1

2

- 3 Toril Mørkve Knudsen, BSc, MPhil¹, Faisal I Rezwan, PhD², Cecilie Svanes^{3,4}. MD, PhD, John
- 4 W. Holloway, PhD²

5

- 6 1. Department of Clinical Medicine, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway.
- 7 2. Human Development and Health, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton,
- 8 Southampton, UK.
- 9 3. Centre for International Health, Department of Global Public Health and Primary Care,
- 10 University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway.
- 4. Department of Occupational Medicine, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway.

12

13 Correspondence to:

- 14 Prof. John W Holloway
- 15 Human Genetics and Genomic Medicine
- 16 Duthie Building, MP808,
- 17 University Hospital Southampton,
- 18 Southampton SO16 6YD
- 19 United Kingdom

20

- 21 Tel: +44 (0)23 8120 8758
- 22 Email: j.w.holloway@soton.ac.uk

24	Funding: This study was supported by the National Institutes of Health R01AI121226 (MPI:
25	Zhang and Holloway), the Western Norway Health Authorities grant 912011 and the European
26	Union (Horizon 2020, GA-633212) Ageing Lungs in European Cohorts study.
27	
28	Conflicts of Interest: JW Holloway receives grant support from the NIH, Western Norway
29	Health Authorities and European Union. C. Svanes receives grant support from the Western
30	Norway Health Authorities and European Union.
31	
32	Word Count (Main Body): 478

Response to Bråbäck et al.

We wish to thank Bråbäck et al. for their interest in our recent review on epigenetic inheritance in allergic disease (1) and for alerting us to errors in the table. We have requested these be corrected. As highlighted in our review, in the study of multigenerational effects it is important to distinguish between inter- and transgenerational effects, those that are occurring without any possibility of direct effects of the exposure in question on the generation in which disease risk is being assessed. In addition, it is important to assess disease outcomes stratified by sex; as Bråbäck et al. note out that many such studies have observed sex-specific patterns in the effects of exposures such as grandmaternal smoking through the paternal and maternal line. Specifically with regards to smoking, most studies have focused on the effect of grandmaternal smoking on grandchild asthma. For grandchildren in the maternal line however this cannot distinguish between intergenerational and true transgenerational effects as the oocytes that will form the grandchild will be exposed when the mother is in utero.

In contrast in the paternal line, exposure before the inset of puberty that is associated with effects on disease risk in offspring implies transgenerational effects as the gametes that will form the offspring are not yet formed. Whether there is then in addition further effects of grandparental exposure is separate question. In the study of the RHINE cohort by Svanes et al.,(2) there was a clear effect of early age (before the age of 15) smoke exposure in fathers on asthma risk in offspring. In this study, grandmaternal smoking status was associated with risk of non-allergic early-onset asthma in offspring (OR 1.3, 95% CI 1.0–1.6) and was also observed to modify the association between the father's own smoking status and asthma in his offspring. If the paternal grandmother did not smoke, the father's own smoking before age 15 was associated with more non-allergic early-onset asthma in his children, but this association was not present if

the paternal grandmother smoked ($P_{interaction} = 0.02$). Thus it appears that complex relationships exist between level of exposure, timing and gender in determining the effects of exposures between generations on asthma. Evidence of paternal line grandparental effects have also been demonstrated with regards to other exposures and/or outcomes. For example, compelling historical findings of paternal transgenerational effects of nutritional exposures in humans come from the Överkalix population in northern Sweden (summarised in Pembrey et al. (3)).

In summary, as highlighted by Pembrey et al., in future studies it will be important to pay particular attention to parental/ancestral exposures, both in terms of dose and timing of the exposure in relation to the person's stage of development, and in both maternal and paternal lines. We await with interest the results of future studies of such studies given the important practical consequences for public health policies the confirmation of multigenerational effects have.(4)

REFERENCES

- 73 1. Morkve Knudsen T, Rezwan FI, Jiang Y, Karmaus W, Svanes C, Holloway JW.
- 74 Transgenerational and intergenerational epigenetic inheritance in allergic diseases. J Allergy Clin
- 75 Immunol. 2018;142(3):765-72.
- 76 2. Svanes C, Koplin J, Skulstad SM, Johannessen A, Bertelsen RJ, Benediktsdottir B, et al.
- 77 Father's environment before conception and asthma risk in his children: a multi-generation
- analysis of the Respiratory Health In Northern Europe study. International Journal of
- 79 Epidemiology. 2017;46((1):235-45.
- 80 3. Pembrey M, Saffery R, Bygren LO, Network in Epigenetic E, Network in Epigenetic E.
- Human transgenerational responses to early-life experience: potential impact on development,
- health and biomedical research. J Med Genet. 2014;51(9):563-72.
- 4. Lønnebotn M, El-Merhie N, Holloway JW, Horsnell W, Krauss-Etschmann S, Gómez
- Real F, et al. Environmental Impact on Health across Generations: Policy Meets Biology. A
- Review of Animal and Human Models. Challenges. 2018;9(2):42.