

1 Existing Environmental Management Approaches Relevant to Deep-Sea Mining

2

3 Daniel O. B. Jones<sup>1\*</sup>, Jennifer M. Durden<sup>1</sup>, Kevin Murphy<sup>2</sup>, Kristina M. Gjerde<sup>3</sup>, Aleksandra  
4 Gebicka<sup>3</sup>, Ana Colaço<sup>4,5</sup>, Telmo Morato<sup>4</sup>, Daphne Cuvelier<sup>4,5</sup>, and David S. M. Billett<sup>6</sup>

5 <sup>1</sup> National Oceanography Centre, University of Southampton Waterfront Campus,  
6 Southampton, SO14 3ZH, UK

7 <sup>2</sup> Environmental Resources Management Limited, North Hinksey Lane, Oxford, UK

8 <sup>3</sup> Wycliffe Management Ltd., Warsaw, Poland and IUCN Global Marine and Polar  
9 Programme, Cambridge, USA

10 <sup>4</sup> IMAR Department of Oceanography and Fisheries, Horta, Açores, Portugal

11 <sup>5</sup> MARE e Marine and Environmental Sciences Centre Açores, Departamento de  
12 Oceanografia e Pescas, Horta, Açores, Portugal

13 <sup>6</sup> Deep Seas Environmental Solutions Ltd., 132 Woodlands Road, Ashurst, Hampshire, SO40  
14 7AP

15 \*Corresponding author: [dj1@noc.ac.uk](mailto:dj1@noc.ac.uk)

16

17

18

19

20 **Highlights (max 85 characters each including spaces)**

21 -Gaps in environmental management of the deep-sea mining industry are identified

22 -Well-developed tools for management applicable to deep-sea mining exist

23 -Use lessons from other industries and science to guide deep-sea mining development

24 -Clear, robust and precautionary protocols and standards can be developed

25 **Key words**

26 Seabed mining industry; blue economy; environmental impact assessment; management  
27 systems; monitoring; mitigation

28

29

30 **Abstract**

31 Deep-sea mining (DSM) may become a significant stressor on the marine environment. The  
32 DSM industry should demonstrate transparently its commitment to preventing serious harm  
33 to the environment by complying with legal requirements, using environmental good  
34 practice, and minimizing environmental impacts. Here existing environmental management  
35 approaches relevant to DSM that can be used to improve performance are identified and  
36 detailed. DSM is still predominantly in the planning stage and will face some unique  
37 challenges but there is considerable environmental management experience in existing related  
38 industries. International good practice has been suggested for DSM by bodies such as the  
39 Pacific Community and the International Marine Minerals Society. The inherent uncertainty  
40 in DSM presents challenges, but it can be addressed by collection of environmental  
41 information, area-based/spatial management, the precautionary approach and adaptive  
42 management. Tools exist for regional and strategic management, which have already begun  
43 to be introduced by the International Seabed Authority, for example in the Clarion-Clipperton  
44 Zone. Project specific environmental management, through environmental impact  
45 assessment, baseline assessment, monitoring, mitigation and environmental management  
46 planning, will be critical to identify and reduce potential impacts. In addition, extractive  
47 companies' internal management may be optimised to improve performance by emphasising  
48 sustainability at a high level in the company, improving transparency and reporting and  
49 introducing environmental management systems. The DSM industry and its regulators have  
50 the potential to select and optimize recognised and documented effective practices and adapt  
51 them, greatly improving the environmental performance of this new industry.

52

53

## 54 **1 Introduction**

55 To date there has been no true commercial deep-sea mining (DSM), yet the sector already  
56 faces challenges in obtaining support and approval for developments. In some cases societal  
57 concerns have stopped or delayed planned seabed mining projects [1, 2]. The deep-sea  
58 environment, although vast, is poorly known and may be particularly sensitive to disturbance  
59 from anthropogenic activities [3]. Perceptions about the likely environmental impacts of  
60 deep-sea mining have been based on this sensitivity and concern over previous impacts  
61 caused by allied (or related) industries, such as terrestrial mining and offshore oil and gas  
62 operations [4]. The social and environmental effects of mining on land feature regularly in  
63 the media [e.g. 5], and the reputational and financial risks of environmental damage at sea are  
64 enormous, as demonstrated by the \$55 billion dollar cost of the 2010 Deep Water Horizon oil  
65 spill [6]. Therefore, corporate responsibility is a key issue in sustaining a profitable business  
66 and for the DSM sector as a whole.

67 This demand for social license is coupled with the overarching legal requirements of the  
68 United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea, which sets forth the environmental aim of  
69 ensuring effective protection from harmful effects of seabed mining, plus a legal obligation to  
70 avoid serious harm [7]. While definitions for these key terms are still evolving, it will be  
71 imperative for the DSM industry to transparently demonstrate its commitment to  
72 environmental sustainability in order to obtain and keep its social licence to operate [8]. It  
73 must comply with international legal requirements as well as national legislation, follow  
74 good-practice guidance, learn from the experience of allied industries and take all steps to  
75 minimize environmental impacts. To do this effectively, the industry needs to develop and  
76 maintain high standards of operations throughout the development cycle. Such management  
77 of processes is not straightforward and relies on a continuous cycle of developing,  
78 documenting, consulting, reviewing and refining activities.

79 Increased environmental standards are often assumed to impose significant costs on industry,  
80 impacting productivity adversely [9]. This view has been challenged by an alternative  
81 hypothesis that well-designed environmental regulations encourage innovation, potentially  
82 increasing productivity and producing greater profits [10]. The benefits of establishing

83 regulations and binding recommendations include: 1) increased efficiency in the use of  
84 resources, 2) greater corporate awareness, 3) lower risks that investments in environmental  
85 practices will be unprofitable, 4) greater innovation, and 5) a levelling of the playing field  
86 between operators [10]. This hypothesis applies principally to productivity and market  
87 outputs, with other benefits to reputation and social license. When these benefits are  
88 considered together, evidence-based studies suggest that improved environmental  
89 requirements bring positive outcomes for industry [11]. Compelling examples of such  
90 positive outcomes on the offshore oil industry can be found in the management of routine  
91 safety and environmental activities [12]. Reductions in safety incidents and environmental  
92 hazards and their consequences have been made through advances in operational  
93 management, including regular improvements made through an iterative cycle of planning,  
94 implementation, monitoring and review [13]. Protocols for good practice in operations have  
95 been developed, tested and refined over time. Effective operations have been taken up by  
96 trade organisations and made into industry-wide standards [13]. Increasingly more rigorous  
97 legal regimes and pressures from stakeholders have enforced changes.

98 The DSM industry has the opportunity to learn from developments in safety and  
99 environmental management practices in other industries. DSM is still predominantly in the  
100 planning stage, offering a unique opportunity to implement good-practice approaches  
101 proactively from the outset. Although DSM will face some unique challenges, many of the  
102 key environmental management issues (e.g. environmental impact assessment (EIA),  
103 environmental management planning (EMP), baseline assessment, monitoring and  
104 mitigation) have been considered and documented in detail already by allied industries. DSM  
105 has the potential to select and optimize recognised and documented good practices and adapt  
106 them. However, DSM is different from other industries. There is a particular lack of  
107 knowledge of the environments of industry interest, and very little information on the  
108 potential effects of mining activities [14]. DSM is also unlike many other marine industries in  
109 having an international legal framework that prescribes the need to avoid serious harm [7].

110 A major advantage in developing good practices for DSM is that there is one principal global  
111 regulator. Unlike most deep-water industries, it is likely that a significant amount of DSM  
112 will be carried out in areas beyond national jurisdiction (the seabed that lies beyond the limits

113 of the continental shelf is known as “the Area”). The Area and its mineral resources have  
114 been designated as the “Common Heritage of Mankind” [15]. Mining there is controlled by  
115 the International Seabed Authority (ISA), an international body composed of States party to  
116 the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS), which is charged with  
117 managing the Area and its resources on behalf of all mankind, as a kind of trustee on behalf  
118 of present and future generations [16]. The legal status of the Area and its resources  
119 influences every aspect of the ISA regime, including the determination of an adequate  
120 balance between facilitating mining and protecting the marine environment [17]. The concept  
121 of the common heritage of mankind promotes the uniform application of the highest  
122 standards for the protection of the marine environment and the safe development of activities  
123 in the Area [17]. States encouraging DSM within their Exclusive Economic Zones must  
124 ensure that national rules and standards are “no less effective” than international rules and  
125 standards [17], thus approaches adopted by the ISA should be incorporated into national  
126 legislation and regulations.

127 Here existing environmental management approaches relevant to the exploitation of deep-sea  
128 minerals are identified and detailed. Environmental management will be principally guided  
129 by ISA rules, regulations, procedures and guidelines. However, the legal landscape governing  
130 DSM has been widely discussed [e.g. 18] and is outside the scope of this review. Instead, this  
131 review focuses on the mechanisms that can be used to improve the management of DSM.  
132 These include good practices adopted by allied industry (such as the offshore oil and gas  
133 sector and the marine aggregates industry) and professional organisations. Drivers for  
134 increasing sustainability are considered, followed by an assessment of management  
135 approaches that may reduce the environmental impact of operations.

## 136 **2 Beyond compliance: drivers for improving environmental management of DSM**

137 There are many reasons for improving environmental management beyond compliance with  
138 environmental regulation. All industrial activities involve a range of stakeholders that exert  
139 direct and indirect pressure on parties active in the industry; this review concentrates on  
140 drivers from those stakeholders that can exert direct legal or financial pressure on those  
141 involved in DSM activities (Figure 1).

142

143 FIGURE 1 HERE

144

145 In the case of DSM in the Area, companies need a state sponsor. The sponsor should exercise  
146 due diligence to ensure that the mining company complies with ISA rules, regulations,  
147 standards and procedures [19]. However, there is no specific guidance on meeting this  
148 requirement [20] and no examples exist of acceptable practice. All sponsoring states may  
149 need to enact and enforce new laws (for example the Singapore Deep Seabed Mining Act  
150 (2015) was enacted to enable Singapore to become a sponsoring state [21]), and implement  
151 administrative procedures and resources to regulate their enterprises, or be held liable for  
152 damage to the marine environment [22].

153 Many DSM operations will require external funding from large organisations, including  
154 international financial organisations and institutional investors. Increasingly, financial  
155 backing for companies or projects is dependent upon meeting key environmental criteria or  
156 performance standards. Rules and advice are given by the World Bank [23] and the  
157 International Finance Corporation [24] on criteria that should be used when considering  
158 projects for finance and the performance standards that must be achieved. Projects for the  
159 World Bank are assessed on whether they are likely to have significant adverse  
160 environmental impacts and whether the ecosystems they affect are sensitive or particularly  
161 diverse [23]. If the project is unprecedented, such as in the case of DSM, consideration might  
162 be given to the degree to which potential environmental effects are poorly known [23, 25].

163 The Equator Principles have been adopted by approximately 70% of organisations providing  
164 project finance for any industry across 36 countries [26]. This group of 81 Equator Principles  
165 Financial Institutions has agreed that for a company to receive investment or finance it must  
166 demonstrate that it meets eight Environmental and Social Performance Standards developed  
167 by the International Finance Corporation [24]. The Performance Standards provide guidance  
168 on how to identify risks and impacts, and are designed to help avoid, mitigate, and manage  
169 risks and impacts as a way of doing business in a sustainable way [24]. Of key relevance is  
170 Performance Standard 6 on biodiversity conservation and sustainable management of living

171 natural resources [27]. Appropriate mitigation, following the mitigation hierarchy is  
172 emphasised particularly for avoiding biodiversity loss [28]. These appraisals take into  
173 account the level of stakeholder engagement and participation in decision taking [29].

174 Although the effect on DSM may be minor, there is evidence that an increasing number of  
175 individual investors are using environmental considerations to inform their investment  
176 decisions [30]. These ethical investment funds invest in companies based on objective  
177 environmental performance criteria. As a result, an increasing percentage of the ownership of  
178 a public company may be concerned with corporate sustainability and the share price may be  
179 partially driven by environmental performance. While a mining company may only directly  
180 benefit from this as part of an initial public offering, managers are usually shareholders and  
181 benefit from a high share price. Furthermore, the market for eventual mineral products of  
182 DSM may be driven in part by social or environmental considerations.

#### 183 2.1.1 International good practice guidance

184 National and international policy has been augmented substantially by developments in  
185 international good practice guidance. A good example of such guidance was developed to  
186 guide the development of Pacific Island States Exclusive Economic Zones (EEZ) through a  
187 joint programme of work at the Secretariat of the Pacific Community (SPC; now the Pacific  
188 Community), supported by funding from the European Commission. They have developed a  
189 Regional Legislative and Regulatory Framework (RLRF) [31], a Regional Environmental  
190 Management Framework (REMP) [32] and Regional Scientific Research Guidelines [33] for  
191 Deep-Sea Mineral Exploration and Exploitation. In assessing the impact of DSM activities  
192 and any associated activities, the SPC reports recommend an “ecosystem services” approach  
193 in all its guidance, recognizing that ecosystems provide a wider variety of services than just  
194 resources.

195 For DSM in the Area, the ISA is considering issues of corporate social responsibility as part  
196 of its development of a framework for the exploitation of deep-sea minerals [34]. This may  
197 become a particularly important issue owing to the participation of many developing nations  
198 in the ISA, several of which will have faced social and environmental issues from mining  
199 activities on land.



## 200 2.1.2 Industry bodies

201 A Voluntary Code for the Environmental Management of Marine Mining has been created  
202 through the International Marine Mining Society (IMMS) [35], and the ISA has encouraged  
203 its contractors to apply the code (ISA, 2011, Section VII B, page 12) [36]. As the ISA notes  
204 (ISBA/16/LTC/2, section I, 1) [37]:

205  
206 *The Code provides a framework and benchmarks for development and implementation of an*  
207 *environmental programme for a marine exploration or extraction site by marine mining*  
208 *companies and for stakeholders in Governments, non-governmental organizations and*  
209 *communities in evaluating actual and proposed applications of environmental programmes at*  
210 *marine mining sites. The Code also assists in meeting the marine mining industry's*  
211 *requirement for regulatory predictability and risk minimization and in facilitating financial*  
212 *and operational planning.*

213  
214 The emerging exploitation regulations can be expected to cover many of the same elements  
215 as the Code, making them mandatory. The Code can also help to guide business practices  
216 within national waters until regulatory systems catch up.

217 Companies adopting the IMMS Code commit themselves to a number of high level  
218 management actions: to observe all laws and regulations, apply good practice and fit-for-  
219 purpose procedures, observe the Precautionary Approach, consult with stakeholders, facilitate  
220 community partnerships on environmental matters, maintain a quality review programme,  
221 and transparent reporting [35]. The Code also contains guidance on responsible and  
222 sustainable development, company ethics, partnerships, environmental risk management,  
223 environmental rehabilitation, decommissioning, the collection, exchange and archiving of  
224 data, and the setting of performance targets, reporting procedures and compliance reviews.

225 The IMMS Code foresees the need for companies to develop environmentally responsible  
226 ethics by showing management commitment, implementing environmental management  
227 systems, and providing time and resources to demonstrate environmental commitment by  
228 employees, contractors and suppliers of equipment, goods and services [35]. Specific

229 recommendations are made on reviewing, improving and updating environmental policies  
230 and standards, as well as communicating these at business and scientific meetings [35].  
231 Companies are encouraged to evaluate their environmental performance regularly using a  
232 team of qualified, externally-accredited environmental auditors [35].

### 233 **3 Addressing uncertainty**

234 Deep-sea mining is planned to occur in areas that are generally poorly known, especially with  
235 regard to their ecology and sensitivities [7]. This leads to great uncertainty in the estimation  
236 of impacts [14] and hence for establishing management activities. Managers and regulators  
237 need ways to address and reduce this uncertainty. The first approach is to reduce uncertainty  
238 through baseline data collection, experimentation and monitoring of activities. This is  
239 important, but will take a long time, particularly because of the difficulties of sampling in  
240 remote deep-sea environments but also because effects must be measured over large  
241 timescales in order to capture the long response times in many deep-water systems [38]. Area  
242 based management tools (ABMT or spatial management) are a second important approach.  
243 By protecting a proportion of an area representative of the environment suitable for deep-sea  
244 mining, it is likely that many of its key attributes, such as structure, biodiversity and  
245 functioning, are also being protected, particularly if all available information is taken into  
246 account in a systematic approach [39, 40]. ABMTs are often set up at a broad scale in  
247 regional environmental management planning and at a finer scale in EMPs. Two other  
248 important approaches for dealing with uncertainty are applying the precautionary approach  
249 and adaptive management.

250 The precautionary approach is widely adopted in a range of international policy [41]. The  
251 precautionary approach is to be implemented when an activity raises threats of harm to  
252 human health or the environment, and calls for precautionary measures to be taken even if  
253 some cause and effect relationships are not fully established scientifically [41]. It is a crucial  
254 tool to address the environmental protection challenges posed by deep seabed mining, both at  
255 a regulatory level and for management by the contractor [18]. The precautionary approach is  
256 applicable to all decisions relevant to DSM, including assessments of the environmental risks  
257 and impacts, the effectiveness and proportionality of potential protective measures as well as  
258 any potential counter-effects of these measures [18, 42]. Precautionary decision-making

259 includes consideration of scientific knowledge and the identification and examination of  
260 uncertainties [18]. The precautionary approach is valuable in many stages of both the  
261 preparation and evaluation of EIA and EMPs [18, 43]. The RLRf and REMP developed by  
262 the SPC address the application of the Precautionary Approach by stressing the need to avoid  
263 the occurrence of irreversible damage. Seeking out alternatives to the proposed action as well  
264 as ongoing monitoring and research are also essential components of the precautionary  
265 approach. Where there is a possibility of an adverse effect, the provision of evidence that the  
266 nature or extent of this will be acceptable will rest with the operator.

267 For environmental management in projects of high uncertainty, adaptive management has  
268 been suggested as a suitable approach [44]. In DSM, uncertainty exists in a wide range of  
269 aspects particularly the impacts of mining and their effects on the environment. This results  
270 in uncertainty about the efficacy of mitigation measures proposed in an EMP. Adaptive  
271 management is a form of structured decision-making that addresses this uncertainty by  
272 monitoring the effects of the management plan and assessing the results of the monitoring  
273 with the intention to learn from the results and incorporate findings into revised models for  
274 management actions [21]. The SPC considers the application of adaptive management in its  
275 RLRf and REMP [31, 32]; adaptive management techniques are recommended to allow  
276 some activities to proceed despite uncertainty provided appropriate checks and risk-  
277 minimising controls are in place. The application of adaptive management is complicated in  
278 the Area as a result of the vulnerability of most deep-sea environments to serious and  
279 irreversible impacts from commercial scale DSM, combined with requirement to avoid  
280 serious harm [7]. Adaptive management could be applied both by the regulator, in setting of  
281 regulations, policies and guidelines, and by the contractor, in improving their environmental  
282 management activities throughout the project. While widely acknowledged as a useful  
283 management tool [45, 46], it is not clear how adaptive management approaches will be  
284 incorporated by the ISA into regulations or implemented for DSM in the Area [21, 47].  
285 However, adaptive management has been applied successfully by a regulator to manage  
286 chemosynthetic deep-sea communities associated with SMS deposits in national jurisdictions  
287 [48]. Adaptive management should form part of the contractors' environmental management  
288 planning and based on the results of careful monitoring, activities may be adjusted as  
289 information improves.

#### 290 **4 Broad scale environmental management**

291 Although DSM will likely occur in different geographic, ecological and geological settings,  
292 such as the Clarion-Clipperton Zone (CCZ) in the equatorial eastern Pacific, at mid ocean  
293 ridge systems and at a few selected seamounts [49], there are many environmental issues that  
294 are common to DSM development in all of these areas that would benefit from harmonizing  
295 environmental management measures [21]. For example, potential environmental risks may  
296 extend beyond the boundary of a single mining site, while others may result in cumulative  
297 impacts from multiple mine sites within a region and from interactions with other uses of  
298 marine space (such as deep-water fisheries). Environmental risks may need to be considered  
299 at a broad (regional) scale and environmental management procedures may need to be  
300 tailored to the resources and ecosystems under pressure [21], and require coordination with  
301 other stakeholders and regulatory bodies. As a result, it is important to develop approaches  
302 for environmental management at a more strategic level, for example within a region [50].

303 The broad scales of planned mining activities and potential impacts highlight the need to  
304 manage the marine environment across business sectors and at broader scales than any one  
305 activity. Management at scales greater than individual projects is usually termed strategic or  
306 regional management. The generally accepted processes for this are Regional Environmental  
307 Assessment (REA) and Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) [51, 52]. Both SEA and  
308 REA are assessments, and as such, a process. The outcome of this process is typically  
309 twofold: a report that documents the process and a management plan (e.g. a regional  
310 environmental management plan; REMP) that describes the implementation of the  
311 management approach. The ISA has already begun setting high-level strategies [53], which  
312 include protecting the marine environment and encouraging scientific research. However,  
313 their focus for detailed assessment appears to be at the regional level [21] and some elements  
314 of a regional environmental management plan already exist for the CCZ, focussed on area-  
315 based management [54]. The ISA has also held workshops with a view to develop REMPs for  
316 Mid-Atlantic Ridges and North Pacific Seamount areas. As a result, this paper focuses on  
317 regional environmental assessment, which refers to an evaluation the wider regional context  
318 within which multiple and different activities are set. REA can be viewed as a subset of SEA

319 [55, 56]. These processes are an early management action that allows biodiversity and other  
320 environmental considerations to be included in the development of new programmes [51]. A  
321 REA for DSM might include an assessment of the probability, duration, frequency and  
322 reversibility of environmental impacts, the cumulative and transboundary impacts, the  
323 magnitude and spatial extent of the effects, the value and vulnerability of the area likely to be  
324 affected including those with protection status and the extent of uncertainty in any of the  
325 above [56]. These approaches represent the need for a transparent [57] broad, or strategic,  
326 planning view. Such assessments and resulting documents therefore are ideally formulated at  
327 an early stage, but are ongoing and should be adapted with time. For example, REAs may  
328 include provisions for representative networks of systems of Marine Protected Areas (MPAs)  
329 before specific activities commence, and for adjustments in MPA provisions with time. This  
330 may be already challenging for DSM when contractor exploration areas are defined and  
331 exploration activities have begun [40].

332 Regional or strategic assessments have guided a number of similar industries to DSM and  
333 how they operate, particularly as a result of the EU SEA Directive [51]. SEA has been  
334 undertaken for the offshore oil and gas exploration and production sector for several years  
335 [58]. Not all industries follow explicitly, but have adapted the SEA approach to meet their  
336 particular needs, for example 'Zonal Environmental Appraisal' (ZEA) for the UK East  
337 Anglia Offshore Wind Farm development [59, 60] and REA for the UK Marine Aggregate  
338 Regional Environmental Assessments [MAREA; e.g. 61]. Both ZEAs and REAs consider  
339 cumulative impacts; in the former case taking into account the effects of multiple wind  
340 turbine structures and in the latter case numerous and repeated dredging operations. In the  
341 case of dredging, the impacts of existing claim areas up for renewal are considered with  
342 applications developing new areas.

343 The ISA has begun strategic planning [17]. It has adopted a regional environmental  
344 management plan in the CCZ in the equatorial Eastern Pacific Ocean [36]. The CCZ EMP  
345 incorporates some of the aspects of an REA process for polymetallic nodule mining. The  
346 CCZ EMP was adopted in 2012 to set aside c. 1.5 million km<sup>2</sup> of seabed of a total of  
347 approximately 6 million km<sup>2</sup> [50] in order to protect the full range of habitats and biodiversity  
348 across the CCZ. The EMP adopts a holistic approach to the environmental management of

349 the CCZ in its entirety, including, where appropriate, consideration of cumulative impacts,  
350 and incorporating EIAs of new and developing technologies. The CCZ EMP aims 1) to  
351 maintain regional biodiversity, ecosystem structure and ecosystem function across the CCZ,  
352 2) manage the CCZ consistent with the principles of integrated ecosystem-based management  
353 and 3) enable the preservation of representative and unique marine ecosystems. For this  
354 purpose, the CCZ EMP establishes, on a provisional basis, an initial set of nine “Areas of  
355 Particular Environmental Interest” (APEI) as no-mining areas based on expert  
356 recommendations [39, 50], which has been recommended to be expanded [62]. The CCZ  
357 EMP does not include any APEIs within the central section, with the highest nodule  
358 concentrations and greatest mining interest, primarily because exploration contracts had been  
359 issued prior to the APEIs being established [21]. The CCZ EMP has left some flexibility as  
360 the boundaries may be modified based on improved scientific information about the location  
361 of mining activity, measurements of actual impacts from mining operations, and more  
362 biological data if equivalent protection can be achieved. The EMP should be subject to  
363 periodic external review by the ISA LTC at least every five years [36].

364 In 2013, the United Nations General Assembly invited the LTC to prioritize the development  
365 of EMPs for other regions of mining interest, and development of further regional  
366 environmental management plans is now a priority for the ISA [21]. This will build on the  
367 ISA’s experience with the establishment of the environmental management plan for the CCZ.

368

## 369 **5 Project-specific environmental management**

370 Environmental management at a project level involves detailed management of a clearly  
371 defined project location and activities within known environmental conditions, with the aim  
372 of minimizing impacts according to strategic environmental objectives. Most industries have  
373 accepted processes for the incorporation of environmental management into the planning and  
374 execution of projects, with defined project phases and associated deliverables, and roles and  
375 responsibilities for involved parties [63]; such a process has been suggested as part of the  
376 IMMS Code [35] and detailed for DSM [45]. Project-specific environmental assessments, an  
377 important component of management, are common for most major developments;  
378 internationally-approved approaches involve environmental impact and risk assessment to

379 identify, avoid, mitigate and, potentially compensate for environmental impacts [63].

380 Environmental impact assessment is a key aspect of the planning and environmental  
381 management of a project [43]. EIA is a process that is documented in a report (EIA report or  
382 Environmental Impact Statement: EIS). EIA aims to describe the major impacts of an activity  
383 on the environment in terms of its nature, extent, intensity and persistence [64]; a plan can be  
384 developed to mitigate the impacts [28] using this assessment, and an overall decision can be  
385 made as to whether the project should take place [45] and what conditions should be  
386 observed if it does (for example mitigation actions, monitoring and reporting). EIA addresses  
387 the sensitivity and/or vulnerability of all habitats and species that may be affected and the  
388 ability of those habitats to recover from harm, including cumulative effects. Cumulative  
389 effects may occur from a number of repeated impacts, the sum of different impacts, and/or  
390 the combined effects of human impacts and natural events. Environmental assessments  
391 should include characteristics of the ecosystems that may warrant extra protection [65-67].  
392 The ISA draft exploitation regulations require a site-specific EIA to be completed and an  
393 environmental management plan for DSM to be developed prior to the commencement of  
394 mining operations [68]. A draft template for environmental impact statements for exploration  
395 has also been developed by the ISA [69]. An ideal EIA process has recently been detailed for  
396 DSM [43, 45]. EIA should be a transparent process that involves independent experts and  
397 encourages public participation [70].

398 EIA is typically divided into stages, which are directly applicable to DSM [43]. Screening is  
399 the process by which a project is assessed to determine whether or not the production of a  
400 statutory EIA Report is required [43]. It is expected that most DSM activities will require an  
401 EIA [43]. The scoping phase should determine the content or scope, extent of the issues to be  
402 covered, the level of detail required in the EIA and identify actions to be taken to compile the  
403 required information [71]. Scoping is an important part of the EIA process in most  
404 jurisdictions and formal scoping opinions are important in clarifying the focus and direction  
405 of the EIA process [72]. Scoping studies may include a project description, project location  
406 with mapping, a list of receptors expected to be affected at each stage and by each activity,  
407 the identification of potential environmental impacts (including likelihood and magnitude)  
408 and information on how assessment will be carried out, data availability and gaps, as well as

409 suitable survey, research and assessment methodologies [73, 74]. Scoping studies are also  
410 required to consider transboundary effects [57].

411 EIAs generally include an environmental baseline against which the effects of the project can  
412 be assessed [75]. The baseline study describes the physical, chemical, biological, geological  
413 and human-related environmental conditions that will prevail in the absence of the project,  
414 together with interactions between elements of them. Typically, the baseline study will  
415 identify the pre-project conditions, and highlight habitats and species that may be vulnerable  
416 to the impacts of the planned project. The study will describe and quantify environmental  
417 characteristics and may provide predictive modelling of some aspects to inform judgements  
418 about the quality, importance, and sensitivity of environmental variables to the impacts  
419 identified during the scoping process. Although it has been challenging to implement [76],  
420 the European Marine Strategy Framework Directive (2008/56/EC) uses the concept of good  
421 environmental status, with multiple descriptors to define the baseline and thresholds for  
422 significant effects. All DSM projects are expected to acquire new baseline data specific to the  
423 project prior to test operations and full-scale mining [77]. The baseline study will form the  
424 basis for subsequent monitoring of environmental impact during mining.

425 The ISA has issued guidance to contractors on the elements required in an environmental  
426 baseline study [77, 78] covering all three main mineral resource types: polymetallic nodules,  
427 sulphides and cobalt-rich crusts. To ensure a degree of standardization and quality, the  
428 guidance on baseline study elements includes the definition of biological, chemical,  
429 geological and physical measurements to be made, the methods and procedures to be  
430 followed, and location of measurement such as the sea-surface, in mid-water and on the  
431 seabed. Scientists have made further suggestions on parameters to include [43, 45]. These  
432 data are required to document the natural conditions that exist prior to mining activities, to  
433 determine natural processes and their rates, and to make accurate environmental impact  
434 predictions.

435 Baseline survey for DSM may have some specific characteristics that differentiate it from  
436 other industries [75]. There is very little knowledge of potential effects of large-scale mining  
437 activities and the ecology of the areas likely to be impacted by mining is likewise poorly  
438 known [14]. As a result, baseline surveys will necessarily have to target a wider range of



439 investigations. Building the knowledge-base of how ecosystems respond to mining  
440 disturbance is also critical and measures of initial impacts, ecosystem effects and the rate of  
441 recovery of faunal communities and ecosystem function will be important. Residual  
442 uncertainty will be high, at least in the EIA phase, and statistical and probability analyses will  
443 be important to assess the likelihood of occurrence of a particular outcome [79]. A  
444 comparison of the mining site and reference areas to wider knowledge of biological  
445 communities in the region should be made. Area based or spatial management options are  
446 likely to be an important component of managing residual impacts [21, 79].

447 The guiding principle for environmental management is to prevent or mitigate adverse  
448 impacts on the environment [28]. The tiered “Mitigation Hierarchy” is becoming an accepted  
449 tool for operationalizing this principle [28] and is integral to the International Finance  
450 Corporation’s Performance Standards [24]. The first two tiers of the hierarchy, avoidance and  
451 minimisation, prevent the impacts from occurring and thus deserve particular emphasis.  
452 Indeed, these principles are referred to throughout guidance for DSM. The last tiers of the  
453 hierarchy, restoration and offsetting, are remediative, as they seek to repair and compensate  
454 for unavoidable damage to biodiversity. These stages have been little explored in the case of  
455 DSM [see 80] and are expected to be costly and have uncertain outcomes [28, 43, 81, 82].

456 An EIA Report brings together all the information generated from environmental baseline  
457 studies, the planned industrial activities, the EIA, and proposals for mitigation of impacts.  
458 The details of the planned industrial activities should include a description of the proposed  
459 development, its objectives and potential benefits, compliance with legislation, regulation and  
460 guidelines, stakeholder consultations and closure plans [83]. The EIA Report contains a set of  
461 commitments to avoid, and to minimise or reduce the environmental impacts of a project to  
462 an acceptable level (and in some instances to offset or compensate for the effects). While an  
463 EIA Report is generally specific to one project it may have to take into account other  
464 activities, environmental planning provisions and business sectors in the region and the  
465 possible cumulative impacts of the proposed activity with these other operations. It may also  
466 have to take into account effects of any reasonably foreseeable future impacts (e.g. climate  
467 change and ocean acidification). Guidance for the preparation of EIA reports for DSM in the  
468 exploration phase has been provided by the ISA [68, 69] and further elaborations are to be

469 expected as part of the exploitation regulations and associated documents.

470 An initial guide on EIA for prospective developers planning mineral exploitation activities  
471 [68, 84] has now been refined by guidelines for EIAs relating to offshore mining and drilling  
472 in New Zealand waters [79]. These guides highlighted some concerns specific to DSM, in  
473 particularly the high levels of uncertainty associated with DSM. Sources of uncertainty, such  
474 as uncertainties in environmental conditions, mining plans, impacts of activities or efficacy of  
475 mitigation actions, should be identified and mitigation should be precautionary. Uncertainty  
476 may be addressed in part with the use of predictive models, which should be described,  
477 validated, reviewed and tested against other models [79] as was done in some existing EIAs  
478 for DSM [84].

479 Every plan of work for marine minerals must include a plan for management and monitoring,  
480 the EMP (Environmental Management Plan, also known as an Environmental Management  
481 and Monitoring Plan, EMMP). The aim of the EMP is to ensure that harmful effects are  
482 minimized, no serious harm is caused to the marine environment and the more specific  
483 requirements of ISA rules, regulations and standards as well as the environmental goals of the  
484 actions planned in the EIA are achieved. The EIA Report should contain at least a provisional  
485 EMP or a framework for one [e.g. 85]. Both the EIA Report and the final EMP are generally  
486 required to obtain regulatory approval to begin and continue operations; the ISA has provided  
487 some instructions for the content of an EMP for DSM [68].

488 An EMP is a project-specific plan developed to ensure that all necessary measures are  
489 identified and implemented in order to ensure effective protection of the marine  
490 environment, monitor the impacts of a project and to comply with ISA environmental rules,  
491 regulations and procedures as well as relevant national legislation [85, 86]. Such plans should  
492 clearly detail how environmental management and monitoring activities will be accomplished  
493 through the elaboration of specific objectives, components and activities, inputs (human,  
494 physical, financial) and outputs [85, 87] . The EMP must include monitoring before, during  
495 and after testing and commercial use of collecting systems and equipment. This will require  
496 the development of relevant indicators, thresholds and responses in order to trigger timely  
497 action to prevent serious harm. Monitoring will demonstrate whether the predictions made in  
498 the EIA are broadly correct, show that mitigation is working as planned, address any

499 uncertainties, demonstrate compliance with the approval conditions, allow the early  
500 identification of unexpected or unforeseen effects, and supports the principle of ‘adaptive  
501 management’. A clear budget and schedule for implementation is also required, with  
502 identification of the agencies responsible for financing, supervision and implementation, and  
503 other relevant stakeholders’ interests, roles and responsibilities [86]. The monitoring plan  
504 should allow for impacts to be evaluated and compared with the scale(s) of variation expected  
505 from natural change, which should be assessed in the baseline study [87].

506 Within site management and monitoring plans provide the opportunity for specifying more  
507 local area-based management approaches. For example, it looks likely that exploitation  
508 monitoring will require establishment of impact reference zones (IRZ) and preservation  
509 reference zones (PRZ) in keeping with the ISA exploration regulations [88, 89]. Dedicated  
510 protected areas within a claim area (potentially including the PRZ), either based on criteria of  
511 representativity or importance, may help meet management objectives by mitigating impacts,  
512 at least at the scale of the claim area. Environmental management plans also offer the  
513 opportunity for even finer-scale mitigation options, such as leaving protected recolonization  
514 networks or including technological approaches to reducing the impact.

515 Nautilus Minerals Inc. have engaged in advance planning for SMS mining in the Exclusive  
516 Economic Zone of Papua New Guinea at the ‘Solwara 1’ site [84]. The approach taken by  
517 Nautilus Minerals is similar to that outlined here for other related industries. Nautilus  
518 Minerals collected environmental data to inform the EIA and improve management. Their  
519 environmental plan allows for mitigation strategies to assist the recovery of benthic  
520 ecosystems, although it is not clear if these strategies will be carried out. Mitigation strategies  
521 include the preservation of similar communities, in terms of species, abundance, biomass,  
522 diversity and community structure, at a locality within 2 km upstream [84] to allow  
523 monitored natural recolonisation of the mined area. They also include potential active  
524 restoration through the translocation of faunal groups from areas about to be mined to those  
525 areas where mining is complete [80]. A monitoring plan is to be submitted by Nautilus to  
526 PNG as part of an EMP before mining begins [84]. They will monitor and report on  
527 compliance with regulatory permits and licenses, including the validation of predicted  
528 impacts, the documentation of any unanticipated events and the introduction of additional

529 management measures. Such a project is inevitably controversial [90], but has received  
530 authorisation to proceed from the PNG government.

531 Environmental impact assessment has been carried out for other mining-related projects.  
532 Some details of the EIS are available for a SMS project in either Okinawa Trough or Izu-  
533 Bonin Arc in Japan's national waters [91]. This work focusses on the environmental baseline  
534 data for the sites. There have also been two recent EIS produced for a nodule collector test in  
535 two claim areas of the Clarion-Clipperton Zone. These provide detail on small-scale tests  
536 (covering approximately 0.1 km<sup>2</sup> of seabed) in the German Federal Institute for Geosciences  
537 and Natural Resources (BGR) and Belgian Global Sea Mineral Resources NV (GSR) claims  
538 as part of the Joint Programming Initiative-Oceans science and industry project  
539 MiningImpact [92, 93]. The responses to these documents is as yet unknown.

## 540 **6 Corporate tools for environmental management**

541 A key characteristic of a modern sustainable business is a clear focus on sustainability in the  
542 corporate strategy. To achieve this focus, the senior management team of an organisation  
543 must include environmental considerations in all aspects of the business and create policies  
544 that embody broad sustainability principles. Clear management responsibilities and  
545 commitment at the highest level are vital to integrate environmentally responsible and  
546 sustainable management practices into all operations within a company, from exploration,  
547 through design and construction to operations (e.g. mining, minerals processing, waste  
548 disposal, mine site rehabilitation and decommissioning). Staff dedicated to environmental  
549 responsibilities report directly to senior management [94, 95], and environmental goals are  
550 embedded in the job descriptions of all managers. As recommended by the IMMS code [35],  
551 a senior executive environmental manager should be appointed to monitor the company's  
552 marine mining activities, products or services, as well as monitoring internal environmental  
553 performance targets and communicating these to employees and sub-contractors. Both  
554 internal initiatives and external advice can be used for development, implementation and  
555 refinement of sustainability strategies actions and indicators. An environmental management  
556 structure that formalises reporting is used in industries similar to DSM to improve  
557 sustainability across operations [95]. This is particularly critical as companies become larger  
558 and environmental initiatives need to be maintained across multiple projects or divisions.

559 Corporate transparency is important in improving sustainability, both within and outside the  
560 company [96] particularly for DSM [8]. An increase in anticipated or real scrutiny provides  
561 the business case for sustainability and enhances innovation. This is vital for public  
562 companies that are obliged to report to investors and disclose material aspects (i.e.  
563 information important in making an investment decision). Integrated reporting is becoming  
564 more common, in which sustainability metrics are included in annual financial reports. The  
565 International Integrated Reporting Framework [97] sets out guidelines for this. Reports and  
566 performance metrics should encourage sustainability and efforts should be made to quantify  
567 and monitor environmental impacts [97]. Reporting initiatives such as the Global Reporting  
568 Initiative [98], the Sustainability Accounting Standards Board [99] and the Shared Value  
569 Initiative [100] should be encouraged. A long-term focus is also important for sustainability  
570 and reporting and metrics that focus on the short term should be avoided, for example  
571 quarterly profit reports [97]. It is recommended that during periodic review key areas for  
572 improvement and specific actions should be identified and defined to increase sustainability.  
573 This may be done through function or issue-related policies, which are disseminated  
574 internally (through training, corporate communication or inclusion in staff evaluations) and  
575 externally (through sustainability reporting or marketing). Sustainability policies should be  
576 regularly reviewed and updated [97]

577 Larger companies may adopt an operational management system (OMS), which is a  
578 framework aimed at helping it to manage risks in its operating activities. The OMS brings  
579 together a company's needs and internal standards on a range of matters such as health and  
580 safety, security, environment, social responsibility and operational reliability. OMS are  
581 commonplace in the oil and gas industry, where there are established guidelines for the  
582 creation and improvement of OMS [101].

583 Environmental Management System (EMS) are thought to have an important role in  
584 improving overall corporate environmental performance [102], particularly if clearly linked  
585 to environmental management planning [86]. EMS is a formal and standardised (for example  
586 ISA 14001 [103] and the European Eco-Management and Audit Scheme [104]) approach to  
587 integrate procedures and processes for the training of personnel, monitoring, summarizing,

588 and reporting of specialized environmental performance information to internal and external  
589 stakeholders of the company [105]. In other industries EMS is often a component of an  
590 overarching Health, Safety and Environmental (HSE) management system that governs all of  
591 its activities [106, 107]. Aspects of an EMS are encouraged by the IMMS Code [35] and  
592 implemented by companies involved in DSM [108, 109], but no detailed EMSs have yet been  
593 presented for DSM. Evidence suggests that having a formalized and certified EMS in place  
594 increases the impact of environmental activities on corporate performance, more so than  
595 informal and uncertified systems [105].

## 596 **7 Recommendations**

597 Several important areas for development of protocols and standards have been identified in  
598 this review. These represent current gaps that key stakeholders for deep-sea mining could  
599 consider targeting as a priority. These have been generally grouped into approaches for  
600 environmental management, environmental assessment and mitigation.

601 Environmental management standards and guidelines for deep-sea mining are in their  
602 infancy. Some progress has been made for EIA and the contents of EIS, but further detail is  
603 required, particularly as deep-sea mining assessments have already begun. REA is likely an  
604 important process for broad-scale management and has already started for the CCZ. Unifying  
605 the approach for REA across regions and optimising the development of REMPs will  
606 improve management and provide further guidance for EIA. Operational decision making,  
607 particularly by the ISA, is currently untested as no developments have started but will  
608 become necessary once exploitation is closer. It is not clear what the process for this will be  
609 but clear approaches, timeliness and consistency may be important. Efficient management  
610 also requires access to quality information and data and is improved by transparency. Further  
611 to this, companies may want to develop improved approaches for their internal management  
612 of DSM projects, such as EMS.

613 Effective environmental management needs good information, particularly to predict and  
614 assess mining-related impacts. In the deep-sea much of this information is currently  
615 unknown. However, the scientific tools and expertise are available, in the majority, to collect  
616 appropriate information. Optimising data collection during baseline assessment and  
617 monitoring is important to ensure cost-effective yet robust assessment of impacts. This

618 optimisation requires improvements in survey approaches and sampling designs, using the  
619 latest data collection and analysis tools. Quantitative prediction approaches, including  
620 modelling (for example plume modelling), are likely to be important. This prediction and  
621 effective monitoring will rely on the establishment of robust specific environmental  
622 indicators, determining what represents good environmental status and establishing  
623 appropriate thresholds for impact. Clear guidance for EMP would help ensure impacts can be  
624 detected if they occur and facilitate broad-scale data analysis by making datasets more  
625 comparable between projects. Approaches for estimating cumulative impacts also need to be  
626 developed.

627 Effective management relies on appropriate mitigation approaches. The general approaches  
628 for mitigation, as outlined in the mitigation hierarchy, are well known. Developing specific  
629 approaches for reducing the potential negative impacts of deep-sea mining on the  
630 environment is a priority as potential mitigation actions are untested and may not correspond  
631 with those appropriate for other environments [82].

## 632 **8 Conclusions**

633 It is clear that there is a pressing need for environmental management of the DSM industry.  
634 There is already much international and national legislation in place that stipulates key  
635 environmental management principles and requirements. There is also substantial pressure  
636 from both direct and indirect stakeholders for procedures to be put in place that reduce the  
637 magnitude and likelihood of environmental risks. In many cases the regulator for DSM  
638 activities is clearly identified. The ISA and many national regulators have implemented some  
639 environmental procedures, which are being further developed and updated regularly.

640 There is a well-developed set of tools for reducing industrial environmental impacts that can  
641 be applied to DSM. In some cases these have been tested, for example the Solwara 1  
642 development has already undertaken an EIA. In other cases it is not clear how some tools, for  
643 example strategic environmental assessment, will be implemented in the case of DSM.

644 Currently the DSM industry is small and facing much international scrutiny. As a result,  
645 environmental impacts and the sustainability of the industry will be high on the corporate  
646 agenda. As the industry develops and becomes larger, potentially with companies managing  
647 multiple projects across the world, environmental management may become more difficult

648 and critical. Incorporating lessons from the offshore oil and gas industry in creating systems  
649 for both organizational and environmental management of DSM will help reduce  
650 environmental impacts and risks. It is important to act now in developing and reviewing the  
651 guidance for this fledgling industry because standards and protocols set at the outset quickly  
652 become precedents. Lessons learned from other marine policy and industries can be applied  
653 to DSM, while considering the higher level environmental obligations of UNCLOS. This can  
654 result in clear, robust and precautionary protocols and standards to guide the DSM industry as  
655 it develops.

### 656 **Acknowledgements**

657 The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Union Seventh  
658 Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under the MIDAS (Managing Impacts of Deep-sea  
659 reSource exploitation) project, grant agreement 603418 and from Horizon 2020 under the  
660 MERCES (Marine Ecosystem Restoration in Changing European Seas) project grant  
661 agreement 689518. AC is supported by Program Investigador  
662 (IF/00029/2014/CP1230/CT0002) and DC is supported by a post-doctoral scholarship  
663 (SFRH/BPD/110278/2015) both from FCT. This paper is based on MIDAS Deliverable 8.2,  
664 although it has been updated and made more concise. DJ received support from NERC  
665 through National Capability funding to NOC as part of the Climate Linked Atlantic Section  
666 Science (CLASS) programme, grant number NE/R015953/1.

### 667 **Conflict of interest statement**

668 The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or  
669 financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

670



671 **9 References**

- 672 [1] New Zealand Environmental Protection Authority, Decision on marine consent application  
673 by Chatham Rock Phosphate Limited to mine phosphorite nodules on the Chatham Rise, New  
674 Zealand Government, 2015.
- 675 [2] New Zealand Environmental Protection Authority, Trans-Tasman Resources Ltd Marine  
676 Consent Decision, New Zealand Government, 2014.
- 677 [3] C.R. Smith, L.A. Levin, A. Koslow, P.A. Tyler, A.G. Glover, The near future of the deep  
678 seafloor ecosystems, in: N. Polunin (Ed.), *Aquatic Ecosystems: Trends and Global Prospects*,  
679 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008, pp. 334-349.
- 680 [4] C. Mason, G. Paxton, J. Parr, N. Boughen, Charting the territory: Exploring stakeholder  
681 reactions to the prospect of seafloor exploration and mining in Australia, *Marine Policy* 34(6)  
682 (2010) 1374-1380.
- 683 [5] J. Vidal, 'I drank the water and ate the fish. We all did. The acid has damaged me  
684 permanently', *The Guardian*, London, 2015.
- 685 [6] BP, Annual Report and Form 20-F 2015, 2015.
- 686 [7] L.A. Levin, K. Mengerink, K.M. Gjerde, A.A. Rowden, C.L. Van Dover, M.R. Clark, E. Ramirez-  
687 Llodra, B. Currie, C.R. Smith, K.N. Sato, N. Gallo, A.K. Sweetman, H. Lily, C.W. Armstrong, J.  
688 Brider, Defining "serious harm" to the marine environment in the context of deep-seabed  
689 mining, *Marine Policy* 74 (2016) 245-259.
- 690 [8] J.A. Ardron, H.A. Ruhl, D.O.B. Jones, Incorporating transparency into the governance of  
691 deep-seabed mining in the Area beyond national jurisdiction, *Marine Policy* 89 (2018) 58-66.
- 692 [9] K. Palmer, W.E. Oates, P.R. Portney, Tightening Environmental Standards: The Benefit-Cost  
693 or the No-Cost Paradigm?, *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 9(4) (1995) 119-132.
- 694 [10] M.E. Porter, C. van der Linde, Toward a New Conception of the Environment-  
695 Competitiveness Relationship, *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 9(4) (1995) 97-118.
- 696 [11] S. Managi, J.J. Opaluch, D. Jin, T.A. Grigalunas, Environmental Regulations and  
697 Technological Change in the Offshore Oil and Gas Industry, *Land Economics* 81(2) (2005) 303-  
698 319.
- 699 [12] P.A.S. Mendes, J. Hall, S. Matos, B. Silvestre, Reforming Brazil's offshore oil and gas safety  
700 regulatory framework: Lessons from Norway, the United Kingdom and the United States,  
701 *Energy Policy* 74(Supplement C) (2014) 443-453.
- 702 [13] Y. Li, F.W. Guldenmund, Safety management systems: A broad overview of the literature,  
703 *Safety Science* 103(Supplement C) (2018) 94-123.
- 704 [14] D.O.B. Jones, S. Kaiser, A.K. Sweetman, C.R. Smith, L. Menot, A. Vink, D. Trueblood, J.  
705 Greinert, D.S.M. Billett, P.M. Arbizu, T. Radziejewska, R. Singh, B. Ingole, T. Stratmann, E.  
706 Simon-Lledó, J.M. Durden, M.R. Clark, Biological responses to disturbance from simulated  
707 deep-sea polymetallic nodule mining, *PLoS ONE* 12(2) (2017) e0171750.
- 708 [15] United Nations, United National Convention on the Law of the Sea, part IX (1982).
- 709 [16] A. Jaeckel, J. Ardron, K. Gjerde, Conserving the Common Heritage of Humankind –  
710 Options for the Deep Seabed Mining Regime, *Marine Policy* 78 (2017) 150-157.
- 711 [17] A. Jaeckel, An Environmental Management Strategy for the International Seabed

712 Authority? The Legal Basis, *International Journal of Marine and Coastal Law* 30 (2015) 1-27.  
713 [18] A.L. Jaeckel, *The International Seabed Authority and the Precautionary Principle: Balancing Deep Seabed Mineral Mining and Marine Environmental Protection*, Brill, Nijhoff, 2017.  
714  
715 [19] International Seabed Authority, *Advisory Opinion of the Seabed Disputes Chamber on the responsibilities and obligations of States sponsoring persons and entities with respect to activities in the Area*, ISBA/17/C/6, 2011.  
716  
717 [20] A. Jaeckel, *The precautionary approach and environmental impact assessment in the context of the ISA*, Griffith Law School and International Seabed Authority Workshop on Environmental Assessment and Management for Exploitation of Minerals in the Area, Surfers' Paradise, Queensland, Australia, 2016.  
718  
719 [21] International Seabed Authority, *Towards an ISA environmental management strategy for the area: report of an international workshop convened by the German Environment Agency (UBA), the German Federal Institute for Geosciences and Natural Resources (BGR) and the Secretariat of the International Seabed Authority (ISA) in Berlin, Germany, 20-24 March 2017*. ISA Technical Study 17, International Seabed Authority, Kingston, Jamaica, 2017.  
720  
721 [22] R. Rayfuse, *Differentiating the Common? The Responsibilities and Obligations of States Sponsoring Deep Seabed Mining Activities in the Area*, *German Yearbook of International Law* 54 (2011) 459-488.  
722  
723 [23] World Bank, *World Bank Operational Policy 4.01, Environmental Assessment*, January 1999 revised April 2013, 2013.  
724  
725 [24] International Finance Corporation, *IFC Performance Standards on Environmental and Social Sustainability*, Washington D. C., 2012.  
726  
727 [25] World Bank, *Precautionary Management of Deep Sea Mining* 2016.  
728  
729 [26] The Equator Principles Association, *The Equator Principles III*, 2013.  
730  
731 [27] International Finance Corporation, *Guidance Note 6 Biodiversity Conservation and Sustainable Management of Living Natural Resources*, 2012.  
732  
733 [28] J. Ekstrom, L. Bennun, R. Mitchell, *A cross-sector guide for implementing the Mitigation Hierarchy*, Cross Sector Biodiversity Initiative, Cambridge, 2015.  
734  
735 [29] International Finance Corporation, *IFC-CESI Environmental and Social Review Procedures Manual Version 7*, April 15, 2013, 2013.  
736  
737 [30] R. Havemann, P. Webster, *Does Ethical Investment Pay? EIRIS research and other studies of ethical investment and financial performance*, EIRIS, 1999.  
738  
739 [31] Secretariat of the Pacific Community, *Pacific-ACP States Regional Legislative and Regulatory Framework for Deep Sea Minerals Exploration and Exploitation*, prepared under the SPC-EU EDF10 Deep Sea Minerals Project., 2012, p. 70.  
740  
741 [32] Secretariat of the Pacific Community, *Pacific-ACP states regional environmental management framework for deep sea minerals exploration and exploitation*, 2016.  
742  
743 [33] Secretariat of the Pacific Community, *Pacific-ACP states regional scientific research guidelines for deep sea minerals*, National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research, Wellington, New Zealand, 2016, p. 116.  
744  
745 [34] International Seabed Authority, *Towards the Development of a Regulatory Framework for Polymetallic Nodule Exploitation in the Area*, ISA Technical Study: No. 11, Kingston, Jamaica, 2013.  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755

- 756 [35] International Marine Minerals Society, Code for environmental management of marine  
757 mining, 2011.
- 758 [36] International Seabed Authority, Environmental Management Plan for the Clarion  
759 Clipperton Zone. ISBA/17/LTC/7, International Seabed Authority, Kingston, Jamaica, 2011.
- 760 [37] International Seabed Authority, The International Marine Minerals Society's Code for  
761 Environmental Management of Marine Mining. ISBA/16/LTC/2, International Seabed  
762 Authority, Kingston, Jamaica, 2010.
- 763 [38] S. Gollner, S. Kaiser, L. Menzel, D.O.B. Jones, A. Brown, N.C. Mestre, D. van Oevelen, L.  
764 Menot, A. Colaço, M. Canals, D. Cuvelier, J.M. Durden, A. Gebruk, G.A. Egho, M. Haeckel, Y.  
765 Marcon, L. Mevenkamp, T. Morato, C.K. Pham, A. Purser, A. Sanchez-Vidal, A. Vanreusel, A.  
766 Vink, P. Martinez Arbizu, Resilience of benthic deep-sea fauna to mining activities, *Marine  
767 Environmental Research* 129 (2017) 76-101.
- 768 [39] L.M. Wedding, A.M. Friedlander, J.N. Kittinger, L. Watling, S.D. Gaines, M. Bennett, S.M.  
769 Hardy, C.R. Smith, From principles to practice: a spatial approach to systematic conservation  
770 planning in the deep sea, *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 280(1773)  
771 (2013) 20131684.
- 772 [40] D.C. Dunn, C.L.V. Dover, R.J. Etter, C.R. Smith, L.A. Levin, T. Morato, A. Colaço, A.C. Dale,  
773 A.V. Gebruk, K.M. Gjerde, P.N. Halpin, K.L. Howell, D. Johnson, J.A.A. Perez, M.C. Ribeiro, H.  
774 Stuckas, P. Weaver, SEMPIA Workshop Participants, A strategy for the conservation of  
775 biodiversity on mid-ocean ridges from deep-sea mining, *Science Advances* (2018).
- 776 [41] R. Wang, The precautionary principle in maritime affairs, *WMU Journal of Maritime  
777 Affairs* 10(2) (2011) 143.
- 778 [42] R. Cooney, A Long and Winding Road? Precaution from Principle to Practice in  
779 Biodiversity Conservation, in: E. Fisher, J. Jones, R.v. Schomberg (Eds.), *Implementing the  
780 Precautionary Principle: Perspectives And Prospects*, Edward Elgar Publishing 2006, pp. 236-  
781 238.
- 782 [43] J.M. Durden, L.E. Lallier, K. Murphy, A. Jaeckel, K. Gjerde, D.O.B. Jones, Environmental  
783 Impact Assessment process for deep-sea mining in 'the Area', *Marine Policy* 87 (2018) 194-  
784 202.
- 785 [44] C.J. Walters, C.S. Holling, Large-Scale Management Experiments and Learning by Doing,  
786 *Ecology* 71(6) (1990) 2060-2068.
- 787 [45] J.M. Durden, K. Murphy, A. Jaeckel, C.L. Van Dover, S. Christiansen, K. Gjerde, A. Ortega,  
788 D.O.B. Jones, A procedural framework for robust environmental management of deep-sea  
789 mining projects using a conceptual model, *Marine Policy* 84 (2017) 193-201.
- 790 [46] J.E. McFadden, T.L. Hiller, A.J. Tyre, Evaluating the efficacy of adaptive management  
791 approaches: is there a formula for success?, *J Environ Manage* 92(5) (2011) 1354-9.
- 792 [47] A. Jaeckel, Deep seabed mining and adaptive management: The procedural challenges  
793 for the International Seabed Authority, *Marine Policy* 70 (2016) 205-211.
- 794 [48] G.S. Boland, Challenges in Adaptive Management: Chemosynthetic Communities in the  
795 Gulf of Mexico, *Sea Grant Law and Policy Journal* 3(1) (2010) 19-30.
- 796 [49] K.A. Miller, K.F. Thompson, P. Johnston, D. Santillo, An Overview of Seabed Mining

797 Including the Current State of Development, Environmental Impacts, and Knowledge Gaps,  
798 *Frontiers in Marine Science* 4(418) (2018).

799 [50] M. Lodge, D. Johnson, G. Le Gurun, M. Wengler, P. Weaver, V. Gunn, Seabed mining:  
800 International Seabed Authority environmental management plan for the Clarion–Clipperton  
801 Zone. A partnership approach, *Marine Policy* 49 (2014) 66-72.

802 [51] Directive 2001/42/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council on the Assessment  
803 of the Effects of Certain Plans and Programmes on the Environment, PE-CONS 3619/3/01,  
804 2001.

805 [52] Protocol on Strategic Environmental Assessment to the Convention on Environmental  
806 Impact Assessment in a Transboundary Context, 2003.

807 [53] International Seabed Authority, Draft Strategic Plan for the International Seabed  
808 Authority for the five-year period 2019-2023. ISBA/24/A/4, International Seabed Authority,  
809 Jamaica, 2018.

810 [54] International Seabed Authority, Decision of the Council relating to an environmental  
811 management plan for the Clarion-Clipperton Zone, ISBA/18/C/22, Kingston, Jamaica, 2012.

812 [55] C. Wood, M. Dejedour, Strategic environmental assessment: EA of policies, plans and  
813 programmes, *Impact Assessment* 10(1) (1992) 3-22.

814 [56] R. Therivel, *Strategic Environmental Assessment in Action*, Earthscan, London, 2010.

815 [57] Convention on Environmental Impact Assessment in a Transboundary Context (Espoo,  
816 1991) - the 'Espoo (EIA) Convention', ECE/MP.EIA/21, 1991.

817 [58] C. Fidler, B. Noble, Advancing strategic environmental assessment in the offshore oil and  
818 gas sector: Lessons from Norway, Canada, and the United Kingdom, *Environmental Impact*  
819 *Assessment Review* 34 (2012) 12-21.

820 [59] EAOW, East Anglia Offshore Wind Zonal Environmental Appraisal Report March 2012,  
821 East Anglia Offshore Wind, 2012.

822 [60] MMO, Evaluation of the current state of knowledge on potential cumulative effects from  
823 offshore wind farms (OWF) to inform marine planning and marine licensing, Marine  
824 Management Organisation Project No: 1009, London, 2013, p. 71.

825 [61] EMU Limited, South Coast Marine Aggregate Regional Environmental Assessment,  
826 Volume 1 and 2, Report for the South Coast Dredging Association, 2012.

827 [62] International Seabed Authority, Review of the implementation of the environmental  
828 management plan for the Clarion-Clipperton Fracture Zone. ISBA/22/LTC/12, International  
829 Seabed Authority, Legal and Technical Commission, Kingston, Jamaica, 2016, pp. 1-10.

830 [63] P. Wathern, *Environmental Impact Assessment: Theory and Practice*, Routledge, London,  
831 2013.

832 [64] J. Glasson, The First 10 Years of the UK EIA System: Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities  
833 and Threats, *Planning Practice & Research* 14(3) (1999) 363-375.

834 [65] C.L. Van Dover, C.R. Smith, J. Ardron, S. Arnaud, Y. Beaudoin, J. Bezaury, G. Boland, D.S.M.  
835 Billet, M. Carr, G. Cherkashov, A. Cook, F. DeLeo, D. Dunn, C.R. Fisher, L. Godet, K. Gjerde, P.  
836 Halpin, L. Levin, M. Lodge, L. Menot, K. Miller, D. Milton, L. Naudts, C. Nugent, L. Pendleton,  
837 S. Plouviez, A. Rowden, R. Santos, T. Shank, S. Smith, C. Tao, A. Tawake, A. Thurnherr, T.  
838 Treude, *Environmental Management of Deep-Sea Chemosynthetic Ecosystems: Justification*  
839 *of and Considerations for a Spatially-Based Approach.*, ISA Technical Study No. 9, 92 pages.,  
840 Kingston, Jamaica, 2011.

841 [66] T.A. Schlacher, A.R. Baco, A.A. Rowden, T.D. O'Hara, M.R. Clark, C. Kelley, J.F. Dower,  
842 Seamount benthos in a cobalt-rich crust region of the central Pacific: conservation challenges  
843 for future seabed mining, *Diversity and Distributions* 20(5) (2014) 491-502.

844 [67] P.C. Collins, P. Croot, J. Carlsson, A. Colaço, A. Grehan, K. Hyeong, R. Kennedy, C. Mohn,  
845 S. Smith, H. Yamamoto, A. Rowden, A primer for the Environmental Impact Assessment of  
846 mining at seafloor massive sulfide deposits, *Marine Policy* 42 (2013) 198-209.

847 [68] International Seabed Authority, Environmental Management Needs for Exploration and  
848 Exploitation of Deep Sea Minerals. ISA Technical Study: No. 10, Nadi, Fiji, 2011.

849 [69] ISA, Draft Environmental Impact Statement template. ISBA/24/LTC/WP.1/Add.1,  
850 International Seabed Authority, Kingston, Jamaica, 2018.

851 [70] L.E. Lallier, F. Maes, Environmental impact assessment procedure for deep seabed mining  
852 in the area: Independent expert review and public participation, *Marine Policy* (2016).

853 [71] United Nations Environment Programme, Environmental Impact Assessment Training  
854 Resource Manual, 2002.

855 [72] The Town and Country Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017  
856 571, UK, 2017.

857 [73] UK Marine Management Organisation, [https://www.gov.uk/guidance/marine-licensing-](https://www.gov.uk/guidance/marine-licensing-impact-assessments)  
858 [impact-assessments](https://www.gov.uk/guidance/marine-licensing-impact-assessments), accessed November 2017.

859 [74] International Finance Corporation, A Guide to Biodiversity for the Private Sector, 2015.  
860 [http://www.ifc.org/wps/wcm/connect/topics\\_ext\\_content/ifc\\_external\\_corporate\\_site/ifc+](http://www.ifc.org/wps/wcm/connect/topics_ext_content/ifc_external_corporate_site/ifc+sustainability/learning+and+adapting/knowledge+products/publications/biodiversityguide)  
861 [sustainability/learning+and+adapting/knowledge+products/publications/biodiversityguide](http://www.ifc.org/wps/wcm/connect/topics_ext_content/ifc_external_corporate_site/ifc+sustainability/learning+and+adapting/knowledge+products/publications/biodiversityguide).

862 [75] M.R. Clark, H. Rouse, G. Lamarche, J. Ellis, C. Hickey, Preparation of Environmental Impact  
863 Assessments: General guidelines for offshore mining and drilling with particular reference to  
864 New Zealand, National Institute of Water & Atmospheric Research, 2017, p. 105.

865 [76] A. Borja, M. Elliott, J.H. Andersen, A.C. Cardoso, J. Carstensen, J.G. Ferreira, A.-S.  
866 Heiskanen, J.C. Marques, J.M. Neto, H. Teixeira, L. Uusitalo, M.C. Uyarra, N. Zampoukas, Good  
867 Environmental Status of marine ecosystems: What is it and how do we know when we have  
868 attained it?, *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 76(1–2) (2013) 16-27.

869 [77] International Seabed Authority, Recommendations for the guidance of contractors for  
870 the assessment of the possible environmental impacts arising from exploration for  
871 polymetallic nodules in the Area, ISA Legal and Technical Commission document  
872 ISBA/16/LTC/7, Kingston, Jamaica, 2010.

873 [78] International Seabed Authority, Recommendations for the guidance of contractors for  
874 the assessment of the possible environmental impacts arising from exploration for marine  
875 minerals in the Area. ISBA/19/LTC/8., International Seabed Authority, Kingston, Jamaica,  
876 2013.

877 [79] M.R. Clark, H.L. Rouse, G. Lamarche, J. Ellis, C. Hickey, Preparing Environmental Impact  
878 Assessments: provisional guidelines for offshore mining and drilling in New Zealand, NIWA  
879 Client Report WLG2014-67, prepared for the Ministry of Business, Innovation and  
880 Employment, Wellington, 2014, p. 86pp.

881 [80] C.L. Van Dover, J. Aronson, L. Pendleton, S. Smith, S. Arnaud-Haond, D. Moreno-Mateos,

882 E. Barbier, D. Billett, K. Bowers, R. Danovaro, A. Edwards, S. Kellert, T. Morato, E. Pollard, A.  
883 Rogers, R. Warner, Ecological restoration in the deep sea: Desiderata, *Marine Policy* 44 (2014)  
884 98-106.

885 [81] C.L. Van Dover, J.A. Ardron, E. Escobar, M. Gianni, K.M. Gjerde, A. Jaeckel, D.O.B. Jones,  
886 L.A. Levin, H.J. Niner, L. Pendleton, C.R. Smith, T. Thiele, P.J. Turner, L. Watling, P.P.E. Weaver,  
887 Biodiversity loss from deep-sea mining, *Nature Geoscience* 10 (2017) 464-465.

888 [82] H.J. Niner, J.A. Ardron, E.G. Escobar, M. Gianni, A. Jaeckel, D.O.B. Jones, L.A. Levin, C.R.  
889 Smith, T. Thiele, P.J. Turner, C.L. Van Dover, L. Watling, K.M. Gjerde, Deep-Sea Mining With  
890 No Net Loss of Biodiversity—An Impossible Aim, *Frontiers in Marine Science* 5(53) (2018).

891 [83] J. Glasson, R. Therivel, A. Chadwick, *Introduction to Environmental Impact Assessment*,  
892 Routledge, London, 2013.

893 [84] Nautilus Minerals, Environmental Impact Statement Solwara 1 Project, Coffey Natural  
894 Systems Pty Ltd, Brisbane, Australia, 2008.

895 [85] Commonwealth of Australia, Environmental Management Plan Guidelines, Canberra,  
896 2014.

897 [86] S. Bennett, S. Kemp, M.D. Hudson, Stakeholder perceptions of Environmental  
898 Management Plans as an environmental protection tool for major developments in the UK,  
899 *Environmental Impact Assessment Review* 56 (2016) 60-71.

900 [87] J.I. Ellis, M.R. Clark, H.L. Rouse, G. Lamarche, Environmental management frameworks  
901 for offshore mining: the New Zealand approach, *Marine Policy* 84 (2017) 178-192.

902 [88] International Seabed Authority, Regulations on Prospecting and Exploration for  
903 Polymetallic Nodules in the Area. ISBA/6/A/18, International Seabed Authority, Kingston,  
904 Jamaica, 2000.

905 [89] International Seabed Authority, Decision of the Assembly of the International Seabed  
906 Authority relating to the Regulations on Prospecting and Exploration for Cobalt-rich  
907 Ferromanganese Crusts in the Area. ISBA/18/A/11, International Seabed Authority, Kingston,  
908 Jamaica, 2012.

909 [90] C. Filer, J. Gabriel, How could Nautilus Minerals get a social licence to operate the world's  
910 first deep sea mine?, *Marine Policy*.

911 [91] T. Narita, J. Oshika, N. Okamoto, T. Toyohara, T. Miwa, Summary of Environmental Impact  
912 Assessment for Mining Seafloor Massive Sulfides in Japan, *Journal of Shipping and Ocean  
913 Engineering* 5 (2015) 103-114.

914 [92] GSR, Environmental Impact Statement: Small-scale testing of nodule collector  
915 components on the seafloor of the Clarion-Clipperton Fracture Zone and its environmental  
916 impact. Global Sea Mineral Resources NV. Document ISA\_EIA\_2018\_GSRNOD2019, 2018.

917 [93] BGR, Environmental Impact Assessment for the testing of a pre-prototype manganese  
918 nodule collector vehicle in the Eastern German license area (Clarion-Clipperton Zone) in the  
919 framework of the European JPI-O MiningImpact 2 research project. German Federal Institute  
920 for Geosciences and Natural Resources (Bundesanstalt für Geowissenschaften and  
921 Rohstoffe), 2018.

922 [94] A. Azapagic, Systems Approach to Corporate Sustainability: A General Management  
923 Framework, *Process Safety and Environmental Protection* 81(5) (2003) 303-316.

924 [95] Network for Business Sustainability, Embedding Sustainability in Organizational Culture,  
925 Available from [http://nbs.net/wp-content/uploads/CultureReport\\_v4\\_F2.pdf](http://nbs.net/wp-content/uploads/CultureReport_v4_F2.pdf), 2010.

- 926 [96] A. Kolk, Sustainability, accountability and corporate governance: exploring  
927 multinationals' reporting practices, *Business Strategy and the Environment* 17(1) (2008) 1-15.
- 928 [97] International Integrated Reporting Council, *The International Integrated Reporting*  
929 *Framework*, 2013.
- 930 [98] H. Brown, Global reporting initiative, *Handbook of Transnational Governance: Institutions & Innovations*, Polity Press, Cambridge, UK (2011) 281-289.
- 931 [99] R.G. Eccles, M.P. Krzus, J. Rogers, G. Serafeim, The need for sector-specific materiality  
932 and sustainability reporting standards, *Journal of Applied Corporate Finance* 24(2) (2012) 65-  
933 71.
- 934 [100] M.E. Porter, M.R. Kramer, *Creating Shared Value*, *Harvard Business Review* January-  
935 February 2011 (2011).
- 936 [101] International Association of Oil & Gas Producers, International Petroleum Industry  
937 Environmental Conservation Association, *Operating Management System Framework for*  
938 *controlling risk and delivering high performance in the oil and gas industry*, OGP Report No.  
939 510, 2014.
- 940 [102] C.J. Corbett, D.A. Kirsch, International dispersion of ISO 14000 certifications, *Production*  
941 *and Operations Management* 10(3) (2001) 327-342.
- 942 [103] ISO, *ISO 14001 Environmental management systems—Requirements with guidance for*  
943 *use*, 2015.
- 944 [104] Regulation (EC) No 1221/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25  
945 November 2009 on the voluntary participation by organisations in a Community eco-  
946 management and audit scheme (EMAS III), 2010.
- 947 [105] S.A. Melnyk, R.P. Sroufe, R. Calantone, Assessing the impact of environmental  
948 management systems on corporate and environmental performance, *Journal of Operations*  
949 *Management* 21(3) (2003) 329-351.
- 950 [106] E&P Forum, *Guidelines for the development and application of health, safety and*  
951 *environmental management systems*, 1994.
- 952 [107] E&P Forum / UNEP, *Environmental management in oil and gas exploration and*  
953 *production*, UNEP IE/PAC Technical Report 37. E&P Forum Report 2.72/254, 1997.
- 954 [108] IHC Merwede B.V., *Code of Conduct*, 2014.
- 955 [109] Nautilus Minerals, *Nautilus Cares (Community Accountable, Responsible*  
956 *Environmentally and Safe)*, 2015.

958

959