1 Article

Low Levels of Low Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol and Mortality Outcomes in Non-Statin Users

Ki-Chul Sung ^{1,*,†}, Ji Hye Huh ^{2,*}, Seungho Ryu ³, Jong-Young Lee ¹, Eleonora Scorletti ^{4,5}, Christopher D Byrne ⁴, Jang Young Kim ^{6,†}, Dae Sung Hyun ⁷, and Sang-Baek Ko ⁷

- ¹ Division of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine, Kangbuk Samsung Hospital, Sungkyunkwan
 University School of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea; <u>kcmd.sung@samsung.com</u> (K.-C.S.),
 <u>jyleeheart@naver.com</u> (J.-Y.L.)
- 9 ² Division of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Department of Internal Medicine, Wonju Severance Christian
 Hospital, Yonsei University Wonju College of Medicine, Wonju, Republic of Korea <u>png1212@yonsei.ac.kr</u>;
 (J.H.H.)
- ³ Department of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Kangbuk Samsung Hospital, Sungkyunkwan
 University School of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea; <u>sh703.yoo@gmail.com</u> (S.R.)
- ⁴ Nutrition and Metabolism Unit, IDS Building, Southampton General Hospital, (University of Southampton) and Southampton National Institute for Health Research Biomedical Research Centre, Southampton, UK c.d.byrne@soton.ac.uk (C.D.B)
- ⁵ Department of Gastroenterology, University of Pennsylvania, Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia,
 USA <u>e.scorletti@soton.ac.uk</u> (E.S.)
- ⁶ Division of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine, Wonju Severance Christian Hospital, Yonsei
 University Wonju College of Medicine, Wonju, Republic of Korea
- ⁷ Department of Preventive Medicine and Institute of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Yonsei
 University Wonju College of Medicine, Wonju, Republic of Korea <u>hyonds@hanmail.net</u>(D.S.H.)
 <u>kohhj@yonsei.ac.kr</u>(S.-B.K.)
- 24 * Correspondence: <u>kcmd.sung@samsung.com</u>; Tel.: +82-2-2001-2400 (K.-C.S.); <u>png1212@yonsei.ac.kr</u>; (J.H.H.)
- 25 [†] These authors are co-first authors.
- 26 Received: date; Accepted: date; Published: date

27 Abstract: We aimed to test the association between low density lipoprotein-cholesterol (LDL-C) and 28 cardiovascular disease (CVD), cancer and all-cause mortality in non-statin users. 347,971 subjects in 29 Kangbuk Samsung Health Study (KSHS.57.4% men, mean follow up: 5.64 ± 3.27 years) were tested. 30 To validate these associations, we analyzed data from another cohort (Korean genome and 31 epidemiology study, KoGES, 182,943 subjects). All subjects treated with any lipid lowering therapy 32 and who died during the first 3 years of follow up were excluded. Five groups were defined 33 according to baseline LDL-C concentration (<70, 70-99, 100-129, 130-159, ≥160 mg/dL). 2,028 deaths 34 occurred during follow-up in KSHS. The lowest LDL-C group (LDL<70 mg/dL) had a higher risk of 35 all-cause mortality (HR 1.95, 1.55-2.47), CVD mortality (HR 2.02, 1.11-3.64) and cancer mortality (HR 36 2.06, 1.46-2.90) compared to the reference group (LDL 120-139 mg/dL). In the validation cohort, 2,338 37 deaths occurred during follow-up. The lowest LDL-C group (LDL<70 mg/dL) had a higher risk of 38 all-cause mortality (HR 1.81, 1.44-2.28) compared to the reference group. Low levels of LDL-C 39 concentration are strongly and independently associated with increased risk of cancer, CVD and 40 all-cause mortality. These findings suggest that more attention is needed to subjects with not statin-41 induced decrease in LDL-C concentrations.

- 42 **Keywords:** low density lipoprotein cholesterol; Mortality; Cancer; Cardiovascular disease
- 43

44 1. Introduction

For decades, low density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) has been considered to be the major causative factor in the development of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (CVD) and CVD 47 mortality [1]. Numerous studies have robustly represented that reduction of plasma LDL-C
48 concentration by lipid lowering agents is associated with a greater reduction in development of CVD
49 and CVD mortality [2-10].

50 In contrast to the enormous evidences from previous studies regarding CVD, the correlation 51 between low plasma concentrations of LDL-C and mortality outcome is still uncertain especially in 52 relatively healthy populations. In most randomized controlled trials or observational studies, subjects 53 with unusually low concentration of LDL-C level have been excluded in analysis. Therefore, to date, 54 we could not clearly find the impact of lower LDL-C on mortality outcome especially in subjects who 55 does not take lipid lowering agents. Moreover, some recent Japanese epidemiological studies have 56 shown that high total cholesterol is not a risk factor for CVD and it is rather conversely associated 57 with overall mortality [11]. Similarly, other observational study showed that healthy individuals with 58 low LDL-C have a significantly increased risk of both infectious diseases and cancer [12,13]. These 59 studies raised an important issue whether low level of LDL-C could be related to all-cause mortality 60 and cancer mortality in healthy populations. However, no study has evaluated the impact of LDL-C, 61 not statin-induced decrease in LDL-C concentrations, on all-cause, cancer and CVD mortality.

Since the effect of low concentrations of LDL-C on cancer and overall mortality remains controversial, we have investigated the associations between low levels of serum LDL-C, and cancer, all-cause mortality and even CVD mortality in a very large, young and well characterized, relatively healthy occupational cohort (Kangbuk Samsung health study, KSHS) during a median 5.82-year follow-up. To validate these associations, we then analyzed other dataset from a large populationbased cohort study with government funding, named the Korean genome and epidemiology study

68 (KoGES).

69 2. Methods

70 2.1. Study population

71 The study population consisted of individuals who participated in a comprehensive health 72 screening program with serum LDL-C at Kangbuk Samsung Hospital, Seoul, Korea from 2002 to 2012 73 (n=396,951). The purpose of the screening program was to promote health through early detection of 74 chronic diseases and their risk factors. Additionally, the Korean Industrial Safety and Health Law 75 demands working individuals participate in an annual or biennial health examination. For this 76 analysis, subjects were excluded for one or more of the following reasons: subjects with missing data 77 for smoking, alcohol, exercise or lipid profiles at baseline (n=42,020); subjects with lipid medication 78 (n=3,667); subjects with histories of malignancy (n=5,342); subjects with mortality within 3 years after 79 baseline (n=649). Some of the excluded subjects had more than one of the above exclusion criteria. 80 The total number of eligible subjects for testing associations with all cause and CVD mortality was 81 347,971 (median follow up: 5.82 [IQR 2.62-8.63] years and mean [SD] follow up: 5.64 [± 3.27] years). 82 This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Kangbuk Samsung Hospital. 83 Requirement for informed consent was waived as de-identified information was retrieved 84 retrospectively.

85 In the validation cohort, the cohort profile of KoGES has been previously reported [14]. The 86 KoGES cohort was designed to investigate and assess genetic and environmental factors as correlates 87 or determinants of the incidence of chronic diseases, (e.g. type 2 diabetes, hypertension, CVD and 88 cancer) in Koreans. The number of baseline subjects was 211,714. For this analysis, subjects were 89 excluded for one or more of the following reasons: subjects with missing data for smoking, alcohol, 90 exercise or lipid profiles at baseline (n=4,149); subjects with lipid medication (n=16,488); subjects with 91 histories of malignancy (n=6,578) and subjects with mortality within 3 years after baseline (n=1,556). 92 The total number of eligible subjects for testing associations with all cause and CVD mortality was 93 183,943 (mean [SD] follow up: 8.57 [± 2.59] years). The percentile of women was 65.4%. At each visit, 94 informed written consent was obtained from all participants. The study protocol was approved by 95 the Ethics Committee of the Korean Center for Disease Control and the Institutional Review Boards 96 of Yonsei University Wonju College of Medicine.

97 2.2. Data collection

98

99

100

101

102

As part of the health screening program, individuals completed questionnaires related to their medical and social history and medication use. Individuals were asked about duration of education (years), frequency of exercise (none, less than once a week, at least once a week, ≥3 times per week [regular exercise]), smoking history (never, former, or current) and alcohol consumption (grams [g] / week). Trained staff also collected anthropometric measurements and vital statistics. Body weight

103 was measured in light clothing with no shoes to the nearest 0.1 kilogram using a digital scale. Height 104 was measured to the nearest 0.1 centimeter. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight in 105 kilograms divided by height in meters squared. Blood pressure was measured using standard 106 mercury sphygmomanometers. Blood samples were collected after minimum 10-hours of fasting and 107 analyzed in the same core clinical laboratory. The core clinical laboratory has been accredited and 108 participates annually in inspections and surveys by the Korean Association of Quality Assurance for 109 Clinical Laboratories. Serum levels of total cholesterol, triglycerides, LDL-C, and high-density 110 lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) were measured using Bayer Reagent Packs (Bayer Diagnostics, 111 Leverkusen, Germany) on an automated chemistry analyzer (Advia 1,650 Autoanalyzer; Bayer

112 Diagnostics, Leverkusen, Germany).

113 Deaths among participants were identified by matching the information to death records from 114 the National Statistical Office using identification numbers assigned to subjects at birth. Causes of 115 death were coded centrally by trained coders using the ICD-10 classification (International 116 Classification of Diseases, 10th revision). In this study, CVD mortality was defined as ICD-10 codes 117 IO0 to I99.

118 2.3. Statistical analysis

119 The statistical analysis was performed using STATA version 14.0 (StataCorp LP, College Station, 120 TX, USA). Reported *P* values were two-tailed, and <.05 were considered statistically significant. The 121 distribution of continuous variables was evaluated and transformations were conducted for 122 nonparametric variables. We divided our subjects according to plasma LDL-C concentrations (<70, 123 70-99, 100-129, 130-159, ≥160 mg/dL) at baseline. Cox proportional hazards models stratified by five 124 group were used to estimate hazard ratios (HRs and 95% CIs for all-cause mortality, CV and cancer 125 mortality in each LDL-C category, compared with the LDL-C 100-129 mg/dL as the reference group). 126 This LDL-C 100-129 mg/dL group was chosen as the reference because this group contained the mean 127 LDL-C concentration for adults in Korea over the last 10 years (approximately 110 mg/dL) [15]. For 128 testing linear risk trends across LDL-C concentration groups in the regression models, we used the 129 categories rank as a continuous variable. To minimize the influence of possible "reverse causation" 130 (illnesses causing low LDL-C), we excluded the subjects who died with in less than 3 years after the 131 baseline measurements. A cubic spline analysis was used to characterize non-linear, dose-response 132 associations between LDL cholesterol levels and mortality, and to minimize residual confounding for 133 continuous confounders [16,17]. We checked the proportional hazards assumption by examining 134 graphs of estimated log (-log) survival. P<.05 was considered significant.

135 **3. Results**

136 3.1. Baseline characteristics of participants

137 347,971 subjects (mean age 39.6 years) (57.4% men) were studied in KSHS over a mean follow 138 up of 5.64 ± 3.27 years. Five groups were defined according to the level of baseline LDL-C 139 concentration (<70, 70-99, 100-129, 130-159, ≥160 mg/dL). Table 1 shows baseline characteristics of 140 study participants respectively according to LDL-C concentrations at baseline. Subjects in the lowest 141 LDL-C group (LDL <70 mg/dL) were of similar age to subjects in each of the other groups with a 142 small albeit significant increase in age from the lowest to the highest LDL-C groups. Blood pressure 143 was also very similar across LDL-C groups with a small albeit significant increase in systolic BP from 144 the lowest to the highest LDL-C groups. Systolic BP was -2 mmHg lower in the lowest LDL-C group

145 compared with the highest LDL-C group. The proportion of current smokers and former smokers

- 146 was remarkably similar across LDL-C groups.
- 147 148

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of participants according to LDL-C concentrations in Kangbuk Samsung Health Study.

Characteristics	Overall		L	DL-C (mg	/dL)		p for
Characteristics	Overall	<70	70-99	100-129	130-159	≥160	trend
Number	347,971	18,298	97,660	131,879	73,614	26,520	
Age (years)	39.5 (9.8)	37.2 (9.4)	37.6 (9.0)	39.6 (9.6)	41.4 (10.1)	42.7 (10.5)	< 0.001
BMI (kg/m ²)	23.4 (3.1)	21.8 (3.0)	23.3 (2.9)	23.5 (3.0)	24.5 (3.0)	25.1 (3.0)	< 0.001
Systolic BP (mmHg)	113.8	110.2	110.9	114.0	116.6	118.20	< 0.001
	(14.4)	(14.2)	(13.8)	(14.2)	(14.3)	(14.7)	
Diastolic BP (mmHg)	73.3	70.6	71.3 (9.8)	73.5	75.4 (10.1)	76.4 (10.2)	< 0.001
	(10.1)	(10.0)		(10.0)			
Laboratory							
Fasting glucose (mg/dl)	94.8	93.2	92.7	94.6	96.6 (18.0)	99.1 (22.4)	< 0.001
	(16.5)	(16.5)	(13.9)	(15.6)			
Total cholesterol (mg/dl)	194.6	144.4	166.6	193.6	222.7	259.6	< 0.001
	(34.9)	(26.8)	(18.7)	(17.9)	(18.0)	(25.1)	
HDL-C (mg/dl)	55.8	58.2	57.4	55.3	54.2 (11.6)	54.7 (11.2)	< 0.001
	(13.0)	(15.8)	(14.0)	(12.8)			
Triglycerides (mg/dl)	101 (71-	73 (53-	80 (59-	102 (74-	123 (91-	139 (104-	< 0.001
	150)	114)	118)	148)	171)	186)	
Smoking status (%)							
Never smoker	55.5	64.4	63.5	54.9	47.4	45.2	< 0.001
Former smoker	16.8	12.6	13.4	17.2	20.2	20.6	< 0.001
Current smoker	27.6	22.8	22.9	27.8	32.3	34.1	< 0.001
Alcohol intake (%)							
0 g/day	33.6	37.7	36.8	33.1	30.3	30.7	< 0.001
10 g/day	49.7	46.7	49.1	50.4	50.3	48.7	< 0.001
20 g/day	16.6	15.4	13.9	16.4	19.3	20.5	< 0.001
Regular exercise (%) ¹	15.7	15.2	15.4	16.1	15.9	14.6	0.969
Hx of Hypertension (%)	7.6	6.3	5.6	7.3	9.8	11.6	< 0.001
Hx of Diabetes mellitus (%)	2.3	3.1	2.0	2.1	2.4	2.7	< 0.001
Hx of coronary artery	3.6	4.6	3.6	3.4	3.6	4.0	0.159
disease (%)							
Diabetes (%)	3.7	4.2	2.8	3.4	4.4	6.0	< 0.001
Hypertension (%)	15.8	11.6	11.3	15.5	20.5	23.6	< 0.001
Medication for diabetes (%)	1.6	2.5	1.4	1.5	1.6	1.6	0.029
Medication for	5.3	5.2	4.1	5.2	6.5	7.2	< 0.001
hypertension (%)							
Higher education (%) ²	72.3	70.3	72.5	72.7	72.5	70.2	0.194

1	4	9
T		/

Data are mean (standard deviation), median [interquartile range], or percentage.

150 BMI = body mass index; BP = blood pressure; HDL-C = high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol; LDL-C = low-151 density lipoprotein cholesterol.

 $^{1} \ge 3$ time per week; 2 Above college graduate.

152 153 SI unit Conversion (Multiply the conversion factor): glucose, 0.0555 (mmol/L); total cholesterol, 0.0259 154 (mmol/L); HDL-C, 0.0259 (mmol/L); LDL-C, 0.0259 (mmol/L); triglyceride, 0.0113 (mmol/L).

155 3.2. Association between low density lipoprotein cholesterol and all-cause, CVD and cancer mortality in

156 Kangbuk Samsung Health Study

157 There were 1,379 deaths (0.40%) during follow up in KSHS cohort (Mean age of death: 58.2 (13.8)

158 . Of these deaths, 675 deaths were due to cancer, (482 deaths in men and 193 deaths in women). There 159 were 188 deaths from CVD (134 in men and 54 deaths in women). The results of cox regression models 160 showing risk of all-cause mortality, CVD mortality and cancer mortality according to baseline LDL-161 C concentrations are shown in Table 2, Table 3 and Table 4, respectively. Inspection of spline plots 162 revealed U-shaped association between LDL-C concentrations and all-cause mortality, in which 163 mortality risk increases significantly with LDL-C less than 70 mg/dL in men (Figure 1). A higher risk 164 of all-cause mortality was observed in lowest LDL-C group compared with the LDL-C 100-129 mg/dL 165 as the reference group (Table 2). After adjusting for age, BMI, smoking status alcohol intake, regular 166 exercise, educational level, history of hypertension, diabetes and CVD and HDL-C concentration, the 167 association between the lowest LDL-C levels and higher risk of all-cause mortality still remained 168 significant (HR 1.95 [1.55-2.47]). However, this association was significant only in men (HR 2.07 [1.58-169 2.70] for men; HR 1.56 [0.95-2.55] for women). The lowest LDL-C group (LDL<70 mg/dL) was also 170 associated with increased risk of CVD mortality compared to the reference group (Table 3). When we 171 stratify subjects by sex, we observed the highest risk of CVD mortality was seen in both men and 172 women, although the statistically significance slightly attenuated due to low incidence of 173 cardiovascular mortality (HR 1.99 [0.99-4.02] for men, HR 2.41 [0.80-7.29] for women). Similarly, the 174 lowest LDL-C levels were significantly associated with higher risk of cancer mortality (HR 1.81 [1.44-175 2.28]) and this association was more prominent in men than in women (Table 4). Since there was a 176 slightly higher proportion of subjects with diabetes or with a history of CVD in the lowest LDL-C, all 177 the regression models were repeated after exclusion of these subjects in order to ensure the robustness 178 of the results (Tables S1-S3). The results were not affected after the omission of subject with diabetes 179 or with a history of CVD. Table S4-5 show baseline characteristics of study participants respectively 180 according to LDL-C concentrations at baseline according to gender in KSHS cohort. 181

182

Table 2. Risk of all-cause mortality according to baseline LDL-C levels excluding subjects who diedwithin 3 years of follow up in Kangbuk Samsung Health Study.

		Number	Mortality	Age-	Multivariate HR (95% CI)			
LDL-C (mg/dL)	Person- years	of events	rate (10,000 person- year)	adjusted HR (95% CI)	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	
Total (n=347,322)								
LDL <70	102,558.0	113	11.0	2.21 (1.80-	2.07 (1.68-	1.94 (1.54-	1.95 (1.55-	
				2.71)	2.54)	2.45)	2.47)	
LDL 70-99	548,590.4	323	5.8	1.16 (1.01-	1.16 (1.01-	1.14 (0.97-	1.15 (0.98-	
				1.34)	1.34)	1.34)	1.35)	
LDL 100-129	756,557.6	488	6.4	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
				(reference)	(reference)	(reference)	(reference)	
LDL 130-159	413,829.3	312	7.5	0.96 (0.83-	0.96 (0.83-	0.97 (0.82-	0.95 (0.81-	
				1.10)	1.10)	1.13)	1.12)	
LDL ≥160	139,579.8	143	10.2	1.16 (0.96-	1.19 (0.99-	1.18 (0.96-	1.15 (0.93-	
				1.40)	1.44)	1.46)	1.42)	
p for trend				0.001	0.001	0.003	0.001	
Men (n=199,195)								
LDL <70	43,175.1	89	20.6	2.37 (1.87-	2.21 (1.75-	2.06 (1.58-	2.07 (1.58-	
				3.00)	2.80)	2.69)	2.70)	
LDL 70-99	261,503.7	221	8.5	1.17 (0.99-	1.15 (0.97-	1.11 (0.92-	1.12 (0.92-	
				1.38)	1.36)	1.34)	1.34)	
LDL 100-129	462,384.7	351	7.6	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
				(reference)	(reference)	(reference)	(reference)	
LDL 130-159	290,047.8	231	8.0	1.00 (0.85-	0.99 (0.84-	0.98 (0.81-	0.97 (0.80-	
				1.18)	1.17)	1.18)	1.17)	
LDL ≥ 160	99,505.8	99	9.9	1.27 (1.01-	1.24 (0.99-	1.29 (1.01-	1.25 (0.98-	
				1.58)	1.55)	1.65)	1.61)	
p for trend				0.001	0.001	0.032	0.016	

	Women (n=148,127)									
	LDL<70	59,382.9	24	4.0	1.60 (1.04-	1.54 (0.99-	1.53 (0.94-	1.56 (0.95-		
					2.48)	2.39)	2.51)	2.55)		
	LDL 70-99	287,086.7	102	3.5	1.20 (0.91-	1.15 (0.89-	1.20 (0.90-	1.21 (0.91-		
					1.52)	1.50)	1.59)	1.62)		
	LDL 100-129	294,173.0	137	4.7	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		
					(reference)	(reference)	(reference)	(reference)		
	LDL 130-159	123,781.6	81	6.5	0.90 (0.68-	0.91 (0.69-	0.97 (0.72-	0.96 (0.71-		
					1.19)	1.20)	1.30)	1.29)		
	$LDL \ge 160$	40,074.0	44	11.0	1.16 (0.82-	1.15 (0.82-	1.01 (0.68-	0.98 (0.66-		
					1.63)	1.63)	1.50)	1.47)		
	p for trend				0.120	0.172	0.103	0.070		
	p for interaction by				0.507	0.608	0.487	0.495		
	gender									
183	CI = confidence int	ervals; HDL-0	C = high-de	ensity lipopro	otein cholester	ol; HR = haza	rd ratio; LDL	-C = low-		
184			densi	ty lipoproteir	n cholesterol.					
185	Model 1: Adjusted for age, body mass index, smoking status, alcohol intake, regular exercise.									

186Model 2: Model 1 + education level, hypertension, diabetes, history of coronary artery disease.187Model 2: Model 1 + education level, hypertension, diabetes, history of coronary artery disease.

Table 3. Risk of cardiovascular disease mortality according to baseline LDL-C levels excluding
 subjects who died within 3 years of follow up in Kangbuk Samsung Health Study.

		Number	Mortality	Age-	Multiv	ariate HR (9	95% CI)
LDL-C (mg/dL)	Person- years	of events	rate (10,000 person- year)	adjusted HR (95% CI)	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
Total (n=347,322)							
LDL <70	102,558.0	14	1.3	1.99 (1.12-	1.83 (1.02-	2.03 (1.12-	2.02 (1.11-
				3.55)	3.27)	3.67)	3.64)
LDL 70-99	548,590.4	34	0.6	0.90 (0.59-	0.91 (0.60-	0.93 (0.59-	0.92 (0.59-
				1.36)	1.38)	1.45)	1.43)
LDL 100-129	756,557.6	67	0.8	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
				(reference)	(reference)	(reference)	(reference)
LDL 130-159	413,829.3	53	1.2	1.17 (0.81-	1.15 (0.80-	1.17 (0.79-	1.19 (0.80-
				1.68)	1.66)	1.73)	1.75)
LDL ≥160	139,579.8	20	1.4	1.16 (0.70-	1.16 (0.70-	1.05 (0.60-	1.08 (0.61-
				1.92)	1.92)	1.84)	1.89)
p for trend				0.957	0.930	0.678	0.764
Men (n=199,195)							
LDL <70	43,175.1	10	2.0	1.91 (0.97-	1.77 (0.89-	2.01 (0.99-	1.99 (0.99-
				3.77)	3.52)	4.04)	4.02)
LDL 70-99	261,503.7	25	0.9	0.94 (0.58-	0.94 (0.58-	1.01 (0.60-	1.00 (0.59-
				1.53)	1.53)	1.69)	1.68)
LDL 100-129	462,384.7	49	1.1	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
				(reference)	(reference)	(reference)	(reference)
LDL 130-159	290,047.8	35	1.2	1.09 (0.71-	1.05 (0.68-	1.07 (0.66-	1.08 (0.67-
				1.68)	1.62)	1.72)	1.75)
LDL ≥160	99,505.8	15	1.6	1.37 (0.77-	1.27 (0.71-	1.20 (0.63-	1.23 (0.64-
				2.45)	2.28)	2.29)	2.37)
p for trend				0.889	0.983	0.612	0.674
Women (n=148,127)							

J. Clin. Med. 2019, 8, \times FOR PEER REVIEW

LDL <70	290,047.8	4	0.6	2.47 (0.83-	2.35 (0.79-	2.44 (0.81-	2.41 (0.80-
				7.34)	7.02)	7.35)	7.29)
LDL 70-99	287,086.7	9	0.3	0.91 (0.41-	0.87 (0.39-	0.82 (0.35-	0.81 (0.35-
				2.03)	1.94)	1.91)	1.90)
LDL 100-129	294,173.0	18	0.6	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
				(reference)	(reference)	(reference)	(reference)
LDL 130-159	123,781.6	18	1.5	1.34 (0.70-	1.37 (0.71-	1.35 (0.68-	1.36 (0.69-
				2.59)	2.65)	2.66)	2.68)
LDL ≥160	40,074.0	5	1.2	0.85 (0.31-	0.87 (0.32-	0.74 (0.25-	0.75 (0.25-
				2.30)	2.35)	2.21)	2.27)
p for trend				0.747	0.888	0.751	0.798
p for interaction by				0.808	0.798	0.760	0.759
gender							

190	CI = confidence intervals; HDL-C = high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HR = hazard ratio; LDL-C = low-
191	density lipoprotein cholesterol.
192	Model 1: Adjusted for age, body mass index, smoking status, alcohol intake, regular exercise.
193	Model 2: Model 1 + education level, hypertension, diabetes, history of coronary artery disease.
194	Model 3: Model 2 + HDL-C.
195	Table 4. Risk of cancer mortality according to baseline LDL-C levels excluding subjects who died
196	within 3 years of follow up in Kangbuk Samsung Health Study.

		Number	Mortality	Age-	Multiv	ariate HR (9	95% CI)
LDL-C (mg/dL)	Person- years	of	rate (10,000 person-	adjusted HR (95%	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
		events	year)	CI)			
Total (n=347,322)							
LDL <70	102,558.0	50	4.8	2.01 (1.48-	1.95 (1.43-	2.05 (1.45-	2.06 (1.46-
				2.73)	2.65)	2.88)	2.90)
LDL 70-99	548,590.4	159	2.8	1.17 (0.96-	1.19 (0.98-	1.20 (0.96-	1.21 (0.97-
				1.44)	1.46)	1.51)	1.52)
LDL 100-129	756,557.6	238	3.1	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
				(reference)	(reference)	(reference)	(reference)
LDL 130-159	413,829.3	150	3.6	0.94 (0.77-	0.93 (0.76-	0.97 (0.77-	0.96 (0.76-
				1.16)	1.14)	1.22)	1.20)
LDL ≥160	139,579.8	78	5.5	1.30 (1.00-	1.30 (1.01-	1.31 (0.98-	1.27 (0.95-
				1.68)	1.69)	1.74)	1.70)
p for trend				0.033	0.030	0.058	0.033
Men (n=199,195)							
LDL <70	43,175.1	43	9.9	2.41 (1.72-	2.33 (1.66-	2.42 (1.66-	2.42 (1.66-
				3.38)	3.26)	3.53)	3.53)
LDL 70-99	261,503.7	111	4.2	1.24 (0.98-	1.24 (0.97-	1.19 (0.91-	1.19 (0.91-
				1.58)	1.57)	1.56)	1.57)
LDL 100-129	462,384.7	166	3.6	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
				(reference)	(reference)	(reference)	(reference)
LDL 130-159	290,047.8	109	3.8	1.00 (0.78-	0.98 (0.77-	1.00 (0.76-	0.99 (0.76-
				1.27)	1.25)	1.31)	1.30)
LDL ≥160	99,505.8	53	5.3	1.43 (1.05-	1.38 (1.01-	1.37 (0.97-	1.36 (0.96-
				1.95)	1.88)	1.95)	1.93)
p for trend				0.025	0.020	0.060	0.047
Women (n=148,127)							
LDL <70	290,047.8	7	1.1	0.83 (0.38-	0.86 (0.39-	0.97 (0.42-	0.99 (0.43-
				1.81)	1.87)	2.27)	2.32)

7 of 16

J. Clin. Med. 2019, 8, x FOR PEER REVIEW

LDL 70-99	287,086.7	48	1.6	1.00 (0.69-	1.03 (0.71-	1.17 (0.78-	1.19 (0.79-
				1.44)	1.49)	1.75)	1.79)
LDL 100-129	294,173.0	72	2.4	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
				(reference)	(reference)	(reference)	(reference)
LDL 130-159	123,781.6	41	3.3	0.91 (0.62-	0.89 (0.61-	0.98 (0.64-	0.97 (0.63-
				1.34)	1.31)	1.49)	1.48)
LDL ≥160	40,074.0	25	6.2	1.34 (0.85-	1.28 (0.81-	1.27 (0.75-	1.22 (0.73-
				2.12)	2.04)	2.13)	2.06)
p for trend				0.418	0.624	0.940	0.910
p for interaction by				0.271	0.305	0.448	0.460
gender							

8 of 16

CI = confidence intervals; HDL-C = high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HR = hazard ratio; LDL-C = lowdensity lipoprotein cholesterol.

Model 1: Adjusted for age, body mass index, smoking status, alcohol intake, regular exercise. Model 2: Model 1 + education level, hypertension, diabetes, history of coronary artery disease. Model 3: Model 2 + HDL-C.



197

198

199

200

201

203 204

201

Figure 1. Spline plot of plasma low density lipoprotein cholesterol and all-cause mortality rate, normalized to the mortality rate at low density lipoprotein cholesterol of 100-129 mg/dL. The adjusted hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals were calculated with Cox proportional-hazards models after adjusting for adjusted for age, BMI, smoking status, alcohol intake, regular exercise: education, house income, marital status, diabetes and hypertension and high density lipoprotein cholesterol.

3.3. Association between low density lipoprotein cholesterol and all-cause, CVD and cancer mortality in Korean Genome and Epidemiology Study

212 In the validation cohort (KoGES), 2,338 deaths (1,823 from cancer and 199 from CVD) occurred 213 during follow-up of (mean±SD) 8.57±2.59 years. Table S6 represents baseline characteristics of KoGES 214 participants according to baseline LDL-C concentrations. There were 2,338 deaths (1.28%) during 215 follow up in KoGES. Of these deaths, 199 deaths were due to CVD (121 in men and 78 in women) and 216 675 deaths were due to cancer (482 deaths in men and 193 deaths in women) in KoGES. The results 217 of cox regression models showing risk of all-cause mortality, CVD mortality and cancer mortality 218 according to baseline LDL-C concentrations are shown in Tables 5, 6 and 7, respectively. In the lowest 219 LDL-C group (LDL<70 mg/dL) comparing reference group (LDL 100-129 mg/dL), the adjusted HR 220 (95% CIs) were 1.81 (1.44-2.28) for all-cause mortality, 1.93 (0.81-4.61) for CVD mortality and 1.24 221 (0.95-1.63) for cancer mortality after adjustment for age, BMI, smoking status, alcohol intake, house 222 income, marriage status, hypertension, diabetes and HDL-C concentrations. Similar to the results 223 obtained within KHSH, the association between the lowest LDL-C and higher risk of mortality was 224 more prominent in men than in women. Additionally, a U-shaped association between LDL-C 225 concentrations and CVD mortality in men were observed with a nadir at 100-129 mg/dL in KoGES 226 data.

227 228

 Table 5. Risk of all-cause mortality according to baseline LDL-C levels excluding subjects who died

 within 3 years of follow up in KoGES data.

		Number	Mortality	Age-	Multiv	ariate HR (9	95% CI)
LDL-C (mg/dL)	Person- years	of events	rate (10,000 person- year)	adjusted HR (95% CI)	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
Total (n=182,943)			*				
LDL <70	68,149	207	30.4	2.16 (1.85-	2.15 (1.71-	1.82 (1.45-	1.81 (1.44-
				2.51)	2.70)	2.29)	2.28)
LDL 70-99	357,358	565	15.8	1.28 (1.15-	1.45 (1.23-	1.35 (1.15-	1.35 (1.15-
				1.43)	1.70)	1.59)	1.59)
LDL 100-129	597,261	800	13.4	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
				(reference)	(reference)	(reference)	(reference)
LDL 130-159	388,906	520	13.4	0.88 (0.79-	1.03 (0.87-	1.06 (0.89-	1.06 (0.90-
				0.98)	1.22)	1.25)	1.25)
LDL ≥160	157,296	246	15.6	0.94 (0.81-	1.05 (0.84-	1.08 (0.86-	1.08 (0.87-
				1.08)	1.31)	1.35)	1.36)
p for trend				< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	0.0001
Men (n=63,318)							
LDL <70	33,865	174	51.4	2.06 (1.73-	2.17 (1.69-	1.83 (1.42-	1.83 (1.42-
				2.45)	2.79)	2.36)	2.36)
LDL 70-99	133,332	405	30.4	1.31 (1.14-	1.46 (1.21-	1.37 (1.13-	1.37 (1.13-
				1.49)	1.76)	1.65)	1.65)
LDL 100-129	210,838	487	23.1	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
				(reference)	(reference)	(reference)	(reference)

J. Clin. Med. 2019, 8, x FOR PEER REVIEW

LDL 130-159	124,980	258	20.6	0.90 (0.78-	0.97 (0.78-	0.98 (0.79-	0.98 (0.79-
				1.05)	1.21)	1.22)	1.22)
LDL ≥160	41,542	114	27.4	1.20 (0.98-	1.09 (0.80-	1.11 (0.81-	1.11 (0.81-
				1.47)	1.49)	1.52)	1.52)
p for trend				< 0.0001	< 0.0001	<.0001	<.0001
Women (n=119,625)							
LDL <70	34,284	33	9.6	1.29 (0.90-	1.48 (0.83-	1.30 (0.73-	1.30 (0.73-
				1.85)	2.64)	2.33)	2.32)
LDL 70-99	224,026	160	7.1	1.06 (0.87-	1.31 (0.96-	1.23 (0.91-	1.23(0.90-
				1.28)	1.78)	1.68)	1.68)
LDL 100-129	386,423	313	8.1	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
				(reference)	(reference)	(reference)	(reference)
LDL 130-159	263,926	262	9.9	0.96 (0.81-	1.24 (0.95-	1.28(0.98-	1.28 (0.98-
				1.13)	1.63)	1.68)	1.68)
LDL ≥160	115,755	132	11.4	0.97 (0.79-	1.21 (0.86-	1.25 (0.89-	1.26 (0.90-
				1.19)	1.69)	1.75)	1.76)
p for trend				0.1964	0.8682	0.6045	0.5733
CI = confidence inte	ervals; HDL-	C = high-	density lipopro	otein cholester	ol; HR = haza	rd ratio; LDL	-C = low-

density lipoprotein cholesterol.

Model 1: Adjusted for age, body mass index, smoking status, alcohol intake, regular exercise. Model 2: Model 1 + education level, hypertension, diabetes, history of coronary artery disease. Model 3: Model 2 + HDL-C.

234 235

229

230

231

232

233

Table 6. Risk of cardiovascular disease mortality according to baseline LDL-C levels excludingsubjects who died within 3 years of follow up in KOGES data.

		Number	Mortality	Age-	Multivariate HR (95% CI)			
LDL-C (mg/dL)	Person- years	of events	rate (10,000 person- year)	adjusted HR (95% CI)	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	
Total (n=180,804)								
LDL <70	66,931	14	2.1	2.00 (1.12-	2.53 (1.07-	2.00 (0.84-	1.93 (0.81-	
				3.58)	6.01)	4.78)	4.61)	
LDL 70-99	353,873	39	1.1	1.21 (0.81-	2.07 (1.14-	1.88 (1.04-	1.86 (1.03-	
				1.81)	3.74)	3.42)	3.37)	
LDL 100-129	592 <i>,</i> 339	59	1.0	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
				(reference)	(reference)	(reference)	(reference)	
LDL 130-159	385,834	53	1.4	1.21 (0.83-	1.70 (0.94-	1.77 (0.98-	1.82 (1.01-	
				1.75)	3.07)	3.20)	3.31)	
LDL ≥160	155,906	34	2.2	1.74 (1.14-	2.65 (1.37-	2.83 (1.46-	3.00 (1.54-	
				2.65)	5.14)	5.49)	5.81)	
p for trend				0.2492	0.7319	0.3009	0.2016	
Men (n=62,001)								
LDL <70	32,840	11	3.3	2.25 (1.12-	3.91 (1.51-	3.25 (1.24-	3.15 (1.21-	
				4.50)	10.01)	8.47)	8.21)	
LDL 70-99	130,856	30	2.3	1.64 (0.99-	2.78 (1.32-	2.60 (1.23-	2.55 (1.21-	
				2.74)	5.84)	5.47)	5.38)	
LDL 100-129	207,799	29	1.4	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
				(reference)	(reference)	(reference)	(reference)	
LDL 130-159	123,520	31	2.5	1.82 (2.00-	2.25 (1.04-	2.35 (1.08-	2.40 (1.10-	
				3.02)	4.91)	5.13)	5.22)	
LDL ≥160	40,912	20	4.9	3.57 (2.02-	3.46 (1.39-	3.70 (1.49-	3.85(1.54-	
				6.31)	8.63)	9.24)	9.60)	

236

241

242

p for trend				0.0164	0.9761	0.6235	0.5223
Women (n=118,803)							
LDL <70	34,091	3	0.9	1.21 (0.37-	NA*	NA*	NA*
				3.96)			
LDL 70-99	223,017	9	0.4	0.63 (0.30-	1.11 (0.37-	0.97 (0.33-	0.97 (0.32-
				1.32)	3.31)	2.92)	2.90)
LDL 100-129	384,541	30	0.8	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
				(reference)	(reference)	(reference)	(reference)
LDL 130-159	262,314	22	0.8	0.83 (0.48-	1.12(0.45-	1.21 (0.48-	1.24 (0.49-
				1.43)	2.83)	3.05)	3.13)
LDL ≥160	114,994	14	1.2	1.04 (0.55-	2.00 (0.77-	2.18 (0.84-	2.27 (0.87-
				1.95)	5.20)	5.67)	5.93)
p for trend				0.5300	0.3287	0.1649	0.1363
CI = confidence intervals; HDL-C = high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HR = hazard ratio; LDL-C = low-							
density lipoprotein cholesterol.							

237	density lipoprotein cholesterol.
238	Model 1: Adjusted for age, body mass index, smoking status, alcohol intake, regular exercise.
239	Model 2: Model 1 + education level, hypertension, diabetes, history of coronary artery disease
240	Model 3: Model 2 + HDL-C.

Table 7. Risk of cancer mortality according to baseline LDL-C levels excluding subjects who diedwithin 3 years of follow up in KoGES data.

	Person- years	Number of events	Mortality	Age-	Multivariate HR (95% CI)		
IDL-C (mg/dL)			rate (10,000	adjusted			
LDL C (IIIg/uL)			person-	HR (95%	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
		events	year)	CI)			
Total (n=182,428)							
LDL <70	67,784	145	21.4	1.92 (1.60-	1.31 (1.01-	1.24 (0.95-	1.24 (0.95-
				2.29)	1.72)	1.62)	1.63)
LDL 70-99	356,508	449	12.6	1.26 (1.12-	1.07 (0.90-	1.04 (0.88-	1.04 (0.88-
				1.43)	1.26)	1.23)	1.23)
LDL 100-129	596,054	640	10.7	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
				(reference)	(reference)	(reference)	(reference)
LDL 130-159	388,202	421	10.8	0.90 (0.80-	0.97 (0.82-	0.98 (0.83-	0.97 (0.83-
				1.02)	1.14)	1.15)	1.15)
LDL ≥160	156,791	168	10.7	0.82 (0.69-	0.89 (0.71-	0.90 (0.71-	0.90 (0.71-
				0.97)	1.13)	1.14)	1.13)
p for trend				<.0001	<.0001	0.0022	0.0021
Men (n=62,993)							
LDL <70	33,550	119	35.5	1.82 (1.48-	1.41 (1.05-	1.34 (0.99-	1.34 (0.99-
				2.24)	1.91)	1.82)	1.82)
LDL 70-99	132,685	310	23.4	1.29 (1.11-	1.09 (0.88-	1.06 (0.86-	1.06 (0.86-
				1.50)	1.34)	1.31)	1.31)
LDL 100-129	210,008	379	18.0	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
				(reference)	(reference)	(reference)	(reference)
LDL 130-159	124,852	239	19.1	1.07 (0.91-	1.14 (0.92-	1.14 (0.93-	1.14 (0.92-
				1.26)	1.40)	1.41)	1.41)
LDL ≥160	41,233	66	16.0	0.90 (0.70-	0.87 (0.62-	0.88 (0.62-	0.87 (0.62-
				1.17)	1.23)	1.24)	1.24)
p for trend				<.0001	0.0949	0.1915	0.1837
Women (n=119,435)							
LDL <70	34,234	26	7.6	1.22 (0.82-	0.96 (0.52-	0.90 (0.48-	0.90 (0.49-
				1.82)	1.77)	1.66)	1.67)

11 of 16

J. Clin. Med. 2019, 8, x FOR PEER REVIEW

LDL 70-99	223,823	139	6.2	1.04 (0.85-	1.00 (0.75-	0.98 (0.73-	0.98 (0.74-
				1.28)	1.33)	1.30)	1.30)
LDL 100-129	386,046	261	6.8	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
				(reference)	(reference)	(reference)	(reference)
LDL 130-159	263,350	182	6.9	0.86 (0.71-	0.82 (0.63-	0.83 (0.64-	0.833
				1.04)	1.07)	1.09)	(0.64-1.09)
LDL ≥160	115,558	102	8.8	0.99 (0.79-	0.98 (0.71-	1.00 (0.72-	0.99 (0.72-
				1.25)	1.35)	1.37)	1.37)
p for trend				0.0994	0.4654	0.6899	0.6713

- 243 244
- 245
- 246

247

density lipoprotein cholesterol. Model 1: Adjusted for age, body mass index, smoking status, alcohol intake, regular exercise.

CI = confidence intervals; HDL-C = high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HR = hazard ratio; LDL-C = low-

Model 2: Model 1 + education level, hypertension, diabetes, history of coronary artery disease.

Model 3: Model 2 + HDL-C.

248 4. Discussion

249

250 Our novel results show that low levels of LDL-C (<70 mg/dL) were associated with increased 251 risk of CVD mortality, cancer mortality, and even all-cause mortality especially in men who were not 252 treated with lipid lowering therapy. The finding of increased CVD mortality in men with low levels 253 of LDL-C (<70 mg/dL) was observed in both different cohorts even though it showed U shape. In this 254 study, we were able to take account of multiple confounders and the young age of the cohort helped 255 decrease the influence of potential reverse causality between clinically relevant outcomes and low 256 levels of plasma LDL-C concentrations. Additionally, we excluded subjects who died within 3 years 257 of follow up to avoid the possibility of reverse causality. Furthermore, to validate these associations, 258 we then analyzed other dataset from a large population-based cohort study with government funding, 259 named the Korean genome and epidemiology study (KoGES) which consists of community-dwellers 260 aged \geq 40 years at baseline. .

We chose the third LDL-C group (i.e. LDL-C 100-129 mg/dL) as the reference group, because as indicated above this group contained the mean LDL-C concentration for the Korean population as measured in the Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey during 1998 to 2010 [15]. As we expected, the highest category of LDL-C (≥160 mg/dL or ≥130 mg/dL in KoGES) was associated with increased risk of CVD mortality. However, the lowest LDL-C concentration category (LDL-C <70 mg/dL) also showed higher risk of CVD mortality compared to the reference group.</p>

267 In line with our findings, another recent study also presented that whereas low LDL-C (<70 268 mg/dL) was not associated with protective effects on CVD outcome, low hs-CRP appeared to be 269 associated with reduced risk of incident CVD and CVD mortality in high risk population [18]. These 270 findings provide a paradoxical contradiction to the traditional LDL-C hypothesis; a lower CVD and 271 all-cause mortality in lower LDL-C levels. It suggests the possibility that lower LDL-C concentration 272 itself may not be a crucial factor for health outcome and other factor such as inflammatory process 273 may have more important role in health outcome. However, considering the known strong 274 association lowering LDL-C levels and better CV outcome, our finding indicates potential higher risk 275 of poor health outcome in subjects who have too lower level of LDL-C although they do not take lipid 276 lowering agents.

277 Associations between too lower levels of LDL-C and poor health outcome have been reported 278 in some, but not all, prior studies. Observational cohort studies have revealed that people with low 279 total cholesterol levels (e.g., total cholesterol <154.4 mg/dL) have increased risk of subsequent death 280 in some cancers, respiratory diseases and other non-medical causes than people with high baseline 281 cholesterol levels [4]. A recent systematic review of 19 cohort studies including more than 68,000 282 elderly people showed that CVD mortality was highest in the lowest LDL-C quartile group [19]. 283 However, these studies included participants who were taking lipid lowering agents and who had 284 other co-morbidities which may have influenced outcomes. Our study has excluded all subjects who 285 were taking any lipid-lowering therapy at baseline in order to investigate the direct association 286 between low levels of LDL-C and mortality outcomes. We demonstrated an increase in any cause of 287 mortality outcomes in the lowest LDL-C concentration group especially in men. The finding of 288 increased risk of mortality in men with low level of LDL-C was similar when we even excluded 289 subjects who have history of diabetes and CVD at baseline. We additionally confirmed this 290 phenomenon in another validation cohort. Our finding provide evidence supporting the 'lipid 291 paradox', suggesting that too lower level of cholesterol concentrations do not always confer 292 protective effects on mortality outcomes in the healthy population who does not take lipid lowering 293 agents.

294 While the exact mechanism remains to be elucidated, several possibilities could explain our 295 findings. Firstly, a low LDL-C concentration increases susceptibility to fatal disease. Some 296 experiments have shown that LDL-C binds to and inactivates a broad range of microorganisms and 297 toxic products which might be a possible causal factor of CVD and cancer [20,21]. Furthermore, a 298 common mechanism may operate that links low LDL-C concentration to different disease states. 299 Links between low LDL-C and death from different diseases, only seems plausible if low LDL-C 300 concentration is a marker for another phenomenon and to this effect although it is pure speculation, 301 we and others have suggested that dysbiosis and altered bile acid metabolism [22-25] could provide 302 that common link.

303 There are strengths and limitations of our study that should be considered in the interpretation 304 of these controversial data. 347,971 relatively young subjects (mean age 39.6 years) (57.4% men) were 305 studied in a retrospective cohort study design over a median follow up of almost 6 years and data on 306 cardiovascular mortality in men validated in another independent cohort. Additionally, we have 307 excluded the data from individuals who were identified at baseline and who subsequently died 308 during the first three years of follow up. These factors limit the possibility of reverse causality 309 explaining our findings. However, since we excluded all subjects at baseline who were taking any 310 lipid-lowering therapy, it is likely that subjects with extremely highest level of LDL-C have been 311 excluded. Moreover, the weaknesses of our study design is that treatment with LDL-C lowering 312 therapy during the period of follow up is not available, although given what is known about the 313 benefit of statins, treatment with statins would decrease CVD and misclassification bias would 314 operate to bias our results towards the null. Additionally, the fact that the numbers of deaths, 315 especially cardiovascular mortality, are relatively low may make attenuate the causal-relationship 316 between LDL cholesterol and mortality. We could not measure some specific lipoproteins such as 317 small dense LDL, and lipoprotein which may explain this phenomenon. Other limitation of our study 318 is that the Korean relatively young-aged participants and whether the findings are applicable to other 319 ethnic groups is uncertain.

320 5. Conclusions

321 We demonstrated that low levels of LDL-C concentration (<70 mg/dL) was not associated with 322 protective effects on overall mortality in relatively healthy Korean adults who does not take lipid 323 lowering agents. Whilst, men with the lowest levels of LDL-C concentration (<70 mg/dL) are at risk 324 of increased all- cause, cancer and even CVD mortality and even though the association between 325 LDL-C concentration and CVD mortality was U shaped in men, lowest levels of LDL-C concentration 326 were significantly and independently associated with increased risk of CVD mortality. These findings 327 suggest that more attention might be needed to subjects with not statin-induced decrease in LDL-C 328 concentrations. Further large-scale, population-based research with long term follow up is warranted 329 in other ethnic groups to re-evaluate the relationship between low levels of LDL-C and mortality 330 outcomes.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at www.mdpi.com/xxx/s1, Table S1: Risk of death from all causes according to baseline LDL excluding subjects who died within 3 years of follow up and excluding subjects with existing diabetes or cardiovascular disease at baseline; Table S2: Risk of death from cardiovascular disease according to baseline LDL excluding subjects who died within 3 years of follow up and excluding subjects with existing diabetes or cardiovascular disease at baseline; Table S2: Risk of death from cardiovascular disease according to baseline LDL excluding subjects who died within 3 years of follow up and excluding subjects with existing diabetes or cardiovascular disease at baseline; Risk of death from cancer according to

baseline LDL excluding subjects who died within 3 years of follow up and excluding subjects with existing

- diabetes or cardiovascular disease at baseline; Table S4-5: Baseline characteristics of participants according to
 LDL-C concentrations in KSHS(Men and Women). S6: Baseline characteristics of participants according to LDL-
- 339 C concentrations in KoGES.
- 340

341 Author Contributions: K-C.S. conceived and designed the study. S.H.R., S.B.K., D.S.H. contributed to data 342 analysis. J.Y.K., E.S., C.B., contributed to data interpretation. K-C.S., J.H.H., J.Y.L. drafted the manuscript. All 343 authors contributed to discussion and reviewed/edited the manuscript. K-C.S. and J.H.H. are the guarantor of 344 this work and, as such, had full access to all the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the 345 data and the accuracy of the data. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

- **346 Funding:** This research received no external funding.
- 347 **Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

348 References

- Prospective Studies Collaboration; Lewington, S.; Whitlock, G.; Clarke, R.; Sherliker, P.; Emberson, J.;
 Halsey, J.; Qizilbash, N.; Peto, R.; Collins, R. Blood cholesterol and vascular mortality by age, sex, and
 blood pressure: a meta-analysis of individual data from 61 prospective studies with 55,000 vascular
 deaths. *Lancet* 2007, 370, 1829-1839.
- Benn, M.; Tybjaerg-Hansen, A.; Stender, S.; Frikke-Schmidt, R.; Nordestgaard, B.G. Low-density
 lipoprotein cholesterol and the risk of cancer: A mendelian randomization study. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* 2011, 103, 508-519.
- Ference, B.A.; Yoo, W.; Alesh, I.; Mahajan, N.; Mirowska, K.K.; Mewada, A.; Kahn, J.; Afonso, L.;
 Williams, K.A., Sr.; Flack, J.M. Effect of long-term exposure to lower low-density lipoprotein cholesterol
 beginning early in life on the risk of coronary heart disease: A Mendelian randomization analysis. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2012, 60, 2631-2639.
- Jacobs, D.; Blackburn, H.; Higgins, M.; Reed, D.; Iso, H.; McMillan, G.; Neaton, J.; Nelson, J.; Potter, J.;
 Rifkind, B.; et al. Report of the conference on low blood cholesterol: Mortality associations. *Circulation* 1992, *86*, 1046-1060.
- Law, M.R.; Thompson, S.G. Low serum cholesterol and the risk of cancer: An analysis of the published
 prospective studies. *Cancer Causes Control* 1991, *2*, 253-261.
- Linsel-Nitschke, P.; Gotz, A.; Erdmann, J.; Braenne, I.; Braund, P.; Hengstenberg, C.; Stark, K.; Fischer,
 M.; Schreiber, S.; El Mokhtari, N.E.; et al. Lifelong reduction of LDL-cholesterol related to a common
 variant in the LDL-receptor gene decreases the risk of coronary artery disease--a Mendelian
 Randomisation study. *PLoS One* 2008, *3*, e2986.
- Neaton, J.D.; Blackburn, H.; Jacobs, D.; Kuller, L.; Lee, D.J.; Sherwin, R.; Shih, J.; Stamler, J.; Wentworth,
 D. Serum cholesterol level and mortality findings for men screened in the Multiple Risk Factor
 Intervention Trial. Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial Research Group. *Arch. Intern. Med.* 1992, 152,
 1490-1500.
- 3738.Rose, G.; Blackburn, H.; Keys, A.; Taylor, H.L.; Kannel, W.B.; Paul, O.; Reid, D.D.; Stamler, J. Colon374cancer and blood-cholesterol. Lancet 1974, 1, 181-183.
- Sherwin, R.W.; Wentworth, D.N.; Cutler, J.A.; Hulley, S.B.; Kuller, L.H.; Stamler, J. Serum cholesterol
 levels and cancer mortality in 361,662 men screened for the Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial. *JAMA* 1987, 257, 943-948.
- 378 10. Strasak, A.M.; Pfeiffer, R.M.; Brant, L.J.; Rapp, K.; Hilbe, W.; Oberaigner, W.; Lang, S.; Borena, W.;
 379 Concin, H.; Diem, G.; et al. Time-dependent association of total serum cholesterol and cancer incidence

380		in a cohort of 172,210 men and women: a prospective 19-year follow-up study. Ann. Oncol. 2009, 20,
381		1113-1120.
382	11.	Hamazaki, T.; Okuyama, H.; Ogushi, Y.; Hama, R. Towards a paradigm shift in cholesterol treatment.
383		A re-examination of the cholesterol issue in Japan. Ann. Nutr. Metab. 2015, 66 Suppl 4, 1-116.
384	12.	Ravnskov, U.; McCully, K.S. Infections may be causal in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis. Am. J. Med.
385		<i>Sci.</i> 2012 , <i>344</i> , 391-394.
386	13.	Ravnskov, U.; McCully, K.S.; Rosch, P.J. The statin-low cholesterol-cancer conundrum. Qjm-an
387		International Journal of Medicine 2012 , 105, 383-388.
388	14.	Kim, Y.; Han, B.G. Cohort profile: The Korean Genome and Epidemiology Study (KoGES) consortium.
389		Int. J. Epidemiol. 2017 , 46, e20.
390	15.	Roh, E.; Ko, S.H.; Kwon, H.S.; Kim, N.H.; Kim, J.H.; Kim, C.S.; Song, K.H.; Won, J.C.; Kim, D.J.; Choi,
391		S.H.; et al. Prevalence and management of dyslipidemia in Korea: Korea National Health and Nutrition
392		Examination Survey during 1998 to 2010. Diabetes Metab. J. 2013, 37, 433-449.
393	16.	Desquilbet, L.; Mariotti, F. Dose-response analyses using restricted cubic spline functions in public
394		health research. Stat. Med. 2010, 29, 1037-1057.
395	17.	Greenland, S. Dose-response and trend analysis in epidemiology: alternatives to categorical analysis.
396		Epidemiology 1995 , 6, 356-365.
397	18.	Penson, P.E.; Long, D.L.; Howard, G.; Toth, P.P.; Muntner, P.; Howard, V.J.; Safford, M.M.; Jones, S.R.;
398		Martin, S.S.; Mazidi, M.; et al. Associations between very low concentrations of low density lipoprotein
399		cholesterol, high sensitivity C-reactive protein, and health outcomes in the Reasons for Geographical
400		and Racial Differences in Stroke (REGARDS) study. Eur. Heart J. 2018, 39, 3641-3653.
401	19.	Ravnskov, U.; Diamond, D.M.; Hama, R.; Hamazaki, T.; Hammarskjold, B.; Hynes, N.; Kendrick, M.;
402		Langsjoen, P.H.; Malhotra, A.; Mascitelli, L.; et al. Lack of an association or an inverse association
403		between low-density-lipoprotein cholesterol and mortality in the elderly: A systematic review. BMJ
404		<i>Open</i> 2016 , <i>6</i> , e010401.
405	20.	Ravnskov, U.; McCully, K.S. Review and hypothesis: Vulnerable plaque formation from obstruction of
406		Vasa vasorum by homocysteinylated and oxidized lipoprotein aggregates complexed with microbial
407		remnants and LDL autoantibodies. Ann. Clin. Lab. Sci. 2009, 39, 3-16.
408	21.	Ravnskov, U. High cholesterol may protect against infections and atherosclerosis. QJM 2003, 96, 927-
409		934.
410	22.	David, L.A.; Maurice, C.F.; Carmody, R.N.; Gootenberg, D.B.; Button, J.E.; Wolfe, B.E.; Ling, A.V.;
411		Devlin, A.S.; Varma, Y.; Fischbach, M.A.; et al. Diet rapidly and reproducibly alters the human gut
412		microbiome. <i>Nature</i> 2014 , <i>505</i> , 559-563.
413	23.	Devkota, S.; Wang, Y.; Musch, M.W.; Leone, V.; Fehlner-Peach, H.; Nadimpalli, A.; Antonopoulos, D.A.;
414		Jabri, B.; Chang, E.B. Dietary-fat-induced taurocholic acid promotes pathobiont expansion and colitis
415		in II10-/- mice. Nature 2012 , 487, 104-108.
416	24.	Mueller, M.; Thorell, A.; Claudel, T.; Jha, P.; Koefeler, H.; Lackner, C.; Hoesel, B.; Fauler, G.; Stojakovic,
417		T.; Einarsson, C.; et al. Ursodeoxycholic acid exerts farnesoid X receptor-antagonistic effects on bile acid
418		and lipid metabolism in morbid obesity. J. Hepatol. 2015, 62, 1398-1404.
419	25.	Wang, Z.; Klipfell, E.; Bennett, B.J.; Koeth, R.; Levison, B.S.; Dugar, B.; Feldstein, A.E.; Britt, E.B.; Fu, X.;
420		Chung, Y.M.; et al. Gut flora metabolism of phosphatidylcholine promotes cardiovascular disease.
421		Nature 2011 , 472, 57-63.
422		



© 2019 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

423