Non-covalent close contacts in fluorinated thiophene-phenylene-thiophene conjugated units: understanding the nature and dominance of O···H versus S···F and O···F interactions towards the control of polymer conformation
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ABSTRACT: Using a simple π-conjugated trimer, EDOT-phenylene-EDOT (where EDOT = 3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene), we evaluate the effect that fluorine substituents have upon changes in conformation, conjugation and oxidation potentials in π-conjugated structures. These variations are assessed as a function of the fluorine atom’s propensity to feature in hydrogen and/or halogen bonding with other heteroatoms. The molecular motif was chosen because the EDOT unit presents the possibility of competing O···X or S···X non-covalent contacts (where X = H or F). Such non-bonding interactions are acknowledged to be highly influential in dictating molecular and polymer morphology and inducing changes in certain physical properties. We studied four compounds, beginning with an unsubstituted bridging phenylene ring and then adding one, two, or four fluorine units to the parent molecule. Our studies involve single crystal XRD studies, cyclic voltammetry, absorption spectroscopy and density functional theory calculations to identify the dominant non-covalent interactions and elucidate their effects on the molecules described. Experimental studies have also been carried out on the corresponding electrochemically synthesized polymers to confirm that these non-covalent interactions and their effects persist in polymers. Our findings show that hydrogen bonding and halogen bonding feature in these molecules and their corresponding polymers.

Introduction

The use of fluorine as a substituent in organic semiconductor materials can lead to significant enhancement in device performance for organic solar cells and field effect transistors. One of the main reported reasons, in addition to the strong electron-withdrawing nature of fluorine atoms, is due to the interactions that fluorine can have with a neighboring intramolecular hydrogen¹ and other atoms that lead to weak but persistent heteroatom interactions.² These associations, categorized as either hydrogen bonding or halogen bonding,³ can give rise to planar and rigid architectures within a π-conjugated unit, which in turn can strongly support π-π interactions between molecules or polymer chains. By increasing the dimensionality of orbital overlap, from single conjugated chains to the bulk, charge transport is enhanced throughout the semiconductor material.⁴ Fluorine-heteroatom interactions are driven by hydrogen bonding and other types of non-covalent connections, such as electrostatic and three-center two-electron bonds.⁵ In a complex structure, as is often seen in organic semiconductor molecules and polymers, there are several potential combinations of heteroatoms that could define the overall low energy conformation. Understanding the nature and strengths of these interactions is therefore important in the design of new structures where the conformation of the molecule/polymer can play an important role in the key physical properties of the organic semiconductor.

In our previous work, we studied chalcogen-chalcogen and chalcogen-pnictogen intramolecular and intermolec-
ular non-covalent contacts and their effects on polymer conformation and molecular self-assembly. Even in relatively simple structures, the conformations resulting from such interactions can be difficult to predict. For example, compounds 1 and 2 in Chart 1 have terthiophene units that are held in planarity through close contacts between the sulfur atoms of the peripheral thiophenes and the two sulfur substituents of the central thiophene, as shown. However, in compounds 3-5, the molecules are quite twisted and there seems to be competition between S···O and S···S interactions. From a comparison of the polymers PEDOT and PEDTT (Chart 2), we observe that S···O interactions are highly attractive and S···S strongly repulsive, leading to the large difference in the optical band gaps of these polymers due to the high co-planarity between EDOTs and the highly twisted nature of the PEDTT structure. Such subtle differences in the chalcogen atom can result in an even larger shift of the band gap – a variation of ca. 1 eV was observed between polymers 6 and 7 (Scheme 2), due to the highly twisted nature of the bis-EDTT units within the polymer structure.

The role of the fluorine atom in organic semiconductors has become a highly topical discussion point. It can have a beneficial effect as a simple substituent, for example by tuning the energy levels of donor and acceptor materials towards higher efficiency organic solar cells. However, the main interest in fluorine in π-conjugated materials has centered on its ability to provide conformationally locked structures for band gap tuning and good charge transport properties. The most common examples of fluorine-substituted materials are found in copolymers of thiophene and 2,1,3-benzothiadiazole, and in structures that incorporate fluorinated phenylene units. With the above in mind, our intention in this work was to probe further into the role of the fluorine atom as an influential substituent in the control of conformation in π-conjugated structures, focusing on the nature of the intramolecular interactions and the competition between hydrogen bonding and chalcogen-fluorine bonding.

**Results and Discussion**

**Crystallography.** Whilst heteroatom interactions are important, hydrogen bonding within conjugated polymers can be stronger. In this paper, we focused our study on fluorinated phenylene linkers between EDOT units to evaluate the potential non-covalent interactions in structures based on this motif (0F-4F, Chart 3). The possible interactions in these molecules are: S···F, O···F, O···H and S···H. Sotzing et al. published the single crystal X-ray structure of compound 0F and found the torsion angles between the thiophenes and the benzene ring to be 27.5°, a value that does not support the presence of hydrogen bonding between the phenylene ring and the chalcogen atoms.

The structures of 1F-4F are shown in Figure 1; the synthesis of compounds 1F and 2F have been reported previously, but their molecular structures have not been studied until this work. The immediate observation in these structures is the difference between the molecular conformations of 4F and 2F. Compound 4F is significantly twisted with a torsion angle of 135.7°, whereas 2F is planar with a torsion angle of 178.2°. There are only two possible non-covalent interactions in 4F, viz. S···F and O···F contacts. Since oxygen and fluorine are too electronegative to form a non-covalent bond, one can conclude that the
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repulsive O···F interaction is stronger than the S···F attractive interaction. Comparing 4F with the analogous compound 8F (Chart 4), the maximum torsion angle across the entire molecule is 4.08°, so one can see that S···F can be associated with a planar geometry. However, the structure of molecule 9F is also highly planar (1.18° torsion angle between rings), and clearly shows that F···H pairing is preferred over S···F, indicating that in compound 8 planarization could be due mainly from hydrogen bonding. As structure 8 has two possible connections, 2F also has a choice of non-covalent interactions, namely S···F, S···O and O···H. The high electronegative pairing of S···O is avoided and there are close S···F contacts and an O···H hydrogen bond.

In the crystal of 1F, the molecule is frustrated and there is disorder in the phenylene ring with the fluorine atom occupying two positions. Again, the O···F associations are avoided and there are close contacts between S···F and O···H atoms. The disorder arises from weaker non-covalent bonds (vide infra), with longer contacts than those seen in 2F and consequently a significant increase in the torsion angle.

From the crystallographic studies of 0F-4F, 8 and 9, we conclude: (i) hydrogen bonding and S···F interactions can coexist to give planar structures; (ii) O···F repulsion is strong and is avoided in molecules 1F-4F; (iii) O···H hydrogen bonding is not observed in the twisted structure of 0F, indicating that the fluorine substituents in 1F and 2F are important in increasing the positive nature of the phenylene hydrogen atoms to promote hydrogen bonding with an EDOT oxygen atom; (iv) determining the relative importance of S···F and O···H non-covalent bonds is inconclusive from crystallographic studies, so a computational approach is required to elucidate the matter (vide infra). However, since crystal packing forces can also be influential in shaping the conformation of the molecules studied, we performed solution state absorption spectroscopy and cyclic voltammetry experiments to determine whether or not the conformers detailed above persist in solution.
**Figure 1.** Molecular structures of compounds 1F, 2F and 4F, determined by single crystal X-ray diffraction studies. Torsion angles are measured across the four carbon atoms between each aromatic units. (sum of the van der Waals radii: H + F = 2.67 Å, S + F = 3.27 Å, O + F = 2.99 Å).

**Absorption Spectroscopy.** In this section we aim to corroborate the solid-state crystallographic studies with solution absorption spectroscopy, primarily to provide evidence that the conformers that are observed in the solid state exist in solution. The electronic absorption spectra in dichloromethane for compounds 1F-4F are shown in Figure 2 and the full set of absorption spectroscopy data is given in Table S1 (SI section). The spectra for 1F and 2F have fine structure, which is an indication of a rigid and persistent conformation in solution. Compound 1F and 2F have four sets of peaks, with the largest centred at 343 nm and 346 nm, respectively. On the other hand, compound 4F has a broad absorption band with a maximum at 309 nm. The highly twisted nature of compound 4F is therefore present in the solution state and the higher energy absorption maximum, compared to 1F and 2F, shows a significant loss in conjugation due to the distorted structure. The minor difference in the absorption maxima between 1F and 2F could be due to either greater planarity in 2F, as seen in the crystal structure, or a substituent effect of the additional fluorine atom. To probe this in greater detail, cyclic voltammetry experiments were performed on the three materials.

**Cyclic Voltammetry Experiments.** Cyclic voltammetry (CV) measurements were conducted using a small amount of ferrocene as an internal standard. This experiment was repeated three times for reproducibility. A gold disk working electrode was used for these experiments with applied iR compensation test at a sensitivity of 10^-4 A/V. The CVs of compounds 1F-4F are shown in Figure 3. All materials display a single irreversible oxidation process. Compound 2F has an $E_{ox}$ of 0.70 V, whereas that of 1F is lower at 0.58 V. Assuming that the site of oxidation is the EDOT unit, this difference can be attributed to the higher loading of fluorine atoms in 2F, which has a stronger electron withdrawing effect on the EDOT substituent and therefore raises its oxidation potential accordingly, with respect to 1F. However, this explanation does not befit the electrochemical behavior of 4F, since one would expect the same rationale to predict an even higher oxidation potential due to the presence of four fluorine atoms. Indeed, the oxidation potential is far lower for 4F at 0.42 V and this can be explained by the twisted nature of the molecule in solution state. Since there is no conjugation between the aromatic units, nor any short heteroatom contacts in this molecule, the EDOT units can be regarded as being unaffected by the fluorine atoms and therefore there is a much-reduced electron withdrawing effect to raise the oxidation potential of 4F.
Figure 2. Absorption spectra for compounds 1F-4F in dichloromethane solution.

Figure 3. Cyclic voltammograms for compounds 1F-4F in dichloromethane solution.

So far, the absorption spectroscopy and CV data show that the conformations observed in the crystalline solid state persist in solution state. Whilst these studies were based on simple molecules, it was interesting to investigate whether such conformers exist in polymer structures based on 1F-4F. The monomers were electropolymerized with 80 multisweep segments over a potential range that covered the oxidation wave of the monomers. The experiments were performed first on the button of a gold disk working electrode and then repeated using indium tin oxide (ITO) glass transparent electrode using the same conditions. The CVs depicting the growth of the polymers are given in Figure S1.

Figure 4. Cyclic voltammograms for the electrogenerated polymers of 1F-4F in dichloromethane solution.

The cyclic voltammograms for the polymers (Figure 4), were measured in a monomer free solution using a Au electrode for the CV data and an ITO working electrode for subsequent absorption spectroscopy measurements. After the growth cycles, the polymers were dedoped by cycling in a region of redox inactivity (this is typically performed between the oxidation and reduction processes), for around three hours in a monomer free solution. The trend in oxidation potentials follow the pattern observed in the monomer CVs. The oxidation peak for poly(1F) is 0.32 V and for poly(2F) this value is raised to 0.50 V which, as expected, are both lower than the oxidation potentials of the respective monomers. For poly(4F), the oxidation potential is -0.39 V, which is significantly lower than the value for the monomer (0.42 V). It can be assumed that the shift in the oxidation potential is due to the presence of the bis-EDOT unit within the polymer structure, which is known to be highly planar and more electroactive than the single EDOT molecule. Again we postulate that the tetrafluorophenylene units remain highly twisted in the polymer, since this would shift the oxidation potential of the polymer to higher values if this was not the case. To probe this assumption further, absorption spectroscopy was performed on the as-grown and dedoped films of the polymers.

Compared to the monomers 1F and 2F, a large bathochromic shift of the absorption maxima was observed for poly(1F) and poly(2F) (λ_max at 499 nm and 503 nm, respectively). This shift is attributed to the higher conjugation length in the conjugated polymer structures. Our results show no detectable absorption for poly(4F) on ITO glass. Bearing in mind the absorption maximum of 4F (309 nm), a similar magnitude of shift should be expected for a planar polymer and this should be observable at λ_max > 400 nm. From the hypothesis above, in which the tetrafluorophenylene unit is twisted and disrupts conjugation through the chain, the absorption signature of bis-EDOT should be observed. However, the absorption spectrum of bis-EDOT (Figure S2) shows that there are no significant features above 350 nm, which is the cut-off point for ITO.
glass in absorption spectroscopy measurements. This observation lends further proof of the highly twisted nature of poly(4F) and we can conclude that the conformers observed in the crystalline solid state persist in solution and in the repeat units of the polymer structures.

Figure 5. Solid state absorption spectra on ITO glass of polymers derived from 1F-4F.

The emission spectra of compounds 1F, 2F and 4F were recorded in dichloromethane solution and are shown in Figure S3. Similar to the absorption spectra, the emission profiles of 1F and 2F show fine structure and are almost identical. The main peak values for 1F (2F) are 379 nm (375 nm) and 395 nm (394 nm), with a shoulder at 416 nm (416 nm). The spectrum for 4F is broad and ill-defined in comparison and this provides further evidence of more persistent conformers for 1F and 2F in solution. Interestingly, the photoluminescence quantum yields for 1F and 2F in solution were 19.3% and 12.6%, respectively, whereas the value for 4F could not be measured because of its weak emission.

Density functional theory (DFT) investigation. With a robust set of experimental data pointing to strong variations in the structural, redox, and optical characteristics of 1F-4F as a function of fluorination, we aimed to dig deeper into the nature of the intramolecular interactions driving these differences. All isolated molecule DFT calculations were carried out at the ωB97XD/jun-cc-pvdz level of theory. In addition to 1F-4F, we also broke the molecules down into two moiety constructs (one EDOT plus the phenyl group) to further isolate the nature of the intramolecular interactions (Figure 6); we note that the fluorine positions are labeled in Figure 6 for future reference. To evaluate the impact of fluorine substitution on the molecular conformation, it is illustrative to examine the potential energy surface (PES) as a function of the dihedral angles among the aromatic units; here, the PES scans were performed by twisting EDOT around the bond between EDOT and the phenyl ring.

Figure 6. Two- and three-moiety structures used in the DFT investigation. The bond related to PES scan is denoted by the blue color.
PES for the dihedral angle between the aromatic units in the two-moiety structures, where the dihedral angle between the EDOT and phenyl ring is twisted from $-90^\circ$ to $0^\circ$. The two subplots represent different twist directions, as shown in the inset schemes, where F represents the fluorine (if any) that is in close proximity to the EDOT unit.

We start with the two-moiety structures, referred to as EDOTB, and their PES (Figure 7), where two half-scans are performed for each structure based on twisting direction; the yellow dots in the figure represent the minimum position for each half-PES scan. Notably, there is a rapid rise in the PES for 1F-E, 2F-E in the second half-scan, and 4F-E in both half-scans that can be directly attributed to repulsive O···F interactions. In general, fluorine substitution at positions labeled 4 or 6 on the phenyl ring reduces the rigidity of the dihedral torsion. Interestingly, the local minimum in the first half-scan of 1F-E is less stable than that in the second half-scan, where no direct S···F interaction can be established. Hence, instead of acting as an agent to “lock” the structure into a quasi-planar configuration, such substitution tends to reduce the transition barrier among the local minima on the PES.

Analyses of the non-covalent interactions based on the atoms-in-molecules (AIM) approach confirms the existence of S···F bond critical points (BCP) at the scan minima of 1F-E and 2F-E (selected AIM parameters are presented in Table S2). The S···F distances in these situations are smaller than the sum of their van der Waals radii (3.27 Å). The nature of these interactions is revealed by reduced density gradient (RDG) analyses (Figure 8), where both weak attractive and repulsive interactions are identified by the sign of $\lambda_2$, the second large eigenvalue of electron density (ρ) Hessian matrix. The existence of such repulsive interactions, indicative of oft-referred steric effects, is in agreement with the $(3, +1)$ type BCP found at the center of C-C-C-F···S ring. While previous studies have discovered S···F interactions as halogen-type bonds, we note that in these EDOTB structures that the S···F interaction is limited and the charge depleted region around sulfur does not point towards fluorine, as illustrated by the electron density Laplacian (Figure 9).

On the other hand, fluorine atoms substituted at positions labeled 1 or 3 on the phenyl ring result in more rigid structures. Here, it is apparent, however, that it is the O···H interaction that gains prevalence.
this interaction is significantly affected by the bond acidity of the C-H bond, as the electronic density at the BCP increases significantly after fluorine atoms are introduced onto the phenyl ring. By defining $V_{H}$ as the electrostatic potential at H without the contribution from the H nucleus itself, we can evaluate the pKa of C-H bond, which is inversely correlated with $|V_{H}|$. Interestingly, while generally a larger C-H bond acidity yields a stronger O···H interaction, E-1F seems to be an outlier with a larger $|V_{H}|$ but also a stronger O···H interaction comparing to E-1F' (2nd). This could be addressed by steric effects due to S···F interactions in E-1F that partially bend the structure, leading to a closer O···H pair (Figure 10). Here, one can surmise that the relative structural rigidity of the two-moiety structures comes from strong O···H interactions, and not necessarily from the S···F interactions.

**Figure 10.** Structural features in the optimized structures of E-1F (left) and E-1F' in the second half-scan (right).

The structural tendencies described for the isolated molecule structures are, indeed, less transparent in the solid state, which is a function of the significant disorder identified in the crystal structures, in particular for 1F. As there are four molecules per unit cell and two disorder groups per molecule in 1F, $2^4 \times 16$ configurations could be present in the crystal (assuming that the periodicity remains). Periodic DFT calculations were carried out to determine the cohesive energies of these 16 configurations (Table S2). Notably, the cohesive energies across all molecular configurations are very similar. Further, analyses of the inter-moiety dihedral angles of the most stable packing configuration (Table S3) show (albeit modest) variation in the same dihedral angles among the four molecules that comprise the unit cell. These results, along with various intermolecular short contacts (note that cif files are available in the SI for the 16 configurations considered), suggest that direct evaluation of intramolecular S···F interactions can be a difficult task, and that the role of the S···F interaction may not always be clearly defined.

**Conclusions**

The molecular structures under investigation demonstrate the complexity of potential interactions available within π-conjugated molecules that contain fluorine. From our joint experimental and theoretical studies it can be concluded that hydrogen bonding is the dominant interaction that defines the conformation in compounds 0F-4F. In this series, the preferred conformers are facilitated only in part by weak S···F halogen bonds, even to the extent that steric interactions bend the molecule and push H/F substituents closer together. Hydrogen bonding is further facilitated by the increased acidity of the Hs on the phenylene ring, due to the presence of fluorine at adjacent positions; note that the structure of 0F is highly twisted because of the absence of fluorine in that compound and the subsequent reduction in H-bonding strength. Finally, the strong repulsive nature of the O···F interaction leads to the highly twisted conformer observed in 4F. This repulsive interaction is far stronger than the weak attraction between sulfur and fluorine atoms. The preferred conformations of the molecular structures are persistent in solution and also in polymers. This is an important insight for the design of monomers towards targeted properties, such as HOMO/LUMO energy levels and electronic band gaps. In particular, adopting a twist unit into a polymer structure can retain the electronic properties observed in the monomer.

**Materials and Methods**

Compound 0F was synthesized according to the literature. The synthesis of compounds 1F-4F and their characterisation data are given in the Supporting Information section.

**Cyclic Voltammetry.** Measurements were performed on a CH Instruments 660E Electrochemical workstation at a scan rate of 0.1 V/s. Blank cell was performed as an initial and a final cleaning step before measuring. Three electrodes were used in these experiments: gold (Au) disk as a working electrode, platinum (Pt) wire as a counter electrode, silver (Ag) wire as a reference electrode. Tet-
rabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate (TBAPF$_6$) (Sigma Aldrich) was used as the electrolyte (0.1 M) in dry dichloromethane (DCM). The substrate concentration was 0.1 mM. Solvents were obtained from an in-house solvent purification system. All glassware was cleaned, rinsed with DCM and dried at 120 °C in an oven overnight prior to use. Each value was corrected to the redox potential of ferrocene.

**UV-Vis-NIR Spectroscopy:** UV/Vis spectra were recorded using a Shimadzu UV-2600 Spectrophotometer in the range 200–1200 nm, using a 1 cm path length quartz cell.

**Computational Methods:**

Density functional theory (DFT) calculations were carried out on isolated molecules and bulk crystal structures. For the isolated molecules, geometry optimizations of two-moiety – the phenyl ring and one EDOT – and three-moiety – the phenyl ring and two EDOTs – structures were completed at the ωB97XD/jun-cc-pvdz level of theory as implemented in the Gaussian 16 software suite.41-43 All optimized, unconstrained geometries were confirmed as minima on the potential energy surface through normal mode analyses. Potential energy surfaces (PES), where select inter-moiety dihedral angles were held constant and the remainder of the molecules allowed to relax were also determined at the ωB97XD/jun-cc-pvdz level of theory. Atoms-in-molecules (AIM) analyses were carried out with topological analysis module in Multiwfn package.44-45

For calculations with periodic boundary conditions, DFT calculations were carried out with the Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package (VASP),46-47 making use of the Perdew, Burke, and Ernzerhof (PBE) exchange-correlation functional based on experimentally solved structures. The electron-ion interactions were described with the projector augment wave (PAW) method.48-49 The kinetic energy cutoff for the plane-wave basis set was set to 520 eV, and a Gaussian smearing with a width of 0.05 eV was employed. To explore the disorder in the crystal structure of E-1F', for all possible configurations were generated by an iterative scheme and DFT relaxations were performed to identify the most stable configuration as described in the main-text. The convergence criterion of the total energy was set to 10$^{-7}$ eV in the self-consistent loop and the force cutoff for relaxation was set to 10$^{-2}$ eV/Å for cohesive energy calculations, or 10$^{-4}$ eV/Å for extracting dihedral angles from the most stable configuration. The Brillouin zone was sampled with a 3x3x2 Γ-centered grid.
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