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Abstract: The omega-3 (n-3) fatty acids eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) are found in seafood, especially in fatty fish, in supplements and in concentrated pharmaceutical preparations. Long-term prospective cohort studies consistently demonstrate an association between higher intakes of fish, fatty fish and marine n-3 fatty acids (EPA+DHA) or higher levels of EPA and DHA in the body and lower risk of developing cardiovascular disease (CVD), especially coronary heart disease (CHD) and myocardial infarction (MI), and cardiovascular mortality in the general population. This cardioprotective effect of EPA and DHA is most likely due to the beneficial modulation of a number of the known risk factors for CVD such as blood lipids, blood pressure, heart rate and heart rate variability, platelet aggregation, endothelial function and inflammation. However, evidence for primary prevention of CVD through randomised controlled trials (RCTs) is relatively weak. In high risk patients, especially in the secondary prevention setting (e.g. post-MI), a number of large RCTs support the use of EPA+DHA (or EPA alone) as confirmed through a recent meta-analysis. This review presents some of the key studies that have investigated EPA and DHA in primary and secondary prevention of CVD, describes potential mechanisms for their cardioprotective effect, and evaluates the more recently published RCTs in the context of the existing scientific literature.
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1. Marine omega-3 fatty acids: sources and intakes

Omega-3 (n-3) fatty acids are a family of polyunsaturated fatty acids. They are characterised by, and named according to, the presence of the closest double bond to the methyl end of the hydrocarbon (acyl) chain being on carbon number three if the methyl carbon is counted as number one. The most functionally important n-3 fatty acids appear to be eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA; 20:5n-3) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA; 22:6n-3) [1]. However roles for docosapentaenoic acid (22:5n-3) have now also emerged [2]. The best dietary source of EPA and DHA (and also docosapentaenoic acid) is seafood, especially in fatty fish (also called ‘oily fish’). The blubber and tissues of sea mammals such as whales and seals also contain EPA and DHA in significant amounts. Various supplements including fish oils, cod liver oil, krill oil and some algal oils contain EPA and DHA. Finally, concentrated pharmaceutical-grade preparations of EPA and DHA, or EPA alone, are available. Typical values for the EPA and DHA content of selected fish and n-3 fatty acid supplements and pharmaceutical preparations are shown in Table 1. Because of their association with seafood, EPA and DHA are often referred to as marine n-3 fatty acids.
The various n-3 fatty acids are related metabolically to one another, and the pathway of conversion of plant-derived n-3 fatty acids (e.g. α-linolenic acid (ALA; 18:3n-3)) to EPA and on to DPA and DHA is shown in Figure 1. Studies in humans have identified that there is a fairly low rate of conversion of ALA along this pathway especially all the way to DHA [3,4]. It is now recognised that this conversion is influenced by several factors including stage of the life course, age, sex, various hormones, genetics and disease [4].

| Table 1. Content of EPA and DHA in fatty fish, lean fish, supplements and pharmaceuticals |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Fish type                            | Typical EPA+DHA per adult serving | Comment                     |
| Fatty (e.g. salmon, trout, mackerel, sardines, herring) | 1 to 3.5 g | Usually more EPA than DHA; content depends upon type of fish, season, water temperature, diet, stage of life cycle, wild or farmed, how cooked |
| Lean (e.g. cod, plaice, haddock, sea bass) | 0.1 to 0.3 g | Usually more EPA than DHA |
| **Supplement type**                  | **Typical EPA+DHA content per g of oil** |                         |
| Cod liver oil                        | 200 mg | Usually more EPA than DHA |
| Standard “fish oil”                  | 300 mg | Usually more EPA than DHA |
| Fish oil concentrate                 | 450 to 600 mg | Usually more EPA than DHA |
| Tuna oil                            | 460 mg | More DHA than EPA |
| Krill oil                           | 205 mg | Usually more EPA than DHA; some in phospholipid form |
| Algal oil                           | 400 mg | Mainly DHA |
| Flaxseed oil                        | 0 mg | Contains α-linolenic acid, but not EPA or DHA |
| **Pharmaceuticals**                 | **Typical EPA+DHA content per g of oil** |                         |
| Omacor / Lovaza                     | 460 mg EPA + 380 mg DHA | In ethyl ester form |
| Omtryg                              | 465 mg EPA + 375 mg DHA | In ethyl ester form |
| Epanova                             | 550 mg EPA + 200 mg DHA | In free fatty acid form |
| Vascepa / Icosapent Ethyl           | 900 mg EPA | In ethyl ester form |

Abbreviations: DHA, docosahexaenoic acid; EPA, eicosapentaenoic acid.

Intake of EPA and DHA from the diet is strongly influenced by fish consumption because fish in general, and fatty fish in particular, are the richest dietary source of these fatty acids. Fish and fatty fish intake is high in some countries such as Japan but is low in many Western countries including the USA and the United Kingdom. As a result, intakes of EPA+DHA among adults vary among different populations and are low in most Western countries; it is generally considered that in non-fatty fish eaters intakes of EPA+DHA are <0.2 g/day [5,6]. This is lower than recommended intakes for the general population [7,8,9]. Nevertheless, despite these low intakes, it is evident that the recommendations (typically 0.2 to 0.5 g/day depending upon the authority making the recommendation) can be met by including fatty fish in the diet on a regular basis or, if that is not possible, by using supplements that contain EPA and DHA (Table 1).
Figure 1. Metabolic pathway of conversion of the plant essential n-3 fatty acid α-linolenic acid (18:3n-3) to eicosapentaenoic acid (20:5n-3) and docosahexaenoic acid (22:6n-3)

2. Strong evidence for a protective effect of EPA and DHA towards CVD emerges from ecological, case control and cohort studies

The potential for EPA and DHA to have a role in reducing risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD) was first identified by studies in the Greenland Inuit, where the low rate of mortality from myocardial infarction (MI) and ischaemic heart disease [10,11] was linked to the very high dietary intake of EPA and DHA [12]. Such observations were replicated in other native Arctic populations [13] and in the Japanese [14]. Subsequently, substantial evidence accumulated from epidemiological and case-control studies in Western populations indicating that consumption of fish, fatty fish or EPA and DHA is associated with reduced risk of mortality from cardiovascular disease, especially coronary heart disease (CHD) (reviewed in [15]). For example, in the Nurse’s Health Study there was an inverse dose-dependent association of risk of developing CHD, of having a non-fatal MI or of dying from CHD across quintiles of intake of EPA+DHA [16]. The risk for all three outcomes was about 50% in the group with highest compared with the group with lowest intake of EPA+DHA. Intake of EPA and DHA is highly correlated with their concentrations in blood lipids and in red blood cells [17]. A number of studies have associated the concentrations of EPA+DHA (often expressed as a proportion of total fatty acids) in blood plasma or serum, in plasma lipid fractions, in whole blood, in red blood cells and in adipose tissue with lower cardiovascular morbidity and mortality (reviewed in [15]). For example, in the Physician’s Health Study, there was an inverse dose-dependent association of risk of sudden death across quartiles of whole blood EPA+DHA with an 80% lower risk in those with the highest whole blood EPA+DHA compared to those with the lowest [18]. More recently, the largest prospective cohort study conducted to date included ~420,000 participants from the National Institutes of Health AARP Diet and Health Study with a 16 year follow-up and reported a significant inverse association between fish and EPA+DHA intake and various mortality outcomes [19]. Comparing the highest with lowest quintiles of fish intake, both men and women had 10% lower CVD mortality. EPA+DHA intake was associated with 15% and 18% lower CVD mortality in men and women, respectively, across extreme quintiles.
Cohort studies associating intake of fish or marine n-3 fatty acids with cardiovascular or coronary outcomes have been subject to a number of meta-analyses. These include a 2012 aggregation of 7 prospective cohort studies including 176,441 participants which investigated the association between dietary fish or EPA+DHA intake or plasma EPA+DHA concentrations and heart failure [20]. The investigators found a 15% risk reduction of heart failure associated with the highest versus the lowest fish intake, and a 14% lower risk of heart failure for those with the highest compared with the lowest dietary intake or plasma concentrations of EPA+DHA. Published in 2014, a comprehensive meta-analysis investigated the association between dietary intakes or blood levels of different classes of fatty acids (including n-3 fatty acids) and combined coronary disease outcomes [21]. The aggregation of data from 16 studies involving over 422,000 individuals showed a risk reduction of 13% for those in the top tertile of dietary EPA+DHA intake compared with those in the lower tertile of intake. Furthermore, the aggregation of data from 13 studies involving over 20,000 individuals showed risk reductions of 22%, 21% and 25% for those in the top tertile of circulating EPA, DHA, and EPA+DHA, respectively, compared with those in the lower tertile. Alexander et al. [22] brought together data from prospective cohort studies examining the association of dietary EPA and DHA with risk of various coronary outcomes. The aggregation of data from 17 studies showed an 18% risk reduction for any CHD event for those with higher dietary intake of EPA+DHA compared to those with lower intake. There were also significant 23%, 19% and 47% reductions in risk of fatal coronary events, coronary death, and sudden cardiac death, respectively.

The association between EPA or DHA concentration in a body compartment such as plasma, serum, red blood cells or adipose tissue and risk of future CHD in adults who were healthy at study entry was investigated by pooling data from 19 studies involving over 45,000 individuals [23]. EPA and DHA were each independently associated with a lower risk of fatal CHD, with a 10% lower risk for each one standard deviation increase in content. The omega-3 index is the red blood cell content of EPA+DHA expressed as a proportion of total fatty acids [24]. Omega-3 index is a marker of both long-term dietary intake of these fatty acids and their tissue levels and is suggested to be a marker of CHD risk [24]. Using data from 10 cohort studies Harris et al. [25] identified a 15% reduction in risk for each one standard deviation increase in omega-3 index.

3. Mechanisms by which EPA and DHA reduce risk of CVD

Prospective cohort studies have the advantage of a very long follow-up time in which to observe health outcomes in what starts as a generally healthy study population, something which is typically not possible for randomised control trials (RCTs). However, there are well-recognised limitations of such cohort studies, including the lack of ability to show causation. Despite this significant limitation, the considerable number of large prospective cohort studies conducted to date that have consistently shown an inverse association between dietary, blood or tissue EPA and DHA and incidence of mortality from CVD provide important evidence for a key role of marine n-3 fatty acids in prevention of CVD. As such, there has been much interest in the mechanisms by which n-3 fatty acids, specifically EPA and DHA, achieve their cardioprotective action, with much attention being focused on the potential modulation of key cardiovascular risk factors. These risk factors include high blood pressure, high serum triglycerides, low high density lipoprotein (HDL)-cholesterol, elevated post-prandial lipaemia, endothelial dysfunction, cardiac arrhythmia, heart rate and heart rate variability and a tendency towards thrombosis and inflammation. Large numbers of studies, including many RCTs, in humans have investigated the effect of the combination of EPA and DHA on these risk factors and many of these studies have been included in a number of meta-analyses performed in recent years (Table 2). These meta-analyses demonstrate that EPA and DHA lower triglycerides [26], lower blood pressure (both systolic and diastolic) [26,27], reduce heart rate and increase heart rate variability [26,28,29,30], and reduce platelet aggregation [31] whilst appearing to increase both low density lipoprotein (LDL)- and HDL-cholesterol [26]. Regarding vascular endothelial function, EPA and DHA have been demonstrated to improve flow-mediated dilatation [32,33] and arterial compliance [34]. Concerning the effect of EPA and DHA on inflammation, several meta-analyses have reported that they lower blood concentrations of the acute phase protein C-reactive protein.
(CRP) and the pro-inflammatory cytokines tumour necrosis factor (TNF-α) and interleukin (IL)-6 [26,35], although the effect may be dependent on the health status of the individual. Furthermore, EPA and DHA have been reported to decrease the plasma or serum concentrations of pro-inflammatory eicosanoids like thromboxane B2 and leukotriene B4 [36].

**Table 2.** Selected meta-analyses of the effect of marine n-3 fatty acids on cardiovascular risk factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Cardiovascular risk factors assessed</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Form &amp; dosage of n-3 fatty acids</th>
<th>Duration of n-3 fatty acid treatment</th>
<th>Pooled effects of n-3 fatty acids versus placebo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AbuMweis et al. 2018 [26]</td>
<td>Blood lipids, heart rate, blood pressure, inflammatory markers, platelet function and flow-mediated dilatation</td>
<td>Meta-analysis of 171 RCTs (up to Feb 2013) in participants in various states of health (Note: the number of studies used for the analysis of different outcomes varied from 110 for triglycerides and HDL-cholesterol to 9 for flow mediated dilatation)</td>
<td>Oral marine n-3 fatty acid supplements providing 0.18 to 15 g/d EPA+DHA</td>
<td>4-240 weeks</td>
<td>Significant dose-dependent decrease in triglycerides (-0.368 mmol/L; 95% CI: -0.427 to -0.309)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gao et al. 2013 [31]</td>
<td>Platelet aggregation</td>
<td>Meta-analysis of 15 RCTs (up to Jul 2011) including 742 participants in various states of health</td>
<td>Oral marine n-3 fatty acid supplements providing 0.84 to 6.8 g/d EPA+DHA</td>
<td>2-16 weeks</td>
<td>Significant decrease in adenosine diphosphate-induced platelet aggregation SMD = -1.23 (95% CI: -2.24 to -0.23, p=0.02)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No significant effect on total cholesterol, TNF-α, fibrinogen, platelet count, soluble intercellular adhesion molecule 1, soluble vascular cell adhesion molecule 1 or flow-mediated dilatation...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Meta-analysis/Participants Details</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Effect Size and Inferential Statistics</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hidayat et al. 2017 [30]</td>
<td>Heart rate</td>
<td>Oral marine n-3 fatty acid supplements providing 0.5 to 15.0 g/d EPA+DHA</td>
<td>2-52 weeks</td>
<td>Significant decrease in heart rate (-2.23 bpm; 95% CI: -3.07 to -1.40); observed to be due to DHA, not EPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu et al. 2016 [36]</td>
<td>Pro-inflammatory eicosanoids</td>
<td>Oral marine n-3 fatty acid supplements providing 0.18 to 4.05 g/d EPA+DHA or EPA alone</td>
<td>4-24 weeks</td>
<td>Significant decrease in serum/plasma thromboxane B2 in participants with high risk of CVD (SMD = -1.26; 95% CI: -1.65 to -0.86). Significant decrease in neutrophil leukotriene B4 in unhealthy subjects (SMD = -0.59; 95% CI: -1.02 to -0.16)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li et al. 2014 [35]</td>
<td>Pro-inflammatory cytokines</td>
<td>Oral marine n-3 fatty acid supplements or dietary intake providing 0.3 to 6.6 g/d EPA+DHA</td>
<td>4-12 months</td>
<td>Participants with chronic disease: Significant decreases in CRP (-0.20; 95% CI: -0.28 to -0.12) and IL-6 (-0.22; 95% CI: -0.38 to -0.06); no significant effect on TNF-α. Healthy participants: Significant decreases in CRP (-0.18; 95% CI: -0.28 to -0.08) and TNF-α (-0.12; 95% CI: -0.16 to -0.07); no significant effect on IL-6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miller et al. 2014 [27]</td>
<td>Blood pressure</td>
<td>Oral marine n-3 fatty acids from seafood, fish oil, algal oil and purified ethyl esters. Mean EPA+DHA dose 3.8 g/d</td>
<td>&gt;3 weeks, Mean study duration 69 days</td>
<td>Significant decrease in systolic blood pressure (-1.52 mmHg; 95% CI: -2.25 to -0.79) Significant decrease in diastolic blood pressure (-0.99 mmHg; 95% CI: -1.54 to -0.44) Significant decreases in systolic blood pressure (-4.51 mmHg; 95% CI: -6.12 to -2.83) and diastolic blood pressure (-3.05 mmHg; 95% CI: -4.35 to -1.79)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Abbreviations: CI; confidence interval; CVD, cardiovascular disease; CRP, C-reactive protein; DHA, docosahexaenoic acid; EPA, eicosapentaenoic acid; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; IL-6, interleukin-6; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; SMD, standard mean difference; TNF-α, tumour necrosis factor-α; WMD, weighted mean difference.

Whilst the majority of the evidence base to date has focused on the administration of EPA and DHA in combination (as occurs naturally in fish and most supplements), there has been much interest in the potential for EPA and DHA to have independent roles in cardiovascular risk reduction. A recent systematic review of the scientific literature concluded that EPA and DHA do appear to have differential effects on a number of cardiometabolic outcomes [37]. For example, regarding modulation of blood lipids, whilst both EPA and DHA lowered blood triglycerides, there was evidence for a slightly larger triglyceride-lowering effect for DHA [38,39]. Also whilst neither EPA nor DHA affected total cholesterol concentrations to a significant degree, there was an independent effect on other blood lipid parameters, with EPA lowering the HDL3-cholesterol.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author et al. Date</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Interventions</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mozaffarian et al. 2005 [28]</td>
<td>Heart rate</td>
<td>Meta-analysis of 30 RCTs (up to Jan 2005, including 1,678 healthy participants)</td>
<td>Oral marine n-3 fatty acid supplements; median intake 3.5 g/d EPA+DHA</td>
<td>&gt;2 weeks, Median duration 8 weeks</td>
<td>Significant decrease in heart rate (-1.6 bpm; 95% CI: 0.6 to 2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pase et al. 2011 [34]</td>
<td>Arterial stiffness</td>
<td>Meta-analysis of 10 RCTs (up to Sep 2010, including 550 participants in various states of health)</td>
<td>Oral marine n-3 fatty acid supplements providing 0.64 to 3 g/d EPA+DHA</td>
<td>6-105 weeks</td>
<td>Significant improvement in pulse wave velocity (SMD = 0.33; 95% CI: 0.12 to 0.56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al. 2012 [33]</td>
<td>Vascular endothelial function</td>
<td>Meta-analysis of 16 RCTs (up to Aug 2011, including 901 participants in various states of health)</td>
<td>Oral marine n-3 fatty acid supplements and dietary intake providing 0.45 to 4.7 g/d EPA+DHA</td>
<td>2 weeks to 12 months (median 56 days)</td>
<td>Significant increase in flow-mediated dilatation (2.3%; 95% CI: 0.89% to 3.72%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xin et al. 2012 [32]</td>
<td>Vascular endothelial function</td>
<td>Meta-analysis of 16 RCTs (up to Feb 2012, including 1,385 participants in various states of health)</td>
<td>Oral marine n-3 fatty acid supplements providing 0.45 to 4.53 g/d EPA+DHA</td>
<td>2-52 weeks</td>
<td>Significant increase in flow-mediated dilatation (WMD = 1.49%; 95% CI: 0.48% to 2.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xin et al. 2013 [29]</td>
<td>Heart rate variability</td>
<td>Meta-analysis of 15 RCTs (including 692 participants in various states of health)</td>
<td>Oral marine n-3 fatty acid supplements providing 0.64 to 5.9 g/d EPA+DHA</td>
<td>6-24 weeks</td>
<td>Significant increase in high frequency power value of heart rate variability (SMD = 0.30). Sensitivity analysis demonstrated a significant reduction in low frequency power/high frequency power ratio with &gt;1 g/d EPA+DHA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
subfraction, and DHA increasing the more cardioprotective HDL2-cholesterol [40,41]. DHA also
ingcreased LDL-cholesterol more than EPA, an effect observed more in men than in women, and
increased LDL particle size, an effect which was not observed with EPA [39,40,41]. From the more
limited trial data, DHA appears to be more effective than EPA at lowering blood pressure and heart
rate in normotensive individuals, whilst neither EPA nor DHA had any effect in hypertensive
diabetic patients [40,41,42,43]. DHA also appeared to increase vasodilatory effects and reduce
constrictor effects in the vasculature [44]. However, both EPA and DHA were equally effective at
increasing systemic arterial compliance [45]. In terms of platelet function, only EPA decreased platelet
count and volume [46], whilst only DHA decreased collagen-stimulated platelet aggregation and
platelet-derived thrombomodulin B2 [47]. Interestingly, neither EPA nor DHA had any effect on
fibrinolytic function [47]. Furthermore, from the limited comparative studies available, DHA seemed
to be more effective than EPA at lowering a wide range of pro-inflammatory biomarkers in subjects
with sub-clinical inflammation [39,48]. Both EPA and DHA were however effective at reducing
biomarkers of oxidative stress (F2 isoprostanes) [49,50,51]. Thus, whilst there are relatively few trials
that have been conducted to date that directly compare EPA and DHA, the limited data suggest that
EPA and DHA do have different effects with regard to cardiovascular risk factors. However more
research is necessary to be more certain about this.

4. RCTs of primary prevention of CVD with marine n-3 fatty acids

Compared to the large number of observational studies investigating the association between
marine n-3 fatty acid exposure and cardiovascular outcomes conducted to date (section 2), few RCTs
of sufficient size or duration have investigated the cardioprotective effects of marine n-3 fatty acids
in generally healthy populations. The open-label Japan EPA Lipid Intervention Study (JELIS) directly
investigated the use of 1.8 g/d EPA (as an ethyl ester) plus a statin versus a statin alone in 18,645
hypercholesterolaemic participants [52]. A number of participants were on existing cardiovascular
medication (in addition to statins) and the study included hypercholesterolemic but otherwise
healthy subjects as well as those with pre-existing CHD, with all patients being followed up for ~ 5
years. The primary outcome was any major coronary event, including sudden cardiac death, fatal
and non-fatal MI, and other non-fatal events including unstable angina pectoris, angioplasty,
stenting, or coronary artery bypass grafting. The addition of EPA to statins had no effect over statins
alone on the primary outcome in the primary prevention arm of the trial. Two large primary
prevention RCTs were published in late 2018 [53,54]. The A Study of Cardiovascular Events in
Diabetes (ASCEND) trial randomised 15,480 diabetics without evidence of CVD to receive either
marine n-3 fatty acids (840 mg/d EPA+DHA) or olive oil placebo [53]. The primary outcome was first
serious vascular event and after a mean follow-up of 7.4 years, there was no difference in the primary
outcome between the two groups. However, in exploratory analyses, there were significantly fewer
deaths from vascular events in the marine n-3 fatty acid arm (rate ratio 0.81; 95% CI 0.67-0.99), as well
as a trend towards reduced risk of death from CHD (rate ratio 0.79; 95% CI 0.61-1.02). The Vitamin D
and Omega-3 Trial (VITAL) trial randomised 25,871 healthy participants aged over 50 years (men)
and 55 years (women) to receive marine n-3 fatty acids (840 mg/d EPA+DHA) and/or vitamin D (2,000
IU/d) or placebo [54]. After a median follow-up of 5.3 years, there was no difference in the primary
outcome of major cardiovascular events (a composite of MI, stroke or death from cardiovascular
causes) in those participants supplemented with marine n-3 fatty acids versus placebo. However,
analysis of the individual components of the composite showed a significant reduction in the n-3
fatty acid arm in MI (hazard ratio 0.72; 95% CI 0.59-0.90) and CHD (hazard ratio 0.83; 95% CI 0.71–
0.97). Correspondingly, there was also a reduced risk of death from these two non-prespecified
outcomes (for MI – hazard ratio 0.50; 95% CI 0.26-0.97, and for CHD – hazard ratio 0.76; 95% CI 0.49–
1.16). Thus, whilst RCT evidence in primary prevention is less clear than that from the prospective
cohort studies, there is now some indication of benefit from marine n-3 fatty acids towards
cardiovascular health, especially CHD, from recent large and long RCTs such as ASCEND and
VITAL.
5. RCTs of secondary prevention of CVD with marine n-3 fatty acids

A number of large randomised controlled secondary prevention trials or trials in high risk patients have been conducted to investigate the effect of EPA and DHA in patients with established CVD. These trials generate a changing picture with time.

5.1 Secondary prevention trials and meta-analyses published prior to 2010

Several large secondary prevention trials of marine n-3 fatty acids were conducted prior to 2010. The Diet and Reinfarction Trial (DART) included 2,033 recent (mean: 41 days) MI survivors who were given dietary advice concerning fat, fish and fibre intake and followed up for 2 years [55]. Those patients advised to eat at least two portions of fatty fish per week (or to take fish oil supplements) had a 29% reduction in total mortality as well as a reduced risk of death from ischaemic heart disease at 2 years compared to those patients given other advice. The landmark Gruppo Italiano per lo Studio della Sopravvivenza nell’Infarto miocardico (GISSI)-Prevenzione trial investigated the effect of supplementation with 840 mg/d EPA+DHA in 11,324 recent (≤ 3 months) MI survivors versus vitamin E supplementation, supplementation with both EPA+DHA and vitamin E, and placebo control [56]. After 3.5 years, patients receiving EPA+DHA had a 20% reduction in total mortality, 30% reduction in cardiovascular death and 45% decrease in sudden death compared to those not receiving EPA+DHA. There was no benefit reported on non-fatal MI or stroke. The beneficial effect of EPA+DHA on total mortality and sudden cardiac death was observed after 3 months and 4 months of supplementation respectively, and raised interest in the potential anti-arrhythmic action of EPA and DHA [57]. The GISSI investigators undertook a separate RCT in 6,975 patients specifically with chronic heart failure (GISSI-HF) to investigate the effect of 840 mg/d EPA+DHA versus placebo over a period of ~4 years in this patient population [58]. The investigators reported a small (9%) but significant reduction in all-cause mortality and a small (8%) reduction in combined all-cause mortality or admission to hospital for cardiovascular reasons in this high-risk population following supplementation with marine n-3 fatty acids. In line with the increased prescription of statins to prevent all-cause mortality and cardiovascular events at this time, JELIS directly investigated the use of 1.8 g/d EPA (as an ethyl ester) plus a statin versus a statin alone in 18,645 hypercholesterolaemic participants followed up for ~5 years [52]. As mentioned above, the addition of EPA to statins had no effect over statins alone in the primary prevention arm of JELIS, but in the secondary prevention arm EPA caused a 19% decrease in non-fatal coronary events compared with the statins alone group [52]. Unlike GISSI, JELIS found no beneficial effect on cardiovascular mortality. However, subsequent analysis found an inverse association between plasma EPA levels and risk of major coronary events; participants with the highest levels of plasma EPA (≥ 150 µg/ml) were 20% less likely to experience a major coronary event [59].

Meta-analyses of the RCTs conducted prior to 2010 confirmed the reductions in mortality seen in the individual trials of marine n-3 fatty acids ([60,61,62,63]; Table 3). For example, a 2002 meta-analysis of 11 RCTs in 15,806 patients with CHD found 20% lower risk of non-fatal MI, 30% lower risk of fatal MI, 30% lower risk for sudden death and 20% lower risk for all-cause mortality in patients receiving marine n-3 fatty acids versus control [60]. Another meta-analysis from 2002 of 14 RCTs and 20,260 participants both with and without CVD found a 23% reduced overall mortality and 32% reduced cardiac mortality for those patients given marine n-3 fatty acids versus controls [61]. A further meta-analysis in 2009 focused on 8 RCTs and 20,997 patients with CHD and found a 57% reduction in sudden death in patients with prior MI taking marine n-3 fatty acids compared with placebo [62]. Also in 2009, a meta-analysis of 11 RCTs representing 39,044 patients with all stages of CVD including both low and high risk patients found a 13% reduction in cardiovascular and sudden death and an 8% reduction in all-cause mortality in high risk patients taking marine n-3 fatty acids compared to controls [63]. The investigators also found an 8% reduction in non-fatal cardiovascular events in moderate risk patients.

5.2 RCTs with marine n-3 fatty acids in high risk patients published in the period 2010 to 2013
Three RCTs published in 2010 failed to replicate the findings of the earlier trials [64,65,66]. The OMEGA study investigated the effect of supplementation with 840 mg/d EPA+DHA for 1 year in 3,851 early post-MI patients, with the primary endpoint of sudden cardiac death [64]. Marine n-3 fatty acids did not decrease the rate of sudden cardiac death, total mortality, major adverse cerebrovascular and cardiovascular events or revascularisation compared to control. The Supplémentation en Folates et Omega-3 (SU.FOL.OM3) trial investigated the effect of supplementation of B vitamins and/or marine n-3 fatty acids (600 mg/d EPA+DHA) in 2,501 patients with documented MI, unstable angina or ischaemic stroke for ~5 years [65]; the primary outcomes were cardiovascular death, stroke or non-fatal MI. There was no effect of marine n-3 fatty acids on these. The Alpha Omega study included 4,837 post-MI patients given margarines fortified with low doses of EPA+DHA (400 mg/d) or ALA (2 g/d) or EPA+DHA+ALA, or placebo, and followed-up for 40 months [66]. There was no reduction in cardiovascular events in any group. On further analysis, those patients with diabetes in the EPA+DHA as well as ALA-fortified margarine groups did however experience a reduction in fatal CHD and arrhythmia-related events [66].

The Outcome Reduction with an Initial Glargine Intervention (ORIGIN) trial published in 2012 assessed the effect of supplementation with 840 mg/d EPA+DHA in 12,536 dysglycaemic patients at high risk of cardiovascular events, together with insulin glargine or standard care for a median of 6 years [67]. No effect of marine n-3 fatty acids was reported for total mortality, death from cardiovascular causes or arrhythmia compared to placebo. The Risk and Prevention Study published in 2013 investigated the effect of supplementation with 840 mg/d marine n-3 fatty acids in 12,513 patients with multiple cardiovascular risk factors or atherosclerotic vascular disease (but no MI) for a median of 5 years, and reported no effect on hospitalisation or death from cardiovascular causes compared to placebo [68]. In a prespecified subgroup analysis, compared with placebo, marine n-3 fatty acids resulted in an 18% lower incidence of the revised primary endpoint among women in the . Most of the secondary endpoints (e.g. fatal or non-fatal MI or stroke, fatal or non-fatal coronary event, and sudden death) did not differ between groups. However admissions for heart failure were significantly lower in the marine n-3 fatty acid group.

While these RCTs failed to show any benefit of marine n-3 fatty acids, they do have acknowledged limitations [69,70] which are worthy of mention. OMEGA was underpowered to detect any benefit on its primary outcome, sudden cardiac death, as power calculations were based on earlier RCTs in patients without more recent treatments and hence with higher background rates of sudden cardiac death compared to those seen in OMEGA. Furthermore, reported fish consumption was relatively high among the enrolled patients and increased during the trial thereby increasing dietary EPA+DHA intake and introducing a confounding factor. The trial also had a relatively short follow-up period of just one year. Regarding SU.FOL.OM3, the length of time between occurrence of primary cardiovascular event and commencement of supplementation (median of 101 days) was longer than that of GISSI-Prevenzione (≤ 3 months) and the dose of EPA+DHA used was lower than that of GISSI-Prevenzione (840 mg/d EPA+DHA) and JELIS (1.8 g/d EPA). There were also fewer than expected background cardiac deaths, perhaps also due to more effective pharmacological management of cardiovascular risk factors. With respect to Alpha Omega, despite the long follow-up time, the dose of EPA+DHA used was modest and enrollment to the trial occurred on average 4 years post-MI, despite the existence of data suggesting that early intervention with EPA+DHA is required to see a beneficial effect post-MI. ORIGIN included a large number of participants taking effective cardiovascular risk-reducing medications compared to earlier trials, and this may have made the cardioprotective effect of EPA+DHA harder to detect. The Risk and Prevention Study set out to examine the effect of marine n-3 fatty acids on the composite primary outcome of death, non-fatal MI and non-fatal stroke. However, the event rate at one year was lower than anticipated and the primary outcome was revised to hospitalisation or death from cardiovascular causes over the follow-up period.

Unsurprisingly, several meta-analyses conducted over the last ten years reflect the inclusion of these null RCTs and report more mixed conclusions than earlier meta-analyses ([21,22,71-79]; Table 3). A meta-analysis from 2013 (representing 11 RCTs and 15,348 patients with CVD) reported a 32%
reduction in cardiac death, 33% reduction in sudden death and a 25% reduction in myocardial infarction, with no effect on all-cause mortality or stroke [75]. This meta-analysis included only those RCTs with EPA+DHA dosages ≥ ~1 g/d and with a duration of ≥ 1 year. Another meta-analysis published in 2014, which included a broader range of marine n-3 fatty acid dosages and durations and focused only on patients with ischaemic CHD, reported that whilst there were no effects on cardiovascular events, there was a 12% reduction in death from cardiac causes, 14% reduction in sudden cardiac death and 8% reduction in all-cause mortality in those taking EPA+DHA versus placebo [76]. Published in 2017, a meta-analysis focusing solely on cardiac death as the outcome, examined 14 RCTs (involving 71,899 subjects in both mixed and secondary prevention settings) with duration of marine n-3 fatty acid supplementation of at least 6 months [77]. The study found an 8% lower risk of cardiac death in the primary analysis and a 13-29% lower risk of cardiac death in those participants with intakes of EPA+DHA > 1g/d and for certain sub-groups such as subjects with high plasma triglycerides or cholesterol, and where <40% subjects were taking statins.

**Table 3.** Meta-analyses published up to 2018 of RCTs investigating the effect of marine n-3 fatty acids on cardiovascular outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Form &amp; dosage of marine-3 fatty acids</th>
<th>Duration of treatment with marine n-3 fatty acids</th>
<th>Pooled effects of marine n-3 fatty acids versus placebo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bucher et al. 2002 [60]</td>
<td>11 RCTs (up to August 1999) representing 15,806 patients with CHD</td>
<td>Dietary (2 RCTs) and supplemental (9 RCTs) marine n-3 fatty acids with dose range 0.3-6.0 g/d EPA and 0.6-3.7 g/d DHA</td>
<td>6-46 months (mean 20 months)</td>
<td>30% reduction in fatal MI 30% reduction in sudden death 20% reduction in overall mortality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Studer et al. 2005 [61]</td>
<td>14 RCTs (up to June 2003) representing 20,260 participants in primary and secondary prevention settings</td>
<td>Supplemental marine n-3 fatty acids; dose range not given</td>
<td>Mean 1.9 ± 1.2 years</td>
<td>23% reduction in overall mortality 32% reduction in cardiovascular mortality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhao et al. 2009 [62]</td>
<td>8 RCTs (up to June 2008) representing 20,997 patients with CHD</td>
<td>Dietary (3 RCTs) and supplemental (5 RCTs) marine n-3 fatty acids with dose range 0.3-4.1 g/d EPA and 0.4-2.8 g/d DHA</td>
<td>9-108 months (mean 33 months)</td>
<td>57% reduction in sudden death in patients with prior MI 39% increased risk of sudden death in angina patients 29% reduction in cardiac deaths (NS) 23% reduction in all-cause mortality (NS)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Marik and Varon 2009 [63]              | 11 RCTs (up to December 2008) representing 39,044 patients with all stages of CVD including high risk and low risk subjects | Supplemental marine n-3 fatty acids with dose range 0.7-4.8 g/d EPA+DHA (mean 1.8 ± 1.2 g/d) | 1-4.6 years (mean 2.2 ± 1.2 years) | 13% reduction in cardiovascular deaths in high-risk patients 13% reduction in sudden cardiac death in high-risk patients 8% reduction in all-cause mortality in high-risk patients 8% reduction in non-fatal cardiovascular events in moderate risk patients.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Number of RCTs (up to)</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Main Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kotwal et al. 2012 [71]</td>
<td>20 RCTs (up to March 2011)</td>
<td>62,851 patients in the primary and secondary prevention settings</td>
<td>Diet (3 RCTs) and supplemental (17 RCTs) marine n-3 fatty acids with dose range 0.8-3.4 g/d EPA+DHA</td>
<td>6 months–6 years</td>
<td>14% reduction in vascular deaths</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kwak et al. 2012 [72]</td>
<td>14 RCTs (up to April 2011)</td>
<td>20,485 patients with CVD</td>
<td>Supplemental marine n-3 fatty acids with dose range 0.4-4.8 g/d EPA+DHA (mean 1.7 g/d EPA+DHA)</td>
<td>1-4.7 years (mean 2 years)</td>
<td>9% reduction in cardiovascular death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trikalinos et al. 2012 [73]</td>
<td>18 RCTs (up to May 2011)</td>
<td>51,264 patients</td>
<td>Supplemental marine n-3 fatty acids with dose range 0.27-6 g/d EPA+DHA</td>
<td>1-5 years</td>
<td>11% reduction in cardiovascular mortality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rizos et al. 2012 [74]</td>
<td>20 RCTs (up to August 2012)</td>
<td>68,680 patients in primary and secondary prevention settings</td>
<td>Diet (2 RCTs) and supplemental (18 RCTs) marine n-3 fatty acids with dose range 0.53-1.80 g/d EPA+DHA (median EPA+DHA dose 1 g/d)</td>
<td>1-6.2 years (median 2 years)</td>
<td>No effect on all-cause mortality, cardiac death, sudden death, MI or stroke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casula et al. 2013 [75]</td>
<td>11 RCTs (up to March 2013)</td>
<td>15,348 patients with CVD</td>
<td>Supplemental marine n-3 fatty acids with dose range 1.6-6 g/d EPA+DHA</td>
<td>≥ 1 year (duration ranged from 1-3.5 years)</td>
<td>32% reduction in cardiac death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wen et al. 2014 [76]</td>
<td>14 RCTs (up to May 2013)</td>
<td>32,656 patients with CHD</td>
<td>Supplemental marine n-3 fatty acids with dose range 0.4-6.9 g/d EPA+DHA</td>
<td>&lt; 3 months to 4.6 years</td>
<td>12% reduction in death from cardiac causes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chowdhury et al. 2014 [21]</td>
<td>17 RCTs (up to June 2013)</td>
<td>76,580 participants</td>
<td>Supplemental marine n-3 fatty acids with dose range 0.3 g/d EPA to 6 g/d EPA+DHA</td>
<td>0.1 – 8 years</td>
<td>7% reduction in coronary outcomes (NS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexander et al. 2017 [22]</td>
<td>18 RCTs (up to November 2015)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Supplemental marine n-3 fatty acids with dose range 0.4-5.0 4 g/d EPA+DHA</td>
<td>0.5-7 years</td>
<td>14-16% reduction in CHD in high-risk sub-groups i.e. those with elevated triglycerides and LDL-cholesterol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maki et al. 2017 [77]</td>
<td>14 RCTs (up to December 2016)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Supplemental marine n-3 fatty acids with dose</td>
<td>≥ 6 months (range 0.5-6.2 years)</td>
<td>8% reduction in cardiac death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>~13-29% reduction in cardiac death in subgroup of high risk</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The reduction of cardiovascular events with icosapent ethyl intervention trial (REDUCE-IT) published in early 2019 included 8,179 participants (29% in a primary prevention cohort with diabetes plus one other cardiovascular risk factor and 71% in a secondary prevention cohort) supplemented with 4 g daily of icosapent ethyl (a highly purified EPA ethyl ester) or mineral oil placebo and followed-up for a median of 4.9 years [80]. All patients were being treated with statins and had triglyceride concentrations of 135-499 mg/dL (1.52-5.63 mmol/L). The primary outcome was a composite of cardiovascular death, non-fatal MI, non-fatal stroke, coronary revascularisation, or unstable angina. The patients receiving icosapent ethyl had a statistically significant reduction in the primary outcome compared to placebo (hazard ratio 0.75; 95% CI 0.68-0.83; P<0.001), in the pre-specified secondary outcome (composite of cardiovascular death, non-fatal MI or non-fatal stroke) (hazard ratio 0.80; 95% CI 0.66-0.98; P = 0.03) and in a whole range of other pre-specified outcomes (Figure 2). This effect was greater in the secondary prevention cohort than in the primary prevention cohort [80]. Interestingly, as with JELIS, REDUCE-IT used EPA only but at a much higher dose (4 g daily versus 1.8 g daily) and included participants with high triglyceride levels and on statin medication. Accordingly, those participants in the icosapent ethyl arm had a significant reduction in triglycerides (a decrease of 0.5 mmol/l; p<0.001) and LDL-cholesterol (a decrease of 0.13 mmol/l; p<0.001) relative to placebo at 1 year. Of note, the improvement in the primary outcome in the icosapent ethyl arm of the trial was not dependent on baseline triglyceride level or the degree of subsequent triglyceride lowering, suggesting that the reduction in cardiovascular risk in this population may be via a different mechanism independent of (or in addition to) triglyceride lowering.

REDUCE-IT is of key interest as it demonstrates that even in at-risk populations that are well managed with modern pharmacological treatments, a suitably high dosage of EPA (i.e. 4 g daily) can provide additional benefit in reducing cardiovascular-related events and mortality.
Table 4. Summary of findings from the meta-analysis published by Hu et al. [81] in 2019.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Number of studies</th>
<th>Finding for marine n-3 fatty acids versus placebo</th>
<th>Finding if data from REDUCE-IT removed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rate ratio [95% confidence interval]</td>
<td>Rate ratio [95% confidence interval]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(P)</td>
<td>(P)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myocardial infarction</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.88 [0.83, 0.94] (0.0001)</td>
<td>0.92 [0.86, 0.99] (0.020)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHD death</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.92 [0.86, 0.98] (0.014)</td>
<td>Outcome not reported in REDUCE-IT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total CHD</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.93 [0.89, 0.96] (0.0001)</td>
<td>0.95 [0.91, 0.99] (0.008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total stroke</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.02 [0.95, 1.01] (0.569)</td>
<td>1.05 [0.98, 1.14] (0.183)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD Death</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.92 [0.88, 0.97] (0.003)</td>
<td>0.93 [0.88, 0.99] (0.013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total CVD</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.95 [0.82, 0.98] (0.0001)</td>
<td>0.97 [0.94, 0.99] (0.015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major vascular events</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.95 [0.93, 0.98] (0.0001)</td>
<td>0.97 [0.94, 1.00] (0.058)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CHD, coronary heart disease; CVD, cardiovascular disease.
6. Trusted authority views on marine n-3 fatty acids and CVD

In 2010, the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) concluded that EPA and DHA help to maintain normal cardiac function, normal blood pressure and normal blood concentrations of triglycerides in the general population [9]. In terms of the intake of EPA and DHA required to bring about these health claims, EFSA recommend an intake of 250 mg/day of EPA+DHA to maintain normal cardiac function, 3 g/d to maintain normal blood pressure and 2 g/d to maintain normal blood concentrations of triglycerides, as part of a healthy diet [9]. The American Heart Association (AHA) also supports the use of marine n-3 fatty acids. In 2018, the AHA published guidance in support of the dietary intake of fish in primary prevention [83]; specifically the AHA advisory recommends 1 to 2 seafood meals per week to reduce the risk of congestive heart failure, CHD, ischemic stroke, and sudden cardiac death. In recognition of the triglyceride-lowering effect of EPA and DHA, the AHA recently updated its earlier recommendation for the use of 2 to 4 g/d EPA+DHA for this indication: “we conclude that prescription n-3 fatty acids, whether EPA+DHA or EPA-only, are clinically useful for reducing triglycerides, after any underlying causes are addressed and diet and lifestyle strategies are implemented, either as monotherapy or as an adjunct to other triglyceride-lowering therapies” [84]. On the basis of the positive outcomes of REDUCE-IT, the European Society of Cardiology and the European Atherosclerosis Society issued an update to the “Clinical Practice Guidelines for the Management of Dyslipidaemias” specifically recommending that “in high-risk patients with [triglyceride] levels between 1.5 – 5.6 mmol/L (135-499 mg/dL) despite statin treatment, n-3 PUFAs (icosapent ethyl 2 x 2 g/day) should be considered with a statin” [85]. Furthermore, the American Diabetes Association make a recommendation “that icosapent ethyl be considered for patients with diabetes and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease or other cardiac risk factors on a statin with controlled low-density cholesterol, but with elevated triglycerides (135-499) to reduce cardiovascular risk” [86]. Finally, the National Lipid Association position is “that for patients aged ≥45 years with clinical [atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease] ASCVD, or aged ≥50 years with diabetes mellitus requiring medication plus≥1 additional risk factor, with fasting triglycerides 135 to 499 mg/dL on high-intensity or maximally tolerated statin therapy (±ezetimibe), treatment with icosapent ethyl is recommended for ASCVD risk reduction” [87]. In 2017, the AHA reinforced its earlier support for EPA+DHA in people with CVD [88] and extended this [89]: “the recommendation for patients with prevalent CHD such as a recent MI remains essentially unchanged: Treatment with n-3 fatty acid supplements is reasonable for these patients. Even a potential modest reduction in CHD mortality (10%) in this clinical population would justify treatment with a relatively safe therapy. We now recommend treatment for patients with prevalent heart failure without preserved left ventricular function to reduce mortality and hospitalizations (9%) on the basis of a single, large RCT. Although we do not recommend treatment for patients with diabetes mellitus and prediabetes to prevent CHD, there was a lack of consensus on the recommendation for patients at high CVD risk.... Because there are no reported RCTs related to the primary prevention of CHD, heart failure, and atrial fibrillation, we were not able to make recommendations for these indications.” In contrast to these well thought out and carefully worded statements by the AHA, in 2014 the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence in the UK recommended not to use marine n-3 fatty acids for the primary prevention of CVD, the secondary prevention of CVD, or in people with diabetes [90].

7. Summary, discussion and conclusions

There is a large body of evidence gathered from long-term prospective cohort studies that consistently demonstrates an association between higher intakes of fish, fatty fish and marine n-3 fatty acids (EPA+DHA) or higher levels of EPA and DHA in the body and lower risk of developing CVD, especially CHD, having an MI and cardiovascular mortality in the general population. This cardioprotective effect of EPA and DHA is plausible considering the robust identification of mechanisms that show that EPA and DHA beneficially modulate a number of the known risk factors for CVD such as blood lipids, blood pressure, heart rate and heart rate variability, platelet aggregation, endothelial function and inflammation. Despite this, evidence for primary prevention of CVD through RCTs is relatively weak. However, in high risk patients, especially in the secondary
prevention setting (e.g. post-MI), a number of large RCTs support the use of EPA+DHA (or EPA alone) as confirmed through a very recent meta-analysis [81]. Surveying these trials serves to highlight a number of factors which may have contributed to the positive outcomes reported and why other trials have had less conclusive or even null findings. Such factors include a sufficiently high dose of EPA alone, or of a combination of EPA+DHA; sufficient duration of supplementation; supplementation in post-MI patients to begin relatively soon post-MI; and the adequate powering of studies to detect an effect on the primary outcome which may have a low rate of occurrence. Given these considerations, it is unsurprising that studies of short duration or using low doses of EPA+DHA conducted in the setting of multiple pharmaceutical (and other) approaches to controlling risk have failed to demonstrate effects of EPA+DHA. As discussed elsewhere [91,92], there are also other factors to consider when evaluating and interpreting the literature in this field. The first is that the marine n-3 fatty acid naive condition is unlikely to occur, such that any placebo-controlled trial of EPA and DHA is conducted against a certain background intake of those fatty acids in all participants, although that background intake may be very low [5,6]. Nevertheless, background intakes of EPA and DHA can be highly variable both within and between individuals, with significant changes occurring simply by eating a single meal of fatty fish. Finally, the bioavailability of EPA and DHA can vary [93] a) among individuals due to physiological differences, b) according to intake of meals in relation to supplements, and c) perhaps according to time of day, so influencing how much of these bioactive fatty acids is actually available to exert their effects. Taken together, these factors highlight the challenges to conducting robust trials in humans with supplemental n-3 fatty acids, and they are likely to contribute to the variable outcomes from such trials, especially when low doses of EPA and DHA are used.

Recent AHA advisories support the use of marine n-3 fatty acids in treatment of hypertriglyceridemia [84] and of a range of patients with CVD [89] and of fish for primary prevention of CVD [83]. Both EPA and DHA beneficially modify a range of risk factors, although DHA may be more effective [37]. Nevertheless, the highly successful REDUCE-IT used pure EPA, although at a high dose [80]. Differentiating the dose dependent effects of EPA and DHA on cardiovascular risk factors and on clinical outcomes is going to be important. Furthermore, robust primary prevention trials are still needed. In the meantime, recent trials reviewed herein and the most recent meta-analysis support the use of marine n-3 fatty acids to reduce cardiovascular mortality.
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AHA American Heart Association
ALA alpha-linolenic acid
CHD coronary heart disease
CI confidence interval
CRP C-reactive protein
CVD cardiovascular disease
DHA docosahexaenoic acid
DPA docosapentaenoic acid
EFSA European Food Safety Authority
EPA eicosapentaenoic acid
HDL high-density lipoprotein
IL interleukin
LDL low-density lipoprotein
References


9. EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies (NDA); Scientific Opinion on the substantiation of health claims related to eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA), docosahexaenoic acid (DHA), docosapentaenoic acid (DPA) and maintenance. EFSA J. 2010, 8, 1796. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2010.1796.


