

1

2

3

4

5 The impact of hyperlinks on reading text

6

7 Gemma Fitzsimmons^{1*}¶, Mark J Weal²¶ & Denis Drieghe¹¶

8

9

10 ¹School of Psychology, University of Southampton, UK11 ²School of Electronics and Computer Science, University of Southampton, UK

12

13 * Corresponding author

14 E-mail: gemma.fitzsimmons@soton.ac.uk

15

16 ¶All authors contributed equally to this work.

17 **Abstract**

18 There has been debate about whether blue hyperlinks on the Web cause
19 disruption to reading. A series of eye tracking experiments were conducted to explore
20 if coloured words in black text had any impact on reading behaviour outside and inside
21 a Web environment. Experiment 1 and 2 explored the saliency of coloured words
22 embedded in single sentences and the impact on reading behaviour. In Experiment 3,
23 the effects of coloured words/hyperlinks in passages of text in a Web-like environment
24 was explored. Experiment 1 and 2 showed that multiple coloured words in text had no
25 negative impact on reading behaviour. However, if the sentence featured only a single
26 coloured word, a reduction in skipping rates was observed. This suggests that the visual
27 saliency associated with a single coloured word may signal to the reader that the word
28 is important, whereas this signalling is reduced when multiple words are coloured. In
29 Experiment 3, when reading passages of text containing hyperlinks in a Web
30 environment, participants showed a tendency to re-read sentences that contained
31 hyperlinked, uncommon words compared to hyperlinked, common words. Hyperlinks
32 highlight important information and suggest additional content, which for more
33 difficult concepts, invites rereading of the preceding text.

34 **Introduction**

35 One of the main differences between reading on and off the Web is that the
36 materials that are being read on the Web contain hyperlinks embedded within the text.
37 Two differences between reading hyperlinked words compared to plain words are
38 explored in this study: Firstly, hyperlinks are typically coloured and therefore salient
39 compared to the rest of the text and secondly, a hyperlink links one piece of information
40 to another, perhaps on a separate page of the same website, or a different website all
41 together. Hyperlinks are a tool to navigate the Web and the word chosen to be
42 hyperlinked often represents the page the hyperlink is linking to. This paper
43 systematically explores these features in order to understand the impact of hyperlinks
44 on reading text on the Web.

45 Starting with saliency, hyperlinks are salient items that stand out from the rest
46 of the text in some way. Visual saliency is a stimulus-driven signal that announces to
47 us that a certain item or location is different to the rest of the visual field and is worthy
48 of attention. For example, a lone red item in a field of green items will stand out to us
49 and be salient compared to the rest of the items and draw our attention [1]. The way
50 hyperlinks are denoted usually follows the convention that hyperlinks are denoted in
51 blue with the rest of the text in black. It is this colour difference which makes the
52 hyperlinks stand out. However, Nielsen [2] claimed that it was a bad decision to make
53 hypertext links blue because only 2% of the cones on the retina are sensitive to blue
54 making it a poor choice in terms of usability [3]. Nevertheless, Nielsen admits that the
55 convention of the blue hyperlink should remain because users know that blue text
56 denotes a hyperlink, making it easier for users to recognise hyperlinks more rapidly.
57 This is supported by research on automatic attention which suggests that when a user
58 consistently searches the same environment for the same information which is

59 consistently represented in the same way, the processing becomes automatic [4,5]. This
60 could also be true for hyperlinks because blue text in a webpage context almost always
61 represents a hyperlink. Indeed, Campbell and Maglio [6] found that participants were
62 quicker when searching for a target word in a webpage that was blue and underlined
63 than target words that were black and underlined.

64 Very little research explores the impact of the saliency of words when reading
65 for comprehension. Simola, Kuisma, Oorni, Uusitalo and Hyönä [7] explored reading
66 in a Web environment and found that salient advertisements can distract attention and
67 disrupt reading. If salient adverts can distract readers, it is conceivable that salient
68 words may as well. White and Filik [8] examined bold words in passages of normal
69 text. They found that bold text had shorter fixation durations suggesting that saliency
70 in text can affect information processing and suggest their finding reflects the improved
71 visual discriminability of the target words, making it easier to identify. There is also
72 evidence suggesting that saliency can affect not just when we move our eyes, but also
73 where we move them. Leyland, Kirkby, Juhasz, Pollatsek and Liversedge [9] examined
74 eye movement behaviour during a reading experiment on fully or partially shaded
75 words within the text and found that when a word was shaded it had an effect on
76 saccadic targeting, influencing where the eyes move to. If only the first half of the word
77 was shaded, the targeting was closer to the beginning of the word compared to when
78 the entire word was shaded. Furthermore, partially shaded words were fixated for
79 longer than fully shaded words, or non-shaded words, suggesting that visual non-
80 uniformity (in that the shading was inconsistent with word boundaries) also affects
81 when we move our eyes.

82 Recently, Gagl [10] asked participants to read text that featured target words
83 that were either not highlighted or highlighted by being coloured in blue or by being

84 underlined. Gagl found that highlighting a word by colouring it or underlining it had
85 no negative or positive impact on reading during first pass. However, in total viewing
86 times (which includes re-reading time of the word), there was an effect of whether the
87 target was highlighted. The un-highlighted black words showed a reduced viewing time
88 in comparison to the other conditions. This suggests that highlighting with colour or
89 underlining increased re-reading. Gagl proposed that having hyperlinks coloured in
90 blue is a good choice because it does not disrupt first pass reading, but attention is
91 drawn to the highlighted words as is evident from re-reading so it serves the function
92 of highlighting important information.

93 There has also been research into learning from electronic texts that suggest that
94 hyperlinks do attract attention to them and that this attention actually assists in the
95 retention of the hyperlinked word. The saliency of the hyperlinked words would ensure
96 better acquisition and retention [11] and this idea is also compatible with the classic
97 phenomenon called the Von Restorff effect [12], where items that ‘stand out’ are more
98 likely to be remembered.

99 Turning to the linking function of hyperlinks, this information can be
100 considered to be, in terms of cognitive processes, more high-level compared to the
101 information that is exclusively contained in the lexical representation of the word that
102 is hyperlinked. Hyperlinks denote a connection to other content somewhere else on the
103 Web. Carr [13] suggested that hyperlinks within the text are a distraction and therefore
104 hinder comprehension of the text. Having to evaluate hyperlinks and navigating a path
105 through them is demanding and is an extraneous task to the act of reading itself. This
106 means that having a hyperlink in the text that links to other content renders the act of
107 reading more laborious and so from this perspective we expect this higher-level
108 processing to be reflected in the eye movement measures during reading of the text.

109 In terms of a prediction for a high-level factor on reading, the current models of
110 eye movements during reading do not make direct predictions for the impact of
111 hyperlinks but the closest to a prediction that can be derived originates from the E-Z
112 Reader model of eye movements during reading [14]. The EZ-Reader model suggests
113 that higher-level processes intervene in eye movement control only when “something
114 is wrong” and either send a signal to stop moving forward or to execute a regression.
115 As a result, higher-level processes would exclusively impact the later eye movement
116 measures (regressions and re-reading) so based on this model we hypothesise seeing
117 effects of reading hyperlinks exclusively in the later eye movement measures.

118 Typographical cues have been shown to improve memory for the signalled
119 content [15–18]. However, simply bolding or underlining the text does not
120 automatically mean it will be remembered, the signal needs to be useful to the reader.
121 Golding and Fowler [19] found that when the reader expected questions on specific
122 details, underlining sections of text facilitated cued recall for those sections. The
123 important information that the reader needed for the task was highlighted and this
124 helped them find the information easily. However, when the reader was expected to
125 provide an outline of text or a list of solutions to the problem discussed in the text, the
126 readers did not experience any benefits from the signalling as it wasn’t useful for the
127 task at hand. Thus, signals need to be relevant to the reader to assist them in their task.

128 There is also the issue that even if some signals are useful, will the addition of
129 (even) more signals be more useful for the reader? If most of the text has some form of
130 signal to cue the importance of the information, then the signal might not be as effective
131 or as informative compared to when only the most important text is signalled. This
132 “over-signalling” can reduce the effectiveness of typographical cues. For example,
133 Lorch, Lorch and Klusewitz [20] asked individuals to read a four-page text after which

134 they were tested on memory for specific target sentences. The text either contained no
135 underlining (control), underlining of the target sentences (light signalling) or
136 underlining of the target sentences and half of the non-target sentences (heavy
137 signalling). Recall was improved when the text had light signalling, but performance
138 was not different from the control condition when there was heavy signalling. If the
139 signalling is not useful for the task, for instance when the signalling is seemingly
140 meaningless, the reader will ignore it. Lorch, et al. [20] went on to replicate the control
141 and light signalling conditions, but using capitalisation as the signalling tool instead of
142 underlining. They found that reading was slower for the light signalling condition, but
143 memory recall was improved. Upon further examination, they also observed that the
144 readers slowed down on the signalled content alone and speeded up again when reading
145 non-signalled content. This suggests that the reader may have thought the signalled
146 content was important so decided to spend more time on it. During reading the reader
147 needs to discriminate important and unimportant information and signals in the text can
148 be used to assist the reader.

149 In terms of reading on the Web, hyperlinks could be said to be a typographical
150 signal due to the fact that hyperlinks are a single word or short phrase that is salient
151 from the rest of the text. Hyperlinked words could also be considered important by the
152 reader and as such the presence of the hyperlink may add emphasis to that section of
153 text.

154 The experiments here focus on how we read hyperlinked text and whether the
155 links influence reading behaviour. In order to examine how links affect reading
156 behaviour, we will first examine outside of a Web context any potential disruption of
157 reading exclusively due to the target word being a salient colour compared to the rest

158 of the text, before examining in a Web context whether this is due to the link being
159 perceived as important due to the additional information that it can link to.

160 Three experiments were conducted to explore this issue. The first experiment,
161 Experiment 1, explored whether a salient, coloured word negatively impacts reading
162 behaviour outside of a hypertext context. Experiment 1 only used a single coloured
163 word in a single-line sentence during reading for comprehension to explore the impact
164 of saliency. The aim of Experiment 1 was to explore the impact of a single coloured
165 word in a sentence and also to investigate if there was a difference between colours, or
166 if simply being a salient word had an impact on reading. A follow-on experiment
167 (Experiment 2), was conducted, exploring whether multiple coloured words had an
168 impact on reading and we also include a word frequency manipulation to see if the
169 difficulty of the word interacts with the fact that the word is coloured. A robust finding
170 in eye movements during reading is that a high-frequency word receives shorter and
171 fewer fixations than a low-frequency word [21,22]. This manipulation allowed us to
172 examine whether there would be an additional cost of the colouring for words that are
173 more difficult. Experiment 2 built upon Experiment 1 by exploring the impact of
174 multiple coloured words in a sentence. By first exploring the impact of coloured words
175 in text we can understand the impact of coloured words in plain text compared to
176 coloured words shown in a hyperlinked environment, such as in Experiment 3.
177 Experiment 3 explored whether perceiving the words as links influences reading
178 behaviour by presenting the coloured words in text that can be perceived as hypertext.
179 We also included a word frequency manipulation in this experiment in order to explore
180 whether common lexical effects are present in hyperlinked text and to investigate if
181 they are modulated by the word being hyperlinked. Together, these experiments
182 assessed whether there is a difference between reading coloured words (embedded in

183 words of a different colour) and reading hyperlinks and how this affects reading
184 behaviour. In other words, Experiment 1 and 2 will help us to separate whether any
185 observed effects seen in Experiment 3 are exclusively due to the saliency of a blue word
186 or due to the fact that the blue words are hyperlinks in a hypertext environment.

187 As previously mentioned only 2% of the cones on the retina are sensitive to blue
188 making it supposedly a poor choice in terms of usability [3], and this could impact
189 reading behaviour as well if it is more difficult to read text in a certain colour. In line
190 with previous research which has suggested that hyperlinks disrupt reading behaviour
191 [2,13], we predicted that the coloured words would be fixated for longer because of the
192 saliency of the coloured word. In Experiment 1, several colours were used for the target
193 word to investigate whether blue was indeed particularly disruptive and we also
194 predicted that specifically for grey target words that they would be fixated for longer
195 due to their reduced contrast, thereby making them visually more difficult to process [
196 23].

197 **Experiment 1**

198 **Method**

199 **Participants**

200 Thirty native English speakers (2 male, 28 female) with an average age of 19.80
201 years participated in exchange for course credits. All had normal or corrected-to-normal
202 vision and no known reading disabilities.

203 **Apparatus**

204 Eye movements were measured with an SR-Research Eyelink 1000 eye tracker
205 operating at 1000 Hz (1 sample every millisecond). Participants viewed the stimuli
206 binocularly, but only the right eye was tracked. Words were presented in 14pt mono-

207 spaced Courier font. The participant's eye was 73 cm from the display; at this distance
208 three characters equalled 1° of visual angle.

209 **Materials and design**

210 Thirty sentences were used and a single target word in each sentence would
211 appear in one of five colours, which correspond to the five experimental conditions
212 (black (RGB: 0,0,0), blue (RGB: 0,0,255), green (RGB: 0,255,0), red (RGB: 255,0,0)
213 or grey (RGB: 192,192,192); see Fig 1). The rest of the sentence was always rendered
214 in black. A counterbalanced design was used in which each participant read one version
215 of each of the thirty sentences with an equal number from each condition. Participants
216 were instructed to read for comprehension and told that they would occasionally have
217 to answer comprehension questions about the sentences. Comprehension questions
218 were presented randomly in 25% of trials, they were simple yes/no questions and the
219 accuracy of answering these was high (97.5% accuracy), indicating that participants
220 were reading the text correctly.

221

222

223

224 **Fig 1. Example stimulus from Experiment 1 for the 5 different conditions.**

225

226

227

228 **Procedure**

229 Before any of the experiments in this article took place, ethics approval was
230 applied for, peer-reviewed and granted by the University of Southampton Psychology
231 Department Ethics Committee. Ethics approval was sought and approved for all

232 experiments within this article. Participants were given an information sheet and a
233 verbal description of the experimental procedure and informed that they would be
234 reading sentences on a monitor while their eyes were being tracked. They were told to
235 read for comprehension and that they were to respond to comprehension questions
236 presented after the sentences. If participants enquired about the colour of the words they
237 were told the words were coloured at random and did not correspond to the
238 comprehension questions. The participants were seated in front of the monitor and their
239 heads were stabilised using a head and chin rest to reduce head movements. The initial
240 calibration required approximately five minutes before the actual experiment began. At
241 the beginning of each trial a fixation point was presented on the screen where the
242 beginning of the text was set to appear. The participants were required to fixate this
243 point before the sentence was presented to ensure that the first fixation fell on the first
244 word of the sentence. When the participant had finished reading the text on the screen,
245 they pressed a button to continue to the next trial. Each participant first read three
246 practice trials to become familiar with the procedure. The experiment lasted
247 approximately 15 minutes.

248

249 **Results**

250 Trials where there was tracking loss were removed prior to the analyses.
251 Fixations shorter than 80ms that were within one character of the previous or following
252 fixation were merged and all remaining fixations shorter than 80ms or longer than
253 800ms were removed (resulting in the removal of 4.87% of the total dataset). Finally,
254 when calculating the eye movement measures, data that were more than 2.5 standard
255 deviations from the mean for a participant within a specific condition were removed
256 (<1% of dataset). Data loss affected all conditions similarly.

257 Several eye-movement measures were calculated based on the target word.
258 Skipping probability is the probability that a target word does not receive a direct
259 fixation during the first-pass, first-fixation duration is the duration of the initial first-
260 pass fixation on the target word, single fixation duration is the duration of the fixation
261 if the reader made exactly one first-pass fixation on the target word, gaze duration is
262 the sum of all first-pass fixations on the target word, go past time is the time between
263 first fixating the word and moving past it to the right (including regressions that
264 originate from the target word), and total time is the total amount of time spent on the
265 target word during the whole trial, including any re-reading that might occur.

266 We ran Linear Mixed Models (LMMs) using the lme4 package (Version 1.1-
267 12) [24] in R (Version 3.3.1) [25] to explore the impact of the colour of the target words
268 on fixation times. Binominal models were used for the skipping probability measure.

269 The colour of the target word was included as a fixed factor, with treatment
270 contrasts specifying black as the baseline in order to be able to compare reading of the
271 target word embedded in a plain sentence without having a different colour with the
272 reading of the target word rendered in another colour. Participants and items were
273 included as random effects variables in a so-called maximal random model which
274 included both intercepts and slopes for the colour factor [26]. If a model did not
275 converge, the random effect structure was reduced first by removing the random effect
276 correlations and then the interactions between the slopes and finally by successively
277 removing the random effects explaining the least variance until the maximal converging
278 model was identified. All the patterns observed in the models were identical whether
279 they were run on log-transformed or untransformed fixation durations, allowing us to
280 present the data run on the untransformed fixation durations in order to increase
281 transparency. Absolute values of t equal to or bigger than 1.96 were interpreted a

282 significant because for high degrees of freedom as is typically the case in LMMs, the t
 283 statistic approximates the z statistic.

284 The means for all of the eye movement measures for Experiment 1 are listed in
 285 Table 1. Participants were significantly less likely to skip a target word when it was not
 286 in black (see Table 2 for the LMM output). This suggests that the saliency of the
 287 coloured target word draws attention to it, making it more likely that participants will
 288 fixate on it.

289

290

291 **Table 1. Means of Eye Movement Measures for Experiment 1.**

Target Word Colour	Skipping Probability (%)	First Fixation Duration (ms)	Single Fixation Duration (ms)	Gaze Duration (ms)	Go Past Time (ms)	Total Reading Time (ms)
Black	27 (19)	222 (36)	229 (54)	242 (47)	282 (106)	284 (66)
Blue	14 (15)	219 (49)	225 (80)	244 (55)	280 (95)	319 (87)
Green	11 (14)	234 (41)	243 (46)	260 (57)	339 (115)	364 (110)
Red	8 (10)	209 (36)	210 (44)	229 (47)	279 (59)	305 (91)
Grey	13 (13)	244 (40)	247 (51)	279 (50)	337 (96)	354 (94)

292 Standard deviation in parentheses.

293

294 **Table 2. Fixed Effect Estimates for all Eye Movement Measures for Experiment**

295 **1.**

	Skipping Probability			First Fixation Duration (ms)		
	Estimate	Std Error	z value	Estimate	Std Error	t value
Intercept	-1.28	0.30	-4.34 *	222.63	7.86	28.34 *

Blue	-0.97	0.35	-2.78 *	-4.22	9.30	-0.45
Green	-1.40	0.46	-3.03 *	10.82	8.38	1.29
Red	-1.53	0.37	-4.14 *	-13.98	8.30	-1.69
Grey	-0.98	0.39	-2.54 *	23.14	8.03	2.88 *
	Single Fixation Duration (ms)			Gaze Duration (ms)		
	Estimate	Std Error	<i>t</i> value	Estimate	Std Error	<i>t</i> value
Intercept	221.40	9.38	23.62 *	244.50	10.79	22.67 *
Blue	4.53	14.68	0.31	-3.56	11.15	-0.32
Green	14.67	9.86	1.49	15.07	11.08	1.36
Red	-8.15	10.95	-0.74	-16.91	11.01	-1.54
Grey	34.37	11.80	2.91 *	35.57	11.14	3.19 *
	Go Past Time (ms)			Total Reading Time (ms)		
	Estimate	Std Error	<i>t</i> value	Estimate	Std Error	<i>t</i> value
Intercept	279.04	19.11	14.6 *	282.65	18.55	15.24 *
Blue	0.22	24.90	0.01	32.52	17.74	1.83
Green	57.83	26.56	2.18 *	76.59	17.64	4.34 *
Red	-3.18	23.73	-0.13	19.91	17.52	1.14
Grey	57.75	20.69	2.79 *	73.03	17.74	4.12 *

296 * z or $t > |1.96|$

297 However, there were no statistically significant differences in any of the fixation
298 time measures across the conditions except when the target word was grey. The reduced
299 contrast of the target word in this condition increased the fixation time on that word

300 both in early and late eye movement measures compared to any other condition because
301 it was more difficult to visually process (e.g., [23]). Also, there was a significant
302 difference in the later eye movement measures for when the target word was shown in
303 green: Participants spent longer on the green target word in total reading time and were
304 more likely to regress back to re-read the preceding text, as shown by the increased go
305 past times. This suggests that the participants also found the green word a bit more
306 difficult to process and we suggest this is also due to the reduced contrast of the green
307 text compared to the other colours used besides grey (see Fig 1). To verify this, we
308 determined the luminance of the colours used on the screen during the experiment.
309 Luminance is measured in candela per square metre (cd/m²). If we look at the
310 luminance of each of the colours used we notice that the grey and green are similar in
311 luminance (grey:80.0 cd/m²; green: 73.2 cd/m²) and closer to the luminance of the
312 white background (103.0 cd/m²) than any of the other colours; blue (10.2 cd/m²), red
313 (18.6 cd/m²) and black (0.7 cd/m²). However, it is not clear why this effect of
314 luminance would manifest itself exclusively in later eye movement measures for the
315 green colour.

316 **Discussion**

317 Experiment 1 demonstrated that a coloured word is less likely to be skipped,
318 perhaps because the reader thought the colour serves as a signal that the word might be
319 important in some way [19,20]. Or it could simply be because the coloured word was
320 salient against the rest of the text and attracted the readers' eye [7,9,27]. There was no
321 negative impact on reading behaviour in terms of fixation times when a word was
322 coloured unless when the colour was associated with reduced contrast making it more
323 difficult to read as seen when the target word was grey or green.

324 **Experiment 2**

325 Experiment 2 follows on from Experiment 1 by including multiple coloured
326 words in a sentence to investigate if additional salient words will have an impact on
327 reading behaviour compared to the single coloured word presented in Experiment 1. If
328 a single coloured word causes a reduction in word skipping for that word, will it occur
329 for all salient words in a sentence when there are multiple? Or will an effect of “over-
330 signalling” occur where the signal of importance is reduced when words are coloured
331 seemingly randomly [19,20]. Note that on the Web the presence of multiple hyperlinks
332 across the screen will likely be the default as opposed to a single coloured word.

333 In Experiment 2 we only use the colour blue or black for our target words to
334 feature in our coloured word condition. We choose to only use blue or black to represent
335 the colours most often used in a Web environment, where black text tends to represent
336 the unlinked text and the blue text represents the hyperlinked text. We also include a
337 word frequency manipulation to explore whether word difficulty interacts with whether
338 a word is presented in a salient colour (compared to the rest of the text) or not. A reader
339 typically spends more time fixating a difficult or low frequency word than an easy or
340 high frequency word [21,28,29]. We want to explore if a reader will spend even longer
341 processing a more difficult word if it is also coloured/salient.

342 **Method**

343 **Participants**

344 36 native English speakers (17 male, 19 female) with an average age of 25.25
345 years participated in exchange for course credits. All had normal or corrected-to-normal
346 vision and no known reading disabilities. These participants did not take part in either
347 Experiment 1 or 3.

348 **Apparatus**

349 The apparatus was identical to the one used in Experiment 1.

350 **Materials and design**

351 Seventy-two sentences were used and there were six conditions with each
352 participant seeing twelve sentences in each condition according to a Latin square
353 design. Each sentence contained a target word of which we manipulated the word
354 frequency and how many coloured words were present in the sentence, being either no
355 coloured words, one word (the target word) or three words (the target plus two other
356 words chosen randomly). For the word frequency manipulation where the target word
357 is either high or low frequent the word length was matched for each pair of stimuli and
358 was either 4 or 5 characters in length (on average 4.63 characters). The word
359 frequencies were taken from the Hyperspace Analogue to Language (HAL) corpus [30],
360 which consists of approximately 131 million words gathered across 3,000 Usenet
361 newsgroups. The frequency norms were used to extract both high and low frequency
362 words to create the experimental stimuli. The high frequency words had an average log
363 transformed HAL frequency of 10.16 and the low frequency words has an average log
364 transformed HAL frequency of 6.39 according to the norms collected in the HAL
365 corpus. There was a significant difference between the word frequency for the low and
366 high frequency stimuli $t(71)=28.93, p<0.001$.

367 In total, there were six conditions (see Fig 2). Participants were instructed to
368 read for comprehension and told that they would occasionally have to answer
369 comprehension questions about the sentences. Comprehension questions were
370 presented after 25% of trials and the accuracy of answering these was high (95.50%
371 accuracy), indicating that participants were reading the text for comprehension.

372

373

374

375 **Fig 2. Example stimulus from Experiment 2 of the six different versions of a single**

376 **stimuli.**

377

378

379

380 The first three represent the high frequency conditions with the target word “dress” and

381 it is displayed with either no, one or three coloured words. The last three sentences

382 represent the low frequency conditions with the target word “smock”.

383 **Procedure**

384 Participants were given an information sheet and a verbal description of the

385 experimental procedure and informed that they would be reading sentences on a

386 monitor while their eyes were being tracked. They were told to read for comprehension

387 and that they were to respond to comprehension questions presented after the sentences.

388 If participants enquired about the colour of the words they were told the words were

389 coloured at random and did not correspond to the comprehension questions. The

390 procedure was identical to Experiment 1. The experiment lasted approximately 30

391 minutes.

392 **Results**

393 The data cleaning procedure and eye movement measures used were identical

394 to those used in Experiment 1 and resulted in the removal of 4.46% of the total dataset.

395 Finally, when calculating the eye movement measures, data that were more than 2.5

396 standard deviations from the mean for a participant within a specific condition were

397 removed (<1% of dataset). Data loss affected all conditions similarly.

398 We ran LMMs using the lme4 package (Version 1.1-12) [24] in R (Version
399 3.3.1) [25] to explore the impact of word frequency and of the number of salient
400 coloured words in the sentence on fixation times. Binominal models were used for the
401 skipping probability measure. Word frequency and number of coloured words were
402 included as fixed factors, with high frequency target word and having no coloured
403 words present as the baseline in order to be able to compare reading a plain sentence
404 with no salient target words to a sentence with salient words and/or a sentence with a
405 low frequency target word. Participants and items were included as random effects
406 variables. A maximal random model was initially specified for the random factors [26].
407 If a model did not converge, it was pruned by first removing the random effect
408 correlations, then the interactions between slopes and finally by successively removing
409 the random effects explaining the least variance until the maximal converging model
410 was identified. Model comparisons were also utilised to compare models for the best
411 fitting model for the data for each measure. For most of the measures the best fitting
412 model required the removal of the interaction between word frequency and the number
413 of coloured words. The only exception is the go past time measure where including the
414 interaction gave a better fit for the data. For word skipping the participants and items
415 intercepts were allowed to vary. For the measures of first fixation durations, single
416 fixation durations, gaze durations and total time the intercept for the items variable was
417 allowed to vary, whilst the participant variable included both the intercept and the slope
418 obtained for the additive effects of word frequency and number of coloured words.
419 Finally, for go past times the items variable intercept was allowed to vary, whilst the
420 participant variable included both the intercept and the slope obtained for the interaction
421 between word frequency and number of coloured words, but no correlations between
422 random effects was included. All the patterns observed in the models were identical

423 whether they were run on log-transformed or untransformed fixation durations,
424 allowing us to present the data run on the untransformed fixation durations in order to
425 increase transparency.

426 The means for all of the eye movement measures for Experiment 2 are listed in
427 Table 3. Afterwards, an additional contrast was run directly comparing one coloured
428 word to three coloured words to explore whether more links effects reading behaviour
429 (see Table 4 for the LMM statistics). We observe a significant effect in skipping
430 probability and fixation durations for the target word frequency where the low
431 frequency word is less likely to be skipped and has longer fixation durations when it is
432 fixated, replicating previous research [21,28]. The frequency effect does not interact
433 with whether the target word was coloured or not. Indeed, for most measures the
434 interaction did not contribute to the fit of the model and was removed. We replicate the
435 reduction in skipping observed in Experiment 1, with a significant difference between
436 whether the target word was coloured or not and less skipping when there was a single
437 coloured word (see Table 4). However, this effect is only present when there is only a
438 single coloured word. When there are three coloured words the reduction in skipping
439 does not happen and the skipping rates are in line with reading when there are no
440 coloured words in the text. In terms of fixation durations, there was no effect of the
441 number of coloured words in the sentences on fixation duration measures (except in
442 total time) suggesting salient/coloured words have very little impact on reading
443 behaviour. There is a main effect of number of coloured words in total time spent on
444 the target word where significantly more time (38 ms on average) is spent on the target
445 words when there is only one coloured word present in the sentence. This suggests that
446 the readers are going back and rereading the single coloured word as we do not see this
447 effect in any of the first-pass reading measures.

448

449 **Table 3. Means of Eye Movement Measures for Experiment 2.**

450

Word Frequency	Number of Coloured Words	Skipping Probability (%)	First Fixation Duration (ms)	Single Fixation Duration (ms)	Gaze Duration (ms)	Go Past Time (ms)	Total Reading Time (ms)
High	0	21 (15)	207 (27)	210 (27)	223 (33)	256 (56)	264 (63)
High	1	17 (14)	203 (30)	202 (36)	215 (37)	253 (82)	268 (72)
High	3	19 (14)	202 (27)	205 (35)	217 (37)	255 (83)	267 (78)
Low	0	15 (12)	219 (29)	229 (40)	245 (41)	282 (69)	293 (74)
Low	1	13 (12)	217 (31)	223 (36)	243 (47)	303 (81)	315 (99)
Low	3	14 (15)	214 (34)	221 (37)	238 (49)	292 (101)	296 (92)

451 Standard deviation in parentheses.

452

453 **Table 4. Fixed Effect Estimates for all Eye Movement Measures for Experiment**454 **2.**

455

	Skipping Probability			First Fixation Duration (ms)		
	Estimate	Std error	z value	Estimate	Std error	t value
Intercept	-1.51	0.15	-9.91 *	206.47	3.96	52.13 *
Frequency	-0.40	0.10	-3.79 *	12.96	3.18	4.07 *
No of Links 1	-0.25	0.13	-1.97 *	-2.85	3.75	-0.76
No of Links 3	-0.16	0.13	-1.30	-4.57	3.41	-1.34
Frequency * No of Links 1						
Frequency * No of Links 3						

Contrast – No of links 1 vs No of Links 3	0.09	0.13	0.67	-1.72	3.22	-0.53
	Single Fixation Duration (ms)			Gaze Duration (ms)		
	Estimate	Std error	<i>t</i> value	Estimate	Std error	<i>t</i> value
Intercept	210.22	4.62	45.55 *	222.56	5.21	42.7 *
Frequency	17.43	3.64	4.78 *	23.71	4.76	4.99 *
No of Links 1	-5.16	4.61	-1.12	-5.28	4.46	-1.18
No of Links 3	-6.44	3.97	-1.63	-6.86	4.53	-1.51
Frequency * No of Links 1						
Frequency * No of Links 3						
Contrast – No of links 1 vs No of Links 3	-1.29	4.04	-0.32	-1.57	4.08	-0.39
	Go Past Time (ms)			Total Reading Time (ms)		
	Estimate	Std error	<i>t</i> value	Estimate	Std error	<i>t</i> value
Intercept	258.73	9.91	26.10 *	260.57	10.73	24.28 *
Frequency	23.89	10.68	2.24 *	37.32	7.50	4.976 *
No of Links 1	-5.74	12.42	-0.46	13.60	6.80	2.001 *
No of Links 3	-3.58	11.24	-0.32	3.06	6.82	0.45
Frequency * No of Links 1	28.27	17.36	1.63			
Frequency * No of Links 3	12.93	14.55	0.89			
Contrast – No of links 1 vs No of Links 3	2.16	11.65	0.19	-10.54	6.74	-1.56

456

457 **z*>|1.96| **t*>|1.96|

458

459

460 **Discussion**

461 Experiment 1 demonstrated that a single coloured target word is less likely to
462 be skipped. Experiment 2 replicates this finding but shows that when there are multiple
463 coloured words we do not observe the same reduction in skipping. In Experiment 1 and
464 2, we thus observe a reduction in skipping but only from a single coloured target word.
465 This is presumably because a single coloured word in a sentence works as a signal of
466 importance to that particular word [20]. When there are multiple words being
467 highlighted in the sentence this results in “over-signalling” which can reduce the
468 effectiveness of typographical cues. The reader does not perceive the signal of the
469 coloured text as important if it is not useful to them. When one word is coloured it
470 might suggest that that particular word is important in the sentence. However, when
471 three words in the sentence are coloured at random the signal seems meaningless and
472 serves no use to the reader so is therefore ignored.

473 An alternative, not mutually exclusive, explanation is that by including multiple
474 coloured words we are reducing the saliency of the coloured target word [1]. A single
475 target word is presumably very salient in a single line of text, but less salient when there
476 are other coloured words in that same line of text. Therefore, when the saliency is
477 reduced due to the presence of multiple coloured words in Experiment 2, we no longer
478 observe the reduction in skipping of a single coloured target word observed in
479 Experiment 1.

480 **Experiment 3**

481 Experiment 3 explored whether perceiving the coloured words as hyperlinks
482 influences reading behaviour and whether they are processed differently to coloured
483 words in plain text. Previously it has been suggested that the hyperlinked words would

484 be fixated for longer due to the reduced visual discriminability of the blue words [2,13].
485 However, because blue hyperlinks are so commonplace in webpages, the processing
486 may become automatic [4,31]. This could mean that in the case of hyperlinks, blue text
487 is automatically processed as being a hyperlink without an additional cost because blue
488 text tends to always be a hyperlink. We implemented a word frequency manipulation
489 of the hyperlinked words in order to explore whether common lexical effects are present
490 in hyperlinked text and to investigate if they are modulated by the word being
491 hyperlinked. For word skipping, we predict that high frequency words are skipped more
492 often than low frequency words [21]. Whereas Experiment 2 has shown that when
493 multiple words are coloured there is no effect on word skipping of the colouring
494 (compared to reduced skipping when only one word is coloured), the signal function of
495 colouring which indicates the presence of a hyperlink might draw attention to the word
496 and as such increase the chance of fixating the hyperlinked word, reducing skipping for
497 these words.

498 Hyperlinks indicate that the word links to other content, a signal which can be
499 considered from a cognitive perspective to be more high-level compared to the
500 information exclusively retained in the lexical representation of the word. The
501 prediction for how this additional high-level information will affect eye movement
502 behaviour comes from the E-Z Reader model of eye movement control [14]. This model
503 suggests that higher-level processes intervene in eye movement control only when
504 “something is wrong” and either send a signal to stop moving forward or a signal to
505 execute a regression. This is exclusively seen to impact the later eye movement
506 measures so we expect to see hyperlinks impacting fixation durations in the later eye
507 movement measures even though the signal that there is a link present in a word does
508 not necessarily means “something is wrong”. Note that this model was not specifically

509 designed to account of effects of hyperlinking a word, but does make global predictions
510 for any higher level influence on eye movements during reading.

511 Experiments 1 and 2 explored the impact of colour/saliency to see if simply
512 colouring a single word or multiple words has an impact on reading behaviour, because
513 hyperlinks are of course firstly coloured/salient words in the text on webpages.
514 However, hyperlinks also represent much more, they are the links to other webpages
515 and are used as a form of navigation. In Experiment 3, we move forward from
516 Experiment 1 and 2 in that we create trials that resemble a real Web environment, but
517 we do not (yet) allow the readers to navigate (i.e. click on the links), only to read the
518 content presented to them. This will help us tease apart the impact of the visual
519 experience of reading hypertext from the additional impact of navigating a Web
520 environment.

521 **Method**

522 **Participants**

523 Thirty-two native English speakers (3 male, 29 female) with an average age of
524 19.72 years participated in exchange for course credits. All had normal or corrected-to-
525 normal vision and no known reading disabilities. All these participants also took part
526 in Experiment 1 first.

527 **Apparatus**

528 Identical apparatus to Experiment 1 and 2.

529 **Materials and design**

530 The stimuli in Experiment 3 consisted of twenty edited Wikipedia articles
531 (example stimuli available: <https://goo.gl/JLvMD>). Eighty target words were
532 embedded in carrier sentences (one target word per sentence) and four carrier sentences

533 were inserted into each Wikipedia article. The font was a mono-spaced font and the line
534 spacing was approximately three-line spacing to improve the discrimination of
535 fixations between lines. Although we altered the text format to improve discrimination
536 between lines, the test sufficiently carried the impression of being a Wikipedia page by
537 including the title banner and sidebar. The text was created by taking existing
538 Wikipedia articles on neutral topics, and inserting four experimental sentences into the
539 existing text. The experimental sentences fitted in with the text already present and
540 contained a target word. The rest of the text on each screen was identical to the source
541 material on Wikipedia, including which words were linked. This decision was made so
542 that the articles were as close to a natural Web environment as possible. The Wikipedia
543 articles were ten to twelve lines long. The target words were nouns and the location of
544 the target words was scattered across the sentences, but they were never on the start or
545 end of a line. The target words within these articles were either displayed in blue or
546 black to denote if the word was a hyperlink or not, respectively. There was also a word
547 frequency manipulation where the target word is either high or low frequent. So in total
548 there were 4 conditions in a 2 x 2 within participants design. The word frequencies were
549 taken from the Hyperspace Analogue to Language (HAL) corpus [30], and these norms
550 were used to select both high and low frequency words to create the experimental
551 stimuli. The high frequency words had an average log transformed HAL frequency of
552 9.91 and the low frequency words had an average log transformed HAL frequency of
553 5.75. There was a significant difference between the high and low word frequency
554 stimuli, $t(79)=24.61, p<0.001$. The target words were matched on word length and were
555 between 4 and 7 characters in length (5.24 characters on average). Participants were
556 instructed to read for comprehension and told that they would have to answer
557 comprehension questions about the sentences, and these appeared after all trials.

558

559 **Procedure**

560 Participants were given an information sheet and a verbal description of the
561 experimental procedure and informed that they would be reading passages on a monitor
562 while their eyes were being tracked. They were told to read for comprehension and that
563 they were to respond to comprehension questions presented after the sentences. The
564 comprehension questions were simple and required a yes or no response. The
565 comprehension questions were present to ensure the participants were reading the text
566 displayed to them for comprehension. The total accuracy for the comprehension
567 questions was 95.31%. The participants were seated in front of the monitor and their
568 heads were stabilised using a head and chin rest to reduce head movements and ensure
569 reliable eye tracking data. The initial calibration required approximately five minutes
570 before the actual experiment began. At the beginning of each trial a fixation point was
571 presented on the screen where the beginning of the text was set to appear. The
572 participants were required to fixate this point before the trial began to ensure that the
573 first fixation fell on the first word of the sentence. When the participant had finished
574 reading the text on the screen, they pressed a button to move onto the next trial. Each
575 participant first read two practice trials to become familiar with the procedure.
576 Comprehension questions were presented on every trial to ensure each article was read
577 in full and the experiment lasted approximately 45 minutes.

578

579 **Results**

580 The data cleaning procedure and eye movement measures used were identical
581 to that used in Experiment 1 and 2 (resulting in the removal of 4.47% of the total
582 dataset). Finally, when calculating the eye movement measures, data that were more

583 than 2.5 standard deviations from the mean for a participant within a specific condition
584 were removed (<1% of dataset). Data loss affected all conditions similarly.

585 We ran LMMs using the lme4 package (Version 1.1-12) [24] in R (Version
586 3.3.1) [25] to explore the impact of word frequency and the target word being displayed
587 as a linked or unlinked word (binominal models were used for the skipping probability
588 measure). Word Frequency and Word Type (whether the word was linked or unlinked)
589 were included as fixed factors. Participants and items were included as random effects.
590 A maximal random model was initially specified for the random factors [26]. If a model
591 did not converge, it was reduced by first removing the random effect correlations, the
592 interactions between the slopes and finally by successively removing the random
593 effects explaining the least variance until the maximal converging model was identified.
594 For most of the measures the intercept for the items variable was allowed to vary and
595 the participant variable included both the intercept and the slope obtained for the
596 interactive effects of Word Frequency and Word Type. The only exceptions were
597 skipping proportion and gaze duration where the intercept for the items variable was
598 allowed to vary and the participant variable included both the intercept and the slope
599 for the effect of Word Frequency. The effect of Word Type had to be removed to allow
600 the models to converge.

601 All the patterns observed in the models were qualitatively identical whether they
602 were run on log-transformed or untransformed fixation durations, allowing us to present
603 the data run on the untransformed fixation durations in order to increase transparency.
604 Successive differences contrasts were used such that the intercept corresponds to the
605 grand mean and the fixed effects of Word Frequency and Word Type to main effects.
606 The means for all the eye movement measures for Experiment 3 are listed in Table 5
607 and the LMM statistics in Table 6.

608

609 **Table 5. Means of Eye Movement Measures for Experiment 3.**

Word Frequency/Word Type	Skipping Probability (%)	First Fixation Duration (ms)	Single Fixation Duration (ms)	Gaze Duration (ms)	Go Past Time (ms)	Total Reading Time (ms)
High/Linked	43 (23)	216 (34)	214 (35)	227 (36)	298 (123)	261 (54)
High/Unlinked	46 (24)	215 (32)	219 (40)	228 (38)	291 (84)	266 (67)
Low/Linked	40 (24)	232 (45)	249 (47)	258 (47)	364 (112)	320 (68)
Low/Unlinked	43 (24)	231 (38)	238 (44)	251 (47)	306 (88)	297 (60)

610 Standard deviation in parentheses.

611

612

613

614 **Table 6. Fixed Effect Estimates for all Eye Movement Measures for Experiment**615 **3.**

	Skipping Probability			First Fixation Duration (ms)		
	Estimate	Std error	z value	Estimate	Std error	t value
Intercept	-0.33	0.22	-1.52	222.98	5.46	40.88 *
Word Frequency	-0.15	0.09	-1.67	18.71	5.12	3.65 *
Word Type	-0.12	0.09	-1.36	1.18	5.12	0.23
Word Frequency * Word Type	0.03	0.18	0.16	4.20	8.48	0.50
	Single Fixation Duration (ms)			Gaze Duration (ms)		
	Estimate	Std error	t value	Estimate	Std error	t value
Intercept	229.36	6.16	37.23 *	239.74	6.67	35.95 *

Word Frequency	28.77	5.99	4.8 *	32.45	4.49	7.22 *
Word Type	3.55	4.99	0.71	1.75	5.53	0.32
Word Frequency * Word Type	14.02	8.47	1.66	13.50	8.97	1.51
	Go Past Time (ms)			Total Reading Time (ms)		
	Estimate	Std error	<i>t</i> value	Estimate	Std error	<i>t</i> value
Intercept	311.22	12.93	24.06 *	286.58	9.96	28.78 *
Word Frequency	40.25	14.92	2.70 *	46.06	9.11	5.06 *
Word Type	20.14	14.77	1.36	7.31	8.67	0.84
Word Frequency * Word Type	49.47	23.31	2.12 *	31.94	14.03	2.28 *

616 * $z > |1.96|$

617 The effect of Word Frequency was present in all fixation-based eye movement
618 measures. However, for word skipping, the high frequency words were numerically
619 skipped more often than the low frequency words but this effect did not reach statistical
620 significance. The high frequency words had significantly shorter fixation times than the
621 low frequency word when they were fixated. This replicates previous experiments that
622 have demonstrated that low frequency words are skipped less often and have longer
623 fixations times because they are more difficult to process than highly frequent words
624 [21]. However, in go-past times and total time the effect of Word Frequency was
625 qualified by an interaction with Word Type (Fig 3) which we will discuss in detail
626 below.

627

628

629

630 **Fig 3. Two-way interaction between Word Frequency x Word Type interaction in**
631 **Experiment 3.** Means and 95% Confidence Intervals for go-past times and total
632 reading times.

633

634

635

636 Replicating the results of Experiment 1 and 2 for coloured words, there were no
637 significant differences between whether the target word was linked or not in the early
638 fixation duration measures (first fixation durations, single fixation durations and gaze
639 durations). This suggests that having a word linked does not make it any more difficult
640 to process in first-pass reading. However, there was also no difference in the amount of
641 skipping observed in Experiment 3 between when the target word was linked or not.
642 This is especially interesting because in Experiment 1 and Experiment 2 when a single
643 word was coloured it was less likely to be skipped. However, in Experiment 2 when
644 there was more than one word coloured in a sentence, the reduction in skipping
645 observed for single coloured words was not observed. Therefore Experiment 3
646 replicates the findings of Experiment 2 with no reduced skipping for coloured words
647 when there are multiple words. Moreover, it shows that the fact that the coloured words
648 are hyperlinks does not influence skipping rates.

649 In the later eye movement measures of go-past times and total reading times we
650 observed a significant interaction between Word Frequency and Word Type. As Fig 3
651 shows, the low frequency, linked words had significantly longer go-past times and total
652 reading times on the target word compared to the other three conditions. This
653 interaction was only present in the late eye movement measures which suggest that the
654 low frequency, linked word causes regressive eye movements due to difficulty in

655 processing, in other words the participants are reading the low frequency linked words
656 and rereading the preceding content to re-evaluate it.

657 **Discussion**

658 Experiment 3 demonstrated that coloured words in passages of text in an
659 environment resembling the Web do not have a negative effect on the early
660 measurements of reading behaviour. In Experiment 3, we observed a main effect of
661 word frequency where low frequency words receive longer fixation times than high
662 frequency words. Importantly, this frequency effect was also qualified by an interaction
663 with whether the target word was hyperlinked or not in the later eye movement
664 measures. There were significantly longer eye movement measures in go-past times
665 and total reading times but only when the target word was hyperlinked and low frequent
666 (see Fig 3). This finding is compatible with the E-Z Reader model of eye movement
667 control [14] that suggests that high-level processes only intervene in eye movement
668 control when the processing is difficult and this is exclusively observed in the later eye
669 movement measures, as observed in Experiment 3. This might also explain why there
670 are no differences in the fixation times when the target word is hyperlinked and high
671 frequent, only when the target word was hyperlinked and the word itself difficult (i.e.
672 low frequency) was the processing difficulty sizeable enough to trigger re-reading.

673 **General discussion**

674 Combined these three experiments allowed us to examine the potential
675 differences between reading text with and without a coloured word embedded in the
676 sentence and when this coloured word was a hyperlink or not.

677 Experiment 1 demonstrated that a coloured word is less likely to be skipped
678 when it is the only coloured word in the sentence, but that making a word coloured

679 does not negatively impact reading behaviour as measured in fixation durations unless
680 the colour has reduced contrast making it difficult to read, as was seen when the target
681 word was grey or green.

682 Experiment 2 replicated Experiment 1 and showed a reduction in skipping of
683 the target word when it was coloured. However, this reduction of skipping rates was
684 not observed when there were multiple coloured words within the sentences
685 displayed. There was also a standard frequency effect showing less skipping of and
686 longer fixations on low frequency words [21,28], and frequency did not interact with
687 whether the word was coloured. There are two possible suggestions to why there was
688 a reduction in word skipping for a single coloured word, but not when there were
689 multiple coloured words in the text. One suggestion is there could be an effect of
690 over-signalling, where the importance of the coloured words gets reduced when there
691 are too many words coloured randomly and the reader could not utilise the signal as it
692 was meaningless to them [19,20]. The alternative suggestion is that the saliency of the
693 target word is reduced when there are multiple coloured items present in the sentence
694 [1]. A single target word is presumably very salient in a single line of text, but less
695 salient when there are other coloured words in that same line of text.

696 Experiment 3 demonstrated that there is a difference between processing a
697 word that is coloured during reading and a hyperlinked word. We observed a
698 significant difference in go-past times and total reading times between whether the
699 target word was hyperlinked or not in the reading of the Wikipedia pages, and this
700 effect was qualified by an interaction with frequency. The hyperlinked, low frequency
701 words had longer fixation times in these measures which indicated that the reader had
702 difficulty integrating and processing the low frequency word when it was
703 hyperlinked. As a result, participants were more likely to reread the preceding content

704 to re-evaluate it. A hyperlink on a word indicates that that word is important and
705 implies there is more information behind the hyperlink regarding the word or topic
706 that the hyperlinked word corresponds to. When the hyperlinked word is a low
707 frequency word the reader may wonder why that word is hyperlinked and want to re-
708 evaluate the preceding content presumably to make sure that they understood it, or try
709 to decide why it is important.

710 The longer reading time in the later eye movement measures on low
711 frequency, hyperlinked words can also be discussed from a signalling perspective. We
712 found in Experiment 2 that if most of the text has some form of signal to cue the
713 importance of the information, then the signal might not be as effective or as
714 informative compared to when only the most important text is signalled [20]. The
715 signal needs to be useful to the reader in order for them to try and utilise it [19]. In
716 Experiment 2 the signalling served no purpose, but in a hypertext-like environment,
717 like in Experiment 3, it could serve a purpose. For example, the hyperlinked words
718 could be suggesting that those pieces of text are important parts of the text and the
719 reader may want to pay attention to them. When the target word was low frequency
720 and hyperlinked they may have wondered why that particular word was linked and
721 want to reassess the information preceding it to evaluate it.

722 In Experiment 1 a single coloured word was significantly less likely to be
723 skipped than black words, and this is replicated in Experiment 2 where there are
724 single coloured words in the text. However, in Experiment 2 when there were
725 multiple coloured words in the text, there was no similar reduction in skipping rates.
726 Similarly, in Experiment 3 there were also no differences in skipping rates when the
727 word was hyperlinked/coloured versus when it was unlinked. This suggests that the
728 reduced skipping of the coloured target words observed in Experiment 1 is likely

729 restricted to when there is only one word that is coloured. When multiple words are
730 coloured (even when this is in other trials but in the same experiment) the coloured
731 word will presumably be perceived as less attention grabbing. Moreover, in
732 Experiment 3 the colouring did serve a purpose (it indicated a hyperlink) although our
733 current design does not allow us to tease apart whether the absence of a decreased
734 skipping of coloured words in Experiment 3 compared to 1 is due to the presence of
735 multiple coloured words reducing the coloured words saliency and/or because the
736 colouring was meaningful as a signal.

737 Note that we are of course fully aware that there are more differences between
738 Experiment 2 and Experiment 3 than whether the sentence is presented in a Web
739 environment or not (e.g. Experiment 2 presents single sentences whereas Experiment
740 3 presents paragraphs). However, we believe Experiment 2 allows us to rule out the
741 attention-grabbing effect of a coloured word when more than 1 word is present
742 regardless of the presentation format. Radach, Huestegge, and Reilly [32] found that
743 total viewing times for words were greater for passages of text, however, first pass
744 viewing times were shorter compared to reading a sentence (see also [33]). This
745 suggests that when the text is displayed as a passage the reader will make a quick first
746 pass over the text and then engage in re-reading, a behavioural pattern not observed or
747 at least not to the same extent when the participants read the text as single lines. Note
748 however, that this difference between sentence and paragraph reading might impact
749 some of our findings, such as the frequency effect not reaching statistical significance
750 for word skipping in Experiment 3 as Radach et al. [32] observed reduced frequency
751 effects in paragraph reading compared to single sentence reading. However, to
752 examine the influence of hyperlinks it makes sense to study them in a paragraph
753 setting as single sentences will be relatively rare in a Web environment.

754 The present set of experiments represent the first steps in understanding how
755 we read hyperlinked text using eye-tracking to examine the reading behaviour. A
756 hyperlink is not just a salient word in a passage of text, it also denotes that there may
757 be more information behind that hyperlink. Enriching hypertext documents with large
758 numbers of links that are automatically generated (as seen in [34]) may cause
759 disruption to reading behaviour. Hyperlinks do not necessarily cause a disruption to
760 reading, but our research here has shown that if you hyperlink a low frequent/difficult
761 word when there is not a strong reason to do so there is re-reading of the content to
762 assess why that particular word is hyperlinked.

763 These experiments have shown that coloured text in itself does not hinder
764 reading, but also that coloured hyperlinks can cause the participant to reread previous
765 content if the word is a low frequent/difficult word in order to re-evaluate the content.
766 Although the participants could not click and navigate the hyperlinks, this experiment
767 at least shows that having hyperlinks shown as salient blue text does not negatively
768 impact on reading behavior. It does increase re-reading when a reader reaches a low
769 frequency, hyperlinked word, but this is not necessarily a negative behaviour as it can
770 fulfil a necessary function during the processing of hyperlinked content on the Web.
771 However, the hyperlinks do signal that the hyperlinked words are special/important in
772 some way. Our current findings were first reported in a conference proceeding by
773 Fitzsimmons, Weal, and Drieghe [35] where a pilot study preceding the current
774 experiments was presented. Gagl [10] partly replicates our current finding in his
775 paper. He examined single lines of text in a non-Web environment with a target word
776 either displayed in black or blue and either underlined or normal. Gagl used an
777 invisible boundary paradigm (comparing fixation times after a degraded vs normal
778 preview) to investigate if there is a perceptual disadvantage of hyperlinks (blue target

779 words). There was no frequency manipulation, but the target words had a similar
780 frequency to our low frequency words. Gagl found no preview effect of colour.
781 However, in re-reading measures, there were increased fixation times for blue words
782 compared to black words. This replicates our finding in Experiment 3 suggesting
783 there is no detectable perceptual disadvantage of coloured words, but increased
784 attraction of attention resources, after first pass reading.

785 In terms of Web design and layouts, the present results highlight the
786 importance of carefully considering which words are hyperlinked in webpages. The
787 key lesson for Web designers that we have found here is that coloured words do not
788 have any negative impact on reading behaviour. This is the case no matter the colour,
789 unless the contrast between the text colour and the background colour is low, as seen
790 in the longer fixation times on the low-contrast grey/green words in Experiment 1.
791 Therefore, efforts made in Web development to avoid using blue as the hyperlink
792 colour and instead using a different colour may have no positive influence for the
793 reader reading the text, but instead make it more difficult for the reader to know what
794 is a hyperlink when they are expecting it to conform to the convention of hyperlinks
795 being denoted in blue. Additionally, we showed more re-reading for the low-
796 frequency, hyperlinked words which suggests that Web developers should consider
797 how often they want to use low-frequency hyperlinked words if the importance of
798 having these links present is not crucial as they may wish to avoid excessive re-
799 reading of the content. It is difficult to tell if our finding is due to the reader re-
800 reading because they are confused as to why the low-frequency word is hyperlinked
801 or another reason. Further research is needed to explore this issue.

802 Even though in the current experiments participants only engaged in reading
803 behaviour and did not have to make decisions and click any hyperlinks, there was still

804 a significant difference between reading a coloured word and a coloured word in a
805 Web-like environment. The suggestion of a blue word representing a hyperlink is
806 enough, in a Wikipedia/Web environment, to influence eye movement behaviour in
807 all likelihood associated with the processing of a hyperlink even without the ability to
808 click the hyperlinks.

809 **Acknowledgments**

810 We thank Lewis T Jayes for his help creating the stimulus set for Experiment
811 3.

812 **References**

- 813 1. Treisman AM, Gelade G. A Feature-Integration Theory of Attention. *Cogn*
814 *Psychol.* 1980;12: 97–136. doi:10.1016/0010-0285(80)90005-5
- 815 2. Nielsen J. When bad design elements become the standard. 1999; Available:
816 [http://www.nngroup.com/articles/when-bad-design-elements-become-the-](http://www.nngroup.com/articles/when-bad-design-elements-become-the-standard/)
817 [standard/](http://www.nngroup.com/articles/when-bad-design-elements-become-the-standard/)
- 818 3. Galitz WO. *The essential guide to user interface design: An introduction to*
819 *GUI design principles and techniques.* 3rd ed. New York, NY: John Wiley &
820 Sons; 1997.
- 821 4. Schneider W, Shiffrin RM. Controlled and automatic human information
822 processing: I. Detection, search, and attention. *Psychol Rev.* 1977;84: 1–66.
823 doi:10.1037/0033-295X.84.1.1
- 824 5. Shiffrin RM, Schneider W. Controlled and automatic human information
825 processing: II. Perceptual learning, automatic attending and a general theory.
826 *Psychol Rev.* American Psychological Association; 1977;84: 127–190.
827 doi:10.1037/0033-295X.84.2.127
- 828 6. Campbell CS, Maglio PP. Facilitating navigation in information spaces : Road-
829 signs on the World Wide Web. *Int J Hum Comput Stud.* 1999;50: 309–327.
830 doi:10.1006/ijhc.1998.0246
- 831 7. Simola J, Kuisma J, Oörni A, Uusitalo L, Hyönä J. The impact of salient
832 advertisements on reading and attention on web pages. *J Exp Psychol Appl.*
833 2011;17: 174–90. doi:10.1037/a0024042
- 834 8. White SJ, Filik R. Reading visually distinctive words in passages of text. In:
835 *Liversedge SP, editor. Abstracts of the Fifteenth European Conference on Eye*
836 *Movements (ECEM15).* Southampton, UK; 2009. p. 134.
- 837 9. Leyland L, Kirkby JA, Juhasz BJ, Pollatsek A, Liversedge SP. The influence of
838 word shading and word length on eye movements during reading. *Q J Exp*
839 *Psychol.* 2013;66: 471–486. doi:10.1080/17470218.2011.599401
- 840 10. Gagl B. Blue hypertext is a good design decision: No perceptual disadvantage
841 in reading and successful highlighting of relevant information. *PeerJ.* 2016;
842 doi:10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004
- 843 11. Nikolova OR. Effects of visible and invisible hyperlinks on vocabulary
844 acquisition and reading comprehension for high- and average-foreign language
845 achievers. *Apprentiss des Langues Systèmes d’ Inf Commun.* 2004;07: 29–53.
846 doi:10.4000/alsic.2279
- 847 12. von Restorff H. *Über die Wirkung von Bereichsbildung im Spurenfeld.*
848 *Psychol Forsch.* 1933;18: 299–342. doi:10.1007/BF02409636
- 849 13. Carr NG. *The Shallows.* New York: W. W. Norton; 2010.
- 850 14. Reichle ED, Warren T, McConnell K. Using E-Z Reader to model the effects

- 851 of higher level language processing on eye movements during reading.
852 Psychon Bull Rev. 2009;16: 1–21. doi:10.3758/PBR.16.1.1
- 853 15. Cashen V, Leicht K. Role of the isolation effect in a formal educational setting.
854 J Educ Psychol. 1970;61: 484–486. doi:10.1037/h0030286
- 855 16. Crouse J, Idstein P. Effects of encoding cues on prose learning. J Educ Psychol.
856 1972;83: 309–313. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/h0033574
- 857 17. Fowler RL, Barker AS. Effectiveness of highlighting for retention of text
858 material. J Appl Psychol. 1974;59: 358–364. doi:10.1037/h0036750
- 859 18. Lorch RF, Lorch EP. Effects of organizational signals on text-processing
860 strategies. J Educ Psychol. 1995;87: 537–544. doi:10.1037//0022-
861 0663.87.4.537
- 862 19. Golding J, Fowler S. The limited facilitative effect of typographical signals.
863 Contemp Educ Psychol. 1992;13: 99–113. doi:10.1016/0361-476X(92)90052-
864 Z
- 865 20. Lorch RF, Lorch EP, Klusewitz M. Effects of typographical cues on reading
866 and recall of text. Contemp Educ Psychol. 1995;20: 51–64.
867 doi:10.1006/ceps.1995.1003
- 868 21. Inhoff AW, Rayner K. Parafoveal word processing during eye fixations in
869 reading: Effects of word frequency. Percept Psychophys. Springer New York;
870 1986;40: 431–439. doi:10.3758/BF03208203
- 871 22. Rayner K, Duffy S. Lexical complexity and fixation times in reading: Effects
872 of word frequency, verb complexity, and lexical ambiguity. Mem Cognit.
873 1986;14: 191–201. doi:10.3758/BF03197692
- 874 23. Drieghe D. Foveal processing and word skipping during reading. Psychon Bull
875 Rev. 2008;15: 856–860. doi:10.3758/PBR.15.4.856
- 876 24. Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker B, Walker S. Fitting linear mixed-effects models
877 using lme4. J Stat Softw. 2015; doi:10.18637/jss.v067.i01
- 878 25. R Development Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical
879 Computing. R Found Stat Comput Vienna Austria. 2016;
880 doi:10.1038/sj.hdy.6800737
- 881 26. Barr DJ, Levy R, Scheepers C, Tily HJ. Random effects structure for
882 confirmatory hypothesis testing: Keep it maximal. J Mem Lang. Elsevier Inc.;
883 2013;68: 255–278. doi:10.1016/j.jml.2012.11.001
- 884 27. White S, Filik R. Reading visually distinctive words in passages of text. ECEM
885 2009. Southampton, UK; 2009.
- 886 28. Henderson J. M, Ferreira F. Effects of foveal processing difficulty on the
887 perceptual span in reading: implications for attention and eye movement
888 control. J Exp Psychol Learn Mem Cogn. 1990;16: 417–429.
889 doi:10.1037/0278-7393.16.3.417

- 890 29. Rayner K, Fischer MH. Mindless reading revisited: Eye movements during
891 reading and scanning are different. *Percept Psychophys*. US: Psychonomic
892 Society; 1996;58: 734–747. doi:10.3758/BF03213106
- 893 30. Burgess C, Livesay K. The effect of corpus size in predicting reaction time n a
894 basic word recognition task: Moving on from Kucera and Francis. *Behav Res*
895 *Methods, Instruments, Comput*. 1998;30: 272–277. doi:10.3758/BF03200655
- 896 31. Shiffrin RM, Schneider W. Controlled and automatic human information
897 processing: II. Perceptual learning, automatic attending and a general theory.
898 *Psychol Rev*. 1977;84: 127–190. doi:10.1037/0033-295X.84.2.127
- 899 32. Radach R, Huestegge L, Reilly R. The role of global top-down factors in local
900 eye-movement control in reading. *Psychol Res*. 2008;72: 675–88.
901 doi:10.1007/s00426-008-0173-3
- 902 33. Wochna KL, Juhasz BJ. Context length and reading novel words: An eye-
903 movement investigation. *Br J Psychol*. 2013;103: 347–363.
904 doi:10.1111/j.2044-8295.2012.02127.x
- 905 34. Milne D, Witten I. Learning to link with wikipedia. *Proc 17th ACM Conf*
906 2008; Available: <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=1458150>
- 907 35. Fitzsimmons G, Weal MJ, Drieghe D. On Measuring the Impact of Hyperlinks
908 on Reading. 5th Annual ACM Web Science Conference. Paris, France; 2013.
909 pp. 65–74. doi:10.1145/2464464.2464501
- 910