG) Saturn724+ detector mounted at the window of an FR-E+ SuperBright molybdenum (λ = 0.71073 Å) rotating anode generator with VHF Varimax optics (70 μm focus) with the crystal held at 100 K (N₂ cryostream). Structure solution and refinements were performed with either SHELX(S/L)97 or SHELX(S/L)2013 [15]. H atoms bonded to C were placed in calculated positions using the default C–H distance and refined using a riding model. The crystallographic data in cif format have been deposited as CCDC numbers 1853203 ([EuI₂(OPPh₃)₄].MeCN) and 1853204 ([EuI₂(OPPh₃)₄]I₃·1.5MeCN).

3. Results and discussion


The reaction of YbI_2 with OPR_3 ($\text{R} = \text{Ph}$ or Me) in anhydrous and deoxygenated MeCN produced yellow complexes $[\text{YbI}_2(\text{OPR}_3)_4]$, while the corresponding reactions of EuI_2 gave pale yellow $[\text{EuI}_2(\text{OPR}_3)_4]$ (Scheme 1). The $[\text{EuBr}_2(\text{OPR}_3)_4]$ complexes were made similarly, although the reactions needed overnight stirring due to the poorer solubility of the EuBr_2 in MeCN. Attempts to isolate $[\text{EuCl}_2(\text{OPR}_3)_4]$ were unsuccessful. The deep blue samarium complexes $[\text{SmI}_2(\text{OPR}_3)_4]$ were made from thf solutions, since SmI_2 reacts with MeCN [8]. However, attempts to make analogous complexes of TmI_2 failed, the green solution of the diiodide in thf was immediately decolourised on addition of OPR_3 . The isolated solid complexes decompose quite rapidly in air and samples were manipulated and stored under dinitrogen. Even in the glove box solid samples deteriorate over time and therefore all spectroscopic measurements were made on freshly prepared samples. There was no evidence for any other complexes in these systems even in the presence of excess phosphine oxide.



Scheme 1: Synthesis of LnX_2 phosphine oxide complexes

The solid $[\text{SmI}_2(\text{OPMe}_3)_4]$ proved to be insoluble in thf and decomposed by MeCN, but the other complexes could be dissolved in MeCN ($[\text{EuX}_2(\text{OPR}_3)_4]$, $[\text{YbI}_2(\text{OPR}_3)_4]$) or thf ($[\text{SmI}_2(\text{OPPh}_3)_4]$), although the solutions decomposed on standing either from adventitious ingress of air or internal redox chemistry. This low stability made collection of solution spectroscopic data challenging and growth of crystals suitable for X-ray analysis problematic. Many attempts to obtain crystals for X-ray crystallographic studies, either by slow evaporation of solutions of the pre-formed complexes or layering solutions of LnI_2 and OPR_3 , failed or gave poor quality crystals, sometimes of Ln(III) products. However, crystals of $[\text{EuI}_2(\text{OPPh}_3)_4] \cdot \text{MeCN}$ were obtained from MeCN solution and the structure, which contains a *cis* octahedral molecule, is shown in Figure 1. The *cis* geometry was unexpected – all trivalent lanthanide $[\text{LnX}_2(\text{OPR}_3)_4]^+$ cations are *trans* isomers [9-11]. The energy differences between *cis* and *trans* isomers may be small and adoption of a *cis* geometry may reflect solid state packing, or crystallisation of the least soluble form from an exchanging solution mixture. Generally, the solution NMR data obtained from $\text{LnX}_3\text{-OPR}_3$ systems show separate resonances for different complexes present, but do not distinguish inequivalent ligands within a single complex, suggesting dynamic

processes in solution [9,10,16,17]. Examples of isomers with bent or linear MX_2 units have been observed in lanthanide chemistry with higher coordinated metal centres, for example co-crystallised in $[\text{SmI}_2(\text{MeOCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OMe})_3]$ [18] or $[\text{YCl}_2(18\text{-crown-6})]_3[\text{Y}_2\text{Cl}_9]$ [19].



View of the structure of $[\text{EuI}_2(\text{OPPh}_3)_4] \cdot \text{MeCN}$ with atom numbering scheme. H atoms and the lattice MeCN are omitted for clarity and ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): $\text{Eu1-I1} = 3.3250(6)$, $\text{Eu1-I2} = 3.3787(6)$, $\text{Eu1-O1} = 2.475(6)$, $\text{Eu1-O2} = 2.476(6)$, $\text{Eu1-O3} = 2.451(6)$, $\text{Eu1-O4} = 2.445(7)$, $\text{P-O} = 1.491(6)$ – $1.502(6)$; $\text{I1-Eu1-I2} = 95.970(17)$, $\text{Eu-O-P} = 165.3(4)$ – $173.9(5)$.

The IR spectra of the $[\text{LnI}_2(\text{OPPh}_3)_4]$ and $[\text{EuBr}_2(\text{OPPh}_3)_4]$ show two bands at ~ 1170 – 1140 and ~ 1085 – 1060 cm^{-1} , assigned as $\nu(\text{PO})$, which compare with the value of 1196 cm^{-1} in OPPh_3 and suggest that all may be *cis* isomers in the solid state. In contrast, the IR spectra of $[\text{LnI}_2(\text{OPMe}_3)_4]$ and $[\text{EuBr}_2(\text{OPMe}_3)_4]$ each contain only a single broad $\nu(\text{PO})$ vibration at ~ 1100 – 1115 cm^{-1} consistent with *trans* isomers; compare $\text{OPMe}_3 \nu(\text{PO}) = 1141 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. The UV-visible spectra of the solid complexes were also obtained. The UV-visible spectra of LnI_2 complexes mainly consist of broad bands assigned as $4f^n \rightarrow 4f^{n-1} 5d^1$ transitions [20–23] and are quite different to the f-f spectra seen in the Ln(III) complexes. For phosphine oxide complexes, the near UV region is dominated by the $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ transitions of the P=O unit [24] (and for OPPh_3 $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ transitions of the aryl groups), but at

lower energy the expected Ln(II)-centred transitions are seen (Section 2) and support the attribution as divalent metal centres.

Although most lanthanide ions are paramagnetic, $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectra for Ln(III) phosphine oxide complexes are mostly obtainable and show characteristic shifts correlating with the $4f^n$ configuration present [10,13]. For the diamagnetic divalent complex, $[\text{YbI}_2(\text{OPR}_3)_4]$, the complexes show sharp singlets at +36.1 (R = Ph) and +50.9 (R = Me) shifted from the values in the parent phosphine oxides of +29 and +36 respectively [10,16]. In contrast, the $[\text{EuX}_2(\text{OPR}_3)_4]$ failed to exhibit any ^{31}P resonance, presumably due to the effect of the f^7 Eu(II) centre. Gadolinium(III) complexes, which are also f^7 , were the only Ln(III) complexes that did not exhibit a ^{31}P NMR resonance from their $[\text{GdCl}_3(\text{OPPh}_3)_3]$ and $[\text{GdCl}_2(\text{OPPh}_3)_4]\text{Cl}$ complexes [10]. The blue solution of $[\text{SmI}_2(\text{OPPh}_3)_4]$ in d^8 -thf showed a broad resonance at +18.8 ppm, but $[\text{SmI}_2(\text{OPMe}_3)_4]$ was not sufficiently soluble to observe a spectrum. On exposure to air or addition of I_2 , the resonances of the $[\text{LnI}_2(\text{OPR}_3)_4]$ complexes were lost, and new resonances appeared (Section 2), attributed to Yb(III) or Sm(III) species, $[\text{LnI}_2(\text{OPR}_3)_4]^+$, and resonances were now present from the solutions of the Eu complexes due to Eu(III) species.

Crystals of the oxidised product, $[\text{EuI}_2(\text{OPPh}_3)_4]\text{I}_3 \cdot \text{MeCN}$ were obtained by exposing an MeCN solution of the divalent complex to air, followed by cooling the solution in a freezer. The crystal structure shows (Figure 2) the distorted octahedral Eu(III) cation bearing four equatorial OPPh_3 ligands and two mutually *trans* iodides. The Eu–I bond distances in the divalent $[\text{EuI}_2(\text{OPPh}_3)_4]$ complex (3.3250(6), 3.3787(6) Å) are significantly longer than in the trivalent $[\text{EuI}_2(\text{OPPh}_3)_4]^+$ cation (3.0481(6), 3.0866(6); 3.0305(6), 3.0766(6) Å) – mostly likely a consequence of the larger ionic radius of Eu(II). Similarly the Eu–O bond lengths (Eu(II): 2.451(6)–2.476(6) Å) are all ca. 0.2 Å longer than in the Eu(III) complex.




Figure 2. View of the structure of the cation in $[\text{EuI}_2(\text{OPPh}_3)_4]\text{I}_3 \cdot 1.5\text{MeCN}$ with atom numbering scheme. Note that the asymmetric unit contains a second, crystallographically independent cation and I_3^- anion. H atoms are omitted for clarity and ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): $\text{Eu1-I1} = 3.0866(6)$, $\text{Eu1-I2} = 3.0481(6)$, $\text{Eu1-O1} = 2.254(5)$, $\text{Eu1-O2} = 2.253(5)$, $\text{Eu1-O3} = 2.273(5)$, $\text{Eu1-O4} = 2.254(5)$, $\text{Eu2-I3} = 3.0305(6)$, $\text{Eu2-I4} = 3.0766(6)$, $\text{Eu2-O5} = 2.247(5)$, $\text{Eu2-O6} = 2.249(5)$, $\text{Eu2-O7} = 2.269(5)$, $\text{Eu2-O8} = 2.275(5)$, $\text{P-O} = 1.497(5)$ – $1.510(5)$; $\text{I1-Eu1-I2} = 177.024(18)$, $\text{I3-Eu2-I4} = 175.371(19)$.

Conclusions

The first examples of divalent lanthanide halide complexes bearing phosphine oxide ligands have been isolated and characterised. Unexpectedly, spectroscopic and crystallographic data confirm that with OPPh_3 these six-coordinate species adopt a *cis*-octahedral geometry, $[\text{LnX}_2(\text{OPPh}_3)_4]$, in the solid state, while the OPMe_3 complexes appear to be the *trans* isomers. As might be expected, the divalent species are very readily oxidised to form $[\text{LnX}_2(\text{OPR}_3)_4]^+$ and crystallographic analysis shows that for Eu(III), the $[\text{EuI}_2(\text{OPPh}_3)_4]^+$ cation is the *trans* isomer, similar to other known trivalent analogues. The extension of the ligand types known to form Ln(II) species to OPR_3 , indicates that Ln(II) complexes with other ligand types merit investigation, including OAsR_3 , O_2SR_2 and possibly PR_3 . Although not observed in the present work, it seems possible that under appropriate (different) conditions, SmI_2 especially, may be able to reduce P=O bonds since it can reduce OSR_2 or O_2SR_2 to SR_2 [8].

Acknowledgements

We thank the EPSRC for support via EP/M50662X/1.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

CCDC 1853203 and 1853204 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper in cif format. These data can be obtained free of charge via <http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html>, or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ UK. Other supplementary materials contain IR and NMR spectra for the complexes. Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version, at <http://.....>

References

- [1] S. A. Cotton, *Lanthanide and Actinide Chemistry*, Chichester, John Wiley, 2006.
- [2] S. A. Cotton in *Comprehensive Coordination Chemistry II*, J. A. McCleverty and T. J. Meyer (eds), Elsevier, Oxford 4 (2004) 93.
- [3] S. A. Cotton, P. R. Raithby, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 340 (2017) 220.
- [4] M. N. Bochkarev, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 248 (2004) 835.
- [5] W. J. Evans, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 206-207 (2000) 263.
- [6] W. J. Evans, *J. Organometal. Chem.* 647 (2002) 2.
- [7] W. J. Evans, *Polyhedron* 6 (1987) 803.
- [8] M. Szostak, N. J. Fazakerley, D. Parmar, D. J. Procter, *Chem. Rev.* 114 (2014) 5959.
- [9] A. W. G. Platt, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 340 (2017) 62.
- [10] M. J. Glazier, W. Levason, M. L. Matthews, P. L. Thornton, M. Webster, *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 357 (2004) 1083.
- [11] A. Bowden, A. W. G. Platt, K. Singh, R. Townsend, *Polyhedron* 363 (2010) 243.
- [12] J.-C Berthet, M. Nierlich, M. Epritikhine, *Polyhedron* 22 (2003) 3475.
- [13] N. J. Hill, L. S. Leung, W. Levason, M. Webster, *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 343 (2003) 169.
- [14] Z. Hou, Y. Zhang, Y. Wakatsuki, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan* 70 (1997) 149.
- [15] G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A* 64 (2008) 112.
- [16] N. J. Hill, W. Levason, M. C. Popham, G. Reid, M. Webster, *Polyhedron* 21 (2002) 445.
- [17] W. Levason, E. H. Newman, M. Webster, *Polyhedron* 19 (2000) 2697.
- [18] W. J. Evans, R. N. R. Broomhall-Dillard, J. W. Ziller, *Polyhedron* 17 (1998) 3361.
- [19] M. J. D. Champion, P. Farina, W. Levason, G. Reid, *Dalton Trans.* 42 (2013) 13179.
- [20] N. B. Mikheev, S. A. Kulyukhim, A. N. Kamenskaya, I. A. Rumer, N. A. Konovalova, *Radiochemistry* 46 (2004) 521.
- [21] E. Rogers, P. Dorenbos, J. T. M. de Haas, E. van der Kolk, *Phys. Condens. Matter* 24 (2012) 275502.
- [22] Y. Okaue, Y. Isobe, *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 144 (1988) 143.

[23] A. N. Kamenskaya, S. A. Kulyukhin, E. S. Levchenko, V. N. Kalinin, *Koord. Khim.* 16 (1990) 1141.

[24] H. Schindlbauer, *Monatsch. Chem.* 94 (1963) 99.

Table 1 Crystallographic Data^a

Compound	[EuI ₂ (OPPh ₃) ₄]·MeCN	[EuI ₂ (OPPh ₃) ₄]I ₃ ·1.5MeCN
Formula	C ₇₄ H ₆₃ EuI ₂ NO ₄ P ₄	C ₁₅₀ H ₁₂₉ Eu ₂ I ₁₀ N ₃ O ₈ P ₈
<i>M</i>	2329.40	3922.23
Crystal system	monoclinic	triclinic
Space group (no.)	Pn (7)	P-1 (2)
<i>a</i> / Å	13.6401(4)	13.6846(3)
<i>b</i> / Å	17.5450(4)	20.1433(4)
<i>c</i> / Å	14.0307(4)	28.4990(4)
α / °	90	91.6050(10)
β / °	95.185(3)	91.558(2)
γ / °	90	109.605(2)
<i>U</i> / Å ³	3344.03(16)	7391.9(3)
<i>Z</i>	2	2
μ (Mo-K α) / mm ⁻¹	2.006	3.071
F(000)	1550	3780
Total number reflns	63559	94005
<i>R</i> _{int}	0.125	0.040
Unique reflns	13995	28933
No. of params, restraints	776, 710	1609, 0
<i>R</i> ₁ , w <i>R</i> ₂ [I > 2σ(I)] ^b	0.045, 0.100	0.050, 0.112
<i>R</i> ₁ , w <i>R</i> ₂ (all data)	0.053, 0.103	0.063, 0.116

^a common data: T = 293 K; wavelength (Mo-K α) = 0.71073 Å; θ (max) = 27.5°; ^b $R_1 = \frac{\sum ||F_o| - |F_c||}{\sum |F_o|}$; $wR_2 = [\frac{\sum w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2}{\sum wF_o^4}]^{1/2}$