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ABSTRACT The cloud/centralised radio access network (C-RAN) architecture is recognised as a strong candidate for the next generation wireless standards, potentially reducing the total cost of ownership. Spatial modulation (SM) is a cost-effective multiple-input-multiple-output (MIMO) solution, where only a single-radio frequency (RF) chain is required for transmission. In this context, we propose analogue radio over fiber (A-RoF) aided MIMO techniques for a learning assisted adaptive C-RAN system, where SM combined with space-time block coding (STBC) is optically processed relying on the optical frequency-indices in the central unit (CU) of the C-RAN, which also is capable of tuning the connected remote radio heads (RRHs). Furthermore, to improve the spectral efficiency, we invoke our proposed flexible C-RAN architecture for implementing learning assisted transceiver adaptation, where the number of RRHs connected to a single user and its modulation techniques employed are controlled using the K-Nearest Neighbour (KNN) algorithm. The simulation results show that the bit error ratio (BER) performance of our A-RoF system is only marginally degraded compared to that operating without the A-RoF link, while benefitting from our energy- and cost-efficient C-RAN design. Moreover, we show that the learning assisted adaptation is capable of outperforming the classic threshold-based adaptation in terms of the system’s achievable rate.

INDEX TERMS Analogue Radio Over Fiber, C-RAN, Spatial Modulation, Space-time Block Coding, Fronthaul, Mach-Zehnder Modulator, Transceiver Adaptation, Machine Learning.

NOMENCLATURE

ADC Analog-to-digital Conversion  E/O Electro-to-optic
AI Artificial Intelligent  FBG Fiber Bragg Grating
A-RoF Analogue Radio over Fiber  ICI Inter-channel Interference
BER Bit Error Ratio  IoT Internet of Things
BLAST Bell Laboratories Layered Space-time  KNN K-nearest Neighbour
BPSK Binary Phase Shift Keying  LD Laser Diode
BSL Bit Splitter  MIMO Multiple-input-multiple-output
CC Convolutional Coding  MINLP Mixed-integer Non-linear Programming
CoMP Coordinated Multi-point  ML Maximum Likelihood
C-RAN Cloud/Centralised Radio Access Network  MZM Mach-Zehnder Modulator
CU Central Unit  OBPF Optical Bandpass Filter
DAC Digital-to-analogue Conversion  ODSB Optical Double Side-band
DCF Dispersion-compensating Fiber  O/E Optic-to-electronic
DD-MZM Dual-drive Mach-Zehnder Modulator  PDF Probability Density Function
EA Electronic Amplification  QPSK Quadrature Phase Shift Keying
EBPF Electronic Bandpass Filter  RA Receive Antenna
EDFA Erbium-doped Fiber Amplifier  RF Radio Frequency
shown that at a given SE, the receiver complexity is the antenna index based stream. Furthermore, it was solution for multiplexing the classically modulated data and efficiency (EE), whilst relying on a single-RF chain based striking an attractive compromise between the SE and energy efficiency (EE), whilst relying on a single-RF chain based.

By contrast, SM is characterised by SE without taking into account the overall energy efficiency (SE). However, spatial multiplexing aims for imposing the independent antennas for improving the spectral efficiency as well as the complexity. By contrast, SM is characterised by striking an attractive compromise between the SE and energy efficiency (EE), whilst relying on a single-RF chain based solution for multiplexing the classically modulated data and the antenna index based stream [12]. Furthermore, it was shown [12] that at a given SE, the receiver complexity is substantially reduced when compared to the Vertical BLAST (V-BLAST). To elaborate a little further, SM is a low-cost MIMO technique, where only a single one or a small fraction of the transmitter antennas is activated at a time [12]–[15]. Apart from the advantage of relying on a single-RF chain, single-antenna based receiver activating a single antenna results in an ICI-free design [16].

However, SM does not support transmitter-diversity [13], hence this impediment has inspired further research aiming for achieving both multiplexing and diversity gains. For example, Basar et al. [17] amalgamated Alamouti’s space-time code with the SM, choosing pairs of antennas for Alamouti’s STBC transmission, which improved the BER performance of both SM and V-BLAST systems. Furthermore, Sugiura et al. [18] proposed the concept of generalised space-time shift keying (STSK) by introducing a dispersion matrix for space-time coding (STC) prior to the SM mapping [19]. As a benefit of the A-RoF schemes’ capability of centralising both the digital and radio processing [20], SM-STBC can be implemented centrally in the A-RoF aided C-RANs considered. In this treatise, we propose an A-RoF aided SM-STBC scheme based on Alamouti code in the C-RAN’s CU, which transmits the STBC signal from a pair of wireless transmitters.

More explicitly, the SM-STBC operations are carried out optically via optical side-band selection, where the drive frequencies and their phase shifts between each arm of the dual-drive Mach-Zehnder modulator (DD-MZM) utilised are mapped to the STBC-activated antenna indices1, where the switches and the power-thirsty DAC and ADC required in the RRHs for the conventional SM are eliminated, hence realising an energy-efficient A-RoF based C-RAN system relying on an optical processing aided SM-STBC scheme. To elaborate a little further, the STBC signal is directly modulated with the aid of several sets of laser diodes (LDs), and then it is fed into a DD-MZM, where the transmitting optical side-band is selected by the optical index of the drive-frequency and phase-shifters at each STBC symbol period2. Then, the modulated signal is carried over standard single-mode fiber (SSMF) and dispersion-compensation fiber (DCF), where the optical signal is passed to an erbium-doped fiber amplifier (EDFA) before being mapped to different antennas by the passive optical components of a wavelength-division-multiplexing demultiplexer (WDM-Demux), fiber Bragg grating (FBG) and then photo-detected to generate the electronic signal. Thus, each SM-STBC signal is processed in the CU using optical indices. We will detail the specifics of this design in Section II.

Note that our proposed scheme adopts the optical single side-band selection philosophy, which has been experimentally verified in our previous A-RoF aided SM study [6]. However, this prototype system only relied on a twin-TA aided SM solution. This paper addresses the antenna

---

1 For Alamouti’s code, a STBC-activated antennas is a pair of antennas for transmitting STBC symbols.

2 In Alamouti’s code, a STBC symbol period refers to two symbol periods.
number-limitation of [6] for enhancing the system performance with the aid of multi-antenna based SM solution, while as a further benefit of our flexible design, we achieve an adaptive C-RAN system using the same A-RoF system. In a nutshell, the concept of [6] is further developed by conceiving an enhanced side-band selection aided SM encoding scheme in this paper, which is capable of supporting any arbitrary number of SM transmitter antennas per RRH.

Moreover, our proposed system is eminently suitable for adapting the number of RRHs supporting a single user, depending on the channel conditions. The number of the RRHs supporting a user can be adjusted by our flexible C-RAN design, where the adaptation is intelligently controlled by the learning-based KNN algorithm. In most of the open literature, transceiver adaptation aims for maximizing the data rate, while guaranteeing an application-specific target BER. Conventional adaptation schemes [21]–[23] tend to compare the estimated SNR experienced to a threshold for determining the most appropriate adaptive configuration to be signalled to the transmitter required for meeting the target-BER requirement. In this context, the popular C-RAN is capable of supporting CoMP [4], [7], where link adaptation [7], [24] using mixed-integer non-linear programming (MINLP) may be invoked for RRH selection [25], [26]. However, hardware imperfections, such as the amplifier nonlinearities and the ever-changing wireless channel require an intelligent learning assisted scheme for adaptive transceiver configuration, which is capable of satisfying the target-BER requirements in the face of these time-variant channel-quality fluctuations [27].

Against this backdrop, in this treatise we conceive a bespoke learning-sided solution relying on the classic KNN algorithm for adapting both the number of RRHs supporting a single user and its modulation techniques employed in our proposed C-RAN system.

Thus, the proposed system amalgamates the SM-STBC scheme with A-RoF techniques, hence constituting an adaptive C-RAN system controlled by the KNN algorithm. In a nutshell, the novel contributions of this paper are as follows:

1) We propose an A-RoF aided optical processing based SM-STBC encoding schemes where an optical index constituted by the DD-MZM’s drive frequency and their phase shifts eliminates the need for any actively-powered switches, which would be inevitably required by the wireless SM scheme as well as dispensing with the power-thirsty DACs and ADCs in the RRHs.

2) Our system may be readily invoked for adapting the number of RRHs supporting a single user, simply using a laser bank. Furthermore, the proposed adaptive C-RAN system is controlled by a learning-assisted technique, capable of near-instantaneously adapting the number of RRHs as well as the modulation techniques employed. Moreover, the proposed system is capable of achieving a higher data rate than that of the classic threshold-based adaptation.

3) The proposed system concept was inspired by our A-RoF aided twin-antenna SM experimental study of [6]. However, the system advocated in this paper is capable of supporting MIMO techniques having a variable number of antennas by using direct modulation and extra optical indices, where any arbitrary number of transmitter antennas can be supported, while STBC is invoked for the sake of achieving transmit diversity.

The paper is organised as follows. We propose our A-RoF aided C-RAN system model and characterise its BER performance in Section II. Then, we design an adaptive system, where agile transceiver adaptation using the KNN algorithm is employed in Section III, followed by our conclusions in Section IV.

II. PROPOSED A-ROF AIDED C-RAN SYSTEM MODEL

In the context of our C-RAN and MIMO deployment, SM-STBC can be considered a cost-efficient technique of achieving a high throughput and a beneficial diversity gain. To dispense with the power-thirsty antenna switches as well as with the DAC and ADC in the RRHs of the C-RAN system, in this section, we present our A-RoF aided SM-STBC scheme conceived for a C-RAN, where the SM-STBC processing is carried out optically relying on the optical carrier index. Fig. 1 shows a generic fiber-based C-RAN system, where a CU performs most of the signal processing, while the RRHs contain some passive optical components for supporting the associated radio functions.

Let us now consider a C-RAN system, employing our A-RoF aided SM-STBC design shown in Fig. 2, where the schematic of our C-RAN fronthaul design and the wireless link are shown. The proposed system relies on an optical solution for selecting the specific side-band which corresponds to different STBC-activated antennas. This is achieved without employing any actively-powered SM switches and power-thirsty ADCs and DACs. Hence, as shown in Fig. 2, the STBC signal is directly modulated by a set of LDs referred to as a laser bank, resulting in an optical double side-band (ODSB) signal, where each side-band carrying
Alamouti’s STBC streams\(^3\) is mapped to each antenna of each RRH. Explicitly, each of the STBC symbols is carried by one of the side-bands of the ODSB-STBC signal, which is then mapped to an antenna at the RRH. Then, with the side-band selection\(^4\) performed by controlling the drive-frequency and the phase shifter of the DD-MZM of Fig. 2, only a single ODSB-STBC signal carrying the Alamouti’s code will be transmitted over the fiber at each STBC symbol period, where the side-band selection process would be detailed later in Section II-B. At the fiber receiver of Fig. 2, an EDFA is invoked for optical amplification, while some passive optical components such as a WDM-Demux and FBG are used for side-band to antenna mapping. The WDM-Demux separates each ODSB-STBC signal, and the FBG divides each side-band of the ODSB-STBC signal carrying Alamouti’s STBC stream and then passes it on for optic-to-electro (O/E) conversion and electronic amplification (EA). Then, each side-band of the ODSB-STBC signal carrying Alamouti’s code is mapped to each STBC-activated antenna of Fig. 2. Note that owing to the side-band selection’s capability to transmit a single ODSB-STBC signal at each SM-STBC symbol period, only a pair of the STBC-activated antennas of Fig. 2 would transmit the modulated data during each SM-STBC symbol period, while the remaining antennas remain inactive.

Additionally, we propose an adaptive design, where the number of RRHs supporting a user as well as the modulation technique used per user is adapted. As shown in Fig. 2, the block performing both the STBC scheme and the direct modulation (DM) scheme, where in Fig. 2 we refer to as “STBC & SD (SD)”, is capable of adapting the number of connected RRHs by assigning the STBC symbols to each SD_RRHx, hence realising a flexible adaptive C-RAN system, which we will control by invoking a learning algorithm in Section III. This section will only elaborate on our A-RoF aided C-RAN design.

In the proposed design of Fig. 2, the CU performs digital modulation, optical processing aided SM-STBC encoding, radio carrier modulation, electro-to-optic (E/O) conversion and C-RAN RRHs adaptation control, while the RRH implements the associated radio functions (filtering, O/E conversion, photo detection, amplifying and radio transmission), thereby substantially simplifying the transceiver design in the RRH by beneficially centralising the digital processing in the CU.

\(^3\)Here, we refer to the directly modulated ODSB signal carrying the STBC symbols in this stage as the **ODSB-STBC signal** for clarifying our side-band selection process.

\(^4\)To clarify, the “side-band” in this side-band selection refers to a single ODSB-STBC signal generated by direct modulation of Fig. 2, because the ODSB-STBC signal would be viewed as the side-band of an optical carrier after MZM modulation.
A. WIRELESS SM-STBC SCHEME

Prior to discussing our proposed C-RAN design, we will introduce the wireless SM-STBC architecture. SM is a cost-efficient MIMO scheme, where only one of the transmit antennas is activated each symbol period, thus commensurately reducing both the number of RF chains and theICI. The antenna index and the transmitted symbols are jointly detected at the receiver. In this context, STBC is amalgamated with SM for the sake of attaining a diversity gain. Fig. 3 shows the wireless SM-STBC scheme, where $B$ is the transmitted input bit sequence, $\hat{B}$ is the detected bit sequence, $N_{T,A}$ is the number of transmit antennas (TAs) and $N_r$ is the number of receive antennas (RAs). In this section, an Alamouti STBC stream is transmitted by activating a pair of the TAs of Fig. 3 during two consecutive symbol periods, jointly forming an STBC symbol period. The statistical SM-STBC model is as follows:

$$ Y = HX + N, $$

where $H$ is the MIMO channel matrix of size $(N_r \times N_{T,A})$, $X = [x_1, x_2]$ is the transmitted input SM-STBC matrix of size $N_{T,A} \times 2$ with the $i$th column $x_i$ denoting the symbol vector transmitted over the $i$th symbol time of a STBC block\(^5\). Furthermore, $N = [n_1, n_2]$ denotes the $N_r \times 2$ noise matrix, with the $i$th column $n_i$ denoting the receiver noise vector over the $i$th symbol period of a STBC symbol period. $Y$ is the received symbol matrix of size $N_r \times 2$, where $\times 2$ denotes two symbol periods. To elaborate a little further, Fig. 4 shows the SM-STBC mapping process, where the input data vector $B$ is split into two streams, namely $b_1$ and $b_2$, where the classic modulated data $b_1$ is QAM/PSK-modulated for transmission and the antenna selection index $b_2$ performs the antenna switching to the corresponding TAs [12], [20].

The classic modulated symbols $S$ are transmitted to the corresponding pair of TAs after the STBC processing and radio carrier modulation. For example, if $B = \{b_1, b_2\} = \{1, 0, 0\}$, we obtain $b_1 = \{1, 0\}$, which is binary phase shift keying (BPSK)-modulated to $S = \{1, -1\}$, while $b_2 = 0$ is used for simultaneously selecting TA1 and TA2 for transmission within a STBC symbol period. Explicitly,

\(^5\)Each STBC block utilises two symbol periods and we refer to this as STBC symbol period.
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represents an Alamouti STBC transmitted from the SM-STBC activated-TAs. Furthermore, at the receiver, the classic optimal maximum likelihood (ML) detection [28] is used for jointly detecting both the antenna selection index and the transmitted data.

Based on the above SM-STBC scheme and the C-RAN system, we invoke A-RoF techniques for optically processing the SM-STBC using the optical carrier index mapped to each TA of Fig. 2, where the power-thirsty SM switches, DAC and ADC are eliminated in the RRHs. This concept results in an energy-efficient C-RAN system combined with optical processing aided MIMO signal generation. Next, we will detail the proposed A-RoF aided C-RAN fronthaul design.

B. A-ROF AIDED C-RAN FRONTHAUL DESIGN USING SM-STBC

In this section, we present our system as an energy-efficient and low-complexity mobile fronthaul solution capable of maintaining the BER performance of the above-mentioned wireless SM-STBC scheme.

Fig. 2 shows the schematic of our proposed system design, comprising both a RoF link and a wireless link. In this section
we focus our attention on the fiber-based fronthaul design. The SM-STBC encoding process is confined to the CU of Fig. 2, where the ODSB-STBC signal controlled both by the optical index of the phase-shifter and by the drive frequencies of the DD-MZM of Fig. 2 is mapped to each of the activated STBC antennas of Fig. 2. As shown in Fig. 2, the input bit sequence is split into two parts: the transmitted bit sequence for generating STBC symbols. As shown in Fig. 2, the fiber-based fronthaul connects the CU and the RRHs.

To be more explicit, the CU is constituted by three modules, namely the SM scheme’s digital signal processing (SM-DSP), the STBC and direct modulation (STBC and DM) block and the Optical Index Mapping. At the RRHs, the actively-powered switches used in Section II-A are replaced by a set of passive optical components, which will be detailed later in Section II-B. In the following, we will describe our system based on an eight-TA system per RRH as an example.

1) SM Digital Signal Processing
This module carries out the digital processing operations of SM signal generation, splitting the input bit sequence into index bit sequence for side-band selection and generating STBC symbols. As shown in Fig. 2, the input bit sequence B is fed into a bit splitter (BSL) that outputs the pair of bit sequences b₁ and b₂, where b₁ is used for QAM/PSK classic modulation and b₂ controls the optical index of the side-band which corresponds to each TA of the RRH. In our proposed system, b₂ is further split into b₂₁ and b₂₂. In contrast to the antenna index b₂ of Fig. 4 used in the wireless SM-STBC described in Section II-A, the optical index is related to the phase shift between each arm as well as to the drive frequency of the DD-MZM of Fig. 2, which are controlled by b₂₂ and b₂₁, respectively. For example, if we aim for an eight-TA SM-STBC scheme transmitting B = {b₁ = {0, 1}, b₂ = {1, 1}}, where B is a binary sequence, b₁ is BPSK-modulated into S₁ = {−1, 1}, which is processed for STBC transmission, while b₂ is further split into b₂₁ = {1} and b₂₂ = {1} for the sake of tuning the drive frequency of the tunable frequency generator (TFG) of Fig. 2 and controlling the phase-shifter (PS) of Fig. 2 for ODSB-STBC signal side-band selection corresponding to eight different TAs. Note that the SM-STBC system employing eight antennas will require two bits to select one out of the four possible twin-antenna combinations, hence b₂₁ and b₂₂ are constituted by a single bit⁶. The C-RAN-DSP of Fig. 2 performs the classic QAM/PSK modulation and centrally processes the data stream transmitted to each RRH using the laser bank in the STBC & DM block of Fig. 2. The mapping rule and its rationale will be discussed in the Paragraphs "Optical Index Modulation" and "Remote Radio Head" of Sections II-B3 and II-B4.

2) STBC and DM
As shown in Fig. 2, this module consists of a laser bank performing STBC encoding, radio carrier modulation and optical direct modulation. Again, we use an eight-TA SM-STBC scheme as an example for illustration. More specifically, the classic modulated symbol S is assigned to the STBC and DM (SD) block of Fig. 2 for STBC processing⁷, where S₁ and S₂ are the STBC symbols transmitted to a pair of STBC-activated TAs of RRH1 of Fig. 2. Then, as shown in Fig. 2, S₁ and S₂ are carried by a RF of frequency fRF via carrier modulation, followed by the LD’s direct modulation, whose spectra is shown in Stage 1 and Stage 2 of Fig. 5. The optical bandpass filters (OBPFs) of the SD then retain each side-band containing either S₁ or S₂ to form a new ODSB, as shown in Stage 3 of Fig. 5 using an optical combiner, where S₁ and S₂ are modulated to each side-band of the new ODSB of stage 3 of Fig. 5, where we will refer to the ODSB carrying S₁ and S₂ as ODSB-STBC signal for distinguishing them from our DD-MZM side-band selection process in Section II-B3. Again, the side-band described in the DD-MZM side-band selection can be viewed as a single ODSB-STBC signal, because the ODSB-STBC signal of Stage 3 of Fig. 5 is shifted away from an optical carrier and becomes its side-band, as shown in Stage 5 of Fig. 5. Then, the resultant ODSB of Stage 3 seen in Fig. 5 is fed into the optical index mapping block for side-band selection.

![FIGURE 5: Spectrum evolution for 1-RRH scheme](image)

⁶For 2M-TA system, b₂₁ represents 1-bit information, while b₂₂ represents \( \log_2(M) - 1 \)-bit information.

⁷In this example, only single SD module is required for connecting a single RRH. However, the data transmitted to each RRH corresponds to each SD seen in Fig. 2.
TABLE 1: The A-RoF aided eight-TA SM-STBC mapping scheme. Phase Shifting Index, $-\pi/2$ (bit 1); $\pi/2$ (bit 0). Drive Frequency Index, $f_{\text{drive}_1}$ (bit 0); $f_{\text{drive}_2}$ (bit 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Side-band central wavelength</th>
<th>Corresponding TA index</th>
<th>PS index</th>
<th>TFG index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_1$ (TA1, TA2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_2$ (TA3, TA4)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_3$ (TA5, TA6)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_4$ (TA7, TA8)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3) Optical Index Mapping
This module performs the main function of optical index mapping, where the optical index is characterised both by the phase-difference between the drive signal fed into each arm of the DD-MZM and by the DD-MZM’s drive frequency, where the locations of the ODSB generated in Stage 3 of Fig. 5 are mapped to different pairs of TAs in Fig. 2. The optical index mapping is mainly performed via changing the phase difference by either $\pi/2$ or $-\pi/2$ between the signal driving the two arms of the DD-MZM and via tuning the drive frequency $f_{\text{drive}}$.

Based on our previous experimental feasibility study of the RoF aided twin-antenna SM using side-band selection [6], we are capable of extending our design to any arbitrary number of TAs to support multiple SM schemes. More specifically, the side-band location relies on both the drive frequency controlled by $b_{21}$ and on the phase shifting tuned by $b_{22}$, as shown in Fig. 2. As verified experimentally and analysed in the literature [6], [29], a phase difference of either $\pi/2$ or $-\pi/2$ between the two drive frequencies of the DD-MZM is capable of moving the ODSB-STBC signal to either the upper side-band or to the lower side-band of the optical carrier of the MZM, while changing the drive frequencies is capable of shifting away the ODSB-STBC signal from the optical carrier of DD-MZM by a frequency spacing of $f_{\text{drive}}$.

Again, considering an eight-TA based SM-STBC scheme, $b_{21}$ is used for controlling the TFG, where the architecture is shown in Fig. 6, shifting the side-band to different frequencies. The number of controllable drive frequency is linked to the number of SM antennas, where $K$ drive frequencies can be used for mapping the data to $2 \times K_{th}$ pair of STBC transmit antennas, facilitating our arbitrary number of antenna design. In our system, we use a flexible TFG, which is evolved from our previously proposed TFG of [10]. As shown in Fig. 6, the MZM driven by an unmodulated drive frequency of 15 GHz is invoked for generating a multi-wavelength signal. Following the WDM-Demux and $b_{21}$-controlled DSP block of Fig. 6 selecting the beating wavelength, the spectra containing $\lambda_a$ and $\lambda_b$ is retained and converted to the specific radio frequency of $f_{\text{drive}}$. Explicitly, if the drive signal $f_{\text{drive}} = 30$ GHz of Fig. 6 is required, given the relationship of $\lambda_b - \lambda_a = \lambda_3 - \lambda_2$, $f_{\text{drive}}$ can be flexibly tuned by $b_{21}$, which results in a tunable frequency generator. Note that tunable commercial microwave generator can also be used in our system. However, we advocate the above-mentioned TFG as a more flexible and low-cost design. On the other hand, during each STBC symbol period, $b_{22}$ flips the PS to either $\pi/2$ or $-\pi/2$ for shifting the ODSB-STBC signal modulated into the optical carrier after the DD-MZM process of Fig. 2 to be either the lower side-band or the upper side-band, as shown in Stage 5 of Fig. 5. The directly modulated ODSB signal of Stage 3 in Fig. 2 feeds a dual-drive MZM for side-band selection driven by a pair of RF signals having a frequency of either $f_{\text{drive}_1}$ or $f_{\text{drive}_2}$, as shown in Stage 5 of Fig. 5 selected by $b_{21}$ with a phase-difference of either $\pi/2$ or $-\pi/2$ controlled by $b_{22}$.

To clarify the optical index modulation process, for example, as shown in Fig. 2. ($b_{22}, b_{21}) = (1, 0)$ or $(1, 1)$ or $(0, 0)$ or $(0, 1)$ is used for side-band selection, where each combination corresponds to a side-band transmission in a SM-STBC symbol period, mapped to (TA1, TA2), (TA3, TA4), (TA5, TA6) and (TA7, TA8) of RRH1 of Fig. 2. The specific mapping rule conceived for an eight-TA SM-STBC system is shown in Table 1. After Stage 5 of Fig. 2, a power splitter is invoked before fiber transmission to serve multiple RRHs, where each fiber strand links the CU to a RRH.

4) Remote Radio Head
As described above, the SM-STBC mapping and digital processing as well as radio carrier modulation are centralised in the CU of Fig. 2. The compact RRHs are responsible for the radio functions such as amplification, O/E conversion, RF filtering and transmission. More explicitly, at the fiber’s receiver side, an EDFA is utilised for amplifying the optical signal, followed by a WDM Demultiplexer to filter the corresponding wavelength for the sake of TA mapping. The main components of the side-band to SM-STBC TA mapping operations are shown in the demodulation and side-band mapping (D&SBM) block of Fig. 2. As shown in Fig. 2, we invoke an FBG to separate the side-band of the ODSB-STBC signal obtained in Stage 3 of Fig. 5, which carries the STBC symbols $S_1$ and $S_2$. As seen at Stage 6 of Fig. 2.
5, the lower side-band of $\lambda_1$ carrying $S_1$ reflected by the FBG and the upper side-band of $\lambda_1$ carrying $S_2$ transmitting through the FBG feed the PDs used for the O/E conversion. Then the resultant signal is passed through an electronic bandpass filter (EBPF) for retaining the desired frequency, followed by an electronic amplifier for boosting the signal to the specific power level required for radio transmission. Lastly, the recovered RF signal $f_{RF}$ carrying $S_1$ and $S_2$ is fed into the corresponding pair of TAs, while the remaining inactive antennas radiate negligible low-power noises and the phase noise imposed by the optical and electronic devices.

Fig. 5 shows spectral evolution process of the optical signal. Stages 1, 2 and 3 of Fig. 5 give the direct modulated ODSB, where $S_1$ and $S_2$ are carried by the lower side-band and the upper side-band in Stage 3 of Fig. 5. Then, the ODSB signal carrying both $S_1$ and $S_2$ feed the Optical Index Mapping module detailed above, where the $(b_{22}, b_{21})$-selected side-band formed by a single ODSB-STBC signal is transmitted by tuning the frequency of the TFG and PS of Fig. 2 during each SM-STBC symbol period. The mapping rule conceived for the example of an eight-TA scheme is seen at Stage 5 of Fig. 5 and described in Table 1. The fiber-based fronthaul then conveys the optical signal of Stage 5 in Fig. 5 to the RRHs, where a WDM-Demux block transports each side-band to each TA after O/E conversion. Stages 6 and 7 of Fig. 5 show that each optical signal carrying $S_1$ and $S_2$ is separated by the FBG, where $S_1$ and $S_2$ feed a pair of TAs. Thus, because only a single ODSB-STBC carrying the STBC symbols is transmitted during each STBC symbol period, the WDM-Demux of Fig. 2 becomes capable of filtering the specific wavelength in one of the ports, while no data is transmitted from the other ports, hence facilitating the mapping of the side-band index to a specific antenna index using a set of passive optical components.

Furthermore, our system is scalable, where any RRH can be activated by appropriately adjusting the number of activated SD_RRHs of the SD block in Fig. 2. To elaborate a little further, Fig. 7 portrays the spectral evolution, when a CU serves two RRHs. In this scheme, two SD modules, namely SD_RRH1 and SD_RRH2, are assigned the particular sets of STBC symbols $S_1$, $S_2$ and $S_3$, $S_4$ carried by $\lambda_{o1}$ and $\lambda_{o2}$ respectively, where the STBC symbols $S_1$ and $S_2$ are transmitted to RRH1, while $S_3$ and $S_4$ are forwarded to RRH2. As shown at Stage 5 of Fig. 7, the side-band selection of the two RRHs are consistent, meaning that several RRHs can be jointly controlled merely using a single Optical Index Mapping module. At RRH2, we apply the same architecture, where the WDM de-multiplexed wavelengths are $\lambda_1$, $\lambda_2$, $\lambda_3$ and $\lambda_4$. Hence, a twin-RRH scheme can be readily implemented by adjusting the SD configuration. This configuration inspires our adaptive design, which will be detailed in Section III. Next, our simulation results are presented.

C. SYSTEM SIMULATION

![Figure 8: BER performance for four TAs and two receive antennas using the schematic of Fig. 2 and parameters of Table 2.](image)

In this section, our simulation results are discussed under two different scenarios. Firstly, we support a user by a single RRH, while relying on an eight-TA SM-STBC scheme. Then, we will increase the number of RRHs, which supports the user, to two, without changing the configuration of the CU as well as the RRHs. Similar to the channel model introduced
TABLE 2: Simulation parameter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Channel coding</td>
<td>Convolutional code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Throughput 4 × 2</td>
<td>1.5 Gbps (BPSK-1RRH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.5 Gbps (BPSK-2RRH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.5 Gbps (QPSK-1RRH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.5 Gbps (QPSK-2RRH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Throughput 8 × 2</td>
<td>2 Gbps (BPSK-1RRH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 Gbps (BPSK-2RRH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 Gbps (QPSK-1RRH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 Gbps (QPSK-2RRH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LD central wavelength</td>
<td>1550 nm (1 RRH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1550 nm and 1547.2 nm (2 RRHs; frequency spacing 350 GHz)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF signal</td>
<td>10 GHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drive frequency (MZM)</td>
<td>30 GHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiber type</td>
<td>SSMF+DCF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiber length</td>
<td>20 km (15 km SSMF and 5 km DCF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channel model</td>
<td>Split-step Fourier method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulation type</td>
<td>BPSK and QPSK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wireless channel</td>
<td>Rayleigh fading channel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wireless detection</td>
<td>ML detection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of TAs</td>
<td>four or eight each RRH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation environment</td>
<td>MATLAB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

in Section II-A, the wireless channel is the classic statistical SM-STBC model with A-RoF impairments, as shown below:

\[ Y = \alpha \odot HX + N, \]

where \( \alpha \) is the A-RoF-induced distortion\(^9\), and \( H \) is the wireless MIMO channel matrix, both of which have a size of \( \left( N_r \times N_{TA} \right) \). Furthermore, \( \odot \) represents the Hadamard product. Here, \( H \) has independent and identically distributed (i.i.d) entries with uniform phase distribution and complex Gaussian distributed amplitude having a mean of 0 and a variance of 1, yielding \( CN \sim (0, \sigma^2) \). \( N \) is the Gaussian noise distributed as \( CN \sim (0, \sigma^2) \). At the wireless receiver, ML detection is used for jointly detecting the antenna index and the classic modulated symbols.

Furthermore, we simulate a SSMF of 15 km and a DCF of 5 km, using the popular split-step Fourier method [30]. The A-RoF parameters used are listed in Table 2. In this section, we consider two scenarios, where single-RRH SM-STBC scheme and two-RRH multiplexing SM-STBC scheme are simulated for the proposed C-RAN system. Explicitly, depending on the specific wireless channel conditions, the user can either communicate by invoking a single RRH using our SM-STBC scheme or with the aid of two RRHs, while multiplexing the signals transmitted from both RRHs, where we use ML detection for both cases. In our proposed A-RoF aided C-RAN shown in Fig. 2, the SD block is used for switching the connection between the single-RRH SM-STBC and two-RRH multiplexing SM-STBC arrangements. For example, if the user is connected to two RRHs, the C-RAN-DSP tends to assign the classic modulated bit sequence \( b_1 \) to SD_RRH1 and SD_RRH2 of Fig. 2, thus the signals will be transmitted from both RRH1 and RRH2, multiplexing the SM-STBC signals from each RRH to the same user.

We firstly investigate the SM-STBC scheme using four TAs and two RAs (4 × 2) schemes, while a pair of TAs transmit the modulated symbols during each STBC symbol period. Moreover, BPSK and quadrature phase shift keying (QPSK) are considered for both the single-RRH SM-STBC and two-RRH multiplexing SM-STBC arrangements. Thus, any of the four configurations shown in Table 2 may be activated by our proposed system. Moreover, the joint throughput after a half-rate convolutional code (CC) is halved. Note that, after the CC process, the modulated symbol rate of the single-RRH scheme is 1 Gsymbol/s\(^{10}\) while that of the twin-RRH

\(^9\)The distortion is jointly caused by the effects of the A-RoF components, such as fiber’s dispersion, fiber’s non-linearity, the OBPF’s signal leakage and optical noises.

\(^{10}\)Corresponding bit rate: 1 Gbps for BPSK and 2 Gbps for QPSK.
scheme is 2 Gsymbol/s\(^{11}\), and the bit rate controlling the optical index is 0.5 Gbps for all the cases. Explicitly, we use a constraint length of 7 and the generator polynomials of \((171,133)\) (in octal), as shown in Table 2 in our proposed system simulation.

To verify that our A-RoF aided system performance is not unduly degraded by the optical link compared to the wireless-only scheme, namely where only wireless transmission relied upon, Fig. 8 shows a modest BER performance of the four configurations, where the scheme operating with A-RoF shows a negligible BER degradation of less than 1 dB compared to that of wireless-only scheme. This modest degradation is imposed by the residual interference introduced by the muted antennas.

Furthermore, our system is eminently scalable. Hence, we also investigate an \((8 \times 2)\) scheme for the sake of increasing the data rate, as seen in Fig. 9 that the BER performance difference between the proposed A-RoF-aided system and the \((8 \times 2)\) wireless-only scheme is higher than that of the \((4 \times 2)\) scheme characterised in Fig. 8. This is because when more antennas are applied, more interference is inflicted, which degrades BER performance.

Next, relying on the system schematic of Fig. 2, we will discuss the benefits of invoking the KNN learning algorithm for transceiver adaptation and compare it to the classic threshold adaptation based benchmarker.

III. LEARNING ASSISTED ADAPTIVE SYSTEM DESIGN

In Section II, we proposed a C-RAN system based on an A-RoF link, where the SM concept is combined with STBC and the signals are processed optically. Furthermore, we discussed that our C-RAN system is scalable of adapting the number of RRHs supporting a user. Similarly, the choice of the modulation technique employed can also be adapted by the SD and C-RAN-DSP module of Fig. 2, depending on the power of the signal received by the user from the RRH.

A. ADAPTATION OF THE PROPOSED C-RAN

To elaborate further, observe in Fig. 2, if a user was served by a single RRH and then the system switches to the twin-RRH mode, the C-RAN-DSP will assign the STBC symbols to SD_RRH1 and SD_RRH2 instead of SD_RRH1 only. Thus, as shown at Stage 3 of Fig. 7, a pair of ODSB-STBC signals are transmitted to the DD-MZM of Fig. 2 for side-band selection, as detailed in Section II. Then the transmitted optical signal is mapped to separate single-mode fibers in order to serve individual RRHs. At the RRH, we invoke the same configuration, except for the demultiplexed wavelengths of the WDM-Demux module, where in the example of Fig. 7, we use \(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4\) at RRH1, while \(\lambda'_1, \lambda'_2, \lambda'_3, \lambda'_4\) at RRH2. Thus, our proposed system is capable of supporting multiple RRH connections by adjusting the configuration of the SD block of Fig. 2.

\(^{11}\)Corresponding bit rate: 2 Gbps for BPSK and 4 Gbps for QPSK.

Hence, we are able to design a system, where the number of RRHs supporting a user can be adapted in order to vary the data rate, while meeting a particular target performance threshold. Hence, we assume the following scenarios:

1) The user is supported by a single-RRH using SM-STBC along with the modulation formats of either BPSK or QPSK.

2) The user is supported by a pair of RRHs transmitting multiplexed signal using SM-STBC using the modulation formats of either BPSK or QPSK.

B. LEARNING MODEL AND RESULTS

We amalgamate our proposed adaptive C-RAN system with a learning technique for improving the achievable data rate of the system, which relies on the classification algorithm. The rationale of the choice behind the KNN algorithm is that most classification algorithms require an explicit functional mapping between the feature set and the classifiers. The feature set in our solution includes the SNR and the BER, while the classifiers are constituted by the number of RRHs connected to a single user, associated with different modulations techniques. Explicitly, for the single RRH case we invoke SM-STBC and for the 2-RRH scenario we invoke SM-STBC and spatial multiplexing. Unfortunately, there is a paucity of information about the functional mapping between the two. Therefore, a KNN classification algorithm is invoked in this section, which removes the need for a functional mapping [31]. The KNN algorithm consists of two stages, namely training and testing. During the training phase, the samples containing the information, such as the instantaneous SNR and its corresponding BER, is collected over different channel realisations. Then, in the testing phase, we have to decide about the class that is to be employed for attaining a high data rate as well as meeting the target BER. The BER vs SNR relationship may be observed in the stylized illustration of Fig. 10, where depending on the prevalent SNR, we select the transceiver module capable of satisfying the BER requirement.

The conventional threshold adaptation relies on the BER vs SNR relationship and the SNR values meeting the target BER. For example, let us consider the results of Fig. 9. Assuming that the target at BER = \(10^{-3}\) defines SNRs, the points of intersection of the horizontal line at the BER of \(10^{-3}\), where the transmission mode reconfiguration has to take place [23]. By contrast, the KNN classification relies on the training data. For example, as shown in Fig. 10, we aim for switching between Configuration 1 and Configuration 2, which are represented by squares \(\square\) and triangles \(\triangle\). The testing point of Fig. 10 is the centroid used for representing the symbols encircled, which determines the specific configuration activated. Compared to the conventional adaptation relying on a threshold adaptation, the KNN classification assisted adaptation achieves a higher throughput.

As mentioned in Section II, our RoF aided SM-STBC is capable of flexibly switching between the single-RRH and...
twin-RRHs aided configuration, thus under the control of the KNN algorithm.

As discussed in Section II, given our fixed symbol rate, which corresponds to a fixed maximum RF modulated bandwidth, the BER performance of the BPSK-2RRH scheme becomes slightly better than that of QPSK-1RRH. Thus, we consider the following three configurations or classes for our adaptation technique based on the SM-STBC scheme (8 × 2) to verify the feasibility of controlling our adaptive C-RAN system by the KNN algorithm, while employing a convolutional code having a code rate of 1/2, a constraint length of 7 and generator polynomials of (171,133) (in octal). The following are the three configurations used in our adaptive system:

1) 2 Gbps BPSK-1RRH;
2) 3 Gbps BPSK-2RRH;
3) 5 Gbps QPSK-2RRH.

Again, the simulations rely on the training phase and the testing phase. In the training phase, we store the training data associated with the pair of features \( \{BER, SNR\} \), namely the BER and the instantaneous post-processing SNR for each channel realisation associated with a different noise level. Hence, in our system, we store 300 training symbols for each of the 20 noise levels considered. Fig. 11 shows the training data stored for the above-mentioned scenarios, where the squares, triangles and stars denote schemes representing the BPSK-1RRH, BPSK-1RRH and QPSK-2RRH schemes, respectively. The target BER of our system is \( 10^{-3} \) and the testing point is \( \{10^{-3}, M\} \), where \( M \) denotes the real instantaneous post-processing SNR.

Thus, we compare the total number of each class encircled and determine which class (configuration) is used for that...
KNN-Learning

A RoF aided solution is only marginally degraded compared to the conventional wireless SM-STBC scheme. Moreover, by taking advantage of the flexibly selectable number of RRHs of the proposed A-RoF aided C-RAN system, we invoked the KNN algorithm for intelligently adapting both the number of selected RRHs and the modulation format, showing that the achievable data rate exceeded that of the classic threshold adaptation.
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Fig. 13 shows that our KNN-learning algorithm is indeed capable of maintaining a BER below $10^{-3}$. Compared to the classic threshold based adaptation [23], we show in Fig. 14 that the KNN assisted user adaptation improves the achievable data rate. Although, we observe in Fig. 13 that the BER performance of the conventional threshold adaptation is superior to that of our KNN-assisted solution, both techniques are capable of attaining target BER performance.

Explicitly, the KNN-based learning algorithm achieves a higher throughput, because it does not maintain an unnecessarily low BER- it rather encourages the activation of higher-throughput modes.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we proposed an A-RoF aided SM-STBC scheme using optical side-band selection and further extended it to an adaptive C-RAN system, where any arbitrary number of transmitter antennas can be driven by a single MZM. In our proposed system, the power thirsty ADC, DAC and the active-powered switches of a conventional system are eliminated, resulting in an energy-efficient and cost-efficient mobile access network, where the BER performance of this A-RoF aided solution is only marginally degraded compared to the conventional wireless SM-STBC scheme.


