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S U M M A R Y

Background and aims: Data in critically ill patients on the effect of intravenous lipid emulsions (LEs), containing omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs), in parenteral nutrition (PN) are scarce and conflicting. This study compared the effects of a four-oil LE (30% soybean oil, 30% medium-chain triglycerides, 25% olive oil and 15% fish oil (FO)) (SMOFlipid®) to those of a 100% soybean oil-based LE in critically ill adult intensive care unit (ICU) patients.

Methods: In this double-blind, randomised study, patients (n = 75) predicted to need PN for more than 5 days were randomised to receive either a four-oil LE (Study Group (SG)) or a 100% soybean oil LE (Control Group (CG)). Isoaloric, isonitrogenous PN was administered continuously for 5 days. FO was provided at a dose of 0.09–0.22 g/kg body weight. Measurements included biochemical parameters and sequential organ failure assessment (SOFA) score daily and plasma total phospholipid fatty acids (FAs) and cytokine levels on days 1, 3, 6. Days on mechanical ventilation, length of stay and mortality were also recorded. ANOVA was used to compare response variables between the two groups over the time and Pearson correlation was used to measure relationships between continuous variables.

Results: 68 patients completed the study (n = 35 SG, n = 33 CG), with male predominance (66% SG, 56% CG). Average age was 60.8 ± 13.9 years (SG) versus 55.7 ± 14.8 (CG) (p = 0.143). The majority were surgical admissions (85% SG versus 91% CG) followed by medical. Plasma phospholipid oleic acid (p = 0.022) and alpha-linolenic acid (p<0.0005) increased in both groups. In the SG, plasma phospholipid EPA and DHA increased (both p<0.001), whereas the omega-6:omega-3 PUFA (n-6:n-3 PUFA) ratio decreased (p < 0.001). Aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and bilirubin decreased in both treatment groups. Considering only the change from day 1 to day 6 there was a bigger decrease in AST, ALT and bilirubin levels in the SG. Concentrations of TNF-α decreased from day 1 to day 6 in the SG, whereas they increased in the CG, but the change was not statistically significant (p = 0.112). A significant negative correlation was found between EPA provision on day 3 and the SOFA score (r = -0.4047, p = 0.018). Days on mechanical ventilation (1.24 ± 0.83 days in SG versus 0.88 ± 1.63 days in CG, p = 0.385) and ICU LOS (9.5 ± 7.09 days in SG versus 10.7 ± 7.6 days in CG, p = 0.490) were not different between groups.

Conclusion: PN containing a four-oil LE increased plasma EPA and DHA, decreased n-6:n-3 PUFA ratio, and was safe and well tolerated. The negative relationship between day 3 EPA and SOFA score seems promising, but EPA intake and effects may have been diluted by enteral nutrition which was started in more than half of patients on day 4. There was no significant difference in terms of other biochemical
1. Introduction

Critical illness is a multisystem process that can result in significant morbidity and mortality. In most patients, critical illness is preceded by a physiological deterioration, characterised by a catabolic state and intense metabolic changes, resulting in malnutrition and impaired immune functions [1].

Sepsis remains a common problem in critically ill patients. The prevalence of the systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS) is estimated to range from 20% to 60%. Approximately 40% of patients with sepsis may develop septic shock [2]. Severe sepsis and septic shock have high mortality rates and are the leading causes of death in intensive care units [3]. There is an increasing awareness that patients who survive sepsis often have long-term physical, psychological and cognitive disabilities with significant health care and social implications [4].

Intravenous lipid emulsions (IVLEs) constitute the main source of energy and fatty acids (FAs) in parenteral nutrition (PN) formulations. However, they can be associated with the development of adverse effects [5]. FAs play key roles in determining the structural integrity and fluidity of cell membranes and can give rise to many important bioactive mediators. They can also regulate the expression of a variety of genes and modulate cell-signalling pathways, such as those involved in apoptosis, inflammation and cell-mediated immune responses [5,6]. Changing the FA composition of cells involved in the inflammatory response influences their functions. The anti-inflammatory effects of omega-3 (n-3) polyunsaturated (PU) FAs suggest that they may be useful as therapeutic agents in disorders with an inflammatory component [7].

The n-3 PUFAs found in fish oil (FO), primarily EPA and DHA, compete with arachidonic acid (AA) (an n-6 PUFA) for use of the same enzymes, including cyclooxygenase and lipoxygenase [8,9]. Thus FO has anti-inflammatory potential by interfering with the AA pathway and by producing less inflammatory eicosanoids (e.g. prostaglandin E3 (PGE3), thromboxane A3 (TXA3) and leukotriene B5 (LTB5)) as well as inflammation resolving protectins, resolvins, and maresins. FO is also rich in the antioxidant α-tocopherol, which is added to prevent the oxidation of its constituent FAs [9,10]. Based on experimental and clinical studies, the most favourable n-6:n-3 PUFA intake ratio is proposed to range between 2:1 and 4:1 [11–14]. There is clinical data suggesting that n-3 PUFAs have beneficial effects on the immune system and organ function and improve clinical outcomes in surgical and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) patients. In addition, there is some promising data on their use in septic patients [6,14–16]. However, clinical data in the latter group of patients is sparse and inconsistent.

The aim of this study was to compare a four-oil lipid emulsion (LE) containing 30% soybean oil (SO), 30% medium-chain triglycerides (MCTs), 25% olive oil (OO) and 15% FO (SMOFlipid®), with a 100% SO-based LE in terms of the following outcomes: 1) plasma phospholipid FA composition, 2) inflammatory mediators in plasma, 3) routine biochemical parameters, 4) sequential organ failure assessment (SOFA) score and 5) clinical outcomes. The target population was patients with SIRS, with or without sepsis, or ARDS in the intensive care unit (ICU), requiring PN for more than 5 days. It was hypothesised that a four-oil LE including FO would increase plasma EPA, modify plasma total phospholipid fatty acid composition, decrease circulating inflammatory cytokine concentrations, and improve clinical outcomes.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study design

This study was a single-centre, double-blind, randomised controlled trial in ICU patients admitted to the ICU of Wits Donald Gordon Medical Centre (WDGMC) in Johannesburg, South Africa with documented SIRS or sepsis and ARDS.

2.2. Sample size

The total number of patients needed was determined to be at least 72 using plasma phospholipid FA composition as the primary outcome for the sample size calculation. This number was calculated using a power analysis for ANOVA with two groups, significance level of 0.05 and a small to medium effect size of 0.55. Sample size $n = 36$ in each group was expected to yield 90% power to detect this effect size.

2.3. Patient selection

A total number of 75 adult patients were included in the study; seven patients were excluded due to insufficient biochemical results, protocol violation or withdrawn consent, leaving a total of 68 patients. Thirty-five patients concluded the trial in the study group (SG) versus 33 patients in the control group (CG) (Fig. 1).

Adult patients diagnosed with SIRS or sepsis and/or ARDS who were predicted to need PN for more than 5 days were included

Fig. 1. Flow diagram of patient flow through the study.
consecutively at the time of admission to the ICU. Patients were recruited between April 2015 and June 2016. Sepsis was defined as suspected or proven infection plus SIRS (defined as presence of pyrexia, tachycardia, tachypnoea and/or leukocytosis). Severe sepsis was defined as sepsis with organ dysfunction (hypotension, hypoxaemia, oliguria, metabolic acidosis and/or thrombocytopenia). Septic shock was defined as severe sepsis with hypotension despite adequate fluid resuscitation [17,18].

The exclusion criteria were: <18 yr old, on full enteral feeding, pregnancy, treatment with immunosuppressive drugs or treatment with hydrocortisone >300 mg/day at admission, plasma triglycerides >4.52 mmol/l (400 mg/dl), chronic liver disease or acute hepatitis, RIFLE stage III and IV renal failure, recent stroke and known allergic reaction to fish or egg proteins confirmed by previous medical history.

Once a patient was identified as eligible and consent was obtained, the dietitian calculated the acute physiology and chronic health evaluation (APACHE) II and SOFA scores, and nutritional assessment was performed. A PN prescription was then recommended to the clinician for finalisation after taking laboratory results into account. The dispensing pharmacist was responsible for randomising patients to either receive PN containing a four-oil LE including FO (SMOFlipid®: 30% LCT, 30% MCT, 25% OO, 15% PO, provided in a complete all-in-one PN bag by Fresenius Kabi: study group) or a SO-based lipid emulsion (Intralipid® 100% LCT, provided in a complete all-in-one PN bag by Fresenius Kabi: control group), according to a randomisation sheet.

The PN was started on the day after admission to the study. By following the above procedure there was no deviation from usual standardised PN prescription techniques, the only difference being the fat composition (Table 1). The decision to start enteral nutrition (EN) was based on an absence of contra-indications to EN and the resolution of post-operative gastrointestinal dysfunction. Patient information was recorded daily until discharge from ICU.

### 2.4. Anthropometric assessment

Weight and height were determined or estimated according to acknowledged procedures. Body Mass Index (BMI) was calculated on admission using recorded or estimated weight (kg)/height (m²) and used to classify patients as undernourished, normal, overweight or obese [19,20].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Control group</th>
<th>Study group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Per 1000 ml</td>
<td>Control group</td>
<td>Study group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total energy (kcal/l)</td>
<td>929</td>
<td>929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-protein energy (NPE; kcal/l)</td>
<td>753</td>
<td>753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrates (g/l)</td>
<td>84 (45% of NPE)</td>
<td>84 (45% of NPE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (g/l)</td>
<td>42 (55% of NPE)</td>
<td>42 (55% of NPE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybean oil (g/l)</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>42.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium chain triglycerides (g/l)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olive oil (g/l)</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish oil (g/l)</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA + DHA (g/l)</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-6/n-3 fatty acid ratio</td>
<td>2.5:1</td>
<td>7:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen (g/l)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutamine (g/l)</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamins, minerals and trace elements</td>
<td>RDA</td>
<td>RDA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osmolarity (mOsm/l)</td>
<td>981</td>
<td>978</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NPE: non-protein energy; RDA: recommended daily allowance; EPA: eicosapentaenoic acid; DHA: docosahexaenoic acid.

### 2.5. Nutritional intervention

The American Society of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition (ASPEN) and European Society of Clinical Nutrition and Metabolism (ESPEN) guidelines (25–30 kcal/kg/day total energy (TE) and protein 1.2–2 g/kg/day) were used to calculate energy and protein requirements [19,21]. Both groups of study participants received micronutrients, glutamine and electrolytes as part of the complete PN. The PN bags in the SG or CG were prescribed according to the study participants’ nutritional and fluid requirements and the administration rate was adjusted accordingly (Table 1).

The commencement of enteral nutrition (EN) was not defined in the protocol and was started as soon as the treating physician and dietitian deemed it to be feasible according to consensus guidelines and hospital protocol. Decisions were made regarding the appropriate feeding options, either continue with full PN, or start trickle EN via a feeding tube and continue with PN or start introducing per os EN and continue with PN. The nutritional intake of EN and PN was calculated to avoid overfeeding.

### 2.6. Clinical outcomes

The SOFA score was calculated daily and days on mechanical ventilation, length of stay (LOS) and mortality were recorded.

### 2.7. Laboratory measurements

All blood samples were collected on admission, immediately prior to starting the PN (day 1), 24 h after initiating PN (day 2), 48 h after initiating PN (day 3) and five days after initiating PN (day 6). Routine biochemical assessments included full blood count (FBC), urea, creatinine and electrolytes, C-reactive protein (CRP), calcium, magnesium & phosphate, liver function tests (aspartate aminotransferase [AST], alanine aminotransferase [ALT], gamma-glutamyl transferase [GGT] and total bilirubin), triglycerides (TG), glucose and blood gases. Blood samples were collected at the same time each day via an arterial line and analysed on site. The blood required for plasma total phospholipid FAs and cytokines was collected on days 1, 3 and 6 at the same time as the routine bloods. These samples were centrifuged and plasma stored at −80°C until analysed.

Routine biochemical measurements were taken as part of the monitoring protocol for patients on PN. Electrolytes were corrected according to patients’ individual requirement. Glycaemic control was managed according to ICU protocol. Based on the laboratory measurements, the SOFA score was calculated daily.

### 2.8. Plasma total phospholipid fatty acid composition analysis

Total phospholipid FA composition analysis in plasma was performed within 18 months after collection and analysed according to previously described methodology [22]. FAs were analysed by gas chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (GCMSMS) on an Agilent Technologies 7890 A Gas Chromatograph system equipped with an Agilent Technologies 5975C VL mass selective detector (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, USA). The gas chromatography separation of fatty acid methyl esters (FAMES) was carried out on a BPX 70 capillary column (60 m × 0.25 mm × 0.25 mm; SGE Analytical Science, Melbourne, Australia) by using helium as the carrier gas at a flow rate of 1.3 mL/min. Quantitation of FAMES was performed by using the selected ion-extraction method on the basis of the response of two diagnostic ions. FAME peaks were identified and calibrated against a standard reference mixture of 33 FAMEs (Nu-Chek Prep, Waterville, USA) and two single FAME standards (Larodan Fine Chemicals AB, Solna, Sweden). Relative...
percentages of fatty acids were calculated by taking the concentration of a given fatty acid derivative as a percentage of the total concentration of all fatty acids identified in the sample.

2.9. Cytokine analysis

Cytokine (TNF-α, IL-1α, IL-6 & IL-10) concentrations in plasma were measured using MILLIPLEX® kits (Merck Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) on the MAGPIX® instrument according to the Milliplex instructions. All samples were evaluated in duplicate by a single technician who was blinded to participant groups. All analyte levels in the quality-control reagents included in the kits were within the expected ranges. All median fluorescent intensity data were acquired using the Bio Plex MPP® software (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) and analysed on the Bio Plex manager version 6.1 software (Bio-Rad) [23].

2.10. Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using STATISTICA version 13.2 (StatSoft Inc. (2016) STATISTICA (data analysis software system, www.statsoft.com).

Summary statistics were used to describe the variables. Distributions of variables were analysed with histograms and/or frequency tables. Medians or means were used as the measures of central location for ordinal and continuous responses and standard deviations or quartiles as indicators of spread.

Evaluations of the results of the nutritional intake, laboratory parameters, total plasma phospholipid FAs, cytokines, PaO₂/FiO₂ ratio and clinical data were performed by descriptive statistical analysis for each variable and at day 1, day 3 and day 6. Baseline was defined as the data obtained before the intervention. Endpoints were defined as net change of post intervention from baseline.

The relationships between nutritional intake, TG, liver enzymes, PaO₂/FiO₂ ratio, cytokines, fatty acid composition SOFA score, ICU mortality and nutritional efficacy and type of PN were analysed using appropriate analysis of variance (ANOVA) and appropriate repeated measures analysis of variance (RMANOVA), when responses were measured at day 1, day 3 and day 6.

For completely randomised designs when residuals of the above analyses were not normally distributed, the Mann–Whitney test or the Kruskal–Wallis test was used and for repeated measures designs the Wilcoxon or Friedman tests were used.

The relationship between two continuous variables was analysed with regression analysis and the strength of the relationship measured with Pearson’s correlation coefficient if the continuous variables were normally distributed or if the input was ordinal. The relation between nominal variables was investigated with contingency tables and appropriate chi-square tests, namely the likelihood ratio chi-square test or McNemar’s test.

A p-value of $p < 0.05$ represented statistical significance in hypothesis testing and 95% confidence intervals were used to describe the estimation of unknown parameters.

2.11. Ethics and legal aspects

The study was approved by the Health Research Ethics Committee of Stellenbosch University (M12/10/052) and the Human Research Ethics Committee (Medical) of the University of Witwatersrand (M14111090). Permission was granted by the director of the ICU and the hospital manager at WDGMC. Consent was obtained from the study participant or his/her closest relatives. The study was conducted in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration and was registered on the South African National Clinical Trials Register database, registration number: DOH-27-0616-4323.

3. Results

A total number of 75 patients were included in the study; however after the exclusion of seven patients, a total of 68 patients remained. Thirty-five patients concluded in the study group (SG) versus 33 patients in the control group (CG) (Fig. 1). Patients were followed up for 5 days after PN was commenced. On admission to the study the baseline characteristics of the patients in the two treatment groups did not differ (Table 1 Supplementary appendix). The gender distribution was 66% male and 34% female in the SG vs 56% male and 45% female in the CG ($p = 0.334$). The average age was 60.8 ± 13.9 years in SG vs 55.7 ± 14.8 in CG. The majority of the participants were surgical admissions (85% in SG vs 91% in CG) and the remainder were medical admissions.

3.1. Nutritional intakes

Total energy, protein, fat, carbohydrates and glutamine intakes did not differ between the groups throughout the study period (Table 2). The SG received FO ranging between $0.09 ± 0.03$ g/kg/day (minimum) and $0.22 ± 0.11$ g/kg/day (maximum), providing between $1.15 ± 0.44$ g to $2.37 ± 0.79$ g EPA and $1.72 ± 0.42$ g to $2.18 ± 0.44$ g DHA per day. The total FO intake ranged from $7.2 ± 2.7$ to $15 ± 2.7$ g/day. The phytosterol intake was significantly more in the CG ($p = 0.008$) and α-tocopherol intake was significantly more in the SG ($p<0.001$) over the entire study period. Enteral nutrition was started on day 4.0 ± 2.1 in the SG versus day 3.6 ± 1.9 in CG ($p = 0.420$). Nutritional intake from supplemental EN and oral intake were not accurately documented. Thus, the nutrient intake tabulated on day 6 was less than that of day 3, as it only included intake from PN. Table 2 only includes nutritional intake from PN.

Only 63% of patients in the SG and 54% in the CG received PN for the full 6 days, and the cumulative FO intake was significantly more in the SG patients that received PN for 6 days compared with those who received PN for fewer days ($p = 0.032$).

3.2. Laboratory measurements

There were no differences between the treatment groups in white cell count (WCC), blood glucose, triglycerides, liver enzymes and total bilirubin (Table 3). Even though triglycerides increased significantly in both groups, the increase was significantly less in the SG ($p = 0.035$).

AST, ALT and bilirubin improved in both groups (Table 3). There was a decrease in AST, ALT and a trend towards a decrease in bilirubin levels from day 1 to day 6 in the SG. There was no statistical difference in the PaO₂/FiO₂ ratio between the two groups (Table 3).

3.3. Plasma C-reactive protein and cytokine concentrations

Plasma CRP and cytokine concentrations did not differ significantly between the two groups prior to initiation of PN and throughout the study period. Concentrations of TNF-α decreased from day 1 to day 6 in the SG, whereas they increased in the CG, but the difference was not statistically significant (Table 2 Supplementary appendix).

3.4. Plasma total phospholipid fatty acids composition

The five-day infusion of a four-oil LE in the SG providing 0.09–0.22 g FO/kg/day resulted in multiple changes in the plasma total phospholipid FA composition (Table 4). Baseline plasma FA
compositions were similar between the two treatment groups except for lower linoleic acid (LA, \( p = 0.008 \)) and higher arachidonic acid (AA, \( p = 0.005 \)) levels in the SG.

Oleic acid (OA) composition increased from day 1 to day 3 in both groups, and then remained constant until day 6. OA was higher in the SG than in the CG on day 6 (\( p = 0.022 \), Table 4 and Fig. 2A). The percentage of LA increased from day 1 to day 3 in the SG and then remained constant. LA decreased in the SG (17.34 ± 3.37 on day 1–15.05 ± 2.14 on day 6). Linoleic acid was significantly lower in the SG compared to the CG throughout the study period (Table 4 and Fig. 2B).

Alpha linolenic acid (ALA) increased significantly in both groups (\( p = 0.004 \)); however ALA was lower in the SG at day 3 and 6 (both \( p < 0.001 \)) than in the CG (Fig. 2C). AA decreased in both groups, the decrease in the SG occurred throughout the study period, whereas the decrease in the CG occurred between day 1 and day 3 and then remained constant until day 6. Compared to the CG, overall AA was reduced in the SG (\( p = 0.005 \)) (Fig. 2D).

Myristic acid (MA) levels were similar in both groups at baseline. The levels increased in the SG from day 1 to day 6 and only increased in the CG from day 3 to day 6. On day 3 the MA levels were significantly different (\( p = 0.003 \)) in both groups; however on day 6 (\( p = 0.054 \)) the difference was no longer significant.

Plasma EPA increased significantly in the SG: the biggest increase was from day 1 to day 3 and it continued to increase up to day 6 (Fig. 2E). DHA remained constant in the SG and increased slightly after day 3 but decreased in the CG. Both EPA and DHA were increased by the four-oil LE treatment (both \( p < 0.001 \)) (Fig. 2F).

The plasma n-6 PUFA:n-3 PUFA ratio at baseline was similar between the two groups. This ratio decreased in the SG (5.61 ± 1.8 day 1–2.84 ± 0.73 day 6) and remained constant in the CG.
### Table 4
Plasma total phospholipid fatty acid composition (% of total fatty acids) according to group and day.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Study Group (n = 29)</th>
<th>Control Group (n = 30)</th>
<th>Intervention effect&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Day 1 Mean ± SD</td>
<td>Day 3 Mean ± SD</td>
<td>Day 6 Mean ± SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oleic acid</td>
<td>9.71 ± 2.25</td>
<td>11.95 ± 2.33</td>
<td>11.89 ± 2.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linoleic acid</td>
<td>17.34 ± 3.37</td>
<td>16.28 ± 2.63</td>
<td>15.05 ± 2.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpha linolenic acid</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arachidonic acid</td>
<td>14.78 ± 3.16</td>
<td>12.55 ± 2.09</td>
<td>11.28 ± 1.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eicosapentaenoic acid</td>
<td>0.66 ± 0.56</td>
<td>2.07 ± 0.77</td>
<td>3.42 ± 0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Docosahexaenoic acid</td>
<td>5.11 ± 1.58</td>
<td>5.09 ± 1.16</td>
<td>5.86 ± 1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-6:n-3 fatty acid ratio</td>
<td>5.61 ± 1.80</td>
<td>4.03 ± 0.97</td>
<td>2.84 ± 0.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Differences between the study group and control group at each time point were examined.

<sup>b</sup> Difference between groups on day 1.

<sup>c</sup> Difference between groups on day 3.

<sup>d</sup> Difference between groups on day 6.

---

**Fig. 2.** Plasma total phospholipid fatty acid composition (% of total fatty acids) per group over the study period. 2(A) Oleic acid; 2(B) Linoleic acid; 2(C) Alpha linolenic acid; 2(D) Arachidonic acid; 2(E) Eicosapentaenoic acid; 2(F) Docosahexaenoic acid.
(6.38 ± 2.1 day 1—6.52 ± 1.81 day 6). The n-6 PUFA:n-3 PUFA ratio was reduced by the four-oil LE (p = <0.001, Table 4).

3.5. Clinical outcomes

CRP levels decreased in both groups during the intervention period. Days on mechanical ventilation (1.24 ± 0.83 days in SG versus 0.88 ± 1.63 days in CG, p = 0.385) and length of stay (LOS) in the ICU (9.5 ± 7.09 days in SG versus 10.7 ± 7.6 days in CG, p = 0.490) were not different between the two groups. The SOFA score improved in both treatment groups during the intervention (Table 5). A medium negative correlation between day 3 EPA intake and day 3 SOFA score (r = −0.405, p = 0.018) was noted. Even though the mean baseline APACHE II score was higher in the SG (p = 0.190), there was no difference in mortality (p = 0.240).

4. Discussion

This study compared a four-oil LE containing FO (SMOFlipid®) with a 100% SO-based LE in critically ill adult ICU patients. The baseline characteristics of the patients did not differ between the two groups, nor did the nutritional intakes differ, except the SG with a 100% SO-based LE in critically ill adult ICU patients. The nutritional intakes did not differ between the two groups. The SOFA score improved in both treatment groups during the intervention (Table 5). A medium negative correlation between day 3 EPA intake and day 3 SOFA score (r = −0.405, p = 0.018) was noted. Even though the mean baseline APACHE II score was higher in the SG (p = 0.190), there was no difference in mortality (p = 0.240).

Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Group (n = 35)</th>
<th>Control Group (n = 33)</th>
<th>Intervention effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 1</strong></td>
<td><strong>Day 6</strong></td>
<td><strong>Day 1</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SE</td>
<td>−95% LCL</td>
<td>+95% UCL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOFA</td>
<td>5.77 ± 0.75</td>
<td>4.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP (mg/l)</td>
<td>199.82 ± 23</td>
<td>153.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α (pg/ml)</td>
<td>9.45 ± 2.53</td>
<td>4.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All differences between the study group and control group were examined. SOFA: Sequential Organ Failure Assessment; CRP: C-reactive protein; TNF-α: tumour necrosis factor – alpha; LCL: lower confidence limit; UCL: upper confidence limit.
the SOFA score. Grimm et al. demonstrated a significantly reduced length of hospital stay (13.4 ± 2.0 vs 20.4 ± 10 days) with FO LE in surgical patients [25]. Heller et al. demonstrated a reduction in ICU LOS when the n-6:n-3 PUFA ratio was 2:1 [39].

A secondary analysis of data comparing the effects of different IV LEs showed that patients receiving SO, compared with patients receiving either olive or fish oil, had a longer time to termination of mechanical ventilation and ICU discharge alive [41]. Grecu et al. showed significant reduction in reoperation rates, ICU and hospital LOS, but no difference in mortality, with a FO containing LE in critically ill patients [50]. Another study using the same FO LE showed a significant decrease in new organ dysfunction, but no significant decrease in LOS [46]. Other FO-containing LE studies reported no effect on length of stay [3,40,42,51], days of mechanical ventilation [40,42,49,51] and mortality [3,40,42,49,51].

A recent meta-analysis confirmed a significant reduction in infection rates by 35% in critically ill patients with no overall effect on ICU LOS. They concluded that FO admixtures and supplemental FO LEs are advantageous for the majority of patients compared with LCT or MCT/LCT LEs because of their balancing n-3 FA content [48]. However, a recent review found insufficient high-quality data investigating the true effect of PN with FO containing LEs compared with other IVLEs on clinical outcomes [52].

It is difficult to compare the results of this study with other FO LE studies owing to the different dose of FO and duration of treatment.

The limitations of this study are that only half the patients received PN for 6 days; this affected the duration as well as the dose of FO over the study period. There was a definite signal that the intake of EPA and FO on day 3 showed a beneficial effect. It was not possible to determine the full nutritional intake throughout the study period owing to the incomplete recording of EN intake. Infection rate, as well as days on antibiotics, was also not documented and would have provided valuable information about clinical outcomes. The study population may have been somewhat heterogenous as to the causes and severity of SIRS and ARDS. Finally, we were unable to test plasma α-tocopherol levels, which would have been an interesting additional result as the administration was significantly different between the two groups.

5. Conclusion

PN containing a four-oil LE with FO at a dose of 0.09–0.22 g/kg in critically ill adult ICU patients increased plasma EPA and DHA, and decreased n-6:n-3 PUFA ratio. It appeared to be safe and well tolerated. The negative relationship of EPA intake with SOFA seems promising, but EPA intake and effects may have been diluted by enteral nutrition started in more than half of patients on day 4. There was no significant difference in terms of biochemical measurements, SOFA score, length of ICU stay and mortality. More research is needed in this patient population, particularly regarding dose, duration and timing of FO, EPA and n-6:n-3 PUFA ratio and their effects on clinical outcomes.
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