3D development of detachment faulting during continental breakup

Gaël Lymer[1*], Derren J F Cresswell[1], Tim J Reston[1], Jonathan M Bull[2], Dale S Sawyer[3], Julia K Morgan[3], Carl Stevenson[1], Annabel Causer[1], Tim A Minshull[2], Donna J Shillington[4];

[1]School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Science, University Birmingham, UK

[2]School of Ocean and Earth Sciences, University of Southampton, UK

[3]Department of Earth Science, Rice University, Houston, Texas

[4]Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory, Palisades, New York, USA.

***Corresponding author: G. Lymer**

ABSTRACT

The developing asymmetry of rifting and continental breakup to form rifted margins has been much debated, as has the formation, mechanics and role of extensional detachments. Bespoke 3D seismic reflection data across the Galicia margin, west of Spain, image in unprecedented detail an asymmetric detachment (the S reflector). Mapping S in 3D reveals its surface is corrugated, proving that the overlying crustal blocks slipped on S surface during the rifting. Crucially, the 3D data show that the corrugations on S perfectly match the corrugations observed on the present-day block-bounding faults, demonstrating that S is a composite surface, comprising the juxtaposed rotated roots of block-bounding faults as in a rolling hinge system with each new fault propagation moving rifting oceanward; changes in the orientation of the corrugations record the same oceanward migration. However, in contrast to previous rolling hinge models, the slip of the crustal blocks on S occurred at angles as low as ~20°, requiring that S was unusually weak, consistent with the hydration of the underlying mantle by seawater ingress following the embrittlement of the entire crust. As the crust only becomes entirely brittle once thinned to ~10 km, the asymmetric S detachment and the hyper-extension of the continental crust only developed late in the rifting process, which is consistent with the observed development of asymmetry between conjugate magma poor margin pairs. The 3D volume allows analysis of the heaves and along strike architecture of the normal faults, whose planes laterally die or spatially link together, implying overlaps in faults activity during hyper-extension. Our results thus reveal for the first time the 3D mechanics and timing of detachment faulting growth, the relationship between the detachment and the network of block-bounding faults above it and the key processes controlling the asymmetrical development of conjugate rifted margins.

KEY WORDS

Rifting processes; Galicia margin; North Atlantic Ocean; Detachment fault; Assymetry; 3D seismic reflection

1 INTRODUCTION

The rifting and breakup of the continents to form new ocean basins (Bullard et al, 1965; Le Pichon and Sibuet, 1981; Lister et al., 1986) is a first order tectonic process at the surface of the Earth that changes ocean circulation by opening new oceanographic gateways (Barker and Burrell, 1977; Reston, 2010), leads to evolutionary divergence through biotic diaspora (Fortey and Cocks, 2003) and creates the environment for the accumulation of thick piles of sediments that host important resources (e.g. Lentini et al., 2010). Yet the processes of hyperextension and asymmetrical development of conjugate margins leading to eventual continental breakup remain poorly understood (Reston et al., 2007; Ranero and Pérez-Gussinyé, 2010; Brune et al. 2014).

Much recent debate has centred on the importance of sequential faulting (Goldsworthy and Jackson, 2001; Ranero and Pérez-Gussinyé, 2010; Brune et al., 2014), in which extension occurs along a succession of individual faults, which develop, rotate and lock *before* the succeeding fault initiates by slicing ever farther into one side of the rift, thus creating the asymmetry of the resulting conjugate margins (Ranero and Pérez-Gussinyé, 2010). Sequential faulting and the resulting asymmetry has been proposed to develop early in the rifting process when the continental crust is still >20 km thick (Ranero and Pérez-Gussinyé, 2010), but dynamic models (Brune et al., 2014) allow a later onset, more consistent with observations from North Atlantic magma-poor conjugate margins (Reston, 2010), which show that the asymmetry only developed when the crust had thinned to <10 km to become entirely brittle (Reston and Pérez-Gussinyé, 2007; Reston, 2010). Related questions concern the development and mechanics of apparently low-angle, large-displacement "detachment" faults (Lister et al., 1986; Hoffmann and Reston, 1992; Sibuet, 1992): how and when these detachments formed and whether they slipped at low-angles (Figure 1a) or developed by a rolling hinge mechanism (Buck, 1988; Figure 1b). The rolling hinge model itself is a form of sequential faulting in which the "detachment" comprises segments of successive steep faults (Buck, 1988; Reston et al., 2007; Choi et al., 2013), each active individually and in turn, each abandoned when a new fault cuts through the hanging wall of the previous fault, and each rotated by slip on subsequent faults propagating up from a steep root zone to form an apparently continuous sub-horizontal surface (Figure 1b).

Many of the key concepts of rifting processes have been developed and/or tested at the Galicia margin, west of Spain, where the now widely observed characteristics (Reston, 2010) of reduced mantle velocities beneath thin crust, the crust thinning toward zero, and mantle unroofing (Boillot and Winterer, 1988), were first recognised. This margin is both sedimentstarved and magma-poor (Boillot and Winterer, 1988), allowing an optimal image of the margin structure, including well-defined extensional faults (Reston et al., 2007; Ranero and Pérez-Gussinyé, 2010) which appear to detach onto a band of bright discontinuous reflections termed collectively the S reflector (de Charpal et al., 1978; Boillot and Winterer, 1988), and identified as a possible detachment fault (Sibuet, 1992; Reston et al., 2007). The final root of S is believed to be currently located on the conjugate Flemish Cap margin (Reston et al., 2007; Ranero and Pérez-Gussinyé, 2010), where it forms a bright reflection dipping landwards at 30˚ (Hopper et al., 2004).

Studies of continental rifts (Cowie et al., 2005; Nixon et al., 2016) and of seafloor spreading (Cann et al., 1997) have shown that the process of continental rifting and eventual breakup is complex and three-dimensional (3D). However, current understanding of the Galicia margin and of continental breakup in general has been based on 2D numerical models (Huismans and Beaumont, 2003; Brune et al., 2014), 2D datasets particularly seismic reflection profiles (e.g. de Charpal et al., 1978; Reston et al., 2007; Ranero and Pérez-Gussinyé, 2010), drilling transects (Boillot and Winterer, 1988; Whitmarsh et al., 1998) and industry data not designed or located to address the key scientific questions. In this paper, we present results from the interpretation of a 3D seismic volume located offshore Spain (Figure 2), designed specifically to reveal for the first time the 3D structures generated during the rifting of the Galicia margin. The 3D data uncover the timing and mechanics of faulting and of asymmetric detachment development, and show that both are compatible with the inferred onset of asymmetry at other magma-poor margins (Reston, 2010), thus providing important new insights into the mechanisms of continental breakup at magma-poor margins worldwide.

2 THE GALICIA 3D VOLUME

The seismic data were collected in 2013 (Figure 2) with the RV Marcus Langseth, towing two 3300 cu in tuned airgun arrays, firing alternately every 37.5 m. The data were received by four digital hydrophone streamers, each 6 km in length, containing 480 channels and towed with a 200 m spacing, producing a 68.5km x 20 km volume down to 14s TWT with a nominal inline spacing of 6.25 m and a cross-line spacing of 50m. Processing was carried out by Repsol and consisted of editing, despiking and low cut filtering, wavelet shaping including zero phase conversion, multiple suppression (surface related multiple elimination and radon demultiple), static correction to correct for variation in water velocity during the experiment, offset plane Fourier regularisation and binning to 12.5 m inline and 25 m crossline spacing, 3D prestack time migration after tomographic and residual moveout velocity analysis, and bandpass filtering. Relative amplitudes were preserved in the data shown here, although an amplitude balanced version was also used for interpretation. The time migrated image was converted to depth using a velocity model constructed from the interpretation of the fault block structure, using velocities from wide-angle data and from 2D prestack depth migrations: the depth image was compared with coincident images produced by 2D prestack depth migration to ratify the depth conversion (Supplementary Figure S1). Interpretation was via the Kingdom suite: uninterpreted versions of the seismic sections presented are shown in Supplementary Figure S2.

3 MARGIN STRUCTURE

The 3D volume (Figure 3) provides spectacular new images and observations of the 3D structure of the Galicia margin, including sedimentary layering tilted, folded and faulted within the fault blocks by complex intrablock faulting, the architecture of the block-bounding faults network, whose deepest juxtaposed segments successively form the oceanward continuity of the S reflector, confirming that S is some form of detachment fault. We number the faults F3 through F6 following the 2D classification of Ranero and Pérez-Gussinyé (2010), but as the faults splay and die out laterally in 3D, we have added suffixes, thus keeping the same basic numbering scheme but distinguishing between the many faults. The block-bounding faults also bound wedges of sediment that splay towards the faults which we identify as synrift and discuss further below.

3.1 The 3D geometry of the S detachment

In the volume, S is a strong, simple, apparently continuous reflection at ~ 9s TWT marking the base of a probable damage zone (Leythaeuser et al., 2005; Schuba et al., 2018) at the main fault interface. Mapped in time (Figure 4a), S shows long-wavelength undulations that are due to velocity pull-up effects of the overlying fault blocks. S also shows pronounced corrugations that are oblique to the sail-lines and thus are not acquisition artefacts. The corrugations correspond to ~ 10 ms lineations in a filtered map of S (Figure 4b), persist after depth conversion (Figure 4c) and match high-amplitude lineations on the amplitude map of S (Figure 4d). Corrugations observed on major slip surfaces, such as on oceanic detachment faults (Cann et al., 1997), are believed to form at depth and to parallel the displacement direction (Resor and Meer, 2009; Edwards et al., 2018), but have never previously been observed on a major extensional detachment buried beneath fault blocks at a rifted margin before the acquisition of the Galicia 3D volume. In both time and depth, the corrugations exhibit an oceanward change in orientation from E-W to ESE-WNW; the identification and changing orientation of the corrugations on S demonstrate that the overlying extended continental crust slipped on S and that the direction of extension changed oceanwards, remaining parallel to the corrugations (Figure 4), during the rifting. The dominant strike of the faults also changes oceanwards from N-S to SSW-NNE, remaining approximately perpendicular to the corrugations and suggesting that the corrugations formed at the same time as the faults overlying them.

A spectacular observation from the Galicia 3D volume is that the corrugations of the S surface align with corrugations observed on some of the block-bounding fault planes (Figure 3, F6.0): many of the block-bounding fault surfaces were subject to mass-wasting when exposed at the seafloor, obscuring any corrugations that may have formed, but some fault planes, such as F6.0, display preserved corrugations (Figure 3) where they juxtapose hangingwall and footwall basement (and so were never subject to mass-wasting); corrugations on fault F6.0 (Figure 3) do not just align with the corrugations on S, but accurately match ridge and trough with the corrugations on S, suggesting that both fault F6.0 and S represented a single slip surface when F6.0 was active and the corrugations formed. The close relationship between the activity of one fault and the development of S, emphasized by the matching of the corrugations on both surfaces, strongly supports the development of S following a rolling hinge model in which the basal detachment is composed of root segments of block-bounding faults. Another characteristic of the rolling-hinge model (Buck, 1988; Choi et al., 2013) is the upward propagation of the faults from a deep root zone, and we interpret the continuity of corrugations on S and overlying faults (Figure 3) as evidence that both surfaces have slipped together, suggesting that the block-bounding faults propagated up from S, consistent with the rolling-hinge model. Nucleation of the faults on S and upward propagation are further supported by the upward decrease in fault displacements (Figure 5) and the increase in geometric complexity of the fault network between the S and the top basement surfaces (Figures 4 and 6) that we interpret as resulting from the splitting of fault branches as they propagated up in the shallower units.

Depth conversion removes the pull-up effects of the overlying fault blocks (Figure 4c) but pronounced topography on S remains where S meets the crust-mantle boundary (green-dotted line on Figure 4) and where the deep segments of some of the block-bounding faults form the oceanward propagation of S (solid coloured lines on Figure 4). Fault-related distortions of S are also apparent on the time sections (Figure 5; Schuba et al., 2018), on the time structure map (Figure 4a), and on the depth map of S, especially after removing the long-wavelength topography related to velocity pull-up effects (Figure 4c), and thus are not products of the depth conversion but genuine features of S. Uninterpreted maps showing fault-related distortions on the S surface both in time and depth are presented in Supplementary Figure S3.

The continuity of the corrugations between faults and S (Figure 3), and the topographic distortions on S where the faults root on it (Figures 4 and 5) both emphasize the partitioned nature of S, i.e. that S comprises the downdip portions of successive fault planes, consistent with the rolling hinge model (Buck, 1988; Reston et al., 2007; Choi et al., 2013). In the 2D rolling hinge model (Buck, 1988; Choi et al., 2013), extension over any one-time interval is along a single fault, rooting steeply at depth, that flexurally rotates as the crust beneath the fault is gradually pulled out from beneath the hangingwall. When rotated sufficiently, the fault is abandoned and replaced by a single new fault that initiates after the previous fault is locked (Buck, 1988; Choi et al., 2013) cutting up from the same root zone and across the preceding fault, now part of S, at a slight angle to transfer a slice of the hangingwall to the footwall. However, only some of the block-bounding faults (e.g., faults 3.1; 5.1; 5.4; 6.1; 6.4 on Figure 5) appear to distort and cut across the more landward portions of S, but others just merge with or stop abruptly at S. We suggest that those faults which cut at a low-angle across the more landward portion of S bound groups or families of faults active over the same time, as supported by fault heave analysis (see next section).

3.2 3D relationships between faults

To investigate the relationship between faults in 3D and to identify which faults must have been active over the same time, we mapped the spatial relationships between the fault planes of the main block-bounding faults and measured their heaves at top basement level (Figure 6). Heaves were measured in the displacement direction (i.e. parallel to the corrugations – compare corrugations on Figure 4 with direction of heaves measurements on Figure 6a). The block-bounding faults exhibiting both geometrical linkages (i.e. overlapping and merging of the slip surfaces, Figure 6a) and complementary heaves are likely to have accommodated the same episode of regional extension and so were likely active over the same time interval (Cartwright et al., 1995; Cowie et al., 2005) as observed from the distribution of extension over multiple faults during the progressive strain localization in the Corinth Rift system (Nixon et al., 2016). Three main sets of faults (Figure 6) can be identified within the 3D volume, each outlined on the depth and amplitude maps of S (coloured solid lines on Figure 4) by narrow distortions in the topography of S, changes in the orientations of the corrugations on S and related change in the orientation of the strike of the faults remaining approximately orthogonal to the corrugations. The easternmost set (closest to Iberia) consists of four directly linked main faults (F3.0, F3.1, F3.2 and F4.0 on Figures 6a). The blocks between F4.0 and F3.0 and between F3.0 and F3.1 pinch out southwards and northwards respectively: these faults probably developed separately but became geometrically linked when increasing displacement led to merger (Gupta and Scholz, 2000; Cowie et al., 2005) and to form a single slip surface (Figure 6a, b). Within the entire fault set 3/4, as the heave on one fault decreases, it increases elsewhere, but the sum of the heaves remains steady, even though it decreases slightly to the north (Figure 6c), consistent with a general northward propagation of rifting (Whitmarsh and Miles, 1995).

The geometrical linkages between the fault planes F3.0, F3.1, F3.2 and F4.0 (Figure 6a), and the complementarity of the heaves within fault set 3/4 (Figure 6c), suggest that at times during their evolution, F3.0, F3.1, F3.2 and F4.0 were active concurrently (Figure 6b), not sequentially as previously suggested on the basis of 2D data (F3.0 then F4.0 - Ranero and Pérez-Gussinyé, 2010). Although the 3D data require that Fault 3.1 was active over the same time intervals as both Fault 3.0 and Fault 4.0, when looking at the fault system in 2D it might be considered that F3.0 died abruptly when F4.0 initiated so that F3.0 and F4.0 were never active at the same time, as in a 2D sequential faulting mechanism where a fault must lock-up before the next fault forms (Ranero and Pérez-Gussinyé, 2010). However, it is generally accepted that faults initiate as laterally restricted structures which grow both in length and in displacement (Figure 6b) through repeated slip events (e.g. Cartwright et al., 1995; Cowie et al., 2005; Nixon et al., 2016), making it unlikely that when F3.0 ceased to slip F4.0 was instantly of sufficient extent to take up all the divergence accommodated further south by F3.1. The 3D nature of rift fault network development thus far more likely implies that activity on F4.0 and F3.0 overlapped in time (Figure 6b), probably substantially, as the accommodation of the extension was progressively transferred from F3.0 to F4.0 as the locus of extension migrated gradually oceanward. In short, the way faults grow, their linkages and limited lateral extent, and the 3D nature of extension require modification of the 2D rolling hinge model (Buck, 1988) as multiple faults have slipped at once (Figure 6b), and not in the sequential way as defined by Ranero and Pérez-Gussinyé (2010) where two faults can not be active at the same time, even if late extension migrated oceanwards. We note that overlap in the activity of individual faults seems to be a common feature observed in natural 3D fault systems even where faulting migrates asymmetrically (Colletini et al., 2009; Nixon et al., 2016).

The observed geometrical linkages, slip surface merging and heave complementarity within fault set 3/4 is thus a direct consequence of the 3D nature of extension, which also applies to other fault sets identified within the 3D volume. Oceanward, F5.1 marks the start of fault set 5 (Figure 6d) as F5.1 cuts slightly across the S reflector to the east but is continuous with S to the west (Figure 5a). Faults within set 5 (F5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4) in places merge directly (see F5.3 and 5.4 on Figures 4 and 5a), and have complementary heaves (Figure 6d), so again are likely to have been active concurrently. Stepping oceanward once more, within fault set 6 (Figure 6e; F6.0, F6.1, F6.4, trending more NNE-SSW), the heaves of the faults are complementary again (Figure 6e), as one fault dies out its displacement is transferred to neighbouring faults (Walsh et al., 2003; Fossen and Rotevatn, 2016) and the sum of the heaves remains approximately constant across the volume.

In each fault set, the most landward fault, marking the eastern boundary of the set, (e.g. F5.1, F6.4) appears to cut across the S reflector to the east and to be continuous with S to the west (Figures 3, 5a and5b), consistent with a rolling hinge model in which each new fault set propagates up from the root zone at an angle to the preceding, more landward fault set. This relationship both indicates that the faulting migrated oceanwards, as in the sequential faulting model (Ranero and Pérez-Gussinyé, 2010), as each set cut across those landwards, and precludes the possibility that all faults were active at the same time (Hoffmann and Reston, 1992). Conversely, the lack of any distortion of S where intersected by other faults within each set confirms that these faults were active over the same time interval so that S was active beneath the faults within that set, removing any topography on S. Thus, we interpret the margin evolution in terms of a 3D rolling hinge model, with faulting migrating oceanwards, with the limited lateral extent of individual faults requiring that several faults were active over the same time interval. We conclude our analysis by focusing on the mechanics and timing of the development of this three-dimensional rolling hinge system.

3.3 Timing and angle of slip on S

On the 2D data, the internal stratigraphy of the fault blocks is not well resolved, leaving considerable uncertainty in the angle at which S slipped (Reston et al., 2007). The improved spatial resolution provided by the 3D volume (Supplementary Figure S1) reveals the internal structure of the fault blocks, showing that S developed late in the rifting evolution and slipped at low-angle (Figure 7). Crystalline basement, sampled by submersible (Boillot et al., 1988) and identified more widely from seismic velocities (Bayrakci et al., 2016; Davy et al., 2018), is overlain by a thin internally poorly reflective package (*A*), that we interpret as predating the current fault blocks (Figures 5 and 7). Overlying *A* is a thicker, more ubiquitous and reflective series of sediments *(B);* small offsets in the fine layering of package *B* show that this unit is intensely fractured and faulted. Near the bounding faults, *B* exhibits an internally poorly reflective facies (Figures 7a and 7b), which thins markedly away from the fault scarps, to grade laterally into a reflective, layered facies subparallel to the tops of the fault blocks. The changing facies may be interpreted as wedge-shaped, internally chaotic debris flows resulting from mass-wasting of the emerging fault scarps during seismogenic slip, which grade away from the fault scarps into more layered turbidites (Boillot and Winterer, 1988; Boillot et al., 1988) deposited within and along the half-grabens between adjacent block crests. Each occurrence of package *B* is thus consistent with deposition during slip on the fault immediately landward; where fault activity was diachronous, then so would be the deposition of package *B*. The uppermost, and hence youngest, package *(C)* beneath the postrift (Figures 5 and 7) in places neither shows syn-tectonic fanning, nor always reaches the fault scarp. Instead, it onlaps the upper portion of *B* and is thus interpreted as synrift, but post-dating local faulting.

Within syn-faulting package *B*, the more continuously layered beds away from the fault are likely to have been deposited close to horizontal and then rotated during slip on the block-bounding faults. Consequently, the angular relationships between the faults and both the base and the top of this part of package *B* (Figure 7), revealed by the depth conversion, can be used to infer that the faults formed at 55-60°, were rotated to ca. 40° and then abandoned, consistent with standard models of extensional faulting (Anderson, 1905; Sibson, 1985). From the angle between package *B* (base and top) and the underlying S detachment, the faults initially rooted at ca. 40° but, rotating as the block rotated, the downward continuation of each fault at the level of top mantle (i.e. S) remained active until 20-25° (the angle measured between the top of package *B* and S – Figure 7). Then a new fault propagated up at ~60° from where S dipped at 40° and the process repeated.

The consistency of the angular relationships between sedimentary package *B*, S detachment, and the faults within each half-grabens across the volume (Figures 5 and 7) supports the idea that all blocks have been through the same process, as expected for a rolling hinge (Buck, 1988; Choi et al., 2013) rooting beneath the conjugate margin (Hopper et al., 2004; Reston and McDermott, 2011) or a similar sequential faulting system (Ranero and Pérez-Gussinyé, 2010). However, the angular relationships measured from the 3D volume imply that this system allowed slip on S at angles as low as 20-25°. Slip at such a low angle requires very weak fault rocks such as talc or serpentine (Moore et al., 1996; Escartin et al., 1997; Pérez-Gussinyé and Reston, 2001; Reston et al., 2007), high fluid pressures (Floyd et al., 2001) that are difficult to maintain in an extensional environment (Wills and Buck, 1997), or both (Reston et al., 2007). At extensional detachments formed at the base of the crust during Neo-Tethyan rifting and exposed in the Alps, the fault rocks consist of serpentine gouge (Picazo et al., 2013) and foliated serpentinites (Manatschal et al., 2006); similar serpentine lithologies have been drilled further west at the Iberian margin (Whitmarsh et al., 1998) and inferred from the reduction in mantle velocity beneath S (Bayrakci et al., 2016), but S itself has not been sampled and other hydrated mantle rocks and even transient high fluid pressures may also be important. Whatever the precise cause of fault weakening at the top of the mantle, the large volumes of water needed (Bayrakci et al., 2016) require that the crust had thinned sufficiently (~10 km) to become entirely brittle (Pérez-Gussinyé and Reston, 2001; Reston and Pérez-Gussinyé, 2007) and so allow the necessary ingress of water (Bayrakci et al., 2016) from above, penetrating several km into the brittle mantle. Subsequent slip and deformation would then result in further water influx and further mantle hydration beneath the thinning crust (Bayrakci et al., 2016; Prada et al., 2017). The development of a late stage asymmetric detachment system during the rifting is compatible with the widely observed asymmetry at conjugate magma-poor margin pairs (Gerlings et al., 2012; Reston, 2010), which is only developed when the crust is thinner than ~10 km (Reston and Pérez-Gussinyé, 2007; Reston, 2010), that is where it had become entirely brittle during rifting, allowing serpentinization. The numerical models of Brune et al. (2014) also predicted the development of asymmetry through sequential faulting when the crust was thinned to between 10 and 20 km, depending on lithospheric rheology, but their mechanism relied on the presence of a weak lower crustal channel where temperatures were between 600° and 800°C, incompatible with the inferred presence of serpentinites or similar rocks that only form below ~ 400°C (Emmanuel and Berkowitz, 2006).

4 CONCLUSIONS

The 3D observations provide new insights into the role of detachment faulting during breakup (Figure 8). The data demonstrate that S was not a throughgoing detachment active simultaneously over a wide area, but rather a detachment fault formed of the root zones of successive normal faults, a result never demonstrated before. In addition, the intersection of one fault by the next, hence more recent, fault generation along the S reflector prove that extensional faulting migrated oceanwards, aspects similar to the sequential faulting (Ranero and Pérez-Gussinyé, 2010) and rolling hinge (Buck, 1988) models.

There are, however, three fundamental differences from existing 2D rolling hinge and sequential faulting models. First, each fault is of limited lateral extent, requiring several linked faults to have been active concurrently rather than only one major fault active at any time. Thus, in 3D (Figure 8), the detachment grows through the complex interaction of several faults at any one time. Second, these faults rooted onto S, which continued to slip at low-angle (although rooting more steeply), requiring the presence of weak hydrated rocks such as serpentinites (Bayrakci et al., 2016) beneath the thin continental crust. Third, the need for mantle hydration indicates that the asymmetric detachment system only developed late in the rifting history as the crust became entirely brittle and thus thinned to <10 km (Reston and Pérez-Gussinyé, 2007; Bayrakci et al., 2016). This result is consistent with the observed asymmetry of conjugate magma poor margin pairs (Reston, 2010) and contrasts with previous models in which sequential faulting and hence asymmetric rifting either developed when the crust was either >20 km thick (Ranero and Pérez-Gussinyé, 2010), or was controlled by a hot, ductile lower crust (Brune et al., 2014), incompatible with the observed mantle serpentinization.

5 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Data acquisition was funded by the National Science Foundation (OCE-257 1031769) and UK Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) awards NE/E015883/1 and NE/E016502/1. TAM was supported by a Wolfson Research Merit award. Data processing by

Repsol was funded by NERC through grant NE/E015883/1. We thank the crew of *R/V Marcus G. Langseth.* We are grateful to Nur Schuba for helpful discussion concerning figure 4b. Reviews were by Marta Pérez-Gussinyé and an anonymous but helpful reviewer.

6 REFERENCES

Anderson, E.M., 1905. The dynamics of faulting, Trans. Edinburgh Geol. Soc., 8 (3), 387-402.

Barker, P.F., and Burrell, J., 1977. The opening of Drake Passage. Marine Geology, 25, 15-34, https://doi.org/10.1016/0025-3227(77)90045-7.

Bayrakci, G., Minshull, T.A., Sawyer, D.S., Reston, T.J., Klaeschen, D., Papenberg, C., Ranero, C., Bull, J.M., Davy, R.G., Shillington, D.J., Pérez-Gussinyé, M., Morgan, J.K., 2016. Fault-controlled hydration of the upper mantle during continental rifting. *Nat. Geosci.* 9, 384-388, DOI: 10.1038/NGEO2671.

Boillot, G., and Winterer, E. L, 1988. Drilling on the Galicia Margin: retrospect and prospect. *Proc. ODP Sci. Results* 103, 809–828.

Boillot, G., Comas, M. C., Girardeau, J., Kornprobst, J., Loreau, J.-P., Malod, J., Mougenot, D. & Moullade, M., 1988. Preliminary results of the Galinaute Cruise: Dives of the submersible Nautile on the western Galicia margin. *Proc. ODP Sci. Results* 103, 37-51.

Brune, S., Heine, C., Pérez-Gussinyé, M., and Sobolev, S.V, 2014. Rift migration explains continental margin asymmetry and crustal hyper-extension. *Nature Commun*. 5:4014, DOI: 10.1038/ncomms5014.

Buck, W. R, 1988. Flexural rotation of normal faults. Tectonics 7, 959–973, https://doi.org/10.1029/TC007i005p00959.

Bullard, E.C., Everett, J.E., Smith, A.G., 1965. The fit of the continents around the Atlantic. Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Mathematical and physical sciences, 258, 1088, 41-51.

Cann, J.R., Blackman, D.K., Smith, D.K., McAllister, E., Janssen, B., Mello, S., Avgerinos, E., Pascoe, A.R., Escartin, J., 1997. Corrugated slip surfaces formed at North Atlantic ridge-transform intersections. *Nature* 385, 329–332.

Cartwright, J. A., Trudgill, B. D., Mansfield, C. S., 1995. Fault growth by segment linkage: an explanation for scatter in maximum displacement and trace length data from the Canyonlands Grabens of SE Utah. *J. Struct. Geol.* 17, 1319 -1326, https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8141(95)00033-A.

de Charpal, O., Guennoc, P., Montadert, L. & Roberts, D.G., 1978. Rifting, crustal attenuation and subsidence in the Bay of Biscay. *Nature* 275, 706–711.

Choi. E., Buck, W.R., Lavier, L.C., Petersen, K.D., 2013. Using core complex geometry to constrain fault strength. Geophysical Research Letters, VOL. 40, 3863–3867, doi:10.1002/grl.50732, 2013.

Collettini, C., Viti, C., Smith, S.A.F., and Holdsworth, R.E., 2009. Development of interconnected talc networks and weakening of continental low-angle normal faults. Geology, June 2009, v. 37; no. 6; p. 567–570; doi: 10.1130/G25645A.1;

Cowie, P.A., Underhill, J.R., Behn, M.D., Lin, J., and Gill, C.E., 2005. Spatio-temporal evolution of strain accumulation derived from multi-scale observations of Late Jurassic rifting in the northern North Sea: a critical test of models for lithospheric extension. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 234, 401–419, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2005.01.039.

Davy, R.G., Morgan, J.V., Minshull, T.A., Bayrakci, G., Bull, J.M., Klaeschen, D., Reston, T.J., Sawyer, D.S., Lymer, G., Cresswell, D., 2018. Resolving the fine-scale velocity structure of continental hyperextension at the Depp Galicia Margin using full-waveform inversion. *Geophysical Journal International,* 212, Issue 1, Pages 244–263, https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggx415.

Edwards, J.H., Kluesner, J.W., Silver, E.A., Brodsky, E.E., Brothers, D.S., Bangs, N.L., Kirkpatrick, J.D., Wood, R., Okamoto, K., 2018. Corrugated megathrust revealed offshore from Costa Rica, *Nature Geoscience,* 11, pages 197–202, (2018), doi:10.1038/s41561-018-0061-4.

Emmanuel, S. and Berkowitz, B., 2006. Suppression and stimulation of seafloor hydrothermal convection by exothermic mineral hydration. *Earth Planet. Sci. Letts. 243, 6567-668.*

Escartin, J., Hirth, G., and Evans, B., 1997. Effects of serpentinization on the lithospheric strength and the style of normal faulting at slow-spreading ridge. *Earth Planet. Sci. Letts.* 151, 181-189, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-821X(97)81847-X.

Floyd, J. S., Mutter, J. C., Goodliffe, A. M., and Taylor, B., 2001. Evidence for fault weakness and fluid flow within an active low-angle normal fault. *Nature* 411, 779–783.

Fortey, R.A., and Cocks, L.R.M., 2003. Palaeontological evidence bearing on global Ordovician–Silurian continental reconstructions. Earth-Science Reviews, 61, 245–307, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-8252(02)00115-0.

Fossen, H., and Rotevatn, A., 2016. Fault linkage and relay structures in extensional settings – A review. Earth Science Reviews, 154, 14-28, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2015.11.014

Gerlings, J., Louden, K.E., Minshull, T.A., and Nedimovic, M.R., 2012. Flemish Cap-Goban Spur conjugate margins: New evidence of asymmetry. *Geology*; 40;1107-1110, https://doi.org/10.1130/G33263.1.

Goldsworthy, M., and Jackson, J., 2001. Migration of activity within normal fault systems: examples from the Quaternary of mainland Greece. Journal of Structural Geology 23, 489-506, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141(00)00121-8.

Gupta, A., and Scholz, C. H., 2000. A model of normal fault interaction based on observations and theory, *J. Struct. Geol.* 22, 865-879, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141(00)00011-0.

Hoffmann, H-J., and Reston, T.J., 1992. The nature of the S reflector beneath the Galicia Banks rifted margin: Preliminary results from pre-stack depth migration, *Geology*, 20, 1091-1094, doi:10.1130/0091-7613.

Hopper, J., Funck, T., Tucholke, B.E., Larsen, H.C., Holbrook, W.S., Louden, K.E., Shillington, D. and Lau, H., 2004. Continental breakup and the onset of ultraslow seafloor spreading off Flemish Cap on the Newfoundland rifted margin. *Geology* 32, 93-96, https://doi.org/10.1130/G19694.1.

Huismans, R.S., and Beaumont, C., 2003. Symmetric and asymmetric lithospheric extension: relative effects of frictional-plastic and viscous strain softening. Journal of Geophysical Research, 108, NO. B10, 2496, https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JB002026.

Le Pichon, X., and Sibuet, J.-C., 1981. Passive margins: A model of formation. Journal of Geophysical Research, 86(B5): 3708–3720.doi:10.1029/JB086iB05p03708.

Lentini, M.R., Fraser, S.I., Sumner, H.S., Davies, R.J., 2010. Geodynamics of the central South Atlantic conjugate margins: implications for hydrocarbon potential. *Petroleum Geoscience*, Vol. 16, 2010, pp. 217–229, DOI 10.1144/1354-079309-909

Leythaeuser, T., Reston, TJ, and Minshull, TA, 2005. Waveform inversion of the S reflector west of Spain: Fine structure of a detachment fault. Geophys Res. Letts., 32, L22304, doi:10.1029/2005GL024026.

Lister, G.S., Etheridge, M.A., Symnds, P.A., 1986. Detachment faulting and the evolution of passiove continental margins. Geology, v 14, 246-250, https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(1986)14<246:DFATEO>2.0.CO;2.

Manatschal, G., Engstrom, A., Desmurs, L., Schaltegger, U., Cosca, M., Muentener, O., Bernoulli, D., 2006. What is the tectono-metamorphic evolution of continental break-up: The example of the Tasna OceaneContinent Transition. J. Struct Geology, 28, 1849-1869, DOI: 10.1016/j.jsg.2006.07.014.

Moore, D.E., Lockner, D.A., Summers, R., Shengli, M. and Byerlee, J.D., 1996. Strength of chrysotile-serpentinite gouge under hydrothermal conditions: Can it explain a weak San Andreas fault? *Geology*, 24, 1041–1044.

Nixon, C. W., McNeill, L., Bull, J., Bell, R., Gawthorpe, R., Henstock, T., Christodoulou, D., Ford, M., Taylor, B., Sakellariou, D., Ferentinos, G., Papatheodorou, G., Leeder, M.R., Collier, R.E.Ll., Goodliffe, A.M., Sachpazi, M., Kranis, H., 2016. Rapid spatiotemporal variations in rift structure during development of the Corinth Rift, central Greece, Tectonics, 35, 1225–1248, doi:10.1002/2015TC004026.

Pérez-Gussinyé, M., and Reston, T.J., 2001. Rheological evolution during extension at nonvolcanic rifted margins: Onset of serpentinization and development of detachments leading to continental breakup. Journal of Geophysical Research, 106, B3, 3961-3975, https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JB900325.

Picazo, S, Manatschal, G., Cannat, M., Andreani, M, 2013. Deformation associated to exhumation of serpentinized mantle rocks in a fossil Ocean Continent Transition: The Totalp unit in SE Switzerland. Lithos, 175-176, 255-271, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2013.05.010.

Prada, M., Watremez, L., O'Reilly, B., Minshull, T.A., Chen, C., Reston, T.J., Shannon, P., Klaeschen, D., Wagner, G., Gaw, V., 2017. Crustal strain-dependent serpentinisation in the Porcupine Basin, offshore Ireland. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 474, 148-159, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2017.06.040.

Ranero, C.R., Pérez-Gussinyé, M, 2010. Sequential faulting explains the asymmetry and extension discrepancy of conjugate margins. *Nature* 468, 294-300.

Resor, P.G., and Meer, V.E., 2009. Slip heterogeneity on a corrugated fault. *Earth Planet. Sci. Letts.* 288, 483-491, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2009.10.010.

Reston, T.J., 2010. The opening of the central segment of the South Atlantic: symmetry and the extension discrepancy. Petroleum Geoscience, 16, 199-206, https://doi.org/10.1144/1354-079309-907.

Reston, T.J., and McDermott, K.G., 2011. Successive detachment faults and mantle unroofing at magma-poor rifted margins. *Geology*, **39**, 1071–1074, http://dx.doi.org/10.1130/G32428.

Reston, T.J., Pérez-Gussinyé, M., 2007. Lithospheric extension from rifting to conti-nental breakup at magma-poor margins: rheology, serpentinisation and sym-metry. Int. J. Earth Sci.96 (6), 1033–1046. https://doi .org /10 .1007 /s00531 -006 -0161 -z.

Reston, T. J., Leythaeuser, T., Booth-Rea, G., Sawyer, D., Klaeschen, D., Long, C., 2007. Movement along a low-angle normal fault: The S reflector west of Spain. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* 8, 6, Q06002, **https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GC001437**.

Schuba, N.C., Gray, G.G., Morgan, J.K., Sawyer, D.S., Shillington, D.J., Reston, T.J., Bull, J.M., Jordan, B.E., 2018. A low-angle detachment fault revealed: three-dimensional images of the S-reflector fault zone along the Galicia passive mar-gin. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.492, 232–238. https://doi .org /10 .1016 /j .epsl .2018 .04 .012.

Sibson, R.H., 1985. A note on fault reactivation. J. Structural Geology, 7, 751-754, https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8141(85)90150-6.

Sibuet, J. C, 1992. New constraints on the formation of the non-volcanic continental Galicia–Flemish Cap conjugate margins. *J. Geol. Soc. Lond.* 149, 829–840, https://doi.org/10.1144/gsjgs.149.5.0829.

Walsh, J.J., Bailey, W.R., Childs, C., Nicol, A., Bonson, C.G., 2003. Formation of segmented normal faults: a 3-D perspective. Journal of Structural Geology, 25, 1251-1262, doi:10.1016/j.jsg.2010.06.018.

Whitmarsh, R.B., Beslier, M.-O., Wallace, P.J., et al., 1998. Proceedings ODP, Initial Reports, 173. Ocean Drilling Program, College Station, TX http://dx.doi.org/10.2973/odp.proc.ir.173.1998.

Whitmarsh, R. B., and Miles, P. R., 1995. Models of the development of the West Iberia rifted continental margin at 40˚30'N deduced from surface and deep-tow magnetic anomalies. Journal of Geophysical Research, 100, 3789–3806, https://doi.org/10.1029/94JB02877.

Wills, S., and Buck, W.R., 1997. Stress-field rotation and rooted detachment faults: A Coulomb failure analysis, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 102, 20, 503–20,514, https://doi.org/10.1029/97JB01512.

7 FIGURES CAPTIONS

Figure 1. Detachment models. a) 2D model in which detachment slips at low-angle, multiple faults active at once (Sibuet, 1992). b) 2D rolling hinge: detachment comprises the roots of successive faults, active sequentially when steep (Reston et al., 2007; Bayrakci et al., 2016). Faults are sequentially numbered in the chronological order from the oldest (Fault 1) to the most recent and active one (Fault 4).

Figure 2: Location of the Galicia 3D volume west of Spain across the deep Galicia margin. White box shows the location of the 3D reflection survey. Black dots show the location of sites drilled during ODP leg 103. Isocontours show the bathymetry of the study area (in m).

Inset map from Google Earth. Bathymetric data consist in Global Multi-Resolution Topography Data Synthesis from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

Figure 3. The anatomy of the Galicia margin summarising the key structural and stratigraphic elements. The figure displays a perspective view from the north of the 3D volume and has been built by removing the post-rift sequence to expose the top of the faulted layer in the southern part of the volume; similarly, the pre- and syn-rift sequences have been removed to expose the top of the basement and the planes of the block-bounding fault in the northern part of the volume. Two vertical slices generated through the northern and southern parts of the volume respectively display the extended continental basement and the geometry of the pre- and syn-rift units (A, B, C). The top of the faulted layer surface, the top basement surface and the vertical slices reveal the lateral discontinuity and interactions of faults above S. Corrugations on S surface (shown at the NW corner of the volume) match the corrugations observed on the plane of the block-bounding faults propagating up from S (Fault 6.0). The seismic data are shown with no vertical exaggeration.

Figure 4. Maps of the S reflector. a: Time map displaying corrugations, oblique to the sail-lines and shown by three sets of coloured arrows corresponding to the three sets of block-bounding faults rooting on S (solid lines). The long wavelength undulation of S in time is due to velocity pull-up effects. Green dotted line underlines where S meets the crust-mantle boundary b: Time filtered map obtained by subtracting the rough interpreted surface of S from the smoothed surface of S in time. The corrugations (arrowed) are highlighted by ~10 ms lineations. Traces of the deep segments of the block bounding faults on S (solid lines) are highlighted by ~20 ms lineations. c: Depth map showing corrugations (arrowed) remaining approximately orthogonal to the corresponding fault set and distortions of S where main block-bounding faults (solid lines) root. S also shows a pronounced distortion where it meets the crust-mantle boundary (green dotted line). d: Amplitude map of S, made by slicing

through the 3D volume along the peak of the envelope function. The corrugations visible in depth appear as pronounced lineations of high amplitude.

Figure 5. Seismic reflection images from the Galicia 3D volume. a, c: Vertical time sections; b, d: Same vertical sections converted to depth. The sections were generated through the 3D volume in the same direction as the corrugations observed on S, thus oriented in the extension and displacement direction (compare direction of sections on Figure 6 with corrugations on Figure 4). The sections show a bright reflection (S) that meets the crust-mantle boundary (white arrows) and runs at the base of the fault blocks. The mantle beneath S has been shown by wide-angle velocities to be serpentinized. S displays distortions where the block-bounding faults root onto it, implying that S is composed of deep segments of faults. Long and short horizontal arrows point the upward decrease in fault displacements (shown in km), respectively between Top Basement and Top A, suggesting the faults propagated up from S. E, f, g, h: Blow ups in time (e, g) and corresponding blow ups in depth (f, h) showing details of relationship between S and overlying faults: F5.1 and F6.4 continue downdip as S, cutting across an older segment of S. Horizontal bars show the heaves (see Figure 6) as, coloured by fault set. Uninterpreted sections are shown in supplementary Figure S2 and details of the analysis of the angle at which S slipped in Figure 7.

Figure 6. Heave analysis. a: Top basement map showing block-bounding faults heaves along white flowlines (dashed when only partially covered by the data) defined by corrugations on S; The white arrows point-out spatial linkage between different fault plans. Faults are numbered after line IAM11 from Ranero and Pérez-Gussinyé (2010); b: Map view of fault development in which several faults slip over the same period of time - designed for fault set 3/4 but also applicable to fault sets 5 and 6. New faults nucleate, grow and link in the rift-axis area while former faults progressively deactivate, implying several active faults at different stages of their evolution: nucleation, fully active, in process of deactivation and deactivated.

Arrows show the relative growth of the different faults. Looking at faults F3.0 and F4.0 on a single 2D line (e.g. IAM11) only provides a glimpse of the full fault system and does not allow to image faults lateral geometry, which form a single slip surface south of IAM11 when merged with fault F3.1, as observed from the 3D data.

; c: Cumulative and individual heaves with uncertainties for fault sets 3/4. Unless F4.0 is included in set 3/4, the heaves drop off suddenly to the north at ~7km. Further north as the heave on F4.0 gradually decreases, that on F3.0 gradually increases. Cumulative heave 3/4 decreases gradually to the north; all faults in this set were coeval; d: Cumulative and individual heaves with uncertainties for fault set 5. Heave on fault F5.2 is transferred to F5.1, then to F5.3; Cumulative heave 5 remains steady across the volume, all faults in this set were coeval.; e: Cumulative and individual heaves with uncertainties for fault set 6. Moving north, heave on F6.1 increases as that on F6.4 drops, and then transfers abruptly to F6.0; Cumulative heave 6 remains steady across the volume, all faults in this set were coeval.; f: Cumulative heave of all the faults across the dataset decreases slightly to the north.

Figure 7: Geometrical analysis of the angle at which faults and S were active based on flow lines through the volume. See Figure 5 for location of data. a, c) current geometry shown in Figure 5c. S dips at 3˚ to the west whereas the top and base of package B dip 17˚ and 34˚ respectively to the east, implying that S dipped 37˚ W at the onset of deposition of package B and 20˚ W when the top of package B was deposited horizontally. b, d) similar analysis for the data in Figure 5d shows that S dipped 32˚ and 26˚ at the onset and end of deposition of package B. e), f) geometry at the end of deposition of package B, not corrected for compaction. g), h) geometry at the end of deposition of package B, corrected for compaction. All show that S was active down to ~20˚ and that the faults were active down to ~40˚.

Figure 8: Our summary model based on 3D observations. Extension migrates oceanwards, but several faults (color-coded by set) were active simultaneously in each set, a 3D innovation

of the 2D model shown in figure 1b. The faults rooted on and propagated up from a serpentine detachment (S) at the base of the crust; slip on S occurs at low-angles. The 3D modified rolling hinge system developed only once the entire crust had thinned sufficiently to become brittle allowing mantle hydration.

Supplementary figures

Figure S1. Comparison of 2D prestack depth migrated images with depth-converted versions of 3D prestack time-migrated images. The close match verifies the accuracy of the depth conversion and highlights the improved imaging resulting from 3D migration. (a) IAM11 prestack depth migrated image and faults numbering from Ranero and Pérez-Gussinyé (2010). (b) corresponding section through the depth conversion of 3D prestack volume. Note also the improved resolution of the sediments in the 3D volume and improved continuity of S.

Figure S2. Uninterpreted versions of the data shown in Figure 5.

Figure S3. Uninterpreted versions of the data shown in Figure 4.

627 **8 FIGURES**



628 **Graphical Abstract**



629 **Figure 1**



630 Figure 2



631 Figure 3



Figure 4



Figure 5


a
Fault set 6
Fault set 5
Fault set 3/ 4
691300
701300
711300
721300
731300
Fig5a
IAM11
Fig5b
Fig6a
Heave
6.0
6.1
6.2
6.4
5.1
5.2
5.3
5.4
4.0
3.0
3.1
5 km
N
Depth (m)
b
I.
II.
III.
F3.0
F2
F4
F3.1
Rift axis
Active faults
Inactive faults
New fault nucleation
Active fault
Deactivating fault
Deactivated fault
Fault linkage
c
Fault set 3/ 4
Cumulated heaves
Individual heaves
max
min
South
North
Fig 5a
Fig 5b
F3.1
F4.0
F3.2
F3.0
d
Fault set 5
F5.2
F5.1
F5.4
F5.3
e
Fault set 6
F6.0
F6.1
F6.2
F6.3
F6.4
f
Cumulated heaves on all faults
Cumulative Fault Heave (km)
Individual Fault Heave (km)
Cumulative Fault Heaves (km)
Strike Distance (km)

Figure 6


a) Block 6, Figure 5c
b) Block 3, Figure 5d
17°
34°
6C
6B
6A
F6.0
-3°
24°
4C
30°
4B
4A
F3.1
-2°
Postfaulting sequence
C: Late synrift wedges
B: Synrift
A: Pre-rift to early synrift
Basement
Mantle, partially serpentinized
S reflector
Moho
Late faults
Early fault
Intra-block faults
c) Line drawing block 6, current geometry
d) Line drawing block 3, current geometry
Package B
S
500 m
F3.1
e) Geometry block 6 after package B deposition
f) Geometry block 3 after package B deposition
Cessation of slip on F6.0, top package B horizontal: S at 20°, F6.0 at 38°
Cessation of slip on F3.1, top package B horizontal: S at 26°, F3.1 at 45°
Top B
38°
20°
45°
26°
g) Geometry block 6 after package B deposition and decompaction
h) Geometry block 3 after package B deposition and decompaction
Package B decompacted
37°
19°
44°
25°
Cessation of slip on F6.0, top package B horizontal: S at 19°, F6.0 at 37°
Cessation of slip on F3.1, top package B horizontal: S at 25°, F3.1 at 44°

Figure 7



Figure 8



Supplementary Figure S1



Supplementary Figure S2



Supplementary Figure S3