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Abstract

A series of ‘light metal’ MOFs containing SBU.s based on Li⁺ and Na⁺ cations have been prepared using the silicon-centered linkers Me₆Si(p-C₆H₄CO₂H)₄₋ₓ (x = 2, 1, 0). The unipositive charge, small size, and oxophilic nature of the metal cations gives rise to some unusual and unique secondary building units (SBUs), including a 3D nodal structure built from sodium and oxygen ions when using the triacid linker (x = 1). The same linker with Li⁺ cations generated a chiral, helical SBU, formed from achiral starting materials. 1D rod SBUs are observed for the diacid (x = 2) and tetra-acid (x = 0) linkers with both Li⁺ and Na⁺ cations, where the larger size of Na⁺ compared to Li⁺ leads to subtle differences in the constitution of the metal nodes.

Introduction

The use of metal organic frameworks (MOFs) in areas as diverse as gas separation, heterogeneous catalysis, and drug delivery has grown exponentially in recent years. Designer porous solids like MOFs can possess properties such as extremely high surface area and low density, making them ideal candidates for “portable” gas storage applications such as H₂/CH₄ fuel tanks for automotive applications. Maximizing the volumetric and gravimetric capacities is crucial for these applications, and one approach is to use lighter elements such as Li⁺, Na⁺, Mg²⁺, or Al³⁺ as the metal nodes in MOFs.

Although a small number of coordination polymers with Li⁺-based nodes had previously appeared in the wider literature, the first Li⁺-based MOF showing permanent porosity was not reported until 2010 by Abrahams et al. using an anionic isonicotinate linker to give a porous MOF which demonstrated modest H₂ uptake. Since then, Li⁺-based MOFs have been reported using linkers as varied as amino acids, thiophenedicarboxylates, and anthraquinones. These Li⁺-based MOFs have been shown to display properties such as luminescence, gas uptake, and even have potential applications as electrodes in Li
Some Na⁺-based MOFs have also been reported to possess similar properties to their Li⁺ analogs such as gas sorption, luminescence, and anodes for Na batteries. Despite this, Na⁺-based MOFs have been less well studied, partly because the larger cation size results in increased coordination to polar solvents which favors low dimensional coordination networks. Therefore, our understanding of the properties and applications of MOFs based on s-block metals lags significantly behind that of MOFs based on d-block metals.

We have previously reported a series of polycarboxylic acids based around a tetrahedral silicon center (L1-H₂, L2-H₃, L3-H₄; Figure 1) which were used to construct MOFs with Zn²⁺-based nodes. The potential for generating novel 3D structural motifs is much higher with a tetrahedral center compared to a planar linker; and using silicon instead of carbon has several advantages including a straightforward linker synthesis. Despite this, only a handful of MOFs have been synthesized using L1 and L2. L3 has been used to synthesize MOFs with a variety of d-block, 29-32 and f-block metal nodes, 33-36 but the only MOFs with s-block metal nodes were reported by us using Mg²⁺ or Ca²⁺. Latterly, Moon and co-workers reported the synthesis of a MOF with L3 as linker and Li⁺-based nodes which was used as a precursor to porous lithium orthosilicate. Herein we report a series of novel Li⁺ and Na⁺ MOFs using L1, L2, and L3 as linkers which exhibit a variety of unusual structural motifs and topologies.

![Figure 1: Silicon-centered linkers L1-H₂, L2-H₃, and L3-H₄.](image)

**Experimental**

1,4-Dibromobenzene, nBuLi (2.5 M solution in hexanes), and SiCl₄ were purchased from Sigma; SiMe₂Cl₂ and SiMeCl₃ were purchased from Fluka; CO₂ was purchased from BOC; LiNO₃, NaNO₃, and LiOH·H₂O were purchased from Acros: all were used without further purification. Solvents for reactions involving nBuLi were dried using a Grubbs-type solvent purification system. The ligands SiMe₅(p-C₆H₄CO₂H)₄-x (x = 2, L1-H₂; x = 1, L2-H₃; x = 0, L3-H₄) were synthesized according to literature procedures.

Infra-red spectra were obtained on a Perkin Elmer Spectrum 100 with a universal ATR sampling accessory. TGA was carried out on a Perkin Elmer Pyris 1 instrument under a nitrogen atmosphere over the range 50–600 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C/min. Elemental analysis was carried out by Stephen Boyer at London Metropolitan University.

Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) studies were performed using a Panalytical MPD X-ray diffractometer with Cu-Kα radiation between 5 and 50 o 2θ. Brunauer-Emmet-Teller (BET) analysis was carried out on a Micromeritics TriStar II PLUS and the software used to calculate the surface area was 'Micromeritics MicroActive for TriStar II PLUS'.
**IMP-22**: \([\text{Li}_4(\text{L}_1)_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})(\text{DMF})_2]\)]

LiNO\(_3\) (42 mg, 0.60 mmol), LiOH·H\(_2\)O (25 mg, 0.60 mmol), \(\text{L}_1\)-H\(_2\) (181 mg, 0.60 mmol), and a 2:1 DMF:MeOH mixture (15 mL) were stirred for 2 h at room temperature and then heated to 180 °C in an autoclave for 5 days. The reaction was cooled slowly to room temperature, affording colorless rod-like crystals which were isolated by filtration, washed with DMF (3 x 1 mL), and air-dried for 1 hour. Yield: 156 mg (52%).

Anal. calc. for \([\text{Li}_4\{\text{Me}_2\text{Si(C}_6\text{H}_4\text{CO}_2\}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})(\text{DMF})_2]\]: C, 55.98; H, 6.50; N, 6.95. Found: C, 56.07; H, 6.40; N, 7.00.

IR: 2956, 1660, 1591, 1541, 1498, 1392, 1310, 1247, 1147, 1101, 1062, 827, 812, 776, 761, 721, 660 cm\(^{-1}\).

**IMP-23**: \([\text{Na}_2(\text{L}_1)(\text{DMF})_2]\)

NaNO\(_3\) (28 mg, 0.33 mmol) and \(\text{L}_1\)-H\(_2\) (50 mg, 0.17 mmol) were dissolved in DMF (2 mL) in a sealed 25 mL pressure vessel. The solution was heated to 170 °C for 120 h, then cooled to RT over 6 h. Colorless needle-like crystals were isolated by filtration, washed with DMF (3 x 1 mL) and air-dried for 1 hour. Yield: 26 mg (31%).

Anal. calc. for \([\text{Na}_2\{\text{Me}_2\text{Si(C}_6\text{H}_4\text{CO}_2\}_2(\text{DMF})_2\}]:\) C, 43.35; H, 6.39; N, 2.88. Found: C, 42.95; H, 5.85; N, 3.26. Note: the elemental analysis is consistent with partial loss of the DMF/water which was located in the channels of IMP-23.

IR: 1653, 1587, 1534, 1388, 1256, 1245, 1141, 1096, 1064, 1019, 831, 816, 777, 765, 723, 706, 662 cm\(^{-1}\).

**IMP-24**: \([\text{Li}_3(\text{L}_2)(\text{DMF})]\)

LiNO\(_3\) (25 mg, 0.37 mmol), \(\text{L}_2\)-H\(_3\) (50 mg, 0.12 mmol), and DMF (2 mL) were stirred for 2 h at room temperature and then heated to 170 °C in a screw-top vial for 5 days. The reaction was cooled slowly to room temperature, yielding colorless rod-shaped crystals which were isolated by filtration, washed with DMF (3 x 1 mL), and air-dried for 1 hour. Yield: 51 mg (81%).

Anal. calc. for \([\text{Li}_3\{\text{MeSi(C}_6\text{H}_4\text{CO}_2\}_3(\text{DMF})\}]:\) C, 60.08; H, 4.58; N, 3.21. Found: C, 59.87; H, 4.49; N, 3.26.

IR: 2937, 2166, 1948, 1671, 1607, 1542, 1498, 1392, 1308, 1257, 1189, 1147, 1102, 1020, 967, 855, 790, 764, 740, 717, 707, 671 cm\(^{-1}\).

**IMP-25**: \([\text{Na}_{12}(\text{L}_2)_4(\text{DMF})_3]\) and **IMP-26**: \([\text{Na}_{42}(\text{L}_2)_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3]\)

NaNO\(_3\) (31 mg, 0.37 mmol) and \(\text{L}_2\)-H\(_3\) (50 mg, 0.12 mmol) were suspended in DMF (2 mL). The mixture was heated in a sealed 25 mL pressure vessel at 170 °C for 120 h, then cooled to room temperature over a period of 6 h. Two morphologies of colorless crystals had formed: rhombohedra (IMP-25) and needles (IMP-26), in a roughly 1:2 ratio. The mixture of crystals
was isolated by filtration, washed with DMF, hexane, and methanol, then dried in vacuo. Combined yield of IMP-24 and IMP-25: 5 mg.

IR: 1667, 1582, 1534, 1497, 1392, 1248, 1100, 1017, 848, 793, 765, 737, 720, 708, 667 cm⁻¹.

Note: since IMP-24 and IMP-25 could only be prepared as a mixture it was not possible to obtain a satisfactory elemental analysis.

**IMP-27Li:** [Li₄(L3)(DMF)(H₂O)₂]

LiNO₃ (27 mg, 0.39 mmol), L₃-H₄ (50 mg, 0.10 mmol), and DMF (2 mL) were stirred for 2 h at room temperature and then heated to 170 °C in a screw-top vial for 5 days. Slow cooling to room temperature afforded colorless crystals. These were isolated by filtration, washed with DMF (3 x 1 mL), and air-dried for 1 hour. Yield: 36 mg (28%).

Anal. calc. for [Li₄{Si(C₆H₄CO₂)₄}(DMF)(H₂O)₂]·7DMF·8H₂O: C, 48.50; H, 6.10; N, 8.58 %. Found: C, 48.49; H, 6.38; N, 8.59 %. Note: the elemental analysis is a good fit for 7 DMF and 8 water molecules being located in the channels of IMP-27Li, but a combination of twinned crystals and disorder prevented unambiguous assignment of this crystallographically. See ESI for further details.

IR: 2859, 1581, 1532, 1384, 1097, 1018, 862, 777, 726, 633, 551, 522, 475 cm⁻¹.

**IMP-27Na:** [Na₄(L3)(DMF)₄(H₂O)₄]

NaNO₃ (33 mg, 0.39 mmol), L₃-H₄ (50 mg, 0.10 mmol), and DMF (2 mL) were stirred for 2 h at room temperature, then heated to 170 °C in a screw-top vial for 5 days. Slow cooling to room temperature yielded colorless prisms which were isolated by filtration, washed with DMF (3 x 1 mL), and air-dried for 1 hour. Yield: 21 mg (24%).

Anal. calc. for [Na₄{Si(C₆H₄CO₂)₄}(DMF)₅(H₂O)₈]: C, 46.51; H, 6.09; N, 6.31. Found: C, 46.16; H, 6.28; N, 6.15. Note: elemental analysis is consistent with additional DMF and water present in the channels of the MOF.

IR: 2927, 1666, 1606, 1542, 1494, 1440, 1386, 1281, 1253, 1095, 1018, 846, 814, 769, 722, 707, 663, 634, 548, 505 cm⁻¹.

X-Ray crystallography: Datasets were collected using the following hardware: **IMP-22:** an Oxford Diffraction PX Ultra diffractometer (Cu Kα radiation, 1.54184 Å); **IMP-23:** a Rigaku FRE+ diffractometer (Mo Kα radiation, 0.71073 Å) equipped with HF Varimax confocal mirrors, an AFC12 goniometer, HG Saturn 724+ detector, and an Oxford Cryosystems low-temperature device; **L1-H₂, IMP-24,** and **IMP-25:** an Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur 3E (Mo Kα radiation, 0.71073 Å); **IMP-26:** a Rigaku 007HF equipped with Varimax confocal mirrors (Cu Kα radiation, 1.54184 Å), an AFC11 goniometer, and HyPix 6000 detector diffractometer equipped with an Oxford Cryosystems low-temperature device. Datasets were processed using CrysAlisPro,[40] solutions were solved and refined using SHELX-97 and SHELXTL,[41] as well as Olex-2,[42] and WinGX.[43]
The dataset for **IMP-27Na** was collected at the Diamond Light Source using synchrotron radiation (0.68890 Å) on a Nonius KappaCCD area detector using φ and ω scans to fill the asymmetric unit sphere. The unit cell was determined using DirAx, data collection used Collect, data reduction and cell refinement used Denzo, and the absorption correction was carried out with SADABS. Structure solution was carried out using SHELXS-97 and refinement used SHELXL-97.

The SQUEEZE routine within PLATON was used to remove heavily disordered solvents from the MOF structures. Graphics were generated using ORTEP-III and Crystalmaker. The program enCIFer was used to prepare CIFs for publication. CCDC reference numbers 1573356 (**IMP-22**), 1573357 (**IMP-24**), 1871441 (**L1-H2**), 1871442 (**IMP-27Na**), 1871443 (**IMP-23**), 1871444 (**IMP-25**) and 1871445 (**IMP-26**) contain crystallographic data in CIF format, which is summarized below (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>L1-H2</th>
<th>IMP-22</th>
<th>IMP-23</th>
<th>IMP-24</th>
<th>IMP-25</th>
<th>IMP-26</th>
<th>IMP-27Na</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formula</td>
<td>C_{16}H_{10}O_{2}Si</td>
<td>C_{36}H_{34}Li_{4}N_{2}O_{11}S_{2}</td>
<td>C_{23}H_{33}Li_{2}N_{2}O_{8}Si</td>
<td>C_{23}H_{22}Li_{3}NO_{3}Si</td>
<td>C_{32}H_{27}NN</td>
<td>C_{30}H_{26}Na</td>
<td>C_{7}H_{9}N_{2}O_{12}N_{2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T/K</td>
<td>173(2)</td>
<td>173(2)</td>
<td>100(2)</td>
<td>173(2)</td>
<td>173(2)</td>
<td>100(2)</td>
<td>120(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M/g mol⁻¹</td>
<td>300.38</td>
<td>971.43</td>
<td>527.08</td>
<td>526.58</td>
<td>702.96</td>
<td>6667.70</td>
<td>2056.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>monoclinic</td>
<td>tetragonal</td>
<td>tetragonal</td>
<td>trigonal</td>
<td>trigonal</td>
<td>trigonal</td>
<td>triclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group (No.)</td>
<td>P2₁/n (14)</td>
<td>I4₁/a (88)</td>
<td>I4₁/a (88)</td>
<td>P3₁2 (152)</td>
<td>R-3c (167)</td>
<td>R3 (146)</td>
<td>P-1 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a/Å</td>
<td>12.278(4)</td>
<td>41.552(4)</td>
<td>41.766(5)</td>
<td>17.124(2)</td>
<td>30.122(9)</td>
<td>34.102(4)</td>
<td>13.369(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b/Å</td>
<td>5.7519(2)</td>
<td>41.552(4)</td>
<td>41.766(5)</td>
<td>17.124(2)</td>
<td>30.122(9)</td>
<td>34.102(4)</td>
<td>14.6203(12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c/Å</td>
<td>21.0637(9)</td>
<td>12.8674(2)</td>
<td>6.4609(1)</td>
<td>16.3889(2)</td>
<td>39.0266(9)</td>
<td>32.7685(4)</td>
<td>15.5828(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α°</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>112.092(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β°</td>
<td>95.268(3)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>95.397(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ°</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>112.031(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U/Å³</td>
<td>1481.32(10)</td>
<td>22218.8(5)</td>
<td>11270.7(3)</td>
<td>4162.1(1)</td>
<td>30667.4(19)</td>
<td>33016.4(9)</td>
<td>25163.3(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ(Mo-Kα) /mm⁻¹</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>1.078</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>1.306</td>
<td>0.148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F(000)</td>
<td>632</td>
<td>8224</td>
<td>4448</td>
<td>1644</td>
<td>13056</td>
<td>10254</td>
<td>1082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total reflections</td>
<td>4531</td>
<td>27580</td>
<td>34410</td>
<td>43250</td>
<td>18755</td>
<td>83366</td>
<td>24833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique reflections</td>
<td>2597</td>
<td>10698</td>
<td>6468</td>
<td>8445</td>
<td>7117</td>
<td>21743</td>
<td>11074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rint</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodness-of-fit on F²</td>
<td>1.032</td>
<td>1.040</td>
<td>1.034</td>
<td>0.984</td>
<td>1.041</td>
<td>1.103</td>
<td>0.953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₁ obs [I &gt; 2σ(I)]</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>0.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₁ (all data)</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.123</td>
<td>0.140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wR₂ [I &gt; 2σ(I)]</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>0.246</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0.254</td>
<td>0.153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wR₂ (all data)</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>0.260</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>0.142</td>
<td>0.286</td>
<td>0.189</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1: Crystallographic data for all structures.

Results and Discussion

Ditopic linker L1:

The silicon-containing linkers L1-H2, L2-H3, and L3-H4 were synthesized via lithiation of the corresponding bromophenylsilanes followed by reaction with CO2 and acidic workup, according to literature procedures. As part of these studies we were able to obtain X-ray quality crystals of L1-H2 via slow evaporation of an acetone solution. This allowed its solid-state structure to be determined, revealing hydrogen bonded polymers (Figure 2).

Figure 2: (a) ORTEP diagram of L1-H2. Thermal ellipsoids at 50% probability and hydrogens (bar carboxylic acid protons) omitted for clarity; (b) extended structure of L1-H2 showing a portion of the 1D zig-zag chain generated through hydrogen bonding.

L1-H2 crystallized in the monoclinic space group P21/n. The molecule is tetrahedral at the central silicon atom, resulting in the two carboxyphenyl units adopting a V-shape in the solid state [C3–Si1–C10 = 106.49(9)°]. Adjacent molecules of L1-H2 assemble via dimeric hydrogen bonding between carboxylic acid groups to form a 1D zig-zag supramolecular polymer (Figure 2b). The H-bonding O⋯O distances are 2.582(2) and 2.711(2) Å, consistent with strong hydrogen bonds observed in similar hydrogen bonded organic frameworks built from polycarboxylic acids.

The proligand L1-H2 was then treated with LiNO3 and LiOH (1:1:1 molar ratio) under solvothermal conditions in DMF and MeOH (2:1 ratio). A colorless crystalline solid was formed, which was shown by X-ray diffraction to be [Li4(L1)2(H2O)(DMF)2] (IMP-22, where IMP is short for Imperial College London). IMP-22 crystallized in the tetragonal space group I41/a with an asymmetric unit consisting of two crystallographically independent ligands, four Li+ cations, two coordinated DMF molecules, and one coordinated water. Analysis of the bulk product by PXRD gave a diffraction pattern consistent with the simulated pattern from the single crystal X-ray data (Figure S14, ESI).

The Li+ cations in IMP-22 are arranged into an unusual linear motif which runs parallel to the c axis, forming 1D rod SBUs. These SBUs are constituted of two distinct subunits: the first is a tricyclic ring system consisting of a central 8-membered Li2O4C2 ring (two Li+ cations and two (μ1,3)-carboxylates) flanked by two four-membered Li2O2 rings (Figure 3a). The second subunit is an unusual Li6O16C6 cluster generated from six Li+ cations, six...
bridging carboxylate groups, and capped by DMF and water solvent molecules (Figure 3b). One of the two independent L1 linkers generates the 8-membered ring and also contributes to stabilizing the cluster, whereas the other L1 linker is solely bonded to clusters. Polymeric annulated ring systems with alternating 8- and 4-membered rings have previously been observed in Li⁺ MOFs, and Bu and Feng recently observed alternating 6- and 4-membered rings. However, IMP-22 is the first structure containing tricyclic repeat units connected by a polyhedral cluster of Li⁺ cations (Figure 3c).

Figure 3: Views of the structure of IMP-22 showing (a) the tricyclic (4-8-4) repeat unit of the SBU; (b) the cluster repeat unit within the SBU; (c) a longer section of the SBU showing two clusters and one tricyclic unit; (d) a view of the MOF from the [001] direction showing the channels (all solvent molecules, bar the coordinating oxygen atoms, are omitted for clarity). Element colors: C = black; O = red; Li = blue.
Each Li⁺ center in IMP-22 is 4-coordinate although some deviation from ideal tetrahedral geometry is observed; this can be quantified using the τ⁴ parameter where τ⁴ = 1 is ideal tetrahedral and τ⁴ = 0 is ideal square planar. The τ⁴ values for the Li⁺ cations in IMP-22 range from 0.94 (minimal distortion) to 0.77 (significant distortion); the most distorted Li⁺ cation is the one which is a component of the 8-membered ring, along with its symmetry-generated equivalent. There is little difference in bond lengths between the anionic Li–O carboxylate bonds and dative covalent Li–O bonds to solvent molecules. These are mostly in the expected range 1.906(5) – 1.981(4) Å, although there is one short exception at 1.841(6) Å which corresponds to the Li–O carboxylate bond in the 8-membered ring (plus symmetry-generated equivalents) and one long exception at 2.058(14) Å to one of the coordinated DMF molecules.

In order to compare the structural roles of Li⁺ and Na⁺ in MOF construction, the reaction of L1-H₂ with Na⁺ was also attempted. Thus, the solvothermal reaction of L1-H₂ with NaNO₃ in a 1:2 ratio gave a colorless crystalline solid which was shown by X-ray crystallographic analysis to be [Na₂(L₁)(DMF)₂] (IMP-23) with two Na⁺ cations, one ligand and two coordinated DMF molecules in the asymmetric unit. Both MOFs crystallized in the same tetragonal space group I4₁/a and contain 1D rod-like SBUs. However, there are some key differences in the composition of these SBUs as discussed below. In addition, the unit cell volumes differ by a factor of two, reflecting the fact that IMP-22 contains twice as many unique ligand environments compared to IMP-23. Analysis of the bulk product of IMP-23 by PXRD gave a diffraction pattern consistent with the simulated pattern from the single crystal X-ray data (Figure S15, ESI).

Each Na⁺ cation in IMP-23 is bound to four carboxylates (one in a bidentate mode and three in a monodentate mode) with one DMF molecule completing the coordination sphere, thus each Na⁺ cation is 6-coordinate (Figure 4a). Although there are two crystallographically distinct cations in the asymmetric unit, the environment around each one is virtually identical, and the steric limitations imposed by the κ²-carboxylate group means that the geometry is best described as distorted trigonal prismatic rather than octahedral. Each carboxylate anion is in an overall μ₄-bridging mode, constituting μ₂-bridging through one of the oxygen atoms and μ₁-bridging through the other (Figure 4b). This results in a series of edge-sharing Na₂O₂ rings which are further bridged by carboxylate anions to form a linear 1D rod SBU (Figure 4c). These differ from the Li⁺ chains in IMP-22 which were formed of two distinct subunits featuring four different Li⁺ environments, whereas the Na⁺ chains essentially contain one Na⁺ environment in a highly regular arrangement. This is likely a result of the increased cation size of Na⁺ compared to Li⁺: the bigger Na⁺ can comfortably adopt higher coordination numbers which leads to the formation of a more regular SBU geometry. To the best of our knowledge, this 1D SBU of Na⁺ cations in IMP-23 is unique.
Figure 4: Views of the structure of IMP-23 showing (a) the coordination environment around each Na⁺ cation; (b) the coordination at each carboxylate group; (c) a section of the 1D metal SBU running parallel to the c axis; (d) a view of the MOF with the channels in the [001] direction (all solvent molecules, bar the coordinating oxygen atoms, are omitted for clarity). Element colors: C = black; O = red; Na = yellow.

In IMP-23 the chains of metal cations are crosslinked by L1, resulting in a 3D polymeric structure with channels running along the [001] direction. Even though the 1D SBUs for IMP-22 and IMP-23 are different, the topological connectivity of L1 in each of the two MOFs is almost identical. Each ligand bridges two rod SBUs, and each channel is formed by four SBUs connected by four ligands (Figures 3d, 4d). Both MOFs contain solvent-filled square channels of ~14 x 14 Å (IMP-22, Figure 3d) or ~15 x 15 Å (IMP-23, Figure 4d; the distances take into account van der Waals radii of atoms on the edge of the channels). With theoretical removal of all solvents, the solvent accessible volumes (SAV) as calculated by PLATON are 47% (IMP-22) and 46% (IMP-23).

For both IMP-22 and IMP-23, the scXRD data indicated that non-coordinating DMF molecules were present in the pores. These could not be modelled successfully hence they were removed using the SQUEEZE routine within PLATON (see ESI for details). ^TGA of IMP-22 (Figure S18) and IMP-23 (Figure S19) under N₂ each exhibited a mass loss consistent with a theoretical mass loss of all DMF (coordinated and non-coordinated) in the temperature range 50 °C to 230 °C (180 °C for IMP-23). However, during preparation for gas sorption studies, a sample of IMP-22 was heated in vacuo at 50 °C for 16 hours which resulted in some loss of crystallinity of the sample: the PXRD peaks became broader and less well defined (Figure S14). Subsequent attempts to calculate the surface area of IMP-22 and
IMP-23 by BET analysis indicated that little or no porosity was present, likely due to structural collapse induced by solvent loss.

Tritopic linker L2:

Treatment of LiNO₃ with the tripodal proligand L₂-H₃ in DMF (3:1 molar ratio) led to the formation of colorless crystals which were analyzed by scXRD. This revealed that [Li₃(L₂)(DMF)] (IMP-24) crystallized in the chiral space group P3₁2₁ with one ligand, four Li cation sites and one coordinated DMF comprising the asymmetric unit. One of the Li cations lies on a 2-fold axis and another is disordered over a 2-fold axis resulting overall in a 1:3 ratio of L₂ to Li in the structure. The chirality arises from the formation of right-handed helical Li-based chains which are interconnected by L₂ to form a 3D structure (Figure 5). The pitch of the helix (16.4 Å) is determined by the ligand: two of the three arms of the ligand intraconnect the helix, holding it together, whilst the third arm of the ligand bridges to a neighboring helix. The generation of an enantiomerically pure chiral MOF from achiral starting materials is particularly rare and as far as we are aware only two prior examples have been reported for Li⁺ MOFS. [5] [6]
Figure 5: Views of the structure of IMP-24 showing (a) the pitch of the helical metal node; (b) the interconnection of the helices by L3, looking down the [001] direction. Solvents (bar coordinating oxygens) omitted for clarity. Element colors: C = black; O = red; Li = blue.

Owing to the presence of significant amounts of positional disorder within the ligand arms and the coordinated DMF molecule, it is challenging to accurately describe the coordination environment at each Li\(^+\) center. Further details regarding the crystallography can be found in the ESI.

IMP-24 readily underwent decomposition when the crystals were removed from the solvent. This meant it was not possible to ascertain the bulk purity of the MOF using PXRD. Nevertheless, several single crystals from different batches of IMP-24 were analyzed by single crystal X-ray diffraction and gave identical unit cell parameters in each case. Owing to this decomposition no further studies or sorption measurements were carried out on IMP-24.

In contrast, treatment of L2-H\(^3\) with NaNO\(_3\) in DMF in a 3:1 molar ratio led to the formation of two morphologies of colorless crystals: rhombohedra and rods. Crystallographic analysis of the former revealed that [Na\(_{12}\)(L3)\(_4\)(DMF)\(_4\)] (IMP-25) had formed. The asymmetric unit contains \(\frac{4}{3}\) equivalents of L2, four Na\(^+\) cations and \(\frac{4}{3}\) DMF molecules. Each Na\(^+\) cation is in a markedly different coordination environment (Figures 6a-d). These assemble to form a MOF with a single 3D metal-based node which is, to the best of our knowledge, unique: no other 3D nodes or SBUs appear in the MOF literature.

The 3D nature of the sodium-based node, or SBU, is clearly visible when viewed from different directions. The node itself is formed of Na\(^+\) cations with bridging carboxylate groups, leading to an SBU which is continuous in all three directions and can be generated solely from sodium and oxygen atoms (Figures 6e, f). The organic portion of L2 is located within the spaces of the SBU, hence the MOF is densely packed. There are, however, small triangular channels in the [001] direction which are filled with coordinated DMF solvent molecules (Figure S7, ESI).
Assigning coordination numbers and geometries to Na\(^+\) cations can be complicated because the larger size of Na\(^+\) typically results in the adoption of higher coordination numbers (ionic radius of 6-coordinate Na\(^+\) = 1.02 Å vs. 0.59 Å for 4-coordinate Li\(^+\)). For IMP-25, an upper limit for a Na–O bond was set at 3.5 Å, which is 0.5 Å below the sum of the van der Waals radii for the two elements (vdW radii of Na + O = 4.00 Å). Hence the Na1 (Figure 6a), Na2 (Figure 6b), and Na4 (Figure 6d) cations are all in 5-coordinate environments with \(\tau_5\) parameters of 0.16, 0.06 and 0.02 respectively. This indicates the geometry at each Na\(^+\) cation is very mildly distorted away from ideal square based pyramidal. In contrast, Na3 (Figure 6c) is in a 4-coordinate environment where the \(\tau_4\) parameter of 0.63 indicates severe distortion away from ideal tetrahedral. A fuller analysis of the Na–O bond lengths, and the geometries of the Na\(^+\) cations, can be found in the ESI.

Crystallographic analysis of the rod-shaped crystals formed from the reaction of NaNO\(_3\) and L2-H\(_3\) revealed that a structure with a slightly different composition had formed: [Na\(_{42}\)(L2)\(_{14}\)(H\(_2\)O)\(_3\)] (IMP-26). The large asymmetric unit still contains a 1:3 ratio of L2:Na\(^+\), but the major difference between IMP-25 and IMP-26 is the presence of water in the latter. When viewed down the c axis the gross structures of the nodes of IMP-25 and IMP-26 appear similar, with clear hexagonal channels which are filled by the organic component of L2. The smaller channels in IMP-26 contain both coordinated and non-coordinated solvents (water and DMF respectively) although the DMF was removed using SQUEEZE (see ESI for further details).
Figure 7: (a) view of a portion of the 1D rod SBU; (b) view of the structure of IMP-26 in the [001] direction showing just the 1D rod SBUs; (c) false-colored view of IMP-26 in the [1 0 1] direction showing the three orientations of the rod SBUs. Element colors: C = black; O = red; Na = yellow.

Closer inspection of the nodes in IMP-26 reveals that the gross structure is different to that of IMP-25: the MOF is formed of intersecting linear 1D rod SBUs containing Na$^+$ cations bridged by carboxylates and water molecules (Figure 7a). When the structure is viewed down the c axis, the SBUs overlap and thus appear similar to IMP-25 (Figure 7b), but they are actually separate entities which are not directly bonded to each other and run in three different directions (Figure 7c). There is a large amount of positional disorder within the ligands and the metal nodes which make a full analysis of the SBU composition challenging (see the ESI for a fuller description of the crystallography for IMP-26).
When all solvents are theoretically removed from IMP-26, three triangular channels which run parallel to the c axis (base and height both ~10 Å) are generated. Although each individual channel is relatively small, the combined volume is consistent with a calculated SAV of 37%. In contrast, IMP-25 has a calculated SAV of just 16% when all coordinated solvent molecules are theoretically removed.

Although the formation of two separate crystal morphologies was reproducible and individual crystals could be isolated by crystal picking, large scale chemical separation of IMP-25 and IMP-26 proved impossible. Despite numerous attempts we were also unable to selectively form just one of the two MOFs by altering the reaction conditions. Bulk characterization of the sample was consequently carried out on a mixture of IMP-25 and IMP-26 (Figure S16), with peaks corresponding to both MOFs seen in the as-synthesized sample. Since we were unable to obtain either MOF in pure form, no further studies or gas sorption measurements were carried out.

Taken together, the three structures IMP-24, IMP-25, and IMP-26 have demonstrated the ability of the tridentate L2 linker to form novel and rare structural motifs. All three MOFs crystallize in trigonal space groups but the cell parameters are notably different, leading to significant contrasts between the three structures. The Li⁺-based MOF IMP-24 is a rare example of a MOF containing a chiral, helical Li⁺-based SBU whereas the Na⁺-based MOFs show no inclination to form similar helices. The two Na⁺-based MOFs appear similar, especially when viewed in the [001] direction, but are in fact quite different with IMP-25 containing a unique 3D metal-based node, whereas IMP-26 is formed of intersecting 1D rod SBUs which run in three different directions.

Tetratopic linker L3:

The reaction of LiNO₃ with the tetrahedral proligand L3-H₄ in DMF (4:1 molar ratio) under solvothermal conditions led to the growth of colorless crystals in good yield. Although the single crystal X-ray dataset was only of sufficient quality to show the atomic connectivity, the network of [Li₄(L3)(H₂O)₂(DMF)] (IMP-27Li) could be unambiguously assigned. It crystallized in the triclinic space group P–1 with one fully deprotonated L₃, four lithium cations, one coordinated DMF molecule and two molecules of water. A very similar framework was reported by Moon and co-workers who also reacted LiNO₃ and L3-H₄ to form a MOF using N,N-diethylformamide and ethanol as solvents. The two frameworks are topologically equivalent and the cell parameters are very similar (but not identical), which is likely a result of the different sizes of the solvents attached to the Li⁺ cations. Analysis of the bulk crystals of IMP-27Li by PXRD produced diffraction patterns consistent with simulated patterns generated from scXRD data (Figure S17).

An analogous reaction between NaNO₃ and L3-H₄ in DMF (4:1 molar ratio) resulted in the growth of a small amount of colorless crystalline material which was suitable for scXRD analysis. The compound [Na₄(L3)(DMF)₄(H₂O)₄] (IMP-27Na) crystallized in the same space group as IMP-27Li (P–1) but with significantly different cell parameters. The asymmetric unit of IMP-27Na contained one fully deprotonated L₃, four Na⁺ cations, eight coordinated solvent molecules (four DMF and four water), and two uncoordinated solvent molecules (one DMF and one water). Despite the presence of bigger cations and increased coordination numbers, the overall structural topology is not disrupted: both IMP-27Li and IMP-27Na contain similar 1D chains (rod SBUs) of metal cations bridged by carboxylate groups and water.
Two of the Na\(^+\) cations have six Na–O bonds which are all within the range 2.280(16)–2.584(4) Å (allowing for the disordered DMF). Both these Na\(^+\) cations can thus be described as a slightly distorted octahedron with no significant long-range interactions. The other two Na\(^+\) cations are located in 7-coordinate environments with six Na-O bonds in the range 2.285(4) – 2.799(4) Å and a longer Na–O interaction which is still below 3.5 Å. These adopt different geometries, notably a distorted square-capped trigonal prism and a slightly distorted pentagonal bipyramid (see Figures S11a–d in the ESI).

The 1D rod SBUs in IMP-27Na are made up of well-defined repeating units consisting of two asymmetric units. Thus, eight Na\(^+\) cations are bridged by six carboxylates and eight water molecules, with eight terminal DMF molecules and two further carboxylate groups, which bridge across neighboring repeat units (Figure 8a).

![Figure 8](image)

**Figure 8:** (a) view of the repeat unit in the metal node of IMP-27Na; (b) view of the solvent-filled channels in IMP-27Na viewed down the [110] direction (c) view of the corresponding channels in IMP-27Li viewed down the [001] direction. Element colors: C = black; O = red; Li = blue; Na = yellow.

The extra solvent molecules coordinating to the metal node in IMP-27Na results in a significantly reduced potential void space (0% SAV) when compared to IMP-27Li (32% SAV) assuming complete removal of non-coordinating solvents in both cases. This can be seen more clearly in Figures 8b and 8c. Theoretical removal of all coordinated solvent increases the SAVs to 39% (IMP-27Na) and 45% (IMP-27Li). Heating a ground sample of IMP-27Li *in vacuo* at 50 °C for 16 hours led to a broadening of the PXRD peaks due to structural collapse (Figure S17). In addition, gas sorption analysis carried out on IMP-27Li (Figure S22) indicated the material to be essentially non-porous, which is also in keeping with structural collapse of the MOF on evacuation. We were unable to obtain any gas sorption measurements for IMP-27Na owing to the very low synthetic yields obtained for this MOF.
Conclusions

Prior to this work, few MOFs with the light, oxophilic metals Li\(^+\) and Na\(^+\) were known in the literature. As well as increasing the number of known examples of these ‘light metal’ MOFs this work has revealed several novel SBU structural motifs, most notably a 3D SBU in IMP-25.

The Li\(^+\) and Na\(^+\) MOFs (IMP-22 and IMP-23 respectively) generated from L1 (two ‘armed’ bent connector) are almost isostructural with both structures containing rod SBUs running parallel to the c axis. These differ only in the arrangement of the metal cations and carboxylate groups within them, where IMP-22 contains a tricyclic 4-8-4 ring system alternating with polyhedral Li\(_6\)O\(_{16}\)C\(_6\) clusters, whereas IMP-23 contains a more regular arrangement of cations and bridging carboxylates.

The Li\(^+\) MOF IMP-24 generated from L2 (three ‘armed’ tripodal connector) is a chiral structure containing individual helical metal-based nodes (generated from achiral starting materials) with a pitch of 16.4 Å. The helical motif is rare in MOF chemistry and has only been reported twice before in Li\(^+\)-based MOFs. Switching the metal to Na\(^+\) leads to the formation of a mixture of two MOFs from the same reaction: one is built from a unique 3D metal-based node (IMP-25) whereas the other is constructed from Na\(^+\)-based rods which run in three distinct directions (IMP-26).

Comparison of the Li\(^+\)- and Na\(^+\)-based MOFs (IMP-27Li and IMP-27Na respectively) formed using L3 (four ‘armed’ tetrahedral connector) showed both networks to be built from 1D rod SBUs. In the case of IMP-27Li the structure is essentially isostructural to a literature example built from the same components. The Na\(^+\) analog IMP-27Na is also isotopological although the larger cation size of Na\(^+\) compared to Li\(^+\) resulted in increased metal coordination numbers (up to 7-coordinate), resulting in a different SBU substructure which also incorporates bridging solvent molecules.

Together, these structures illustrate the wide range of diverse structural motifs possible for MOFs with s-block metals. Despite the fact that none of the MOFs in this study were stable under evacuation, the rare and unusual metal nodes generated from these linkers with small, oxophilic metal centers shows the potential for further investigations into these ‘light metal’ materials.
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The combination of a series of Si-centered linkers with light Group I metals (Li\(^+\) and Na\(^+\)) results in the formation of several new MOFs with interesting structural features. These include a helical chiral node synthesized from achiral starting materials and a novel MOF consisting of a single 3-dimensional node.
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