Chalcogenoether complexes of tantalum(V) sulfide trichloride – synthesis, properties and structures
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Abstract

The complexes [TaSCl_3(L-L)] (L-L = MeSCH_2CH_2SMe, iPrSCH_2CH_2SiPr, PhSCH_2CH_2SPh, "BuSCH_2CH_2S"Bu, MeSCH_2CH_2SMe, MeSeCH_2CH_2SeMe "BuSeCH_2CH_2Se"Bu) have been synthesised and isolated in good yield as powdered solids by the reaction of TaCl_5 with the appropriate chalcogenoether in a 1:1 molar ratio in anhydrous CH_2Cl_2 solution at room temperature, followed by the addition of a CH_2Cl_2 solution containing one mol. equiv. of S(SiMe_3)_2. The isolated complexes were characterised by IR, ^1H and ^77Se{^1H} NMR spectroscopy, as appropriate, and elemental analysis. Single crystal X-ray structure analyses for [TaSCl_3(MeSCH_2CH_2SMe)], [TaSCl_3(MeSeCH_2CH_2SeMe)], [TaSCl_3(iPrSCH_2CH_2SiPr)] and [TaSCl_3("BuSCH_2CH_2S"Bu)] have been obtained. The data are compared with the previously described Nb(V) analogues. In contrast to the corresponding [NbSCl_3(EnBu_2)] (E = S, Se), attempts to isolate [TaSCl_3(EnBu_2)] were unsuccessful. Low pressure chemical vapour deposition (LPCVD) experiments using [TaSCl_3("BuSCH_2CH_2S"Bu)] did not lead to any deposition, whilst similar experiments using [TaSCl_3("BuSeCH_2CH_2Se"Bu)] produced only elemental Se films, with no evidence for any deposition of tantalum sulfide or selenide films.
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1. Introduction

The early 4d and 5d metals in their higher oxidation states form sulfide and selenide halides which are modest Lewis acids, forming complexes with neutral ligands from groups 15 and 16, although they have received much less study than the corresponding oxide halides [1,2,3]. The TaECl_3 (E = S, Se) were first obtained some 50 years ago by reaction of TaCl_5 with Sb_2E_3 or Bi_2E_3 in CS_2 [3,4] but in marked contrast to the NbECl_3 [5,6,7,8], have been little studied, and the structures of the
TaECl₃ species appear to be unknown. A very small number of complexes of TaECl₃ have been described, including [TaSCl₃(MeCN)₂] [4,6], [TaSCl₃(SMe₂)₂] [4], [TaSCl₃(PhS(CH₂)₂SPh)] [4] and the anions [TaSCl₄(κ¹⁻₁,₄-dioxane)]⁻ and [(TaSCl₃)₂(µ-₁,₄-dioxane)]²⁻ [9] of which the last three were authenticated by X-ray crystallographic studies. We have recently reported a comparison of the complexes of TaOCl₃ and TaSCl₃ with hard O- and N-donor ligands, including the white [TaOCl₃(OPPh₃)₂], [TaOCl₃(L-L)] (L-L = 1,10-phenanthroline, 2,2‘-bipyridyl, Ph₂P(O)CH₂P(O)Ph₂, Ph₂P(O)CH₂CH₂P(O)Ph₂ and o-C₆H₄(P(O)Ph₂)₂), which were prepared from TaCl₅, O(SiMe₃)₂ and the ligands in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ solution; the yellow [TaSCl₃(OPPh₃)₂] and [TaSCl₃(L-L)] made similarly using S(SiMe₃)₂ [10]. X-ray crystal structures were obtained for [TaSCl₃(1,10-phen)], [TaSCl₃(OPPh₃)₂], [TaSCl₃(P₂H₂P(O)CH₂CH₂P(O)Ph₂)], which all contain mer-chlorines, with the neutral ligands trans to S/Cl.

Layered transition metal dichalcogenides ME₂ (M = Nb, Ta, V, W, Mo etc; E = S, Se or Te) are inorganic analogues of graphene and their band gaps and other properties for various applications can be tuned by varying the chalcogen or the metal [11],[12]. In recent studies we have examined a range of thio- and seleno-ether complexes of niobium and tantalum halides as potential single source low pressure chemical vapour deposition (LPCVD) reagents for the production of thin films of the transition metal dichalcogenides [13-15]. Thus, [NbCl₅(EnBu₂)] produced thin films of the 3R-polytype (R3mh) of NbS₂ and NbSe₂; the butyl-substituents provide a β-hydride decomposition route facilitating cleavage of the ligands in an accessible temperature range. Similar LPCVD experiments using the niobium sulfide trichloride complexes, [NbSCl₃(SⁿBu₂)] and [NbSCl₃(nBuS(CH₂)₃SⁿBu)], produced 3R-NbS₂, whilst [NbSe₂Cl₃(SⁿBu₂)] produced 2H-NbSe₂ thin films [8]. On the other hand, none of the corresponding tantalum complexes were found to be suitable LPCVD reagents, and single source precursors to TaE₂ remain to be developed. Here we report the synthesis, spectroscopic and structural characterization of a series of complexes of TaSCl₃ with thio- and seleno-ethers, along with the results from LPCVD experiments using selected examples.

2. Experimental

Infrared spectra were recorded as Nujol mulls between CsI plates using a Perkin-Elmer Spectrum 100 spectrometer over the range 4000–200 cm⁻¹. ¹H and ⁷⁷Se{¹H} NMR spectra were recorded from CD₂Cl₂ solutions using a Bruker AV400 spectrometer and referenced to TMS via the residual solvent resonance and external neat SeMe₂, respectively. Microanalyses were undertaken at
Medac. Hexane was dried by distillation from sodium prior to use, and CH₂Cl₂ by distillation from CaH₂. All preparations were carried out under rigorously anhydrous conditions via a dry dinitrogen atmosphere and standard Schlenk and glovebox techniques. TaCl₅ and S(Me₃Si)₂ were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich and used as received. The thioether and selenoether ligands were made as described [16,17,18,19].

2.1 [TaSCl₃(PhSCH₂CH₂SPh)]⋅CH₂Cl₂

TaCl₅ (0.30 g, 0.84 mmol) was stirred in dichloromethane (5 mL). A solution of PhSCH₂CH₂SPh (0.21 g, 0.84 mmol) in dichloromethane (1 mL) was added and stirred for 2 h, causing a colour change to yellow. S(Me₃Si)₂ (0.15 g, 0.84 mmol) dissolved in dichloromethane was then added to the reaction mixture and stirred for 2 h, giving a dark orange solution. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the resulting orange-brown solid was washed with n-hexane (2 mL). Yield: 0.28 g, 59%. Required for C₁₄H₁₄Cl₃S₃Ta⋅CH₂Cl₂ (650.7): C, 27.69; H, 2.4. Found: C, 27.78, 2.30 %. ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 295 K): 3.84 (br s, [4H], CH₂), 7.37-7.50 (m, [10H], Ph); (203 K): 3.75 (br s, [2H], CH₂), 4.09 (br s, [2H], CH₂), 7.36-7.64 (m, [10H], Ph). IR spectrum (Nujol mull)/cm⁻¹: 519, 513 (Ta=S), 360s, 320m (br, Ta-Cl).

2.2 [TaSCl₃(MeSCH₂CH₂SMe)]

Method 1: TaCl₅ (0.30 g, 0.84 mmol) was stirred in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL). A solution of MeSCH₂CH₂SMe (0.11 g, 0.84 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) was added and the reaction stirred for 30 min., giving a yellow solution immediately on addition of the ligand. S(SiMe₃)₂ (0.15 g, 0.84 mmol) dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (1 mL), was then added to the reaction mixture, causing a colour change from bright yellow to straw coloured with some solid precipitating. The reaction was stirred for a further 16 h, during which time the solid all dissolved and the solution became darker. The solution was filtered and then concentrated and a dark brown solid was precipitated with n-hexane (2 mL), collected by filtration and dried in vacuo. Yield 0.24 g, 66 %. Orange crystals were obtained by layering a dichloromethane solution of the product with hexane. Required for C₄H₁₀Cl₃S₃Ta (441.3): C, 10.88; H, 2.28 %. Found: C, 11.23; H, 2.65 %. ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 295 K): 2.27 (s, [3H], Me), 2.89 (s, [3H], Me), 3.08 (br m, [2H], CH₂), 3.47 (br m, [2H], CH₂). IR (Nujol)/cm⁻¹: 508 s (Ta=S), 327 m, 352 s (Ta-Cl).

2.3 [TaSCl₃(PrSCH₂CH₂SPr)]
TaCl₅ (0.30 g, 0.837 mmol) was stirred in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL). A solution of iPrSCH₂CH₂SiPr (0.19 g, 0.84 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) was added and stirred for 16 h, giving a yellow solution. S(SiMe₃)₂ (0.15 g, 0.84 mmol) dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (1 mL) was then added to the reaction mixture and stirred for 2 days, during which the solution turned from yellow to brown to black. The solution was concentrated and the dark solid was precipitated with n-hexane, collected by filtration, washed with n-hexane and dried in vacuo. Yield 0.32 g, 77 %. Dark purple crystals were obtained by layering a solution of the product in CH₂Cl₂ with hexane. Required for C₈H₁₈Cl₃S₃Ta (497.4): C, 19.30; H, 3.65. Found: C, 18.73; H, 3.50 %. ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 295 K): δ = 1.34 (d, [6H], 3J = 8 Hz, CH₃), 1.61 (d, [6H], 3J = 8 Hz, CH₃), 3.05 (m, [2H], CH₂), 3.24 (septet, [1H], 3J = 8 Hz, CH), 3.49 (m, [2H], CH₂), 3.58 (septet [1H], 3J = 8 Hz, CH). IR spectrum (Nujol)/cm⁻¹: 509 (Ta=S), 329 s, 351 m (Ta-Cl).

2.4 [TaSCl₃(MeSCH₂CH₂CH₂SMe)]

TaCl₅ (0.30 g, 0.84 mmol) was stirred in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL). A solution of MeSCH₂CH₂CH₂SMe (0.115 g, 0.84 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) was added and stirred for 4 h. The solution turned yellow on addition of the ligand. S(SiMe₃)₂ (0.149 g, 0.84 mmol) dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (1 mL) was then added to the reaction mixture and stirred for 16 h. The solution turned from bright yellow to dark green. Dark green crystals were obtained from a CH₂Cl₂ solution of the product layered with hexane. Yield 0.243 g, 64 %. Required for C₅H₁₂Cl₃S₃Ta (455.3): C, 13.18; H, 2.65. Found: C, 13.40; H, 2.64 %. ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 295 K): δ = 2.08 (br s, [3H], Me), 2.24 (s, [3H], Me) 2.58 (br s, [2H], CH₂), 3.01 (br s, [2H], CH₂), 3.32 (br s, [2H], CH₂). IR spectrum (Nujol)/cm⁻¹: 507 m (Ta=S), 326 br, s (Ta-Cl).

2.5 [TaSCl₃(nBuSCH₂CH₂CH₂SnBu)]

TaCl₅ (0.30 g, 0.84 mmol) was stirred in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL). A solution of nBuSCH₂CH₂CH₂SnBu (0.185 g, 0.84 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) was added and stirred for 4 h. The solution turned yellow after stirring for 20 mins. S(SiMe₃)₂ (0.15 g, 0.84 mmol), dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (1 mL) was then added to the reaction mixture and stirred for 16 h; after 30 mins. the solution had turned from yellow to black. A dark solid was precipitated by the addition of n-hexane, collected by filtration, washed with n-hexane and dried in vacuo. Yield 0.211 g, 47 %. Required for C₁₁H₂₄Cl₃S₃Ta (539.8): C, 24.47; H, 4.48. Found: C, 24.33; H, 4.70 %. ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂): 0.91 (m, [3H], CH₃), 0.93 (m, [3H], CH₃), 1.41 (vbr, m, [6H], CH₂), 1.55 (br, [4H], CH₂), 2.51 (m [2H], CH₂), 2.59 (br, [2H], CH₂), 3.07 (v br [2H], CH₂), 3.31 (v br [2H], CH₂). IR spectrum (Nujol)/cm⁻¹: 508 (Ta=S), 340sh, 328 (br, Ta-Cl).

2.6 [TaSCl₃(MeSeCH₂CH₂SeMe)]
TaCl₅ (0.30 g, 0.837 mmol) was stirred in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL). A solution of MeSeCH₂CH₂SeMe (0.181 g, 0.837 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) was added and stirred for 3 h, giving a yellow solution. S(SiMe₃)₂ (0.149 g, 0.84 mmol) dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (1 mL) was then added to the reaction mixture and stirred for 3 h, causing a colour change to brown then black. The solution was concentrated and a black solid and dark purple crystals were formed by addition of a layer of n-hexane (2 mL). These were collected by filtration and dried in vacuo. Yield 0.37 g, 82 %. Required for C₆H₁₀Cl₃SSe₂Ta.CH₂Cl₂ (620.35): C, 9.68; H, 1.95. Found: C, 9.55; H, 1.94 %. ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ = 2.15 (s, [3H], Me), 2.74 (s, [3H], Me), 3.13 (br m, [2H], CH₂), 3.63 (br m, [2H], CH₂). ⁷⁷Se{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 295 K): 144 (s, [Se]), 186 (s, [Se]). IR spectrum (Nujol)/cm⁻¹: 326 s, 348 m (Ta-Cl), 507 s (Ta=S).

2.7 [TaSCl₃(³BuSeCH₂CH₂CH₂Se⁵Bu)]

TaCl₅ (0.30 g, 0.84 mmol) was stirred in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL). A solution of ³BuSeCH₂CH₂CH₂Se⁵Bu (0.26 g, 0.84 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) was added and stirred for 4 h. The solution turned yellow after 30 mins. S(SiMe₃)₂ (0.15 g, 0.84 mmol) dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (1 mL) was then added to the reaction mixture and stirred for 16 h, during which the solution turned from yellow to black. The solvent was removed in vacuo leaving a dark oil, which was washed with hexane and afforded a black solid. Yield 0.28 g, 52 %. Required for C₁₁H₂₄Cl₃SSe₂Ta.CH₂Cl₂ (718.5): C, 20.06; H, 3.65. Found: C, 19.86; H, 3.67 %. ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 295 K): δ = 0.93 (t, [6H], CH₃), 1.43 (m, [4H], CH₂), 1.66 (m, [4H], CH₂), 1.99 (br, [2H], CH₂), 2.34 (br, [2H], CH₂), 2.58 (br, [2H], CH₂), 2.62 (br, [2H], CH₂), 2.62 (br, [2H]), 3.19 (br, [2H], CH₂). ⁷⁷Se{¹H} NMR (CH₂Cl₂, 298 K): no resonance. IR spectrum (Nujol)/cm⁻¹: 331, 307 (br, Ta-Cl), 508 (Ta=S).

2.8 X-Ray experimental

Data collections for single crystal X-ray analyses used a Rigaku AFC12 goniometer equipped with an enhanced sensitivity (HG) Saturn724+ detector mounted at the window of an FR-E+ SuperBright molybdenum (λ = 0.71073) rotating anode generator with VHF Varimax optics (70 micron focus) with the crystal held at 100 K (N₂ cryostream). Crystallographic parameters are in the (Table 1). Structure solution and refinement were performed using SHELX(S/L)97, SHELX-2014/7 [20], H atoms were added and refined with a riding model.

3. Results and Discussion

Addition of the one mol. equivalent of the dithioethers PhSCH₂CH₂SPh, MeSCH₂CH₂SMe, MeSCH₂CH₂CH₂SMe ³BuSCH₂CH₂CH₂S⁵Bu or ³PrSCH₂CH₂S⁵Pr to TaCl₅ in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ gave
bright yellow solutions. Treatment of these solutions with one mol. equivalent of S(SiMe₃)₂ in CH₂Cl₂ caused the colours to darken and work up gave dark solids with microanalyses confirming the composition as [TaSCl₃(dithioether)]. The colours of the solids isolated with a specific dithioether were observed to be rather variable although the IR and NMR spectra indicated all batches contained the same species. The solid complexes also darken and become sticky over time in the glove box. Similar colour variation has been observed in the NbSCl₃ systems [7,8,21] and was attributed to the presence of small amounts of disulfide ([S₂]²⁻) ligands in some samples, formed by a redox-disproportionation reaction, and formation of complexes such as [Nb₂Cl₄S₃(tht)₄] (tht = tetrahydrothiophene) [7]. Similar disulfide species have not been identified thus far in the tantalum thiochloride systems, but this appears a likely explanation for the colour variation in the complexes reported here. For several of the complexes the single crystals selected for the X-ray analysis were also intensely coloured, e.g. orange-brown for [TaSCl₃(MeSCH₂CH₂SMe)], purple for [TaSCl₃(PrSCH₂CH₂SPr)], dark green for [TaSCl₃(MeSCH₂CH₂CH₂SMe)]. Attempts to prepare the complexes from [TaSCl₃(MeCN)₂] [10] were not successful, with the MeCN not being cleanly substituted. The addition of the appropriate diselenoethers, MeSeCH₂CH₂SeMe or "BuSeCH₂CH₂Se"Bu to a solution of TaCl₅ in CH₂Cl₂, followed by S(SiMe₃)₂, gave the purple-black [TaSCl₃(diselenoether)], but attempts to isolate [TaSCl₃(MeSeCH₂CH₂CH₂SeMe)] were unsuccessful.

Crystals of [TaSCl₃("BuSCH₂CH₂CH₂S"Bu)] were obtained from a CH₂Cl₂ solution layered with n-hexane. The structure is shown in Figure 1 and reveals six-coordinate tantalum with mer-chlorines and the dithioether, which has the meso-conformation, trans to S/Cl. The d(Ta-S₁) = 2.199(3) Å and d(Ta-Cl₂) = 2.283(3) Å, whilst the axial Ta-Cl are significantly longer (2.360(2), 2.345(2) Å). Disorder of the S and Cl trans to the neutral ligands in complexes of the type [MSCl₃(L)₂] (M = Nb, Ta) is common [5,8,9,22], and since S²⁻ and Cl⁻ are isoelectronic and have very similar scattering power, it is not possible to completely rule out some disorder in such systems. However, in the case of [TaSCl₃("BuSCH₂CH₂CH₂S"Bu)], the structure appears disorder-free, with the difference in Ta=S and Ta-Cl₂ much as expected. It is notable that the Ta=Sthioether distances are also significantly different d(Ta₁–S₁) = 2.684(2) and d(Ta₁–S₂) = 2.791(2) Å, which is attributed to the trans influence Ta=S > Ta-Cl. The complex adopts the DL stereoisomer.
Figure 1 View of the structure of [TaSCl$_3$(“BuSCH$_2$CH$_2$S”Bu)] with numbering scheme adopted. Ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level and H atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): Ta1−Cl1 = 2.360(2), Ta1−S3 = 2.684(2), Ta1−Cl3 = 2.345(2), Ta1−S1 = 2.199(3), Ta1−S2 = 2.791(2), Ta1−Cl2 = 2.283(3), Cl1−Ta1−S3 = 82.12(7), Cl1−Ta1−S2 = 75.16(7), S3−Ta1−S2 = 80.59(7), Cl3−Ta1−Cl1 = 156.78(8), Cl3−Ta1−S3 = 82.87(8), Cl3−Ta1−S2 = 84.99(8), S1−Ta1−Cl1 = 97.24(8), S1−Ta1−S3 = 90.45(10), S1−Ta1−Cl3 = 100.49(9), S1−Ta1−S2 = 168.90(9), S1−Ta1−Cl2 = 103.81(11), Cl2−Ta1−Cl1 = 95.64(9), Cl2−Ta1−S3 = 165.74(9), Cl2−Ta1−Cl3 = 94.56(9), Cl2−Ta1−S2 = 85.22(9).

X-ray structures were also determined for [TaSCl$_3$(MeSCH$_2$CH$_2$SMe)] and [TaSCl$_3$(“PrSCH$_2$CH$_2$S”Pr)] (Figures 2 and 3), and here the very similar “Ta=S” and “Ta-Cl$_{trans}$S” and the similar Ta-S distances to the dithioether ligands clearly show that disorder is present. Attempts to model the disorder by splitting the site occupancies were unsuccessful, and whilst the structures serve to confirm the basic geometries, comparisons of the metrical data are unreliable. The coordinated dithioethers in both structures are the $DL$ diastereoisomer.

Figure 2 View of the structure of [TaSCl$_3$(MeSCH$_2$CH$_2$SMe)] with numbering scheme adopted. Ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level. Note that S1 and Cl2 are disordered. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): Ta1−S3 = 2.7243(13), Ta1−Cl3 = 2.3600(12), Ta1−S1 = 2.2301(13), Ta1−Cl2 = 2.2642(13), Ta1−S2 = 2.6993(12), Ta1−Cl1 = 2.3522(13), Cl3−Ta1−S3 = 76.71(4), Cl3−Ta1−S2 = 84.72(4), S1−Ta1−S3 = 168.01(5), S1−Ta1−Cl3 = 96.24(5), S1−Ta1−Cl2 = 105.79(5), S1−Ta1−S2 = 91.23(4), S1−Ta1−Cl1 = 97.98(5), Cl2−Ta1−S3 = 84.83(5), Cl2−Ta1−Cl3 = 96.45(5),
Cl2–Ta1–S2 = 162.66(5), Cl2–Ta1–Cl1 = 96.37(5), S2–Ta1–S3 = 78.58(4), Cl1–Ta1–S3 = 86.10(4), Cl1–Ta1–Cl3 = 157.48(5), Cl1–Ta1–S2 = 77.61(4);

Figure 3 View of the structure of [TaSCl3(iPrSCH2CH2SiPr)] with numbering scheme adopted. Ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level and H atoms are omitted for clarity. Note that S1 and Cl2 are disordered. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): Ta1–Cl1 = 2.3509(4), Ta1–Cl3 = 2.3559(5), Ta1–Cl2 = 2.2442(5), Ta1–S2 = 2.7487(5), Ta1–S3 = 2.7375(5), Ta1–S1 = 2.2339(5), Cl1–Ta1–Cl3 = 155.464(17), Cl1–Ta1–S2 = 76.447(15), Cl1–Ta1–S3 = 85.118(15), Cl3–Ta1–S2 = 83.485(15), Cl3–Ta1–S3 = 77.214(15), Cl2–Ta1–Cl1 = 97.282(17), Cl2–Ta1–Cl3 = 96.615(18), Cl2–Ta1–S2 = 89.812(17), Cl2–Ta1–S3 = 167.641(17), S3–Ta1–S2 = 78.929(14), S1–Ta1–Cl1 = 98.236(17), S1–Ta1–Cl3 = 97.905(18), S1–Ta1–Cl2 = 103.941(19), S1–Ta1–S2 = 165.867(16), S1–Ta1–S3 = 87.635(17).

The structure present in the dark purple crystals of [TaSCl3(MeSeCH2CH2SeMe)] (Figure 4) shows a similar geometry to the dithioether complexes, with S/Cl disorder trans to the diselenoether.

Figure 3 View of the structure of [TaSCl3(MeSeCH2CH2SeMe)] with numbering scheme adopted. Ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level and H atoms are omitted for clarity. Note that S1 and Cl1 are disordered. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): Ta1–Se1 = 2.8412(5), Ta1–Se2 = 2.8050(5), Ta1–Cl3 = 2.3592(11), Ta1–Cl1 = 2.2671(12), Ta1–Cl2 = 2.3543(12), Ta1–S1 =
2.2293(12), Se2–Ta1–Se1 = 80.046(14), Cl3–Ta1–Se1 = 76.31(3), Cl3–Ta1–Se2 = 85.05(3),
Cl1–Ta1–Se1 = 83.77(4), Cl1–Ta1–Se2 = 162.78(4), Cl1–Ta1–Cl3 = 96.85(5), Cl1–Ta1–Cl2 =
96.26(5), Cl2–Ta1–Se1 = 86.58(3), Cl2–Ta1–Se2 = 77.01(3), Cl2–Ta1–Cl3 = 157.11(4), S1–Ta1–Se1 =
168.12(3), S1–Ta1–Se2 = 90.35(3), S1–Ta1–Cl3 = 96.05(4), S1–Ta1–Cl1 = 106.41(5), S1–Ta1–Cl2 =
98.13(4).

The spectroscopic data are similar to those reported for the corresponding niobium systems [8].
In the IR spectra the ν(Ta=S) is found as a medium intensity feature ~ 505-510 cm⁻¹, except for
[TaSCl3(PhSCH2CH2SPh)] where there are two bands at 513, 519 cm⁻¹, neither corresponds to any
dithioether ligand modes, and the splitting is presumably due to a solid state effect. Two bands
were also noted for this complex in the original study [4]. The ν(Ta-Cl) are assigned as (usually
two) bands which lie in the range 305-360 cm⁻¹. The ¹H NMR spectrum of [TaSCl3(PhSCH2CH2SPh)]
in CD₂Cl₂ at 295K shows a single δ(CH₂) resonance indicating fast exchange, but on cooling the
solution to 223K two δ(CH₂) resonances are present consistent with the expected structure, as
the exchange slows. The ¹H NMR spectra of the dithioalkane complexes mostly show two RS- and
two SCH₂ (backbone) resonances at room temperature as broad singlets distinguishing the donor
groups which are inequivalent in these structures, and consistent with stronger donation in the
alkyl substituted ligands. Cooling of the solutions, further results in the reversible appearance of
more complex resonance patterns, no doubt due to the slowing of pyramidal inversion at the
coordinated sulfur, but these are too complex to assign and are not reported. The IR and ¹H NMR
spectra of [TaSCl3(MeSeCH2CH2SeMe)], which contains a five-membered chelate ring, are broadly
similar to those of the dithioether analogue and the ⁷⁷Se¹H NMR spectrum of the diselenahexane
complex shows two δ(Se) resonances due to the selenium trans to Cl and S. A small amount of
Me₂Se₂ is also evident (266 ppm); this is most likely a result of some elimination of –CH₂CH₂— from
the ligand backbone in solution [23]. However the ¹H NMR spectrum of
[TaSCl₃(nBuSeCH₂CH₂CH₂SeⁿBu)] is little different that of the free diselenoether, and the complex
fails to exhibit a δ(⁷⁷Se) resonance, which are consistent with extensive dissociation in the case of
the larger (six-membered) chelate ring complex.

Complexes with n-butyl substituents were targeted as potential single source CVD reagents
(below) since these can, in principle, undergo β-hydride elimination on heating. Unfortunately,
the reaction of TaCl₅, S(SiMe₃)₂ and the monodentate ligands SⁿBu₂ or SeⁿBu₂ in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂
solution, produced black powders with highly variable analytical composition, and these lacked
any IR spectral evidence for a Ta=S feature. In solution the ¹H (and for the selenoether compound)
the $^{77}$Se NMR spectra showed features only due the uncoordinated chalcogenoether, and the nature of these black products is unknown. In the niobium systems, [NbSCl$_3$(SR$_2$)] (R = Me, nBu) and [NbSCl$_3$(Se$nBu$_2$)] were prepared and the structure of the SM$_2$ complex determined [8]. It is a dimer [Nb$_2$S$_2$Cl$_4$(μ-Cl)$_2$(SM$_2$_2)] with the sulphides terminal in plane and with syn axial SM$_2$ ligands. A red 2:1 complex [TaSCl$_3$(SM$_2$_2)$_2$] has been described, but with very limited characterisation [4].

Finally, attempts to deposit TaE$_2$ thin films by low pressure CVD were carried out using the equipment described for the niobium analogues [8] (see Supporting Information) and [TaSCl$_3$("BuSCH$_2$CH$_2$CH$_2$S"Bu$_2$)] and [TaSCl$_3$("BuSeCH$_2$CH$_2$CH$_2$Se$nBu$_2$)] as reagents. Unfortunately, the newly prepared thioether complex gave no deposit, while the selenoether reagent produced only red elemental selenium films (as demonstrated through grazing incidence X-ray diffraction (GIXRD) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) analysis, with no evidence for any TaE$_2$ (see Supplementary Information).

4 Conclusions

The preparations and characterisation of a series of very unusual Ta(V) sulfide trichloride complexes with soft, neutral dithioether and diselenoether co-ligands is reported, including crystal structures of representative examples, all of which display distorted octahedral coordination with the bidentate ligand lying trans to S/Cl. Except where there is S/Cl disorder evident in the crystal structures, the higher trans influence of the sulfide vs. chloride is clearly manifested in the Ta-S/thioether bond distances and the spectroscopic data are in accord with the solid state structures. The products obtained from similar reactions with the monodentate ligands E$nBu$_2 (E = S, Se) were irreproducible and could not be identified.

Investigation of the "Bu-bearing dithioether and diselenoether complexes as potential CVD precursors for tantalum sulfide/selenide thin film growth proved unsuccessful, the thioether regent yielding no deposit (contrasting with the corresponding niobium(V) complex, which gave NbS$_2$ films), while the selenoether complex yielded only elemental selenium. Introducing tantalum in place of niobium has the obvious consequence of increasing the molecular weight of the corresponding complex by 88 a.m.u.. However, this is unlikely to be the major cause of the failure of the Ta(V) complexes to function as CVD reagents for TaS$_2$, since, based upon the
coordination chemistry, the TaOCl₃ and TaSCl₃ complexes generally appear to be significantly less stable than the niobium analogues, reflecting the harder Lewis acidity of Ta(V) [4,6,7,8,10].
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Appendix A. Supplementary Data.

CCDC 1899988 [TaSCl₃(MeSeCH₂CH₂SeMe)], CCDC 1899989 [TaSCl₃("BuSCH₂CH₂CH₂S"Bu)], CCDC 1899990 [TaSCl₃(PrSCH₂CH₂SPr)], CCDC 1899991 [TaSCl₃(MeSCH₂CH₂SMe)] contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge via http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html, or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ UK. Other supplementary materials include IR and NMR spectra for the complexes. Details of the low pressure CVD experiments are also provided.

References


Table 1 X-ray crystallographic data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>[TaSCl₃(MeSCH₂CH₂SMe)]</th>
<th>[TaSCl₃(MeSeCH₂CH₂SeMe)]</th>
<th>[TaSCl₃(PrSCH₂CH₂SPr)]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formula</td>
<td>C₁₄H₁₀Cl₃Ta</td>
<td>C₁₄H₁₀Cl₃S₂Ta</td>
<td>C₁₈H₁₈Cl₅S₂Ta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>441.60</td>
<td>535.40</td>
<td>497.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>Monoclinic</td>
<td>Monoclinic</td>
<td>Monoclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group (no.)</td>
<td>P2₁ (4)</td>
<td>P2₁ (4)</td>
<td>P2₁/c (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a /Å</td>
<td>7.22174(15)</td>
<td>7.3780(3)</td>
<td>8.7703(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b /Å</td>
<td>11.36725(15)</td>
<td>11.4853(4)</td>
<td>9.1766(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c /Å</td>
<td>7.93897(16)</td>
<td>8.0629(3)</td>
<td>19.7147(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α /°</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β /°</td>
<td>115.595(3)</td>
<td>115.924(5)</td>
<td>98.565(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ /°</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U /Å³</td>
<td>587.77(2)</td>
<td>614.49(5)</td>
<td>1568.97(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ(Mo-Kα) /mm⁻¹</td>
<td>10.508</td>
<td>15.635</td>
<td>7.886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F(000)</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>484</td>
<td>952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number reflns</td>
<td>5355</td>
<td>12183</td>
<td>32686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₁(int)</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique reflns</td>
<td>2267</td>
<td>2412</td>
<td>3090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of params, restraints</td>
<td>102, 1</td>
<td>102, 1</td>
<td>140, 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOF</td>
<td>1.069</td>
<td>1.036</td>
<td>1.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₁, wR₂ [I &gt; 2σ(I)]</td>
<td>0.015, 0.034</td>
<td>0.012, 0.030</td>
<td>0.011, 0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₁, wR₂ (all data)</td>
<td>0.015, 0.034</td>
<td>0.012, 0.030</td>
<td>0.013, 0.026</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Common items: T = 293 K; wavelength (Mo-Kα) = 0.71073 Å; θ(max) = 27.5°; R₁ = Σ ||Fo| - |Fc|/Σ |Fo|; wR₂=[Σw(Fo²-Fc²)²/ΣwFo⁴]¹/²
Table 1 continued.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>[TaSCl₃(‘BuSCH₂CH₂CH₂S’Bu)]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formula</td>
<td>C₁₁H₂₄Cl₃S₃Ta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>539.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>Tetragonal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group (no.)</td>
<td>P 4₁ (76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a /Å</td>
<td>9.65170(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b /Å</td>
<td>9.65170(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c /Å</td>
<td>19.9311(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α /°</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β /°</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ /°</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U /Å³</td>
<td>1856.69(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ(Mo-Kα) /mm⁻¹</td>
<td>6.672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F(000)</td>
<td>1048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number reflns</td>
<td>40170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rint</td>
<td>0.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique reflns</td>
<td>3642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of params, restraints</td>
<td>165, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOF</td>
<td>1.068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₁, wR₂ [I &gt; 2σ(I)]</td>
<td>0.027, 0.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₁, wR₂ (all data)</td>
<td>0.029, 0.065</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>