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In Brief

Burr et al. show that cancer cells co-opt PRC2 to evade immune surveillance. PRC2 maintains bivalency at the promoters of MHC-I antigen-processing pathway (MHC-I APP) genes to repress their basal and cytokine-activated expression. Inhibition of PRC2 restores the MHC-I APP and T cell-mediated anti-tumor immunity.
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SUMMARY

Loss of MHC class I (MHC-I) antigen presentation in cancer cells can elicit immunotherapy resistance. A genome-wide CRISPR/Cas9 screen identified an evolutionarily conserved function of polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2) that mediates coordinated transcriptional silencing of the MHC-I antigen processing pathway (MHC-I APP), promoting evasion of T cell-mediated immunity. MHC-I APP gene promoters in MHC-I low cancers harbor bivalent activating H3K4me3 and repressive H3K27me3 histone modifications, silencing basal MHC-I expression and restricting cytokine-induced upregulation. Bivalent chromatin at MHC-I APP genes is a normal developmental process active in embryonic stem cells and maintained during neural progenitor differentiation. This physiological MHC-I silencing highlights a conserved mechanism by which cancers arising from these primitive tissues exploit PRC2 activity to enable immune evasion.

INTRODUCTION

Cancer immune surveillance requires co-operation of innate and adaptive immune systems to eradicate developing tumors. The key effectors of tumor elimination are CD8+ cytotoxic T cells that recognize and kill cells displaying foreign antigens bound to MHC class I (MHC-I) molecules (Gubin et al., 2014; Tumeh et al., 2014). Viruses have evolved a multitude of mechanisms to decrease MHC-I expression in infected cells to evade T cell surveillance and, similarly, reduced expression of MHC-I in cancer cells

Significance

Here we show that cancer cells co-opt an evolutionarily conserved, lineage-specific function of PRC2 to silence the MHC-I antigen processing and presentation pathway and evade immune surveillance. Importantly, PRC2 mediated silencing of MHC-I APP genes is reversible following pharmacological inhibition of EED or EZH2 and EZH1, leading to re-establishment of effective T cell-mediated anti-tumor immunity and providing a rationale for combining PRC2 inhibitors with immunotherapy to treat these aggressive MHC-I-deficient malignancies. Our findings suggest that resistance to cancer immunotherapies may occur not only through genomic mutations that inactivate the MHC-I APP but also through non-genomic mechanisms that exploit the activity of repressive chromatin complexes such as PRC2.
Figure 1. A Whole Genome CRISPR Screen Identifies a Critical Role for PRC2 in Silencing MHC-I Expression in Cancer Cells

(A) CRISPR screen. K-562 cells were mutagenized by infection with a pooled lentiviral library comprising 220,000 sgRNA and MHC-I high cells were enriched by three successive sorts using fluorescence-activated cell sorting.

(B) Cell surface MHC-I in K-562 cells following incubation ± IFN-γ 10 ng/mL for 24 h.

(C) Bubble plots show the top 1,000 enriched genes identified in the CRISPR screen. PRC2 genes indicated in red. p values calculated using the RSA algorithm (Konig et al., 2007).

(D) Control K-562 Cas9 + vector
EED KO + EED cDNA

(E) Control EED KO

(F) mRNA expression (RPKM)
provides a mechanism of resistance to CD8+ T cell-mediated immune attack. Recent major breakthroughs in cancer immunotherapy, particularly the capacity of antibodies targeting the T cell inhibitory receptors PD-1 and CTLA-4 to induce sustained disease remission in a proportion of cancer patients, has renewed interest in the molecular understanding of tumor antigen presentation. Consistent with a central role for cytotoxic T cells as the key effector cell activated in immune checkpoint inhibitor (ICI)-treated patients, mutations in genes encoding components of the MHC-I antigen processing pathway (APP) or the interferon-γ (IFN-γ) response pathway have emerged as a frequent cause of both primary and acquired resistance (Manguso et al., 2017; Zaretsky et al., 2016; Shin et al., 2017; Gao et al., 2016; Pan et al., 2018; Patel et al., 2017; Kearney et al., 2018). Conversely, augmenting the IFN-γ response and MHC-I expression enhances T cell-mediated anti-tumor immunity (Patel et al., 2017; Pan et al., 2018; Manguso et al., 2017). Together these studies emphasize the central importance of understanding the regulation of MHC-I antigen presentation in cancer cells to enable effective targeting with immunotherapy.

Transcriptional repression of MHC-I has been observed in a range of cancers, including neuroendocrine tumors such as N-myc-driven neuroblastoma, small-cell lung cancer (SCLC) and Merkel cell carcinoma (MCC), and has recently been identified as a mechanism of resistance to immunotherapy (Bernards et al., 1986; Restifo et al., 1993; Paulson et al., 2018). While MHC-I is universally expressed on normal nucleated cells, the quantitative expression of individual HLA genes is regulated in tissue- and cell-type-specific patterns to modulate immune function (Rene et al., 2016). During fetal development, selective transcriptional downregulation of HLA-A and HLA-B in the fetal extravillous trophoblast facilitates maternal tolerance of paternally encoded MHC-I alleles (Tilburgs and Strominger, 2013). Downregulation of MHC-I genes has also been observed in adult quiescent tissue-specific stem cells in the hair follicle and muscle (Agudo et al., 2016). These observations imply the existence of conserved physiological epigenetic regulatory mechanisms to suppress MHC-I expression that could provide a survival advantage to cancer cells under immune selection pressure. In contrast to mutations in MHC-I APP genes, transcriptional downregulation of MHC-I is potentially reversible and thus amenable to pharmacological manipulation. Here we aim to identify and characterize the molecular mechanisms underpinning MHC-I silencing in MHC-I low cancers.

RESULTS

A Whole Genome CRISPR/Cas9 Screen Identifies an Essential Role for Polycomb Repressive Complex 2 in Maintaining Transcriptional Repression of MHC-I

To identify conserved negative regulators of MHC-I expression, we performed a positive selection flow cytometry-based whole genome CRISPR/Cas9 screen in K-562 cells (Figure 1A), a well-characterized erythroleukemia line that lacks cell surface expression of all classical HLA alleles in the absence of IFN-γ stimulation (Figure 1B). Supporting a key role for epigenetic regulation of MHC-I expression in cancer cells, all the top candidate genes identified from cells with reactivated MHC-I detected with either an HLA-B-specific or a pan-HLA-A/B/C antibody encoded epigenetic regulators (Figures 1C and S1A; Table S1). Several of the targets are known to function together in multicomponent complexes, supporting the validity of these hits. The most significantly enriched of these were single guide RNAs (sgRNAs) targeting EED and SUZ12, encoding core components of polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2). MTF2, encoding a facultative subunit of PRC2.1 subcomplexes that has been implicated in PRC2 recruitment, was also among the top hits (Figure 1C; Table S1). PRC2 is a well-known epigenetic repressive complex that has a critical role in modulating gene expression during embryonic development, therefore this complex was prioritized for validation. Depletion of EED using independent sgRNAs restored MHC-I to the cell surface of K-562 cells (Figures 1D, S1B, and S1C), while established EED and EZH2 knockout (KO) cells maintained MHC-I expression without substantial impairment in cell proliferation (Figure S1D). Importantly, reconstituting PRC2 function by expression of EED cDNA in EED KO cells was sufficient to restore H3K27me3 levels and reinstate silencing of actively transcribed MHC-I genes (Figures 1D and 1E). These findings demonstrate a critical role for PRC2 in both establishing and maintaining transcriptional repression of MHC-I genes.

Among all tumor types represented in the Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia (Barretina et al., 2012), SCLC and neuroblastoma exhibit the lowest expression of multiple MHC-I APP genes, implying broad repression of the MHC-I APP in these neuroendocrine tumors (Figures 1F and S1E) (Restifo et al., 1993; Bernards et al., 1986). Notably, high expression of EZH2, the catalytic component of PRC2, is a typical feature of both SCLC and neuroblastoma (Figures S1F and S1G), and has been implicated in pathogenesis and therapy resistance (Gardner et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2018).

Supporting a conserved function for PRC2 in MHC-I silencing, EED KO restored cell surface expression of MHC-I in human SCLC and neuroblastoma cells (Figures S2A and S2B). Trimethylation of histone H3 on lysine 27 (H3K27me3), the hallmark of genes repressed by PRC2, is catalyzed by the lysine methyltransferase EZH2. Several potent and highly selective S-adenosyl-methionine (SAM)-competitive inhibitors of EZH2 methyltransferase activity have been developed and are in clinical trials in a range of malignancies. Treatment with these inhibitors substantially depleted H3K27me3 levels concomitant with transcriptional induction of MHC-I genes (Figures 2A and 2B). Importantly, pharmacological inhibition of EZH2 restored cell surface MHC-I in K-562 and cell lines representative of neuroblastoma, SCLC, and MCC, a neuroendocrine cancer recently...
Figure 2. PRC2 Maintains Coordinated Silencing of Antigen Processing Genes in MHC-I-Deficient Cancers

(A and B) K-562 cells incubated with 3 μM EPZ-011989 (EZH2i) for the indicated times were analyzed by immunoblot (A) and qRT-PCR (B).

(C) Cell surface MHC-I in EZH2i-treated cells (GSK-503 5 μM in NCI-H146 and EPZ-011989 3 μM in Kelly, MCC-002, and K-562) after 10 days of treatment.

(legend continued on next page)
shown to escape from immunotherapy through transcriptional downregulation of MHC-I genes (Figure 2C) (Paulson et al., 2018).

The enzymatic function of EZH2 is markedly potentiated by the WD40 repeats of EED, which form an aromatic cage that binds to H3K27me3 to allosterically activate EZH2 and perpetuate H3K27me3 deposition (Margueron et al., 2009; Ueda et al., 2012). Genetic disruption of the EED aromatic cage impaired the ability of PRC2 to reinitiate transcriptional repression of MHC-I, arguing that H3K27 is the critical target of EZH2 methyltransferase activity for this process (Figures 2D and S2C). To test this more directly, we took advantage of an H3 variant oncohistone with a lysine–27 to methionine mutation (H3.3 K27M), which is a major driver of pediatric diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma and acts in a dominant negative manner to inhibit allosteric activation of PRC2 and spreading of the H3K27me3 repressive mark (Stafford et al., 2018; Harutyunyan et al., 2019). Expression of H3.3 K27M in K-562 cells potently induced MHC-I expression (Figures 2E and 2F), demonstrating a pivotal role for the establishment of H3K27me3 repressive chromatin domains to maintain MHC-I silencing. In keeping with this, mutation of a critical catalytic residue in the EZH2 SET domain (EZH2 F667I) abrogated its methyltransferase activity and its ability to restore MHC-I repression (Figure 2G). Interestingly, we noted that expression of the EZH2 F667I mutant in EZH2 KO cells exerted a dominant negative effect, further increasing MHC-I levels and implying the presence of residual PRC2 activity in the absence of EZH2. Consistent with this, loss of EZH2 more potently induced MHC-I than loss of EZH2, accounting for the lesser enrichment of sgRNAs targeting EZH2 compared with those targeting EED in the CRISPR screen (Figure 1C). It is well established that the EZH2 paralog, EZH1, can also associate with EED and SUZ12 to form functional PRC2 complexes. Although EZH1-containing PRC2 complexes have less efficient lysine methyltransferase activity than EZH2-containing complexes, they may compensate for the loss of EZH2 in specific cellular contexts (Wassef and Margueron, 2017). Deletion of EZH1 in an EZH2 null clone induced additional MHC-I upregulation, identifying a role for EZH1-mediated PRC2 activity in maintaining MHC-I repression in the absence of EZH2 (Figure 2H). While most currently available SAM-competitive and allosteric PRC2 inhibitors selectively target EZH2 with lesser potency for inhibition of EZH1 activity (Lee et al., 2018), our data suggest that inhibitors that effectively target both EZH2 and EZH1 catalytic activity may more effectively induce MHC-I expression in MHC-I low cancers.

**Disruption of PRC2 Function Induces Expression of Multiple Components of the MHC-I APP Independently of STAT1 Signaling**

MHC-I antigen processing is orchestrated by a multistep pathway that involves the coordinated regulation of multiple components. Across a range of tumor types we find that PRC2 silences critical genes essential for MHC-I antigen processing, leading to upregulation of multiple MHC-I APP genes following PRC2 inhibition, including those encoding an MHC-I transactivator (NLRC5), immunoproteasome components (PSMB8 and PSMB9), peptide transporters associated with antigen processing (TAP1 and TAP2), and MHC-I heavy chains (HLA-B and HLA-C) (Figures 2I and S2D). Recent studies have shown that inhibition of other epigenetic repressors such as DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs) and KDM1A (LSD1) augment MHC-I expression in cancer cells via derepression of endogenous retroviruses, which activates type I IFN signaling (Chiappinelli et al., 2015; Roulois et al., 2015; Sheng et al., 2018). STAT1 is an essential mediator of the transcriptional response to types I and II IFNs (Durbin et al., 1996) and, as expected, disruption of STAT1 abolished IFN-γ-induced MHC-I upregulation (Figure S2E). However, in contrast to the findings with KDM1A and DNMT inhibitors (Sheng et al., 2018; Chiappinelli et al., 2015; Roulois et al., 2015), initiation and maintenance of MHC-I transcription following disruption of PRC2 function was independent of STAT1, suggesting that this was not solely a consequence of enhanced IFN signaling (Figures 2J and S2F). Consistent with this, phosphorylated STAT1 was not detected following EZH2 inhibition alone (Figure S2G). Collectively our findings imply a conserved role for the PRC2 complex in the direct repression of the multicomponent MHC-I APP and demonstrate that inhibition of PRC2 function is sufficient to restore cell surface MHC-I expression.

**PRC2 Restricts Transcriptional Induction of MHC-I in Response to Cytokine Stimulation**

While PRC2 inhibition alone increased MHC-I APP gene expression in multiple different MHC-I low tumor types (Figure 2C), in the absence of additional cytokine stimulation the degree of induction of cell surface MHC-I was variable in individual cancer cell lines. It is well established that IFN-γ-induced activation of STAT1 and IRF1 augments MHC-I antigen presentation and modulates the repertoire of peptides presented via induction of the immunoproteasome. Consistent with the notion that PRC2 inhibition can potentiate the transcriptional response to IFN-γ (Zingg et al., 2017; Peng et al., 2015; Abou El et al., 2015), genetic disruption of PRC2 dramatically augmented IFN-γ-induced MHC-I expression (Figure S3A). Expression of H3.3 K27M had similar effects to PRC2 disruption suggesting that the enhanced IFN-γ-induced MHC-I expression is a direct consequence of H3K27me3 loss (Figure S3A), rather than targeting of non-histone substrates (Ardehali et al., 2017). These effects were conserved in multiple MHC-I low cancers and pharmacological inhibition of PRC2 using either SAM-competitive EZH2 inhibitors (EZH2i) or...
Figure 3. PRC2 Restricts the Interferon-Induced Activation of MHC-I APP Genes in Multiple MHC-I Low Tumor Types

(A) Cell surface MHC-I in K-562 cells expressing H3.3 WT or H3.3 K27M treated with IFN-γ 10 ng/mL for 24 h.

(B) Immunoblot in neuroblastoma (Kelly) cells treated with EPZ-011989 3 μM for 10 days ± IFN-γ 10 ng/mL for 24 h.

(legend continued on next page)
EED-226, an inhibitor that blocks H3K27me3 binding and allosteric activation of EZH2, markedly amplified IFN-γ-induced MHC-I upregulation (Figures 3B–3D, S3B, and S3C) (Qi et al., 2017) and reduced the threshold concentration of IFN-γ required to induce MHC-I gene expression (Figures 3E and S3D). Together these data demonstrate that PRC2 not only results in baseline repression of MHC-I genes, but also restricts transcriptional induction of these genes in response to cytokine stimulation.

Core PRC2 members EED, SUZ12, and EZH1/EZH2 can form various subcomplexes defined by their association with specific accessory proteins. Depletion of MTF2, which was identified as a hit in the CRISPR screen and encodes a component of PRC2.1 complexes, also augmented IFN-γ-induced MHC-I expression (Figure 3F). MTF2 binds to both non-methylated CpG motifs and H3K36me3 (Li et al., 2017), and has been proposed to modulate PRC2 recruitment to specific loci in embryonic stem cells (Holoch and Margueron, 2017). Consistent with an epistatic role for MTF2 in maintaining MHC-I repression via modulation of PRC2 function, loss of MTF2 had no additional effect on MHC-I in EED KO cells (Figure S3E).

PRC2-Mediated Silencing of MHC-I Drives Resistance to T Cell Killing in SCLC

SCLC is a highly aggressive neuroendocrine carcinoma that is strongly linked with smoking and has an extremely poor prognosis. Notably, the rates of response to ICLs have been lower than seen in other cancers with a similarly high mutational burden (Alexandrov et al., 2013; Sabari et al., 2017). We found that expression of EZH2 is negatively correlated with expression of both MHC-I genes and markers of CD8+ T cells in primary SCLC samples (Figure S4). To explore the effects of PRC2 inhibition on T cell responses to SCLC, we established primary lung tumor cell lines from a genetically engineered mouse model (GEMM) driven by biallelic loss of Trp53 and Rb1. Bi-allelic inactivation of TPS3 and RB1 is a hallmark of human SCLC, and this GEMM closely mimics human SCLC (Sutherland et al., 2011; Meuwissen et al., 2003; George et al., 2015). Like human neuroendocrine tumors, mouse SCLC (mSCLC) lacked cell surface MHC-I and exhibited low expression of multiple MHC-I APP genes (Figures 4A and S5A), which were induced following genetic disruption or pharmacological inhibition of PRC2 (Figures 4B, 4C, S5B, and S5C). As in K-562 cells, re-expression of wild type (WT), but not catalytically inactive (F667I) EZH2 reinstated MHC-I repression in Ezh2 KO mSCLC (Figure 4C). Like human neuroendocrine tumor lines (Figure 3D), these early-passage mSCLC lines showed variable MHC-I induction in response to IFN-γ stimulation (Figure 4A), while pretreating cells with PRC2 inhibitors markedly potentiated IFN-γ-induced MHC-I upregulation (Figures 4D, S5D, and S5E).

To explore the functional consequences of low MHC-I expression in these mSCLC cells, we pulsed cells with ovalbumin (OVA) peptide (SIINFEKL), which binds to cell surface MHC-I (H-2Kb). Cells were washed to remove unbound peptide, before co-culture with TCR transgenic OT-I T cells that specifically recognize H-2Kb bound OVA peptide (Figure 4E). mSCLC cells were highly resistant to antigen-specific T cell killing and failed to induce T cell cytokine production (Figures 4F and 4G). Importantly, this resistance was dramatically reversed by pretreating SCLC with both EZH2i and IFN-γ to maximally induce MHC-I expression before peptide pulsing, which led to effective T cell activation (Figures 4F and 4G). These data clearly establish the functional importance of MHC-I cell surface expression in this model of SCLC.

We next investigated whether PRC2 inhibition restores intra- cellular MHC-I antigen processing by engineering mSCLC cells to express the full-length foreign protein chicken OVA (Figure 5A). Intracellular processing of OVA generates the SIINFEKL peptide, which is loaded onto MHC-I (H-2Kb) and specifically recognized by the OT-I T cell receptor. Treatment of mSCLC-OVA cells with EZH2i enabled IFN-γ-inducible expression of OVA peptide bound to MHC-I (SIINFEKL:Kb), which was not detected in IFN-γ-treated control cells (Figure 5B). In contrast to the peptide pulsing assay (Figures 4E–4G), pretreatment with EZH2i alone was sufficient to prime mSCLC-OVA cells to induce activation of co-cultured OT-I T cells leading to pro-inflammatory cytokine production (Figure 5C) and effective tumor cell killing over 96 h (Figure 5D), establishing the functional importance of reversing PRC2-mediated repression of the MHC-I APP. While pulsed OVA peptide binds only to MHC-I already present at the cell surface before adding T cells (Figures 4E–4G), co-culture of EZH2i-pretreated, but not control, OVA-expressing cells with OT-I T cells induced MHC-I upregulation via a feedforward loop of T cell activation (Figure 5E). Here, PRC2 inhibition alleviates transcriptional repression of MHC-I leading initially to low level cell surface expression, which primes SCLC to induce CD8+ T cell activation and cytokine production. These cytokines further enhance MHC-I antigen processing, resulting in efficient antigen-specific T cell activation and killing.

PRC2 Facilitates Transmission of MHC-I-Silenced Cancers to Non-histocompatible Recipients

To explore whether MHC-I downregulation evokes resistance to T cell killing of SCLC cells in vivo, we subcutaneously transplanted C57Bl/6 (H-2b haplotype)-derived mSCLC cells into BALB/c (H-2d haplotype)-derived mSCLC cells. Cells with foreign MHC are expected to be eliminated by an allogeneic T cell response; however, these tumors were transmissible to BALB/c mice (Figure 6A) and lacked cell surface MHC-I with few tumor infiltrating CD8+ T cells in either the allogeneic or syngeneic setting (Figures S6F and S6G). The ability of SCLC cells to engraft and grow in non-histocompatible recipients resembles that of rare transmissible cancers such as the Tasmanian devil facial tumor (DFT), which exemplifies immune evasion by cancer cells. Devils exhibit modest diversity at MHC-I loci that should be sufficient to trigger a T cell response; however, these tumors escape rejection by the

(C and D) Cell surface MHC-I following incubation with IFN-γ 10 ng/mL for 24 h in indicated cell lines pretreated with EPZ-011989 3 μM (C) and K-562 cells pretreated with EED-226 (D). Analysis after 10 days of inhibitor treatment.

(E) Cell surface MHC-I in EED KO or parental control K-562 cells incubated with the indicated concentrations of IFN-γ for 24 h.

(F) Cell surface MHC-I in K-562 Cas9 cells expressing control sgRNA or two MTF2-specific sgRNAs incubated ± IFN-γ 10 ng/mL for 24 h.

See also Figure S3.
devil immune system (Siddle, 2017). Interestingly, DFT1 tumors lack cell surface MHC-I due to low expression of MHC-I APP genes (Siddle et al., 2013). Like neuroblastoma, DFT is of neural crest origin (Murchison et al., 2010) raising the possibility that similar mechanisms of MHC-I silencing may operate in these tumors. Supporting an evolutionarily conserved role for PRC2 in the repression of MHC-I antigen presentation, PRC2 inhibition induced expression of multiple MHC-I genes in DFT cells.
(Figure 6B) and potentiated MHC-I induction following IFN-γ stimulation (Figure S6A). To evaluate the importance of PRC2-mediated MHC-I repression for evasion of the potent allogeneic CD8+ T cell-mediated anti-tumor response, we performed allogeneic transplants with Ezh2 KO mSCLC cells. Loss of EZH2 led to universal rejection of mSCLC tumors in BALB/c mice (Figures 6C, 6D), while the growth of EZH2-deficient mSCLC tumors was not impaired either in vitro or following injection into immunodeficient non-obese diabetic-severe combined immunodeficiency IL2Rγ−/− mice that lack functional T, B, and natural killer cells (Figures S6B and S6C). These findings confirm a critical role for tumor-intrinsic PRC2 function in promoting immune evasion in vivo.

To illustrate the clinical importance of our findings, we evaluated MHC-I expression in a patient who presented with an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) mutant lung adenocarcinoma that subsequently transformed to SCLC following treatment with the EGFR inhibitor Erlotinib (Figure 6E). Neuroendocrine transformation of both lung and prostate adenocarcinoma is increasingly been recognized as a mechanism of escape from targeted therapies (Oser et al., 2015; Aggarwal et al., 2018). In this patient, while being clonally related to the adenocarcinoma and not showing any coding mutations in MHC-I genes, the transformed SCLC exhibited substantially reduced expression of components of the MHC-I antigen-presentation pathway, including the MHC-I heavy chain, β2-microglobulin, and the immunoproteasome component LMP7 (encoded by PSMB8)(Figures 6E and S6D). Consistent with loss of MHC-I antigen presentation, the tumor failed to respond to anti-PD-1 immunotherapy. Similar findings were noted in two additional patients with neuroendocrine transformation of EGFR mutant lung adenocarcinoma following treatment with EGFR inhibitors, both of whom showed reduced MHC-I staining in the transformed SCLC (Figure S6D). Together these data provide an example of how neuroendocrine transformation, arising as a mechanism of resistance to targeted therapies, may simultaneously confer immune privilege to a tumor through loss of MHC-I antigen presentation.
Figure 6. Silencing of MHC-I Antigen Processing Is a Conserved Function of PRC2 that Facilitates Immune Evasion in Transmissible Cancers

(A) mSCLC RP-116 tumor growth following subcutaneous transplant into syngeneic C57BL/6 mice (100,000 cells) or allogeneic BALB/c mice (500,000 cells). Endpoint at a tumor volume of 500 mm^3.

(B) qRT-PCR analysis of MHC-I gene expression in DFT1 cells following treatment with 3 μM EED-226 for 10 days. Bars depict mean fold change in expression from independent experiments and points indicate the mean of technical triplicates from individual experiments. SAHAI-1 encodes Tasmanian devil MHC-I.

(C and D) Tumor growth in (C) and survival of (D) BALB/c mice subcutaneously injected with 10^6 Ezh2 KO or parental mSCLC RP-116 cells. Endpoint at a tumor volume of 500 mm^3. Six mice per group. p value calculated using Mantel-Cox test.

(E) Progression biopsy (left) and postmortem histology (right) in patient 1. Immunohistochemistry for neuroendocrine markers (synaptophysin and NCAM) and MHC-I APP components (MHC-I, β2m, and LMP7). Area between the red lines in biopsy contains SCLC.

See also Figures S4 and S6.
H3K27me3 Modification of MHC-I Genes

Although PRC2 complex components are ubiquitously expressed, the genes repressed by PRC2 are highly cell-type specific (Comet et al., 2016; Wassef and Marguereon, 2017), highlighting the importance of understanding the molecular basis of PRC2 function in different cellular contexts. Our observations that PRC2 inhibition potentiates IFN-γ-induced MHC-I expression prompted us to couple H3K27me3 chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing with RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) analyses following genetic or pharmacological inhibition of PRC2 in the presence and absence of IFN-γ. These experiments were conducted in human and mouse cells to gain further insights into the conserved specificity of PRC2 function in cancers with low MHC-I. While most H3K27me3-modified PRC2-regulated genes were unaffected by exposure to IFN-γ (cluster 3; Figures 7A and S7A), in both cell types we identified clusters of genes that showed an augmented response to IFN-γ following disruption of PRC2 (clusters 1 and 2; Figures 7A and S7A). Consistent with the known lineage-specific function of PRC2, the defined set of PRC2-regulated IFN responsive genes in each cell type were largely distinct, with the notable exception of PRC2, the defined set of PRC2-regulated IFN responsive genes which can be reinstated by WT EED but not an EED mutant that restores EZH2 levels but has impaired binding to H3K27me3 (Figures 7B and 2D).

Conserved Bivalent H3K4me3 and H3K27me3 Modification of MHC-I Genes in Multipotent Stem Cells and Cancer Cells

Critical genes that are poised for expression in a tissue-specific context are often concurrently marked by activating H3K4me3 and repressive H3K27me3 histone modifications, which maintain genes in a silent but “poised” state to allow either rapid transcriptional activation or progression to stable silencing (Bernstein et al., 2006; Voigt et al., 2013). Given the evidence that MHC-I expression is regulated during development and tissue-specific differentiation, we explored whether silenced MHC-I genes are marked by bivalent histone modifications. H3K27me3-modified genes that were derepressed following PRC2 disruption had higher average H3K4me3 levels at their promoters compared with other H3K27me3-modified genes for which expression did not significantly increase (Figure S7C; clusters 1–3 compared with other H3K27me3-modified genes). Indeed, a substantial proportion of the H3K27me3-modified genes responding to PRC2 inhibition and IFN-γ, including MHC-I APP genes, also possessed H3K4me3 at their promoters (Figures 7C, S7D, and S7E). The presence of bivalent H3K4me3 and H3K27me3 modifications at MHC-I APP gene promoters was observed in a range of human MHC-I-deficient cancers as well as mouse and Tasmanian devil tumors (Figures 7C and S7E). This conservation across different tumor types and species implied that bivalency could represent a physiologic mechanism of MHC-I regulation. Both neuroblastoma and DFT1 arise from cells of the embryonic neural crest and share phenotypic features with SCLC and MCC (Murchison et al., 2010). Examination of MHC-I genes in neural crest cells revealed that these genes contain bivalent chromatin at their promoters and are transcriptionally repressed (Figures 7C and S7E) (Minoux et al., 2017). Similarly, while MHC-I genes are active in adult somatic tissues, we found that pluripotent tissues such as embryonic stem cells exhibit bivalency at MHC-I gene promoters, which is maintained during differentiation into neural progenitors but is resolved during mesenchymal differentiation (Figures 7D and 7E).

Disruption of PRC2 Leads to Derepression of NLRC5 and Enhanced IRF1 Binding at MHC-I Gene cis-Regulatory Regions

A number of transcription factors are known to bind MHC-I gene promoters and contribute to MHC-I expression (Rene et al., 2016). Among these, IRF-1, nuclear factor κB (NF-κB), and NLRC5 are key factors required for the transcriptional induction of MHC-I genes in response to cytokine stimulation (Kobayashi and van den Elsen, 2012). Interestingly, NLRC5 was repressed by H3K27me3 in MHC-I low cancers and induced following PRC2 disruption (Figure 8A). NLRC5 is an IFN-inducible gene encoding a transactivator that selectively binds MHC-I APP gene promoters in association with a multisubunit complex termed the MHC enhanceosome and plays a pivotal role in the regulation of MHC-I expression (Figure 8B) (Kobayashi and van den Elsen, 2012). To identify the transcription factors driving MHC-I expression following loss of PRC2, we used targeted CRISPR-mediated gene disruption in EED/EZH2 KO cells. While IFN-γ-induced up-regulation of MHC-I is largely dependent on RFX5/NLRC5 enhanceosome function (Figure 8C), deletion of either NLRC5 or RFX5 only partially attenuated MHC-I upregulation following EED KO or EZH2 inhibition, suggesting that MHC-I induction following loss of PRC2 is not driven through NLRC5 derepression alone (Figures 8D and S8A). We also observed a partial requirement for IRF1, but not the NF-κB transcriptional activator p65 (RelA), for MHC-I upregulation following EED KO (Figures 8D, S8B, and S8C). Consistent with a role for IRF1 in driving MHC-I expression following PRC2 loss, IRF1 binding at MHC-I gene promoters increased following PRC2 inhibition (Figures 8E and S8D). Genome-wide, 72 of 104 genes showing increased IRF1 occupancy following PRC2 inhibition were modified by H3K27me3, implying that PRC2 restricts IRF1 binding at these genes (Figure S8E). Notably, although IFN-γ stimulation leads to a greater transcriptional induction of IRF1 and NLRC5 than EED KO alone, the relative expression of HLA-B is similar in the two contexts (Figure 8F).

Together these findings are consistent with additional restriction of HLA-B expression in the presence of an intact PRC2 complex and suggest that loss of PRC2 activity and the consequent decrease in H3K27me3 at the MHC-I APP genes is the initiating process for gene expression. The loss of H3K27me3 provides a permissive chromatin environment that alleviates the constraints on cytokine stimulation and enables the subsequent binding of transcription factors that further potentiate the expression of MHC-I genes.

DISCUSSION

While cancers have previously been shown to corrupt the resolution of bivalently marked oncogenes and tumor suppressor
genes to impair differentiation pathways and promote survival, here we show how PRC2 function can be co-opted to maintain bivalency at a subset of genes to evade immune surveillance. The cell of origin appears to be central to this process and PRC2-mediated silencing of MHC-I in both embryonic and tissue-specific stem cell subsets likely evolved to protect these cells from inflammation-related pathology (Agudo et al., 2018). However, cancers that arise from these lineages can exploit the activity of PRC2 to silence MHC-I antigen presentation and gain immune privilege. Importantly, genetic disruption or pharmacological inhibition of PRC2 restores tumor MHC-I antigen presentation, allowing effective targeting by CD8+ T cells.

Our findings demonstrate a critical role for the PRC2 complex in maintaining the coordinated silencing of critical components of the MHC-I APP, including the immunoproteasome and TAP peptide transporter as well as the MHC-I heavy chain genes. In addition to direct modification of MHC-I APP genes, PRC2 also targets NLRC5, derepression of which contributes to driving MHC-I gene expression following PRC2 inhibition. It is well recognized that only a proportion of PRC2 occupied and H3K27me3 marked genes become transcriptionally active following disruption of PRC2 function and loss of H3K27me3. The transcriptional induction of PRC2 target genes following PRC2 inhibition is largely determined by transcription factor expression and therefore is cell type and context specific (Wassif and Margueron, 2017). We observed variability in the degree to which PRC2 inhibition alone induced MHC-I gene expression in individual neuroendocrine tumor lines, which may in part reflect low basal expression of IRF1 and NF-κB components in these malignancies (van ’t Veer et al., 1993). In contrast, PRC2 inhibition consistently augmented MHC-I gene expression following IFN-γ stimulation, which induces high levels of IRF1 and activated phosphorylated STAT1, highlighting the importance of PRC2 as a barrier to cytokine-mediated transcriptional activation of MHC-I APP genes in these cancers. While our data highlight a pivotal role for PRC2 in MHC-I APP regulation, our unbiased CRISPR screen also identified other candidates. Future work will provide insight into how these other chromatin regulators cooperate with PRC2 and/or nuance its function to regulate cell context dependent antigen presentation.

Here we have demonstrated a tumor-intrinsic function of PRC2 in the regulation of antigen presentation within tumors that arise from certain cell lineages. Our findings contribute to an emerging body of literature that ascribes a key immune modulatory function to PRC2. In addition to directly targeting MHC-I APP genes, EZH2 inhibition can enhance tumor immunogenicity through derepression of endogenous retroviruses, enhanced IFN signaling, and increased production of pro-inflammatory chemokines CXCL9 and CXCL10 (Canadas et al., 2018; Peng et al., 2015; Zingg et al., 2017; Wee et al., 2014). EZH2 also plays a pivotal role in modulating immune cell differentiation (Karantanos et al., 2018), and targeted EZH2 disruption in regulatory T cells has been shown to enhance anti-tumor immune responses in mouse tumor models (Wang et al., 2018; Goswami et al., 2018). In conjunction with these studies, our demonstration that tumor-intrinsic disruption of PRC2 leads to re-establishment of effective T cell-mediated anti-tumor immunity in MHC-I-deficient cancers provides a rationale for combining inhibitors of the PRC2 complex with immunotherapy to treat these aggressive malignancies.

MHC-I-deficient tumors such as neuroblastoma and SCLC are characterized by highly aggressive growth with early and widespread metastasis, features which epitomize failure to elicit an effective anti-tumor adaptive immune response. Importantly, SCLC and MCC possess potential foreign antigens, either neo-antigens because of a high mutational burden or Merkel cell polyomavirus antigens. Silencing of MHC-I genes, likely coupled to the neuroendocrine differentiation program characteristic of these cancers, could therefore facilitate evasion of immune surveillance from an early stage in their development. Melanomas are immunogenic tumors that typically express high levels of MHC-I; however, melanocytes originate from the neural crest, and selective transcriptional downregulation of HLA-B has been reported in melanoma cell lines (Marincola et al., 1994), while melanoma dedifferentiation with expression of neural crest markers has been linked to acquired immunotherapy resistance (Landsberg et al., 2012; Mehta et al., 2018). Dedifferentiation or transformation of tumors is an emerging theme in the context of targeted therapy. As illustrated by our clinical cases, neuroendocrine transformation may provide an additional advantage to the tumor by coupling therapeutic resistance with suppression of antigen presentation. The molecular insights described here provide the rationale for future therapeutic strategies that incorporate PRC2 inhibition to negate this immune privilege. In summary, our findings provide a detailed molecular understanding of how MHC-I-deficient cancers co-opt an evolutionarily conserved, lineage-specific function of an epigenetic complex to evade immune surveillance. They also raise the prospect that resistance to cancer immunotherapies may occur not only through genomic mutations that inactivate the MHC-I APP but
Figure 8. Disruption of PRC2 Leads to Derepression of NLRC5 and Enhanced IRF1 Binding at MHC-I Gene cis-Regulatory Regions

(A) RNA-seq and H3K27me3 ChIP-seq data in K-562 cells displaying reported transcriptional regulators of MHC-I expression. RNA-seq heatmap shows log_{2}FC gene expression of K-562 cells expressing an EED sgRNA compared with control sgRNA at 7 and 10 days post-transduction. The H3K27me3 ChIP-seq heatmap shows the number of reads at 2 kb upstream of tss, genebody and 2 kb downstream of tes (transcription end site) of each gene.

(B) A schematic presentation of cis-regulatory elements in the HLA-B promoter. NLRC5 forms an enhanceosome with the regulatory factor X (RFX) complex, comprising RXF5, RXFANK (RXF-associated ankyrin-containing protein), and RXFAP (RXF-associated protein). CREB (cAMP-responsive-element-binding protein 1); NFY (nuclear transcription factor Y); ISRE (IFN-stimulated response element); USF1 (upstream stimulatory factor 1).

(C) Cell surface MHC-I in K-562 Cas9 cells expressing control, NLRC5- or RXF5-specific sgRNA following incubation with IFN-γ 10 ng/mL for 24 h.

(legend continued on next page)
also through non-genomic mechanisms that exploit the activity of repressive chromatin complexes such as PRC2.
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## STAR METHODS

### KEY RESOURCES TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Antibodies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexa Fluor 488 monoclonal mouse anti-human HLA-A,B,C</td>
<td>BioLegend</td>
<td>Cat# 311413; clone W6/32; RRID: AB_493133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APC monoclonal mouse anti-human HLA-A,B,C</td>
<td>BioLegend</td>
<td>Cat# 311410; clone W6/32; RRID: AB_314879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APC-Vio770 anti-HLA class I Bw6</td>
<td>Miltenyi Biotec</td>
<td>Cat# 130-099-837; clone REA143; RRID: AB_2652034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APC anti-HLA class I Bw6</td>
<td>Miltenyi Biotec</td>
<td>Cat# 130-099-845; clone REA143; RRID: AB_2652026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APC mouse anti-mouse MHC class I (H-2Kb) eBioscience, Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cat# 17-5958-82; clone AF6-88.5.5.3; RRID: AB_1311280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APC anti-mouse H-2Kb/SIINFEKL</td>
<td>Miltenyi Biotec</td>
<td>Cat#130-103-024; Clone 25-D1.16; RRID: AB_2651952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monoclonal mouse anti-human MHC class I heavy chain</td>
<td>Origene</td>
<td>Cat# AM33035PU-N; Clone HC10; RRID: AB_2728622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monoclonal mouse anti-HSP60 (C-10)</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>Cat# sc-376240; RRID: AB_10986282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monoclonal mouse anti-human EZH2</td>
<td>BD Transduction Laboratories</td>
<td>Cat# 563491; clone 11/EZH2; RRID: AB_2738239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monoclonal Rabbit anti-H3K27me3 (Lys27)</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>Cat# 9733; clone C36B11; RRID: AB_2616029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyclonal rabbit anti-H3K27ac</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>Cat# ab4729; RRID: AB_2118291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyclonal rabbit anti-H3K4me3</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>Cat# ab8580; RRID: AB_306649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monoclonal rabbit anti-IRF1</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>Cat# 8478; clone D5E4; RRID: AB_10949108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyclonal rabbit anti-STAT1</td>
<td>Merck-Millipore</td>
<td>Cat# 06-501; RRID: AB_310145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monoclonal rabbit anti-phospho-Stat1 (Tyr701)</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>Cat# 9167; Clone 58D6; RRID: AB_561284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyclonal rabbit anti-mouse NCAM</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>Cat# ab95153; RRID: AB_10975468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monoclonal rabbit anti-CD3</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>Cat# ab16669; clone SP7; RRID: AB_443425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monoclonal rabbit anti-mouse CD8 alpha</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>Cat# ab209775; clone EPR20305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FITC Monoclonal rat anti-mouse CD45</td>
<td>BioLegend</td>
<td>Cat# 103108; clone 30-F11; RRID: AB_312973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APC monoclonal rat anti-mouse CD3</td>
<td>BioLegend</td>
<td>Cat# 100236; clone 17A2; RRID: AB_2651456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE monoclonal rat anti-mouse CD8a</td>
<td>BioLegend</td>
<td>Cat# 100708; clone 53-6-7; RRID: AB_312747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Blue anti-mouse CD31</td>
<td>BioLegend</td>
<td>Cat# 102422; clone 390; RRID: AB_10612926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Blue anti-mouse TER-119</td>
<td>BioLegend</td>
<td>Cat# 116232; clone TER-119; RRID: AB_2251160</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EPZ-011989 (EZH2 inhibitor)</td>
<td>Selleck Chemicals</td>
<td>Cat# S7805; CASRN: 1598383-40-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EED-226 (EED inhibitor)</td>
<td>Selleck Chemicals</td>
<td>Cat# S8496; CASRN: 2083627-02-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSK-503 (EZH2 inhibitor)</td>
<td>Selleck Chemicals</td>
<td>Cat# S7804; CASRN: 1346572-63-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recombinant human interferon gamma</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>Cat# 117001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recombinant mouse interferon gamma</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>Cat# ab9922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recombinant mouse interleukin-2</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>Cat# ab8856</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIINFEKL (OVA peptide)</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>Cat# S7951; CASRN: 138831-86-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recombinant Tasmanian Devil interferon gamma</td>
<td>Andrew Flies, University of Tasmania</td>
<td>Flies et al., 2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Critical Commercial Assays

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cytometric bead array Mouse Th1/Th2 cytokine kit</td>
<td>BD Biosciences</td>
<td>Cat# 551287</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deposited Data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sequencing Data (ChIP-seq, RNAseq, CRISPR screen)</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>NCBI: PRJNA527170; GEO: GSE129382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mendeley Dataset unprocessed scans</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td><a href="https://doi.org/10.17632/yf4vcxy469.1">https://doi.org/10.17632/yf4vcxy469.1</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChIP-seq: mouse neural crest</td>
<td>(Minoux et al., 2017)</td>
<td>GEO: GSE89435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChIP-seq: BE2-C neuroblastoma</td>
<td>(Zeid et al., 2018)</td>
<td>GEO: GSE80151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3K27me3, H3K4me3, H3K27ac and H3K36me3 ChIPseq data from NIH Roadmap Epigenomics Mapping Consortium</td>
<td>(Kundaje et al., 2015)</td>
<td><a href="http://www.roadmapepigenomics.org/">http://www.roadmapepigenomics.org/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer Cell Line Encyclopaedia</td>
<td>(Barretina et al., 2012)</td>
<td><a href="https://portals.broadinstitute.org/ccle">https://portals.broadinstitute.org/ccle</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNAseq primary SCLC</td>
<td>(Jiang et al., 2016)</td>
<td>GSE60052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNAseq primary SCLC</td>
<td>(Sato et al., 2013)</td>
<td>GSE43346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimental Models: Cell Lines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human: Kelly</td>
<td>Paul Ekert (Murdoch Children’s Research Institute)</td>
<td>RRID: CVCL_2092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human: IMR-32</td>
<td>Paul Ekert (Murdoch Children’s Research Institute)</td>
<td>RRID: CVCL_0346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human: NCI-H82</td>
<td>Jonathan Yewdell (National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases)</td>
<td>RRID: CVCL_1591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human: NCI-H146</td>
<td>Jonathan Yewdell (National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases)</td>
<td>RRID: CVCL_1473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human: NCI-H69</td>
<td>ATCC</td>
<td>RRID: CVCL_1579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tasmanian Devil Facial Tumor 1 (DFT1) C5065</td>
<td>Andrew Flies (University of Tasmania)</td>
<td>RRID: CVCLLB79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human: K-562</td>
<td>ATCC</td>
<td>Cat#CCL-243; RRID: CVCL_0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human: HEK 293ET</td>
<td>Felix Randow (MRC-LMB, Cambridge, UK)</td>
<td>RRID: CVCL_6996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human: MCC-002</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drosophila: S2</td>
<td>ATCC</td>
<td>RRID: CRL-1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse: RP-48</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse: RP-116</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse: RP-186</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse: C57BL/6</td>
<td>Walter and Eliza Hall Institute</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse: Balb/c</td>
<td>Walter and Eliza Hall Institute</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse: C57BL/6 OTI</td>
<td>Walter and Eliza Hall Institute</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse: NSG (NOD-scid IL2Rgammas/+)</td>
<td>Walter and Eliza Hall Institute</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligonucleotides</td>
<td>See Table S3</td>
<td>(Siddle et al., 2013; Kulkarni et al., 2013; Ramsuran et al., 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recombinant DNA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCMV HA EED WT</td>
<td>(Bracken et al., 2003)</td>
<td>Addgene: 24231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSCV-hygro-FLAG-Ezh2 wild-type</td>
<td>(Wang et al., 2010)</td>
<td>Addgene: 24926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSCV-hygro-FLAG-Ezh2 F6671</td>
<td>(Wang et al., 2010)</td>
<td>Addgene: 24927</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCDH-EF1 HA-FLAG-H3.3 WT Puro</td>
<td>(Lewis et al., 2013)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCDH-EF1-HA-FLAG-H3.3 K27M Puro</td>
<td>(Lewis et al., 2013)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pcDNA3-0VA</td>
<td>(Diebold et al., 2001)</td>
<td>Addgene: 64599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pHRSIN-Paff-F22-EZH2-Pogk-Puro</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued on next page)
LEAD CONTACT AND MATERIALS AVAILABILITY

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Mark Dawson (mark.dawson@petermac.org).

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Human Lung Cancer Samples
Patient 1 and patient 3 participated in the CASCADE (CAncer tissue Collection After DEath) rapid autopsy program, which was approved by the Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre Ethics Committee (HREC 11/102). Patient 2 participated in the Thoracic Malignancies Prospective Cohort Study, approved by the Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre Ethics Committee (HREC 11/88). Informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Clinical Details
Patient 2 was a 63 year old female non-smoker, who was diagnosed with a primary lung adenocarcinoma with an EGFR exon 19 deletion. She underwent a right upper lobectomy to remove the tumor. 3 years later she was diagnosed with metastatic disease, and biopsy confirmed lung adenocarcinoma, which retained the original EGFR mutation (adenocarcinoma samples in Figure S6D). She was initially treated with the EGFR inhibitor Erlotinib, followed by Osimertinib after developing an EGFR T790M mutation that confers Erlotinib resistance. After 2 years of EGFR inhibitor treatment she developed progressive disease and biopsy showed small cell carcinoma, which remained positive for the EGFR exon 19 deletion (SCLC samples in Figure 6D). She received chemotherapy for the small cell carcinoma but had ongoing progressive disease and died 7 months later.

Patient 3 was a 59 year old female non-smoker, who was diagnosed with metastatic (stage 4) EGFR mutant lung adenocarcinoma. She was treated with the EGFR inhibitor Gefitinib. 16 months later she developed progressive disease and biopsy showed small cell carcinoma, which remained positive for the EGFR exon 19 deletion (SCLC samples in Figure 6D). She received chemotherapy for the small cell carcinoma but had ongoing progressive disease and died 7 months later.

Cell Lines
Human neuroblastoma cell lines Kelly and IMR-32 were a gift from Paul Ekert (Murdock Children’s Research Institute). Human SCLC lines NCI-H82 and NCI-H146 were a gift from Jonathan Yewdell (National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, Bethesda). Tasmanian Devil Facial Tumor 1 (DFT1) CS065 cells were a gift from Andrew Flies (University of Tasmania) and were established with approval from Tasmanian Government Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water and the Environment (DPIPWE). HEK-293ET cells were a gift from Dr. Felix Randow (MRC-LMB, Cambridge, UK). K-562 and NCI-H69 cells were from ATCC. Human Merkel Cell Carcinoma line MCC-002 was derived from a patient with Merkel cell polyoma virus positive Merkel Cell Carcinoma. Informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pHRSIN-PSFFV-EZH2 F667I-PDGK-Puro</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pHRSIN-PSFFV-EED-PDGK-Puro</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pHRSIN-PSFFV-EED W364A-PDGK-Puro</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSCV-OVA-IRES-mCherry</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pkLV-U6gRNA(BosI)-Puro2BFP</td>
<td>(Koike-Yusa et al., 2014) Addgene: 50946</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pHRSIN-PSFFV-Cas9-PDGK-Blasticidin</td>
<td>(Burr et al., 2017)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUCas9Cherry</td>
<td>(Aubrey et al., 2015) Addgene: 70182</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bassik Human CRISPR Knockout Library</td>
<td>(Morgens et al., 2017) Addgene: 101296-101934</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Software and Algorithms

- Redundant siRNA activity (RSA)Algorithm (König et al., 2007) https://admin-ext.gnf.org/publications/RSA/
- Fastx Clipper Hannon Laboratory http://hannonlab.cshl.edu/fastx_toolkit/
- HALO v2.2.1870 Indica Labs http://www.indicalab.com/halo/
- inForm Perkin Elmer Cat#CLS135781 https://www.perkinelmer.co.uk/product/inform-cell-analysis-one-seat-clsl35781
patient consent and Institutional Review Board approval were obtained according to the guidelines of the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council approved by the Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre Human Research Ethics Committee (Protocol: 14-113). The tumor was mechanically disaggregated to a single cell suspension and propagated in RPMI-1640, supplemented with 2 mM Glutammax, 100 IU/mL Penicillin, 100 µg/mL Streptomycin and 5% heat-inactivated fetal calf serum (HI-FCS). Mouse SCLC cell lines RP-48, RP-116 and RP-186 were established from primary lung tumors in a genetically engineered model driven by conditional bi-allelic inactivation of the tumor suppressor genes Trp53 and Rb1 (p53Rb) (Sutherland et al., 2011; Meuwissen et al., 2003). These mice carry conditional alleles for Rb1 (floxed exon 19) and Trp53 (floxed exons 2–10). Intra-nasal delivery of an adenoviral to CMV-Cre-recombinase vector to p53Rb mice results in somatic inactivation of Trp53 and Rb1 in pulmonary cells and the subsequent development of high-grade neuroendocrine tumors, which have been shown to be morphologically and phenotypically similar to human SCLC, with a median latency of 200–300 days (Sutherland et al., 2011; Meuwissen et al., 2003). Tumors were mechanically disaggregated and cultured in DMEM/F12 (Gibco) with 15 mM HEPES, supplemented with 2 mM Glutamax (Invitrogen), 100 IU/mL Penicillin, 100 µg/mL Streptomycin, 4 µg/mL Hydrocortisone (Sigma-Aldrich), 5 ng/mL EGF (Invitrogen), 5 mL of Insulin-Transferrin-Selenium solution (Life Technologies) and 10% HI-FCS. Tumor cells grew as spherical aggregates in suspension. Other human and DFT1 cell lines were cultured in RPMI-1640 or DMEM (HEK-293ET), supplemented with 2 mM Glutamax, 100 IU/mL Penicillin, 100 µg/mL Streptomycin and 10% HI-FCS. CD8 T cells isolated from mice were cultured in complete RPMI-1640 media (10% HI-FBS, 100 IU/mL Penicillin, 100 µg/mL Streptomycin, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 2 mM Glutamax and 0.05 nM 2-mercaptoethanol. Drosophila S2 cells (ATCC catalog number CRL-1963; Biovest part number OO.763/OO.627) were cultured in Schneider’s Drosophila media (Life Technologies) supplemented with 10% FBS. All cell lines were cultured in 5% CO2 at 37°C with the exception of C5065 cells, which were cultured in 5% CO2 at 35°C and Drosophila S2, which were cultured at room temperature. Cell lines were authenticated by STR profiling through the Australian Genome Research Facility (Melbourne, Victoria). Cell lines were regularly tested and verified to be mycoplasma-negative by PCR analysis through the Victorian Infectious Diseases Reference Laboratory (Melbourne, Victoria).

**Animal Experiments**

For *in vivo* experiments, mSCLC RP-116 cells or RP-116 Ezh2 KO clones, were resuspended in 50:50 PBS:Matrigel (Growth factor reduced) and injected subcutaneously in a 100 µL volume into female C57BL/6 or Balb/c mice, purchased from the Walter and Eliza Hall Institute (Melbourne, Australia). Experiments in Figures 6C and 6D were performed using Ezh2 KO clone 1. The survival endpoint was when tumors reached a size of 500 mm³. Mice were used between 6 and 12 weeks of age. All animal studies were approved in advance by the Peter MacCallum Animal Ethics and Experimentation Committee and the Walter and Eliza Hall Institute Animal Ethics Committee and all ethical obligations were met.

**METHOD DETAILS**

**Chemicals**

EPZ-011999, EED-226 and GSK-503 were purchased from Selleck Chemicals. For each inhibitor, titration experiments were performed to determine the maximally effective, tolerated dose in each cell line. Doses used and duration of treatment are indicated in the legends of the figures. Cells were typically treated for 7-12 days prior to analysis. Drugs were refreshed 3 times per week. For interferon induction of MHC-I expression, human cells were treated with recombinant human interferon gamma (Sigma-Aldrich) and mouse cells with recombinant mouse interferon gamma (Abcam) at a dose of 10ng/mL for 24 hours (unless specified otherwise in figure legend) prior to analysis. Recombinant Tasmanian devil interferon gamma was generated and purified as previously described (Flies et al., 2016).

**Plasmids**

pCDH-EF1-Puro lentiviral vectors encoding HA-FLAG-tagged wildtype H3.3 and H3.3 K27M were a kind gift from Peter Lewis (University of Wisconsin) (Lewis et al., 2013). To generate lentiviral EED and EZH2 expression plasmids, wild-type EED was PCR amplified from pCMV HA EED WT (Addgene 24231, a gift from Kristian Helin) (Bracken et al., 2003) and subcloned into pHRSIN-P SFFV-eGFP-PPGK-Puro via BamHI and XhoI following PCR amplification from MSCVhygro-F-Ezh2 wild-type or F667I (Addgene 24926 and 24927, a gift from Kai Ge) (Wang et al., 2010). For each construct the N-terminal tag (HA or FLAG) was removed during cloning. Plasmids were verified by Sanger sequencing analysis through the Australian Genome Research Facility (Melbourne, Victoria). Cell lines were authenticated by STR profiling through the Australian Genome Research Facility (Melbourne, Victoria).

**CRISPR sgRNA Library**

The screen was performed using the Bassik Human CRISPR KO Library (a gift from Michael Bassik, Addgene 101296-101934). This 10-sgRNA-per-gene CRISPR/Cas9 deletion library was designed to target all ~20,500 protein-coding human genes. The library contains two distinct classes of negative control gRNAs: non-targeting control sgRNA with no binding sites in the genome and CRISPR sgRNA Library
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safe-targeting sgRNA targeting genomic locations with no annotated function. Further details are described in Morgens DW et al (Morgens et al., 2017). Library sgRNAs are expressed in the pMBC320 lentiviral sgRNA expression vector, which encodes puromycin and mCherry selection markers.

**CRISPR Screen**

K-562 cells were transduced with a lentiviral vector encoding Cas9 and selected with blasticidin. For the screen, 10^9 K-562 Cas9 cells were infected with the pooled lentiviral genome-wide sgRNA library at a multiplicity of infection of 0.3. The percent of cells infected was determined by flow-cytometry based evaluation of mCherry positive (sgRNA expressing) cells 72 hr following transduction. Infected cells were selected with 1 μg/mL puromycin for 72 hr, commencing 48 hr after transduction. Rare MHC-I positive cells were enriched by three rounds of FACS sorting at day 7, day 15 and day 30 following transduction with the sgRNA library. For the first and second sorts, cells were stained with APC-conjugated anti-human HLA-A,B,C antibody (W6/32, BioLegend) for 15 minutes on ice and washed with PBS prior to sorting for mCherry positive (sgRNA expressing) MHC-I positive cells on a BD Influx cell sorter. For the third sort, two parallel sorts were performed using either a pan-HLA-A, B, C-specific antibody or using an HLA-B specific antibody (REA143, Miltenyi Biotec). Genomic DNA was extracted (Puregene Core Kit A, Qiagen) from both the sorted cells and an unselected pool of mutagenized cells grown for the same amount of time. sgRNA sequences were amplified by two rounds of PCR, with the second round primers containing adaptors for Illumina sequencing. Samples were sequenced with single-end 50 bp reads on an Illumina HiSeq. The sequence reads were trimmed to remove the constant portion of the sgRNA sequences with fastx clipper (http://hannonlab.cshl.edu/fastx_toolkit/), then mapped to the reference sgRNA library with bowtie2. After filtering to remove multi-aligning reads, the read counts were computed for each sgRNA. The RSA algorithm (Konig et al., 2007) was used to rank the genes for which targeting sgRNAs were significantly enriched in the sorted populations compared to the control unsorted populations grown in parallel.

**CRISPR/Cas9-Mediated Gene Disruption and Generation of Knockout Clones**

Single guide RNA (sgRNA) oligonucleotides (Sigma-Aldrich) were cloned into lentiviral expression vector pKLV-U6gRNA(BbsI)-pSGP/puro2ABFP as described (Addgene 50946, a gift from Kosuke Yusa). For CRISPR/Cas9 mediated gene disruption, cells were first transduced with the Cas9 expression vector pHRSIN-P SFFV-Cas9-P PGK-Blasticidin (Burr et al., 2017) or FUCas9Cherry (a gift from Marco Herold, Addgene 70182), and selected with blasticidin or sorted for mCherry expression respectively. To generate polyclonal populations with targeted gene disruption, cells were subsequently transduced with pKLV-gRNA-puro2ABFP encoding either gene specific sgRNAs or with a control sgRNA targeting a ‘safe’ genomic location with no annotated function (Morgens et al., 2017). Efficient functional CRISPR/Cas9 mediated gene disruption of EED and EZH2 was confirmed by immunoblot for H3K27me3 and of IRF1, STAT1 and RFX5 was confirmed by loss of IFN-γ-induced MHC-I upregulation. To generate EED and EZH2 KO clones used in complementation experiments, sgRNAs targeting either EED or EZH2 were transiently expressed using lentiviral infection coupled with treatment with the integrase inhibitor raltegravir (Selleck Chemicals), which effectively inhibits lentiviral integration. This method allows highly efficient CRISPR/Cas9-mediated gene disruption following transient expression in non-adherent cells. Cas9-expressing K-562 or mSCLC cells were transduced with pKLV-gRNA-P G P/ p u r o 2 A B F P expressing EED or EZH2 specific sgRNA. 2 nM raltegravir was added during transduction and continued for 10 days. Effective inhibition of integration was confirmed by gradual loss of BFP expression from day 3 following infection. Control cells were transduced with a control sgRNA targeting a ‘safe’ genomic location and treated under the same conditions. Cells were selected with puromycin 2 μg/mL for 24 hr, beginning 24 hr following transduction, and subsequently single cell sorted into 96-well plates using a BD FACSAria Fusion flow cytometer. Clones were screened by immunoblot for H3K27me3 and EZH2 and FACS for cell surface MHC-I. To generate Ezh2 KO clones used in complementation experiments, selected EED/EZH2 KO clones were verified to be BFP negative and sensitive to puromycin to exclude integration of the lentiviral sgRNA vector. To generate Ezh2 KO mSCLC (RP-116) clones lacking Cas9 expression for in vivo experiments, Cas9 and the sgRNAs were both transiently expressed as described above and single cell clones established. For complementation experiments, selected EED or EZH2 KO K-562 or mSCLC RP-116 clones were infected with pHRSIN-P PGK-Puromycin encoding wildtype or mutant EED or EZH2 and selected with puromycin.

**Lentiviral Production and Transduction**

Lentivirus was produced by triple transfection of HEK-293ET cells with a lentiviral transfer vector, and the packaging plasmids psPAX2 and pMD.G at a 0.5:0.35:0.15 ratio. Transfection was performed using JetPEI reagent as recommended by the manufacturer. The viral supernatant was collected 60 hr following transfection, filtered through a 0.45 μm filter, and added to target cells.

**Flow Cytometry**

Cells were washed in PBS and stained on ice for 20-30 min in PBS plus 2% FCS. After washing in PBS/2% FCS, samples were either resuspended in PBS/2% FCS or fixed in 1% paraformaldehyde (PFA). Data were acquired on a BD LSR Fortessa or BD FACSymphony and analysed in FlowJo.

**Immunoblotting**

Cells were lysed in 1% SDS in 100 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0 with Roche complete EDTA-free protease inhibitor at room temperature. DNA was fragmented either by sonication or adding Benzonase (Sigma) 1:100. Lysates were heated to 70°C in SDS sample buffer with...
50 mM DTT for 10 min, separated by SDS-PAGE, and transferred to PVDF membrane (Millipore). Membranes were blocked in 5% milk in TBS + 0.1% Tween-20, probed with the indicated antibodies, and reactive bands visualised using West Pico (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Fluorescent Multiplex Immunohistochemistry
4 μm thick formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded sections of mSCLC tumors grown in BALB/c or C57BL/6 mice were baked at 60°C for 30 minutes prior to being loaded onto the Leica BOND RX for automated fluorescent multiplex immunohistochemistry. The first heat induced antigen retrieval was performed with EDTA pH 9. Tissue sections were incubated with a protein block supplied by the manufacturer within the Opal immunostaining kit (NEL811001KT, Perkin Elmer) for 10 min and then immersed in 3% hydrogen peroxide for 10 min. The first primary antibody, rabbit α-mouse NCAM (95153, Abcam), 1:400, was applied to tissue sections and incubated at room temperature for 1 hour followed by a 10 minute incubation with an α-rabbit HRP-conjugated secondary antibody (NEF812001EA, Perkin Elmer), 1:1000. This was followed by 10 min incubation with tyramide-conjugated fluorophore working solution, consisting of fluorophore diluted to 1:150 in the amplification diluent supplied by Perkin Elmer. This process was repeated for each of the following antibodies (with the exception of the hydrogen peroxide block which was only performed once). Citrate buffer pH 6 was used for the second heat induced antigen retrieval followed by incubation with monoclonal rabbit α-CD3 antibody (16669, Abcam), 1:100. EDTA pH 9 was used for the final heat induced antigen retrieval followed by incubation with rabbit α-mouse CD8-α antibody (209775, Abcam), 1:2000. DAPI was then applied to tissue sections for 3 minutes at room temperature.

Image acquisition of stained tissue sections was performed on the Vectra 3.0 Multispectral Imaging Platform (Perkin Elmer). Spectral un-mixing of fluorophores was performed using the software, inForm (Perkin Elmer), whilst digital analysis of tissue sections was performed using the software, HALO v2.2.1870 (Indica Labs, USA). The analysis algorithm developed for this study used the Highplex FL v.2.0 module, specific for analysis of multiplex immunohistochemical stained tissue sections.

Isolation and In Vitro Activation of CD8+ T Cells
OT-I TCR Transgenic mice were purchased from the Walter and Eliza Hall Institute (Melbourne, Australia). Splenocytes were harvested from the spleen of OT-I mice and stimulated by incubation with 300 ng/mL of SIINFEKL (OVA peptide) (Sigma-Aldrich) for 24 hr to expand CD8+ OT-I T cells. After washing to remove the peptide, cells were cultured in media supplemented with IL-2 at 100 U/mL (Abcam) for an additional 2 days. T cells were subsequently isolated from the mononuclear layer using Ficoll separation and cultured with IL-2 for an additional 3 days before use in co-culture assays. Successful enrichment of a CD8+ T cell population was confirmed by flow cytometry staining for CD45, CD3 and CD8.

T-cell Cytotoxicity Assays

Peptide Pulsing Assay
mSCLC cells were pretreated as indicated with 3 μM EPZ-011989 or ethanol control for 10 days, and then incubated with or without IFN-γ 10 ng/mL. After thorough washing to remove the inhibitor and IFN-γ, cells were pulsed with 1 ng/mL of SIINFEKL (OVA peptide) at 37°C for 2 hours, which binds to cell surface MHC-I (H-2Kb). Cells were subsequently washed to remove unbound peptide and then mixed at a 1:1 ratio with control mCherry positive untreated mSCLC cells (expressing an MSCV mCherry control vector). Cell mixtures were individually plated in 96-well plates and T cells added at a range of different effector:target ratios. Assays were set up in triplicate wells for each co-culture condition. After incubation at 37°C for 24 hr, samples were analyzed by flow cytometry to determine the percent killing of peptide-pulsed cells for each pretreatment condition. This was determined for each effector:target ratio by comparing the relative percent of target (mCherry positive) tumor cells remaining in the wells that received T cells compared to the wells that did not receive T cells. Tumor cells were identified as the viable (Fixable Violet negative), CD45 negative population. As an additional control to evaluate whether tumor cell killing was MHC-I antigen-specific, assays were set up as described above but omitting the peptide pulsing step to determine whether tumor cell killing was dependent on the presence of the peptide specifically recognized by the OT-I T-cell receptor.

OVA Peptide Processing and Presentation Assay
Ovalbumin (OVA) expressing mSCLC cells (mSCLC) were generated by infection with MSCV-OVA-mCherry. mSCLC-OVA cells were pretreated as previously described with 3 μM EPZ-011989 or ethanol control for 10 days, and then incubated with or without 10 ng/mL IFN-γ. After thorough washing to remove the inhibitor and IFN-γ, pretreated mSCLC-OVA cells (mCherry positive) were mixed with untreated parental mSCLC cells (mCherry negative) at a 1:1 ratio. Cell mixtures were analyzed by flow cytometry at baseline to determine the percent of mSCLC-OVA (mCherry positive) cells. Cell mixtures were individually plated in 96-well plates and T cells added at a range of different effector:target ratios. Assays were set up in triplicate wells for each co-culture condition. After incubation at 37°C for the indicated times, co-cultures were analyzed by flow cytometry as described above. The relative depletion of mSCLC-OVA cells in the presence or absence of T cells was calculated by comparing the relative percent of mSCLC-OVA (mCherry positive) cells present at each timepoint compared to the percent of mSCLC-OVA (mCherry positive) cells present at baseline.
Measurement of T-cell Cytokine Secretion
1x10^5 mSCLC or mSCLC-OVA cells, pretreated as indicated in the figure legends, were co-cultured with 2 x 10^5 OT-I T cells in 96-well plates. Co-cultures were performed in triplicate for each condition. The cell culture media was collected after 24 hours of co-culture. The levels of TNF-α and IFN-γ were measured with the BD cytometric bead array Mouse Th1/Th2 cytokine kit and analyzed by flow cytometry.

RNA- Sequencing
mRNA was prepared with a Qiagen RNeasy kit, and cDNA synthesis was performed with a SuperScript VILO kit (Life Technologies), per the manufacturer's instructions. Quantitative PCR analysis was performed on an Applied Biosystems StepOnePlus System with SYBR Green reagents. All samples were assayed in triplicate. Relative expression levels were determined with the ΔCt method and normalized to GAPDH and/or ACTB.

Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChIP)
Chromatin immunoprecipitation was performed as described previously (Gilan et al., 2016). Cells were cross-linked with 1% formaldehyde for 15 min at room temperature, and cross-linking was stopped by the addition of 0.125 M glycine. Cells were washed in ice cold PBS and either flash frozen and stored at -80°C or directly lysed in 1% SDS, 10 mM EDTA, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, and Roche complete protease inhibitor. For normalization of H3K27me3, H3K4me3 and H3K27ac ChiP, Drosophila S2 cells cross-linked and lysed under the same conditions were mixed with the target cell lysates at a ratio of 1:4 (Drosophila S2 cell number:target cell number). Lysates were sonicated in a Covaris ultrasonicator to achieve a mean DNA fragment size of 500 bp. Samples were diluted 1:10 in modified RIPA buffer (1% Triton X-100, 0.1% deoxycholate, 90 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, and protease inhibitors) and incubated rotating with antibody for a minimum of 12 hr at 4°C. Antibodies used were rabbit anti-H3K27me3 (C37B11, Cell Signaling), rabbit anti-H3K27ac (ab4729, Abcam), rabbit anti-H3K4me3 (ab8580, Abcam) and rabbit α-IFR-1 (D5E4, Cell Signaling), 8 to 10 µg per sample. Protein A Dynabeads (Life Technologies) were added to bind the antibody and associated chromatin and samples incubated for 2 hr at 4°C. After washing twice with low salt buffer (0.1% SDS, 1% Triton X-100, 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 2 mM EDTA, 150 mM NaCl), samples were eluted from the beads for 30 min at 65°C in elution buffer (1% SDS, 0.1 M NaHCO3). Eluates were reverse cross-linked overnight by heating at 65°C with RNase A and 0.2 M NaCl and then purified using the QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen). DNA was analyzed by qPCR on an Applied Biosystems StepOnePlus System with SYBR Green reagents. Sequencing libraries were prepared from eluted DNA using Rubicon ThruPLEX DNA-seq kit or NEB Next ChiP-seq library preparation kit. Libraries were size selected between 200-700 bps and sequenced on the NextSeq500 using 75 bp single-end chemistry.

ChIP-Sequencing Analysis
Bcl2fastq (Illumina) was used to perform sample demultiplexing and to convert BCL files generated from the sequencing instrument into FastQ files. Reads were aligned to the human genome (G1k V37), or mouse genome (MM10) using HISAT2 and reads were assigned to genes using htseq-count. Differential expression was calculated using either limma, voom or DESeq2. Genes with a false discovery rate corrected for multiple testing using the method of Benjamini and Hochberg below 0.05 and a fold change greater than 1.5 were considered significantly differentially expressed. Heatmaps were generated in R using pheatmap.

Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChIP)
Bcl2fastq (Illumina) was used to perform sample demultiplexing and to convert BCL files generated from the sequencing instrument into FastQ files. Reads were aligned to the human genome (GRCh37) or mouse genome (GRCm38), combined with fly genome (BDGP5) or a modified Tasmanian devil genome (Devil_ref v7.0) with BWA-mem. The scaffold GL857536.1 encoding the TAP2 gene was replaced with a BAC clone (accession FO482140) encoding the whole TAP cluster of genes (Cheng Y 2012. BMC Genomics, Antigen-presenting genes and genomic copy number variations in Tasmanian devil MHC), in the Tasmanian devil genome. Peak calling was performed with MACS2 with default parameters, and deepTools was used for normalization. Genome-browser images of ChiP-qseq data was generated by converting files to TDF files with igvtools and viewing in IGV. ChiP-seq coverage across selected genomic regions was calculated with BEDtools57. ChiP heatmap was created using data generated by ngsplot, the transcriptional start site (tss) is 2kb upstream of the gene start site, genebody was separated into 5 even bins, and the transcriptional end site (tes) is the end of the gene plus 2kb downstream. Chromatin state analysis was performed using ChromHMM with either bed or bam files with H3K27me3, H3K27ac, H3K4me3 and H3K36me3 ChiP-seq data for each cell type, specifying a 9 state parameter. Active (tss) was assigned to high H3K27ac and H3K4me3 at 500 bp around the tss, bivalent tss was assigned to high H3K27me3 and H3K4me3 at 500 bp around the tss. Strong transcription was assigned to...
high H3K36me3 signal, weak transcription was assigned to low H3K36me3 signal, repressed polycomb was assigned to high H3K27me3 signal, and inactive genes was assigned to no signal along the genebody. The heatmap for the chromatin state was generated in R.

**QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

Statistical analysis was carried out using GraphPad Prism 8. Details of statistical analysis performed in Methods Details of STAR Methods and in the figure legends. Data were reported as mean ± SEM or independent replicates shown as individual data points, as indicated in the figure legends. Significance was defined as p < 0.05.

**DATA AND CODE AVAILABILITY**

Sequencing data has been deposited into the sequence read archive, hosted by the National Centre for Biotechnology Information. The accession number for the sequencing data reported in this paper is NCBI sequence read archive: PRJNA527170. Unprocessed data (immunoblot scans) are available at https://doi.org/10.17632/yf4vcxy469.1.