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Atomically thin materials offer unique optical, electronic and physical properties due to quantum confinement effects. Graphene has been the material that has primed the extensive research interest in the field. The lack of an energy bandgap in graphene helped to expand the research of 2D materials beyond graphene, in search for application tailored properties. The strongest overall candidate for electronic applications has since been Transition Metal Dichalcogenides (TMDCs). The metal-chalcogen bonds are strong covalent bonds that form stacked layers together by weak Van der Waals forces and can hence be easily separated to form individual layers. The significance of this ability lies in the fact that although TMDCs have an indirect bandgap in their bulk form, they transition to a direct bandgap in single layer form. This property is important for optoelectronic applications as it results in an enhanced photoluminescence quantum yield. A monolayer of such a material offers very high effective mobility that would otherwise require three times thicker single crystal silicon layer to reach. Transistors made of TMDCs have also been shown to reach the thermal transport limit achieving a subthreshold swing of as low as 60 mV/dec and on/off ratios of $10^8$. Those attributes make TMDCs an ideal candidate for next generation electronic and optoelectronic applications potentially replacing current material technologies. Due to the weak Van der Waals forces between layers one of the first methods explored to obtain single layers of graphene and TMDCs has been exfoliation and transfer techniques involving tape, chemical or mechanical methods. Those techniques
have been providing very high quality single crystal layers with excellent electronic and optoelectronic properties. A direct drawback of these methods is the lack of scalability. For this reason, there has been a collective research effort in the community towards the development of direct growth methods for TMDCs that are scalable and can be used in traditional top-down fabrication processes. Scalable techniques have recently included RF sputtering, CVD and ALD techniques that use solid, metal halide or organic precursors. Most of those studies rely on the transfer of the TMDC after it has been grown in order to form electronic devices such as field effect transistors. The main reason for this is that during the growth process the dielectric integrity of the underlying SiO$_2$, on which the films are commonly grown, is compromised. This work aims to tackle the scalability of 2D materials by devising methods directly applicable to wafer scale production. In particular, for TMDCs a combination of Atomic Layer deposition and Thermal reaction is used to form a few layer MoS$_2$ on a SiO$_2$ substrate without the need for transfer to perform as an FET device. Using ALD, a thin layer of MoO$_3$ is first formed on the SiO$_2$ and then annealed in a CVD reactor in presence of H$_2$S. As the wafers are already coated with MoO$_3$ during the high temperature anneal in H$_2$S the SiO$_2$ quality is preserved removing the need to transfer to a fresh substrate and therefore enabling the practical upscale of the technology. This thesis discusses the methods developed by the author for growing 2D films of graphene, MoS$_2$ and HfS$_2$. The results from the characterization of the films at a variety of growing conditions provide a comprehensive guide to optimizing the film growth for optoelectronic and electronic applications. Moreover new fabrication protocols have been designed in order to accommodate the fragile nature of 2D materials while making high performance devices. This work provides an array of devices as performance demonstrators such as FET, fiber modulator, mechanochromic metamaterial and graphene photodetector. The most significant achievement of this work is the design of the full fabrication protocol for high performance FET devices and the resulting performance of these devices. It was demonstrated that a subthreshold slope of under 180 mV/dec and an on/off ratio of more than $10^4$ can be achieved with directly grown transistors in a readily scalable process.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2D</td>
<td>Two dimensional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALD</td>
<td>Atomic Layer Deposition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANOVA</td>
<td>Analysis of Variance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APCVD</td>
<td>Atmospheric Pressure Chemical Vapor Deposition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar</td>
<td>Argon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au</td>
<td>Gold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBM</td>
<td>Conduction Band Minimum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₄</td>
<td>Methane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>Copper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD</td>
<td>Chemical Vapour Deposition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOE</td>
<td>Design of Experiments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUV</td>
<td>Deep ultraviolet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBL</td>
<td>Electron Beam Lithography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC</td>
<td>Ethylene carbonate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUV</td>
<td>Extreme Ultraviolet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeCl₃</td>
<td>Iron(III) chloride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEM</td>
<td>Finite Element Method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FET</td>
<td>Field Effect Transistor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIB</td>
<td>Focused Ion Beam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FWHM</td>
<td>Full Width Half Maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ge</td>
<td>Germanium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂</td>
<td>Hydrogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF</td>
<td>Hydrogen Fluoride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HfCl₄</td>
<td>Hafnium tetrachloride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HfS$_2$</td>
<td>Hafnium disulfide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOPG</td>
<td>Highly Oriented Pyrolytic Graphite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPCVD</td>
<td>Low Pressure Chemical Vapour Deposition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoO$_3$</td>
<td>Molybdenum Trioxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoS$_2$</td>
<td>Molybdenum disulfide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOSFET</td>
<td>Metal Oxide Semiconductor Field-Effect Transistor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N$_2$</td>
<td>Nitrogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NbS$_2$</td>
<td>Niobium disulfide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>Nickel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMP</td>
<td>N-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>Palladium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>Polystyrene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTAS</td>
<td>Perylene-3,4,9,10-Tetracarboxylic Acid Tetrapotassium Salt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTCDA</td>
<td>Perylene-Tetracarboxylic Dianhydride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGO</td>
<td>Reduced Graphene Oxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIE</td>
<td>Reactive Ion Etching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sccm</td>
<td>Standard Cubic Centimeters per Minute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>Silicon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiC</td>
<td>Silicon Carbide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO$_2$</td>
<td>Silicon Dioxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS</td>
<td>Subthreshold Slope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STM</td>
<td>Scanning Tunneling Microscope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TaS$_2$</td>
<td>Tantalum disulfide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMDC</td>
<td>Transition Metal Dichalcogenide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TVS</td>
<td>Thermal Vapour Sulfurization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHV</td>
<td>Ultra High Vacuum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV</td>
<td>Ultraviolet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VBM</td>
<td>Valence Band Maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS$_2$</td>
<td>Tungsten disulfide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XPS</td>
<td>X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZrSe$_2$</td>
<td>Zirconium diselenide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 History of semiconductor technology

The idea of the field effect transistor was conceived and patented by E. Lilienfeld in 1930 by presenting schematics of a three electrode structure for controlling electric currents although no device was presented [1]. The first transistors fabricated were developed in late 1947 by Bell labs and William Shockley. This was a semiconductor amplifier based on n-type Germanium that was contacted by two gold contacts named base and emitter, when voltage was applied on the base the current flowing through the emitter was modulated with an amplified output signal [2]. For the next ten years research was focused on achieving higher purity, uniform semiconductor materials and advancing doping techniques. In late 50’s Germanium was replaced by Silicon because Ge was exhibiting currents at the off state (leakage current) which was increasing the total power consumed by the device. The first FET device was fabricated by Bell labs in 1963 almost 40 years after the Lilienfeld patent. The architecture of the device was a sandwich structure using silicon as the semiconducting material a thermally grown silicon dioxide layer and a metal on top of the oxide the well known today metal – oxide – semiconductor (MOS). Since then silicon is the key material for electronic devices as it offers high performance, it is relatively cost effective and offers a high
level of integration constituting silicon based metal oxide semiconductor field effect transistors (MOSFETs) as the main building block of most integrated electronics.

The down scaling of silicon transistors to achieve a higher level of integration follows Moores law which states that the number of transistors on a chip is doubling approximately once every 2 years, this has recently become a technological challenge due to physical limitations of the material itself and of the MOSFET fabrication process. We are now at the 7nm node and the prediction is that by 2020 we will be at the 5 nm node [3]. At these sizes the channel lengths of the transistors are just a few atoms giving rise to short channel effects reducing their reliability and performance. It is therefore apparent that to keep up with the increasing demand for higher performance logic and memory an improvement of the physical properties is required. A change of material is therefore imminent. The new materials are required to demonstrate properties such as higher carrier mobilities, high thermal conductivity without increasing cost.

1.2 The rise of two dimensional materials

The realization of two dimensional materials was predicted by Landau not to be viable due to thermodynamical instability that would lead to segregation of islands or total decomposition.[4] That was until 2004 when the first two dimensional material, graphene, was isolated by Novoselov and Geim by using the scotch tape exfoliating technique[5]. This led to a boom of new two dimensional materials such as TMDCs and a plethora of new applications driven by the properties of these materials [6]. Graphene drew the attention because of its intrinsic properties such as high carrier mobility up to $20000 \text{ cm}^2 \cdot \text{V}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ an ambipolar nature and a remarkable absorption of broadband light for a 2D thick material that is 2.3 %, saturable absorption at low light intensities that also can be tuned by applying a gate voltage, making graphene a candidate material as a future optical element for the generation of ultrashort laser pulses etc.[5] Although graphene exhibits a remarkable mobility which is essential for logic operation it also exhibits an inherent
drawback. As it is a semimetal it has no energy band gap making it challenging to fabricate switching devices such as FETs. There has been a series of studies where the engineering of a bandgap was explored but as this is not an inherent property of the material and is challenging to achieve its implementation in logic chips is hindered. Fortunately, the solution to the bandgap issue came with another member of the 2D family, TMDCs. TMDCs at their bulk form are indirect semiconductors that when scaled down to 2D crystals due to quantum confinement they exhibit a direct band gap that can be tunable, according to the number of their layers [6]. FET TMDCs have been fabricated exhibiting large mobilities high on – off ratios and close to excite sub – threshold slopes (SS), these properties are constituting TMDCs promising materials for field effect transistors. Furthermore TMDCs having a large variety of bandgaps affected by their number of layers and elemental composition within the visible electromagnetic spectrum has made them candidates for a number of optoelectronic applications such as phototransistors, photodiodes flexible electronics and biosensors all of which can be integrated to already existing optoelectronic and electronic technologies [7].

1.3 Synopsis

2D materials is a very young research topic offering the attraction and excitement of the new to any material scientist. They have immensely interesting physics to explore and engineering hurdles to solve. The real challenge after their isolation from their bulk counterparts lies into chemically synthesizing not just as small experimental samples, but in large scales while preserving the characteristics of their ore forms. This is so that they can be assimilated into commercial processes that could disrupt the semiconductor industry. This is the challenge, motivation and goal of this work. To develop reliable protocols for growing wafer scale two dimensional materials with electrical and optical performance as close as possible to their single crystal form. To achieve this goal this study concentrated in the fundamentals of material composition and their growth using chemical vapor deposition, atomic layer deposition and thermal vapour sulfurization techniques.
Chapter 1. *Introduction*

The development of reliable protocols for growing wafer scale two-dimensional materials through chemical vapor deposition (CVD) techniques and atomic layered deposition for electronic and optoelectronic applications was the main motivation of this research work. The first part of this thesis which includes Chapters 2 and 3, presents the physical properties of graphene and MoS$_2$ including its different crystal structures its Raman characteristics and electron transport properties. Chapter 3 presents the main routes of isolating 2D materials via different methods that have been presented in the literature including the chemical exfoliation of MoS$_2$ flakes to its growth in larger scales and transfer to fresh substrates for fabrication of functional devices. Following, the basic growth techniques for graphene are presented, namely the epitaxial growth and CVD growth. A closer examination of the graphene CVD parameters is presented in order to use this knowledge for the experimental chemical vapor depositing of graphene. Finally the devices from the aforementioned growth techniques for MoS$_2$ and graphene are presented and compared. Chapter 4 presents the experimental growth of graphene by employing low pressure chemical vapor deposition (LPCVD) and the Raman characteristics of the different protocols used. The deposition of the TMDC HfS$_2$ is also presented by using HfCl$_4$ as the hafnium precursor by LPCVD using H$_2$S as the sulphurizing agent followed by the characterization of the films grown. Finally the growth of wafer scale MoS$_2$ by sulphurization of atomic layer deposited MoO$_3$ in H$_2$S environment is presented. A design of experiments of the sulphurization process was used in order to grow wafer scale MoS$_2$ in order to have a first insight of the sulphurizing process. Chapter 5 presents the protocols developed for fabricating the grown materials into field effect transistors and hetero-structures. Finally the DC characteristics of as grown MoS$_2$ bottom gated and ionic liquid top gated FETs are presented. Chapter 6 contains collaborative work with Dr Artemios Karvounis on the direct CVD growth of MoO$_3$–MoS$_2$ stack on a metamaterial surface towards mechanochromic devices. Finally Appendix A presents two different works I was a part of, resulting to a fiber modulator and a photodetector employing wafer scale CVD grown graphene.
Chapter 2

Physical properties of 2D materials

2.1 Introduction

Two dimensional materials such as graphene and TMDCs present a plethora of physical properties that arise from their crystal and electron configurations. In this chapter we are underlining the basic properties of graphene and an insight on its Raman properties. A short introduction to the TMDC family is presented followed by the basic physical characteristics of MoS$_2$, including the evolution of its band structure from bulk to monolayer, the different crystal structures that can be obtained, the Raman fingerprint and finally its limitations presented through its electron transfer properties.

2.2 Graphene properties

Carbon atoms contain six electrons in an (1s)$^2$(2s)$^2$(2p)$^2$ electronic configuration. Although carbon has just two unpaired electrons in the outer shell (2p) in the ground state, it can form bonds with more than two atoms. Due to the small energy difference between 2s and 2p it is easy for an electron in the 2s state to appear in
2p and therefore it is possible to create hybrid orbital between the two states (sp2 hybrization). Figure 2.1 gives a spatial 3D representation where the carbon atoms are connected through sp2 hybrization resulting from the combination of one s - orbital with two p - orbitals, with three other carbon atoms in a 120 degree angle configuration forming a honeycomb crystal structure with two carbon atoms in each unit cell. One s orbital in combination with 2p orbitals of an atom combine to a planar assembly with 120 degree angle between hybrid orbitals forming a σ bond. The length of these bonds is 1.42 Angstroms and they are responsible for the mechanical properties of graphene. The remaining electron is in a p orbital state in the perpendicular direction of the sp2 and forms a π bond with adjacent p - orbitals. This combination of pi orbitals creates a huge orbital which allows the easy movement of electrons across the plane of graphene and therefore giving it its exceptional conductivity.

![Figure 2.1: Graphene orbitals](image)

Graphene is a zero band gap semiconductor (otherwise known as a semimetal) where the top energy of the valence band is the same as the bottom energy of the conduction band. This point is where the Fermi level lies. An electric potential can be used to move the Fermi level to higher or lower energies, which explains graphene’s ambipolar behaviour in the presence of an electric field. This behaviour is governed by the Dirac equation [9]. The charge carriers can therefore be tuned
between electrons and holes in concentrations as high as cm$^2$ and their mobilities can exceed 15,000 cm$^2$·V$^{-1}$·s$^{-1}$, by applying an electric field. The electric field is effectively changing the Fermi level inducing holes or electrons[10]. Figure 2.2 is a representation of the position of the Fermi level of a graphene layer when applying different gate voltages. The rapid decrease of resistivity is apparent when positive or negative gate voltage is applied, which is a result of the high carrier mobility. For negative gate voltages the Fermi level lies within the valence band of the energy spectrum and therefore holes are the majority carrier, while for the positive gate voltages Fermi level shifts to the conduction band where electrons are the majority carriers. When no gating is applied the Fermi level rests at the Dirac point where the valence and conduction bands meet exhibiting its higher resistivity. Graphene can therefore be tuned to be an excellent conductor.

![Graphene ambipolar effect](image)

**Figure 2.2:** Graphene ambipolar effect, positive gating induces electron and negative induces holes [11].

Graphene being a semimetal exhibits no energy bandgap and therefore it cannot be used as a semiconductor in its standard form. Nevertheless engineering of a band gap can be achieved in two ways. The first way to open a bandgap is by stacking two layers of graphene with Bernal stacking orientation in which where half of the
carbon atoms of the upper layer are located in the empty centers of the first layer graphene hexagons and applying a double electric field. The stacked graphene layers exhibit two parallel conduction bands above the two valence bands where the lowest conduction band and the highest valence band are in touch resulting in a zero bandgap. When a top and a bottom gating field is applied two effects are induced. First the difference of the fields cause a shift of the Fermi level resulting to a carrier doping and second the average of the two fields applied that lead to the breaking of the bilayer inversion symmetry leading to the opening of a bandgap of 250 meV [12] [13]. Figure 2.3 represents the bandgap opening in bilayer graphene.

The second route is by fabricating graphene nanoribbons in which case the lateral confinement of the charge carriers leads to a bandgap opening. With this method nanoribbons down to 15 nm widths have been fabricated exhibiting a band gap opening of approximately 200 meV [14]. The on-off ratios achieved by this method are very poor restricting the use of graphene as a field effect transistor. The fabrication of such structures leads to unwanted and unpredictable effects due to the existence of armchair and zigzag edge states, with the armchair configuration exhibiting semiconducting properties while the zigzag present a metallic nature. This mixture of states is leading to areas that are becoming semiconducting and others staying metallic. These mixed states are connected in series and it has been shown that the transport characteristics are dominated by the semiconducting segments of the nanoribbons.[15].
2.2.1 The Raman signature of graphene

Raman spectroscopy is a method where laser irradiation is shone on the material to be characterized, then the scattered light by the material is measured. The incident light interacts with the vibrations in the material and the scattered light returned to the sensor. Figure 2.4 gives an energy representation of the two main scattering mechanisms in Raman spectroscopy. Most of the light is an elastical scattering result of Rayleigh scattering where incident photons excite an electron in the ground state to a virtual state and falls back to its original energy state radiating scattered light with the same energy as the excitation photons. Raman scattering is classified into two inelastic scattering processes, Stokes Raman scattering and anti-Stokes Raman scattering. In Stokes Raman scattering the scattered light has less energy (longer wavelength) than the incident light since the excited electron falls back to a vibrational state rather than its ground state. In the case of anti - Stokes scattering an electron is excited from a vibrational state and falls back to the ground state resulting in scattered light with higher energy (shorter...
wavelength) from the incident photons. Wavelengths that are very close to the initial wavelength of the laser are filtered out, as they are likely just reflections off of the material.

One of the challenges with graphene is observing its actual successful formation and determining its quality. Raman spectroscopy is an effective technique that can provide information in a nondestructive manner about the number of layers the density of defects and the presence of doping. Figure 2.5 depicts the Stokes phonon energy shift caused by laser excitation, two main peaks are present in the Raman spectrum: G (1580 cm$^{-1}$), a primary in plane vibrational E$_{2g}$ phonon mode at the Brillouin zone centre, and 2D (2690 cm$^{-1}$), a second order overtone of a different in plane vibration. D (1350 cm$^{-1}$) is a third peak resulting from the breathing modes of the six atom rings and it comes from transverse optical (TO) phonons around the K or K’ points in the first Brillouin zone. The D and 2D peak positions are dispersive (laser excitation energy dependent) [16].

Figure 2.6 shows that as the number of graphene layers increases from one layer to bulk, because of added forces from the interactions between layers, the spectrum changes from that of a single layer graphene, to one giving a wider, shorter and higher frequency peak. The G peak also experiences a smaller red shift from
increased number of layers. In that way, the number of layers can be derived from the peak intensity ratio $I_{2D}/I_G$, as well as the position and shape of these peaks. For one layer graphene experiments have shown that this intensity ratio must be at least two. Doping in graphene is known to shift the Fermi level from the Dirac point, decreasing the probability of excited charge carrier recombination. This reduced recombination sharpens the G peak, decreasing its FWHM. Doping graphene also decreases the intensity of the 2D peak [17] [18]. Besides the thickness and doping of graphene the Raman modes can be used in order to extract information on the quality of the graphene sheet by quantifying the amount of defects through the analysis of the D and G peaks. For large perturbations the peak intensity ratio of these peaks ($I_D/I_G$) has been shown to provide good quantification of the crystal disorder while for small ones the frequency integrated intensity ratio has been used[19].

\textbf{Figure 2.5:} Typical monolayer exfoliated graphene Raman spectrum [16].
2.3 Transition metal dichalcogenides

TMDCs are binary compounds with the generalized formula of MX$_2$ where M is a transition metal from the groups IV, V, VI, VII, IX and X is an element of group 16, namely a chalcogen connected to the metal. Figure 2.7 is a representation of the TMDCs on the periodic table with some of the transition metals being partially coloured indicating that not all dichalcogenides can form layered structures. TMDCs consist of two planes of hexagonally arranged chalcogen atoms (S, Se, Te) linked to a hexagonal plane of transition metal atoms via covalent bonds while in their bulk form each layer is held together by weak van der Waals forces. This lack of out of plane bonds results in a material capable of ideal interfaces.

The lack of out of plane bonds and weak interlayer bonding has been utilized over the years in lubrication technology because of the low friction and unreactive nature of bulk molybdenite and now is exploited in order to isolate monoatomic sheets of this family of materials. TMDCs exhibit a wide range of physical properties that
Figure 2.7: The possible combinations of Transition metal dichalcogenides [20].

differ for different element combinations. These include semiconductors as MoS$_2$, metals as NbS$_2$, semimetals as TiSe$_2$ and superconductors as TaS$_2$ [21][22][23].
2.3.1 The MoS₂ case

MoS₂ in its bulk form is an indirect semiconductor with a bandgap of 1.2 eV. In its two dimensional form with the one layer thickness at 0.65 nm, perpendicular quantum confinement arises restricting the carrier movement in only two dimensions leading to a substantially different band structure from that of the bulk state, corresponding to a wider bandgap of 1.8 eV. This bandgap transition is depicted in figure 2.8 where it can be observed that the indirect band gap consists of a valence band maximum (VBM) at the $\gamma$ - point and a conduction band minimum (CBM) at a midpoint along $\gamma$ - K symmetry lines. When the number of layers is reduced to one atomic layer, the VBM and the CBM move toward the K-point and a direct band gap is obtained [24]. This direct electronic structure results in an enhancement of the photoluminescence quantum yield that is not existent for the thicker counterparts. Figure 2.8(b) shows typical photoluminescence of MoS₂ upon excitation with 532 nm laser irradiation where two strong resonances emerge at 677 nm and 627 nm, these resonances are denoted as A1 and B1 excitons and are the direct excitonic transitions at the Brillouin zone K point between the split valence band maxima and the conduction band minimum. [25] [26]
2.3.1.1 The MoS\(_2\) crystal

Dickinson and Pauling were the first to report on the crystal structure of MoS\(_2\) in 1923 by characterizing the material with XRD. These were the first experiments confirming the hexagonal lattice of the material. It was found that each molybdenum atom is surrounded by six sulphur atoms that are located at the corners of a small triangular prism.[27]

MoS\(_2\) being a layered material where each layer comprises a monolayer sheet of molybdenum atoms sandwiched between two layers of sulphur atoms. This results in a layer thickness of 6.5 Angstroms that is the sum of the Van der Waals gap and the sulphur - molybdenum - sulphur covalent bond thickness, can have multiple stacking orders of its layers resulting in differentiated polymorphs. Single layer MoS\(_2\) exists in two types of polymorphs, the trigonal prism (1H-MoS\(_2\)) and the octahedral coordination (1T-MoS\(_2\)). Although the crystal structure of each layer
is identical for few layer MoS$_2$ the 1H stacking results into the hexagonal 2H and the rhombohedral 3R polymorphs where more than one layer is present. Each of these polytypes are presenting unique properties. Most studies are focused on 2H and 1T polymorphs since 2H is an intrinsic indirect semiconductor and natural occurring, while 1T being metastable with a metallic nature that can be synthesized by intercalating 2H sheets with lithium ions that cause the gliding of one of the sulphur planes resulting in the 1T - phase. The different polymorphs of MoS$_2$ are shown in 2.9 while their different properties are shown in 2.10.

**Figure 2.9:** The various polymorphs of MoS$_2$ a) Monolayer 1H, 1T, 1T' b) bilayer 2H, 3R and trilayer 3R- stacking [28].

**Figure 2.10:** The different properties of MoS$_2$ polytypes [29].
2.3.1.2 MoS$_2$ Raman fingerprint

The Raman spectrum of bulk MoS$_2$ exhibits four modes that can be seen in figure 2.11, an in plane optical vibration of the rigid atomic bond, denoted as $E_{2g}$, an in plane optical vibration of S atoms denoted as $E_{1g}$, $E_{1g}'$ an in plane optical vibration of the Mo+S atoms in the basal plane and $A_{1g}$ an out of plane optical vibration of S atoms along the c axis [30].

Upon thinning this spectrum changes substantially as it reaches monolayer thickness. The energy difference between the Raman frequencies of $E_{2g}$ and $A_{1g}$ peaks, varies monotonously with the layer number of ultrathin MoS$_2$ and can therefore be used as a reliable method to identify the number of layers. When the layer number increases, the interlayer Van der Waals force in MoS$_2$ suppresses atom vibration, resulting in higher force constants. This should lead to a blue shift for both $E_{2g}$ and $A_{1g}$ modes. This blue shift of the $A_{1g}$ peak with increasing layer number is consistent with the predicted stiffening. On the contrary, $E_{2g}$ peak exhibits a red shift, instead of a blueshift, suggesting that increased interlayer Van der Waals force plays a minor role, while stacking induced structure changes or long range Coulombic interlayer interactions in multilayer MoS$_2$ may dominate the change of atomic vibration.[31]. Furthermore the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the in plane vibrational mode $E_{2g}$ can be used as an reliable indication of lattice
distortions and overall crystalline quality of the film, the smallest FWHM of the $E_{2g}$ Raman mode appears with a monolayer single crystal at 3.7 cm$^{-1}$ [32][33]. Figure 2.12 typical Raman spectra for different number of layers for exfoliated MoS$_2$ excited by a 532 nm laser where the $A_{1g}$ and $E_{2g}$ blue and red shifts can be clearly observed. Figure 2.13 lists the peak frequencies for different thicknesses and different laser excitations.

![Figure 2.12: MoS$_2$ $A_{1g}$ and $E_{2g}$ Raman modes for different number of layers under 532nm laser excitation [34].](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Laser line [nm]</th>
<th>$E_{2g}$ peak frequency [cm$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>$A_{1g}$ peak frequency [cm$^{-1}$]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>325</td>
<td>384.2</td>
<td>404.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>488</td>
<td>384.7</td>
<td>404.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>514.5$^{nm}$</td>
<td>384.3</td>
<td>404.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>532</td>
<td>384.7</td>
<td>404.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>532.8</td>
<td>385.3</td>
<td>404.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 2.13: Evolution of Raman peaks in MoS$_2$ and the Raman peaks for different number of layers for different excitation energies [34].](image)
2.3.1.3 The MoS$_2$ XPS signature

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) is the most widely used method for analyzing the surface of solid samples. Its operation is based on the photoelectric effect that was first described by A. Einstein. A typical XPS spectrometer is presented in the following figure and as it can be seen it’s consisted by three elements a light source, an electron energy analyzer and an electron detector.

The light sources are usually Mg or Al cathode X-ray tubes providing X-ray radiation with energy of a few thousand eV. Upon radiation with this energy of a solid sample the electrons of the inner core shells of the material are excited and emitted. Although the high energy X-rays can penetrate the film the first 3 nm - 4nm of the material’s electrons are excited. The number of the electrons emitted and their kinetic energy can by quantified upon detection but most importantly the binding energy of the emitted electrons being sensitive to their chemical environment results in shifts of the binding energy that are called chemical shifts and can be employed to obtain information on the chemical status of the elements present in the material. The binding energy of a core level electron can be easily calculated by the formula [35]

\[
E_B = h\nu - E_{\text{kin}} - \Phi
\]

where $h\nu$ is the light source excitation energy $E_{\text{kin}}$ the kinetic energy of the electron and $\Phi$ the work function.

XPS is a powerful technique for evaluating the stoichiometry of MoS$_2$ the main Mo3d peaks (IV oxidation states) 3d$_{5/2}$ and 3d$_{5/2}$ spin orbit peaks at binding energies of 229 eV and 232 eV respectively, with conjuction of the s2p spin orbit splited peaks S 2p$_{3/2}$ and 2p$_{1/2}$ at binding energies of 161.9 eV and 163 eV. Furthermore peaks at 236 eV and 234.5 eV are associated to the oxidation of molybdenum while peaks at 164.1 eV and 169 eV are elemental unbound sulphur oxidized sulphur atoms respectively. Nevertheless shifts of up to 0.8 eV to lower binding energies have been attributed to the shift of the Fermi level closer to the
valence band than to a chemical state rendering MoS\textsubscript{2} p type. Mcdonell et al. have come to this conclusion supported STM imaging and stoichiometry results that correlated oversulphurized MoS\textsubscript{2} to p type characteristics and sulphur deficient MoS\textsubscript{2} down to 1.8:1 to n type characteristics\cite{36}.

2.3.1.4 MoS\textsubscript{2} electron scattering mechanisms

The transport of carriers in single layer MoS\textsubscript{2} is confined in one plane. In that way the scattering and transport properties are affected by four mechanisms: i) Coulomb scattering ii) surface interface phonon scattering iii) acoustic and optical phonon scattering and iv) roughness scattering. These mechanisms are affected by the layer thickness, effective carrier masses, temperature, carrier density and the phonon band structure.

For two dimensional MoS\textsubscript{2} the Coulomb scattering mechanism increases because of the intimate proximity of the carriers and charged impurities such as chemical residues, absorbents, surface dangling bonds and crystal imperfections present in the interface between the semiconductor and the dielectric material the semiconductor is deposited or transferred to. Furthermore the carrier concentration in MoS\textsubscript{2} is a function of ionic impurities such as sulphur deficiencies that give rise to its intrinsic n - type nature, making the Coulombic effects even stronger and reducing the carrier mobility. For thicker films \( t > 1L \) the inter-facial impurities contribute to the scattering of the carriers but the lattice phonon vibrations is the main scattering mechanism. The surface interface phonon scattering is induced by the physical roughness of the dielectric material in contact with the semiconducting material or by the ripples and wrinkles in the material itself created by the transfer methods. As shown in figure 2.14(a) at low temperatures (\( T = 100 \text{ K} \)) the carrier mobility is dominated by acoustic phonon scattering, whereas at room temperatures the carriers are dominated by optical phonon scattering mechanisms.

The transition of the band gap from indirect to direct for a single layer and the shift of the band structure results in a change in the electron - phonon interactions for 2D and thicker films. Phonon - electron Coulombic and roughness suppression
Figure 2.14: a) Single layer MoS$_2$ carrier mobility as a function of $T$ b) carrier mobility for multilayer MoS$_2$ (dashed line) and the scattering mechanisms versus temperature [37][38].

can be realized by employing high $k$ dielectric materials by substantially increasing the limited by these effects carrier mobility. [39] [40] [38]
Chapter 3

2D materials, synthesis routes and state of the art devices

3.1 Bottom up and top down synthesis

There are two general approaches to produce ultra-thin materials (nanomaterials), top-down and bottom-up. In the bottom-up approach, nanomaterials are synthesized by the interaction of atoms or molecules through chemical reactions. The precursors (starting chemicals) used in the synthesis of materials are substances usually in liquid, vapour or gaseous form that chemically react, sublimate, evaporate or dissociate to form a material. This route produces nanomaterials with low contamination, chemical homogeneity and minimum defect sites. In the top-down approach the nanomaterial to be synthesized is isolated from the same material at its bulk form. The bulk material is broken into smaller fragments provided sufficient energy. The energy delivery can be applied by different routes. For TMDCs and graphene the most important synthesizing approach is CVD because of its high yield and scalable capabilities\[41\] [42]. For graphene epitaxial growth on silicon carbide is an important technique for its synthesis as well but the cost is much higher than CVD because silicon carbide that is used is a high cost material and because the technique itself is power consuming [43]. On the other hand the lowest
yield and not scalable technique is micromechanical cleavage, the also known as
the scotch tape technique, the technique first used to isolate a two dimensional
material [10]. Table 3.1 presents categorized the basic top down and bottom up
techniques for graphene synthesis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOP DOWN</th>
<th>BOTTOM UP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Micromechanical cleavage</td>
<td>Chemical vapor deposition (CVD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal exfoliation and reduction</td>
<td>Epitaxial growth on SiC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrolytic exfoliation</td>
<td>Reduction of CO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical synthesis through oxidation of graphite</td>
<td>Unzipping carbon nanotubes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 Micromechanical cleavage

The first isolation of a 2D material, graphene, was achieved by using microme-
chanical cleavage of graphite. The technique as presented in figure 3.1 is as simple
as repeatedly peeling graphite until a single layer is isolated. The drawback in
this technique is that monolayers of graphite, graphene, are only a few microm-
eters wide and are amongst a haystack of numerous multilayers and graphitic
flakes. Furthermore observing the monolayers on arbitrary substrates is impossi-
ble, only on a silicon wafer with specific thickness of silicon dioxide can graphene
be observed due to interference contrast. Nowadays instead of plain graphite,
highly oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG) is being used to mechanically exfoliate
graphene. HOPG exfoliation results in high quality graphene samples that are
used as benchmarks for graphene samples created with other techniques [10].

3.2.1 Chemical Vapour Deposition

Chemical vapor deposition is a technique used for solid thin film deposition on
substrates by using vapor precursors that chemically react to produce the desired
film. Typical components of a CVD system presented in figure 3.2 are a vacuum
pump if the reaction is to take place in vacuum, the delivery system that is a set of mass flow controllers and valves that feed in the reaction chamber the reaction gases, where the chemical reaction in order to produce the film takes place. The gases reach the reaction chamber after the mixing unit where the gases are uniformly mixed. Finally if needed, the energy required for the reaction to take place is provided by a furnace that encloses the reaction chamber providing energy in the form of heat [41]. CVD of graphene is a low cost, scalable and high yield technique that provides control of the number of layers deposited as well as means to dope graphene by introducing the suitable gases in the reaction chamber. The main components of graphene deposition via CVD are the substrates used to promote graphene synthesis, the gases fed in the reaction chamber, the pressure used and the temperature [45] [46] [47]. This technique was employed for depositing large area single layer graphene films, in the next chapters the fundamentals of graphene CVD are being introduced.
3.2.1.1 Substrate effect

The right choice of substrate for graphene CVD is crucial. That is because the substrate dictates the route of the graphene formation. The first substrate that successful graphene deposition was achieved was nickel. The formation route in this case is carbon segregation. Using this route of synthesis has proven to be difficult in terms of controlling the number of layers deposited. That is because Ni has a high solubility of carbon and furthermore carbon precipitates out at the grain boundaries of polycrystalline Ni substrate resulting to more layers of graphene at the boundaries and non-homogeneous films. Copper is known to have low solubility of carbon, that is why it is more preferred for graphene CVD. Furthermore copper acts as a catalyst in order to reduce the decomposition temperature of the carbon carrier gas. Due to its low carbon solubility the formation of graphene takes place at the surface of the metal resulting to a single layer homogeneous film. Nevertheless there are drawbacks by using copper as well. The main drawback is that graphene grows in a polycrystalline way adapting the polycrystalline nature of the substrate making the film inferior compared to mechanical exfoliation [49] [50].
3.2.1.2 Gasses effect  Role of Hydrogen

In order to synthesize graphene via CVD there is a need for a carbon carrier gas. Methane is commonly used as a carrier gas but surprisingly when used by itself there is no graphene deposition. The carrier gas is used synergistically with hydrogen which acts in a dual manner as well. It acts as an activator of surface-bound carbon that leads to the monolayer growth and as an etching reagent that controls the size and morphology of the resulting graphene domains. In that context we understand that hydrogen–methane partial pressures can be tuned in order to achieve graphene layers with larger domains enhancing the properties of the grown graphene [46][51][52].

3.2.1.3 Pressure effect

Synthesis of graphene can be achieved either using low pressure CVD (LPCVD) or atmospheric pressure CVD (APCVD). The major difference between these two techniques is the activation energy for graphene nucleation. In LPCVD the activation energy is 4 eV while in APCVD it is 9 eV. In LPCVD the sublimation of copper leads to a lower nucleation density while in APCVD copper sublimation is suppressed leading to a higher nucleation density. Lower nucleation density results in graphene with bigger domains and better properties resembling single crystal graphene. The final difference between APCVD and LPCVD is that in the case of LPCVD the formation of graphene is self terminating, meaning that when the copper foil is covered with a monolayer it is difficult to deposit a second layer, when in APCVD the multilayer deposition is more prominent, though the effect is not fully yet understood [47][53].

3.2.1.4 Temperature effect

Graphene films can be obtained by using temperatures as low as 850 °C. Even at this temperature at low pressure there is apparent copper sublimation that acts as stated in the previous section. Below 850°C the graphene films deposited exhibit
a high level of defects that may be a result of low graphitization at these scale of temperatures. The higher the temperature used during deposition the more efficient the decomposition of the carrier gas which leads to more carbon reactive species to be deposited on the substrate. Furthermore the copper films are pre-annealed before the deposition stage in order to increase the Cu grain size and clean the surface [45][54].

Summarizing the above effects we can now describe the graphene CVD mechanism. Exposure of Cu to hydrogen in order to clean the material and enlarge its grains in order to synthesize graphene with bigger domains. Introduction of catalytic carbon carrier gas and its decomposition at high temperatures in order to form carbon reactive species. Depending on the temperature used, carrier gas pressure-flow and hydrogen partial pressure, the Cu surface is saturated under saturated, or supersaturated with carbon species. Finally the formation of nuclei takes place on the copper foil as a result of supersaturation. The nuclei growth forms graphene islands that expand until the whole substrate surface is covered.

### 3.2.2 Epitaxial growth on Silicon Carbide

Thermal decomposition of SiC presented in figure 3.3 is an alternative way to CVD for obtaining large area graphene sheets. The main advantage of this method is that the insulating SiC substrates can be used for fabrication of electronic devices. Epitaxial growth has been demonstrated by using UHV and atmospheric pressure processes. C. Berger etal. produced ultrathin epitaxial graphite on Si terminated (0001) face of single-crystal 6H-SiC by thermal desorption of Si. The samples were first H$_2$ etched, in order to remove the oxide. After the removal of the oxide samples were heated to temperatures ranging from 1250 °C to 1450 °C in UHV, under these conditions, the vapour pressure of C is orders of magnitude smaller compared to that of Si as a result desorption of Si atoms leave on the top surface of the substrate a few layer graphene film [55]. K.V. Emtsev et al. have grown graphene on Si terminated SiC by annealing at higher temperatures (1650 °C) at atmospheric pressure in Ar atmosphere. By annealing in an Ar environment at
atmospheric pressure the evaporation rate of Si is reduced and only commences at much higher temperatures than that of the UHV protocol. That high temperature resulted in an improved surface morphology of the grown film whereas the higher pressure resulted in thinner graphene films [56]. The effects of the of C terminated and Si terminated SiC have also been explored. Experiments have shown that Si terminated SiC leads to small domains up to 100 nm in diameter, whereas the C terminated SiC can grow larger domains up to 200 nm but the grown material is multilayered disordered graphene. Finally the epitaxial growth of graphene is not a self-limiting process producing inhomogeneous films making the process curently unreliable for fabrication of large scale device production [57] [58] [59]. A modified process for wafer scale of up to two layer epitaxial graphene was presented by C. Dimitrakopoulou et al. by fabricating high frequency transistors. For the growth 6H SiC wafers were used. First the wafers were cleaned from the oxide contamination by annealing at 810°C under silane flow, subsequently an annealing step at 1140°C and 8*10^−7 Torr was employed for the surface reconstruction of the SiC wafer. Finally the wafers were annealed at 1450°C at a pressure of 3.5*10^−4 Torr for the epitaxial growth of graphene. This process flow resulted in high mobility up to 4000 cm^2·V^−1·s^−1 and cutoff frequencies up to 100 GHZ [60].

\[\text{Figure 3.3: Epitaxial growth of graphene on SiC [61].}\]
3.2.3 Transfer methods

After the deposition of graphene on a copper substrate the material must be transferred to the suitable substrate for further fabrication. This step is crucial because it is very easy to inflict defects to the material due to its atomic nature, by wrinkling or tearing. The basic concept for transferring 2D materials presented in figure 3.4 is using a sacrificial polymer layer. The copper substrate is spin coated with a polymer resulting in a thin sacrificial layer, then the substrate is etched in a suitable solution leaving the polymer graphene stack floating in the solution. The graphene etching treatments use iron chloride (FeCl$_3$) or ammonium persulfate ((NH$_4$)$_2$S$_2$O$_8$). The stack is cleaned by rinsing and then transferred to the desired substrate. Last step is the removal of the polymer by immersing it to the suitable solvent. Removal of polymer from graphene is quite challenging and residues can be removed by further annealing of the sample[62].

![Figure 3.4: Etching protocol for graphene transfer][1]

Figure 3.5 shows a different approach of transferring that involves the electrochemical delamination of graphene instead of etching. In this technique the copper graphene sample is spin coated with a sacrificial polymer as well and then the copper is used as a cathode and a glassy carbon rod as anode. An aqueous solution of K$_2$S$_2$O$_8$ is used as an electrolyte. The Cu electrode is then polarized with DC voltage resulting to hydrogen bubbles at the graphene copper interface gently delaminating the graphene film [63].
3.3 2D MoS$_2$ exfoliation and synthesis routes

MoS$_2$ and all the TMDCs, as graphene, can be exfoliated due to the weak inter-layer bonds [55] [45] and can be synthesized by various protocols from the top-down bottom-up routes. There are many techniques for the growth of single layer and multilayer films yielding different results when fabricated as electronic or photonic devices mainly because of the different stoichiometries and their deposited configuration of isolated flakes or polycrystalline films. The most commonly used techniques of exfoliation, CVD techniques employing different precursors and thermal vapour sulphurization of molybdenum and molybdenum oxide deposited thin films will be presented. As this thesis is mainly concentrated on 2D materials for electronic applications we will compare different synthesis methods by looking at the performance of field effect transistors made by each process were these are presented.

3.3.1 Exfoliation

As in graphene single and few layer MoS$_2$ flakes were first isolated by mechanical exfoliation [64]. The exfoliation process is using a bulk crystal that is repeatedly peeled off by a Scotch tape until single layer thin crystals are produced. Those
crystals are typically a few tenths of micrometers wide in their lateral dimensions. Those thin cleaved crystals are subsequently brought into contact with a substrate. This is usually a Silicon substrate with a SiO$_2$ layer on the top surface, as it can provide the basis of a bottom - gated transistor. Typically SiO$_2$ thicknesses used are 100 nm and 280 nm as the red violet colours creates a sufficient optical contrast due to interference with the thin layers of MoS$_2$, which is imperative for locating the crystals and performing processing such as optical lithography [65]. A modified technique for exfoliating larger crystals with approximately 200 $\mu$m lateral sizes has been presented by GZ Magda et al. The exfoliated crystals, were exfoliated using a thermal release tape and first transferred onto epitaxially grown Au instead of Si/ SiO$_2$ in order to exploit the chemical affinity of sulphur to gold. After the transfer to the gold substrate the thermal tape was heated up and removed leaving the flakes on the gold substrate. Short ultrasonic treatment was used for detaching the top thicker flakes transferred. This technique resulted in flakes of lateral sizes up to hundreds of microns. Initially, the exfoliated flakes were transferred onto a Si/ SiO$_2$ substrate. To achieve this, thermal release tape was used to create a sacrificial substrate while gold was etched to release the MoS$_2$ flakes before transferring to the new Si /SiO$_2$ substrate. The AFM analysis revealed heavy contamination that was attributed to gold contamination that most probably was originated during the chemical etching step [66].

Liquid exfoliation is a solution processing approach where either the bulk material is submerged in a solvent and undergoes an ultrasound treatment exfoliating the weakly coupled layers or ion intercalation is used to decouple layers from the bulk [67] [68]. Electrochemical exfoliation was proposed by Liu et al as a method for liquid exfoliation of mono and few layer nanosheets. In this method a bulk MoS$_2$ is used as the anode a Pt wire as the cathode, and 0.5 M Na$_2$SO$_2$ solution is used as the electrolyte. A DC bias is applied between the anode and the cathode in order for the exfoliation to take place. The steps that are used are, firstly applying a low positive bias in order to wet the bulk MoS$_2$ crystal and then a higher bias voltage for approximately two hours is applied in order to exfoliate the crystal. After this process the surface of the solution is occupied by a large number of MoS$_2$ flakes.
Those flakes are collected by vacuum filtration and redispersed in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone in order to achieve a uniform distribution of 2D containing solution. The mechanism of electrochemical exfoliation of bulk MoS$_2$ crystals involves the application of a positive bias to the working electrode, in order for the oxidation of water to take place and produce OH and O radicals assembled around the bulk MoS$_2$ crystal. The OH and O radicals and/or anions insert themselves between the MoS$_2$ layers and weaken the van der Waals interactions between the layers. Second, oxidation of the radicals and/or anions leads to a release of O$_2$ and/or SO$_2$, which causes the MoS$_2$ interlayers to greatly expand. Finally, MoS$_2$ flakes are detached from the bulk MoS$_2$ crystal by the erupting gas and are then suspended in the solution. The surface of the bulk MoS$_2$ crystal is oxidized during electrochemical exfoliation, as the XPS analysis revealed with the oxide atomic percentage of the exfoliated flake at 15.6% revealing the quality and degree of oxidation of the exfoliated MoS$_2$ nanosheets. This technique provides MoS$_2$ where 7% is monolayer and 70% 2 - 5 layers [69]. From figure 3.6 the different results of the techniques introduced are presented, in figure 3.6(a) the scotch tape exfoliated monolayer as seen is of a few microns size and multilayer flakes are apparent either isolated or on the monolayer flake itself. The gold mediated efoliation is presented in figure 3.6(b) where it can be seen that the monolayer is drastically bigger in size but multiple multilayer regions can be seen in the field of view of the microscope. An electrochimacally exfoliated film is presented in figure 3.6(c) via SEM imaging where the arbitrary distribution flakes is apparent.

Figure 3.6: a) Exfoliated MoS$_2$ flake by Scotch tape b) chemical adhesion assisted to gold exfoliation C)SEM image of electrochemically exfoliated thin film [70] [66] [69]
3.3.2 CVD of MoS$_2$

The chemical vapour deposition technique has been employed for the synthesis of MoS$_2$ as well. There are two main approaches, that both use precursors in vapour or gaseous form. The first method is based on the use of two precursors, a solid precursor that contains Mo and the second precursor that is either sulfur at a high temperature for achieving sufficient vapour pressure or H$_2$S gas. The second method starts with Mo or MoO$_3$ in a solid form either already deposited on a wafer or melted in a crucible. The sulphurization sources are as the first method. The first one is using precursors in gaseous forms that are reacting in the gaseous form and precipitating and the second one is employing a deposited transition metal or transition metal oxide film that is subsequently inserted into a CVD chamber for the sulphurization process. The later techniques is mentioned as thermal vapour sulphurization (TVS).[71] [72] [73] The transition metal oxides are preferred for the CVD process due to their low melting point of 795°C providing sufficient vapour pressure. The reaction of H$_2$S or sulphur mixed with hydrogen is a step reaction, by first producing MoO$_{3-x}$ subsequently a mixture of MoOS$_2$ which is a mixture of MoS$_2$ and MoO$_{3-x}$ until the final synthesis of MoS$_2$.

The first step for depositing MoS$_2$ via CVD is to choose a substrate for the deposition. MoS$_2$ can be deposited on amorphous Si / SiO$_2$ substrates and fabricated as bottom gated FET devices where the Si acts as the global back gate electrode and SiO$_2$ as the gate dielectric. Nevertheless the material grown suffers from small domains and the annealing in high temperature sulphur enviroment may cause diffusion of sulphur atoms in the oxide detiorating its characteristics [74][75].The epitaxial growth results in better crystallinity of the deposited material as larger crystals can be grown. For epitaxial growth the substrate must be crystalline and atomically smooth having similar lattice constants to MoS$_2$. MoS$_2$ forms a hexagonal crystal structure with lattice constants of $a=3.15$ Angstroms and $c=12.3$ Angstroms. Substrates with similar structures that can be used for epitaxial growth are $c-$plane sapphire, GaN, SiC and mica [76] [77] [78]. Figure 3.7 shows the MoS$_2$ unit cell on that of sapphires being rotated by 30° where seven
unit cells of MoS$_2$ equals to eight unit cells of sapphire. This rotation is resulting in 13% of lattice mismatch for the epitaxial grown of MoS$_2$ on sapphire. The drawback of epitaxial growth is that the as grown film has to be transferred to Si / SiO$_2$ a process that induces wrinkles and discontinuities to the 2D material [79] [80] [81].

An analysis of the CVD sulphurization process by using the precursors MoO$_3$ and sulphur on Si / SiO$_2$ was presented by V. Senthilkumar et al. In this experiment different amounts of MoO$_3$ were used under different sulphur concentrations giving an insight on the different stoichiometries and different shapes of deposited domains. The molybdenum precursor was placed in a quartz tube at atmospheric pressure with N$_2$ flow of 10 sccm with the temperature set to 830°C while Sulphur was placed upstream at lower temperature. The temperature was held for 5 minutes for the deposition to take place and then it was naturally cooled down. When 3 mg of MoO$_3$ and low content of sulphur was used rectangular shaped molybdenum oxy sulfide was deposited. By increasing the sulphur content triangular and hexagonal shaped domains of MoOS$_2$ and MoS$_2$ were deposited, upon further
increasing of the sulphur content a partially continuous film by the merging of the nucleated domains was observed with numerous domains deposited on top of them. Next the MoO$_3$ content was examined by keeping a high content of sulphur. When low content of the metal oxide precursor was used (0.26 mg) triangle domains were deposited with sizes around 5 µm, upon increasing the content of the metal precursor (0.5 mg flakes grew bigger with sizes between 40 - 100 µm. A final increase of the moly precursor (1.9 mg) led to large grain boundaries except at the edges of the substrate covering an area of 0.8 cm$^2$. Further increase in the moly precursor resulted in thick films with observable grains and isolated flakes deposited on top. [71]

Lee et al. employed the typical CVD procedure by placing MoO$_3$ powder in a ceramic boat and a Si/SiO$_2$ substrate facing down and mounted on the top of the boat. A separate ceramic boat with sulfur powder was placed next to the MoO$_3$ powder. Prior to the growth, a droplet of aqueous reduced graphene oxide (rGO), perylene-3,4,9,10-tetracarboxylic acid tetrapotassium salt (PTAS) or perylene-tetracarboxylic dianhydride (PTCDA) solution, is spun on the substrate surface followed by drying at 50 °C. These are acting as seeding sites. During the synthesis of MoS$_2$ sheets, the reaction chamber is heated to 650 °C in a nitrogen environment. At that high temperature, MoO$_3$ powder is deposited on the substrate and further react with sulfur vapor to grow MoS$_2$ films. This technique provides MoS$_2$ films that are consisted of monolayer, bilayer and other few−layer MoS$_2$. The device mobilities where measured to be up to 0.02 cm$^2$ · V$^{-1}$ · s$^{-1}$ [83]. Table 4.1 lists a number of the various protocols for CVD of MoS$_2$ that have been presented over the years employing MoO$_3$ and sulfur precursors with varying temperatures and amount of precursor masses.

In a third approach shown in figure 3.8 by C.C. Huang et al. the precursors are introduced in their gaseous form and no seeding sites are used, making the procedure straightforward. The deposited films cover areas >1000 cm$^2$. The protocol is based on APCVD at ambient temperatures and a two step annealing process. Solid MoCl$_5$ precursor which has a relatively high pressure at room temperature is kept in a bubbler and delivered at the reaction tube without heating in the form
Table 3.2: CVD of MoS$_2$ using as precursors MoO$_3$ and sulfur

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MoO$_3$ (grams)</th>
<th>S (grams)</th>
<th>T (°C)</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>Carrier gas flow</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10 sccm Ar</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100 sccm Ar</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5 sccm Ar</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>150 sccm Ar</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10 sccm Ar</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1 sccm N2</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

of vapor by argon carrier gas, then H$_2$S is introduced in the reaction tube resulting in the deposition of an amorphous MoS film. The actual composition of the thin film deposited is Mo$_2$S$_6$Cl$_{13}$ for that reason the first annealing step is used to remove Cl atoms in an environment of H$_2$ - H$_2$S at 500°C followed by the second annealing step at 900°C at H$_2$S environment in order to convert the amorphous phase of MoS$_3$ (grown after the reduction step at 500°C) to MoS$_2$.[89]

3.3.3 Transfer of TMDCs

In order to fabricate back gated FET devices after growth, TMDCs are transferred from the as grown substrate.[80][62] As in graphene the first protocols for transferring TMDCs involved a sacrificial polymer layer on top of the material and etching of the substrate. But in the case of TMDCs in order to etch the insulating
substrates harsher alkali or HF is being used. These highly corrosive substances deteriorate the properties of TMDCs. For that reason less aggressive protocols with high yield must be used for the transfer to suitable substrates. We will review two different approaches that are friendlier to the material and preserve the growth substrate for further depositions after transfer of the material. The first technique is based on ultrasonic delamination. The TMDC is spin coated with a polymer solution that is cured in order to remove the solvent. Then the sample is immersed in a H\textsubscript{2}O ultrasonic bath. The delamination of the material begins to happen within a minute and then is ready for transfer to the desired substrate. Finally the polymer is removed with the suitable solvent. The mechanism of delamination is presented in figure 3.9. During ultrasonication millions of cavitation bubbles are generated at the initial of the negative pressure period of the ultrasonic wave, these bubbles rapidly expand into larger bubbles until the pressure suddenly switches from negative to positive. In a short time, these bubbles are compressed and collapse at the rising of the positive pressure period, releasing an enormous amount of energy, which produces enough force in the interface between Polymer/MoS\textsubscript{2} stack and the insulating substrate. Within many cycles, the bubbling forces steadily delaminates the PMMA/TMDC stack from the growth substrate [81].

![Figure 3.9: Mechanism of action of the ultrasonic delamination [81]](image)

The second technique presented in figure 3.10 is using the hydrophobic nature of TMDCs and the hydrophilic nature of c-plane sapphire in order to delaminate the material with H\textsubscript{2}O. A thin layer of polystyrene (PS) is spin-coated on the as grown MoS\textsubscript{2} films on sapphire substrates followed by a baking process at 80
°C – 90 °C for 15 min. Then a water droplet is dropped on the PS layer. The water is expected to penetrate between the TMDC film and the substrate due to different surface energies but the penetration actually cannot start by itself. This is because the intimate adhesion of the synthesized film with the substrate blocks the penetration. A gentle poking at the edge of the polymer/TMDC assembly with a sharp object, that create cracks at the edge, starts the water penetration. The polymer/TMDC assembly is then lifted off instantly and floats on top of the water droplet. The detached polymer TMDC assembly is dried by sucking the water droplet away with a paper towel. Then the material is transferred on the desired substrate. The final step is the baking of the transferred assembly at 80°C for 1 h to remove water residues and then at 150°C for 30 min to spread the polymer for the elimination of possible wrinkles. Finally polystyrene is dissolved in toluene bath [90].

Figure 3.10: Water assisted transfer[90]
3.4 Graphene and MoS$_2$ devices

With the isolation of two dimensional graphene crystals the employment of graphene and TMDCs as the active materials in field effect transistors became a common approach. Nevertheless the amazing properties of 2D materials has led to applications beyond typical FETs such as p–n diodes, memristors, electrical biosensors etc.[91][92][93]

Figure 3.11 depicts a typical field effect transistor that is consisted of a gate, a channel region, between a source, a drain electrode and an insulating layer that separates the channel region active material from the gate electrode. The principle of operation of a typical FET is the control of the channels conductivity, thus the output drain current, by a voltage that is applied perpendicular to the channel between the source and the gate, V$_{gs}$. The ideal FET material should have four requirements. The material must be able to switch off for use in digital logical so it must have a sizeable bandgap. The current output should saturate with increasing source drain voltage in order to obtain high power gain. Short channel effects, a detrimental issue for devices nowadays, should be suppressed, otherwise the intrinsic properties of the material and thus the device are deteriorated. And finally high carrier mobility of the material is needed for the FETs to respond quickly to the variations of V$_{gs}$. Graphene fulfills these requirements except the bandgap, though there are reports of a graphene bandgap through epitaxial growth, or by biasing double layer graphene and finally by the fabrication of nanoribbons.

![Figure 3.11: Typical top gated FET configuration](image-url)
A typical graphene FET consists of a highly doped silicon that acts as the back gate with a silicon oxide grown on top of it. Then 2D graphene is transferred to the oxide and by EBL or photolithography patterning source and drain electrodes are being defined and deposited. This kind of device is easier to fabricate since the substrate acts as a universal back gate for multiple devices. Nevertheless, this architecture has major drawbacks such as large parasitic capacitances and degradation of the intrinsic high mobilities of the graphene carriers, due to charged impurities[94]. The alternative approach to this device architecture is that, of a the top gated devices, by employing alternative high k dielectrics such as HfO$_2$ and Al$_2$O$_3$, which is more demanding during the fabrication but reduces the parasitic capacitances and the degradation of the carriers mobilities [95] [96]. The carrier type and density in the graphene channel are governed by the potential difference between the gate and the channel. If positive gating is applied, electron accumulation is promoted, and if negative gate is applied, a p-type channel is created. This ambipolar behavior can be observed in the transfer curve with two branches, one for hole, and one for electron conduction. The minimum point between those two branches is the Dirac point. The position of the Dirac point is dependent on the type of doping, the difference between the work functions of the gate and the graphene and the density of the charges at the interface of the channel [95] [97] [98]. Typical output characteristics of a CVD grown graphene FET is presented in 3.12 where the p-branch is shown for negative gate voltages due to hole conduction and the n-type branch for positive voltages due to electron conduction.

TMDCs being the 2D analogue of graphene are also employed as field effect transis-tors. The most studied TMDC MoS$_2$, like most of these materials, has a bandgap when isolated down to one atomic layer. From the knowledge achieved by the graphene FETs, MoS$_2$ back gated and top gated devices have been presented in the literature, their respective configurations are presented in figure 3.13. The back gated devices on top of Si SiO$_2$ present low mobility in the order of 0.1 10 cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$. The dominant cause for these low room-temperature mobilities
in MoS$_2$ devices are inflicted by charge traps present at the interface between the substrate and the MoS$_2$ layer. The deposition of high−k dielectrics show an improvement of mobility due to suppression of Coulomb scattering due to the high k dielectric environment and the modification of phonon dispersion in MoS$_2$ [100]. Furthermore by using a top gate a stronger electrostatic doping of the MoS$_2$ can be achieved due to the higher dielectric constant and the smaller thickness of the high k dielectric layer compared to that of the bottom−gate SiO$_2$.

Another key parameter of MoS$_2$ FETs is the metal semiconductor contact. A common issue in 2D TMDCs is the Fermi level pinning of the TMDC and the metal. This leads to the formation of a Schottky barrier. Although small Schottky barriers with different metals like Titanium have been achieved between few−layer or multilayer MoS$_2$ and contact metals, even these small Schottky barriers still influence the output characteristics of the device [101] [102]. A number of different metals with different work functions shown in figure 3.14 such as Au, Ni, Pd have been used in order to achieve a pure Ohmic contact between MoS$_2$ and the metal pads.[103]
Chapter 3. 2D materials, synthesis routes and state of the art devices

Figure 3.13: Typical MoS$_2$ configurations of Si / SiO$_2$ back and top gated FETs [100].

Figure 3.14: Work functions of MoS$_2$ and typical metal contacts [103].
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The lowest Schottky barrier that has been reported has been achieved by using Scandium contacts. MoS$_2$ FET with scandium contacts and high k dielectric has presented the highest mobility as well, reaching $700 \text{ cm}^2 \cdot \text{V}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$. [104] The following curves are characteristic curves of mechanically exfoliated MoS$_2$ FETs depicting a clear saturation region, in contrast to graphene as stated before. In figure 3.15 we observe a steep subthreshold slope at 60 mV per decade. At negative gate voltages, the drain current rises again indicating the formation of an inversion channel. Figure 3.16 shows the MoS$_2$ characteristic curves by electrochemical exfoliation exhibiting lower on off ratio and a higher subthreshold slope when compared to the mechanically exfoliated MoS$_2$.

![Figure 3.15: Transfer curve of a MoS$_2$ FET][105]

The electronic properties of monolayer measured by fabricating transistor devices is about $1.2 \text{ cm}^2 \cdot \text{V}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ [69].
Following the successful exfoliation of two dimensional MoS$_2$ flakes and their fabrication into FETs a number of techniques have been pursued for the wafer scale deposition towards electronic applications, including CVD, thermal vapour sulfurization and ALD. Table 3.3 summarizes the aforementioned techniques and the electrical properties of the grown films.

**Table 3.3: FETs fabricated by syntesized MoS$_2$**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Growth method</th>
<th>Gate</th>
<th>Dielectric</th>
<th>On/Off</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CVD</td>
<td>Back</td>
<td>SiO$_2$</td>
<td>$10^4$</td>
<td>[83]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD</td>
<td>Top</td>
<td>HfO$_2$</td>
<td>$10^5$</td>
<td>[106]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TVS</td>
<td>Back</td>
<td>SiO$_2$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[107]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TVS</td>
<td>Back</td>
<td>SiO$_2$</td>
<td>$10^4$</td>
<td>[108]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TVS</td>
<td>Back</td>
<td>SiO$_2$</td>
<td>$10^5$</td>
<td>[109]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALD</td>
<td>Top</td>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>$10^5$</td>
<td>[110]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chapter 4

Experimental synthesis of 2D materials

4.1 Introduction

This chapter contains the experimental techniques developed for depositing and growing 2D materials through CVD for graphene where the effects of pressure temperature and the ratio of $H_2$ to $CH_4$ were evaluated towards high quality monolayer graphene. CVD of HfS$_2$ was investigated for wafer scale deposition using HfCl$_4$ and H$_2$S, while ALD - TVS techniques were used for the growth of MoS$_2$. ALD was selected due to its high uniformity deposition yield of stoichiometric thin films, a condition of high importance for few layer atomically thin films such as MoS$_2$ on a wafer scale.

4.2 Graphene LPCVD

The first step for the graphene CVD was the temperature calibration of our CVD system presented in figure 4.1, so we have a good knowledge of the actual area that has the predefined temperature we have selected while the CVD system setup
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is presented in Figure 4.2. The callibration measurements gives as the maximum copper foil that can be used in order to deposit homogeneous graphene samples.

![Figure 4.1: Tube furnace calibration](image1)

**Figure 4.1:** Tube furnace calibration

![Figure 4.2: CVD system](image2)

**Figure 4.2:** CVD system [89]

For the gas delivery gas flow meters were used controlling a gas line with a mixture of 5% CH$_4$ diluted in high purity Ar as a carrier gas a second gas line of 6% H$_2$ diluted in high purity Ar and Ar as a carrier gas. A single zone furnace that can reach up to 1200 $^\circ$C was used. The CVD reactor seen in figure 4.3 consisted of a 50 mm OD quartz tube were a a 40 mm OD tube was inserted carrying a Cu foil
with dimensions of 10 cm * 10 cm wrapped on the inner tube. The copper foil used for these experiments were 25 µm 99.8% purity from Alfa Aesar.

Before the CVD protocol the copper foil was cut to the appropriate size and cleaned with acetone, isopropanol and dried with nitrogen gas. The next step was immersing the foil in an acetic bath for 10 min. to remove the native oxide of the copper foil that can hinder the deposition of graphene and reduce its average roughness. Then the 10 cm x10 cm Cu foil is carefully wrapped around the inner tube, by being extremely cautious not to structurally damage it. Then finally the reactor was cycled five times from 1013 mbar to 3 mbar with Argon flow at 500 sccm in order to remove the oxygen. Upon the elimination of oxygen from the CVD reactor the temperature was set to the deposition temperature with a ramping rate of 20ºC / min solely with Ar flow. H2 gas was inserted at 900 ºC for 30 min. in order to eliminate the copper’s native oxide. Finally the methane was injected in the CVD system for the appropriate time and pressure for the graphene deposition. After the deposition the furnace is moved away from the copper foil in order to rapidly cool down the system, the overall heating profile of the CVD process is presented in figure 4.4. This has no physical or chemical aspect as it would have in Nickel based graphene CVD and is just implemented for minimizing the overall CVD procedure time.
4.2.1 Graphene CVD process optimisation

Graphene CVD was examined in wide optimisation windows at varied pressures, gas ratios and temperatures in order to extrapolate safe results of the optimum parameters of the protocol. All samples were analyzed by Raman spectroscopy. For the analysis of the graphene Raman peaks all graphs were corrected by subtracting the baseline of the plots in order to eliminate the fluorescence from the copper foil and analyzed by Lorentzian fitting. For the quality of the CVD grown graphene the ratio of the D/G was examined while for the successful monolayer deposition the ratio of the 2D/G.

For the graphene optimisation process first the pressure parameter was investigated. The deposition temperature (850 °C) and gas flows (100 sccm /210 sccm for 6% H₂ and CH₄ respectively) were held constant while the pressure was varied at 20 mbar, 250 mbar and 500 mbar. Figure 4.5(a) shows the annealing at 20 mbar resulted in monolayer deposition giving a ratio of 2.7 for the 2D/G peaks and a ratio of 0.15 for the D/G. In Figure 4.5(b) the results for the 250 mbar deposition show an increase of the D/G ratio at 0.22 while the 2D/G peaks revealed a monolayer ratio of 3.05. Finally deposition at 500 mbar Raman results in 4.5(c) revealed bilayer deposition of graphene with a significant increase at the defect ratio at 0.7.
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Figure 4.5: Raman characterization of graphene CVD growth at different pressures of a) 20 mbar b) 250 mbar c) 500 mbar

Next the gas ratios of methane and H\textsubscript{2} were varied at 100 sccm / 210 sccm, 210 sccm / 100 sccm and 100 sccm / 100 sccm for 6% H\textsubscript{2} and 5% CH\textsubscript{4} respectively while maintaining the deposition temperature at 900 °C and pressure at 20 mbar. Figure 4.6(a) shows the annealing at 100 sccm / 210 sccm at 950°C presented a small D peak unresolved by the Lorentz fitting while introducing a gas ratio of 210 sccm / 100 sccm seen in 4.6(b) resulted in the emergence of the defect peak with ratio of the D/G at 0.2. For the 100 sccm / 100 sccm gas flows results shown in
4.6(c) the defect ratio dropped at 0.18 highlighting the need of excessive H\textsubscript{2} during the deposition. For these parameters of gas ratios all the depositions resulted in monolayer graphene with 2D/G peak ratios between three and four.

![Raman characterization of graphene CVD growth at different gas ratios](image)

**Figure 4.6:** Raman characterization of graphene CVD growth at different gas ratios of 6\% H\textsubscript{2} / 5\%CH\textsubscript{4} a) 100 sccm / 210 sccm b) 210 sccm / 100 sccm c) 100 sccm / 100 sccm

Finally the temperature effect was examined with depositions at 825\(^\circ\)C, 925\(^\circ\)C and 1025\(^\circ\)C, the gas ratio was kept at 210 sccm / 100 sccm and the pressure at 20 mbar. The deposition temperature was limited at this upper limit to avoid copper evaporation with copper having a melting point at 1085\(^\circ\)C. All the depositions...
in this experiment resulted in monolayer depositions with the ratio of the 2D/G between three and four. The defect ratio for the 825°C deposition showed in figure 4.7(a) was found at 0.2 while increasing the temperature at 925°C shown in figure 4.7(b) reduced the defect ratio at 0.18 while further increase of the temperature presented in figure 4.7(c) resulted in higher defect ratio at 0.32.

**Figure 4.7:** Raman characterization of graphene CVD growth at different deposition temperatures at a)825°C b)925°C c)1025°C

Overall the minimum defect density was found for a gas ratio of 100 sccm / 210 sccm for H₂ / CH₄ at 20mbar and temperature of 900°C. Reducing the temperature
resulted in higher defect densities that can be attributed to the non complete decomposition of the methane gas while the defect increase at higher temperatures can be explained by the slow vaporization of copper at high temperatures close to its melting point.

4.3 **HfS$_2$ growth via HfCl$_4$ LPCVD**

Up to now in the literature there has been presented a number of TMDCs exhibiting a band gap sufficient for optoelectronic applications. Nevertheless TMDCs lack the high mobility graphene exhibits for implementation in applications. A number of TMDCs shown in figure 4.8 with sizeable bandgap has been predicted and mobilities compared to that of silicon or even higher[111]

![Figure 4.8: High mobility TMDCs [112]](image)

In the previous chart we can observe five TMDCs with high carrier mobilities from which up to today none of them, excluding ZrSe$_2$ [113], has been deposited via CVD whereas some of them have been deposited by other means [114]. We have chosen as material of interest HfS$_2$ disregarding the disambiguate information of
its bandgap [115]. Recently an exfoliated few layered phototransistor by K. Xu et al. was presented exhibiting high photoresponsivity [116].

In order to deposit HfS$_2$ we have chosen as hafnium containing precursor the metal halide HfCl$_4$. The software Factsage was used in order to validate the thermodynamical feasibility of the reaction of the precursor with H$_2$S. The chosen parameters for the synthesis was pressure of 20 mbar and temperature of 850$^\circ$C. Figure 4.9 shows that the reaction of the precursor with H$_2$S for the chosen parameters is complete providing pure H$_2$S with no apparent byproducts such as amorphous HfS$_3$ or unreacted chlorides.

![Factsage software screenshot](image)

**Figure 4.9:** Factsage software screenshot where the CVD parameters chosen resulted in HfS$_2$ with no byproducts present.

The experiment was carried out in order to establish the deposition feasibility on Si/SiO$_2$ and sapphire substrates. The substrates were treated with piranha solution for 2 minutes in order to remove any organic contaminants and in order to promote the surface hydrophilicity. The CVD deposition took place in a three zone furnace. The first zone was heated at 175$^\circ$C for the precursor to reach the desired vapor pressure and the third zone used for the deposition was heated to 850$^\circ$C. Figure 4.10 the precursor placed in a quartz injection tube inserted in a
bigger CVD tube. When the desired temperature is reached the precursor zone is heated and when the setpoint temperature is reached flow of H₂ (50 sccm) through the injection tube is initiated. In order to reduce the transfer of the solid precursor to the substrates before the deposition step the system is kept at 900 mbar while Argon (200 sccm) is flowing through the main CVD tube and no gas is flowing through the injection tube. When the deposition step is initiated the system is pumped to 20 mbar.

![Figure 4.10: The injection tube containing the precursor and the CVD tube](image)

After the deposition all the samples were characterized using Raman spectroscopy using 532 nm laser irradiation. Bulk HfS₂ exhibits two prominent peaks, one at 337 cm⁻¹ and one at 260 cm⁻¹, where the first one is the out of plane vibration mode A₁g and the second one is the in plane vibration mode E₉. [117] [118] Our samples presented the aforementioned peaks seen in figure 4.12 with the A₁g mode exhibiting a consistent red shift of 1 cm⁻¹ and the E₉ exhibiting large blue shifts. These E₉ shifts could be attributed to chemical, strain effects or different film thicknesses. Since the shifts of the E₉ mode are correlated with the in plane vibrations we can hypothesize that these shifts are attributed either to strain effects due to non-uniform deposition and or thickness variations.
Figure 4.11: Si / SiO$_2$ before and the after the deposition

(a)

(b)
To elucidate the observed Raman shifts of the HfS\textsubscript{2} films, material grown epitaxially on a sapphire substrate was examined by SEM. Figure 4.13 showed the successful deposition of HfS\textsubscript{2} exhibiting micron scale domains with numerous cracks that explain the aforementioned Raman shifts. This can be attributed to the inherent limit of the mass flow controllers of the setup that were calibrated of a minimum flow of 50 sccm, resulting in a supersaturated sulphur environment that can lead to a high reaction rate inducing numerous nucleation sites resulting in stress and the formation of cracks. Furthermore figure 4.14 photoluminescence measurements has provided information about the materials energy bandgap. These results come in contrast with the paper published by C. Kreis et al where it is claimed that HfS\textsubscript{2} is an insulator with an energy bandgap of 3.6 eV regardless the number of layers [115]. Our findings are in agreement though with K. Xu et al where an exfoliated few layer HfS\textsubscript{2} phototransistor responding to 633 nm laser was presented [116].
4.4 MoS$_2$ growth via TVS of ALD grown MoO$_3$

4.4.1 ALD growth of MoO$_3$

For the wafer scale growth of MoS$_2$, ALD was employed in order to deposit ultrathin films of MoO$_3$ on Si/SiO$_2$ wafers. The substrates used were 6-inch p-type silicon wafers. The wafers provided in a new sealed box from IBD who had ran an RCA clean as the last step. The results from the particle counter showed that the
wafers were coming out more contaminated after the RCA clean in the Nanofab labs. This was eventually resolved but as the wafers received from IBD were virtually contamination free, they were used as received. As a first step, a high quality 100 nm of thermal SiO$_2$ was grown at 1000°C in a tube furnace in 53 minutes 35 seconds. The wafers were then put into a UV / O$_3$ reactor for 10 minutes to improve the termination of the surface oxide. The next step was to grow the MoO$_3$ using a thermal ALD process in the Cambridge Nanotech Savannah S200 system. A process using the precursor bis(tert-butylimido)bis(dimethylamido) molybdenum as a molybdenum source and ozone for the oxidation were used. First 100 cycles of ALD was carried out at 300°C in order to examine the deposition rate of the ALD process. The as deposited oxide was characterized by ellipsometry in order to measure the thickness and estimate the deposition rate. Figure 4.15 showed a thickness of 11 nm grown oxide. The next step was to carry out the ALD process for 15 cycles. The ellipsometry mapping presented in figure 4.16 resulted in a film of 1.2 nm of MoO$_3$ with the thickest regions found at the edges of the wafer at 1.395 nm while the central area exhibiting the thinnest deposited film with a thickness of 1.227 nm resulting in an average thickness of 1.29833 nm and a standard deviation of 0.04697. The grown film was also characterized by XPS in order to calculate the stoichiometry.

![Figure 4.15: Wafer uniformity in nm of 100 ALD cycles grown MoO$_3$](image)

For the curve fitting Shirley backgrounds where applied for all spectra. The Mo3d spectrum was fitted by applying a spin orbit doublet with a Lorentzian Gaussian
mix of 30/70 and a fixed separation of 3.1 eV. In Figure 4.17(a) the 3d_{5/2} was found at 232.49 and the 3d_{3/2} at 235.66 eV. This doublet values correspond to the Mo^{6+} oxidation state of MoO_3. No further peaks were deconvoluted at lower binding energies corresponding to the lower oxidation states of Mo^{5+} and Mo^{4+} of reduced molybdenum oxides. Figure 4.17(b) shows the S_{2p} scan which is usually collected in order to find the S_{2p} peaks corresponding to sulphur atoms bound to Molybdenum, since this scan was performed on as deposited molybdenum trioxide no sulphur peaks are present. The oxygen spectrum is presented in Figure 4.17(c), this spectrum revealed two peaks with the most prominent one located at 531.22 eV, a signature of M - O and the second one at 533 eV assigned to the SiO_2 substrate. The carbon spectrum shown in Figure 4.17(d) upon deconvolution revealed the adventitious carbon peak at 284.8 eV and two more peaks at higher binding energies, one at 286.51 eV and the second one at 288.79 eV corresponding to C - O and C = O. These results confirmed the existence of MoO_3 and the presence of carbon oxides.
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4.4.2 One step sulphurization of MoO$_3$

The first experiment for the synthesis of MoS$_2$ was attempted by using a single step sulphurization process using H$_2$S as the sulphurizing agent. The ALD grown Si / SiO$_2$ / MoO$_3$ wafers were diced in 2.5*2.5 cm samples in order to fit a 30 mm quartz tube used for the annealing procedure as the reaction tube. Then for the annealing process the quartz tube was placed in a three zone furnace with the samples positioned at the middle zone. In order to minimize any residual oxygen gas the quartz tube was pumped down to 20 mbar with a 500 sccm flow of pure Argon and brought up to atmospheric pressure by filling it with Argon and pumped down to 3 mbars three times; followed by one hour of Argon purge. The flow of the gases was controlled by mass flow controllers calibrated with a flow rate range of 50 sccm - 500 sccm. After the purging of the tube the temperature controller of the furnace was set to 900 °C with a 20 °C / min ramping rate with an Ar flow rate of 200 sccm resulting in a base pressure of 7 mbar. The sulphurization of the thin film started at 700 °C with the H$_2$S MFC set at 50 sccm resulting in a total
pressure of 7.7 mbar. In order to fully sulphurize and achieve a high crystallinity order, upon reaching the set temperature a dwell time of 60 min was employed, followed by a natural cooling down in H$_2$S / Ar environment until the temperature reaching 600$^\circ$C when the H$_2$S flow was stopped. Upon examination of the grown material it became obvious that the film suffered discontinuities. This can be attributed to the sulphurization start temperature where the vapor pressure of MoO$_3$ (0.1 Torr) resulted in the evaporation of large areas of the deposited film before the sulphurization. The discontinuities can also be explained by the fact that a byproduct of MoO$_3$ sulphurization is H$_2$O that would diffuse thorough the top layers of MoS$_2$. A second approach shown in Figure 4.18, by reducing the ramping rate to 10 $^\circ$C / min exhibited a smoother film appearance under its examination by optical microscopy but gaps were revealed when characterized by AFM. The third approach implemented was by lowering the onset of the sulphurization temperature at 650 $^\circ$C and maintaining the ramping rate at 20 $^\circ$C min. The lower onset temperature of H$_2$S gas prevented the MoO$_3$ thin film from evaporating and produced a continuous thin film, as observed by optical microscopy and AFM measurements.

**Figure 4.18:** a) Temperature profile of the second H$_2$S annealing experiment b) optical microscopy of the annealed film exhibiting discontinuities c) Temperature profile of the third H$_2$S annealing experiment d) optical microscopy of the annealed film exhibiting a smooth continuous film
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The XPS characterization of the film showed minimum oxide content but the drawback was that the stoichiometric ratio of sulphide to molybdenum was 2.1, a result that could possibly hamper the n-type behaviour of MoS$_2$. The overstoichiometry of the produced film can be attributed to the total amount of the H$_2$S feed during the annealing protocol which was 5500 sccm corresponding to approximately 7 grams of sulphur. This was a huge amount of sulphur especially when compared to other CVD techniques where sulphur powder used as precursor is in the range of a few milligrams [71] [72].

### 4.4.3 Design of experiment for low temperature sulphurization of MoO$_3$

Design of experiments (DOE) is an experimental approach where in contrast to One Factor At a Time (OFAT), where one experimental factor is tested in one experimental run while the rest are constrained, more than one the factors are changed in order to observe the change to the variables examined. A common DOE design is the full factorial two level design. In this design the experimental factors are set at a high and low level each, producing all the possible combinations of the experimental factors and the high - low set points. The total runs of such a design is $2^k$ where $k$ are the factors examined. The analysis of the DOE results is executed by the Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) method. This procedure can yield information on the main effects of the experimental factors to the variables as well the interaction of the main effects to the dependent variable.

From the knowledge acquired from the first annealing experiments it became obvious that the total amount of H$_2$S had to be reduced in order to grow stoichiometric films. A two step annealing protocol had to be devised that would consist of a low temperature H$_2$S annealing in order to convert the deposited oxide to substochiometric MoS$_2$ that would prevent it from evaporating when annealed at higher temperature for further sulphurization and crystallization. A design of experiments (DOE) was implemented in order to evaluate the sulphurization of MoO$_3$ at low temperatures towards the two step annealing protocol. We chose a three
factor two level half factorial DOE which results in four experimental runs ($2^{k-1}$). The factors of the experiment were the temperature, the H$_2$S / Ar flow and time duration of the annealing procedure where the levels used were 550°C and 650°C, 50 sccm and 100 sccm, 10 min and 20 min respectively. The design of experiment parameters are listed in Table 4.1. In order to characterize the stoichiometry of the materials grown and the oxidation states present, XPS was used.

**Table 4.1: DOE generated in Minitab**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>T (°C)</th>
<th>t (min)</th>
<th>H$_2$S flow (sccm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After placing the samples in the quartz tube and purging as described earlier, when the desired temperature was reached the H$_2$S flow was started with flow and duration set by the DOE. Finally the system was naturally cooled down to room temperature. After the MoS$_2$ growth the samples were measured and analyzed by XPS. All the following graphs were charge corrected to 284.8 eV corresponding to the adventitious carbon peak.

*Figure 4.19: XPS characterization of MoO$_3$ annealed at 550°C for 10 with a 100sccm flow of H$_2$S*
For the first DOE run the sulphurization temperature was set at 550°C with a flow of 100 sccm for H₂S and sulphurization time 10 minutes. The XPS results as shown figure 4.19 upon fitting of the Mo peak revealed the characteristic spin orbit doublet of MoS₂ corresponding to the Mo⁴⁺ oxidation state at 229.73 eV for the 3d₅/₂ and at 232.8 eV for the 3d₃/₂. The S2s peak that is considered as sulphur connected to Mo was found at 226.83 eV. More importantly the Mo3d scan revealed a significant contribution of the Mo⁶⁺ oxidation state that is ascribed to the existence of MoO₃ in the MoS₂ film. The 3d₅/₂ of the Mo⁶⁺ state was found at 233.31 eV and the 3d₃/₂ at 236.31 eV. Next the S2p components where deconvoluted in two peaks, the S2p₃/₂ found at 162.36 eV and the S2p₁/₂ at 163.55 eV. These peaks are of high importance for the determination of the stoichiometric ratio of molybdenum to sulphur by calculating the ratio of these peak areas to the peak areas of the Mo⁴⁺ oxidation state doublet. In that way the produced film exhibited a substoichiometric ratio of S:Mo = 1.66. It should be noted that the same procedure could be followed by using the S2s peak instead of the S2p and the 3d₅/₂ but this was avoided due to their overlapping, resulting in an overestimation of the stoichiometry that in this case was found to be S:Mo = 1.98. As expected from the high content of oxide in the Mo3d spectrum, oxide peaks were also found in the oxygen and carbon spectra. For the O1s spectrum the most intense peak was found at 533 eV corresponding to SiO₂. After deconvolution a shoulder peak at 531.4 eV was found that confirms the existence of M - O. The C1s peak also revealed, after the deconvolution, the existence of C - O at 286.9 eV beside the adventicious carbon at 284.8 eV. Summarizing for this run substoichiometric MoS₂ was grown with high MoO₃ content but more importantly the existence of C - O in both the oxygen and carbon spectra revealed the partial reduction of the deposited oxide film. Appendix A.1 is presenting the rest of the DOE XPS deconvolutions. Table 4.2 represents the stoichiometry ratios of molybdenum to sulphur, oxygen to sulphur, the binding energy of the Mo3d(5/2) and its FWHM for all the DOE runs.

All the annealing experiments resulted in stoichiometries ranging from 1.618 – 1.662 making obvious that the flow rate is of no significance but the total amount
of the H\textsubscript{2}S provided during the annealing. Nevertheless the lower S:Mo ratios were exhibited by the samples annealed at lower temperatures, namely Run 1 and Run 4 a result that implies that the reaction is slower for lower temperatures within the window of parameters used for the DOE. The molybdenum oxide content of the films was estimated by calculating the ratio of the Mo(VI) doublet peak area to the S2p doublet peak area. The oxide content was found to be between 9.94% - 17.44% with the lowest concentrations for the samples annealed at high temperature. The binding energy of Run 4 sample exhibited a large shift to a lower binding energy at 229.59 eV. This shift can be attributed to the Fermi level moving towards the valence band due to hole doping of the MoS\textsubscript{2} from the MoO\textsubscript{3}. The Fermi level is the reference for the core levels found in XPS, so $n$ – type MoS\textsubscript{2} core peaks appears in higher binding energies than $p$ – type \cite{36}. Lastly the third sample that showed the highest S: Mo ratio and the lowest oxide content as expected exhibited the smallest FWHM of the Mo3d\textsubscript{5/2} indicating a low degree of crystal disorder. Figure 4.20 shows the contour plot of of the S : Mo to Temperature where it can be seen that the stoichiometry increases upon temperature increase with no significant contribution from the H\textsubscript{2}S / Ar flow. Figure 4.21 represents the reduction of the molybdenum oxide in MoS\textsubscript{2} with the main effect being the increase of temperature but unlike the stoichiometry results H\textsubscript{2}S / Ar flow has an effect as it can be observed that for higher ratios of H\textsubscript{2}S flows at the same temperature the MoO\textsubscript{3} percentage is lower.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>S : Mo</th>
<th>Mo(VI) : S2p (%)</th>
<th>B.E. (ev)</th>
<th>Mo3d(5/2) FWHM (ev)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>15.19</td>
<td>229.73</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>229.77</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>9.94</td>
<td>229.78</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>17.44</td>
<td>229.59</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Finally all the DOE samples were characterized by Raman spectroscopy with typical in plane and out of plane vibration modes resolved but more interestingly two more intense peaks appeared, one at 189 cm\(^{-1}\) and one at 228 cm\(^{-1}\) making obvious the high content of molybdenum oxide in the film [71].
4.4.4 Multistep annealing of MoO$_3$

The DOE for eliminating the molybdenum oxide content in MoS$_2$ at low temperatures proved to be insufficient while the S : Mo stayed at low values within the parameters used. For that reason a new set of experiments was conducted at lower H$_2$S and Ar flows controlled by a flow meter at 10 sccm for H$_2$S.

The first round of annealing experiments was held in order to evaluate the molybdenum oxide content in the grown films at 600 °C at 6, 10 and 19 min. The samples were inserted as in the quartz tube and the tube was purge with Ar as described before. After purging, the argon flow was set at 70 sccm resulting in base pressure of 3.6 mbar. Upon reaching 600 °C the H$_2$S flow started at 10 sccm resulting in 3.7 mbar total pressure. The stoichiometry of the films were analyzed by XPS, Figures 4.23, 4.25, 4.27 while Raman characterization was implemented for determining the number of layers and the crystallinity by extracting the FWHM of the E$_{2g}$ mode Figures 4.24, 4.26, 4.28. This protocol resulted with higher MoS$_2$ stoichiometries than those obtained with the DOE, within the range of 1.85 – 1.87 and substantially decreased oxide content of 7.23% – 8.76%. Nevertheless the Mo3d$_{5/2}$ B.E. appeared at low energies. Summary of all the results are included in Table 4.3.
**Figure 4.23:** XPS characterization of MoO$_3$ annealed at 600°C for 6 min  
(a) Mo3d scan b) S2p scan c) O1S scan d) C1s scan

**Figure 4.24:** Raman characterization of MoO$_3$ annealed at 600°C for 6 min
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Figure 4.25: XPS characterization of MoO$_3$ annealed at 600°C for 10 min
a) Mo3d scan b) S2p scan c) O1S scan d) C1s scan

Figure 4.26: Raman characterization of MoO$_3$ annealed at 600°C for 10 min
Figure 4.27: XPS characterization of MoO$_3$ annealed at 600°C for 19 min
a) Mo3d scan b) S2p scan c) O1S scan d) C1s scan

Figure 4.28: Raman characterization of MoO$_3$ annealed at 600°C for 19 min
In the next set of experiments three multi step annealing protocols were used in order to enhance resulting stoichiometry and crystallinity of the film. The total time of annealing in H$_2$S environment was kept constant at 19 min for all the experiments. In the first annealing protocol two steps were used. One step at 600°C for 15 min and a second one at 900 °C for 4 min. During the ramping up to the high temperature set point only Ar flow was present. This protocol resulted from the deconvolution of Figure 4.29 in a stoichiometry of 1.98 but with an unexpected high molybdenum oxide percentage of 9.35% which was higher than the ones in the one step low temperature annealing protocols. This was attributed to incorporation of residual oxygen in the annealing tube into the grown MoS$_2$ film during sulphurization at high temperature. The second annealing experiment was carried out in order to validate this assumption. A two step annealing procedure at 600°C and a lower temperature of 800°C was implemented where the time of annealing for the first step was reduced to 10 min and the second step time increased to 9 min. This protocol resulted in a lower oxide percentage of 5.27% and stoichiometry of 1.91 as seen in Figure 4.31. Finally a third protocol with the same parameters as the second protocol was implemented with an additional third step of annealing at 900°C in Ar environment for further crystallization of the film without influencing its stoichiometry. The XPS deconvolution seen in Figure 4.33 resulted in the exact same stoichiometry as the second annealing protocol validating that annealing at a sulphur deficient environment at 900°C there was no
sulphur loss. Due to the high annealing temperature though the oxide content was risen again to 7.7% and resulted in the same crystallinity order achieved from the first annealing protocol with the E$_{2g}$ FWHM at 7.7 cm$^{-1}$. Summary of the XPS and Raman characterizations of the multi step protocols are presented in Table 4.4

**Figure 4.29:** XPS characterization of MoO$_3$ annealed at 600$^\circ$C for 15 min and at 900$^\circ$C for 4 min a)Mo3d scan b)S2p scan c)O1S scan d) C1s scan
Figure 4.30: Raman characterization of MoO$_3$ annealed at 600°C for 15 min and at 900°C for 4 min

Figure 4.31: XPS characterization of MoO$_3$ annealed at 600°C for 10 min and at 800°C for 9 min a) Mo3d scan b) S2p scan c) O1s scan d) C1s scan
Figure 4.32: Raman characterization of MoO$_3$ annealed at 600°C for 10 min and at 800°C for 9 min.

Figure 4.33: XPS characterization of MoO$_3$ annealed at 600°C for 10 min, 800°C for 9 min and 900°C for 10 min in Ar environment a)Mo3d scan b)S2p scan c)O1S scan d) C1s scan.
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Figure 4.34: Raman characterization of MoO₃ annealed at 600°C for 10 min, at 800°C for 9 min and in Ar environment for 10 min at 900°C

Table 4.4: Characterization results of multi step annealing protocols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Annealing protocol</th>
<th>Two step annealing</th>
<th>Two step annealing</th>
<th>Three step annealing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Step no.</td>
<td>Step 1. 600 °C / 15 min</td>
<td>Step 2. 900 °C / 4 min</td>
<td>Step 1. 600 °C / 10 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature / Time</td>
<td>Step 2. 900 °C / 4 min</td>
<td>Step 2. 800 °C / 9 min</td>
<td>Step 2. 2.800 °C / 9 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo at%</td>
<td>33.51</td>
<td>34.35</td>
<td>34.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S at%</td>
<td>66.49</td>
<td>65.65</td>
<td>65.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S : Mo ratio</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>1.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo 6+ / S2p</td>
<td>9.35</td>
<td>5.27</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo 3d5/2 B.E.</td>
<td>229.64</td>
<td>229.58</td>
<td>229.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E₂g FWHM</td>
<td>7.65</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The final set of annealing protocols presented in Table 4.5 was implemented in order to achieve slightly more substoichiometric MoS₂ films within acceptable 2H n-type phase [119] [36] while further reducing the persistent molybdenum oxide. The first protocol was set for a 10 min annealing at 600°C and a second step at 800°C for 4 min to ensure a good stoichiometry. This resulted in S : Mo ratio of 1.846 and 6.66 % content of molybdenum oxide. For the second protocol the same parameters were used followed by quench instead of letting the samples cool down naturally. This protocol presented a small increase of the produced...
film stoichiometry and for the first time the content of the molybdenum oxide was decreased to 3.25 % resulting in a binding energy of Mo3d5/2 of 229.91, values consistent with n-type MoS2 [120] [36]. Figures 4.35, 4.37 show the deconvolutions for the two annealing protocols where the reduction of the molybdenum oxides can be clearly seen. In Figures 4.36, 4.38 the Raman spectra for the two protocols are presented where the FWHM of E2g of the quenched sample appears to be larger due to the fast cooling of the sample. The emergence of photoluminescence for high temperature annealing can be seen in 4.39 providing evidence for the high quality MoS2 film.

Figure 4.35: XPS characterization of MoO3 annealed at 600°C for 10 min, 800°C for 4 min a)Mo3d scan b)S2p scan c)O1S scan d) C1s scan
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Figure 4.36: Raman characterization of MoO$_3$ annealed at 600°C for 10 min, at 800°C for 4 min

![Graph showing Raman characterization](image)

Figure 4.37: XPS characterization of MoO$_3$ annealed at 600°C for 10 min, 800°C for 4 min and subsequently quenched a)Mo3d scan b)S2p scan c)O1S scan d) C1s scan

![Graph showing XPS characterization](image)
FIGURE 4.38: Raman characterization of MoO$_3$ annealed at 600°C for 10 min, at 800°C for 4 min and subsequently quenched

TABLE 4.5: Characterization of two step annealing vs quenched two step annealing protocol

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Annealing protocol</th>
<th>Two step annealing</th>
<th>Two step annealing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Step no. Temperature / Time</td>
<td>Step 1. 600 °C / 10 min</td>
<td>Step 1. 600 °C / 10 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Step 2. 800 °C / 4 min</td>
<td>Step 2. 800 °C / 4 min Quench</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo at%</td>
<td>35.13</td>
<td>34.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S at%</td>
<td>64.87</td>
<td>65.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S : Mo ratio</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo 6+ / S2p</td>
<td>6.86</td>
<td>3.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo 3d5/2 B.E.</td>
<td>229.55</td>
<td>229.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2g FWHM</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.5 Conclusion

This chapter has presented the growth of 2D materials including graphene, HfS$_2$ and MoS$_2$ via CVD and ALD followed by thermal vapour sulphurization techniques for the graphene, HfS$_2$ and MoS$_2$ respectively. Graphene LPCVD growth was investigated by employing CH$_4$ gas and H$_2$ gases. An optimization process of the CVD growth was tailored by analyzing the Raman spectra of the grown films under different pressures, gas ratios and temperatures by examining the 2D/G peak ratios in order to validate the successful growth of monolayers and the ratio of the D/G peak was monitored for the quality of the grown films. The optimum conditions of the CVD growth were found for a gas ratio of 100 sccm / 210 sccm for H$_2$ / CH$_4$ at 20mbar and temperature of 900$^\circ$C which resulted in monolayer growth and minimum defects. HfS$_2$ was deposited via CVD by employing the hafnium containing precursor HfCl$_4$. After validating the thermodynamical feasibility of the reaction between the precursor and H$_2$S CVD was employed by using a three
zone furnace where the precursor was loaded in an injection tube in the first zone at 175°C providing adequate vapour pressure and Si /SiO\textsubscript{2} substrates were loaded in the third zone at 850°C. The pressure of the deposition was kept at 20 mbar at a constant flow of 50 sccm of H\textsubscript{2}. The grown films were characterized by Raman spectroscopy and photoluminescence measurements where it was found that the successful deposition of the material was accompanied by shifts of the two Raman modes of the material. Further examination of the as grown films revealed the existence of bend upward cracks. Finally MoS\textsubscript{2} was grown by first growing ultrathin MoO\textsubscript{3} thin films by ALD. The grown oxide was characterized by XPS and annealed in low pressure in H\textsubscript{2}S environment. Different sulphurization processes were investigated in order to obtain a high quality highly crystalline grown film with different stoichiometries by characterizing the films with XPS spectroscopy Raman and photoluminescence measurements.
Chapter 5

Fabrication and characterization of wafer scale 2D TMDC FETs and heterostructures

5.1 2D FET fabrication tools and process

5.1.1 Photolithography

Photolithography is used in order to transfer geometric shapes that are etched on a photomask to the surface of a sample by exposure a photoresist to a light source of a certain wavelength. Thus the first step of the photolithography process, is the spin coating of a photoresist onto the sample—wafer in order to form a thin film with uniform thickness. Then the photomask is placed between the light source and the photoresist layer. The wavelength of the light source is usually UV or beyond UV wavelength DUV or EUV. Next step is the development where the photomasks pattern or the inverse is imprinted on the sample. There are two kinds of photo resists: positive and negative. For a positive resist, when the resist is exposed its chemical structure changes becoming so more soluble in its developer. The developer removes the resist on the exposed areas. The negative resist
behaves in an opposite manner. When the negative resist is exposed these regions are polymerized and do not dissolve in the developer. The developer only removes resist on the unexposed regions. A typical photolithography process is consisted by the following steps: 1. Wafer cleaning (cleaning of organic and inorganic contaminations) 2. Wafer baking. (removing moisture) 3. Primer coating. (coating the wafer with a primer for promoting photoresist adhesion) 4. Photoresist coating. (coating the surface with a photoresist layer) 5. Soft baking. (removing remaining solvents from the photoresist) 6. Mask alignment. (aligning the patterns on the mask to the wafer) 7. Exposure. (exposing the resist to the desired wavelength) 8. Development. (removing unwanted areas of resist by the developer) 9. Hard baking. (hardening the photoresist to improve the adhesion to the wafer)

5.1.1.1 Photolithography process

Photolithography was used during the process fabrication in four stages. The first stage was for defining masked areas for the subsequent etching of the semiconducting 2D channels. The second stage was for defining source and drain areas for the FET, where the metals of choice would be deposited. The third photolithography step was used in order to define areas for the deposition of the top gate metal and the last photolithography step was for defining areas for via holes in order to have direct access at the source drain metals after the top dielectric deposition.

Before finalizing the photolithography protocol for the etching step a number of different photoresists were used including the MICROPOSIT S1800 series and the AZ nLOF 2000 Series. Both series where proved to be a poor choice for TMDCs as it can be observed in figure 5.1 because of issues that arised during the development stage. The developers for both series are $\text{H}_2\text{O} - \text{alkaline based mixtures}$ that were interacting with the TMDCs lifting them off from the substrate resulting in poor yield and overall degradation of the devices. For that reason an alternate photolithography solution was devised for the photolithography steps that the developer would come in touch with the TMDC material, namely, masking.
for etching, source and drain patterning. No relative issues were observed for the graphene fabrication.

Figure 5.1: Optical microscopy of MoS$_2$ delaminated from the substrate.
5.1.1.2 Bilayer photolithography

For the photolithography patterning of TMDCs a bilayer photoresist protocol was employed using as a first layer the positive tone S1813 and as an overlayer the negative tone SU8 – 2. SU8 – 2 photoresist is developed by an organic compound that instead of the water alkali mixture of S1813 and AZ series. Nevertheless SU8 is very difficult to be developed and stripped off as it is heavily crosslinked during the fabrication steps. For that reason S1813 was used as an underlayer. After exposure the unexposed areas of SU8 are developed in EC solvent and the exposed S1813 is dissolved both in the vertical and lateral direction providing an excellent undercut shown in figure 5.2 that is also useful for the metal lift – off after the metal deposition. In summary the TMDC sample is heated on a hot plate to remove the humidity from it’s surface in order to promote adhesion of the photoresist. After cooling the sample S1813 is spin coated and baked at 110°C for one minute. Two minutes later the SU8 photoresist is spin coated and baked. The baking protocol is one minute at 60°C then immediately one minute at 105°C and finally one minute at 60°C. This multistep heating protocol was followed in order to avoid stress developed and cracks at the photoresist layers due to different thermal expansion coefficients. After the sample is cooled at room temperature it is exposed under UV radiation and finally the same three step heating protocol is used to hard bake the photoresist followed by the development.
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5.1.2 Reactive ion etching

Reactive Ion Etching (RIE) is a dry etching technique that is used in order to selectively remove materials. RIE is a dry etching technique that is based on a number of physicochemical processes occurring in an ion plasma environment. With the use of a reactive plasma, high – energetic ions of the plasma interact with the material to be processed, chemically reacting with it and removing it. In order to create an ion plasma vacuum and an electromagnetic field is applied. The ion plasma is generated in vacuum by applying an electromagnetic field. A RIE system consists of a vacuum chamber that contains a set of different substrate holders to be used that are inert to the different gases that are used, an RF power source that produces the plasma by breaking the gas molecules into ions and a pump for reaching the desired pressure.

5.1.2.1 Reactive ion etching process

For creating semiconducting channels of MoS$_2$ RIE was used in order to selectively remove the material. It was found that after the RIE process a large number of patterned devices where left with hardened photoresist residues covering them with
thicknesses of a few nanometers deteriorating their electrical properties. Because of the two dimensional thickness of the materials, plasma ashing, a standard step for typical semiconductor processes was not a viable solution, for that reason the samples were left in NMP overnight to increase the number of devices that were free of residues.

![Figure 5.3: Hardened residues of photoresist after SF6 etching process](image1)

![Figure 5.4: Successful lift off of the photoresist after CHF$_3$ etching process](image2)
Two different protocols were used. The first protocol used for the etching of MoS$_2$ used a mixture SF$_6$ / Ar (20 sccm / 5 sccm) at 50 mTorr with a forward RF power of 80 watts for 45 seconds. The second protocol involved a mixture of CHF$_3$ / O$_2$ 35 / 15 at 300 mTorr a forward power of 80 Watt for 1 min. The higher pressure and the addition of the oxygen gas in the process was followed in order to reduce the fluorocarbons that hardened the photoresist. Figure 5.3 shows the results of the etching process for the SF6 chemistry and 5.4 shows the complete lift off of the photoresist after the CHF3 / O$_2$ etching.

5.1.3 Oxide encapsulated MoS$_2$ fabrication

After the sulphurisation of the films the chips were processed to create dual-gate transistors as shown in the schematic diagram of Figure 1. First a 10 nm of Al$_2$O$_3$ layer was deposited by ALD employing the precursor Trimethylaluminum (TMA) and ozone by a process of 100 cycles of 150 msec O$_3$ pulses and 15 msec pulses of TMAon. The grown alumina layer acts as a protection layer preventing any alkaline solution from the subsequent development to come in contact with the MoS$_2$ while at the same time protecting the film from oxidation and contamination. The channels of the transistors were patterned using S1813 as a photoresist and MF319 as a developer. The positive tone resist process is as follows: 1. 30 minutes drying in a 200°C oven, 2. 5 minutes cool down, 3. spin S1813 at 6000rpm for 30 seconds, 4. bake at 115°C for 1 minute, 5. expose in 40 mJ / cm$^2$ UV (using EVG 6200 without a filter) 6. develop in MF 319 for 30 seconds 7. Rinse in DI water and dry with nitrogen gun. The next step involves the removal of the alumina from the exposed areas in order to etch the MoS2 in FET channels. As MoS$_2$ is inert in short HF runs the samples were dipped in HF 20:1 for 25 seconds to remove the exposed alumina. (The 25 seconds had been calibrated for overetching the 10nm alumina by 10%). The channels were finally patterned using a variety of process with different advantages and disadvantages. Ion Beam etching (IBE) offered a very rapid and accurate etching with the advantage that it can also etch remaining alumina. The disadvantage of IBE is that it overly crosslinks the photoresist so it
makes it hard for removal. The weak reactive ion plasma process based on CHF$_3$ and O$_2$ offered an easier to remove layer with excellent etching results. Finally, a wet method was used were the chips were dipped in fuming Nitric Acid for 10 seconds. The advantage of this process is that it rapidly removes the MoS$_2$ without affecting the oxide under it while at the same time removing the unwanted photoresist. Such a process can be less favourable for mass production as the that would create a variable undercut on a wafer scale. For the dry processes photoresist was removed in N-methyl pyrolidone (NMP) at 80°C in 2 hours. Working devices were obtained from all 3 etching processes. Figure 5.5 presents the fabrication step before the MoS$_2$ etching.

![Figure 5.5: Optical microscopy of the process step before MoS$_2$ etching](image)

The next step was to transfer the pattern of the metal electrodes. This was performed using AZ 2070 as a photoresist and AZ 726 MIF as the developer. The negative tone resist process is as follows: 1. 30 minutes drying in a 200°C oven, 2. 5 minutes cool down, 3. spin AZ 2070 at 5000rpm for 30 seconds, 4. bake at 110°C for 1 minute, 5. expose in 90 mJ/cm$^2$ UV (using EVG 6200 with i-line filter) 6. post-bake at 110°C for 1 minute 7. develop in AZ 726 for 45 seconds 8. Rinse in DI water and dry with nitrogen gun. Alumina was removed from the contact points using the same 20:1 HF 25 seconds etch that was used for the channels. A layer of 5 / 150nm Ti / Au was sputtered. The metal pattern was lift-off at 80°C in N-methyl pyrolidone (NMP) in a magnetically stirred bath in 2 hours. The
remaining alumina was removed from the channels using buffered 20:1 HF (25 sec in 20:1 HF) and the backside oxide was etched by RIE (3 min 10 sec, 34 sccm Ar, 16 sccm CHF3, 30 mTorr, 200 W, 20°C). Figure 5.6 presents the photolithography metal patterns on a number of FET devices and figure 5.7 presents the devices after the metal deposition. Figure 5.8 the final photolithography process using the photoresist SU8 3000 in order to fabricate wells at the FET regions for isolating the rest of the device coming in contact with liquids during wet measurements.

Finally polyethylene oxide / lithium perchlorate (PEO / LiClO4) ionic liquid was prepared by dissolving polyethylene oxide (PEO) and LiClO4 in methanol with a mass ratio of 1 : 0.12 : 40 [121] and transferred on the surface of the chips by a pipette. The transistors were measured using a Keysight B1500a in a cascade probe station.

### 5.1.4 MoO3 prepatterned process

As MoO3 is a material that dissolves very rapidly in alkaline solutions, a simpler process was also designed that removes a lot of complexity and improves the
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Figure 5.7: Final FET devices

Figure 5.8: Optical microscopy of an FET device protected by a patterned SU8 window
quality of the transistors. In this process MoO$_3$ was patterned for the channel layer directly following the same lithography process described above for the MoS$_2$. The last step of the process involves development of the photoresist and washing with DI water. During this step MoO$_3$ is dissolved and therefore the channels are patterned. The photoresist can then be removed by rinsing with Acetone-IPA as it has not hard crosslinked. The wafer is then diced into smaller pieces and undergoes the sulphurisation process described in the section above. As the channels are already formed the MoS$_2$ is protected with alumina and then patterned for the metal contacts deposition following steps f, g, h of figure 1. This method provided the advantage that a full 6-inch wafer was patterned at once and reduced the complexity of etching MoS2 and removing highly crosslinked photoresist while alleviating the effects of possible unwanted doping during the RIE process [122]. As it will be shown in the results section the results of the prepatterned protocol exhibited marginally better performance. It was expected that the prepatterned device would have a higher performance due to the fact that the patterned film relieved the lateral stress during the annealing process. The fact that this did not happen indicates towards the fact that the starting MoO$_3$ is structured with short discontinuities so there is no stress during MoS2 formation. This might initially seem as a disadvantage but by tuning the annealing process it was achieved that the resulting film always remained continuous and therefore the porosity of the MoO$_3$ was used as an advantage.

5.1.5 Sputtering

Sputtering is a process in which atoms from a cathode — target are driven off the material by bombarding ions. Sputtered atoms travel away until they meet a substrate, where they deposit as a layer. An applied electric field between two electrodes is creating ions in a gas at low pressure. The gas breaks down and it conducts electricity at a specific minimum voltage. Then the ions of the plasma are accelerated at the target by a large electric field and as they impact the target, atoms or molecules are ejected from the surface of the target into the plasma,
where they are carried away and then deposited on the substrate. This type of sputtering is called DC sputtering. The sputtering gas is usually an inert gas in order to avoid chemical reactions between the sputtered atoms and the sputtering gas. However, in the case of the reactive sputtering a technique that is used to deposit films such as oxides and nitrides, a reactive gas is added to the inert gas so that the deposited film can be a chemical compound. As the ions strike the target, their electrical charge is neutralized. When the target is an insulating material, the neutralization results in a positive charge on the target surface. This charge should reach a level where the bombarding ions are repelled away and the process stops. In order to continue the process, the polarity must be reversed to attract electrons from the plasma so the surface charge can be eliminated. For that reason a radio-frequency voltage is applied on the target. This type of sputtering is known as RF sputtering. In order to increase the efficiency of the sputtering process, a magnetron source is used for magnetic confinement. The magnetic field causes the electrons to spiral so in that way they have more chance of undergoing an ionizing collision and thus enabling the plasma to be operated at a higher density. This kind of sputtering is called magnetron sputtering. Sputtering was used using the Orion AJA sputterer to form the metal electrodes for the MoS$_2$ FET devices. The power of the sputterer was set to the minimum stable value of 75 W (3 inch target) to avoid any unwanted Ar sputtering of the MoS2 and at a pressure of 2 mTorr.

5.2 DC characteristics of 2D FETs

5.2.1 Fabrication and DC characteristics of CVD grown graphene FET

Graphene grown, copper was etched backside etched in RIE using an oxygen atmosphere at 100 W for one minute to remove graphene grown at the backside of the copper foil. After etching the foil was spin coated at 4500rpm / min with 495
PMMA 11 and baked on a hot plate for 2 minutes at 100°C. Subsequently the foil was etched in ammonium persulfate solution for 2 hours. Finally the graphene PMMA was cleaned multiple times in beakers containing DI water and transferred on Si / SiO$_2$ (270nm). The sample was left in a chloroform bath for three days and then cleaned with the standard protocol by rinsing acetone and IPA. Then S1813 photolithography was used in order to define graphene channels by spin coating the positive tone photoresist S1813 on the sample at 4500 rpm for 60 seconds, followed by a one minute heating on a hot plate at 110°C. UV photolithography was performed with an exposure of 130 mJ / cm$^2$. Finally the structures were developed in the developer MF319 for 40 seconds. In order to etch graphene O$_2$ gas was used with the power set at 100 Watt for 1 minute. Then a second photolithography step was used employed by using the negative tone photoresist AZ2070 by spin coating the photoresist at 4500 rpm for 60 sec and subsequently soft baking for one minute at 110°C source and drain areas were defined by exposing at 180 mJ/cm$^2$ followed by a hard bake at 110°C for 1 minute. Finally the structure was developed in the 726 MIF developer for 45 seconds. E beam evaporation was used for depositing Ti / Au 20 nm/50 nm with a deposition rate of 0.02 nm / min for the Ti metal and 0.03 nm / min. for the Au metal at a base pressure of 7x10$^{-6}$ mTorr. The metals were lifted off by immersion in NMP. Finally photoresist was resist spin coated to protect the fabricated devices during the back etching of the oxide present on the wafer by etching in an CHF$_3$ / Ar enviroment with gas flows of 16 sccm / 34 sccm with a power of 200 Watt and pressure of 30 mTorr for 8.5 min. The resulting device was measured with the Hall effect providing information of the major carrier concentration and their mobility amongst other electric properties. Apparently the graphene was p doped with a carrier concentration of +4.7 x 10$^{12}$ / cm$^2$ and mobility of 45.1 cm$^2$ / V.s . Summary of the Hall results is presented below.

**Figure 5.9:** Graphene Hall effect results
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Figure 5.10: Graphene FET output curve

Figure 5.11: Graphene FET transfer curve, the right axis illustrates the back-gate leakage current
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The output curve shown in Figure 5.10 is demonstrating the Ohmic contacts achieved between Ti / Au and graphene and the efficient gating on the 270 nm SiO₂. The transfer characteristics of the FET presented in figure 5.11 are in agreement with the Hall measurements, since a large shift of the Dirac point to positive voltages is observed, this shift can be accounted to the p doping of the material. The ON / OFF ratio of the device is 3.3 as it was expected and described before.

5.2.2 DC characteristics of 2d TMDCs FETs

After the fabrication described by Al₂O₃ capping a number of devices were fabricated as FETs. Figure 5.12 presents an FET fabricated from the first annealing protocol (single step high sulphur pressure) presented in 4 exhibiting poor conductivity

![Transfer characteristics of MoS₂ grown at high H₂S vapour pressure](image)

**Figure 5.12:** Transfer characteristics of MoS₂ grown at high H₂S vapour pressure

The following devices presented are FETs fabricated by the two step annealing protocol that exhibited a stoichiometry of S : Mo 1.87. First presented in figure TLM measurement was done in order to evaluate the sheet resistance and the contact resistance before and after the Al₂O₃ deposition. Figure 5.13 presents the TLM graph for FET devices with widths 40 µm. The sheet resistance was found to be 4.69 kΩ / □ and a contact resistance of 59.7 MΩ. Upon the Al₂O₃ deposition
the TLM shown in figure 5.14 the sheet resistance dropped to 3.96 kΩ / □ and the contact resistance was found at 49.6 MΩ.

Next ionic measurements were performed by pipetting PEO / LiClO₄ on top of the SU8 window fabricated above the FET channel. The gate voltage used for modulating the FETs conductivity was set at -1V to 2V. Figure 5.15 presents the log transfer characteristics of the subthreshold slope, 700mV / dec and and $10^3$ on/off ratio for Vd = 350 mV / dec. Figure 5.16 presents the linear transfer characteristic where the threshold voltage of the device was found at 1.3 V.

The prepatterned MoS₂ film in figure 5.17 showed substantially better transfer characteristics exhibiting a subthreshold slope of 180 mV / dec and threshold voltage of 1.3 V for Vd = 75 mV presented in figure 5.18.

The prepatterned device was also tested by gating it with a PBS buffer solution in order to explore the possibility of employing these films for bio sensing applications by acquiring measurements at zero backgate voltage and -40 V. The results shown in figure 5.19 are representing the zero back gate with the blue line where an ON/OFF ratio of 2 exhibiting a high off - state at $10^{-8}$. The dual gated experiment
Figure 5.14: TLM measurements of Al₂O₃ capped MOS₂

Figure 5.15: Log transfer characteristic curve of top gated MOS₂
Figure 5.16: Linear transfer characteristic curve of top gated MoS$_2$

Figure 5.17: Log transfer characteristic curve of top gated prepatterned MoS$_2$

Figure 5.18: Linear transfer characteristic curve of top gated prepatterned MoS$_2$
Figure 5.19: Log transfer characteristic curve of double gated prepatterned MoS$_2$ (blue curve for zero back gate, black curve for -40V back gate)

was done at -40 V (shown in black) effectively depleting the MoS$_2$ channel leading to an off current dropped to $10^{-8}$ and a substantial subthreshold slope compared to the non back gated experiment, re emerging at 800 mV / dec.
5.3 2D wafer scale heterostructures process

Here a heterostructuring process is presented that is based in common photolithographic techniques for creating wafer scale 2D heterostructures.

First step of the process is a typical photolithography patterning of the desired structure to be patterned on a blank Si / SiO$_2$. The grown 2D film is lifted from the growth substrate the method described by [90], briefly 2% polystyrene dissolved in toluene is spin coated on the 2D material and baked. After the cooling of the sample a small droplet of water is placed at the edges and penetrates between the substrate and the film due to different surface energies releasing the film. Then the film is placed on top of the pre-patterned S1813 photoresist and is heated at 95$^\circ$C for 5 minutes which is close to its transition glass temperature. The heating allows the PS film to come in conformal contact to the substrate by eliminating wrinkles that are a common issue for transferred 2D materials because of the inherent height of the photoresist pattern, figure 5.21(a) shows the transfer polymer / MoS$_2$ on patterned photoresist where no ripples are present in the defined areas. After allowing the sample to cool down, chloroform is used in order to dissolve the PS film by not affecting the underlying photoresist. In that way the 2D material is left intact in predefined areas. The same process can be repeated multiple times for creating aligned heterostructures in a wafer scale manner. The first experiments were carried out in order to test the transfer efficiency in terms of minimum size transfer possible and the transfer yield. Figure 5.20 shows the minimum size transferred at 5 um with a transfer yield of 292 / 300 transferred areas. Figure 5.21(b) shows a number of MoS$_2$ WS$_2$ heterostructures while figures 5.21(c)(d) presents the Raman and photoluminescence characteristics of the heterostructures. Finally figure 5.22 is presenting all the steps of the heterostructuring process.
Figure 5.20: Large scale transfer of micrometer sized patterns heterostructure

Figure 5.21: a) Optical microscopy of polymer - 2D transferred on patterned S1813 b) Optical microscopy of multiple WS$_2$ – MoS$_2$ heterostructure Raman characterization of WS$_2$ – MoS$_2$ heterostructures c) Raman characterization of the individual materials and at the overlapping area of the heterostructure d) Photoluminescence measurement of each individual material and their overlapping area
5.4 Conclusion

This chapter has demonstrated different approaches of wafer microfabrication techniques for 2D materials. While graphene proved to be capable to be fabricated and further characterized in an FET configuration using standard photolithographic techniques, oxide encapsulation of MoS$_2$ prior to the photolithography process was proven to be the most viable solution of fabrication due to the protection of the material from the developers used. Furthermore a novel prepatterning protocol of the ALD grown MoO$_3$ was developed eliminating the need for etching the material in order to create FET channels that has presented substantially better
transfer characteristics compared to non prepatterned film. Finally a high quality deterministic transfer of 2D materials was presented for the fabrication of 2D heterostructures towards wafer scale implementation of different 2D materials.
Chapter 6

CVD grown MoS$_2$ meta - material

6.1 Introduction

The change of optical properties that some usually natural compounds or polymeric materials show upon the application of external stress is named mechanochromism. An artificial nanomechanical metasurface formed by a subwavelength nanowire array made of Molybdenum Disulphide, Molybdenum oxide and Silicon nitride, is presented in this chapter, that changes colour upon mechanical deformation. The aforementioned deformation induces reversible changes in the optical transmission (relative Transmission change of 197% at 654nm ), thus demonstrating a giant mechanochromic effect. Moreover, it is shown that this type of metasurface, can exist in two non-volatile states presenting a difference in optical transmission of 45% at 678nm , when they are forced to bend rapidly. The wide optical tunability that photonic nano-mechanical metasurfaces, such as the one presented here, possess by design, can provide a valuable platform for mechanochromic and bistable responses across the visible and near infrared regime and form a new family of smart materials with applications in reconfigurable, multifunctional photonic filters, optical memory, switches, stress sensors and / or actuators. Up to date, control of electromagnetic properties of photonic metamaterials and / or metasurfaces, artificial media structured on the subwavelength scale, have been achieved
via nanomechanical reconfiguration of its building blocks, structural phase change in the material of constituting elements, carrier injection effects, application of liquid crystals, chemical modification and by stretching the elastic substrate supporting plasmonic or dielectric metamolecules. Mechanochromism is the colour change, upon mechanically induced reorganization of crystal structure or mechanically induced structural phase transition. Such effects have been studied in a number of materials, where strongest effects are seen in polymers, liquid crystal elastomers, nano-fibres and photonic crystals. Recently, chromic effects have been used as tuning mechanisms for photonic metasurfaces and plasmonics related devices with thermochromic and electrochromic responses, respectively. In recent years, strain engineering of optical and mechanical properties of solids, in particular silicon and two-dimensional solids have attracted considerable attention. Two dimensional materials, such as graphene, oxides, nitrides and transition metal dichalcogenides are of particular interest as constitutive elements for reconfigurable metamaterials and metasurfaces, as the extreme electron confinement inherits them with unique dielectric properties that can be controlled by external stimuli. In particular, molybdenum disulfide, MoS$_2$ is formed from the covalent bond between transition metal atoms (Mo) sandwiched by two layers of chalcogen atoms (Sulphur), while every sheet is bound via weak van der Waals interaction. Several theoretical reports have indicated that the energy bandgap renormalization can occur on MoS$_2$ upon stress, where microscopic parameters like carrier mobility and effective mass of carriers upon mechanical deformation can lead to substantial changes on refractive index. Furthermore, excitonic peak emission wavelengths have been observed to be sensitive to mechanical stress in its monolayer form. MoS$_2$ has excellent mechanical properties because its large Young modulus (330 GPa) and high elastic limit which makes it an attractive material for nanomechanical devices. A previously unexplored mechanism of tuning optical properties of photonic metasurfaces exploits the phenomenon
of mechanochromism. The elastic strain in a MoS$_2$/MoO$_{3-x}$/Si$_3$N$_4$ nanomechanical photonic metasurface causes a profound change in its optical properties, which originates from the strain-sensitive refractive index of MoS$_2$, enhanced by electromagnetic resonances created by nanostructuring. Specifically, heat activated nano-mechanical deformation of the array of nanowires leads to profound reversible changes of its transmission, reflection and absorption in the visible part of the spectrum operating either as photonic filter or as a photonic memory element. Transmission changes of up to 197% are obtained at 654 nm upon 2% of mechanical strain, while two non-volatile states presenting a difference in optical transmission of 45% achieved at 675 nm. The response of the system is controlled via the speed of induced mechanical stress. These types of devices can serve not only as photonic elements but also as strain sensors/actuators with an optical readout. The metasurface was fabricated on a 90 nm thick Si$_3$N$_4$ membrane which was patterned as an array of nanowires 22 µm long, 400 nm wide with gaps of 100 nm separating them (see Figure 6.1).

Figure 6.1: MoS$_2$ based metasurface. (a) Scanning electron microscope image of the metamaterial formed by a nanowire array manufactured on a MoS$_2$/MoO$_{3-x}$/Si$_3$N$_4$ trilayer membrane, schematic of a single nanowire [P = 500, g=100, h1 = 90, h2 = 50, h3 = 5, W = 400 nm], scale bar 300 nm. (b) Raman spectra of metamaterial shows the composition of the sample (c) Ellipsometric data of a few layer MoS$_2$ film (d) Strain induced in a single nanowire upon cooling, deformation of the nanowire is caused by the large thermal expansion mismatch between Si$_3$N$_4$ and MoO$_{3-x}$.
6.2 Experimental section, Metamaterial fabrication

Metamaterial fabrication: Nano-grating metamaterial patterns, with a fixed gap width $g=100$ nm and period $P=500$ nm, covering an area of approximately $22 \text{ m} \times 25 \text{ m}$, were directly etched via focused ion beam (FIB) milling, using a FEI Helios NanoLab 600 dual beam system, on a commercially available $90 \text{ nm}$ thick Si$_3$N$_4$ membrane from Norcada Inc. Then, an APCVD synthesis method was used for the deposition of the MoS$_2$ on the pre-patterned membrane, with two separate precursors: MoO$_3$ (10 mg) and sulphur (70 mg). A silicon nitride membrane is placed at the centre of the furnace and a quartz boat containing the MoO$_3$ precursor was placed upstream at a distance of 3 cm. The sulphur powder is placed in a separate quartz boat outside the furnace at a distance of 30 cm with controlled ambient temperature. Prior to the deposition, the quartz tube was flushed with 500 sccm of Ar gas for 1 h. The temperature of the furnace was first ramped up to $500^\circ\text{C}$ with a rate of $20^\circ\text{C} / \text{min}$ and subsequently up to $700^\circ\text{C}$ with $4^\circ\text{C} / \text{min}$ under constant flow of Ar at 200 sccm allowing the evaporation and deposition of MoO$_3$. At the temperature of $700^\circ\text{C}$ the sulphur zone was heated up to $170^\circ\text{C}$ for 15 minutes. During the process, a sulphur rich time window, the as-deposited MoO$_3$ was partially converted to MoO$_{3-x}$ and MoS$_2$ progressing in a layer by layer manner while MoS$_2$ in the gas phase is codeposited. After the 15 minutes time window, the gradually sulphur deficient environment results in the deposition of a metal oxide rich layer. Variable-Angle Spectroscopic Ellipsometry: The complex relative permittivity / refractive index APCVD deposited MoS$_2$ was evaluated by spectroscopic ellipsometry (J. A. Woollam 4000) over the wavelength range from 400 to 900 nm. Numerical simulations: Full-wave electromagnetic simulations of the metamaterial structure, based on the geometry presented in Figure 6.1 a, were performed using the finite element method in COMSOL Multiphysics. Calculations employ periodic boundary conditions in the x and y directions (i.e. effectively assuming an infinite array of infinitely long nanowires). They utilize refractive indices for silicon nitride and MoO$_3$ assumed to be non-dispersive and
equal to 2, while for MoS\(_2\) ellipsometry data used are presented in Figure 6.1 c.

Furthermore, numerical spectra are evaluated for an off-normal incident angle of 90°, as opposed to normal incidence, to more realistically represent the focused probe beam produced by the microspectrophotometers objective lens linearly polarized plane wave illumination; Nanowire mechanical deformation was obtained from finite element models of a single, isolated 22 \(\mu\)m long wire with fixed ends and rectangular cross sections as presented in Figure 6.1. These assumed Young's moduli \(E\) and density values for Si\(_3\)N\(_4\), MoO\(_{3-x}\) and MoS\(_2\): \(E_{\text{SiN}} = 200\) GPa; \(E_{\text{MoO}} = 80\) GPa; \(E_{\text{MoS}} = 320\) GPa; \(r_{\text{SiN}} = 3170\) kg m\(^{-3}\), \(r_{\text{MoO}} = 4690\) kg m\(^{-3}\), \(r_{\text{MoS}} = 5060\) kg m\(^{-3}\). Raman spectroscopy: Raman measurements under 532nm laser excitation indicate the deposition on the prepatterned membrane of both MoO\(_{3-x}\) and MoS\(_2\). The few layer MoS\(_2\) was confirmed by the Raman \(E_{2g}\) mode at 381 cm\(^{-1}\) and \(A_{1g}\) mode at 407.5 cm\(^{-1}\) and the MoO\(_{3-x}\) the Raman peaks at 353 cm\(^{-1}\) and 733 cm\(^{-1}\). Microspectrophotometry (including low temperature measurements): transmission and reflection spectra (Figure 6.2) were obtained using a microspectrophotometer (CRAIC QDI2010), with a 11m 11m sampling aperture via a 15 objective with NA 0.28. All data are normalized to reference levels for air (100% transmission), a silver mirror (high reflector) and a Vantablack vertically aligned carbon nanotube array (zero reflection / transmission), and averaged over 15 repeated measurement cycles, each with a 500ms integration time. For the low temperature measurements, a cryogenic Linkam stage (model No THMS600) with temperature control. Pressure level is monitored throughout the experiment at \(10^{-3}\) mbar. Measurements performed while temperature level was stabilized with fluctuation of less than 0.1K

6.3 Metamaterial characterization

The pre-patterned Si\(_3\)N\(_4\) membrane, was coated with a 60nm thick layer of MoO\(_{3-x}\) and a 5 nm layer of MoS\(_2\) by atmospheric pressure chemical vapor deposition (APCVD). The composition of the sample has been evaluated using reflective Raman spectroscopy. Figure 6.1 b shows the Raman spectrum of the nanowire
indicating its crystallinity: the 381 cm$^{-1}$ line relates to the in-plane vibration E$_{2g}$ mode, while the 407.5 cm$^{-1}$ line corresponds to the out-of-plane vibration A$_{1g}$ mode of MoS$_2$. [32] The 353 cm$^{-1}$ and 733 cm$^{-1}$ lines indicate the presence of MoO$_{3-x}$ in the structure. [158] Furthermore, the complex refractive index of MoS$_2$ layer of the composite nanomembrane was measured by spectral ellipsometry, Figure 6.1 c. These results were used to computationally model the optical properties of the nanowire array, as presented in Figure 6.2 b. Measured and computed optical properties of the metamaterial are presented in Figure 6.2. At optical wavelengths longer than the structure period of 500 nm the nanowire array does not scatter light and acts as an optically homogeneous metamaterial layer, that can be fully characterized in terms of its transmission, reflection and absorption. However, the periodic structuring, results in reflection and transmission resonances at 654 nm and 810 nm for linearly polarized light parallel to the wires, with a quality factor of Q=25. The experimental spectra are well reproduced in computational modelling, as shown in Figure 6.2 b. The field maps in Figure 6.2 c,d reveal that on resonance, displacement currents running through the nanowires with higher concentration within the high index MoS$_2$ part, interfere constructively with incident light and block transmission over this wavelength, as studied in the past. [128] [159] [160] Small discrepancies between measured and computed spectra can be attributed to fabrication tolerances and accuracy of the refractive index values used in the modelling.

Optical properties of such metasurfaces are expected to be strongly dependent on temperature, given the stress-induced modifications of band structure of MoS$_2$ component. Indeed, heat activated nanoscale reconfiguration of the metamaterial induces nanomechanical deformation of the wires. Since the MoS$_2$ is much thinner than Si$_3$N$_4$ and MoO$_{3-x}$ layers, the thermal expansion mismatch between the Si$_3$N$_4$ and MoO$_{3-x}$ is the main mechanism of the bow-like deformation of the nanowires upon cooling / heating (the thermal expansion coefficients are 2 x 10$^{-6}$ K$^{-1}$ and 6 x 10$^{-5}$ K$^{-1}$ [161] , respectively). This deformation in its own turn leads to the stress-induced modification of the optical properties of the MoS$_2$ layer. Stress-induced change of optical properties of the wide band gap materials Si$_3$N$_4$ (E$_g$ =
Figure 6.2: Optical properties of MoS$_2$ based metasurface. (a) Reflection, transmission and absorption spectra of mechanochromic metamaterial under linear polarized illumination, as indicated on inset to plate. (b) Numerically simulated reflection, transmission and absorption spectra of the metamaterial. (c,d) Numerically simulated distribution of the electromagnetic field in the metamaterial nanowire. Color maps show the magnitude of the electric displacement in the x–z plane.

4.5 eV) [162] and MoO$_{3-x}$ ($E_g = 3.1$ eV) [163] are insignificant in the part of the spectrum of interest. Our finite element method (FEM) mechanical stress calculations -based on the linear momentum balance equation and the linear stress-strain relation- are displayed in Figure 6.1 d, employing a single nanowire. They show that decrease of the nanowire array temperature from 300K to 110K results in a bow-like deformation of 50nm that induces a compressive stress of 2.05% to the MoS$_2$ layer. Since thermal cooling of the metasurface induces mechanical strain upon the MoS$_2$ layer, the transmission spectra were measured at different temperatures using a microspectrophotometer, to test its mechanochromic response. First the induced changes in optical transmission was studied as a function of applied strain. Figure 6.3 presents the optical transmission of the mechanochromic metasurface for various strain levels, at the cooling rate of 5 K / min. The relative transmission was defined as the change $\Delta T / T_0$, where $\Delta T = T_\epsilon - T_0$; $T_\epsilon$ being the absolute transmission at an applied strain $\epsilon$ and $T_0$ the transmission for zero strain. For small strain levels below 1% – the transmission change is small, however larger strain upon MoS$_2$ induces change in Transmission spectra
of the sample. At 2% strain the induced change reaches maximum values of 197% and 80% at 657 and 810 nm, accompanied with the samples colour change, inset Figure 6.3 a. In this work, the limits of elastic deformation were not studied as the applied strain did not exceeded the 2% applied strain level in pursuit of higher transmission change to avoid irreversible nanomechanical deformations. This performance is already exceptionally high as the metasurfaces transmission minimum shifts from $\lambda_0=657$ nm to $\lambda_1=681$ nm providing giant mechanochromic sensitivity, which is defined by the ratio of the wavelength shift over the applied strain $\Delta \lambda / \epsilon$ [nm/%] and is found to be as high as 12, one order of magnitude larger than other polymeric mechanochromic systems.[24] At this and slower cooling rates the change in transmission do not show any hysteretic response.

Upon faster cooling rate, 15K / min, a hysteretic behavior of metamaterials transmission is observed, as presented in Figure 6.4. Figure 6.4 a presents a selection of spectra for different strain levels. For increasing strain, the metasurface demonstrates a red-shift of its spectrum similar to Figure 6.3. However, on the level
of 1.9% strain a second dip of transmission mode is recorded, as transmission jumps from 31% to 41% for the wavelength of 680 nm, see Figure 6.4 b. In Figure 6.4 b shows the full strain cycle over transmission at the wavelength of 680 nm. Upon decreasing strain (blue line) the optical spectra are different from those of the same strain level for increasing strain (red line). At the level of 1% strain the hysteretic loop closes. Furthermore, the differential hysteresis is defined as: \( \Delta h = \frac{\text{Abs}[\text{Trup}(\lambda) - \text{Trdown}(\lambda)]}{\text{Trup}(\lambda)} \) as the relative transmission difference between the two non-volatile states for increasing-decreasing strain, with the largest value recorded at the wavelength of 678 nm equal to 47%. This response is mainly driven by the mechanical buckling of the metasurface; an effect accompanying flexible parts when subject to large applied mechanical load and can therefore be employed in devices requiring optical bistability such as optical memories.

The experimentally observed red-shift of the optical response of the metamaterial spectrum depending on temperature can be satisfactorily explained in terms of mechanochromism. The red-shift of the spectrum is related to the strain-sensitive refractive index of MoS\(_2\) rather than the structural reconfiguration of the sample. The metamaterial was designed to keep its optical response invariant upon deflection of the nanowires. Upon cooling, nanowires bend in the same fashion, as a result the metamaterials response is expected to provide negligible transmission changes for small deformations between neighboring nanowires, since most parameters remain the same such as the distance between the nanowires and the period of the metasurface. This is in contrast to previous works that present substantial changes in the optical properties due to the doubling of metamaterials period or by controlling the gap between the nanowires. \[123\] \[164\] \[165\] Our mechanical FEM calculations (COMSOL) indicate that the mid-point of each nanowire is displaced out-of-plane by 50 nm when strain is at 2% and temperature at 110\(^0\)K. For this deflection, the optical FEM modelling indicates that solely the 50 nm mechanical deformation of the central part of the array of the nanowires can induce relative transmission changes smaller than 5%. Furthermore, the fact that the transmission change is nonlinear with the applied strain, Figure 6.3 c as well as the observed hysteresis related to the applied stress rate over an increasing-decreasing thermal
cycle, Figure 6.4, further verifies the mechanical nature of the effect over any thermal effects, that might exist, negligible in the current study.

**Figure 6.4:** Hysteresis of MoS$_2$ based metasurface. (a) Transmission spectra for different strain levels in the regime of rapid cooling [15$^{th}$K/min] (b) Variation of the metamaterial transmission at 680 nm during the full strain cycle. A hysteresis of optical properties is observed at strain levels exceeding 1.5%. (c) Span of the hysteresis loop, Differential Hysteresis: $\Delta h = -\frac{Tr_{up}(\lambda) - Tr_{down}(\lambda)}{Tr_{up}(\lambda)}$ as a function of wavelength at strain level of 1.8%.

### 6.4 Conclusion

In summary, a new mechanism to tune properties of photonic metamaterials has been presented by studying the mechanochromic response of MoS$_2$ metasurfaces and identified the conditions under which they can operate either as photonic filters or switches. The proposed device provides continuous and reversible optical tuning of visible transmission with relative transmission change of more than 190% actuated by strain of 2%, which is translated into great mechanochromic sensitivity.
Chapter 7

Conclusions and future work

7.1 Conclusions

This work focused on the large scale film synthesis, the development of wafer scale compatible fabrication processes and the implementation of devices from two dimensional materials. Two material families were investigated for their vast application spectrum, graphene and TMDCs.

Through the literature review, of chapter 3, it was identified that, although there is a plethora of film synthesis protocols those are not always optimised for specific applications. Examples of a variety of synthesis focused research was shown to produce results that are good on paper but gloss over proper device implementation. With this drive, film synthesis protocols were designed as presented in Chapter 4. In the first part of chapter 4 the synthesis of graphene using the in-house built CVD system was discussed. The effects of pressure gas ratios and temperature where investigated and shown that a high quality single layer graphene layer presenting excellent Raman characteristics can be grown on copper substrates. HfS$_2$ is a very promising candidate for electronic and optoelectronics applications because of its wide bandgap and its predicted high electron mobility. A protocol for its chemical vapour deposition was shown by employing the precursor HfCl$_4$. 
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and H₂S as the sulphurizing agent at low pressure and high temperature. Raman characterization validated the deposition of the thin film but variations of the in plane E₉ mode revealed the existence of stresses that was validated by SEM imaging where the grown film presented cracks with domains lifting from the substrate. With a focus on electronic properties a high quality MoS₂ synthesis method was designed. Throughout the film growth optimisation it was shown that the annealing H₂S partial pressure of a MoO₃ thin film, the temperature and the total amount of H₂S play the most important role for chalcogenide 2D materials, for semiconducting applications. In fact, those two parameters are the ones responsible for the correct stoichiometry to optimise semiconductor performance. Stoichiometries ranging from 1.85 to 1.98 of MoS where achieved, stoichiometries that fall in the range of stoichiometries for geological MoS₂. The literature review revealed that apart from inconsistencies in the film synthesis method there has not so far been presented a robust fabrication protocol that can be used to process large scale 2D films for electronic and optoelectronic applications. For this reason, Chapter 5 dealt with this matter by implementing a simple yet powerful method for the fabrication of devices from 2D films. It was shown that capping the semiconducting layer plays a very important role in the preservation of the film processes throughout the fabrication process. The method of capping presented here involves the use of 10 nm thin alumina layer deposited by ALD. The alumina layer was etched by HF where it was needed as it was found that TMDCs are inert in short exposures of HF. Furthermore a novel protocol for patterning MoO₃ was devised by patterning structures on the thin film and briefly washing the sample with DI water, washing away effectively the MoO₃. In that way the complexity of the fabrication was reduced by eliminating the need for an etching step and avoiding issues of crosslinked photoresist and unintentional doping by the gases during RIE. Finally, to demonstrate the performance of both the film and the fabrication process FET devices were fabricated and their performance was assessed. A novel protocol for creating 2D heterostructures by deterministic transfer of wafer scale grown 2D materials on photolithography patterned substrates.

The MoS₂ FETs made in this work showed subthreshold slope of less than 130
mV / dec and an on/off ratio of more than $10^4$. This is a significant result as it is the first time shown that it is possible to grow and fabricate high performing polycrystalline TMDC transistors on a wafer scale without requiring transfer to a new substrate. A comparison of the FET characteristics revealed the supremacy of the pre-patterned films compared to the unstructured films for both ionic liquid measurements and dual gated PBS buffer measurements.

A CVD grown MoS$_2$ - MoO$_3$ thin film on an array of Si$_3$N$_4$ nanowires was used as a mechanocromatic metamaterial in Chapter 6. The function of the metamaterial was based on the thermal expansion mismatch between the Si$_3$N$_4$ and MoO$_3$ the deformation of the nanowires and the stress-induced modification of the optical properties of the MoS$_2$ layer upon cooling and heating cycles. The metamaterial presented at 2% strain transmission changes that reach maximum values of 197% and 80% at 657 and 810 nm, accompanied by the colour change of the sample.

### 7.2 Future work

- Looking into the future there is a number of TMDCs that could be grown by the ALD thermal sulphurization process such as HfS$_2$ by using HfN as the starting material. The engineering of its stoichiometry would be of high importance since no experimental attempts have been reported up to date.

- The deterministic transfer method for wafer scale heterostructures presents the opportunity for wafer scale 2D stacking of materials that can not be grown in situ without altering their intrinsic properties therefore a number of materials with different stoichiometries from the family of 2D materials should be explored. Furthermore the nature of the technique renders it a valuable solution to be explored for the fabrication of challenging devices such as quantum wells.

- The sequential growth of different oxides and their sulphurization or selenization is also an area that should be investigated for real wafer scale 2D vertical...
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heterostructures with commercially driven applications such as photodetectors p - n diodes and photovoltaics.

- The measurements presented of prepatterned MoS$_2$ FETs gated by ionic liquid and PBS buffer solution showed the cleanliness and robustness of the grown film under harsh liquid environments. Alongside with the hydrophobic nature of MoS$_2$ that provide a high protein adsorption opens a way for exploring wafer scale two dimensional biosensors.

- Other types of transition metal dichalcogenides, should be studied as strain dependent refractive index materials for metamaterials, targeting different operational wavelengths. Furthermore materials with larger thermal expansion coefficient difference or longer nanowires can improve mechanochromatic sensitivity.
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A.1 Waveguide integrated graphene midinfrared Photodetector

A.1.1 Introduction

Group IV material photonic integrated circuit platforms have the ability to benefit from graphenes superb electronic and optical properties, in order to address applications in areas such as environmental and bio-chemical sensing, homeland security, medicine or astronomy. Silicon-on-insulator waveguides, which are commonly used in the near-infrared, also have low propagation loss in the 3-4 $\mu$m wavelength range. Here, we present the first graphene photodetector based on the coplanar integration method with silicon-on-insulator waveguide and transferred CVD graphene that operates at 3.8 $\mu$m wavelength.

A.1.2 Mid-infrared graphene photodetectors

Mid-infrared (MIR) silicon photonics can benefit from the maturity of CMOS processing and from demonstrated devices in the telecom wavelength range as many
of the techniques can be used at longer wavelengths [166]. The silicon-on insulator (SOI) platform has been widely implemented in MIR silicon photonics. SOI waveguides are low loss up to 4 m and waveguide propagation loss as low as 1.3 0.6 dB/cm has been demonstrated at a wavelength of 3.8 µm [167]. 2D materials have several advantages compared to conventional 3D materials, and in particular better quantum confinement in the direction perpendicular to the 2D plane, that leads to distinctive optical and electronic properties. 2D materials can be integrated with other materials using waveguides and cavities to form heterostructures [168]. Due to graphenes gapless band structure it acts as semimetal and can interact with light from microwave to ultraviolet wavelengths. The absorbed photons can be converted to electron hole pairs and move to electrodes quickly due to graphenes fast carrier mobility, which makes it a promising material for light detection or manipulation [169]. Graphene has excellent properties [170] such as high carrier mobility, thermal conductivity, and linear dispersion [171] as well as high optical absorption across a wide range of wavelengths. The optical absorption mechanisms in graphene are divided into two processes: interband transitions and intraband transitions. Interband transitions occur when one electron in the valance band of graphene absorbs a photon and is excited to an empty state in the conduction band with the same momentum [172]. The interband transition is only permitted under the condition that the energy of filled state is larger than the absolute value of the chemical potential. Otherwise, interband transitions will be prevented by Pauli blocking. On the other hand, intraband transition occurs under a phonon assisted process, which happens in the far-infrared (FIR) to terahertz wavelength regions. Interband transitions can be suppressed if the chemical potential is tuned close to the Dirac energy. With the control of the absorption mechanism, waveguide-coupled graphene optoelectronic devices have potential to operate in the MIR wavelength region, and under such circumstances the absorption mechanism is dominated by the interband transition [173] [174] [175]. Thus, the combination of low loss silicon waveguides and high absorption nature of graphene is promising for light detection in the MIR. Single layer graphene was
first demonstrated by Novoselov, Geim and their colleagues by graphite exfoliation in 2004 [176]. By using the coplanar integration method, the optical mode propagates in the silicon waveguides and interacts with graphene at the same time, thus achieving a greater interaction length compared to the normal incidence situation in which the interaction length is limited by the thickness of graphene. In terms of photodetection, by using the coplanar integration configuration, the light-graphene interaction is determined by the length of the device, rather than the thickness of the graphene as in the normal incidence situation. Thus, coplanar integration is a promising solution for high efficiency graphene integrated photodetectors [171] [177] [178]. The first demonstration of a graphene photodetector was based on a graphene transistor, in which the metal-graphene junction was illuminated by infrared and visible light, achieving 40 GHz bandwidth at room temperature [179]. However, responsivities were limited to a few mA/W at telecom wavelengths. After optimizing the device configuration, the photoresponse of graphene photodetectors has been increased to a few A/W by several approaches, such as integration of graphene transistor and optical cavities [180], light interaction enhanced by introducing plasmonic antennas [181] [182], or by using in-plane evanescent field enhanced light/graphene interaction [177] [178] [183] [184].

### A.1.3 Design of the waveguide integrated graphene photodetector

Here we present a waveguide integrated metal-graphene-metal (M-G-M) photodetector with 500 µm long graphene section and with asymmetric electrode separation from the waveguide, operating at a wavelength of 3.8 µm at room temperature. The schematic of the cross-section of the device is shown in Figure A.1. The first step of fabrication was to use a SOI wafer to form rib waveguides, with a width of 1300 nm, height of 500 nm, and slab region thickness of 50 nm. A 90 nm thick PECVD SiO2 layer was then deposited for passivation and to reduce charging effects. The next step was to transfer the graphene onto the chip surface, and to pattern it by reactive ion etching (RIE). Finally, 100 nm thick Au electrodes were
fabricated by using the lift-off patterning method. Au contacts were positioned on top of the graphene on either side of the waveguide core, with a separation of 1.5 µm on one side and 5 µm on the other.

![Schematic cross-section diagram of the device.](image)

**Figure A.1:** Schematic cross-section diagram of the device.

### A.1.4 Characterisation

A tunable quantum cascade laser (QCL) operating at 3.7-3.9 µm under continuous wave (CW) mode with transverse electric (TE) polarisation was used as a light source. The light was coupled into the Si waveguides via grating couplers using fluoride based MIR fibres. By using the cut-back method, the normalised transmission of the waveguides covered with graphene was measured, and is plotted in Figure A.2. The gradient of the linear fitting indicates that absorption due to graphene on the waveguide surface was 150 dB/cm at the 3.8 µm wavelength.

In terms of the optoelectronic characterisation, the device was characterised under 1 V bias voltage. Bias voltage was applied to the two electrodes. Generated photocurrent was measured by a picoammeter (Keithley 6487). Figure A.3 plots the photocurrent as a function of the increased optical power that was coupled into the graphene photodetector. The gradient of the linear fitting gives the photoresponsivity of the device at 3.8 µm under -1 V bias voltage of 2.2 mA/W. The optical power incident on the photodetector was calculated by taking into account
the absorption from the input fibre, the coupling loss of the input grating coupler, and the loss from access waveguides.

Figure A.2: The normalized transmission of waveguides of constant length covered by varying lengths of graphene. The gradient of the linear fitting is the graphene absorption coefficient, which is 150 dB/cm at 3.8 µm wavelength.

Figure A.4 shows the calculated photoresponsivities as a function of the bias voltage. From the graph, it can be seen that the negative voltage side shows the linear relation between the bias voltage and the photoresponsivity. Figure A.5 shows the spectrum of the transferred graphene on waveguides with 90 nm SiO2 after all the fabrication processes. G and 2D peaks can be seen in the spectrum but also the defects peaks, which indicates that the single crystal lattice was damaged during the fabrication. The maximum photoresponsivity of 2.2 mA/w has been measured under -1 V bias voltage at 3.8 µm wavelength. There are some factors that can be optimised to improve the photoresponsivity of the device, such as optimising waveguide geometry to increase the overlap between the optical mode and graphene, adjusting the separations between the Au electrodes and the Si waveguide, and modifying fabrication processes to have better graphene quality.
Figure A.3: Photocurrent versus optical input power coupled into the detector under -1 V bias voltage. The gradient of the linear fitting curve represents the photoresponsivity of the device, which is 2.2 mA/W at 3.8 μm wavelength.

Therefore, it should be possible to obtain higher responsivity of the waveguide integrated graphene detectors.

A.1.5 Conclusion

In summary, we have demonstrated the first waveguide integrated graphene photodetector operating at 3.8 μm wavelength. A maximum photoresponsivity of 2.2 mA/W has been observed under -1 V bias voltage. The responsivity can be improved by improved design and fabrication of the detector. There is high potential for the implementation of waveguide integrated graphene photodetectors in the mid-infrared for various applications.
Figure A.4: Photoresponsivity versus bias voltage of the graphene photodetector at 3.8 $\mu$m wavelength. The highest responsivity appeared at -1 V.

Figure A.5: Raman spectrum of transferred graphene on waveguides after metallization. G and 2D peaks show the existence of single layer graphene and defects peaks indicate defects in the graphene structure.
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A.2 Graphene-Based Fiber Polarizer With PVB-Enhanced Light Interaction

A.2.1 Introduction

Optical polarizers and polarization devices are integral components in both optical communications systems and polarization-dependent optical sensors. Conventional polarizers typically use bulk optical configurations which are difficult to align and interface with fiber networks. Thus efficient, low loss, all-fiber-based polarization components are highly desirable for seamless integration within existing systems. One method of constructing a fiber polarizer is to use an optical fiber with a portion of its cladding removed and replaced with a thin-film overlay. Materials that have been used for this purpose include metal films [185], birefringent crystals [186] and liquid crystals [187]. However, these devices typically have a relatively narrow operation bandwidth, and/or high losses, which limit their application potential. In terms of extending the operation bandwidth, graphene is an excellent choice of material as its linear and gapless band dispersion result in flat, broadband absorption and high quantum efficiency [5]. Owing to these desirable optoelectronic properties, graphene has been considered for

![Figure A.6](image)

**Figure A.6:** (a) Cross-section of a graphene-based fiber polarizer with side-polishing into the fiber core, as per [188]. (b) Cross-section of the fiber polarizer used in this study with a residual cladding between the core and the graphene layer.
a wide array of optical devices, such as all-optical modulators [189], Q-switched or mode-locked ultrafast lasers [190], [191], nonlinear processing [192], and ultra-broadband photodetectors [193]. Of particular relevance to our work, several graphene-based optical polarizers have already been proposed and demonstrated [188] [194], including one device based on a side-polished optical fiber, as shown in Fig. A.6 (a) [188]. However, for this particular configuration, in order to achieve a material interaction sufficient for an extinction ratio of 19 dB, the graphene was placed in contact with the core, resulting in impractically high losses (?20 dB at 1550 nm). Other devices such as the planar waveguide-based graphene polarizer proposed in [194], have also exhibited large losses, in this case ?20 dB at 1310 nm [194]. These results make it obvious that an alternative approach is required to obtain both the high performance and low device losses required for fiber-based systems. In this paper, we present a novel approach to producing a low loss, high extinction ratio graphene-based polarizer. The device is based on a modified side-polished fiber design together with a graphene/polymer heterostructure that enhances the light-graphene interaction. The polarizer has an extinction ratio of ?37.5 dB at 1550 nm for a loss of ?1 dB. To our knowledge, this device offers an order of magnitude improvement in performance over any previously reported fiber-based graphene polarizers, while at the same time reducing the device loss by more than two orders of magnitude. The experimental results are in good agreement with the numerical investigations, which highlight the usefulness of these side-polished fibers as templates for the integration of other low-dimensional materials.

A.2.2 Device design

Side-polished optical fibers present a unique opportunity to study the interaction of light and matter in a configuration where the path of the propagating light is unbroken. As such, the platform has many key benefits such as robustness, long interaction lengths and controllable interaction strengths. The approach developed in this paper is based on a side-polished
fiber where the interaction window is polished close to, but not into the core, thus suppressing the transmission losses. However, a drawback of this design is that the field of the core guided mode will be very low at the surface of the window, resulting in a weak interaction with the mono-layer graphene film. To address this issue, we introduce a high index polyvinyl butyral (PVB) over-layer, which helps to draw out the evanescent tail of the propagating core mode and enhance the light-graphene interaction. The longitudinal cross-section of the device is illustrated in Fig. A.6 (b), which clearly shows the three-layer structure. Importantly, as PVB exhibits low optical losses over most of the transmission window of the silica fiber platform, with appropriate design these multi-layer devices could be made to operate from visible wavelengths up to the edge of the mid-infrared. The absorption of graphene arises from the materials intraband and interband transitions, and either can dominate depending on the chemical potential [195]. In our work, we make use of mono-layer graphene prepared by the CVD method, which is estimated to
have a chemical potential on the order of 0.1 eV [196]. Previous work has shown that for potentials of this value, the conductivity of the graphene sheet has a large negative imaginary component [16], so that the interband transition dominates the absorption. Thus we expect the TM mode of the fiber to be preferentially absorbed by the graphene sheet, resulting in a TE-pass polarizer [11]. In order to determine the effect that the PVB layer has on the transmission properties of the device, a numerical finite element study was undertaken. As a starting point, the operation wavelength was set at 1550 nm where the corresponding refractive index of PVB (ng = 1.48) is slightly larger than that of the silica fiber (ns = 1.45). Fig. A.7 (a) and (b) show the electromagnetic field distributions of the fundamental TM and TE modes at the uniform polished sections of the fiber when coated with a 1 µm thick PVB layer on top of the graphene. For comparison, Fig. A.7 (c) and (d) show the same modes calculated without the PVB layer. These figures clearly illustrate the increased light-matter interaction at the polished fiber surface when the high index layer is included. Further verification is provided by Fig. A.8 (a), which shows the intensity distribution across the positions indicated by the dashed lines in Fig. A.7. From this figure, we estimate an increase of ?10 dB of modal interaction at the polished surface, which can be attributed to the PVB over-layer. To investigate the influence the layer thickness has on this interaction, Fig. A.8 (b) plots the calculated extinction ratio as a function of wavelength when the PVB thickness varies from 0.6 µm to 1.2 µm in 0.2 µm steps. In general, a thicker PVB layer results in a larger extinction ratio across the wavelength range shown here. However, when the thickness reaches a value of 1.2 µm, the core guided mode becomes leaky for both polarizations. This effect is more distinct at shorter wavelengths and results in a large reduction of extinction ratio. Thus a 1 µm thick PVB layer was deemed to be the optimum choice for this work.
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Figure A.8: (a) Normalized cross-sectional line scan along dashed lines indicated in Fig. 2. (b) Numerical simulation results showing the extinction ratio as a function of wavelength for different thicknesses of the PVB layer.

A.2.3 Fabrication and experiment

To fabricate the side-polished fibers, a modified block polishing technique was used to remove a portion of the cladding from a standard single mode fiber (SMF). The fiber was polished until the planar surface was formed at a distance of 1 µm from the core. The roughness of the polished surface
Figure A.9: (a) SEM image of our side-polished fiber. (b) Helium-ion microscope image of the graphene sheet and (c) the corresponding Raman spectrum. (d) Schematic model of the PVB-coated graphene polarizer based on a side-polished optical fiber. Polarization angle is defined as $\theta = 0^\circ$ and $180^\circ$ for TE mode (blue light wave), $\theta = 90^\circ$ and $270^\circ$ for TM mode (red light wave).

was measured via a ZeScope profilometer to be as low as 1 nm RMS and an adiabatic transition from the fiber's full circular geometry to the D-shaped uniform polished region was maintained, resulting in a polished fiber with negligible transmission loss [197]. Fig. A.9 (a) shows a SEM image of the top surface of the side-polished fiber, providing evidence of its ultra smooth surface. The polished region permitted access to more than 30 dB of the light propagating through the core. This was verified by dropping a high refractive index liquid onto the polished region and monitoring the change in transmitted power. The mono-layer graphene film was grown on a copper substrate by a chemical vapor deposition (CVD) method. Fig. A.9 (b) displays a helium-ion microscope image of the CVD grown graphene sheet, which clearly shows its high quality and uniform thickness. Further confirmation of the monolayer nature is provided by the Raman spectrum in Fig. A.9 (c), where the narrow 2D peak (25 cm$^{-1}$ FWHM) is more than 4 times stronger than the G peak [198]. A 1 $\mu$m-thick PVB layer was subsequently spin
coated directly onto the bare graphene surface and baked for 5 min at 85 °C. The copper substrate was etched away in an ammonium persulfate solution, leaving only the PVB-coated graphene sheet. The sheet was then rinsed in DI water, before being transferred onto the polished fibers planar surface. Finally, the fiber was baked at 60 °C for 10 min to thoroughly evaporate the water and improve the contact between the graphene film and the fiber. A schematic view of the resulting device is presented in Fig. A.9 (d). To characterize the optical transmission properties of the PVB-coated graphene-based fiber polarizer, the experimental configuration presented in Fig. A.10 was used. A tunable continuous wave laser (1425 to 1600 nm) was chosen for the signal so that the optical properties could be measured across a broad wavelength range. The signal was free-space coupled into the device using a 10 × magnification microscope objective lens. Prior to this, polarization control was used to ensure the fidelity of the linear polarization state. The half-wave plate could then

![Figure A.10: Experimental configuration of the polarization measurements excited by near-infrared light.](image)

be used to rotate the polarization of the input light. Since standard SMF fibers are used in this study, the fiber lengths were kept short and the device was maintained as straight as possible to prevent unwanted polarization rotation. The output power was then monitored via a power meter for different polarization angles and
An example polar plot of the transmitted power as a function of polarization angle is shown in Fig. A.11, for the wavelength of 1550 nm. It is clear that for the angles of 0° and 180°, when the TE mode was aligned to interact with the graphene, the transmission was maximized and when the polarization was rotated to 90° and 270° the transmission dropped by 37.5 dB, evidence of the TE pass nature of our device. To determine the magnitude of enhancement of the light-graphene interaction due to the PVB, the experiment was repeated on a device with a graphene only layer. In this experiment the extinction ratio was just 3 dB, thus the PVB layer increased the interaction by more that 30 dB in agreement with Fig. A.8 (a). A control experiment was also performed on a bare side-polished fiber to determine the extent of the polarizing effect of the D-shaped structure. When polished to a distance of 1 μm from the core, the bare fiber showed no evidence of any polarization dependent attenuation. Additional polarization measurements were also undertaken across the full wavelength range of our tunable laser source (1425 to 1600 nm) and at each wavelength, the extinction ratio did not drop below 26 dB (see Fig. A.12). These results also agree.
Figure A.12: Solid lines: experimental output powers for TE and TM modes and corresponding extinction ratios. Dash lines: predicted extinctions obtained from simulations in Section II.

Figure A.13: Polar plot of the output power measured at 2000 nm when input power is 0 dBm.
well with the theoretical simulation results calculated over this range (dash lines in Fig. A.12), providing clear evidence of the broadband nature of our device and the significant improvement in the performance over previously reported results [188] [194]. Moreover, the polarization extinction ratio increases with larger incident wavelengths. This is because absorption of the TM light increases with increasing wavelength, which can be explained by the higher evanescent field of the longer wavelengths at the graphene interface. To provide further evidence of the broadband nature of the device, the measurements were extended to an operating wavelength of 2000 nm using a laser diode. The polar plot in Fig. A.13 shows the result of this experiment with a maximum value of 3.5 dB and a minimum of 16.5 dB detected at the output of our device. Comparing this to the results at 1550 nm, we attribute the lower extinction ratio of 13 dB to the limited polarization maintenance of the standard SMF fiber at this longer wavelength. Nevertheless, these results represent the highest extinction ratio measured at 2000 nm for a graphene-based fiber polarizer. Finally, it is also worth noting that as well as enhancing the light-graphene interaction, the PVB film also acts as a protective over-layer to improve the long-term stability of these devices, and no degradation has been observed when monitoring their performance over a 12-month period.

A.2.4 Conclusion

In conclusion, we have designed and experimentally demonstrated a graphene-based fiber polarizer with a high extinction ratio of 37.5 dB and a low device loss of 1 dB. Furthermore, an extinction ratio greater than 26 dB was recorded across the wavelength range 1425-1600 nm, with an additional value of 13 dB at 2000 nm, which confirms the broadband nature of our device. As the reduced extinction at 2000 nm is most likely due to the limited polarization maintenance of the SMF at this wavelength, we expect that more optimal fiber designs will enable device operation across the fiber’s entire single-mode transmission window. We believe that, owing to the combination of low losses and high extinction ratios, this device is the first truly practical graphene-fiber device for photonics applications. This
simple and effective scheme for enhanced light-matter interaction could easily be adapted for other two-dimensional materials such as MoS2 or black phosphors [199], thus serving as a platform for a new generation of all-fiber optoelectronic devices.
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