

Screening for nonalcoholic fatty liver disease with liver stiffness measurement and its association with chronic kidney disease and cardiovascular complications in patients with type 2 diabetes

Short title: NAFLD, liver fibrosis and diabetic vascular complications

Alessandro Mantovani, MD¹, Teresa Turino, MD¹, Maria Giovanna Lando, MD¹, Kamela Gjini, MD², Christopher D. Byrne, MB BCh, PhD^{3,4}, Chiara Zusi, PhD^{1,5}, Federico Ravaioli, MD⁶, Antonio Colecchia, MD², Claudio Maffeis, MD⁵, Gianluca Salvagno, MD⁷, Giuseppe Lippi, MD⁷, Enzo Bonora, MD¹, Giovanni Targher, MD¹

¹Division of Endocrinology, Diabetes and Metabolism, Department of Medicine, University Hospital of Verona, Verona, Italy

²Gastroenterology Unit, Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Integrata of Verona, Verona, Italy

³Nutrition and Metabolism, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, UK

⁴Southampton National Institute for Health Research Biomedical Research Centre, University Hospital Southampton, Southampton General Hospital, Southampton SO16 6YD, UK

⁵Pediatric Diabetes and Metabolic Disorders Unit, Department of Surgical Sciences, Dentistry, Pediatrics and Gynaecology, University Hospital of Verona, Verona, Italy

⁶Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences (DIMEC), University Hospital St. Orsola-Malpighi, Alma Mater Studiorum - University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy

⁷Section of Clinical Biochemistry, University and Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Integrata of Verona, Verona, Italy

Word count: abstract 250; text 3,791 (*excluding* title page, abstract, references, figure legends and tables); n. 3 **Tables**; n. 2 **Figures**; n. 1 **supplementary Table**

Address of correspondence:

Prof. Giovanni Targher, MD

Section of Endocrinology, Diabetes and Metabolism

University and Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Integrata

Piazzale Stefani, 1

37126 Verona, Italy

Phone: +39/045-8123748

Fax: +39/045-8027314

E-mail: giovanni.targher@univr.it

ABSTRACT

Aim: Despite the high prevalence and serious clinical implications of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) in patients with type 2 diabetes (T2DM), it is usually overlooked in routine diabetes care. We explored the proportion of NAFLD and increased liver fibrosis, and the association of liver fibrosis with chronic kidney disease (CKD) or cardiovascular complications in T2DM patients.

Methods: We studied 137 patients with non-insulin-treated T2DM without known liver diseases, consecutively attending our diabetes outpatient service, who underwent liver ultrasonography and liver stiffness measurements (LSM) by vibrated-controlled transient elastography (FibroScan®).

Results: The proportion of patients with hepatic steatosis on ultrasonography was 73.7%, whereas the proportion with significant liver fibrosis was 17.5%, applying LSM cutoff ≥ 7 kPa or 10.2% applying LSM cutoff ≥ 8.7 kPa. The presence of CKD (i.e., estimated GFR < 60 mL/min/1.73m² or abnormal albuminuria) increased significantly across LSM tertiles (from ~15% to 45% in 1st vs. 3rd tertile). Cardiovascular complications (i.e., prior ischemic heart disease, stroke or permanent atrial fibrillation) also tended to increase across LSM tertiles (from ~15% to 30%). After adjustment for established risk factors and potential confounders, the 3rd LSM tertile remained significantly associated with a ~3-fold higher risk of prevalent CKD (adjusted-odds 3.28, 95%CI 1.22-8.90, $p=0.019$), but not with cardiovascular complications.

Conclusion: These results suggest that NAFLD and significant liver fibrosis (assessed by FibroScan®) are very common in T2DM outpatients without known liver diseases attending a secondary care diabetes service, and that increased liver fibrosis is associated with a higher proportion of chronic vascular complications, especially CKD.

Keywords: CKD; liver fibrosis; NAFLD; type 2 diabetes

INTRODUCTION

Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is now recognized as the most common chronic liver disease worldwide. This condition occurs in up to a third of adults in the general population in high-income countries and its prevalence is even higher among patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), occurring in up to 70-80% of these patients (1,2). Compared to people without diabetes, patients with T2DM are also more likely to have or develop more severe histological forms of NAFLD, such as nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), advanced fibrosis and cirrhosis, even in those patients with fairly “normal” serum liver enzyme levels (2-4). A recent meta-analysis has confirmed that the global prevalence of biopsy-confirmed NASH among individuals with T2DM was approximately 38% and that the global prevalence of advanced fibrosis in patients with NAFLD and T2DM was approximately 17% (2). It is also important to remember the clinical importance of bidirectional relationship between NAFLD and T2DM (4,5). Notably, the coexistence of NAFLD and T2DM will worsen the course of both conditions. Coexisting T2DM not only increases risk of NAFLD progression to advanced fibrosis and cirrhosis, but also increases risk of incident hepatocellular carcinoma, liver-related hospital admissions and liver-related deaths (4,6-9). Additionally, the presence of NAFLD makes achieving good glycemic control more difficult, exacerbates hepatic/peripheral insulin resistance and promotes atherogenic dyslipidemia, therefore, further increasing the risk of developing extra-hepatic diseases (such as chronic kidney disease [CKD] and cardiovascular complications), particularly in T2DM patients with advanced NAFLD (3,4,10). Collectively, these findings support the conclusion that in patients with T2DM, diagnosis of, and treatment for, NAFLD should be considered a high clinical priority for diabetologists or endocrinologists caring for patients at risk of progressive NAFLD. It can, therefore, be argued that there is a case for systematic screening for NAFLD amongst patients at high risk of advanced NAFLD, such as those with T2DM. This conclusion is in accordance with the 2016 European (EASL-EASD-EASO) guidelines and the Italian (AISF) guidelines (11,12), but not with the 2016 England and Wales National Institute for Care Excellence (NICE) NAFLD guidelines (13) or the 2018 American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD) practice guidelines (14). The latter has recommended against a systematic screening for NAFLD in patients with T2DM, because of uncertainties surrounding diagnostic tests and treatment options, alongside a lack of knowledge related to the long-

term benefits and the cost-effectiveness of screening (14). However, the AASLD guidelines have also recommended that there should be a high index of suspicion for NAFLD and NASH in patients with T2DM, and that clinical decision aids, such as vibration-controlled transient elastography, can be used to identify those at low or high risk for advanced liver fibrosis, i.e., the strongest predictor of long-term adverse clinical outcomes in NAFLD (14). Indeed, the measurement of liver stiffness (LSM) by vibration-controlled transient elastography, also known as FibroScan® apparatus, is emerging as a reliable diagnostic tool to stage non-invasively liver fibrosis in patients with various chronic liver diseases, including NAFLD (15).

Despite the high prevalence and serious clinical implications of NAFLD in T2DM patients, this condition is frequently overlooked in routine diabetes care (2,4). Thus, increasing awareness about the importance of NAFLD in patients with T2DM among primary care physicians, specialists and health policy makers must be prioritized.

Therefore, the main aim of this cross-sectional study was to non-invasively screen the presence of NAFLD and liver fibrosis, by using both ultrasonography and vibration-controlled transient elastography, in a well-characterized sample of T2DM outpatients without known liver diseases, and to examine whether increased liver fibrosis is associated with presence of CKD and cardiovascular complications in these patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

In this study, we enrolled 137 (48.2% men) patients with non-insulin-treated T2DM, who consecutively attended our diabetes outpatient service during a period of approximately 4 months, and who accepted to undergo liver ultrasonography and vibration-controlled transient elastography for diagnosing and staging NAFLD. We excluded all patients with: (a) history of significant alcohol consumption (defined as >20 grams of alcohol per day for men and women) and other known causes of chronic liver diseases (*e.g.*, virus, drugs, autoimmunity and hemochromatosis); (b) history of cirrhosis of any etiology, active cancer, end-stage kidney disease (defined as estimated glomerular filtration rate [eGFR]

<15 ml/min/1.73 m² or dialysis) and impaired thyroid function; (c) treatment with insulin; and (d) chronic use of potentially hepatotoxic drugs, such as non-steroid anti-inflammatory agents, steroids, tamoxifen, amiodarone or methotrexate, as well as treatment with hormone replacement therapy (only for women). According to the technical limitations of the vibration-controlled transient elastography, patients with congestive heart failure or free abdominal fluid were also excluded from the study.

The local Ethics Committee approved the study protocol. All patients gave their written informed consent for participation in this research.

Clinical and laboratory data

Body mass index (BMI) was measured as kilograms divided by the square of height in meters. Waist circumference was measured at the midpoint between the lowest rib and the iliac crest. Blood pressure was measured with a standard sphygmomanometer after the patient had been seated quietly for at least 5 minutes. Patients were considered to have hypertension if their blood pressure was $\geq 140/90$ mmHg or if they were taking any anti-hypertensive drugs.

Venous blood samples were collected in the morning after an overnight fast. Complete blood count, serum liver enzymes (aspartate aminotransferase [AST], alanine aminotransferase [ALT], gamma-glutamyltransferase [GGT]), lipids, creatinine (measured using a Jaffé rate blanked and compensated assay), high-sensitivity C reactive protein (hs-CRP) and other biochemical blood parameters were measured using standard laboratory procedures at the central Laboratory of our hospital, using the relative reference techniques on Roche Cobas 8000 (Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland). Low-density lipoprotein (LDL)-cholesterol was calculated using the Friedewald's equation. Dyslipidemia was defined as presence of plasma LDL cholesterol levels >100 mg/dL (>2.5 mmol/L) or treatment with lipid-lowering drugs. Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) was measured using the high-performance liquid chromatography analyzer Tosoh-G7 (Tosoh Bioscience Inc., Tokyo, Japan). Fasting insulin concentrations were measured using a chemiluminescent immunoassay (LIAISON, DiaSorin, Saluggia, Italy). Homeostasis model assessment (HOMA-IR) score was used for estimating insulin resistance.

Glomerular filtration rate was estimated using both the four-variable Modification of Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD) study equation (16), and the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI) equation (17). Urinary albumin excretion rate was assessed with an immuno-nephelometric assay (Beckman-Coulter IMMAGE; Beckman-Coulter Instruments, Fullerton, CA, USA) on a morning spot urine sample and expressed as the albumin-to-creatinine ratio (ACR); abnormal albuminuria was defined as a urinary ACR ≥ 30 mg/g creatinine. Chronic kidney disease (CKD) was defined as the presence of eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73 m² and/or abnormal albuminuria.

Presence of ischemic heart disease (IHD) was defined as a documented history of myocardial infarction, angina pectoris or coronary revascularization procedures. Presence of ischemic stroke was based on medical history and examination, and was confirmed by reviewing hospital medical records of patients, including radiology imaging results. The diagnosis of permanent atrial fibrillation was made based on standard 12-lead electrocardiograms and medical history (from reviewing hospital and physician charts from all patients). Presence of diabetic retinopathy, diagnosed with fundoscopy after pupillary dilation, was also recorded in all patients.

Liver ultrasonography and liver stiffness measurement

Hepatic steatosis was diagnosed by ultrasonography (using an Esaote MyLab 70 ultrasound with a 4 MHz probe) according to specific ultrasonographic characteristics, such as diffuse hyper-echogenicity of the liver relative to kidneys, ultrasonographic beam attenuation, and poor visualization of intra-hepatic vessel borders and diaphragm. The ultrasonographic severity of hepatic steatosis was expressed with a semi-quantitative scale (i.e., mild, moderate or severe) (18).

Liver stiffness measurements (LSM) were performed using vibration-controlled transient elastography (Fibroscan® Echosens, Paris, France) with the M probe. Our Fibroscan® was not equipped with controlled attenuation parameter (CAP) for the assessment of hepatic steatosis. A trained physician performed LSMs in all patients after at least eight hours of fasting and after liver ultrasonography. Further details of the technical background and

examination procedures have been described elsewhere (19). Briefly, each LSM measurement was considered adequate when including at least ten valid measurements for each patient, with a success rate >60% and variability of measurements <30% of the median (15,19). The presence of clinically significant or advanced hepatic fibrosis was defined by the presence of either LSM ≥ 7 kPa (that corresponds to Kleiner stage F ≥ 2 fibrosis on histology) or LSM ≥ 8.7 kPa (corresponding to F ≥ 3 fibrosis on histology) (15,20).

Both liver ultrasonography and vibration-controlled transient elastography were performed by a single expert physician, who was blinded to participants' clinical and biochemical details.

Genetic analysis

Genomic DNA was extracted from peripheral blood leukocytes using the QIAamp DNA Blood Mini Kit (Qiagen, Germany). Genotyping of both rs738409 in *patatin-like phospholipase domain-containing protein-3 (PNPLA3)* gene and rs58542926 in *transmembrane 6 superfamily member 2 (TM6SF2)* gene were carried out by a predesigned TaqMan probe (Applied Biosystem, California, USA), according to manufacturers' protocol. Polymorphism genotyping was performed using 7900 HT Real-Time PCR (Applied Biosystem, California, USA) (21).

Statistical Analysis

Continuous variables were expressed as means \pm SD or medians (inter-quartile range [IQR]), categorical variables as proportions. The Fisher's exact test for categorical variables, the one-way analysis of variance for normally distributed continuous variables or the Kruskal-Wallis test for non-normally distributed variables (i.e., duration of diabetes, plasma triglycerides, fasting insulin, GGT levels, HOMA-IR score and LSM values) were used to examine the differences in clinical and biochemical characteristics among patients stratified by tertiles of LSM. The association between increased values of LSM (included either as 3rd tertile vs. 1st and 2nd tertiles combined or as a continuous measure [for every SD increase in LSM, i.e. 2.4 kPa]) and the presence of either CKD (i.e., eGFR <60 mL/min/1.73 m² or abnormal albuminuria) or cardiovascular complications (i.e., prior IHD, ischemic stroke or permanent atrial fibrillation) was tested using both an unadjusted

logistic regression model and three progressive multivariable logistic regression models with pre-specified adjustments for multiple established cardio-renal risk factors and potential confounders, such as age, sex, diabetes duration, HbA1c, smoking, hypertension, dyslipidemia, BMI, HOMA-IR score and plasma hs-CRP levels. All statistical tests were 2-sided and *p*-value of <0.05 (two-tailed) was considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed using STATA software, version 14.2 (STATA, College Station, Texas, USA).

RESULTS

Overall, 137 patients with non-insulin-treated T2DM were in the study (48.2% men; mean±SD: age 69.9±7 years; BMI 28.5±4.7 kg/m²; waist circumference 100±12 cm; HbA1c 6.9±0.8%, median duration of diabetes 11 years [IQR 6-18]). Of these patients, 28 (20.4%) had established cardiovascular disease (20 [14.6%] patients had prior IHD and 8 [8.5%] had either ischemic stroke or permanent atrial fibrillation). In addition, 37 (27%) of these patients had CKD (14 [10.2%] patients had eGFR <60 ml/min/1.73 m² and 25 [18.2%] had abnormal albuminuria). Most of these patients also had dyslipidemia (86.7%) or hypertension (79.6%).

As shown in **Figure 1**, in these 137 patients without known liver diseases the proportion of hepatic steatosis on ultrasonography was 73.7% (i.e., 41.6% had mild hepatic steatosis and 32.1% had moderate-to-severe steatosis), whereas the proportion with significant/advanced liver fibrosis was 17.5% when using a LSM cutoff value ≥7 kPa or 10.2% when using a LSM cutoff value ≥8.7 kPa.

Table 1 shows the clinical and biochemical characteristics of patients, stratified by LSM tertiles. Compared to patients in the 1st tertile who had a median LSM of 3.6 kPa [IQR 3.3-4.0 kPa], patients with increased liver fibrosis (i.e., those belonging to the 3rd tertile of LSM who had a median of 7.8 kPa [IQR 6.8-9.5 kPa]) were more likely to be centrally obese and had greater insulin resistance, higher plasma triglycerides, higher serum liver enzyme levels (although they were largely within the normal laboratory range in most of

our patients) and greater proportion of hepatic steatosis on ultrasonography. Age, sex, duration of diabetes, smoking, hypertension, dyslipidemia, diabetic retinopathy, as well as white blood cell count, platelet count and circulating levels of HbA1c, creatinine and hs-CRP were not significantly different among the three patient groups. Moreover, there were no significant differences in the distribution of *PNPLA3* and *TM6SF2* genetic variants among the three groups.

As reported in **Table 2**, no significant differences were also found in the use of anti-hyperglycemic agents (by study design no patients were treated with insulin), anti-hypertensive, antiplatelet or lipid-lowering medications among the three groups of patients.

Figure 2 shows that the proportion of CKD (eGFR <60 mL/min/1.73 m² or abnormal albuminuria) increased progressively across LSM tertiles, from approximately 15% in the 1st tertile to 45% in 3rd tertile of LSM ($p < 0.01$ by the Fisher's exact test). Cardiovascular complications also tended to increase across LSM tertiles (from approximately 15% to 30% in 1st vs. 3rd tertile) but did not achieve statistical significance.

After stratifying the patients by severity of hepatic steatosis on ultrasonography, we failed to find any significant difference in the proportion of CKD (27.9% vs. 17.5% vs. 38.8%; $p = 0.10$ by the Fisher's exact test) and cardiovascular complications (18.2% vs. 21.1% vs. 22.2%; $p = 0.84$) among patients with moderate-severe steatosis vs. mild steatosis vs. no steatosis, respectively.

Table 3 shows the association between increased liver fibrosis (3rd tertile of LSM vs. 1st and 2nd tertiles combined) and presence of either CKD or cardiovascular complications as well as the effect of adjustment for multiple established risk factors and potential confounders on these associations. In univariable logistic regression analysis (unadjusted model), increased liver fibrosis was associated with a nearly three-fold higher risk of prevalent CKD (unadjusted odds ratio [OR] 3.03, 95%CI 1.36-6.78, $p = 0.007$), as well as with an approximately 2.2-fold increased risk of cardiovascular complications (unadjusted OR 2.17, 95%CI 0.93-5.14, $p = 0.069$). Adjustment for established cardio-renal risk factors,

such as age, sex, duration of diabetes, HbA1c, smoking, hypertension and dyslipidemia (adjusted model 2) did not essentially modify the strong association between increased liver fibrosis and risk of CKD (adjusted-OR 3.53, 95%CI 1.46-8.52, $p=0.005$), whereas the association between increased liver fibrosis and risk of cardiovascular complications became statistically significant (adjusted-OR 2.85, 95%CI 1.07-7.59, $p=0.036$). However, after further adjustment for BMI, HOMA-IR score and plasma hs-CRP levels (adjusted model 3), increased liver fibrosis retained a significant association only with the risk of CKD (adjusted-OR 3.28, 95%CI 1.22-8.90, $p=0.019$), but not with that of cardiovascular complications. Other variables that were independently associated with increased risk of prevalent CKD were male sex (adjusted-OR 3.15, 95%CI 1.27-7.84, $p=0.005$) and hypertension (adjusted-OR 3.56, 95%CI 1.02-12.9, $p=0.005$).

Regarding the association between increased liver fibrosis and risk of CKD, almost identical results were found even when the CKD-EPI formula was used for calculating eGFR instead of the MDRD study formula (data not shown).

Supplementary Table 1 shows the association between increasing LSM values (included as a continuous measure, i.e., for each SD increment that corresponds to 2.4 kPa) and the presence of either CKD or cardiovascular complications as well as the effect of adjustment for established risk factors and potential confounders on these associations. Both in univariable logistic regression analyses and in multivariable logistic regression analyses (adjusted model 3), increasing values of LSM were significantly associated with an increased risk of both prevalent CKD (adjusted-OR 1.68, 95%CI 1.04-2.70, $p=0.034$) and cardiovascular complications (adjusted-OR 1.73, 95%CI 1.04-2.88, $p=0.035$).

DISCUSSION

A major finding of our cross-sectional study is that a substantial proportion of patients with T2DM attending a routine outpatient service had NAFLD and significant/advanced liver fibrosis. The majority of these patients had hepatic steatosis on ultrasonography (i.e.

~75%), and a significant proportion of them also had increased liver fibrosis (17.5% had LSM ≥ 7 kPa and 10.2% had LSM ≥ 8.7 kPa on vibration-controlled transient elastography, corresponding to Kleiner stage F ≥ 3 fibrosis on histology) (14,19). It is also noteworthy that the vast majority of our patients with T2DM had fairly normal serum liver enzymes, thus emphasising that serum liver enzyme levels cannot be regarded as reliable markers for the screening and diagnosis of NAFLD in patients with T2DM, and should not be used to this end in clinical practice (4,11,13,14).

Our findings are in line with recent results of a few studies that used vibration-controlled transient elastography (FibroScan[®]) or other non-invasive imaging techniques for staging liver fibrosis in patients with T2DM. A small study using magnetic resonance imaging for assessing NAFLD and magnetic resonance elastography for measuring liver stiffness has reported a high proportion of hepatic steatosis and advanced fibrosis (65% and 7%, respectively) in an outpatient sample of 100 United States obese T2DM individuals without known liver diseases (22). Kwok *et al.* also reported a high proportion of hepatic steatosis (~73%) and advanced fibrosis (17.7% subjects had LSM ≥ 9.6 kPa) in a hospital-based cohort of nearly 1,800 Chinese patients with T2DM (54% male; mean age 60.6 years; mean BMI 26.6 kg/m²; mean HbA1c 7.4%), where liver fat and fibrosis were assessed with FibroScan[®] (23). Notably, in a subset of these patients who underwent liver biopsy, 56% had NASH, 21% had advanced fibrosis and 29% had cirrhosis (23). Using the FibroScan[®], Roulot *et al.* found that the proportion of advanced fibrosis was 12.7% (defined as LSM ≥ 8 kPa) in a cohort of ~700 French patients with T2DM (56% male; mean age 58 years; mean BMI 29.6 kg/m²; HbA1c 7.7%) (24). Recently, in 557 Malaysian patients with T2DM (41% male; mean age 61 years; mean BMI 28.2 kg/m²; mean HbA1c 7.7%) attending the diabetes clinic of an university hospital, Lai *et al.* reported that the proportion of NAFLD and advanced fibrosis (defined as LSM ≥ 8 kPa), based on FibroScan[®], were 72.4% and 21%, respectively (25).

Our work extends previous findings as another important result of our study is that increased liver fibrosis (i.e., 3rd tertile of LSM vs. 1st + 2nd tertiles combined) is significantly associated with a ~3-fold higher risk of prevalent CKD ($p=0.007$) and with a borderline significant ~2.2-fold increased risk of cardiovascular complications ($p=0.069$). After

adjustment for established risk factors, diabetes-related variables and other potential confounders, we found that only the association between increased LSM and risk of CKD maintained statistical significance, whereas the association between increased LSM and risk of cardiovascular complications was no longer significant. However, when LSM was included as a continuous measure in the aforementioned multivariable logistic regression models, we found that there was a significant and independent association between increasing LSM values and risk of both complications.

Previous studies have explored the association between imaging-defined NAFLD and the presence of CKD or clinically manifest cardiovascular disease in community-based or hospital-based cohorts of patients with T2DM (as extensively reviewed in [4,26,27]). For example, Targher *et al.* firstly reported that NAFLD on ultrasonography was significantly associated with a higher prevalence of cardiovascular disease (28), as well as with higher prevalence of CKD and proliferative/laser-treated retinopathy (29), independent of traditional cardiovascular risk factors, glycemic control, medication use and metabolic syndrome features. To our knowledge, however, the usefulness of vibration-controlled transient elastography in the risk prediction of CKD has been explored only in very few studies involving patients with T2DM. Recently, Yeung *et al.* found that advanced liver fibrosis (defined as LSM ≥ 9.6 kPa), but not steatosis, was independently associated with abnormal albuminuria in a hospital cohort of nearly 1,800 Chinese patients with T2DM (30). At present, no studies are available in the literature examining the usefulness of vibration-controlled transient elastography (FibroScan[®]) in risk prediction of clinically manifest cardiovascular complications in patients with T2DM.

Collectively, the findings of our study are of potential clinical importance for management of patients with T2DM, who rarely undergo liver biopsy or other imaging techniques for diagnosing and staging NAFLD in routine diabetes care. Indeed, the present results show that there is a high proportion of NAFLD and significant/advanced liver fibrosis among our metabolically well-controlled patients with non-insulin-treated T2DM without known liver diseases (most of whom had fairly normal serum liver enzyme levels). These data also support the assertion that vibration-controlled transient elastography (FibroScan[®]) can be useful not only for assessing the severity of liver fibrosis, which is now regarded as the

strongest predictor of long-term adverse clinical outcomes in NAFLD (3,11,14,20) but also for identifying patients at higher risk of having CKD or other chronic vascular complications. In addition, due to the fact that there were no significant differences in the use of any anti-hyperglycemic agents across LSM tertiles among our T2DM patients, these findings also suggest that more widespread use of vibration-controlled transient elastography (FibroScan®) in patients with T2DM could also allow a better choice of the pharmacological treatment for diabetes using some anti-hyperglycemic agents (if not contraindicated), which may have significant benefits on NASH and liver fibrosis, such as pioglitazone and liraglutide (11-14,31-34). All these findings highlight the importance of increasing awareness of the prognostic value of NAFLD in patients with T2DM among primary care physicians and diabetologists/endocrinologists. An accurate, patient-centred, team-based approach to the management and treatment of individuals with T2DM and NAFLD, based on a careful evaluation of related cardio-metabolic risk factors and monitoring for cardiovascular, renal and liver complications, is warranted (4,35).

Our study has some important limitations that should be mentioned. First, the cross-sectional design of this single-centre study limits our ability to establish causal or temporal relationships between increased liver fibrosis and risk of CKD and cardiovascular complications. Second, the patient cohort comprised exclusively Caucasian individuals with metabolically well-controlled, non-insulin-treated T2DM without known liver diseases, attending a diabetes outpatient service. Thus, results cannot be necessarily extrapolated to the general population of patients with T2DM or other ethnic groups. On the other hand, this limitation represents a specific strength by showing that the proportion of hepatic steatosis and increased liver fibrosis are high in the absence of major changes in glycemia. Third, we did not perform liver biopsies, so we are not able to compare the results of liver stiffness obtained by FibroScan® with histology data. That said, vibration-controlled transient elastography (FibroScan®) is a reliable non-invasive method for staging liver fibrosis in NAFLD (15,36). Finally, due to the relatively small number of patients with CKD or prior cardiovascular diseases, the interpretation of results of our fully adjusted logistic regression models requires some caution. Further studies in larger cohorts of patients are needed to further examine this issue.

Despite these limitations, our study has some important strengths, including the consecutive enrollment of the study population, the completeness of the database, and the adjustment for multiple established cardio-renal risk factors, diabetes-related variables and potential confounders. In addition, measurements of LSM were performed (in all patients) by a single trained physician, thus eliminating potential inter-observer reproducibility.

In conclusion, our results suggest that NAFLD and increased liver fibrosis (on vibration-controlled transient elastography) were very common in a well-characterized sample of outpatients with non-insulin-treated T2DM without known liver diseases, and that increased liver fibrosis was strongly associated with a higher prevalence of chronic vascular complications of diabetes, especially CKD. These results highlight the need to screen patients with T2DM for CKD and cardiovascular complications when increased liver fibrosis is detected. Similarly, liver fibrosis should be assessed in patients with T2DM when CKD or cardiovascular disease is detected.

Disclosure Statement: The Authors have no potential conflicts of interest to disclose.

Funding: GT is supported in part by grants from the University School of Medicine of Verona, Verona, Italy. CDB is supported in part by grants from the Southampton National Institute for Health Research Biomedical Research Centre.

REFERENCES

1. Lonardo A, Bellentani S, Argo CK, Ballestri S, Byrne CD, Caldwell SH, Cortez-Pinto H, Grieco A, Machado MV, Miele L, Targher G. Epidemiological modifiers of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: focus on high-risk groups. *Dig Liver Dis.* 2015;47:997-1006.
2. Younossi ZM, Golabi P, de Avila L, Paik JM, Srishord M, Fukui N, Qiu Y, Burns L, Afendy A, Nader F. The global epidemiology of NAFLD and NASH in patients with type 2 diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Hepatol.* 2019 Jul 4. pii: S0168-8278(19)30393-9. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2019.06.021 [Epub ahead of print].

3. Anstee QM, Targher G, Day CP. Progression of NAFLD to diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular disease or cirrhosis. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2013;10:330-344.
4. Targher G, Lonardo A, Byrne CD. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and chronic vascular complications of diabetes mellitus. *Nat Rev Endocrinol*. 2018;14:99-114.
5. Targher G, Marchesini G, Byrne CD. Risk of type 2 diabetes in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: causal association or epiphenomenon? *Diabetes Metab*. 2016;42:142-156.
6. Porepa L, Ray JG, Sanchez-Romeu P, Booth GL. Newly diagnosed diabetes mellitus as a risk factor for serious liver disease. *CMAJ*. 2010;182:E526-E531.
7. Wild SH, Morling JR, McAllister DA, Kerssens J, Fischbacher C, Parkes J, Roderick PJ, Sattar N, Byrne CD; Scottish and Southampton Diabetes and Liver Disease Group; Scottish Diabetes Research Network Epidemiology Group. Type 2 diabetes and risk of hospital admission or death for chronic liver diseases. *J Hepatol*. 2016;64:1358-1364.
8. Zoppini G, Fedeli U, Gennaro N, Saugo M, Targher G, Bonora E. Mortality from chronic liver diseases in diabetes. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2014;109:1020-1025.
9. Singal AG, El-Serag HB. Hepatocellular carcinoma from epidemiology to prevention: translating knowledge into practice. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2015;13:2140-2151.
10. Targher G, Byrne CD, Lonardo A, Zoppini G, Barbui C. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and risk of incident cardiovascular disease: A meta-analysis. *J Hepatol*. 2016;65:589-600.
11. European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL); European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD); European Association for the Study of Obesity (EASO). EASL-EASD-EASO Clinical Practice Guidelines for the management of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *J Hepatol*. 2016;64:1388-1402.
12. Italian Association for the Study of the Liver (AISF). AISF position paper on nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD): updates and future directions. *Dig Liver Dis* 2017;49:471-483.
13. Glen J, Floros L, Day C, Pryke R; Guideline Development Group. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD): summary of NICE guidance. *BMJ*. 2016;354:i4428.
14. Chalasani N, Younossi Z, Lavine JE, Charlton M, Cusi K, Rinella M, Harrison SA, Brunt EM, Sanyal AJ. The diagnosis and management of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: Practice guidance from the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases. *Hepatology*. 2018;67:328-357.
15. Castera L, Friedrich-Rust M, Loomba R. Noninvasive assessment of liver disease in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Gastroenterology*. 2019;156:1264-1281.e4.
16. Levey AS, Bosch JP, Lewis JB, Greene T, Rogers N, Roth D. A more accurate method to estimate glomerular filtration rate from serum creatinine: a new prediction equation. Modification of Diet in Renal Disease Study Group. *Ann Intern Med*. 1999;130:461-470.
17. Levey AS, Stevens LA, Schmid CH, Zhang YL, Castro AF 3rd, Feldman HI, Kusek JW, Eggers P, Van Lente F, Greene T, Coresh J; CKD-EPI (Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration). A new equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate. *Ann Intern Med*. 2009;150:604-612.
18. Mantovani A, Sani E, Fassio A, Colecchia A, Viapiana O, Gatti D, Idolazzi L, Rossini M, Salvagno G, Lippi G, Zoppini G, Byrne CD, Bonora E, Targher G. Association between non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and bone turnover biomarkers in post-menopausal women with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Metab*. 2019;45:347-355.

19. Mikolasevic I, Orlic L, Franjic N, Hauser G, Stimac D, Milic S. Transient elastography (FibroScan®) with controlled attenuation parameter in the assessment of liver steatosis and fibrosis in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease - Where do we stand? *World J Gastroenterol.* 2016;22:7236-72351.
20. Byrne CD, Patel J, Scorletti E, Targher G. Tests for diagnosing and monitoring non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in adults. *BMJ.* 2018;362:k2734.
21. Mantovani A, Zusi C, Sani E, Colecchia A, Lippi G, Zaza GL, Valenti L, Byrne CD, Maffei C, Bonora E, Targher G. Association between PNPLA3rs738409 polymorphism decreased kidney function in postmenopausal type 2 diabetic women with or without non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Diabetes Metab.* 2019 Feb 11. pii: S1262-3636(19)30026-6. doi: 10.1016/j.diabet.2019.01.011 [Epub ahead of print].
22. Doycheva I, Cui J, Nguyen P, Costa EA, Hooker J, Hofflich H, Bettencourt R, Brouha S, Sirlin CB, Loomba R. Non-invasive screening of diabetics in primary care for NAFLD and advanced fibrosis by MRI and MRE. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2016;43:83-95.
23. Kwok R, Choi KC, Wong GL, Zhang Y, Chan HL, Luk AO, Shu SS, Chan AW, Yeung MW, Chan JC, Kong AP, Wong VW. Screening diabetic patients for non-alcoholic fatty liver disease with controlled attenuation parameter and liver stiffness measurements: a prospective cohort study. *Gut.* 2016;65:1359-1368.
24. Roulot D, Roudot-Thoraval F, NKontchou G, Kouacou N, Costes JL, Elourimi G, Le Clesiau H, Ziolo M, Beaugrand M. Concomitant screening for liver fibrosis and steatosis in French type 2 diabetic patients using Fibroscan. *Liver Int.* 2017;37:1897-1906.
25. Lai LL, Wan Yusoff WNI, Vethakkan SR, Nik Mustapha NR, Mahadeva S, Chan WK. Screening for non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus using transient elastography. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2019;34:1396-1403.
26. Targher G, Byrne CD. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: an emerging driving force in chronic kidney disease. *Nat Rev Nephrol.* 2017;13:297-310.
27. Musso G, Gambino R, Tabibian JH, Ekstedt M, Kechagias S, Hamaguchi M, Hultcrantz R, Hagström H, Yoon SK, Charatcharoenwitthaya P, George J, Barrera F, Hafliðadóttir S, Björnsson ES, Armstrong MJ, Hopkins LJ, Gao X, Francque S, Verrijken A, Yilmaz Y, Lindor KD, Charlton M, Haring R, Lerch MM, Rettig R, Völzke H, Ryu S, Li G, Wong LL, Machado M, Cortez-Pinto H, Yasui K, Cassader M. Association of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease with chronic kidney disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS Med* 2014;11:e1001680.
28. Targher G, Bertolini L, Padovani R, Rodella S, Tessari R, Zenari L, Day C, Arcaro G. Prevalence of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and its association with cardiovascular disease among type 2 diabetic patients. *Diabetes Care.* 2007;30:1212-1218.
29. Targher G, Bertolini L, Rodella S, Zoppini G, Lippi G, Day C, Muggeo M. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease is independently associated with an increased prevalence of chronic kidney disease and proliferative/laser-treated retinopathy in type 2 diabetic patients. *Diabetologia.* 2008;51:444-450.
30. Yeung MW, Wong GL, Choi KC, Luk AO, Kwok R, Shu SS, Chan AW, Lau ESH, Ma RCW, Chan HL, Chan JC, Wong VW, Kong AP. Advanced liver fibrosis but not steatosis is independently associated with albuminuria in Chinese patients with type 2 diabetes. *J Hepatol.* 2018;68:147-156.
31. Cusi K, Orsak B, Bril F, Lomonaco R, Hecht J, Ortiz-Lopez C, Tio F, Hardies J, Darland C, Musi N, Webb A, Portillo-Sanchez P. Long-term pioglitazone treatment for patients with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis and prediabetes or type 2 diabetes mellitus: a randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med.* 2016;165:305-315.

32. Musso G, Cassader M, Paschetta E, Gambino R. Thiazolidinediones and advanced liver fibrosis in nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: a meta-analysis. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2017;177:633-640.
33. Armstrong MJ, Gaunt P, Aithal GP, Barton D, Hull D, Parker R, Hazlehurst JM, Guo K; LEAN trial team, Abouda G, Aldersley MA, Stocken D, Gough SC, Tomlinson JW, Brown RM, Hübscher SG, Newsome PN. Liraglutide safety and efficacy in patients with non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (LEAN): a multicentre, double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled phase 2 study. *Lancet.* 2016;387:679-690.
34. Armstrong MJ, Houlihan DD, Rowe IA, Clausen WH, Elbrønd B, Gough SC, Tomlinson JW, Newsome PN. Safety and efficacy of liraglutide in patients with type 2 diabetes and elevated liver enzymes: individual patient data meta-analysis of the LEAD program. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2013;37:234-242.
35. Anstee QM, Mantovani A, Tilg H, Targher G. Risk of cardiomyopathy and cardiac arrhythmias in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2018;15:425-439.
36. Younossi ZM, Loomba R, Anstee QM, Rinella ME, Bugianesi E, Marchesini G, Neuschwander-Tetri BA, Serfaty L, Negro F, Caldwell SH, Ratziu V, Corey KE, Friedman SL, Abdelmalek MF, Harrison SA, Sanyal AJ, Lavine JE, Mathurin P, Charlton MR, Goodman ZD, Chalasani NP, Kowdley KV, George J, Lindor K. Diagnostic modalities for nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, nonalcoholic steatohepatitis, and associated fibrosis. *Hepatology.* 2018;68:349-360.

Table 1. Clinical and biochemical characteristics of patients with non-insulin-treated T2DM stratified by tertiles of liver stiffness measurement (LSM assessed by vibration-controlled transient elastography).

	LSM 1st tertile (n=49)	LSM 2nd tertile (n=48)	LSM 3rd tertile (n=40)	P-value
Sex (% female)	59.2	47.9	47.5	0.442
Age (years)	71.5 ± 7	69.3 ± 7	68.5 ± 8	0.129
Weight (kg)	74 ± 15	77 ± 13	84 ± 14	0.005
BMI (kg/m ²)	27.9 ± 5.6	27.7 ± 3.8	30.2 ± 3.8	0.022
Waist circumference (cm)	96.7 ± 13	99.1 ± 13	105.0 ± 10	0.005
Diabetes duration (years)	10 (7-19)	11 (5-18)	10 (7-16)	0.768
Current smokers (%)	4.1	18.8	12.5	0.230
Family history for cardiovascular disease (%)	31.1	40.6	37.5	0.671
Family history for diabetes (%)	59.1	60.0	70.3	0.544
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	136 ± 16	131 ± 16	135 ± 18	0.306
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	76 ± 9	75 ± 9	77 ± 9	0.642
Hypertension (%)	79.5	81.2	77.5	0.964
Dyslipidemia (%)	93.9	83.3	82.5	0.188
Fasting glucose (mg/dL)	129 ± 31	124 ± 24	133 ± 29	0.395
Hemoglobin A1c (%)	6.9 ± 0.7	6.8 ± 0.6	7.0 ± 0.8	0.481
Hemoglobin A1c ≥ 8% (%)	8.2	6.2	10.0	0.923
Total cholesterol (mg/dL)	157 ± 37	153 ± 37	156 ± 33	0.843
LDL cholesterol (mg/dL)	82 ± 34	77 ± 31	74 ± 27	0.455
HDL cholesterol (mg/dL)	54.2 ± 12	52 ± 14	54 ± 19	0.610
Triglycerides (mg/dL)	99 (71-135)	110 (84-161)	132 (97-186)	0.005
Fasting insulin (mU/L)	5.4 (3.4-8.6)	6.3 (3.1-8.9)	10.0 (5.1-19.9)	<0.001
HOMA-IR score	1.8 (1.0-2.6)	1.8 (1.0-2.5)	3.4 (2.0-6.1)	<0.001
Creatinine (umol/L)	73.3 ± 19	72.8 ± 20	78.2 ± 22	0.402
Hemoglobin (g/L)	134 ± 15	139 ± 11	140 ± 12	0.094
White blood count (x 10 ⁹ /L)	7.12 ± 1.6	7.40 ± 2.0	7.21 ± 1.5	0.737
Platelet count (x 10 ⁹ /L)	243 ± 59	240 ± 58	234 ± 62	0.797
AST (IU/L)	23 ± 8	23 ± 5	27 ± 10	0.021
ALT (IU/L)	14 ± 8	13 ± 6	17 ± 9	0.058
GGT (IU/L)	15 (10-20)	21 (14-30)	27 (17-40)	<0.001
hs-CRP (mg/L)	1.01 (0.5-2.9)	0.98 (0.4-2.7)	2.05 (0.7-3.9)	0.976
Diabetic retinopathy, any degree (%)	8.5	8.3	12.8	0.868
Hepatic steatosis on ultrasonography (%)	59.2	70.8	95.0	<0.001
<i>PNPLA3</i> rs738409				0.672
CC genotype (%)	46.9	47.9	52.5	
CG genotype (%)	48.9	47.9	37.5	
GG genotype (%)	4.2	4.2	10.0	
<i>TM6SF2</i> rs58542926				0.945
CC genotype (%)	85.7	89.6	87.5	
CT genotype (%)	14.3	10.4	12.5	
TT genotype (%)	0	0	0	
LSM by FibroScan® (kPa)	3.6 (3.3-4.0)	5.3 (4.9-5.7)	7.8 (6.8-9.5)	ND

Sample size, n=137. Data are expressed as means±SD, medians and IQR (in parenthesis) or proportions. Differences among the three patient groups were tested by the one-way ANOVA for continuous variables normally distributed, the Kruskal-Wallis test for variables not normally distributed and the Fisher exact test for categorical variables. For the sake of clarity significant p-values are highlighted in bold. Hypertension was defined as blood pressure ≥140/90 mmHg or use of any anti-hypertensive drugs. Dyslipidemia was defined as plasma LDL-cholesterol levels >100 mg/dL or use of lipid-lowering agents.

Abbreviations: ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; BMI, body mass index; GGT, gamma-glutamyltransferase; hs-CRP, high sensitivity C-reactive protein; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment-insulin resistance; LSM, liver stiffness measurement; ND, not determined; *PNPLA3*, patatin-like phospholipase domain-containing protein 3; *TM6SF2*, trans-membrane 6 superfamily member 2.

Table 2. Drug treatments in patients with non-insulin-treated T2DM stratified by tertiles of liver stiffness measurement (LSM assessed by vibration-controlled transient elastography).

	LSM 1st tertile (n=49)	LSM 2nd tertile (n=48)	LSM 3rd tertile (n=40)	P-value
Metformin (%)	83.7	83.3	92.5	0.408
Sulphonylureas (%)	24.5	25.0	30.0	0.857
DPP-4 inhibitors (%)	26.5	25.0	30.0	0.860
GLP-1 agonists (%)	16.3	22.9	15.0	0.573
SGLT-2 inhibitors (%)	6.1	10.4	12.5	0.583
Pioglitazone (%)	2.0	10.4	10.0	0.184
Acarbose (%)	6.1	2.1	5.0	0.674
ARB/ACE-inhibitors (%)	60.4	63.8	65.0	0.925
Calcium-channel blockers (%)	16.7	21.3	27.5	0.455
Beta-blockers (%)	37.5	25.5	37.5	0.373
Diuretics (%)	35.4	25.5	32.5	0.569
Statins (%)	83.7	80.9	77.5	0.764
Fibrates (%)	2.1	0.0	7.5	0.106
Antiplatelets (%)	56.2	40.4	52.5	0.275

Sample size, $n=137$. Data are expressed as proportions. Differences among the three groups of patients were tested by the Fisher's exact test.

Abbreviations: ACE, angiotensin-converting-enzyme; ARB, angiotensin II receptor blocker; DPP-4, dipeptidyl peptidase-4; GLP-1, glucagon-like peptide-1; SGLT-2, sodium/glucose cotransporter-2; LSM, liver stiffness measurement.

Table 3. Association between increased liver stiffness measurement on vibration-controlled transient elastography (included as categorical measure) and the presence of either chronic kidney disease or cardiovascular complications in patients with non-insulin-treated T2DM.

	Chronic kidney disease		Cardiovascular complications	
	Odds ratio (95% CI)	P-value	Odds ratio (95% CI)	P-value
Unadjusted model				
LSM (3° vs. 1°+ 2° tertiles combined)	3.03 (1.36-6.78)	0.007	2.17 (0.93-5.14)	0.069
Adjusted model 1				
LSM (3° vs. 1°+ 2° tertiles combined)	3.01 (1.32-6.87)	0.007	2.21 (0.94-5.34)	0.068
Adjusted model 2				
LSM (3° vs. 1°+ 2° tertiles combined)	3.53 (1.46-8.52)	0.005	2.85 (1.07-7.59)	0.036
Adjusted model 3				
LSM (3° vs. 1°+ 2° tertiles combined)	3.28 (1.22-8.90)	0.019	2.39 (0.80-7.45)	0.125

Data are expressed as odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals (CI) as assessed by univariable and multivariable logistic regression analyses. In these logistic regression models, the dependent variable was the presence of either CKD (defined as eGFR<60 ml/min/1.73 m² and/or abnormal albuminuria; n=37) or cardiovascular complications (defined as presence of ischemic heart disease, ischemic stroke and/or permanent atrial fibrillation; n=28). In these models LSM was included as dichotomous measure. For the sake of clarity significant *p*-values are highlighted in bold.

Multivariable logistic regression models were adjusted for the following covariates: model 1: age, sex and duration of diabetes; model 2: adjusted for the same covariates of model 1 *plus* HbA1c, smoking, hypertension (i.e., blood pressure ≥140/90 mmHg or use of any anti-hypertensive drugs) and dyslipidemia (i.e., plasma LDL cholesterol levels >100 mg/dl or use of lipid-lowering drugs); and model 3: adjusted for the same covariates of model 2 *plus* body mass index, HOMA-insulin resistance score and plasma hs-CRP concentrations.

Supplementary Table 1. Association between increasing liver stiffness measurement on vibration-controlled transient elastography (included as continuous measure) and the presence of either chronic kidney disease or cardiovascular complications in patients with non-insulin-treated T2DM.

	Chronic kidney disease		Cardiovascular complications	
	Odds ratio (95% CI)	P-value	Odds ratio (95% CI)	P-value
Unadjusted model				
LSM (for 1-SD increment, i.e. 2.4 kPa)	1.69 (1.15-2.50)	0.008	1.61 (1.08-2.40)	0.021
Adjusted model 1				
LSM (for 1-SD increment, i.e. 2.4 kPa)	1.66 (1.11-2.50)	0.015	1.63 (1.07-2.47)	0.022
Adjusted model 2				
LSM (for 1-SD increment, i.e. 2.4 kPa)	1.72 (1.13-2.64)	0.012	1.79 (1.12-2.86)	0.015
Adjusted model 3				
LSM (for 1-SD increment, i.e. 2.4 kPa)	1.68 (1.04-2.70)	0.034	1.73 (1.04-2.88)	0.035

Data are expressed as odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals (CI) as assessed by univariable and multivariable logistic regression analyses. In these logistic regression models, the dependent variable was the presence of either CKD (defined as eGFR<60 ml/min/1.73 m² and/or abnormal albuminuria; *n*=37) or cardiovascular complications (defined as presence of ischemic heart disease, ischemic stroke and/or permanent atrial fibrillation; *n*=28). In these models LSM was included as continuous measure (for 1-SD increment). For the sake of clarity significant *p*-values are highlighted in bold.

Multivariable logistic regression models were adjusted for the following covariates: model 1: age, sex and duration of diabetes; model 2: adjusted for the same covariates of model 1 *plus* HbA1c, smoking, hypertension (i.e., blood pressure ≥140/90 mmHg or use of any anti-hypertensive drugs) and dyslipidemia (i.e., plasma LDL cholesterol levels >100 mg/dl or use of lipid-lowering drugs); and model 3: adjusted for the same covariates of model 2 *plus* body mass index, HOMA-insulin resistance score and plasma hs-CRP concentrations.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Prevalence of NAFLD and significant/advanced liver fibrosis among patients with non-insulin-treated T2DM without known liver diseases. NAFLD was assessed by ultrasonography, whereas liver fibrosis was measured with the vibration-controlled transient elastography (VCTE, FibroScan®) using two different thresholds of liver stiffness measurement to identify patients with significant or advanced fibrosis.

Figure 2. Prevalence of chronic kidney dysfunction (defined as eGFR <60 ml/min/1.73 m² or abnormal albuminuria) and cardiovascular complications (defined as ischemic heart disease, ischemic stroke or atrial fibrillation) across tertiles of liver stiffness measurement on the vibration-controlled transient elastography (VCTE, FibroScan®) among patients with non-insulin-treated T2DM without known liver diseases.