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SUMMARY
Rotaxanes are interlocked molecules in which a molecular ring is trapped on a dumbbell-shaped axle due to its inability to escape over the bulky end groups, resulting in a so-called mechanical bond. Interlocked molecules have mainly been studied as components of molecular machines, but the crowded, flexible environment created by threading one molecule through another, reminiscent of the active site of an enzyme, has also been explored in catalysis and sensing. However, so far the applications of one of the most intriguing properties of interlocked molecules, their ability to display stereogenic units that do not rely on the stereochemistry of their covalent subunits, termed "mechanical chirality", have yet to be properly explored and prototypical demonstration of the applications of mechanically chiral rotaxanes remain scarce. Here we describe a mechanically planar chiral rotaxane-based Au complex that mediates a cyclopropanation reaction with stereoselectivities that are comparable with the best conventional covalent catalyst reported for this reaction.
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INTRODUCTION
Interlocked molecules such as rotaxanes, in which a dumbbell shaped axle is threaded through a macrocycle, and catenanes, in which two or more macrocycles are held together in a manner akin to links in a chain, are most commonly investigated as components of molecular machines, building on the pioneering work of Stoddart and Sauvage who were awarded the Nobel Prize for their efforts in 2016. In contrast, one of the most intriguing structural properties of interlocked molecules, their ability to display enantiotopic stereogenic elements that do not rely on covalent stereochemistry, has received much less attention, despite the possibility of such enantiomerism being discussed early in the development of the field. Such "mechanical" stereogenic units can arise due to desymmetrisation of one of the covalent sub-units by the relative position of the other (conformational chirality), the combination of sub-units with appropriate symmetry properties (conditional mechanical chirality) or due to the unconditional topology of the mechanical bond itself (Figure 1a).
The relative paucity of even prototypical applications of mechanically chiral molecules is at least in part because enantiopure samples were historically hard to synthesise, with the pioneering work, carried out by Vögtle, Okamoto and Sauvage, requiring the use of chiral stationary phase HPLC to separate the enantiomeric products from a racemic mixture. Using this approach, Vogtle and co-workers showed that mechanically planar chiral rotaxanes and topologically chiral catenanes displayed strong electronic circular dichroism (CD), Hirose and co-workers disclosed a mechanically planar chiral rotaxane that selectively binds and senses the enantiomers of small chiral molecules, and Takata and co-workers demonstrated that the mechanically planar chiral stereogenic unit can direct the helical twist of a polydiacetylene material. More recently, Saito and co-workers demonstrated the separation of co-conformationally mechanically planar chiral rotaxanes and used the link between the rate of racemisation and co-conformational motion to determine the energy barrier for shuttling, and Credi and co-workers demonstrated a co-conformationally mechanically planar chiral molecule that shuttles between achiral and chiral states, the latter of which could be biased by the binding of a small chiral guest.

However, of these unusual forms of stereochemistry, only co-conformational point chirality has been exploited in catalysis; in 2015 Leigh and co-workers demonstrated an enantioselective co-conformationally covalent point chiral organocatalyst (Figure 1Ai) that mediated enamine and iminium activation. In contrast, the full complement of covalent stereogenic units, including point, axial, planar and helical chirotopic elements, have been applied in the development of new scaffolds to mediate enantioselective processes (Figure 1a) since the Nobel Prize was awarded in 2001 to Noyori, Knowles and Sharpless for their contributions to the development of enantioselective catalysis. Indeed, recent work has aimed at expanding the mechanisms by which stereochemical information is transferred to the reaction space including the use of chiral counterions, chiral-at-metal systems, helical artificial and natural polymers, chiral solvents, chiral capsules and other confined environments.

Building on our recent effort to improve access to mechanically chiral molecules through the use of chiral derivatising units and auxiliaries, here we demonstrate the first example of enantioselective catalysis with a mechanically planar chiral rotaxane, one of the simplest conditional mechanical stereogenic units, which arises when an achiral C₆₉ macrocycle encircles an achiral C₈₄ axle. Our rotaxane catalyst, whose structure was not designed or optimised, displays enantioselectivities in an Au⁺-mediated cyclopropanation reaction comparable to the best reported covalent catalyst. Our results suggest that mechanical stereochemistry has untapped potential in the development of new enantioselective catalytic systems.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis and Characterisation of Mechanically Planar Chiral Complex [Au(6)(Cl)]

To demonstrate the potential of mechanical stereochemistry in catalysis we selected a Au⁺-mediated reaction for our study: Au⁺-mediated reactions are inherently difficult to render enantioselective as a result of the linear coordination chemistry of the metal ion. These challenges are typically overcome through the use of large, monodentate ligands that project...
substituents into the reaction space, or the use of di-Au complexes in which aurophilic interactions pre-organise the complex with one metal ion playing the role of catalyst and the other of a structural unit, cosubstituted into the reaction space, or the use of di-Au complexes in which aurophilic interactions pre-organise the complex with one metal ion playing the role of catalyst and the other of a structural unit, or the use of di-Au complexes in which aurophilic interactions pre-organise the complex with one metal ion playing the role of catalyst and the other of a structural unit. Given that we have previously shown that the mechanical bond can be used to project steric bulk around an Au I centre, leading to highly diastereoselective catalysis, we proposed that similar effects might be observed in the case of a mechanically chiral derivative, leading to enantioselective catalysis.

Rotaxane AuI complex \([\text{Au}(\text{6})(\text{Cl})]\) was synthesised using our small macrocycle modification of Leigh’s active template Cu-mediated alkyn-azide cycloaddition reaction (AT-CuAAC), employing amino-acid derived azide 1 as a stereo-differentiating unit, borane protected propargylic phosphine 2 as the alkyn coupling partner, and readily available C1h (C1) symmetric macrocycle 3,50 as the key mechanical bond forming step. We typically carry out the AT-CuAAC reaction in the presence of excess NPr2Et, which accelerates the reaction by favouring the formation of the key macrocycle-CuI-acetylide complex intermediate. However, in this case, NPr2Et was found to cause epimerisation of the azide stereocentre, resulting in a mixture of all four possible stereoisomeric products. Replacing NPr2Et with Proton Sponge dramatically reduced the epimerisation side reaction, allowing the mixture of diastereomeric phosphine oxides 4 to be separated with excellent stereochanical purity after demetallation and oxidative work-up. Using this sequence we were able to isolate rotaxanes \((S,\text{R}_{\text{mp}})-4\) (98% ee, >99 : 1 dr) and \((S,\text{S}_{\text{mp}})-4\) \((R,\text{R}_{\text{mp}})-4\) \((R,\text{S}_{\text{mp}})-4\) \((S,\text{R}_{\text{mp}})-4\) \(= 98.4 : 1.0 : 0.6, i.e. >98% ee in the mechanical stereogenic unit) in an acceptable combined yield of 54%. Alkylation of diastereomer \((S,\text{R}_{\text{mp}})-4\) with BnI erased the covalent stereogenic unit to produce rotaxane \((R,\text{mp})-5\) in which the mechanical bond provides the sole stereogenic unit in excellent yield and enantiopurity (81%, 98% ee). Subsequent reduction of the phosphine oxide moiety and coordination of AuCl produced AuI precatalyst \([\text{Au}((\text{R}_{\text{mp}})-6)(\text{Cl})]\), the enantiopurity of which was assumed to be the same as that of \((R,\text{mp})-5\) (98% ee) as the mechanical bond is configurationally stable. The same procedures starting from \((S,\text{S}_{\text{mp}})-4\) produced \([\text{Au}((\text{S}_{\text{mp}})-6)(\text{Cl})]\) (98% ee).

**Scheme 1. Synthesis of Mechanically Planar Chiral Rotaxane Pre-Catalysts**

Reagents and conditions: 1. (i) [Cu(MeCN)4]PF6, 1H-sponge®, CH2Cl2, rt, 8 h; (ii) KCN, MeOH-CH2Cl2 (1 : 1), rt, 30 min; (iii) H2O2 (35% w/w in H2O), CH2Cl2, rt, 5 min. 72% combined yield over 3 steps prior to separation of diastereomers. \((S,\text{R}_{\text{mp}})-4\): 30%, 98% ee, >99 : 1 dr; \((S,\text{S}_{\text{mp}})-4\): 4%, 98% ee, >99 : 1 dr; \((S,\text{S}_{\text{mp}})-4\) \((R,\text{S}_{\text{mp}})-4\) \((S,\text{R}_{\text{mp}})-4\) \(= 98.4 : 1.0 : 0.6. 2. LiHMDS, THF, –78 ºC then, BnI, –78 to rt, 18 h. \((R,\text{mp})-5\): 81% (98% ee), \((S,\text{mp})-5\): 63% (98% ee; not shown, see ESI). 3. HSCl3, N2Et3, PhMe, CH2Cl2, 100 ºC, 3 d. 4. (Me2S)AuCl, CH2Cl2, rt, 1 h. \((R,\text{mp})-5\): 64% yield over two steps (98% ee). \((S,\text{mp})-6\): 62% (98% ee; not shown, see ESI).

Rotaxanes 4, 5 and \([\text{Au}(\text{6})(\text{Cl})]\) were isolated and characterised in full by NMR, MS, HPLC (4 and 5) and CD (see ESI for full details). The absolute stereochemistries of phosphine oxides \((S,\text{R}_{\text{mp}})-4\) and \((S,\text{S}_{\text{mp}})-4\) were assigned by single crystal x-ray diffraction (SC-XRD, Figure 2A and 2B); the internal stereochemical reference provided by the azide-derived unit allowed the orientation of the macrocycle to be determined unambiguously and the stereochemical labels were assigned using our established approach (see ESI for details). The absolute stereochemistry of rotaxanes 5 and \([\text{Au}(\text{6})(\text{Cl})]\) were inferred by noting that the mechanical stereochemistry of the corresponding diastereomeric starting materials cannot be altered in subsequent reactions.
Figure 2. Characterization of Rotaxanes 4 and 5

(A) Solid state structure of (S,Rmp)-4 with selected intercomponent interactions highlighted (atom labels and colours (O = dark grey, N = dark blue) as in Scheme 1, selected distances (Å): Hg•••O = 2.4, Hg•••centroid = 2.6, Hh•••N = 2.5, Hj•••centroid = 3.2, HE•••O = 2.5).

(B) Solid state structure of (S,Smp)-4 with selected intercomponent interactions (atom labels and colours (O = dark grey, N = dark blue) as in Scheme 1, selected distances (Å): Hh•••N = 2.4, Hi•••C = 2.6, Hj•••N = 2.7, HE•••O = 2.7). It should be noted that the asymmetric unit contains an oxidised derivative of (S,Smp)-4 as a disordered impurity.52 The figure depicts the component of the unit cell that is unaffected by this disorder.

(C) Partial 1H NMR (CDCl3, 400 MHz, 298 K) of i. macrocycle 3, ii. rotaxane (S,Rmp)-4, iii. rotaxane (S,Smp)-4, iv. rotaxane (Rmp)-5. Selected signals are assigned and color coded (see Scheme 1 for labels; Hk and Ho, assigned arbitrarily, are the ortho protons of the diastereotopic axle benzyl groups). Signals corresponding to macrocycle 3 are all shown in blue for clarity.

The 1H NMR spectra of diastereomers (S,Rmp)-4 and (S,Smp)-4 (Figure 2Ci and 2Ciii respectively) display the typical features of such interlocked molecules;47 many of the signals corresponding to the axle and macrocycle components, including HD, HE, HM, and HN are shielded relative to the non-interlocked macrocycle (Figure 2Ci), and triazole proton Hh appears at high chemical shift due to the formation of an intercomponent C-H•••N hydrogen bond with the bipyridine, as observed in the solid state structures (Figures 2A and 2B). However, their 1H NMR spectra are clearly distinct, in keeping with the diastereomeric relationship between the two products, as are their CD spectra (see ESI). Alkylation of rotaxanes 4 to give rotaxanes 5, produced materials with identical 1H NMR spectra (Figure 2Biv) but mirror image CD spectra (Figure 2D), in keeping with the enantiomeric relationship between these products. Strikingly, in addition to the expected shielding/deshielding of signals, the aromatic protons corresponding to the diastereotopic benzylic units of the axle in rotaxanes 5 are clearly distinct (e.g. benzylic ortho protons Hk and Ho), suggesting that the stereochemistry of the mechanical bond is well expressed onto the axle.

Enantioselective Cyclopropanation Reactions Mediated by Rotaxane [Au((Rmp)-4){Cl}]

With precatalyst [Au((Rmp)-6){Cl}] in hand, we investigated its behaviour in the enantioselective Au-mediated variant of the Ohe-Uemura54 cyclopropanation of alkenes by propargylic esters originally reported by Toste and co-workers using (R)-DTBM-SEGPHOS®(AuCl2) and resulting in stereoselectivities from 60 to 94% ee.41 More recently, Fuerstner and co-workers reported a mono-dentate binol-derived phosphoramite ligand for
the same reaction,\textsuperscript{55} and Toste and co-workers reported a reaction system that employs Au nanoclusters embedded in a chiral self-assembled monolayer.\textsuperscript{56} Table 1. Optimization of an Enantioselective Cyclopropanation Reaction Mediated by [Au(6)(Cl)]\textsuperscript{a}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>T (°C)</th>
<th>t (h)</th>
<th>cis : trans\textsuperscript{b}</th>
<th>(\varepsilon_{\text{cis}})</th>
<th>(\varepsilon_{\text{trans}})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CDCl\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>95 : 5</td>
<td>72 : 28</td>
<td>58 : 42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>CDCl\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>n.r.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>CDCl\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>95 : 5</td>
<td>29 : 71</td>
<td>42 : 58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>MeNO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>87 : 13</td>
<td>53 : 47</td>
<td>65 : 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>CD\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>83 : 17</td>
<td>64 : 36</td>
<td>66 : 34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>CCl\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>86 : 14</td>
<td>71 : 29</td>
<td>58 : 42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>PhMe</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>85 : 15</td>
<td>69 : 31</td>
<td>56 : 44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8\textsuperscript{f}</td>
<td>CDCl\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>94 : 6</td>
<td>79 : 21</td>
<td>62 : 38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>CDCl\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>–35</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>96 : 4</td>
<td>79 : 21</td>
<td>61 : 39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10\textsuperscript{f}</td>
<td>MeNO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>&gt;20 : &lt;1</td>
<td>16 : 84</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(\varepsilon_{\text{cis}}\) and \(\varepsilon_{\text{trans}}\) determined by HPLC. \(\varepsilon_{\text{cis}}\) determined by \(\text{H} \) NMR analysis of the crude reaction product using C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{6} as an internal standard (yield determination).

\(\varepsilon_{\text{cis}}\) determined by HPLC for screening experiments unless otherwise stated.

\(\varepsilon_{\text{cis}}\) determined by HPLC. Reactions were conducted with CuI additive.

Under conditions previously optimised for an analogous achiral rotaxane-based catalyst,\textsuperscript{56} [Au(\text{R}_{\text{mp}})-6(Cl)] mediated the reaction of benzoyl ester 7 with styrene (8) to produce cyclopropanes 9 in excellent selectivity for the cis diastereomer (Table 1, entry 1). The role of CuI additive is to bind to the bipyridine moiety, preventing the Lewis base inhibition of the Au\textsuperscript{+} centre; reactions in the absence of CuI were unsuccessful (entry 2).\textsuperscript{46} Other cationic additives failed to activate the catalyst (see ESI). Analysis of the purified major diastereomer by chiral HPLC revealed reasonable enantiopurity for (1\textsuperscript{\text{st}})-DTBM-SEGPHOS\textsuperscript{\text{a}}(AuCl)\textsubscript{2} with \(\varepsilon_{\text{cis}} = 99 : 1\) stereopurity. As expected, replacing [Au(\text{R}_{\text{mp}})-6(Cl)] with [Au(\text{S}_{\text{mp}})-6(Cl)]\textsuperscript{2} produced 9 with opposite enantioselectivity (entry 3). Variation of the solvent led to changes in the observed \(\varepsilon_{\text{cis}}\) of 9, but no significant improvement (entries 4-7). Cooling the reaction to 0 °C improved the er of the major diastereomer to 79 : 21 (entry 8). Cooling the reaction mixture further led to no significant improvement and slowed the process considerably (entry 9). For comparison, the same reaction mediated by (R)-DTBM-SEGPHOS\textsuperscript{\text{a}}(AuCl)\textsubscript{2} reported by Toste and co-workers produces cyclopropanes 9 in moderately higher and opposite stereoselectivity (entry 10).

With suitable conditions in hand (Table 1, entry 8) we performed a brief investigation of the effect of substrate on the stereoselectivity of reactions mediated by [Au(\text{R}_{\text{mp}})-6(Cl)] (Figure 3). Variation of the styrene component in the reaction of benzoate ester 7 gave cyclopropanes 10 and 11 in similar ee and de to 9, although the yield of the reaction was much lower in the case of 2-Me substituted product 11. Replacing styrene with allyl benzene gave cyclopropane 12 in reasonable enantioselectivity but lower diastereoselectivity, as has previously been observed for aliphatic alkenes.\textsuperscript{43} Conversely, variation of the propargylic ester component had a significant effect on the reaction stereoselectivity. Whereas (R)-DTBM-SEGPHOS\textsuperscript{\text{a}}(AuCl)\textsubscript{2} is reported to deliver higher stereoselectivity with the pivaloyl derivative of propargyl ester 7,
in the case of [Au((R)mp)6(Cl)], cyclopropane 13 was produced with almost no enantioselectivity. Pleasingly, phenylacetate ester-derived cyclopropane 14 was produced in comparable selectivity to 9, confirming that α-alkyl esters are tolerated by [Au(6)(Cl)] and suggesting that the steric bulk of the pivolyl moiety is responsible for the loss of stereoselectivity in the case of 13. Variation of the benzoyl moiety to introduce strongly electron withdrawing or donating groups (cyclopropanes 15 and 16 respectively) led to a reduction in reaction enantioselectivity. In contrast, bulky alkyl groups on the benzoate moiety increased the reaction ee; p-tBu benzoyl cyclopropane 17 and 3,5-di-tBu substituted cyclopropane 18 were produced in good yield and enantioselectivity. Cyclopropanes 9 - 18 were isolated by flash chromatography prior to HPLC analysis; the catalyst and any associated decomposition products were readily removed from the product mixture.

Figure 3. Cyclopropane Products Synthesized Using [Au((R)mp)-6](Cl)•

cis-9 86% (88% de, 57% ee)  cis-10 53% (84% de, 50% ee)  cis-11 25% (92% de, 46% ee)  cis-12 73% (62% de, 42% ee)

cis-13 90% (92% de, 9% ee)  cis-14 40% (92% de, 54% ee)  cis-15 45% (92% de, 45% ee)

cis-16 48% (88% de, 41% ee)  cis-17 79% (90% de, 74% ee)  cis-18 68% (90% de, 77% ee)

All reactions carried out under the conditions shown in Table 1, Entry 8. Combined yields of cyclopropanes and de were determined by 1H NMR analysis of the crude reaction product using C2Cl4H2 as an internal standard. ee of the major cis diastereomer determined by HPLC analysis of purified samples.57

Modelling of the AuI-Mediated Cyclopropanation of Styrene

Detailed modelling of interlocked molecules is challenging given both their size and flexibility. Previously, the catalytic behaviour of an interlocked catenane organocatalyst was studied computationally by considering the catalytic fragment alone on the assumption that the rest of the structure did not play a direct role in the reaction.58 In the case of [Au(6)(Cl)] this clearly would not be a reasonable assumption as the mechanical bond is the sole source of stereochemistry. Also, the implied difference in activation barrier, even for the most selective example reported above (18) is only ~4.5 kJmol⁻¹, a relatively small value for such a complex system where multiple conformations of the catalyst may be mechanistically relevant. These caveats notwithstanding, in order to gain some qualitative insight into how interactions between the reacting substrates and the rotaxane structure might influence the stereoselectivity of the reaction we conducted preliminary computational modelling of the reaction of propargylic ester 7 and styrene (8) mediated by [Au(Rmp)-6](Cl)].

In brief (for full details see ESI), we began by locating the lowest energy transition state (CAM-B3LYP/6-31G*/SDD(Au)) for the reaction of 7 with 8 mediated by [Au(PPh3)(Cl)], building on previous work by Echavarren and co-workers.59 In keeping with this previous report, the reaction of the carbene derived from 7 with 8 was found to be a two-step process. We thus assumed a similar pathway for the reaction mediated by [Au(6)(Cl)] (Figure 4A); coordination of CuI and abstraction of the Cl ligand gives rise to proposed active catalyst [AuCu(6)]2+ which coordinates to alkyne 7 to give complex I that undergoes a rearrangement to produce key carbene intermediate II. Addition of styrene to II produces carbocation III via key transition state T5I, in the process setting the stereochemistry of C1 of the cyclopropane product. Subsequent rapid ring closure gives rise to cyclopropane 9 and regenerates the catalyst.
In order to investigate the reaction mediated by rotaxane [Au(6)(Cl)], the transition state model found for the reaction mediated by [Au(PPh$_3$)(Cl)] was modified by attachment to the Cu-coordinated metallorotaxane. A conformational search (Spartan '10, MMF) with the transition state fragment frozen yielded low energy conformers for each diastereomeric complex which were optimised using DFT (Gaussian '09, CAM-B3LYP, 6-31G/SDD(Cu,Au)), again with the transition state fragment frozen, to identify the lowest energy conformation. Transition state optimisation, first using an Oniom method (CAM-B3LYP:UFF, 6-31G/SDD(Au)), followed by a full DFT optimisation (CAM-B3LYP, 631G/SDD(Cu,Au)) first in the gas phase then in solvent (CHCl$_3$, polarizable continuum model) yielded transition state models ($R_{mp,Re}$)-TS1 and ($R_{mp,Sl}$)-TS1 (Figures 4B and 4C respectively) that were determined to be first order saddle points with a single imaginary frequency.
Examining the models of \((R_{mp,Re})\)-TS1 and \((R_{mp,Sl})\)-TS1 (Figures 4B and 4C respectively) reveals that, in spite of their size and large number of rotatable bonds, the modelled catalyst structure is actually relatively rigid due to steric crowding combined with the coordination of the Cu' ion. A complex network of short intra- and inter-component contacts, including CH hydrogen bonds, CH-π interactions and cation-π interactions between the Cu' ion and one of the Ph rings of the phosphine ligand are predicted to stabilise the system further and project the Au' centre bearing the reactive carbene moiety towards the macrocycle, into the space around one of the phenoxo ether moieties. It is perhaps noteworthy that the optimised structures are similar to the solid-state structures of rotaxanes 4 determined by x-ray diffraction in which the phosphate substituent \((O)\) is also projected towards the same aryl ether moiety. Crowding around the Au' carbene moiety due to the mechanical bond is clearly seen in the space-filling models of \((R_{mp,Re})\)-TS1 and \((R_{mp,Sl})\)-TS1 (Figure 4Bi and 4Ci respectively); the macrocycle provides a sterically crowded environment that shields one face of the carbene unit and restricts the rotation of the substrate around the Au-P axis. The substrates are stabilised in the rotaxane environment through a number of non-covalent interactions, in particular a C(carbene)-H-O interaction in both structures and a CH-C(carbene) interaction in the case of \((R_{mp,Sl})\)-TS1. Thus, the modelling suggests that mechanically bonded structure provides a well-expressed chiral environment for the catalysis to take place within, which is consistent with the reasonable enantioselectivities achieved experimentally.

Finally, comparison of the calculated relative energies of \((R_{mp,Re})\)-TS1 and \((R_{mp,Sl})\)-TS1 revealed remarkable agreement, given the size of the system, between experiment and theory; \((R_{mp,Sl})\)-TS1 was found to be favoured by \(-2.3\) kJmol\(^{-1}\), corresponding to a stereoselectivity of 74 : 26 in favour of the major observed product \((1S,2R)-9.\) However, caution should be taken when interpreting this level of agreement; modelling in the gas phase (6-31G//SDD) predicted the opposite stereoselectivity \((1R,2S)-TS1\) favoured by \(-1.7\) kJmol\(^{-1}\)). Conversely re-optimisation of TS1 with the larger 6-31G* basis set in the gas phase or in CHCl3 (single point calculation)\(^{33}\) resulted in a predicted selectivity for the correct diastereomer that exceeds what is observed experimentally, demonstrating the uncertainty in the absolute values generated in such complex systems. Furthermore, although extending the modelling to the reactions leading to cyclopropanes 15 and 16 revealed reasonable agreement with experiment, the same calculations for the reaction leading to cyclopropanes 13 predicted a high selectivity, in contrast to the low selectivity observed experimentally (see ESI for details).

Thus, the molecular models of \((R_{mp,Re})\)-TS1 and \((R_{mp,Sl})\)-TS1 should be considered qualitative, providing some insight into the potential interactions and a pictorial representation of the chiral environment created by the mechanical bond around the reacting Au' carbene. A more detailed study, combined with many more comparisons between experiment and theory, would be required to determine the details of the key intermolecular interactions that lead to the observed stereoselectivity.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Although the first enantiopure mechanically planar chiral rotaxane was reported over two decades ago\(^1\) this is, to our knowledge, the first time that this stereogenic unit has been applied in catalysis. The results presented clearly demonstrate that the mechanically planar chiral stereogenic unit can direct enantioselective catalysis. The results are particularly pleasing given that rotaxane 6 was not explicitly designed or optimised for the reaction presented and yet achieves stereoselectivities with benzoate esters of 42 - 77% ee, comparable to a similar reaction mediated by optimised covalent catalyst (R)-DTBM-SEGPHOS\(^ {AuCl}\), 68% ee). By extension, our results suggest that other mechanical stereogenic units\(^6\) such as the axial and topological chiral units in catenanes have unexplored potential in catalytic applications.

However, the stereoselectivities observed in this cyclopropanation reaction are lower than those reported when pivoiate esters, which are not tolerated by \([Au(6)(Cl)]\), were employed with the best covalent catalysts (76 to 94% ee).\(^{41}\) clearly demonstrating that challenges remain to be overcome for mechanically chiral rotaxanes to become useful tools in organic synthesis. It should also be noted that preliminary attempts to apply \([Au(6)(Cl)]\) to other Au'-mediated reactions were unsuccessful (see ESI), suggesting that our success in this one reaction is serendipitous, rather than an indication that the mechanically planar chiral stereogenic unit is somehow a “magic bullet” for enantioselective gold catalysis. Indeed, this
is consistent with results with covalent catalysts (e.g. (R)-DTBM-SEGPHOS®(AuCl) - see ESI) that have been optimised for one Au-mediated reaction but often perform poorly in others. Furthermore, despite recent progress in the area, the synthesis of mechanically interlocked molecules is still challenging, in the example presented specifically due to the low stereoselectivity observed in the mechanical bond forming step and the epimerisation of the stereodirecting unit derived from the α-chiral azide that complicates the purification. This synthetic challenge clearly complicates the optimisation of catalyst frameworks to deliver enhanced enantioselectivity. However, recent progress in the development of new methodologies to access enantiopure mechanically chiral molecules suggests that this synthetic challenge can and is being addressed, and pleasingly, based on the preliminary molecular modelling presented, it seems that modern computational chemistry may well be able to aid the design process.

Thus, in the future, we see a place for mechanical chirality in catalysis, particularly where it is otherwise challenging to project chiral information into the reaction space, as in the Au-mediated reaction presented here; the crowded, three-dimensional nature of the mechanical bond appears to be well suited to generating a chiral pocket for chemical reactions to take place within, similar in some ways to enzymatic active sites with their combination of steric hindrance and weak attractive interactions with the substrate. Furthermore, combining chiral mechanical stereogenic units with the well-developed chemistry of interlocked molecular shuttles should allow the influence of the stereogenic mechanical bond to be modulated in a stimuli responsive manner in order to develop switchable chiral catalysts, for instance to produce both hands of a given chiral product in high enantioselectivity. Indeed, during the preparation of this manuscript this principle was demonstrated in the context of co-conformational covalent point chirality. The same principles may also hold in the development of enantioselective sensors for chiral molecules. What is clear, based on these results, is that the chemical applications of mechanically chiral interlocked molecules deserve further investigation.
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REFERENCES AND NOTES
27. By chirotopic elements, we mean stereogenic units around that are locally chiral (see: Mislow, K. & Siegel, J. (1984). Stereoisomerism and local chirality. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106, 3319–3328). Similarly, when we describe a molecule as “mechanically planar chiral” (or similar) this is shorthand for a molecule that is chiral as a consequence of containing the mechanical planar chiral stereogenic unit.


52. Care must be taken in the separation of diastereomers if the effective stereogenic centre is prone to epimerisation. See ESI for full details.

53. Although the SC-XRD-derived solid state structure of (S,R,R)-4 is of high quality, the single-crystal of (S,S,R)-4 appears to be contaminated with an oxidised derivative of the rotaxane. The structure of (S,R,R)-4 alone is sufficient to assign the relative and, using the known stereochemistry of the azide derived component, the absolute stereochemistry of both diastereomers. However, the SC-XRD-derived structure of (S,S,R)-4 is of good quality once the impurity is taken into account and, importantly, the relative stereochemistry observed is, as expected, epimeric with that determined for (S,R,R)-4. For full details see ESI.

54. SC-XRD allows the relative stereochemistry of the mechanical bond and coherent stereogenic unit to be determined unambiguously, leading to the diastereomeric rotaxanes. This information, combined with the known configuration of the azide-derived stereogenic unit, allows the absolute stereochemistry of rotaxanes 4, and their derivatives, to be determined.


57. The absolute stereochemistry of (1S,2R)-9 was assigned by comparison with the product of the reaction mediated by [Rh-DTBM-SEGPHOS®(AuCl)], the stereochemical outcome of which is known. The absolute configurations of cis-15, cis-16 and cis-18 were determined to be (1S,2R) by conversion to the same reduction product as that produced by cis-9 (see ESI for details). The absolute stereochemistry of all other cyclopropane products was not determined. The (1S,2R) products are shown but this assignment is arbitrary.
It is an open question whether an interlocked molecule in which the components are bridged in this manner are true rotaxanes or if the metal-ligand interactions constitute a covalent link, rendering them entangled but not mechanically bonded, strictly speaking. Sauvage employed the term "catenate" to denote such complexes in the context of catenanes, but the equivalent noun "rotaxanate" is more commonly used as a verb meaning "to make a rotaxane" (for examples where rotaxanate is used as a noun see: Furusho, Y., Matsuyama, T., Takata, T., Moriiuchi, T. & Hirao, T. (2004). Synthesis of novel interlocked systems utilizing a palladium complex with 2,6-pyridinedicarboxamide-based tridentate macrocyclic ligand. Tetrahedron Lett. 45, 9593–9597; Mateo-Alonso, A. (2010). Mechanically interlocked molecular architectures functionalised with fullerene. Chem. Commun. 46, 9089–9099; Miyagawa, N., Watanabe, M., Matsuyama, T., Koyama, Y., Moriiuchi, T., Hirao, T., Furusho, Y. & Takata, T. (2010). Successive catalytic reactions specific to Pd-based rotaxane complexes as a result of wheel translation along the axle. Chem. Commun. 46, 1920–1922). Here we use the term "metalrotaxane", which has seen some some use to denote the mechanically chelated complex, rather than "rotaxanate", to avoid confusion.¹