

# sFDvent: A global trait database for deep-sea hydrothermal-vent fauna

Abbie S. A. Chapman<sup>1,2</sup>  | Stace E. Beaulieu<sup>3</sup> | Ana Colaço<sup>4,5</sup> | Andrey V. Gebruk<sup>6</sup> | Ana Hilario<sup>7</sup> | Terue C. Kihara<sup>8</sup> | Eva Ramirez-Llodra<sup>9</sup> | Jozée Sarrazin<sup>10</sup> | Verena Tunnicliffe<sup>11</sup> | Diva J. Amon<sup>12</sup> | Maria C. Baker<sup>1</sup> | Rachel E. Boschen-Rose<sup>11,13</sup> | Chong Chen<sup>14</sup>  | Isabelle J. Cooper<sup>1</sup> | Jonathan T. Copley<sup>1</sup> | Laure Corbari<sup>15</sup> | Erik E. Cordes<sup>16</sup> | Daphne Cuvelier<sup>5</sup> | Sébastien Duperron<sup>17</sup> | Cherisse Du Preez<sup>18,19</sup> | Sabine Gollner<sup>20</sup> | Tammy Horton<sup>21</sup> | Stéphane Hourdez<sup>22</sup> | Elena M. Krylova<sup>6</sup> | Katrin Linse<sup>23</sup> | P. A. LokaBharathi<sup>24</sup> | Leigh Marsh<sup>1</sup> | Marjolaine Matabos<sup>10</sup> | Susan Wier Mills<sup>3</sup> | Lauren S. Mullineaux<sup>3</sup> | Hans Tore Rapp<sup>25</sup> | William D. K. Reid<sup>26</sup>  | Elena Rybakova (Goroslavskaya)<sup>6</sup> | Tresa Remya A. Thomas<sup>24</sup> | Samuel James Southgate<sup>27</sup> | Sabine Stöhr<sup>28</sup> | Phillip J. Turner<sup>29</sup> | Hiromi Kayama Watanabe<sup>14</sup> | Moriaki Yasuhara<sup>30</sup> | Amanda E. Bates<sup>1,31</sup> 

<sup>1</sup>Ocean and Earth Science, University of Southampton Waterfront Campus, National Oceanography Centre, Southampton, United Kingdom

<sup>2</sup>Centre for Biodiversity and Environment Research, University College London, London, United Kingdom

<sup>3</sup>Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Massachusetts

<sup>4</sup>IMAR Instituto do Mar, Departamento de Oceanografia e Pescas, University of the Azores, Horta, Portugal

<sup>5</sup>MARE - Marine Environmental Sciences Centre, Horta, Portugal

<sup>6</sup>Shirshov Institute of Oceanology, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia

<sup>7</sup>CESAM & Biology Department, University of Aveiro, Aveiro, Portugal

<sup>8</sup>Senckenberg am Meer, German Center for Marine Biodiversity Research (DZMB), Wilhelmshaven, Germany

<sup>9</sup>Norwegian Institute for Water Research, Oslo, Norway

<sup>10</sup>Ifremer, Centre de Bretagne, REM/EEP, Laboratoire Environnement Profond, Plouzané, France

<sup>11</sup>Department of Biology and School of Earth & Ocean Sciences, University of Victoria, British Columbia, Canada

<sup>12</sup>Life Sciences Department, Natural History Museum, London, United Kingdom

<sup>13</sup>Seascope Consultants Ltd, Ampfield, Romsey, Hampshire, United Kingdom

<sup>14</sup>X-STAR, Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology (JAMSTEC), Kanagawa, Japan

<sup>15</sup>Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Institut Systématique Evolution Biodiversité (ISYEB), CNRS, Sorbonne Université, EPHE, Paris, France

<sup>16</sup>Temple University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

<sup>17</sup>Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Mécanismes de Communication et Adaptation des Micro-organismes, MNHN CNRS, UMR7245, Paris, France

<sup>18</sup>Department of Biology, Pennsylvania State University, State College, Pennsylvania

<sup>19</sup>Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Institute of Ocean Sciences, Sidney, British Columbia, Canada

<sup>20</sup>NIOZ Royal Netherlands Institute for Sea Research, Department of Ocean Systems, Utrecht University, Den Burg (Texel), The Netherlands

<sup>21</sup>National Oceanography Centre, University of Southampton Waterfront Campus, Southampton, United Kingdom

<sup>22</sup>Station Biologique de Roscoff, UMR 7144, CNRS - Sorbonne Université, Place G. Teissier, Roscoff, France

<sup>23</sup>British Antarctic Survey, High Cross, Cambridge, United Kingdom

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2019 The Authors. Global Ecology and Biogeography Published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd

<sup>24</sup>Academy of Scientific and Innovative Research (AcSIR), Biological Oceanography Division, CSIR-National Institute of Oceanography, Goa, India

<sup>25</sup>Department of Biology and KG Jebsen Centre for Deep-Sea Research, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway

<sup>26</sup>Marine Sciences - School of Natural and Environmental Sciences, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom

<sup>27</sup>Independent Researcher, Southampton, United Kingdom

<sup>28</sup>Department of Zoology, Swedish Museum of Natural History, Stockholm, Sweden

<sup>29</sup>Division of Marine Science and Conservation, Nicholas School of the Environment, Duke University, Beaufort, North Carolina

<sup>30</sup>School of Biological Sciences and Swire Institute of Marine Science, The University of Hong Kong, Kadoorie Biological Sciences Building, Hong Kong SAR, China

<sup>31</sup>Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's, Newfoundland and Labrador, Canada

## Correspondence

Abbie S. A. Chapman, Ocean and Earth Science, University of Southampton Waterfront Campus, National Oceanography Centre, European Way, Southampton, SO14 3ZH, UK.  
Email: abbiesachapman@gmail.com

## Funding information

MarMine Project, Grant/Award Number: 247626/O30; Institut Français de Recherche pour l'Exploitation de la Mer; Russian Science Foundation, Grant/Award Number: 14-50-00095; Research Grants Council of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China, Grant/Award Number: HKU 17306014 and HKU 17311316; Division of Environmental Biology, Grant/Award Number: 1558904; Andrew W. Mellon Foundation, Grant/Award Number: The Joint Initiative Awards Fund; Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia, Grant/Award Number: UID/AMB/50017 (CESAM), Co-funded by FEDER within the PT2020 Partnership A, IF/00029/2014/CP1230/CT0002, SFRH/BPD/110278/2015, UID/AMB/50017 and UID/MAR/04292/2013; sDiv, the Synthesis Centre of iDiv (German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research), Grant/Award Number: DFG FZT 118; Canadian Healthy Oceans Network II Strategic Research Program (CHONe II), Grant/Award Number: Postdoctoral Fellowship; Norges Forskningsråd, Grant/Award Number: 70184227; Natural Environment Research Council, Grant/Award Number: NE/D01249X/1, NE/F017774/1, NE/H012087/1 and NE/L002531/1; KG Jebsen Centre for Deep Sea Research; University of Southampton; Canada Research Chairs

[Correction statement added on 29 Aug 2019 after first online publication: Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia has been updated in this version.]

Editor: John Pandolfi

## Abstract

**Motivation:** Traits are increasingly being used to quantify global biodiversity patterns, with trait databases growing in size and number, across diverse taxa. Despite growing interest in a trait-based approach to the biodiversity of the deep sea, where the impacts of human activities (including seabed mining) accelerate, there is no single repository for species traits for deep-sea chemosynthesis-based ecosystems, including hydrothermal vents. Using an international, collaborative approach, we have compiled the first global-scale trait database for deep-sea hydrothermal-vent fauna – sFDvent (sDiv-funded trait database for the Functional Diversity of vents). We formed a funded working group to select traits appropriate to: (a) capture the performance of vent species and their influence on ecosystem processes, and (b) compare trait-based diversity in different ecosystems. Forty contributors, representing expertise across most known hydrothermal-vent systems and taxa, scored species traits using online collaborative tools and shared workspaces. Here, we characterise the sFDvent database, describe our approach, and evaluate its scope. Finally, we compare the sFDvent database to similar databases from shallow-marine and terrestrial ecosystems to highlight how the sFDvent database can inform cross-ecosystem comparisons. We also make the sFDvent database publicly available online by assigning a persistent, unique DOI.

**Main types of variable contained:** Six hundred and forty-six vent species names, associated location information (33 regions), and scores for 13 traits (in categories: community structure, generalist/specialist, geographic distribution, habitat use, life history, mobility, species associations, symbiont, and trophic structure). Contributor IDs, certainty scores, and references are also provided.

**Spatial location and grain:** Global coverage (grain size: ocean basin), spanning eight ocean basins, including vents on 12 mid-ocean ridges and 6 back-arc spreading centres.

**Time period and grain:** sFDvent includes information on deep-sea vent species, and associated taxonomic updates, since they were first discovered in 1977. Time is not recorded. The database will be updated every 5 years.

**Major taxa and level of measurement:** Deep-sea hydrothermal-vent fauna with species-level identification present or in progress.

**Software format:** .csv and MS Excel (.xlsx).

## KEYWORDS

biodiversity, collaboration, conservation, cross-ecosystem, database, deep sea, functional trait, global-scale, hydrothermal vent, sFDvent

## 1 | BACKGROUND

Traits provide a “common currency” that can be used across taxa and biogeographic regions to analyse global-scale biodiversity patterns and to evaluate links between species and ecosystem processes (Stuart-Smith et al., 2013; Violle, Reich, Pacala, Enquist, & Kattge, 2014). Taxonomic and phylogenetic information underpins traditional diversity metrics, such as species richness and phylogenetic diversity, whereas traits enable us to compare fish, mammal, bird and other biodiversity, using a language common across phyla. Given increasing application of trait-based approaches in biodiversity research (Petchey & Gaston, 2006), trait databases are growing in number. For example, 25 databases have been published for terrestrial, freshwater and marine environments since 2000; of these, around 25 per cent were published in 2017 (see Supporting Information Appendix S2).

Some of the first and, now, largest trait databases focus on plants, where strong links exist between leaf traits (e.g., area, angle), plant growth, and primary production via photosynthesis (Kattge et al., 2011; Kühn, Durka, & Klotz, 2004). Similar relationships between organisms, traits and energy sources were relatively recently discovered in deep-sea hydrothermal-vent fauna, when life was first discovered in deep-sea vent environments 40 years ago [Corliss et al., 1979; photosynthesis was first discovered 200 years before this (Ingen-Housz, 1779)]. Instead of exploiting photosynthetic pathways, vent animals are strongly dependent on energy from reduced compounds in hydrothermal fluid through chemosynthetic microorganisms (Jannasch, 1985). Deep-sea hydrothermal vents therefore offer a compelling system for applying trait-based approaches (e.g., see Chapman, Tunnicliffe, & Bates, 2018). Moreover, the distribution of hydrothermal-vent communities has been shaped through geological and evolutionary time by the movement of tectonic plate boundaries (Ramirez-Llodra, Shank, & German, 2007; Tunnicliffe, 1988). Vent fauna therefore group into distinct biogeographic provinces (Bachraty, Legendre, & Desbruyères, 2009; Moalic et al., 2012; Rogers et al., 2012), which offer a pertinent framework upon which to compare taxon-based biodiversity patterns to those derived from biological trait data.

Trait-oriented analyses of global-scale biodiversity patterns can also inform conservation and management plans (Mouillot, Graham, Villegier, Mason, & Bellwood, 2013; Stuart-Smith et al., 2015). At vents, this is increasingly important, as commercial-scale mining – the first large-scale, direct human impact on these remote ecosystems – will begin before 2020 (Van Dover et al., 2017, 2018). Despite the potential for a trait-based approach to progress ecological understanding and to inform deep-sea mining policies and strategies for vent conservation, it was not possible to pursue this approach on large scales before now, due to a lack of suitable trait data for vent species.

Here, we describe, and make publicly available, a global-scale trait database for deep-sea hydrothermal-vent species – sFDvent (sDiv-funded trait database for the Functional Diversity of vents). We: (a) characterize the database; (b) describe the international, collaborative

compilation process, and highlight the importance of a working group and web-based document-sharing tools in our workflow; and (c) provide summary statistics and usage guidelines for the recommended first version of the database. Through sFDvent, we aim: to promote the use of a trait-based approach in conjunction with taxonomic and phylogenetic methods when analysing deep-sea biodiversity patterns; to encourage international collaboration and knowledge sharing in the deep-sea chemosynthesis-based-ecosystem research community; and to facilitate macroecological analyses including vent fauna.


## 2 | METHODS

### 2.1 | An international, collaborative approach to trait data collection

A working-group meeting at the German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv) facilitated the design of the sFDvent database populated by an international group of expert collaborators (detailed in Supporting Information Figure S1 A.1 and Appendix S3). We selected traits using a three-step process: (a) creating a “wishlist” of traits that could inform understanding of the performance of a species in its ecosystem, as well as its influence on ecosystem function (Figure 1); (b) reducing this trait list to those that could be scored for the majority of vent species across the globe; and (c) checking the traits selected in step (b) against similar traits in established trait databases (e.g., Faulwetter et al., 2017; Madin et al., 2016; Stuart-Smith et al., 2013) to ensure cross-ecosystem compatibility in terminology and definitions.

The working-group meeting was also a platform for data-collection design. We used data compendia such as the Ocean Biogeographic Information System (OBIS, 2017), the World Register of Marine Species (WoRMS) (Horton et al., 2017), ChEssBase (Baker, Ramirez-Llodra, & Perry, 2010), and Desbruyères, Segonzac, and Bright (2006) to populate species trait scores as a starting point for further contributions from the wider deep-sea research community. Data collection was carried out using the Google Sheets platform, given its in-built capacity for version control and collaboration on shared documents stored online. Each contributor initially received a personal data collection sheet, so entries could be tracked and credited appropriately. These sheets were designed to be as user-friendly as possible while also expediting processing. For example, fixed, drop-down scoring options were provided: (a) for ease of entry for contributors, and (b) to ensure inconsistencies in spelling, grammar, and other symbols did not affect compilation or processing for database end-users. A unique contributor ID (email) column was provided, to ensure each contribution could be tracked and credited after compilation and processing. Example data sheets were tested before distribution to collaborators.

The sFDvent project aimed to engage as many members of the deep-sea research community as possible. Thus, several calls for contributors were made following the working-group meeting, including direct emails, mailing lists (INDEEP, 2018), the Deep-Sea Life newsletter (Baker, Pattenden, & Ramirez-Llodra, 2017) and a poster



**FIGURE 1** Deep-sea hydrothermal-vent species traits included in the sFDvent database, adapted from the Litchman, Ohman, and Kjørboe (2008) framework (see also Brun et al., 2017). Here, ecological functions and processes potentially influenced by a trait are shown on the x axis, and trait categories are given on the y axis (see Supporting Information Table S4.1 for a glossary of trait definitions)

presentation at an international conference (Chapman et al., 2017). Forty contributors from 29 institutions in 13 countries contributed expert knowledge to the database.

## 2.2 | Data compilation, processing, quality control and analysis

Quality assurance measures were implemented to minimize errors in the database, including: an online video tutorial (Supporting Information Video S4.1, Appendix S4) demonstrating how to input data; a glossary (Supporting Information Table S4.1, Appendix S4), to ensure all contributors had a good understanding of each of the traits and scoring options (modalities); a certainty score column, per trait, ranging from 0 (used when unknown, to show a cell was empty due to lack of knowledge) to 3 (high certainty); and a reference column per trait (permitting “expert opinion” in place of a literary source where appropriate).

Traits scored using expert opinion are often considered to be lower in certainty and/or quality than those scored using published sources. We included traits scored based on expert opinion in sFDvent because of the value of undocumented expert knowledge of deep-sea species and habitats. The current state of knowledge is not always captured in publications or cruise reports for vent species, as remotely operated vehicles can be used to make observations for many hours that do not form part of a formal study. During these hours, scientists gain insights into the behaviour, feeding ecology, size, mobility, and other traits of deep-sea fauna, which would not be captured if sFDvent required all trait scores to be supported in published resources. The decision to include expert-contributed

scores in sFDvent makes the certainty data provided with the database particularly useful, as it acts as an indicator of the confidence an expert (or group of experts) has in a given score (e.g., according to the number of observations or laboratory measurements). Traits scored using available literature were also peer-reviewed by experts as part of the database review process.

sFDvent contributions were compiled and processed according to strict, documented criteria, which are described in detail in Supporting Information Appendix S4 and files referenced therein. A summary of the traits, modalities (or scoring options), and associated rationale for raw and recommended data files is provided in Table 1. Finally, summary statistics were computed and a coverage map created (Figure 2) using the recommended dataset (Supporting Information Table S4.2) to facilitate gap analysis and comparison with other well-known trait databases. sFDvent will be updated in future according to the processes outlined in Supporting Information Appendix S5 and Figure S1 A.2.

## 3 | RESULTS

### 3.1 | Data description

The clean, “ready-to-use” sFDvent trait dataset (Supporting Information Table S4.2) includes traits scored with the most coverage and certainty, comprising 646 records across 13 traits with 55 modalities (Table 1). Six of these traits are ordinal, three are binary, and four are qualitative, categorical traits (Table 1). The structure of the sFDvent database is outlined in Supporting Information Figure S1 A.3. The traits in sFDvent were scored at species-level for adult

**TABLE 1** Species traits included in the sFDvent database, with further detail on category, type, and modalities. The “Rationale” column is provided to outline the reasons for including each trait in the database (e.g., why it might be ecologically important for the performance of a vent species and/or its influence on ecosystem processes). The glossary in Supporting Information Table S4.1 provides definitions for each of the trait modalities

Trait category	Trait	Modalities	Trait type	Rationale
Mobility	Relative Adult Mobility	1, 2, 3, 4	Ordinal	The mobility of a species affects access to food, vent fluid (and the microbes within it), and also its ability to escape predation and/or relocate if, for example, vent fluid supplies shut down or competition becomes too strong.
Geographic Distribution	Depth Range (m)	Maximum and minimum depth ranges, from a choice of 11 (from 0 m to > 5,000 m in 500 m increments)	Ordinal	Depth range captures information on relative geographic range size and also facilitates the assessment of trait-environment relationships in the vertical dimension of space. Thus, this trait can be included with the others, or used as an environmental variable, depending on the research question.
Generalist/ Specialist	Chemosynthesis-obligate	Vent, Other chemosynthesis-based ecosystem(s) (CBE), No	Categorical, ordinal	As highlighted in the category, this trait captures information on specialist/generalist adaptations that a species may have to thrive in given environments and is therefore also an important indicator of vulnerability to disturbance or environmental change. For instance, a species dependent on vent environments may be more prone to extinction given deep-sea mining impacts or the shutdown of vent fluid supply than a species that can also live in other chemosynthesis-based ecosystems.
Life History	Estimated Maximum Body Size (mm)	0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, 100, 1,000	Ordinal	Body size is known to influence the contribution of a species to ecosystem functioning, as well as its own fitness within a system. This trait captures information on reproduction, life history, fitness, and resilience to change, as well as its energy demand.
Habitat Use	Zonation from a Vent	High, Medium, Low (Periphery)	Categorical, ordinal	This trait is specific to vent species, but could be adapted for other environments (e.g., to capture the “halo” zonation at seeps and wood falls). It captures the dependence of a species on vent fluid and the microbes it contains, as well as the thermal tolerance of a species (which can be a physiological indicator and thus related to fitness and energy demand).
	Substratum	Hard, Soft	Binary	This trait captures species-association information, assuming substratum preference can be indicative of shared niche space. The preferred substratum of a species may also be an indicator of resilience, as hard and soft substrata may be affected by different impact types and intensities during deep-sea mining, for example. This trait also facilitates prediction using trait information, as hard and soft substrata are often mapped during geological and geophysical surveys.
	Habitat Complexity	Does not add, Mat forming (< 10 cm), Bed forming (> 10 cm), Dense bush forming, Open bush forming, Burrow forming	Categorical	This trait is a shape indicator, providing insight into the structures and habitat complexity added by a species, and, thus, whether a species might be considered an ecosystem engineer or a foundation species. In adding habitat complexity, a vent species can alter fluid dynamics and access to nutritional resources and therefore influences ecosystem function, energy available to other species, and its own fitness.
	How often found in groups or clusters? (Gregariousness)	Never (Solitary), Sometimes, Always	Categorical, ordinal	Gregariousness captures information on the potential of a species to influence other processes, as it might be assumed that gregarious species limit space available to other species and are likely to be more common than solitary species. Conversely, gregarious species may depend on others for nutritional and/or reproductive purposes and thus be more vulnerable than species that can thrive alone if population sizes are reduced by disturbance or environmental change.

(Continues)

**TABLE 1** (Continued)

Trait category	Trait	Modalities	Trait type	Rationale
Trophic Structure	Trophic Mode	Carnivore - scavenger, Carnivore - other, Detritivore, Bacterivore, Omnivore	Categorical	The trophic mode of a species affects its energy demand, as well as the amount of food it makes available to others during the feeding process. This trait is also an indicator of resilience, as more generalist feeders (such as detritivores and omnivores) are less likely to be affected by competition for food and/or changes to food supplies and quantities. Contrarily, carnivores depend on the presence of prey to survive and are potentially more vulnerable to environmental change affecting prey populations.
	Nutritional Source	Sediment or rock surface, Water column, Fauna, Symbiont	Categorical	This trait captures similar information to trophic mode, but also reflects the dependence of a species on a particular feature of the local environment. For example, a species dependent on nutritional sources in the water column might be more at risk if mining creates sediment plumes in the water column that clog the organism's feeding apparatus. On the other hand, if a species can supplement its chemosynthetic energy source with a water column supply when vent fluid dynamics change, it may survive better in an area where food supply is greater (e.g., in the water column of an area of high primary productivity). Thus, the importance of and rationale behind use of this trait, as with all traits in this table, will depend on the research question.
Symbiont	Position of Symbiont	Endosymbiont, Episymbiont, None	Categorical	Species with symbionts are maximizing their access to chemosynthetic energy sources. On the other hand, those without symbionts might be more flexible, able to thrive in other ecosystems, and less vulnerable to vent fluid changes and/or shutdown. The type of symbiont is also important, as this captures the dependency of a species on a specific type of bacteria. For example, an endosymbiont host must be adapted to enable the bacteria to survive internally, while a species dependent on episymbiotic bacteria can harvest these from the surrounding environment.
Species Associations	Foundation Species	Yes, No	Binary	A foundation species facilitates other species and contributes to community structure, thereby playing a fundamental role in ecosystem function.
Community Structure	Abundance	High, Low	Binary	This trait captures a relative, most commonly observed state of abundance for a species. A species can be low in occupancy (i.e. not found at many vents) but high in abundance. Abundance is therefore used as an indicator of rarity, resilience and performance.

fauna, rather than individual-level or for different life stages, given the variability in effort associated with measurements, observations, and descriptions of vent species (Tunnicliffe, 1990). In total, 646 taxa from 345 genera, 181 families, and 12 phyla have trait data with associated, expert-provided location information (Table 2, Supporting Information Figure S1 A.4). Arthropoda is the best-scored phylum, with 216 records, whilst Acanthocephala has the lowest number of records of the phyla in the dataset (one record; Supporting Information Figure S1 A.4). The best-populated ocean basin is the North Pacific (east and west), with 332 taxa with associated trait data (Figure 2), whilst the Mediterranean Sea has the fewest trait records – two (Figure 2).


“Chemosynthesis-obligate”, “Relative Adult Mobility”, and “Estimated Maximum Body Size” traits are scored for more than 99% of taxa; “Depth Range” and “Nutritional Source” traits have greater than 90%

coverage (Supporting Information Figure S1 A.4). The remaining traits are scored for at least 69% of taxa. “Estimated Maximum Body Size” is one of the best-scored traits and also has the highest average certainty (2.8 of a possible score of 3). Average certainty across all traits is, however, greater than 2.5, apart from Gregariousness, “Nutritional Source” and “Trophic Mode” (averaging 2.4; Table 2). For a trait-by-trait summary of results, see Supporting Information Appendix S6.

### 3.2 | Comparison with other datasets

The sFDvent dataset has fewer traits and records than many trait databases focusing on shallow-marine, freshwater, and terrestrial taxa (Table 3). Nonetheless, sFDvent has more traits than the carabids.org (Homburg, Homburg, Schäfer, Schuldt, & Assmann, 2013) and stream invertebrates (Schäfer et al., 2011) databases, and more





**FIGURE 2** Data coverage map, showing the locations associated with taxa with trait information in the sFDvent database. Regions have been labelled according to the InterRidge Vents Database (Beaulieu, 2015). Labels shortened for display purposes are: Aleutian = Aleutian Arc; CIR = Central Indian Ridge; Costa Rica = Costa Rica Forearc; ESR = East Scotia Ridge; Galápagos = Galápagos Rift and Galápagos Spreading Centre; GoC = Gulf of California; JdF Ridge = Juan de Fuca Ridge; LAA = Lesser Antilles Arc; MCR = Mid Cayman Rise; N. EPR = North East Pacific Rise; N. Fiji Basin = North Fiji Basin; NH Arc = New Hebrides Arc; N. MAR = North Mid-Atlantic Ridge; PAR = Pacific-Antarctic Ridge; S. EPR = South East Pacific Rise; S. MAR = South Mid-Atlantic Ridge; SWIR = South West Indian Ridge; and T-F Arc = Tabar-Feni Arc. Point size is relative to the number of database records associated with each region (e.g., see legend). The bathymetric basemap (“World Ocean Basemap”) is courtesy of ESRI (2012). Geographic map projection with coordinate system world geodetic system 1984

**TABLE 2** Trait data coverage for the first clean, recommended version of the sFDvent database. The modal (most frequently recorded) trait value and mean certainty score associated with each trait are also provided

Trait	Number of records	Percentage of records with trait scores	Modal trait value	Mean certainty score
Relative Adult Mobility	645	99.8	3	2.6
Depth Range (m)	Min: 588 Max: 587	Min: 91 Max: 90.9	Min: 2,000–2,500 Max: 2,500–3,000	2.7
Chemosynthesis-obligate	646	100	Vent	2.6
Estimated Maximum Body Size (mm)	643	99.5	100	2.8
Zonation from a Vent	507	78.5	Medium	2.6
Substratum	527	81.6	Hard	2.6
Habitat Complexity	497	76.9	Does not add	2.6
How often found in groups or clusters? (Gregariousness)	450	69.7	Never (Solitary)	2.4
Trophic Mode	515	79.7	Bacterivore	2.4
Nutritional Source	582	90.1	Sediment or rock surface	2.4
Position of Symbiont	477	73.8	None	2.6
Foundation Species	523	81	No	2.8
Abundance	470	72.8	High	2.6

taxonomic entries than the amphibian (Trochet et al., 2014), reptile (Grimm, Prieto Ramírez, Moulherat, Reynaud, & Henle, 2014), stream invertebrate (Schäfer et al., 2011), and chironomid (Serra, Cobo, Graça, Dolédec, & Feio, 2016) databases (Table 3). Traits limited in other databases [e.g., reproductive traits (Brun, Payne, &

Kjørboe, 2017)] also have low coverage and/or certainty in the raw sFDvent data, and we have excluded these from the recommended dataset (Supporting Information Table S4.2). However, body sizes are well scored, as in other databases [e.g., marine copepods (Brun et al., 2017)]. Furthermore, the sFDvent database encompasses

**TABLE 3** A comparative review of animal trait databases

Database	Geographic scope	Environment	Number of records	Number of taxa	Number of traits (or categories, as specified below)	Reference
sFDvent	Global	Marine	646	646 species	13	This paper
Coral Trait Database <sup>1</sup>	Global	Marine	68,496	1,548 species	158	Madin et al. (2016)
Marine Species Traits <sup>2</sup>	Global	Marine	NA – tags for species in other databases	NA as produced to link with other databases (e.g., WoRMS)	10 priority, 138 biological descriptors, 293 ecological descriptors	Marine Species Traits editorial board (2018)
Database for life history traits for European amphibians <sup>3</sup>	Europe	Terrestrial/freshwater	86	86 species	14 morphological, 17 life history, 7 movement, 2 spatial distribution, and habitat preferences and threats	Trochet et al. (2014)
Reptile Trait Database <sup>4</sup>	Europe	Terrestrial	122	122 species	18 (with some repeated for different life stages)	Grimm et al. (2014)
carabids.org <sup>5</sup>	Global	Terrestrial	> 10,000	> 10,000 species	12	Homburg et al. (2013)
Trait database of stream invertebrates <sup>6</sup>	SE Australia	Freshwater	172	172 (family level)	9	Schäfer et al. (2011)
European Chironomidae genera <sup>7</sup>	Europe	Freshwater	~ 164 genera and ~ 439 species	~ 439 species	37	Serra et al. (2016)
The Global Ants Database <sup>8</sup>	Global	Terrestrial	3,991 individuals, 8,973 species/morphospecies, 4,482 assemblages	8,973 species/morphospecies	23	Parr et al. (2017)
BIOTIC – Biological Traits Information Catalogue <sup>9</sup>	Global	Marine (benthic)	831	831 species/genera	42	MarLIN (2006)
Fish Traits Database <sup>10</sup>	U.S.A.	Freshwater	809	809 species	> 100	Frimpong and Angermeier (2011)
A trait database for marine copepods <sup>11</sup>	Global	Marine	9,306	9,306 taxa	14	Brun et al. (2017)
Polytraits <sup>12</sup>	Mediterranean lagoons but expanding	Freshwater/marine	27,198	952 species	47	Faulwetter et al. (2017)
freshwater-ecology.info database <sup>13</sup>	Europe	Freshwater	21,167	21,167 taxa	106 biological/ ecological parameters	Schmidt-Kloiber and Hering (2015)
Freshwater Biological Traits Database <sup>14</sup>	North America	Freshwater	11,912	3,957 taxa	~ 160	U.S. EPA (2012)

Note: Superscript numbers are used to identify trait database sources, as provided in Supporting Information Table S7.1, and “NA” is used to abbreviate “not applicable”. Note that the summary information for each of these databases (e.g., number of records, species, and traits) is accurate as of 20 November 2017.

similar biological parameters to all of the trait databases described in Table 3, differing in terminology (trait names and modalities) rather than conceptual basis (e.g., see Table 4). For example, feeding,

survival, growth, reproduction, and community assembly processes can be assessed using the traits in this database (Figure 1) and in databases focussing on other ecosystems and/or fauna (Table 4).



**TABLE 4** A proposed “common terminology” for faunal trait databases to ensure their comparability across ecosystems, based on a comparative review presented in Table 3 and Supporting Information Table S7.1

Ecological process/function	Cross-system compatible trait example	Similar trait(s) in sFDvent database	Similar trait(s) in other databases	Potential scoring mechanism to enable scoring in less well-studied ecosystems
Growth and reproduction	Estimated maximum body size (adult and offspring separately)	Estimated Maximum Body Size (mm)	Body size <sup>2, 10, 11, 12</sup> , maximal body size (mm) <sup>5, 14</sup> , approximate size class <sup>13</sup>	Binned size classes to enable entry of rounded estimates.
	Body shape (adult and offspring separately)	<i>Foundation Species</i> (as body shape affects the ability of a species to provide a foundation)	Body shape <sup>14</sup> , growth form(s) <sup>9, 13</sup> , shape factor <sup>13</sup>	Fixed options from a range of trait databases, to capture shape more broadly than per taxonomic group.
	Reproduction strategy	Reproductive Type*	Reproduction/reproductive type <sup>6, 7, 9, 13</sup> , mode of reproduction <sup>12</sup> , sexual system <sup>1</sup>	Options covering how many times an animal reproduces per lifetime, whether it requires a partner for reproduction, and whether reproduction can take place more than once per year.
	Development mechanism	Larval Development*	Developmental mechanism <sup>9, 12</sup> , larval development <sup>12</sup>	Simple scoring options to capture extent to which offspring are dependent on parents or their resources for development.
Feeding	Primary diet (adult and offspring separately, and then also secondary diet)	Nutritional Source	Diet <sup>2, 8</sup> , food source <sup>6</sup> , food <sup>13</sup> , feeding diet <sup>13</sup>	To enable cross-system comparisons, this would need to be broad. For example, “plant-based”, “animal-based”, “detritus-based” or “other”, would capture major groups, including omnivory importance.
	Primary feeding mode (adult and offspring separately, and then also secondary feeding mode)	Trophic Mode	Feeding mode <sup>11</sup> , feed mode <sup>14</sup> , characteristic feeding method <sup>9</sup> , feeding habits <sup>7</sup> , trophic level <sup>5</sup>	This could be used to capture the source of food and the energy required to find food. For example, broad options could be: “scavenging”, “hunting”, and “dependent on other fauna”.
	Food active or passive	<i>Nutritional Source</i> (e.g., carnivorous species eating fauna would have “active” food and species depending on the water column would have “passive”)	Food active or passive <sup>3</sup> , hunting abilities <sup>5</sup>	This is a simplistic trait that could be used in place of “primary feeding mode”.
Survival	Relative mobility (adult and offspring separately)	Relative Adult Mobility	Mobility <sup>2, 9</sup> , mode of displacement <sup>3</sup> , locomotion <sup>4</sup> , swimming ability <sup>14</sup>	This can be an indicator of mobility on an ordinal scale (e.g., from sessile to free-moving and fast).
	Temperature preference indicator	Zonation from Vent	Temperature preferences <sup>7</sup> , thermal indicator <sup>14</sup> , thermal preference <sup>14</sup>	Temperature ranges could be selected that are appropriate on a global scale. Alternatively, bands applicable to terrestrial, marine, and freshwater systems could be established (e.g., tropical, polar, temperate, extreme heat, extreme cold).
Community structure and dynamics	Habitat type	Tectonic Setting*, Host Rock*	Habitat type <sup>1, 12</sup> , habitat <sup>3, 4, 13</sup> , habitat preference <sup>5, 10</sup> , general/gross habitat <sup>7</sup>	If this is to be cross-ecosystem comparable, this would likely need converting to scores such as: “rock-based”, “plant-based”, etc.
	Preferred substratum	Substratum	Substrate preferences <sup>7</sup> , substratum <sup>2, 9</sup> , substratum/substrate type <sup>1, 12</sup>	This, like habitat, would need to be categorized broadly, with scores such as: “sediment”, “rock”, “water”, “air” and “plant-origin”.

(Continues)

**TABLE 4** (Continued)

Ecological process/function	Cross-system compatible trait example	Similar trait(s) in sFDvent database	Similar trait(s) in other databases	Potential scoring mechanism to enable scoring in less well-studied ecosystems
	Gregariousness	How often found in groups or clusters? (Gregariousness)	Sociability <sup>9,12</sup> , coloniality <sup>1</sup> , occurrence in large quantities <sup>13</sup>	This can be simply broken down to: "always found with others", "sometimes found with others" and "never found with others".
	Dependency	Chemosynthesis-obligate, Position of Symbiont	Dependency <sup>9</sup>	Symbiotic relationship types present across all ecosystems would need to be included as scoring options (e.g., mutualistic, parasitic).
	Migration	<i>Dispersal Mechanism*</i>	Migration <sup>13</sup> , type of migration <sup>7</sup> , migration pattern <sup>9</sup>	This could be scaled as follows, for example: "across ocean basins/continents", "across ecoregions", "across smaller areas", "no migration".
	Ecosystem engineer	Habitat Complexity	Ecosystem engineering <sup>12</sup>	This can be a "yes/no" score, depending on whether a species modifies the habitat around them or creates habitat for other fauna by being present.
	Average associated depth / altitude (m)	Depth Range (m)	Water depth <sup>1</sup> , depth <sup>2</sup> , depth preferences <sup>7</sup> , altitudinal preference(s) <sup>7,13</sup>	500–1,000 m intervals can be established from the deepest ocean basin to the highest mountain, to capture depths and altitudes in a comparable way (e.g., with ranges below sea level expressed with a minus sign).

Note: Italicized items are either: (a) not ecological traits (e.g., location information), or (b) similar in what they capture but more context-dependent than other traits compared. Superscript numbers are used to identify trait database sources, as provided in Supporting Information Table S7.1. Traits with an asterisk were removed from the recommended sFDvent dataset (Supporting Information Table S4.2) but are present in the raw dataset (Supporting Information Table S4.3).

## 4 | DISCUSSION

sFDvent is a global-scale trait database for deep-sea hydrothermal-vent species, compiled using literary sources, existing taxonomic databases [ChEssBase (Baker et al., 2010), WoRMS (Horton et al., 2017) and Desbruyères, Segonzac, and Bright (2006)], and pooled expert knowledge based on research-cruise observations since 1977, with pioneer scientists in this field contributing. The first version (sFDvent v.1), released here (Supporting Information Table S4.2), contains data for 646 taxa across 13 traits. In capturing species records (required to assign traits) up to the year 2017, we also update the last species compilation from 2009 (Bachraty et al., 2009) from 592 species to 740 (including species removed from the recommended dataset due to lacking trait scores, or 646 species with higher taxonomic certainty). The database has a global span and broad taxonomic coverage for use in macroecological trait-based studies of vent biodiversity and in research incorporating taxonomic-, phylogenetic-, and trait-based diversity indices.

Body size, for example, is a trait identified to play a fundamental role in ecosystem functioning, ecological processes, and shaping biodiversity (Mindel, Webb, Neat, & Blanchard, 2015); this trait ("Estimated Maximum Body Size") has been scored for all

but three taxa in sFDvent. Also scored with high coverage is mobility – identified in marine ecosystems as important for dispersal potential (Costello et al., 2015) and, thus, population dynamics, as well as ability to escape in the event of a disturbance. Scores for "Relative Adult Mobility" are provided for more than 99% of taxa in sFDvent and can now be used in diversity-oriented studies as well as those investigating reproduction in vent fauna and its influence on vent biogeography (Mullineaux & France, 1995; Yahagi, Watanabe, Kojima, & Kano, 2017). Similarly, due to complete coverage, "Chemosynthesis-obligate" can be used to ascertain endemism levels in taxonomic, geographic, and other groups, which may be particularly important when considering the impacts of mining on vent ecosystems, given the close relationships between endemism and resilience (Vasconcelos, Batista, & Henriques, 2017).

The sFDvent database also has an important role in its capacity to highlight knowledge gaps and research biases. For instance, missing and/or low certainty scores in "Gregariousness", "Trophic Mode" and "Nutritional Source" traits highlight a need for observational and behavioural studies. These traits would improve our understanding of community structure and dynamics, as well as macroecological-scale variability in vent food webs. In addition, despite literary focus on vent annelids and molluscs (Supporting Information Appendix S2),

arthropods are the best-scored fauna in the database. Meanwhile, as one might expect given publication and sampling bias (Supporting Information Appendix S2), the North Pacific has the highest number of scored taxa, emphasizing a need to score traits in less well-sampled regions. Furthermore, despite the fundamental importance of reproductive traits in ecology (Mullineaux et al., 2018), trait scoring for “Reproductive Type”, “Larval Development” and “Dispersal Mechanism” did not have sufficient coverage to be included in sFDvent v.1. This is, however, now already being addressed by collaborators, further emphasizing the importance of building databases like sFDvent for: highlighting gaps and biases that need to be filled and resolved; generating new directions for research agendas; and promoting collaborative approaches for gap filling across a research community.

In considering the compatibility of sFDvent traits with those in established databases for other environments and taxonomic groups, we have identified similarities and differences in data availability across ecosystems (Table 4, Supporting Information Appendix S7). For example, reproductive and behavioural traits are poorly scored relative to other traits in many trait databases, while body size, and other more readily measurable traits, are well scored (Brun et al., 2017; Madin et al., 2016; Parr et al., 2017). Highly scored traits will facilitate cross-ecosystem analyses. Nevertheless, our traits were designed for highly specialized fauna in remote, deep-sea environments. Therefore, to conduct a comparative analysis across different trait databases, we would need to “translate” the trait terminology used (Table 4). Thus, we echo calls for common terminology across systems (Costello et al., 2015) to advance trait-based approaches for macroecological biodiversity studies. While important goals for ecological understanding can be met using species- and ecosystem-specific traits (e.g., mapping global biodiversity patterns), a common language linking databases and systems would enable us to investigate truly global-scale patterns, as well as human impacts upon these systems [Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), 1992].

Comparing sFDvent to other databases also highlights our unique approach to data collection. Other databases have tended to focus on literary sources of information [including other databases; e.g., MarLIN (2006)], whereas sFDvent was predominantly filled using expert knowledge, and sFDvent entries scored using the literature were peer-reviewed by experts. A major finding of the sFDvent project is that there is a lag, wherein published information remains behind the current knowledge of experts. Furthermore, publications tend to focus on species in a given location and, when used to score species traits, might not represent the most common trait score for a species more generally. Many deep-sea species are observed using remotely operated vehicles but remain unsampled, with traits unmeasured and undocumented. Despite this, scientists participating in research cruises accumulate a wealth of knowledge through observations of these “unrecorded” species. This emphasizes the importance of including collective expert opinions,

in combination with published information, in trait databases. We expect that terrestrial, freshwater, and marine ecologists, too, gain insights as to the common traits of species – undocumented in official publications, but recorded in field notebooks, photographs, and recalled observations. A trait-based approach enables researchers to capture these “hidden” data sources, although we advise remaining cautious by capturing relative certainty in conjunction with expert-derived scores.

Moreover, pooling expert opinion on species-trait scores captured the current state of knowledge in a relatively quick timeframe (1 year as opposed to 10 or more for other databases; Supporting Information Figure S1 A.1), where knowledge from observations made during research cruises, and unpublished data, could be incorporated and credited using contributor ID metadata. Thus, we suggest that using a working-group approach and online collaboration tools to produce a shared data source, designed, tested and agreed upon by experts who have contributed to, and will benefit from, the data, is a means to produce a quality product. We expect that sFDvent will form a baseline single repository for expert knowledge on deep-sea hydrothermal-vent species, with ongoing community input. In addition to promoting international collaboration in its design and population, the database showcases the benefits of a working-group approach and knowledge sharing among members of the chemosynthesis-based-ecosystem research community. Experts across the globe can use sFDvent to reduce uncertainty when developing conservation and management plans for deep-sea hydrothermal vents – previously untouched, but now under threat from human exploitation.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank the following experts, who are not authors on this publication but made contributions to the sFDvent database: Anna Metaxas, Alexander Mironov, Jianwen Qiu (seep species contributions, to be added to a future version of the database) and Anders Warén. We would also like to thank Robert Cooke for his advice, time, and assistance in processing the raw data contributions to the sFDvent database using R. Thanks also to members of iDiv and its synthesis centre – sDiv – for much-valued advice, support, and assistance during working-group meetings: Doreen Brückner, Jes Hines, Borja Jiménez-Alfaro, Ingolf Kühn and Marten Winter. We would also like to thank the following supporters of the database who contributed indirectly via early design meetings or members of their research groups: Malcolm Clark, Charles Fisher, Adrian Glover, Ashley Rowden and Cindy Lee Van Dover. Finally, thanks to the families of sFDvent working group members for their support while they were participating in meetings at iDiv in Germany. Financial support for sFDvent working group meetings was gratefully received from sDiv, the Synthesis Centre of iDiv (DFG FZT 118). ASAC was a PhD candidate funded by the SPITFIRE Doctoral Training Partnership (supported by the Natural

Environmental Research Council, grant number: NE/L002531/1) and the University of Southampton at the time of submission. ASAC also thanks Dominic, Lesley, Lettice and Simon Chapman for their support throughout this project. AEB and VT are sponsored through the Canada Research Chair Programme. SEB received support from National Science Foundation Division of Environmental Biology Award #1558904 and The Joint Initiative Awards Fund from the Andrew W. Mellon Foundation. AC is supported by Program Investigador (IF/00029/2014/CP1230/CT0002) from Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT). This study also had the support of Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, through the strategic project UID/MAR/04292/2013 granted to marine environmental sciences centre. Data compiled by AVG and EG were supported by Russian science foundation Grant 14-50-00095. AH was supported by the grant BPD/UI88/5805/2017 awarded by CESAM (UID/AMB/50017), which is financed by FCT/Ministério da Educação through national funds and co-funded by fundo Europeu de desenvolvimento regional, within the PT2020 Partnership Agreement and Compete 2020. ERL was partially supported by the MarMine project (247626/O30). JS was supported by Ifremer. Data on vent fauna from the East Scotia Ridge, Mid-Cayman Spreading Centre, and Southwest Indian Ridge were obtained by UK natural environment research council Grants NE/D01249X/1, NE/F017774/1 and NE/H012087/1, respectively. REBR's contribution was supported by a Postdoctoral Fellowship at the University of Victoria, funded by the Canadian Healthy Oceans Network II Strategic Research Program (CHONe II). DC is supported by a post-doctoral scholarship (SFRH/BPD/110278/2015) from FCT. HTR was supported by the Research Council of Norway through project number 70184227 and the KG Jepsen Centre for Deep Sea Research (University of Bergen). MY was partially supported by grants from the Research Grants Council of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China (project codes: HKU 17306014, HKU 17311316).

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

Order of authorship is as follows: (a) first author (ASAC); (b) alphabetical for core sFDvent working group members involved in database design; (c) alphabetical for all other contributors; and (d) senior author (AEB). This database and manuscript was proposed to the Synthesis Centre of the German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research (sDiv, iDiv) by ASAC and AEB in response to a call for working group proposals in 2016. An sFDvent working group (AEB, ASAC, SEB, AC, AVG, AH, ER, JZ, TCK and VT) met at iDiv to design and test the database, as described in this manuscript. All manuscript authors contributed data to the database, with AEB, ASAC, IJC, and SJS gathering data from existing literary and online sources as a start point. AEB and ASAC organized contributions from international collaborators before: compiling, cleaning and processing the data; conducting the analyses; and writing the first draft of the manuscript. All authors checked and edited and/or approved the recommended dataset and manuscript.

## DATA ACCESSIBILITY

Raw, processed, and recommended versions of the sFDvent database (Supporting Information Appendix S4) and usage notes (Supporting Information Appendix S5) are described, and digital object identifiers (DOIs) provided, in the Supporting Information accompanying this manuscript. We recommend that readers refer to Supporting Information Appendices S4 and S5 for guidance on using the datasets, as the recommended version is the only version that could be analysed without further processing. Nevertheless, we encourage users to consider further processing, to ensure it is tailored to, and appropriate for, the research question and/or analysis being planned. The recommended, "ready-to-use" database is accessible via <https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.cn2rv96>. The reference list for all literary sources cited in the raw and recommended database files is provided in Supporting Information Appendix S1.

## ORCID

Abbie S. A. Chapman  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7812-2046>

Chong Chen  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5035-4021>

William D. K. Reid  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0190-0425>

Amanda E. Bates  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0198-4537>

## REFERENCES

- Bachraty, C., Legendre, P., & Desbruyères, D. (2009). Biogeographic relationships among deep-sea hydrothermal vent faunas at global scale. *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers*, 56(8), 1371–1378. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2009.01.009>
- Baker, M., Pattenden, A., & Ramirez-Llodra, E. (2017). sFDvent working group meeting: A functional trait perspective on the global biodiversity of hydrothermal vent communities. *Deep-Sea Life*. Retrieved from [http://www.indeep-project.org/indeep/sites/indeep/files/documents/DSL8\\_Nov\\_2016\\_updated.pdf](http://www.indeep-project.org/indeep/sites/indeep/files/documents/DSL8_Nov_2016_updated.pdf)
- Baker, M. C., Ramirez-Llodra, E., & Perry, D. (2010). *ChEssBase: an online information system on species distribution from deep-sea chemosynthetic ecosystems*. Retrieved from (now available at: [www.noc.soton.ac.uk/chess/db\\_home.php](http://www.noc.soton.ac.uk/chess/db_home.php)) <https://gcmd.nasa.gov/KeywordSearch/Metadata.do?Portal=amd&KeywordPath=Parameters%7COCEANS%7CMARINE+VOLCANISM%7CHYDROTHERMAL+VENTS&OrigMetadataNode=GCMD&EntryId=ChEssBase&MetadataView=Full&MetadataType=0&Ibnode=mdl1b4>
- Beaulieu, S. E. (2015). *InterRidge global database of active submarine hydrothermal vent fields: Prepared for InterRidge, Version 3.4*. Retrieved from <http://vents-data.interridge.org>
- Brun, P., Payne, M. R., & Kjørboe, T. (2017). A trait database for marine copepods. *Earth System Science Data*, 9, 99–113. <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-9-99-2017>
- Convention on Biological Diversity. (1992). Retrieved from <http://www.cbd.int/convention/convention>
- Chapman, A. S. A., Amon, D., Baker, M. C., Beaulieu, S. E., Loka Bharathi, P. A., Boschen, R. E., ... Bates, A. E. (2017). *sFDvent: Building the first global functional trait database for hydrothermal vent species*. Poster. 6th International Symposium on Chemosynthesis-Based Ecosystems. Retrieved from <https://web.whoi.edu/cbe6/wp-content/uploads/sites/84/2017/08/46Chapman.pdf>
- Chapman, A. S. A., Tunnicliffe, V., & Bates, A. E. (2018). Both rare and common species make unique contributions to functional

- diversity in an ecosystem unaffected by human activities. *Diversity and Distributions*, 24(5), 568–578. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ddi.12712>
- Corliss, J. B., Dymond, J., Gordon, L. I., Edmond, J. M., von Herzen, R. P., Ballard, R. D., ... van Andel, T. H. (1979). Submarine thermal springs on the Galapagos rift. *Science*, 203(4385), 1073–1083. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.203.4385.1073>
- Costello, M. J., Claus, S., Dekeyser, S., Vandepitte, L., Tuama, E. O., Lear, D., & Tyler-Walters, H. (2015). Biological and ecological traits of marine species. *PeerJ*, 3, e1201. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.1201>
- Desbruyères, D., Segonzac, M., & Bright, M. (2006). *Handbook of deep-sea hydrothermal vent fauna*. France: Département Environnement Profond, centre de Brest de l'IFREMER.
- ESRI, GEMCO, NOAA, GEOGRAPHIC, N., DELORME, HERE, GEONAMES.ORG & CONTRIBUTORS, A. O. (2012). *Ocean Basemap*. ArcGIS Online.
- Faulwetter, S., Markantonatou, V., Pavloudi, C., Papageorgiou, N., Keklikoglou, K., Chatzigeorgiou, E., ... Arvanitidis, C. (2017). *Polytraits: A database on biological traits of polychaetes*. Retrieved from <http://polytraits.lifewatchgreece.eu>
- Frimpong, E. A., & Angermeier, P. L. (2009). Fish traits: A database of ecological and life-history traits of freshwater fishes of the United States. *Fisheries*, 34(10), 487–495. <https://doi.org/10.1577/1548-8446-34.10.487>
- Grimm, A., Prieto Ramirez, A. M., Moulherat, S., Reynaud, J., & Henle, K. (2014). Life-history trait database of European reptile species. *Nature Conservation*, 9, 45–67. <https://doi.org/10.3897/natureconservation.9.8908>
- Homburg, K., Homburg, N., Schäfer, F., Schuldt, A., & Assmann, T. (2013). *carabids.org – A dynamic online database of ground beetle species traits (Coleoptera, Carabidae)*. Retrieved from [carabids.org](http://carabids.org)
- Horton, T., Kroh, A., Bailly, N., Boury-Esnault, N., Brandão, S. N., Costello, M. J., ... Zeidler, W. (2017). *World register of marine species (WoRMS)*. Retrieved from <http://www.marinespecies.org>
- INDEEP. (2018). *INDEEP – International network for scientific investigation of deep-sea ecosystems*. Retrieved from <http://www.indeep-project.org>
- Ingen-Housz, J. (1779). *Experiments upon vegetables, discovering their great power of purifying the common air in the sun-shine and of injuring it in the shade and at night, to which is joined a new method of examining the accurate degree of salubrity of the atmosphere*. Printed for P. Elmsly in the Strand and H. Payne in Pall Mall, London.
- Jannasch, H. W. (1985). Review lecture: The chemosynthetic support of life and the microbial diversity at deep-sea hydrothermal vents. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B, Biological Sciences*, 225(1240), 277–297. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.1985.0062>
- Kattge, J., Díaz, S., Lavorel, S., Prentice, I. C., Leadley, P., Bönsch, G., ... Wirth, C. (2011). TRY—A global database of plant traits. *Global Change Biology*, 17(9), 2905–2935. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02451.x>
- Kühn, I., Durka, W., & Klotz, S. (2004). BiolFlor—A new plant-trait database as a tool for plant invasion ecology. *Diversity and Distributions*, 10(5–6), 363–365. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1366-9516.2004.00106.x>
- Litchman, E., Ohman, M. D., & Kiørboe, C. A. (2008). Trait-based community ecology of phytoplankton. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, 39, 615–639. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.39.110707.173549>
- Madin, J. S., Anderson, K. D., Andreasen, M. H., Bridge, T. C. L., Cairns, S. D., Connolly, S. R., ... Baird, A. H. (2016). The coral trait database, a curated database of trait information for coral species from the global oceans. *Scientific Data*, 3, 160017. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2016.17>
- Marine Species Traits editorial board. (2018). *Marine species traits*. Retrieved from <http://www.marinespecies.org/traits>
- MarLIN. (2006). *BIOTIC – Biological traits information catalogue*. Retrieved from <http://www.marlin.ac.uk/biotic/>
- Mindel, B. L., Webb, T. J., Neat, F. C., & Blanchard, J. L. (2015). A trait-based metric sheds new light on the nature of the body size-depth relationship in the deep sea. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 85(2), 427–436. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.12471>
- Moalic, Y., Desbruyères, D., Duarte, C. M., Rozenfeld, A. F., Bachraty, C., & Arnaud-Haond, S. (2012). Biogeography revisited with network theory: Retracing the history of hydrothermal vent communities. *Systematic Biology*, 61(1), 127–137. <https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syr088>
- Mouillot, D., Graham, N. A., Villeger, S., Mason, N. W., & Bellwood, D. R. (2013). A functional approach reveals community responses to disturbances. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 28(3), 167–177. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2012.10.004>
- Mullineaux, L. S., & France, S. C. (1995). Dispersal mechanisms of deep-sea hydrothermal vent fauna. In S. E. Humphris, R. A. Zierenberg, L. S. Mullineaux, & R. E. Thomson (Eds.), *Seafloor hydrothermal systems: Physical, chemical, biological, and geological interactions* (pp. 408–424). Washington, DC: American Geophysical Union.
- Mullineaux, L. S., Metaxas, A., Beaulieu, S. E., Bright, M., Gollner, S., Grupe, B. M., ... Won, Y.-J. (2018). Exploring the ecology of deep-sea hydrothermal vents in a metacommunity framework. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 5(49), 1–27. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2018.00049>
- OBIS. (2017). *Ocean biogeographic information system*. Retrieved from <https://obis.org/data/policy/>
- Parr, C. L., Dunn, R. R., Sanders, N. J., Weiser, M. D., Photakis, M., Fitzpatrick, M. C., ... Gibb, H. (2017). *GlobalAnts: A new database on the geography of ant traits (Hymenoptera: Formicidae)*. *Insect Conservation and Diversity*, 10(1), 5–20. <https://doi.org/10.1111/icad.12211>
- Petchev, O. L., & Gaston, K. J. (2006). Functional diversity: Back to basics and looking forward. *Ecology Letters*, 9(6), 741–758. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2006.00924.x>
- Ramirez-Llodra, E., Shank, T. M., & German, C. R. (2007). Biodiversity and biogeography of hydrothermal vent species: Thirty years of discovery and investigations. *Oceanography*, 20(1), 30–41. <https://doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2007.78>
- Rogers, A. D., Tyler, P. A., Connelly, D. P., Copley, J. T., James, R., Larter, R. D., ... Zwiwigmaier, K. (2012). The discovery of new deep-sea hydrothermal vent communities in the Southern Ocean and implications for biogeography. *PLoS Biology*, 10(1), e1001234. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001234>
- Schäfer, R. B., Kefford, B. J., Metzeling, L., Liess, M., Burgert, S., Marchant, R., ... Nuggeoda, D. (2011). A trait database of stream invertebrates for the ecological risk assessment of single and combined effects of salinity and pesticides in South-East Australia. *Science of the Total Environment*, 409(11), 2055–2063. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.01.053>
- Schmidt-Kloiber, A., & Hering, D. (2015). [www.freshwaterecology.info](http://www.freshwaterecology.info)—An online tool that unifies, standardises and codifies more than 20,000 European freshwater organisms and their ecological preferences. *Ecological Indicators*, 53, 271–282. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2015.02.007>
- Serra, S. R. Q., Cobo, F., Graça, M. A. S., Dolédec, S., & Feio, M. J. (2016). Synthesising the trait information of European Chironomidae (Insecta: Diptera): Towards a new database. *Ecological Indicators*, 61, 282–292. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2015.09.028>
- Stuart-Smith, R. D., Bates, A. E., Lefcheck, J. S., Duffy, J. E., Baker, S. C., Thomson, R. J., ... Edgar, G. J. (2013). Integrating abundance and functional traits reveals new global hotspots of fish diversity.



- Nature*, 501(7468), 539–542. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12529>
- Stuart-Smith, R. D., Bates, A. E., Lefcheck, J. S., Duffy, J., Baker, S. C., Thomson, R. J., ... Edgar, G. J. (2015). The potential of trait-based approaches to contribute to marine conservation. *Marine Policy*, 51, 148–150. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2014.07.002>
- Trochet, A., Moulherat, S., Calvez, O., Stevens, V. M., Clobert, J., & Schmeller, D. S. (2014). A database of life-history traits of European amphibians. *Biodiversity Data Journal*, 2, e4123. <https://doi.org/10.3897/BDJ.2.e4123>
- Tunnicliffe, V. (1988). Biogeography and evolution of hydrothermal-vent fauna in the Eastern Pacific Ocean. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B, Biological Sciences*, 233(1272), 347–366. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.1988.0025>
- Tunnicliffe, V. (1990). Observations on the effects of sampling on hydrothermal vent habitat and fauna of Axial Seamount, Juan de Fuca Ridge. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 95(B8), 12961. <https://doi.org/10.1029/JB095iB08p12961>
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. (2012). *Freshwater biological traits database (final report)*. (EPA/600/R-11/038F). Washington, DC: Author.
- Van Dover, C. L., Ardron, J. A., Escobar, E., Gianni, M., Gjerde, K. M., Jaeckel, A., ... Weaver, P. P. E. (2017). Biodiversity loss from deep-sea mining. *Nature Geoscience*, 10(7), 464–465. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2983>
- Van Dover, C. L., Arnaud-Haond, S., Gianni, M., Helmreich, S., Huber, J. A., Jaeckel, A. L., ... Yamamoto, H. (2018). Scientific rationale and international obligations for protection of active hydrothermal vent ecosystems from deep-sea mining. *Marine Policy*, 90, 20–28. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2018.01.020>
- Vasconcelos, R. P., Batista, M. I., & Henriques, S. (2017). Current limitations of global conservation to protect higher vulnerability and lower resilience fish species. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1), 7702. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-06633-x>
- Violle, C., Reich, P. B., Pacala, S. W., Enquist, B. J., & Kattge, J. (2014). The emergence and promise of functional biogeography. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA*, 111(38), 13690–13696. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1415442111>
- Yahagi, T., Watanabe, K. H., Kojima, S., & Kano, Y. (2017). Do larvae from deep-sea hydrothermal vents disperse in surface waters? *Ecology*, 98(6), 1524–1534. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.1800>

## BIOSKETCH

**Abbie Chapman**, a PhD candidate assessing the functional biodiversity of vent ecosystems to inform conservation and management (at time of submission; for updates, see: [www.abbiechapman.com](http://www.abbiechapman.com)), and **Amanda Bates**, an Associate Professor investigating how environmental variability shapes the thermal tolerance of marine animals across ecosystems (<https://www.mun.ca/osc/abates/bio.php>), are Co-Principal Investigators of the iDiv-funded sFDvent working group (<https://www.idiv.de/?xml:id=423>). The working group aimed to build the first global-scale trait database for deep-sea hydrothermal-vent fauna – a goal met in the release of the sFDvent database. The working group also aims to: analyse global-scale patterns in functional diversity of vent ecosystems using sFDvent data; build a vulnerability framework for vent ecosystems using a trait-based approach; and develop statistical tools and R scripts using the unique attributes of deep-sea vent species trait data. The sFDvent database was populated by an international team of leading experts on the biology and ecology of chemosynthesis-based ecosystems.

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

**How to cite this article:** Chapman ASA, Beaulieu SE, Colaço A, et al. sFDvent: A global trait database for deep-sea hydrothermal-vent fauna. *Global Ecol Biogeogr*. 2019;28:1538–1551. <https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.12975>