# Considering adaptive genetic variation in climate change vulnerability assessment reduces species range loss projections

Orly Razgour<sup>1</sup>, Brenna Forester<sup>2</sup>, John Taggart<sup>3</sup>, Michaël Bekaert<sup>3</sup>, Javier Juste<sup>4</sup>, Carlos Ibanez<sup>4</sup>, Sébastien Puechmaille<sup>5</sup>, Roberto Novella-Fernandez<sup>1</sup>, Antton Alberdi<sup>6</sup>, Stéphanie Manel<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Southampton, <sup>2</sup>Colorado State University, <sup>3</sup>University of Stirling, <sup>4</sup>Estación Biológica de Doñana (CSIC), <sup>5</sup>University of Montpellier 1, <sup>6</sup>University of Copenhagen, <sup>7</sup>Centre d'Ecologie Fonctionnelle et Evolutive

Submitted to Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America

Local adaptations can determine the potential of populations to respond to environmental changes, yet adaptive genetic variation is commonly ignored in models forecasting species vulnerability and biogeographical shifts under future climate change. Here we integrate genomic and ecological modelling approaches to identify genetic adaptations associated with climate in two cryptic forest bats. We then incorporate this information directly into forecasts of range changes under future climate change and assessment of population persistence through the spread of climate adaptive genetic variation (evolutionary rescue potential). Considering climate adaptive potential reduced range loss projections, suggesting that failure to account for intraspecific variability can result in overestimation of future losses. On the other hand, range overlap between species was projected to increase, indicating that interspecific competition is likely to play an important role in limiting species future ranges. We show that although evolutionary rescue is possible, it depends on population adaptive capacity and connectivity. Hence, we stress the importance of incorporating genomic data and landscape connectivity in climate change vulnerability assessments and conservation management.

Global climate change | genetic adaptations | ecological niche models | conservation genomics | evolutionary rescue

#### Introduction

2 3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26 27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53 54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

Climate change is predicted to result in widespread population and species extinctions(1), and climate-related local extinctions have already been observed in hundreds of species(2). However, an equivalent number of species did not experience local extinctions at their warm range edge(2), indicating that either phenotypic plasticity or genetic adaptations may enable some populations to persist under warmer conditions. This highlights the importance of incorporating intraspecific adaptations into climate change vulnerability assessments(3):(4). Yet, methodologies to adequately incorporate genomic data into projections of species responses to current and changing climatic conditions(5) and into conservation management strategies(6) are still missing.

Vulnerability to climate change is most commonly assessed based on forecasted distributional changes using ecological niche modelling approaches (also known as species distribution models), which project future changes in the distribution of suitable climatic conditions that characterise species current ranges(7). A major limitation of these approaches, which can lead to erroneous predictions and misplaced conservation efforts, is the disregard of intraspecific climatic adaptations, and the consequent differences in population responses to climate change(8). Evidence of contrasting patterns of physiological variation in thermal tolerance among and within species highlight the importance of incorporating intraspecific variation in climatic adaptations into ecological niche models (ENMs)(9). However such model improvements are limited by the paucity of observational and experimental studies of local climatic adaptations(10).

To date, studies attempting to incorporate genetic variation into ENMs primarily use neutral markers to identify phylogeographic structure and generate separate models for each genetically-distinct population. These have resulted in more pessimistic forecasts than traditional ENMs, predicting increased threats from climate change due to range losses in vulnerable populations(11), but have not affected projections of range size changes at the species-level(12). These attempts are limited in scope because neutral markers provide information on the species' evolutionary history and barriers to gene flow, but not on the ability of individuals to adapt and survive under changing conditions. Moreover, range shifts under future climate change are predicted to result in genetic homogenisation across species ranges and loss of historic/current population subdivisions(13). More recent studies integrated genomic adaptations with ENM projections to identify vulnerable populations that will need to adapt to survive under future climate change(14)'(15). However, genetic data relating to intraspecific variation in climatic adaptations has yet to be directly incorporated into ENMs.

To address this gap, our study develops a novel approach to forecast range changes under future climate change for individuals adapted to different climatic conditions and to determine the evolutionary rescue potential of populations (the ability of populations to persist through adaptation to the novel conditions(16)). This requires first identifying local climatic adaptations in wild

# Significance

Forecasts of species vulnerability and extinction risk under future climate change commonly ignore local adaptations despite their importance for determining the potential of populations to respond to future changes. We present a novel approach to assessing the impacts of global climate change on biodiversity that takes into account adaptive genetic variation and evolutionary potential. We show that considering local climatic adaptations reduces range loss projections but increases the potential for competition between species. Our findings suggest that failure to account for within-species variability can result in overestimation of future biodiversity losses. Therefore it is important to identify the climateadaptive potential of populations and to increase landscape connectivity between populations to enable the spread of adaptive genetic variation.

**Reserved for Publication Footnotes** 

137



Fig. 1. Framework for incorporating within-species climatic adaptations into projections of future range losses and evolutionary rescue potential.

populations by using genotype-environment association (GEA) analysis and then incorporating this information directly into ENMs and projections of future range losses (Fig. 1). The applicability of our novel approach is tested using spatial and genomic data from a pair of cryptic Mediterranean bat species with relatively limited long-distance dispersal abilities, Myotis escalerai and Myotis crypticus, that have only recently been confirmed as separate species(17)(18). M. escalerai, is endemic to the Iberian Peninsula (Spain, Portugal and the Balearic Islands) and the eastern French Pyrenees, while M. crypticus is found across Italy, southern France, the Pyrenees and the north of Spain(19). The current distribution of both species overlaps across the north of the Iberian Peninsula, but is likely limited by interspecific competition(20). As such these species offer a good study system to simultaneously look at the effect of local climatic adaptations and interspecific interactions on the current and future distributions of species that are restricted to areas particularly vulnerable to the effects of climate change.

# **Results and Discussion**

Incorporating local adaptations into climate change vulnerability projections

Traditionally, local adaptations were identified through common garden experiments(3), but the advent of high throughput sequencing techniques opened the door to the use of genomic approaches to identify signatures of local adaptations by relating genetic variation and environmental variables(21). We combined two genotype-environment association (GEA) methods and used two key climatic variables that are likely to directly affect bat survival and reproductive success (maximum temperatures and summer rainfall; SI Appendix) to identify 32 potential climateadaptive SNPs in M. escalerai and 38 in M. crypticus (SI Appendix for population structure and GEA results; Dataset S1). It is important to note that our study is based on reduced representation genomic datasets, which do not capture all adaptive genomic variation, and therefore only offer an indication of SNPs under (or linked to) climate-related selection(22). However, subsetting of our data illustrates how downstream results are robust to smaller numbers of SNPs (SI Appendix Table S1).

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

262

Plotting the multilocus adaptive genotypes of individuals in a constrained ordination space, we classified 34% of M. escalerai individuals as adapted to hot-dry conditions, 50% as adapted to cold-wet conditions and the rest as intermediate genotypes. Based on the proportion of these individuals in each population (sampled from cave roosts), we classified six *M. escalerai* populations, mainly from Portugal and southern Spain, as primarily adapted to hot-dry conditions, eight, mainly from northern Spain and Pyrenees, as cold-wet adapted and four as mixed (SI Appendix Fig. S1). In M. crypticus, 45.6% of individuals were classified as adapted to hot-dry conditions and 36.8% as adapted to coldwet. Most of the cold-wet adapted individuals were found in the Pyrenees, Alps and Massif Central, France (SI Appendix Fig. S2). Population data are not available for M. crypticus because it primarily roosts in trees and switches roosts regularly, and therefore colony roost locations are unknown.

Intraspecific variation in local climatic adaptations was incorporated into ENMs by generating separate models for hotdry and cold-wet adapted individuals and comparing predictions to models generated using all the known geographic location records of each species. ENM projections are sensitive to variability resulting from the modelling approach, General Circulation Model (GCM) and greenhouse gas emission scenario used. To address these sources of variability, which can affect future range loss projections(23), we employ an ensemble modelling approach(24), averaging projection results across model algorithms, three GCMs, and two greenhouse gas emission scenarios representing the worst-case and a more moderate emissions scenario. All ENMs had strong support and good discrimination ability (mean values TSS: 0.766 ±0.03; AUC: 0.929 ±0.02; AUC cross-validation: 0.866 ±0.03; Table 1; SI Appendix Table S2), and performed significantly better than random (Null models AUC range: M. escalerai = 0.603-0.685; M. crypticus = 0.623-0.713). In both species, intraspecific overlap in ecological space (niche overlap) between cold-wet and hot-dry adapted individuals (M. escalerai: Schoener's D=0.432; M. crypticus: D=0.465) is slightly lower than overlap between species (D=0.480), though both are significantly lower than random (SI Appendix Table S3). Our findings that levels of niche overlap were lower within than between species highlights the importance of incorporating intraspecific variation in climatic adaptations into ENM projections of species range shifts under climate change(8), (9).

260 Considering local climatic adaptations in ENMs reduced 261 future range loss projections. Based on the full dataset and worst case scenario (RCP 8.5 W/m<sup>2</sup>), M. escalerai is projected 263 to lose 47% (range based on different GCMs 38-53%) of its 264 Iberian range by the end of this century, but only 19% (range 13-265 25%) while based on the combined ranges of hot-dry and cold-wet 266 adapted individuals, resulting in up to 60% reduction in projected 267 Iberian range losses (16% based on the moderate scenario RCP 268 4.5 W/m<sup>2</sup>). Similarly, *M. crypticus* is projected to lose 87% (range 269 75-94%) of its Iberian range based on the full dataset, but only 270 58% (range 44-68%) based on the combined adaptive ranges 271 (33% reduction in projected losses with RCP 8.5, versus 40% 272 Table 1. . Results of the ecological niche models, including percent of Iberia predicted to be climatically suitable under present and future (2070, RCP 8.5) conditions and percent range changes within Iberia (SI Appendix Table S2 for range change projections across the study area and for RCP 4.5 scenario).

Таха	N <sup>1</sup>	AUC (ROC) <sup>2</sup>	TSS <sup>2</sup>	AUC-test <sup>3</sup>	% suitable present	% suitable future	% range change
Myotis escalerai all	313	0.941	0.781	0.850	38.40	20.38	- 46.94
<i>M. escalerai</i> hot-dry	19	0.914	0.727	0.876	46.82	49.50	+ 5.72
<i>M. escalerai</i> cold-wet	41	0.946	0.806	0.841	29.27	12.08	- 58.73
Myotis crypticus all	168	0.926	0.729	0.896	20.51	2.61	- 87.28
M.crypticus hot-dry	25	0.908	0.752	0.836	14.34	7.54	- 47.42
M. crypticus cold-wet	18	0.940	0.798	0.896	4.89	<0.01	- 99.96

<sup>1</sup> N=sample size.

<sup>2</sup> AUC ROC (Area Under the Receiver Operating Characteristic Curve) and TSS (True Skills Statistics) scores for ensemble models.

<sup>3</sup> AUC-test=AUC cross-validation scores for Maxent models.



. The effect of integrating intraspecific climatic adaptations into Fig. 2. ecological niche model projections. Ecological niche modelling outputs for M. escalerai (a-d) and M. crypticus (e-h), including the full dataset (a,c,e,g) and overlap between separate models for individuals adapted to hot-dry (red) and cold-wet (blue) conditions (b,d,f,h), under present (a-b, e-f) and future (2070, RCP 8.5) climatic conditions (c-d, g-h). Relative probability of occurrence ranges from low in blue to high in orange.

reduction with RCP 4.5; Fig. 2; SI Appendix Fig. S3 for RCP 4.5; Table 1; SI Appendix Table S2). There is a mismatch between the low extinction rates observed during Pleistocene climatic changes and the high rates forecasted by traditional future ENMs(25). Our findings suggest that incorporating adaptive intraspecific genetic variation is essential for realistic projections of species range losses under climate change and for preventing overestimation of future biodiversity losses.

Hot-dry adapted *M. escalerai* individuals are the only group predicted to have substantial increases in climatic suitability across Europe (+34%) under future climate change. However, increases are projected mainly outside Iberia (Fig. 2b and 2d), where the species is not currently found (bar in the Pyrénnées-

Footline Author

Orientales, France(26)) and where it is likely to encounter interspecific competition with two cryptic congener M. crypticus and Myotis nattereri sensu stricto(19). Yet more modest range gains (+5.7%) are also projected within Iberia. M. escalerai is restricted to its glacial refugia, likely due to range expansion limitations imposed by interspecific competition(20). The life history traits, habitat specialisation and restricted distribution of M. escalerai suggest it is particularly vulnerable to climate change(7). However, our study predicts that M. escalerai will be able to survive in-situ across much of its currently occupied range as a result of its adaptive capacity.

Overall hot-dry genotypes are predicted to expand their range at the expense of cold-wet genotypes. However, survival at the trailing (equatorward) edge of species ranges depends on the maximum thermal tolerance of the species. Species living in warm environments may be unable to physiologically adapt to increased heat because their niches are close to their upper thermal limits, which were shown to be phylogenetically conserved and therefore less likely to evolve(27). On the other hand, at least for ectotherms, the equatorward range limit does not reflect maximum warm temperature tolerance, and therefore species may be able to physiologically tolerate higher thermal stress at their warm range limits under future climate change(28). Genomic data supports the genetic basis of greater thermal tolerance in individuals living in warmer microclimates under higher heat stress(29). In contrast, individuals adapted to cold-wet conditions will experience the most severe range losses. Cold-wet M. escalerai genotypes are projected to lose more than half of their Iberian range and retract to mountain ranges (Fig. 2d), while cold-wet M. crypticus genotypes are projected to entirely disappear from Iberia and Italy with the exception of the Alps (Fig. 2h; Table 1). Bay et al.(4) show that populations exhibiting a strong mismatch between current local genetic adaptations and future climatic conditions have a higher likelihood of declining.

Considering adaptive variation increased the predicted po-tential for interspecific competition, through increased range overlap. Range overlap between species in Iberia was predicted to decrease under future conditions (84% reduction, from 10.5 to 1.7% of Iberia), but estimations of future range overlap were more than four times higher when the ranges of hot-dry and cold-wet individuals were combined (7.1%; SI Appendix Fig. S3). Changing species interactions have already been impli-cated in population declines and extinctions relating to climate change(30). Moreover, spatially explicit simulations of multi-species responses to climate change show that when interspecific competition is included in future models, pre-adapted species displace maladapted species(31), which is likely to be the outcome of increased future range overlap among the warm-adapted M. escalerai and the more cold-adapted M. crypticus. 



Fig. 3. Modelling evolutionary rescue potential under future climate change in a) *M. escalerai* and b) *M. crypticus*, depicted as the predicted density of movement (yellow high to blue low) from populations or individuals adapted to hot-dry conditions (white circles) to those adapted to cold-wet conditions (grey circles) based on the effect of slope and tree cover (a) or slope and forest cover (b) on movement. The relationship between landscape resistance and genetic differentiation in *M. escalerai* (c) and *M. crypticus* (d).

Our results are supported by previous studies that used common garden experiments to show that incorporating information on local adaptations decreases future range loss projections for pines(32). Similarly, Bush et al.(33) show that incorporating physiological measurements in hybrid ENMs that account for intensity of selection, response to selection and dispersal probability, reduces future range loss projections for *Drosophila*. Genomic studies of local adaptations offer an alternative approach to understanding adaptive responses to climate change when reciprocal transplant or common garden experiments are unfeasible due to biological, practical or ethical reasons, as is the case with many vertebrates and species of conservation concern(3).

Evolutionary rescue potential is limited by landscape connectivity

We use gene flow as a result of the movement of adapted individuals between populations to estimate the ability of a population to avoid extinction due to environmental stress through adaptation to the changed environment (evolutionary rescue). Increased thermal tolerance can evolve over a few decades in small organisms with short generation time(34). However, in long-lived organisms with small population sizes, the potential for evolutionary rescue depends primarily on standing genetic variation, and is facilitated in structured populations by local dispersal(16). Detecting local adaptations can help with identifying populations that will need evolutionary rescue, as well as potential donor populations that already show a signature of adaptations to warmer and drier conditions.

Given that the studied bat species are forest specialists, both range shifts and the movement of adaptive genetic variation among populations via individuals' dispersal is likely to be limited by landscape connectivity. We use a landscape genetics approach(35) to first identify landscape barriers to gene flow and then extrapolate how these will affect the potential for evolutionary rescue from hot-dry to cold-wet adapted locations. Genetic

545 connectivity in both species was most strongly related to the 546 combination of forest cover and slope (*M. escaleari:*  $R^2 = 0.532$ ; 547 *M. crypticus:*  $R^2=0.356$ ; Fig. 3c-d; SI Appendix for landscape 548 genetics results; Tables S4-5). Extrapolating these relationships 549 to estimate gene flow potential from hot-dry to cold-wet adapted 550 locations shows that landscape barriers to movement are likely 551 to limit the ability of individuals adapted to hot-dry conditions 552 to reach areas that will become climatically unsuitable for cold-553 wet adapted individuals to prevent their extirpation under future 554 climate change, even though these areas will become suitable for 555 hot-dry genotypes (e.g. Fig. 3b for M. crypticus). On the other 556 hand, in M. escalerai, although hot-dry adapted individuals are 557 not likely to be able to reach areas like the eastern Pyrenees 558 (Fig. 3a), future ENMs show that much of this area will remain 559 climatically suitable for cold-wet adapted individuals, suggesting 560 that evolutionary rescue will not be necessary. However, it is 561 important to note that gene flow in these forest bats is limited by 562 forest cover, which is likely to change substantially under future 563 climate change(36). Our data also reveal cold-wet locations that 564 harbour individuals adapted to hot-dry conditions (and vice versa, 565 SI Appendix Fig. S1-S2). The identification of these locations, 566 where gene flow may already be providing genetic variation for 567 future adaptation, illustrates how environmental surrogates for 568 adaptive potential may sometimes fall short in informing conser-569 vation planning. 570

Gene flow among populations can increase the speed of adap-571 tation to warmer conditions, but it can also reduce non-climatic 572 local adaptations, and therefore reduce population fitness and 573 evolvability(37). Given these limitations, it is not surprising that 574 evidence of evolutionary rescue in the wild is rare, though this 575 may be at least partially due to logistical difficulties in obtaining 576 both population dynamics and evolutionary change data(38). 577 Nevertheless, given the rapid rates of climate change relative to 578 rates of evolutionary response, long-lived organisms may struggle 579 to evolve fast enough to keep up with changes(39) unless rates 580 of gene flow from already adapted populations are high enough 581 to allow adaptive variation to rapidly spread through climati-582 cally maladapted populations. This stresses the importance of 583 approaches, like the one developed in this study, that can identify 584 landscape barriers to gene flow between climatically adapted and 585 maladapted populations, and therefore can advise on how species 586 should be managed to increase population connectivity. 587

## Conclusions

588

589 Using a combination of population genomics, spatial ecology and 590 predictive modelling we show the importance of incorporating 591 592 genomic data into climate change forecasts. Local adaptations can be a major determinant of the adaptive capacity of popu-593 lations to changing climatic conditions(3), and therefore should 594 not be ignored in climate change vulnerability assessments(11). 595 Greater intraspecific than interspecific climatic niche dissimilar-596 ities highlights the need to account for intraspecific differences 597 in climatic tolerance when forecasting impacts of future climate 598 change. Our study provides an unprecedented example where 599 climate-adaptive genetic variation is directly incorporated into 600 ENMs, rather than only using local adaptations as a measure 601 of sensitivity(14) or relying on neutral population structure as 602 a proxy for intraspecific adaptive variation (12). We show that 603 considering adaptive genetic variation can reduce range loss pro-604 jections, indicating that current forecasts of extinction risk from 605 climate change are likely an over-estimation of the proportion 606 of species committed to extinction. An exception may be species 607 with limited adaptive variation or genetic constraints that have 608 limited capacity to show an adaptive response. Dispersal limita-609 tions and increased potential for interspecific competition when 610 considering adaptive genetic variation, due to increased projected 611 future range overlap, stresses the role of biotic interactions in 612

limiting species range shift and the persistence of climatically 613 maladapted (or less adapted) species. The fate of populations 614 at the trailing (equatorward or low elevation) edge will depend 615 on the species' physiological maximum thermal tolerance, while 616 what will happen in areas that will become unsuitable for cold-wet 617 618 adapted individuals but suitable for hot-dry genotypes will depend on gene flow from hot-dry adapted populations. As the example 619 of our forest bats shows, the survival of maladapted populations 620 may be possible through evolutionary rescue, but evolutionary 621 622 rescue depends not only on individual adaptive capacity but also 623 on landscape connectivity. As such, climate-adaptive conserva-624 tion management should consider local climatic adaptations and focus not only on areas with threatened populations, but also on 625 facilitating movement between populations. 626 627

### Materials and Methods

Generating the genomic datasets

Bats were sampled (non-lethal wing biopsies) between 2010 and 2015 (majority of samples after 2013) from locations across the species' ranges in the Iberian Peninsula, southern France and northern Italy (SI Appendix Tables S6-S7). The final *M. escalerai* dataset included 220 bats from 67 locations, 18 of which represent colonies (7-10 individuals sampled from cave roosts). The *M. crypticus* dataset included 58 bats from 48 locations (SI Appendix Fig. S5).

*Double* digest restriction-site asponic dataset DNA sequencing, ddRADseq(40) was used to generate a genomic dataset containing tens of thousands of anonymous genetic loci from across the species genomes. The final dataset for *M. escalerai* included 18,356 SNPs, 216 individual bats, and genotyping rate of 0.906. The final dataset for *M. spA* included 20,750 SNPs, 57 individual bats, and genotyping rate of 0.894 (Datasets S2-S3; SI Appendix for library preparation and bioinformatics).

Identifying climate-adaptive genotypes and individuals

We carried out a genotype-environment association (GEA) analysis to identify a signature of climate-driven genetic variation based on associations between allele frequencies and local conditions. We focused on two ecologically relevant climatic variables, maximum temperatures of the warmest month and precipitation of the warmest quarter (Bio5 and Bio18, downloaded from WorldClim, www.worldclim.org). GEA analysis was performed with the latent factor mixed model (LFMM) approach(41) and a redundancy analysis (RDA(42)) (SI Appendix for running procedures). We used a conservative approach(21), whereby only SNPs that were identified as under climate-driven selection for either climatic variable by both GEA methods were classified as climate-adaptive SNPs. RDA was used to plot the spread of individuals in the ordination space based on their climate-adaptive SNPs relative to the maximum temperature and summer rainfall axes (SI Appendix).

Modelling range losses under future climate change

Ecological niche models (ENMs) were run using the ensemble modelling approach in the R package biomod2 v3.3-7(43). Models were replicated 10 times (five for models with low sample sizes, N<50) using the crossvalidation approach. Model performance was evaluated based on total ensemble models area under the receiver operator curve (AUC) scores, True Skills Statistics (TSS) and comparison to null models (SI Appendix for ENM running procedures).

The study extent was set as around 500 km north of the known range limit of M. crypticus (the species with the larger range size), to include areas within the theoretical dispersal ability of the species by the end of the century(44). Cell size was set at 30 arc seconds ( $\sim$ 1km). Models included bioclimatic variables (downloaded from WorldClim), a static topographic variable that is independent of temperature changes (slope, generated from the SRTM altitude map [https://www2.jpl.nasa.gov/srtm/]), and distance to karsts (Karst Regions of the World, http://gisdata.rc.usf.edu/) because M. escalerai primarily roosts in caves and mines. We removed autocorrelated variables (R>0.75) and variables that did not contribute to model gain (SI Appendix Table S2 for final model variables). Models were projected to the future (2070) using three General Circulation Models (HadGEM2\_ES, IPSL-CM5A-LR and MPI-ESM-LR) and two Representative Concentration Pathways (RCP) scenarios(45), the 'worst case' scenario, RCP +8.5 W/m<sup>2</sup>, and the more moderate RCP +4.5 W/m<sup>2</sup> scenario. For each species or group we ran separate models for each GCM, producing an ensemble of 30-60 models for each RCP scenario that were merged together into a single layer.

ENMs included 313 and 168 genetically confirmed records of *M. escalerai* and *M. crypticus*, respectively (the full datasets), obtained from this study and previous studies of the species (19)' (20)' (26). We also ran separate models for individuals within each species identified as adapted to hot-dry (*M. escalerai* N=19, *M. spA* N=25) and cold-wet conditions (N=41, 18, respectively) based on our genomic dataset, in order to determine whether their climatic niche is different and whether they will be affected differently by future climate change. We calculated extent of overlap in geographic and ecological space (range and niche overlap; SI Appendix).

Landscape genetics and evolutionary rescue analyses

Footline Author

PNAS | Issue Date | Volume | Issue Number | 5

662

663

664

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673

674

675

676

677

678

679

680

628

629

630

631

632

633

681 The landscape genetics analysis for M. escalerai was carried out at the population-level (18 populations, N=162), while for M. crypticus at the individual-level, retaining a single sample (the first sample) from each 682 683 location (N=47). The extent of the analysis was set as the respective species 684 ranges. Landscape variables (including habitat suitability, forest cover, land 685 cover, topographic and climatic variables) were converted to resistance cost surfaces in ArcGIS and assigned costs ranging from one (no resistance to 686 movement) to 100 (strong barrier to movement) (SI Appendix Table S8). 687 Circuitscape v4.0.5(46) was used to calculate resistance distance matrices 688 between populations or individuals and estimate potential movement path-689 ways across the landscape based on the cumulative cost of movement due to landscape resistance. Although bats are capable of flight, the studied 690 species have relatively limited dispersal ability(47), and therefore are more 691 likely to have a landscape-mediated population structure(48). Potential for 692 evolutionary rescue was determined according to the potential for gene flow 693 from hot-dry adapted to cold-wet adapted populations/individuals, based on the effect of the landscape on current patterns of genetic differentiation (SI 694 Appendix). 695

696

697

698

699

700

701

702

703

704

705

706

707

708

709

710

711

712

713

714

715

716

717

718

719

720

721

722

723

724

725

726

727

728

729

730

731

732

733

734

735

736

737

738

739

740

741

742

743

744

745

746

747

748

- Urban MC (2015) Accelerating extinction risk from climate change. Science 348(6234):571–573.
- Wiens JJ (2016) Climate-Related Local Extinctions Are Already Widespread among Plant and Animal Species. PLoS Biol 14(12):e2001104.
- Savolainen O, Lascoux M, Merilä J (2013) Ecological genomics of local adaptation. Nat Rev Genet 14(11):807–820.
- Bay RA, et al. (2018) Genomic signals of selection predict climate-driven population declines in a migratory bird. *Science* 359(6371):83–86.
- Bay RA, et al. (2017) Predicting Responses to Contemporary Environmental Change Using Evolutionary Response Architectures. Am Nat 189(5):463–473.
- Shafer ABA, et al. (2015) Genomics and the challenging translation into conservation practice. Trends Ecol Evol 30(2):78–87.
- Pacifici M, et al. (2015) Assessing species vulnerability to climate change. Nat Clim Chang 5(3):215–225.
- Hällfors MH, et al. (2016) Addressing potential local adaptation in species distribution models: Implications for conservation under climate change. *Ecol Appl* 26(4):1154–1169.
- Slatyer RA, Nash MA, Hoffmann AA (2016) Scale-dependent thermal tolerance variation in Australian mountain grasshoppers. *Ecography* 39(6):572–582.
- Valladares F, et al. (2014) The effects of phenotypic plasticity and local adaptation on forecasts of species range shifts under climate change. *Ecol Lett* 17(11):1351–1364.
   Ikeda DH, et al. (2017) Genetically informed ecological niche models improve climate change
- Reda Dijeral. (2017) Generating informed ecological mene models improve enmate enange predictions. Glob Chang Biol 23(1):164–176.
- D'Amen M, Zimmermann NE, Pearman PB (2013) Conservation of phylogeographic lineages under climate change. *Glob Ecol Biogeogr* 22(1):93–104.
   Pauls SU, Nowak C, Bálint M, Pfenninger M (2013) The impact of global climate change on
- raus 30, rowak C, Bann M, remininger M (2013) The impact of global chinate change of genetic diversity within populations and species. *Mol Ecol* 22(4):925–946.
   Razgour O, et al. (2018) An integrated framework to identify wildlife populations unde
- Razgour O, et al. (2018) An integrated framework to identify wildlife populations under threat from climate change. *Mol Ecol Resour* 18(1):18–31.
- Ruegg K, et al. (2018) Ecological genomics predicts climate vulnerability in an endangered southwestern songbird. *Ecol Lett* 21(7):1085–1096.
- 16. Bell G (2017) Evolutionary Rescue. Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst 48:605-627.
- Ibáñez C, García-Mudarra JL, Ruedi M, Stadelmann B, Juste J (2006) The Iberian contribution to cryptic diversity in European bats. Acta Chiropterologica 8(2):277–297.
- Juste J, Ruedi M, Puechmaille SJ, Salicini I, Ibáñez C (2019) Two New Cryptic Bat Species within the *Myotis nattereri* Species Complex (Vespertilionidae, Chiroptera) from the Western Palaearctic. *Acta Chiropterologica* 20(2):285.
- Salicini I, Ibáñez C, Juste J (2011) Multilocus phylogeny and species delimitation within the Natterer's bat species complex in the Western Palearctic. *Mol Phylogenet Evol* 61(3):888–898.
   Razgour O, Salicini I, Ibáñez C, Randi E, Juste J (2015) Unravelling the evolutionary
- Kazgori O, Sanchi F, Bortz C, Kandi E, Suste S (2013) On avening the evolutionary history and future properts of endemic species restricted to former glacial refugia. *Mol Ecol* 24(20):5267–5283.
   Rellstab C, Gueerli F, Eckert AJ, Hancock AM, Holderegger R (2015) A practical guide to
- Rellstab C, Gugerli F, Eckert AJ, Hancock AM, Holderegger R (2015) A practical guide to environmental association analysis in landscape genomics. *Mol Ecol* 24(17):4348–4370.
- Lowry DB, et al. (2017) Breaking RAD: an evaluation of the utility of restriction siteassociated DNA sequencing for genome scans of adaptation. *Mol Ecol Resour* 17(2):142–152.
   Buisson L, Thuiller W, Casajus N, Lek S, Grenouillet G (2010) Uncertainty in ensemble
- forecasting of species distribution. *Glob Chang Biol* 16(4):1145–1157.24. Araújo MB, New M (2007) Ensemble forecasting of species distributions. *Trends Ecol Evol*
- 22(1):42–47.
  25. Botkin DB, et al. (2007) Forecasting the Effects of Global Warming on Biodiversity. *Bio*-

Data Availability

The raw sequence data from this study have been submitted to the EBI European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) project PRJEB29086. Final SNP dataset for the two species in Genepop format added as Supplementary Dataset S2-S3 files.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was carried out as part of a Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) Independent Research Fellowship (NE/M018660/1) awarded to O.R. We thank the following people for help with sample collection: J.A. Garrido-Garcia, J. Quetglas-Santos, P. Horta, H. Raposeira, H. Rebelo, Z. Lopez-Gallego, L. Santos Fernandez, R. Hermida, F. Gonzalez Alvarez, M. Mas Navarro, X. Puig Montserrat, O.G. de Paz Garcia-Guerrero, B. Allegrini, R. Toffoli, S. Bareille, J.-C. Gattus, T. Bernard. We are grateful to Angelica Menchaca Rodriguez and Charilaos Pylidis for their help with lab work and to Danilo Russo for providing records for modelling. S.J.P. was supported by the German Research Council (DFG) research training group RTG 2010.

science 57(3):227-236.

27

- Puechmaille SJ, et al. (2012) Genetic analyses reveal further cryptic lineages within the Myotis nattereri species complex. *Mamm Biol* 77(3):224–228.
  - Araújo MB, et al. (2013) Heat freezes niche evolution. Ecol Lett 16(9):1206-1219.
- Sunday JM, Bates AE, Dulvy NK (2012) Thermal tolerance and the global redistribution of animals. *Nat Clim Chang* 2(9):686–690.
- Bay RA, Palumbi SR (2014) Multilocus Adaptation Associated with Heat Resistance in Reef-Building Corals. *Curr Biol* 24(24):2952–2956.
- Cahill AE, et al. (2013) How does climate change cause extinction? Proc R Soc B Biol Sci 280:20121890.
- 31. Norberg J, Urban MC, Vellend M, Klausmeier CA, Loeuille N (2012) Eco-evolutionary responses of biodiversity to climate change. *Nat Clim Chang* 2(10):747–751.
- Benito Garzón M, Alía R, Robson TM, Zavala MA (2011) Intra-specific variability and plasticity influence potential tree species distributions under climate change. *Glob Ecol Biogeogr* 20(5):766–778.
- Bush A, et al. (2016) Incorporating evolutionary adaptation in species distribution modelling
- reduces projected vulnerability to climate change. *Ecol Lett* 19(12):1468–1478. 34. Geerts AN, et al. (2015) Rapid evolution of thermal tolerance in the water flea Daphnia. *Nat*
- Clim Chang 5(7):665–668. 35. Manel S, Schwartz MK, Luikart G, Taberlet P (2003) Landscape genetics: combining land-
- scape ecology and population genetics. *Trends Ecol Evol* 18(4):189–197. 36. Lindner M, et al. (2010) Climate change impacts, adaptive capacity, and vulnerability of
- European forest ecosystems. For Ecol Manage 259(4):698–709.
- Schiffers K, Bourne EC, Lavergne S, Thuiller W, Travis JMJ (2013) Limited evolutionary rescue of locally adapted populations facing climate change. *Philos Trans R Soc B Biol Sci* 368(1610):20120083.
- Vander Wal E, Garant D, Festa-Bianchet M, Pelletier F (2013) Evolutionary rescue in vertebrates: Evidence, applications and uncertainty. *Philos Trans R Soc B Biol Sci* 368(1610):20120090.
- Hoffmann A a, Sgrò CM (2011) Climate change and evolutionary adaptation. Nature 470(7335):479–485.
- Peterson BK, Weber JN, Kay EH, Fisher HS, Hoekstra HE (2012) Double digest RADseq: An inexpensive method for de novo SNP discovery and genotyping in model and non-model species. *PLoS One* 7(5):e37135.
- Frichot E, Schoville SD, Bouchard G, François O (2013) Testing for associations between loci and environmental gradients using latent factor mixed models. *Mol Biol Evol* 30(7):1687–99.
- Forester BR, Lasky JR, Wagner HH, Urban DL (2018) Comparing methods for detecting multilocus adaptation with multivariate genotype–environment associations. *Mol Ecol* 27(9):2215–2233.
- Thuiller W, Lafourcade B, Engler R, Araújo MB (2009) BIOMOD A platform for ensemble forecasting of species distributions. *Ecography* 32(3):369–373.
- Merow C, Smith MJ, Silander J a. (2013) A practical guide to MaxEnt for modeling species' distributions: what it does, and why inputs and settings matter. *Ecography* 36(10):1058–1069.
- 45. IPCC (2013) Climate change 2013 : the physical science basis : Working Group I contribution to the Fifth assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change eds Stocker T, Qin D, Plattner G-K, et al. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, USA).
- Razgour O, et al. (2014) Scale-dependent effects of landscape variables on gene flow and population structure in bats. *Divers Distrib* 20(10):1173–1185.

804

805

806

807

808

809

810

811

812

813

814

815

816