Microbiota-independent immunological effects of non-1 digestible oligosaccharides in the context of inflammatory 2 bowel diseases 3 Stefania Del Fabbro^{1*}, Philip C. Calder^{1,2,3} and Caroline E. Childs^{1,3} 4 5 ¹School of Human Development and Health, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, Southampton, 6 UK; ²NIHR Southampton Biomedical Research Centre, University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust and University of Southampton, Southampton, UK; ³Institute for Life Sciences, University of 7 Southampton, Southampton, UK 8 *Corresponding author: IDS Building, MP887, Southampton General Hospital, Tremona Road, 9 10 Southampton SO16YD, UK; email: S.Del-Fabbro@soton.ac.uk 11 **Shortened title:** Microbiota-independent effects of NDO on immunity 12 Keywords: inflammatory bowel diseases, non-digestible oligosaccharides, immunological effects, 13 microbiota-independent effects 14 15 List of abbreviations: 16 NDO, non-digestible oligosaccharides; IBD, inflammatory bowel diseases; CD, Crohn's disease; 17 UC, ulcerative colitis; IL, interleukin; TNF, tumour necrosis factor; IFN, interferon; FOS, 18 fructooligosaccharides; GOS, galactooligosaccharides; HMO, human milk oligosaccharides; AOS, 19 arabinooligosaccharides; MOS, mannanoligosaccharides; XOS, xylooligosaccharides; POS, pectic 20 oligosaccharides; DP, degree of polymerisation; SCFA, short chain fatty acids; STAT1, signal 21 transducer and activator of transcription 1; PPARy, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor 22 gamma; DC, dendritic cells; MLN, mesenteric lymph node; scGOS/lcFOS, short chain GOS/long 23 chain FOS; Caco-2 cells, human epithelial colorectal adenocarcinoma cells; Gal, galactose; 24 25 *HUVEC*, human umbilical vein endothelial cells; MCP-1, monocyte chemoattractant protein-1; MIP-3α, macrophage inflammatory protein-3 alpha; NF-κB, nuclear factor kappa B; GMO, goat 26 milk oligosaccharides; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; MoDC, monocyte-derived dendritic cells; TLR4, 27 toll-like receptor 4; PBMC, peripheral blood mononuclear cells; GRO-α, growth-regulated 28

oncogene alpha; MIP-2, macrophage inflammatory protein 2; TGF-β, transforming growth factor

29

30

beta;

1 Abstract

21

22

The aim of this paper is to review the effects of non-digestible oligosaccharides (NDO) on 2 immunity, focusing on their microbiota-independent mechanisms of action, as well as to explore 3 their potential beneficial role in inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD). IBD are chronic, inflammatory 4 conditions of the gastrointestinal tract. Individuals with IBD have an aberrant immune response to 5 commensal microbiota, resulting in extensive mucosal inflammation and increased intestinal 6 7 permeability. NDO are prebiotic fibres well known for their role in supporting intestinal health through modulation of the gut microbiota. NDO reach the colon intact and are fermented by 8 9 commensal bacteria, resulting in the production of short chain fatty acids with immunomodulatory properties. In disease states characterised by increased gut permeability, prebiotics may also bypass 10 the gut barrier and directly interact with intestinal and systemic immune cells, as demonstrated in 11 patients with IBD and in infants with an immature gut. In vitro models show that 12 fructooligosaccharides, inulin and galactooligosaccharides exert microbiota-independent effects on 13 immunity by binding to toll-like receptors on monocytes, macrophages and intestinal epithelial cells 14 and by modulating cytokine production and immune cell maturation. Moreover, animal models and 15 human supplementation studies demonstrate that some prebiotics, including inulin and lactulose, 16 might reduce intestinal inflammation and IBD symptoms. Although there is convincing preliminary 17 data to support NDO as immunomodulators in the management of IBD, their mechanisms of action 18 are still unclear and larger standardised studies need to be performed using a wider range of 19 20 prebiotics.

1 Inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD)

Inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD) are chronic and relapsing conditions affecting the 2 gastrointestinal tract. Based on the location of inflammation, IBD are classified as Crohn's disease 3 (CD) or ulcerative colitis (UC). While CD is associated with deep inflammation in any part of the 4 gastrointestinal tract, UC is linked to severe tissue damage of the colon and rectum⁽¹⁾. In the 21st 5 century, IBD has become a major burden in Western countries, with over 1.5 million and 2 million 6 7 people affected in North America and Europe, respectively⁽²⁾. Although the exact pathogenesis is unclear, there is evidence that IBD develop in genetically susceptible individuals who have been 8 exposed to environmental insults that lead to an aberrant immune response to commensal gut 9 microbiota and chronic inflammation⁽³⁾. Despite both CD and UC having a genetic basis, UC is 10 more strongly affected by environmental factors than genetic factors, compared to CD⁽⁴⁾. Relevant 11 environmental factors include lifestyle (e.g. smoking, diet and stress), host microbiota (dysbiosis), 12 pharmacologic agents (e.g. antibiotics), ecological factors (e.g. pollution) and surgery (e.g. 13 appendectomy), with smoking having the greatest level of evidence for association with IBD than 14 other factors according to the 2009 Oxford Centre for Evidence-based Medicine levels of 15 Evidence⁽³⁾. Genetic and environmental factors drive alterations to the gut immune response, 16 leading to reduced ability to clear pathogenic bacteria⁽⁵⁾, lower levels of goblet cells, Paneth cell 17 dysfunction and increased secretion of inflammatory mediators, such as interleukins (IL-1β, IL-6, 18 IL-17, IL-18, IL-22), tumour necrosis factor (TNF- α) and interferon (IFN- γ)⁽⁶⁾. These processes can 19 cause damage to the intestinal epithelium, which becomes permeable and susceptible to the 20 21 commensal flora and their metabolites. Whereas in healthy conditions the gut microbes live in mutualistic relationship with the host (homeostasis), in IBD this balance is altered (dysbiosis) and 22 the host responds to the commensal bacteria with an aberrant immune response. In recent years, 23 prebiotic oligosaccharide fibres have been studied for their role in maintaining gut health, 24 supporting the growth of health-promoting bacteria and positively modulating gut immunity⁽⁷⁾. 25 There is an increasing interest in using prebiotics as a preventive or supportive therapy in IBD, as 26 this is a condition for which there is currently no cure but only maintenance treatments to mitigate 27

29

30

28

the symptoms.

Non-digestible oligosaccharides (NDO)

- Non-digestible oligosaccharides (NDO) are fermentable dietary fibres with a role as prebiotics.
- 32 According to the International Scientific Association for Probiotics and Prebiotics consensus
- 33 statement⁽⁸⁾, prebiotics are "substrates that are selectively utilised by host microorganisms

- 1 conferring a health benefit". Fructooligosaccharides (FOS), inulin and galactooligosaccharides
- 2 (GOS) are the most researched prebiotics. Additionally, lactulose-derived oligosaccharides, human
- 3 milk oligosaccharides (HMO), arabinooligosaccharides (AOS), mannanoligosaccharides (MOS),
- 4 xylooligosaccharides (XOS), pectic oligosaccharides (POS) and glucose-derived oligosaccharides
- 5 are emerging prebiotics, as the level of evidence of their health benefits is lower than for FOS,
- 6 inulin and GOS⁽⁸⁻¹⁰⁾. NDO are carbohydrates made up by 3 to 10 monosaccharide units, usually
- 7 glucose, galactose, fructose, and xylose. The number of monomeric units constituting NDO is also
- 8 referred to as the degree of polymerisation (DP). The carbons of the monosaccharide units are
- 9 linked by covalent β -glycosidic bonds rather than by α -glycosidic bonds found in digestible
- 10 oligosaccharides. This β configuration of the bonds makes NDO indigestible by human salivary and
- digestive enzymes⁽¹¹⁾. FOS and inulin are made by fructosyl monomers linked by β -(2,1) bonds
- attached to a terminal glucosyl residue by an α -(1,2) bond. They differ in terms of the length of
- sugar chains, which are shorter for FOS (2-8 units) than for inulin (up to 60 units)⁽¹²⁾. GOS are
- made up by galactopyranosyl units linked by β -(1,4) or β -(1,6) bonds and terminating with a
- glucosyl residue linked by β -(1,4) bonds (Figure 1)⁽¹³⁾. Dietary sources of NDO are plants and
- include chicory, garlic, Jerusalem artichoke, leeks, onions, bananas, barley and wheat⁽¹⁴⁾. FOS,
- inulin and GOS are also produced industrially either by trans-glycosylation of monosaccharides or
- by enzymatic hydrolysis of polysaccharides⁽¹¹⁾.

Prebiotic mechanism of action

- Due to their chemical structure, prebiotic NDO resist low gastric pH and hydrolytic enzymes and
- reach the colon virtually intact, where they are degraded by specific gut bacteria collectively
- referred to as the 'gut microbiota' (15).
- Lactobacilli and bifidobacteria break down NDO via saccharolytic reactions and use them as energy
- sources to support their growth. In doing so, short chain fatty acids (SCFA) are generated as volatile
- 26 end-products of the fermentation process. These SCFA, which include acetate, propionate and
- butyrate⁽¹⁶⁾, exert beneficial health effects for the host such as inhibition of pathogens, maintenance
- of gut barrier integrity, regulation of glucose and lipid metabolism and modulation of immunity⁽¹⁷⁾
- 29 ²¹⁾.

19

- 30 Traditionally, immunomodulatory effects of prebiotics were thought to result exclusively from the
- actions of SCFA and other metabolites (e.g. bactericidal molecules) produced by the microbiota.
- For example, SCFA are known to modulate cytokine production and immune cell functions
- 33 (dendritic cells, T cells) as well as to inhibit several pro-inflammatory pathways, as extensively

- 1 reviewed elsewhere^(7, 22-24), There is growing interest in understanding whether NDO can also
- 2 modulate immunity in a non-prebiotic manner, especially in those individuals with increased gut
- 3 permeability, by directly interacting with systemic and gut immune cells. Addressing the aim of this
- 4 review, microbiota-independent effects of NDO on immunity will be discussed in more detail.

6

Microbiota-independent effects of NDO on immunity

7

8

Evidence for intestinal transportation of NDO

- 9 Prebiotics may pass through the gut barrier and enter in direct contact with gut and systemic
- immune cells when the gut is immature, such as in infants^(25, 26), or in other situations characterised
- by increased gut permeability, such as IBD⁽²⁷⁾, obesity^(28, 29), type 1 diabetes⁽³⁰⁾ and non-alcoholic
- fatty liver disease⁽³¹⁾. It is conceivable that NDO may be transported across the intestinal barrier
- also in individuals exposed to lifestyle-associated stressors that have been linked to alterations in
- gut permeability, such as high fat Western diet, alcohol consumption and use of medications⁽³²⁾.
- 15 Studies *in vitro* using cell lines demonstrated that neutral and acidic HMO are transported across the
- 16 Caco-2 monolayer via transcellular and/or paracellular pathways⁽³³⁾ and that short chain GOS/long
- chain FOS (scGOS/lcFOS) are transferred with rates of 4-14% depending on their molecular size
- and structure⁽³⁴⁾. In human supplementation studies, HMO, FOS and GOS with a DP between 3 and
- 9 were found in plasma, urine and stool of infants fed with supplemented human milk or formula
- 20 containing FOS or GOS^(35, 36), confirming transport of intact oligosaccharides across the intestinal
- epithelium, as summarised in Table 1 and Table 2. Although it is plausible that prebiotics are
- transported across the intestinal epithelium not only in infants but also in adults with increased gut
- permeability, there is an important gap in the research field that needs addressing to support this
- 24 hypothesis.

25

26

Evidence for direct effects of NDO on immunity

- 27 A systematic literature search was conducted in Ovid MEDLINE(R) from 1946 through December
- 28 2019 and EMBASE from 1947 through December 2019. The search terms used included
- 29 "Prebiotic* or Fibre* or Fiber* or Oligo?saccharide* or Oligo?saccharide* fraction* or
- 30 Human?milk oligosaccharide* or HMO* or Human milk-derived oligo?saccharide* or
- 31 non?digestible oligosaccharide* or NDO" and "Monocyte* or Lymphocyte* or Dendritic cell* or

- 1 monocyte* derived dendritic cell* or Intestinal epithel*" and "Gastro?intestinal epithelial transfer
- 2 or Gastro?intestinal adj2 epithelial adj2 transfer or epithelial transport* or in?vitro transfer or CaCo-
- 3 2 cell monolayer* or intestinal epithelial cell* or IEC*". After deduplication, relevant papers were
- 4 selected and bibliographies of the retrieved articles were searched to identify additional articles of
- 5 interest.
- 6 Twelve *in vitro* studies involving human or animal cell lines and/or primary cultures and one *in vivo*
- 7 study using germ-free mice were reviewed to assess whether NDO could directly affect immunity
- 8 (Table 3 Table 5). Three out of thirteen studies focused on $HMO^{(37-39)}$, whereas the other studies
- 9 focused on other NDO including FOS, inulin, GOS and/or a combination of these⁽⁴⁰⁻⁴⁶⁾. Of those
- studies that looked at effects of NDO on human cell lines, HMO acidic fractions (12.5-125 µg/mL)
- showed anti-inflammatory effects by dose-dependently inhibiting leukocyte rolling and adhesion,
- which are two inflammatory processes involved in tissue damage, to human umbilical vein
- endothelial cells (HUVEC)⁽³⁷⁾. Treatment with HMO and GOS (both 5 g/L) attenuated TNF- α -, IL-
- 1β- and pathogen-induced inflammatory cytokines (IL-8, monocyte chemoattractant protein-1
- (MCP-1) and macrophage inflammatory protein-3 alpha, MIP-3 α) in H4 cells, a cell model for
- human immature intestine⁽³⁹⁾. When the same experiment was conducted on T84 and NCM-460 cell
- 17 lines to mimic mature intestinal cells, TNF- α -induced MIP-3 α was reduced by HMO and GOS
- treatment. However, TNF-α-induced IL-8 was inhibited in NCM-460, but not T84, cells only by
- 19 HMO⁽³⁹⁾. Inflammatory nuclear factor kappa B (NF-κB) signalling was attenuated in H4 and NCM-
- 460 cell lines⁽³⁹⁾. A reduction in the expression of pro-inflammatory IL-8, as well as IL-12 and
- 21 TNF-α, was seen after treatment of human epithelial colorectal adenocarcinoma cells (Caco-2 cells)
- with α3-sialyllactose HMO (50 mg/L) and FOS (50 g/L), whose anti-inflammatory effects are likely
- linked to the induction of PPAR $\gamma^{(40)}$. When the same cell line was incubated with lower
- concentrations (5 g/L) of FOS, inulin, GOS and goat milk oligosaccharides (GMO), reduced
- 25 production of MCP-1, but not IL-8, was observed. However, in the same study, stimulation with
- FOS, inulin and GMO but not GOS resulted in a higher IL-8 production by human colon cancer cell
- 27 lines (HT-29), in contrast to the studies on H4, NCM-460 and Caco-2 cells⁽⁴³⁾. Overall, *in vitro*
- work conducted on cell lines consistently supports the hypothesis that NDO are able to modulate
- 29 cytokine production (e.g. IL-8, MCP-1) in a microbiota-independent manner. However, their anti-
- 30 inflammatory effects appear to be cell-line specific and the various NDO structures, doses and
- 31 stimulation times used in the studies may contribute to the different outcomes observed.
- Among the *in vitro* studies that used animal cell cultures, when GOS and GOS/FOS were tested at
- higher concentrations (5 20 g/L) on LPS-challenged equine peripheral blood mononuclear cells
- 34 (PBMC), an increase in TNF-α was found. However, a mixture of GOS/FOS/AOS showed a

- biphasic effect when used at different doses, with a reduction in TNF- α and IL-10 at higher
- 2 concentrations and an increase in the same mediators at lower concentrations⁽⁴⁵⁾. Stimulation of rat
- 3 splenic macrophages and T cells with FOS, inulin and GOS (all 5 g/L) increased the levels of TNF-
- 4 α , IL-6, and IL-10 and reduced the levels of LPS-induced IFN- γ and IL-17, with effects that may be
- 5 related to the modulation of TLR4⁽⁴²⁾. Research conducted on rat small intestinal cell lines (IEC18
- 6 cells) confirmed the hypothesis that FOS, GOS and goat milk oligosaccharides may act as TLR4
- 7 ligands. Indeed, there was a reduction in cytokine secretion (growth-regulated oncogene alpha
- 8 (GRO-α), MCP-1 and macrophage inflammatory protein 2 (MIP-2)) seen after treatment with FOS,
- 9 GOS and goat milk oligosaccharides (5 g/L) when the TLR4 gene was knocked down in IEC18
- 10 cells⁽⁴³⁾. Interaction between NDO and TLR4 was demonstrated not only in rat intestinal cells but
- also in mouse-derived bone marrow DC, whose maturation and cytokine secretion (TNF-α, IL-6,
- 12 IL-10 and IL-12) was enhanced by treatment with feruloylated oligosaccharides from rice bran
- tested at different concentrations $(6.25-100 \mu g/mL)^{(46)}$.
- One *in vivo* study on germ-free mice receiving short chain or long chain $\beta 2 \rightarrow 1$ fructans for 5 days
- showed that the immunomodulatory effects seen were partially mediated by the microbiota and
- partially microbiota-independent. Both short chain and long chain fructans increased the numbers of
- 17 Thelper cells in the Peyer's patches. Short chain fructans increased regulatory T cells and CD11b⁻
- 18 CD103⁻ dendritic cells in the mesenteric lymph node and reduced their CD80 expression in the
- 19 Peyer's patches, whereas LC fructans modulated the B cell responses. These effects were
- 20 independent from the gut microbiota and/or their SCFA production⁽⁴⁷⁾.
- 21 Research performed on human primary cells revealed that treatment of cord blood mononuclear
- 22 cells with acidic HMO (1 μg/mL) lead to an increase in IFN-γ- and IL-13-producing T helper
- and/or cytotoxic T cells and stimulated T helper cell maturation, as shown by higher expression of
- the activation marker CD25⁽³⁸⁾. Culture with FOS and inulin (5 g/L) increased IL-10 and TNF- α ,
- but not IL-1β and IL-8, production by adult peripheral blood monocytes compared to control, and
- an upregulation in the response to lipopolysaccharide (LPS) was seen when those cells were co-
- stimulated with NDO and LPS⁽⁴²⁾. Higher levels of IL-10 were found after stimulation of human
- immature monocyte-derived DC (MoDC) from peripheral blood with 5 g/L of scGOS/lcFOS,
- through a mechanism that involved NDO binding to toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4)⁽⁴⁴⁾.
- 30 Overall, there is evidence to conclude that NDO can exert direct, microbiota-independent effects on
- 31 immune cells. HMO, FOS, inulin and GOS were consistently shown to directly modulate cytokine
- production (IL-6, IL-8, IL-10, IL-12, MCP-1, MIP-3α and TNF-α) and immune cell maturation
- 33 (lymphocytes, DC) in *in vitro* models, with mechanisms that seem to involve TLR ligation. One *in*
- 34 vivo study on germ-free mice reinforced the in vitro evidence for microbiota-independent effects of

- 1 NDO on immunity. However, not all NDO appear to have the same effect (anti-inflammatory vs
- 2 pro-inflammatory) and within a class of NDO inconsistent effects have been reported. Whereas
- 3 HMO displayed clear anti-inflammatory properties in vitro, which might at least partially explain
- 4 their protective effects against allergy and infection in vivo, FOS, inulin and GOS showed various
- 5 outcomes on immunity, including anti-inflammatory as well as pro-inflammatory effects. The use of
- 6 different doses and types of prebiotics as well as various cell culture models may explain the
- 7 differences in cytokine production observed *in vitro*. Additionally, the chain length of
- 8 oligosaccharides appears to have an important role in inducing either anti-inflammatory or pro-
- 9 inflammatory responses. Vogt et al. (41) demonstrated that short chain FOS (2-5 units) elicited the
- production of anti-inflammatory cytokines to a greater extent than long chain FOS (>8 units).
- Additionally, only half of the studies assessed the LPS content of oligosaccharide fractions used in
- culture^(34, 37, 38, 44-46). Because the binding of LPS to TLR4 on monocytes, macrophages and B cells
- 13 leads to strong, pro-inflammatory responses including the secretion of TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8, IL-
- 14 10, IL-12, IL-15 and transforming growth factor $(TGF-\beta)^{(48)}$, it is important to determine whether
- the direct effects of oligosaccharides on cytokines are due to the action of the oligosaccharide
- fractions or to the presence of LPS within prepared fractions. Although dietary oligosaccharides
- bind and signal via TLR4 in monocytes, macrophages and intestinal epithelial cells^(41, 43, 44, 46) often
- eliciting a pro-inflammatory cytokine production *in vitro*, they are not necessarily pro-inflammatory
- 19 *in vivo*. This is most likely due to differences between *in vitro* and *in vivo* conditions. Therefore,
- 20 more research needs to be carried out to understand the mechanisms through which
- 21 oligosaccharides affect immunity.

23

Effects of prebiotics on IBD: animal models and human clinical trials

- 24 IBD patients have lower numbers of bifidobacteria and lactobacilli in the gut, lower concentrations
- of faecal SCFA and high mucosal inflammation primarily induced by pro-inflammatory
- 26 cytokines⁽⁴⁹⁾. Prebiotics are promising in the management of IBD for their role in restoring gut
- 27 microbiota homeostasis and affecting cytokine production and immune cell maturation. In recent
- years, animal and human studies on the role of prebiotics in IBD have been extensively reviewed⁽⁵⁰⁻
- 29 53).
- 30 Murine experimental models of colitis associated either with epithelial barrier disruption or with
- 31 immune cell defects have been developed to mimic IBD in humans ⁽⁵⁴⁾. Rodent experimental colitis
- models showed that both short term (<1 week) and long term (>1 month) treatment with prebiotics
- 33 including lactulose, inulin and goat milk oligosaccharides reduced colonic damage and

- 1 inflammation, whereas no convincing reduction of inflammation was seen in animal studies that
- 2 used GOS or FOS⁽⁵²⁾. When GOS and FOS were used in association with other soluble and
- 3 insoluble polysaccharides, a decrease in inflammatory cytokines as well as an increase in IL-10 and
- 4 regulatory T cells in the mesenteric lymph nodes were observed⁽⁵⁵⁾. Together, these results indicate
- 5 that various prebiotics may have different potential in attenuating inflammation, and that more
- 6 studies using the experimental colitis model are needed⁽⁵²⁾.
- 7 Human clinical trials on prebiotic use in IBD are available for FOS and inulin (Table 5), but not for
- 8 GOS and other NDO. In a double-blind placebo controlled trial on individuals with chronic
- 9 pouchitis (an inflammation in the lining of an artificial rectum created after UC surgery), treatment
- with 24 g/d inulin for 3 weeks resulted in lower endoscopic and histological inflammation, higher
- faecal butyrate concentration and a tendency for lower concentrations of secondary bile acids in
- faeces⁽⁵⁶⁾. Similarly, patients with active UC receiving 12 g/d oligofructose-enriched inulin for 2
- weeks displayed a reduction in disease activity and in faecal calprotectin (a marker of intestinal
- inflammation) but no changes in circulating inflammatory mediators (e.g. IL-8), compared to
- 15 control⁽⁵⁷⁾. In another double-blind randomised control trial, supplementation with 10 g/d inulin for
- 4 weeks increased the numbers of bifidobacteria, lead to higher concentrations of faecal
- acetaldehyde and butyrate and decreased disease activity in CD patients, although there was a
- higher dropout rate for those undergoing supplementation compared to placebo⁽⁵⁸⁾. In an open-label
- trial, treatment with 15 g/d FOS for 3 weeks reduced disease activity in individuals with active ileo-
- 20 colonic CD and increased the numbers of bifidobacteria as well as the numbers of DC from rectal
- biopsies expressing IL-10, TLR2 and TLR4⁽⁵⁹⁾. These results were only partially confirmed by a
- larger double-blind randomised control trial, where patients with active CD supplemented 15 g/d
- FOS for 4 weeks showed higher numbers of IL-10⁺ DC from rectal biopsies but no differences in
- 24 disease activity, numbers of bifidobacteria and levels of faecal calprotectin⁽⁶⁰⁾. Several studies
- conducted on other non-prebiotic dietary fibres, such as ispaghula husk⁽⁶¹⁾ and germinated barley
- 26 foodstuff⁽⁶²⁻⁶⁴⁾, showed that also these two polysaccharides may have a potential in attenuating UC
- 27 and/or CD clinical symptoms. Overall, while inulin appears promising in reducing IBD symptoms
- and inflammation, there are currently few studies of FOS and no studies of GOS in IBD. More
- 29 research using standardised methods needs to be conducted to explore the potential preventive
- and/or therapeutic use of prebiotics in the management of IBD.

32

1 Conclusions

Prebiotics have been extensively studied for their beneficial role in maintaining gut health and in 2 supporting the growth of health-promoting bacteria. Additionally, prebiotics may positively 3 modulate gut and systemic immunity via microbiota-dependent and microbiota-independent 4 mechanisms, as reviewed in this paper. Whereas immunomodulatory effects of prebiotics via the 5 production of SCFA have been extensively seen in the literature, fewer studies are available on 6 7 direct prebiotic-immune cell interactions. In vitro, FOS, inulin and GOS were shown to exert microbiota-independent effects on immunity, including the binding to TLR on monocytes, 8 macrophages and intestinal epithelial cells and consequent modulation of cytokines and immune 9 cell maturation. In infants, there is good evidence to conclude that prebiotics can pass through the 10 intestinal epithelium and directly modulate gut and systemic immune cells. Although it is logical to 11 speculate that the same might happen in other conditions characterised by increased gut 12 permeability, such as IBD, this hypothesis needs to be confirmed by further research. There is 13 convincing preliminary data to suggest that inulin and lactulose can reduce IBD symptoms and 14 inflammation in animal models and/or in human supplementation studies. However, the 15 mechanisms of action of prebiotics in IBD are not fully understood, and there is a particular need 16 for further research on a wider range of prebiotics, including FOS and GOS. The overall beneficial 17 effects of prebiotics may be as a result of transfer across the permeable gut of IBD patients resulting 18 in direct interaction with gut and systemic immune cells, or prebiotic actions may derive from 19 microbiota-dependent mechanisms, or perhaps they might act through a combination of both these 20 21 effects. An important first step will be to establish whether prebiotic oligosaccharides do in fact bypass the intestinal epithelium in IBD patients as has been established in infants and in vitro 22 experiments. 23

24

25

Acknowledgments

- 26 Stefania Del Fabbro wishes to thank the organising committee for the invitation to speak at The 13th
- 27 European Nutrition Conference (FENS) within the Postgraduate Symposium in Oct 2019, Dublin.

28

29

Financial Support

- 30 This review received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial or not-for-profit
- sectors. S.D.F. PhD studentship is supported by Clasado Biosciences (grant number 17726/02).

1 Conflict of Interest

2 None.

3

4 Authorship

- 5 S.D.F. performed a review of the literature and drafted the manuscript. P.C.C. and C.E.C. critically
- 6 revised and approved the final version of the manuscript.

7

8

References

- 9 1. Calder PC, Albers R, Antoine JM et al. (2009) Inflammatory disease processes and
- interactions with nutrition. Br J Nutr **101** 1-45.
- 11 2. Ng SC, Shi HY, Hamidi N et al. (2017) Worldwide incidence and prevalence of
- inflammatory bowel disease in the 21st century: a systematic review of population-based studies.
- 13 The Lancet **390**, 2769-2778.
- 14 3. Abegunde AT, Muhammad BH, Bhatti O et al. (2016) Environmental risk factors for
- inflammatory bowel diseases: Evidence based literature review. World J Gastroenterol 22, 6296-
- 16 6317.
- 4. Farrell RJ, Peppercorn MA. (2002) Ulcerative colitis. The Lancet **359**, 331-340.
- 18 5. Shanahan F. (2002) Crohn's disease. The Lancet **359**, 62-69.
- 19 6. Maloy KJ, Powrie F. (2011) Intestinal homeostasis and its breakdown in inflammatory
- 20 bowel disease. Nature **474**, 298-306.
- 7. Carlson JL, Erickson JM, Lloyd BB et al. (2018) Health Effects and Sources of Prebiotic
- Dietary Fiber. Current Developments in Nutrition 2, 1-8.
- 8. Gibson GR, Hutkins R, Sanders ME et al. (2017) Expert consensus document: The
- 24 International Scientific Association for Probiotics and Prebiotics (ISAPP) consensus statement on
- 25 the definition and scope of prebiotics. Nature Review Gastroenterology & Hepatology 14, 491-502.
- 9. Mano MCR, Neri-Numa IA, da Silva JB et al. (2017) Oligosaccharide biotechnology: an
- approach of prebiotic revolution on the industry. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol **102**, 17-37.
- 28 10. Davani-Davari D, Negahdaripour M, Karimzadeh I et al. (2019) Prebiotics: Definition,
- Types, Sources, Mechanisms, and Clinical Applications. Foods (Basel, Switzerland) 8, 1-27.
- 30 11. Mussatto SI, Mancilha IM. (2007) Non-digestible oligosaccharides: A review. Carbohydrate
- 31 Polymers **68**, 587-597.
- 32 12. Kelly G. (2008) Inulin-type prebiotics--a review: part 1. Altern Med Rev 13, 315-329.

- 1 13. Meyer TSM, Miguel ASM, Fernández DER et al. (2015) Biotechnological Production of
- 2 Oligosaccharides Applications in the Food Industry. In Food Production and Industry. pp. 26-
- 3 77 [H Ayman, E Amer, editor. InTech.
- 4 14. Lomax AR, Calder PC. (2009) Prebiotics, immune function, infection and inflammation: a
- 5 review of the evidence. Br J Nutr **101**, 633-658.
- 6 15. Huttenhower C, Gevers D, Knight R et al. (2012) Structure, function and diversity of the
- 7 healthy human microbiome. Nature **486**, 207-214.
- 8 16. Esgalhado M, Kemp JA, Damasceno NRT et al. (2017) Short-chain fatty acids: a link
- 9 between prebiotics and microbiota in chronic kidney disease. Future Microbiol 12, 1413–1425.
- 10 17. Rios-Covian D, Ruas-Madiedo P, Margolles A et al. (2016) Intestinal Short Chain Fatty
- Acids and their Link with Diet and Human Health. Front Microbiol 7, 1-9.
- 12 18. Layden BT, Angueira AR, Brodsky M et al. (2013) Short chain fatty acids and their
- receptors: new metabolic targets. Translational Research **161**, 131-140.
- 14 19. Kamada N, Chen GY, Inohara N et al. (2013) Control of pathogens and pathobionts by the
- gut microbiota. Nat Immunol 14, 685-690.
- 16 20. Pickard JM, Zeng MY, Caruso R et al. (2017) Gut microbiota: Role in pathogen
- 17 colonization, immune responses, and inflammatory disease. Immunol Rev 279, 70-89.
- 18 21. Valentini M, Piermattei A, Di Sante G et al. (2014) Immunomodulation by Gut Microbiota:
- 19 Role of Toll-Like Receptor Expressed by T Cells. Journal of Immunology Research 2014, 1-8.
- 20 22. Vieira AT, Teixeira MM, Martins FS. (2013) The role of probiotics and prebiotics in
- 21 inducing gut immunity. Front Immunol 4, 1-12.
- 22 23. Shokryazdan P, Faseleh Jahromi M, Navidshad B et al. (2017) Effects of prebiotics on
- immune system and cytokine expression. Med Microbiol Immunol **206**, 1-9.
- 24 24. Schley PD, Field CJ. (2002) The immune-enhancing effects of dietary fibres and prebiotics.
- 25 Br J Nutr **87 Suppl 2**, S221-S230.
- 26 25. Weaver LT, Laker MF, Nelson R. (1984) Intestinal permeability in the newborn. Arch Dis
- 27 Child **59**, 236-241.
- 28 26. Commare CE, Tappenden KA. (2007) Development of the infant intestine: implications for
- 29 nutrition support. Nutr Clin Pract **22**, 159-173.
- 30 27. Michielan A, D'Incà R. (2015) Intestinal Permeability in Inflammatory Bowel Disease:
- Pathogenesis, Clinical Evaluation, and Therapy of Leaky Gut. Mediators Inflamm **2015**, 1-10.
- 32 28. Fasano A. (2016) Gut permeability, obesity, and metabolic disorders: who is the chicken and
- who is the egg? The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 105, 3-4.
- 34 29. Vajro P, Paolella G, Fasano A. (2013) Microbiota and gut-liver axis: their influences on
- obesity and obesity-related liver disease. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr **56**, 461-468.

- 1 30. Vaarala O. (2008) Leaking gut in type 1 diabetes. Curr Opin Gastroenterol 24, 701-706.
- 2 31. Nicoletti A, Ponziani FR, Biolato M et al. (2019) Intestinal permeability in the pathogenesis
- 3 of liver damage: From non-alcoholic fatty liver disease to liver transplantation. World J
- 4 Gastroenterol **25**, 4814-4834.
- 5 32. Camilleri M, Lyle BJ, Madsen KL et al. (2019) Role for diet in normal gut barrier function:
- 6 developing guidance within the framework of food-labeling regulations. American journal of
- 7 physiology Gastrointestinal and liver physiology **317**, G17-G39.
- 8 33. Gnoth MJ, Rudloff S, Kunz C et al. (2001) Investigations of the in vitro transport of human
- 9 milk oligosaccharides by a Caco-2 monolayer using a novel high performance liquid
- 10 chromatography-mass spectrometry technique. The Journal of Biological Chemistry 276, 34363-
- 11 34370.
- 12 34. Eiwegger T, Stahl B, Haidl P et al. (2010) Prebiotic oligosaccharides: in vitro evidence for
- 13 gastrointestinal epithelial transfer and immunomodulatory properties. Pediatr Allergy Immunol 21,
- 14 1179-1188.
- 15 35. Prieto PA. (2005) In Vitro and Clinical Experiences with a Human Milk Oligosaccharide,
- Lacto-N- neoTetraose, and Fructooligosaccharides. Food & Food Ingredients Journal of Japan 210,
- 17 1018-1030.
- 18 36. De Leoz ML, Wu S, Strum JS et al. (2013) A quantitative and comprehensive method to
- analyze human milk oligosaccharide structures in the urine and feces of infants. Anal Bioanal Chem
- **405**, 4089-4105.
- 21 37. Bode L, Kunz C, Muhly-Reinholz M et al. (2004) Inhibition of monocyte, lymphocyte, and
- neutrophil adhesion to endothelial cells by human milk oligosaccharides. Thromb Haemost 92,
- 23 1402-1410.
- 24 38. Eiwegger T, Stahl B, Schmitt J et al. (2004) Human milk--derived oligosaccharides and
- 25 plant-derived oligosaccharides stimulate cytokine production of cord blood T-cells in vitro. Pediatr
- 26 Res **56**, 536-540.
- 27 39. Newburg DS, Ko JS, Leone S et al. (2016) Human Milk Oligosaccharides and Synthetic
- 28 Galactosyloligosaccharides Contain 3'-, 4-, and 6'-Galactosyllactose and Attenuate Inflammation in
- Human T84, NCM-460, and H4 Cells and Intestinal Tissue Ex Vivo. The Journal of Nutrition 146,
- 30 358-367.
- 31 40. Zenhom M, Hyder A, de Vrese M et al. (2011) Prebiotic oligosaccharides reduce
- 32 proinflammatory cytokines in intestinal Caco-2 cells via activation of PPARgamma and
- peptidoglycan recognition protein 3. J Nutr **141**, 971-977.
- 34 41. Vogt L, Ramasamy U, Meyer D et al. (2013) Immune Modulation by Different Types of
- 35 $\beta 2 \rightarrow 1$ -Fructans Is Toll-Like Receptor Dependent. PLoS One **8**, 1-12.

- 1 42. Capitan-Canadas F, Ortega-Gonzalez M, Guadix E et al. (2014) Prebiotic oligosaccharides
- 2 directly modulate proinflammatory cytokine production in monocytes via activation of TLR4.
- 3 Molecular Nutrition & Food Research **58**, 1098-1110.
- 4 43. Ortega-Gonzalez M, Ocon B, Romero-Calvo I et al. (2014) Nondigestible oligosaccharides
- 5 exert nonprebiotic effects on intestinal epithelial cells enhancing the immune response via
- 6 activation of TLR4-NFkB. Molecular Nutrition & Food Research **58**, 384-393.
- 7 44. Lehmann S, Hiller J, van Bergenhenegouwen J et al. (2015) In Vitro Evidence for Immune-
- 8 Modulatory Properties of Non-Digestible Oligosaccharides: Direct Effect on Human Monocyte
- 9 Derived Dendritic Cells. PLoS One **10**, 1-15.
- 10 45. Vendrig JC, Coffeng LE, Fink-Gremmels J. (2013) In vitro evaluation of defined
- oligosaccharide fractions in an equine model of inflammation. BMC Vet Res 9, 1-10.
- 12 46. Lin CC, Chen HH, Chen YK et al. (2014) Rice bran feruloylated oligosaccharides activate
- dendritic cells via Toll-like receptor 2 and 4 signaling. Molecules **19**, 5325-5347.
- 14 47. Fransen F, Sahasrabudhe NM, Elderman M et al. (2017) beta2-->1-Fructans Modulate the
- 15 Immune System In Vivo in a Microbiota-Dependent and -Independent Fashion. Front Immunol 8,
- 16 154.
- 17 48. Tucureanu MM, Rebleanu D, Constantinescu CA et al. (2017) Lipopolysaccharide-induced
- inflammation in monocytes/macrophages is blocked by liposomal delivery of G(i)-protein inhibitor.
- 19 International journal of nanomedicine 13, 63-76.
- 20 49. Scaldaferri F, Gerardi V, Lopetuso LR et al. (2013) Gut microbial flora, prebiotics, and
- 21 probiotics in IBD: their current usage and utility. Biomed Res Int **2013**, 1-9.
- 22 50. Hedin C, Whelan K, Lindsay JO. (2007) Evidence for the use of probiotics and prebiotics in
- inflammatory bowel disease: a review of clinical trials. Proc Nutr Soc 66, 307-315.
- 24 51. Damaskos D, Kolios G. (2008) Probiotics and prebiotics in inflammatory bowel disease:
- 25 microflora 'on the scope'. Br J Clin Pharmacol **65**, 453-467.
- 26 52. Looijer-van Langen MAC, Dieleman LA. (2009) Prebiotics in chronic intestinal
- inflammation. Inflamm Bowel Dis 15, 454-462.
- 28 53. Akram W, Garud N, Joshi R. (2019) Role of inulin as prebiotics on inflammatory bowel
- disease. Drug Discov Ther 13, 1-8.
- Valatas V, Bamias G, Kolios G. (2015) Experimental colitis models: Insights into the
- 31 pathogenesis of inflammatory bowel disease and translational issues. Eur J Pharmacol 759, 253-
- 32 264.
- Hartog A, Belle FN, Bastiaans J et al. (2015) A potential role for regulatory T-cells in the
- 34 amelioration of DSS induced colitis by dietary non-digestible polysaccharides. The Journal of
- Nutritional Biochemistry **26**, 227-233.

- 1 56. Welters CF, Heineman E, Thunnissen FB et al. (2002) Effect of dietary inulin
- 2 supplementation on inflammation of pouch mucosa in patients with an ileal pouch-anal
- anastomosis. Dis Colon Rectum 45, 621-627.
- 4 57. Casellas F, Borruel N, Torrejon A et al. (2007) Oral oligofructose-enriched inulin
- 5 supplementation in acute ulcerative colitis is well tolerated and associated with lowered faecal
- 6 calprotectin. Aliment Pharmacol Ther **25**, 1061-1067.
- 7 58. De Preter V, Joossens M, Ballet V et al. (2013) Metabolic profiling of the impact of
- 8 oligofructose-enriched inulin in Crohn's disease patients: a double-blinded randomized controlled
- 9 trial. Clinical and translational gastroenterology 4, 1-30.
- 10 59. Lindsay JO, Whelan K, Stagg AJ et al. (2006) Clinical, microbiological, and immunological
- effects of fructo-oligosaccharide in patients with Crohn's disease. Gut **55**, 348-355.
- 12 60. Benjamin JL, Hedin CR, Koutsoumpas A et al. (2011) Randomised, double-blind, placebo-
- controlled trial of fructo-oligosaccharides in active Crohn's disease. Gut **60**, 923-929.
- 14 61. Hallert C, Kaldma M, Petersson BG. (1991) Ispaghula husk may relieve gastrointestinal
- symptoms in ulcerative colitis in remission. Scand J Gastroenterol **26**, 747-750.
- 16 62. Kanauchi O, Mitsuyama K, Homma T et al. (2003) Treatment of ulcerative colitis patients
- by long-term administration of germinated barley foodstuff: multi-center open trial. Int J Mol Med
- **18 12**, 701-704.

24

25

26

27

28

29

- 19 63. Hanai H, Kanauchi O, Mitsuyama K et al. (2004) Germinated barley foodstuff prolongs
- remission in patients with ulcerative colitis. Int J Mol Med 13, 643-647.
- 21 64. Mitsuyama K, Saiki T, Kanauchi O et al. (1998) Treatment of ulcerative colitis with
- 22 germinated barley foodstuff feeding: a pilot study. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 12, 1225-1230.

Table 1. *In vitro* studies providing evidence for intestinal transportation of prebiotics.

Reference	Treatment	In vitro	Study design	Findings
		model		
(33)	Neutral and	Caco-2	Caco-2 cells grown on filter inserts in minimal essential	Neutral HMO use transcellular and
	acidic HMO	cells	medium. 200 µL of transport buffer with neutral and acidic	paracellular pathways to cross Caco-2
	fractions		HMO fractions applied. HPLC-MS analysis of HMO in	monolayer; acidic components use only
	(5 mg/mL)		basolateral compartment	paracellular pathways
(34)	scGOS/lcFOS	Caco-2	Transfer of scGOS/lcFOS via Caco-2 monolayer measured by	Transfer of scGOS/lcFOS detected
		cells	HPAEC-PAD. Sample preparation as in Ref. (33)	with rate of transfer of 4-14%,
				depending on molecular size and
				structure

Abbreviations: HMO, human milk oligosaccharides; Caco-2 cells, human epithelial colorectal adenocarcinoma cells; HPLC-MS, high performance

liquid chromatography mass spectrometry; scGOS/lcFOS, short chain galactooligosaccharides/long chain fructooligosaccharides; HPAEC-PAD,

high-pH anion exchange chromatography with pulsed amperometric detection.

Table 2. Human studies supporting evidence for intestinal transportation of prebiotics.

Reference	nce Treatment Population Study design		Findings	
(35)	Infant formula with	Term infants	Controlled, randomised and blinded clinical study to	No adverse effects with FOS
	FOS	(n = 84) aged	determine safety of use of FOS and ability to detect	supplementation. Prebiotic effect of
	(3 g/L)	1 to 8 (± 3)	oligosaccharides in urine and plasma of infants	FOS on lactobacilli. FOS with DP =
		days	randomised to receive FOS-enriched formula, control	4 in plasma and urine of infants fed
			formula or breast-feeding for 16 weeks. Anthropometric	with FOS-enriched formula
			measures, urine, stool and plasma samples taken	
(36)	HMO; fortified	Mother-	Clinical study where preterm infants received human	HMO and oligosaccharides with
	human milk; infant	preterm	milk with Similac® Human Milk Fortifier or	3 < DP < 9 identified and quantified
	formula with FOS;	infant dyads	unsupplemented human milk followed by human milk	in urine and stool of infants
	infant formula with	(n = 4)	with fortifier Prolact+4® or formula milk Similac®	
	GOS or B. animalis		Special Care® 24 High Protein either with GOS or with	
			B. animalis. Samples of milk, urine and stool collected	
			for analysis by nanoflow LC-TOFMS	

Abbreviations: FOS, fructooligosaccharides; DP, degree of polymerisation; HMO, human milk oligosaccharides; GOS, galactooligosaccharides; B.

animalis, Bifidobacterium animalis; LC-TOFMS, liquid chromatography time-of-flight mass spectrometry.

1 Table 3. *In vitro* studies with human cell cultures showing direct effects of oligosaccharides on immunity.

Reference	Treatment	Human cells	Study design	LPS testing	Findings
		cultured			
(37)	HMO fractions	Monocytes,	Monocytes, lymphocytes and	Yes (QC	Acidic fraction dose-dependently inhibited
	(12.5 - 125 μg/mL)	lymphocytes	neutrophils passed over TNF-α-	LAL assay)	leukocyte rolling and adhesion to
		and neutrophils	activated HUVEC. Effects of		endothelial cells (125 μ g/mL, P < 0.001;
		from PB +	HMO determined by video-		87.5 μ g/mL, P < 0.001; 50 μ g/mL, P <
		HUVEC	microscopy		0.001; 25 μ g/mL, $P < 0.001$)
(38)	Neutral HMO	Term new born	CBMC cultured with HMO.	Yes (LAL	Acidic HMO ↑ % IFN-γ-producing T
	(10 $\mu g/mL$) and	CBMC	Intracellular cytokines (IL-4,	assay)	helper and cytotoxic T cells ($P < 0.05$), as
	acidic HMO		IL-6, IL-10, IL-13 and IFN-γ)		well as IL-13 by cytotoxic T cells (P <
	$(1 \mu g/mL)$		and surface markers of T cells		0.05). Acidic HMO ↑ CD25 expression on
			and maturation (CD3,		T helper cells ($P < 0.05$)
			CD4,CD8 and CD25) analysed		
			by flow cytometry		
(40)	a3-sialyllactose	Caco-2 cells	Caco-2 cells cultured with a3-	None	a3-sialyllactose and Raftilose p95 ↓ IL-12,
	(10, 50, or 100		sialyllactose or Raftilose p95 or	reported	IL-8 and TNF- α ($P < 0.05$). Both induced \uparrow
	mg/L) or FOS		combination. Effects of		PGlyRP3 expression ($P < 0.05$ for 50 mg/L
	Raftilose p95 (0.05,		treatments tested on expression		and 50 g/L), linked to the induction of
	0.5, 1, 10, or 50		of PGlyRP3, PPARy and pro-		PPARy
			inflammatory cytokines		·

	g/L) or a combination (50 mg/L a3- sialyllactose + 50 g/L Raftilose p95)				
(41)	Fructans (1 μg/mL or 100 μg/mL) at different DP	Adult PBMC (n= 6) and TLR- engineered cell lines (n= 11)	PBMC stimulated with fructans. Effects on cytokines (IL-1Ra, IL-1β, IL-6, IL-10, IL-12p70, and TNF-α) evaluated. TLR-engineered cell lines stimulated with fructans. Cell activation measured	Yes (quantitative LAL assay)	Cytokine production dependent on dose and chain length of fructans. SC fructans (DP up to 10) induced regulatory cytokine balance vs to LC fructans (DP up to 60), as seen by IL-10/IL-12 ratio ($P < 0.05$ for PBMC stimulated with 1 µg/mL or 100 µg/mL fructans). Activation of TLR-engineered cell lines showed signalling was TLR-dependent
(42)	FOS, inulin, GOS and GMO (5 g/L)	Adult PB monocytes (n= 10)	PB monocytes pre-stimulated with LPS (1 μg/mL) or unstimulated, then cultured with NDO. Supernatants collected for cytokine analysis (IL-1β, IL-8, IL-10 and TNF-α) by ELISA	None reported	Treatment with FOS and inulin \uparrow IL-10 and TNF- α ($P < 0.05$) but not IL-1 β and IL-8. NDO \uparrow LPS response when the cells where co-treated

(43)	FOS, inulin, GOS	HT29 and Caco-	LPS (1-5 µg/mL) used for	None	FOS, inulin, and GMO, but not GOS, ↑ IL-
	and GMO	2 cells	reference or as co-treatment.	reported	8 production by HT29 cells ($P < 0.05$). No
	(5 g/L)		Cytokine secretion (IL-8 and		changes in IL-8 after treatment of Caco-2
			MCP-1) measured by ELISA		lines. MCP-1 ↓after treatment of Caco-2
					cells with NDO $(P < 0.05)$
(44)	scGOS/lcFOS	Immature	Immature MoDC stimulated	Yes	scGOS/lcFOS promoted IL-10 release by
	(5 g/L)	MoDC and T	with scGOS/lcFOS in presence	(quantitative	MoDC ($P < 0.01$). Blocking TLR4
		cells from	or absence of LAB.	LAL assay)	abrogated IL-10 increase, suggesting that
		PB	IL-1 α , IL-1 β , IL-6, TNF- α ,		NDO act via TLR4. scGOS/lcFOS showed a
			MIP-3α, IL-10 and IL-12p70		tendency to ↑ regulatory T cells (Foxp3 ⁺)
			measured by ELISA and		
			Luminex assay. MoDC co-		
			cultured with naïve T cells, and		
			Foxp3 expression evaluated by		
			flow cytometry. Experiments		
			with TLR4 antagonist included		
(39)	HMO (5 g/L) and	Immature H4	H4, T84 and NCM-460 cell	None	HMO and GOS \downarrow TNF-α-, IL-1β- and
	GOS (5 g/L)	and mature T84,	lines treated with TNF- α or IL-	reported	pathogen-induced IL-8, MCP-1 and MIP-3
		NCM-460	1β or infected with Salmonella		in H4 cells ($P < 0.001$). In T84 and NCM-

enterocyte	cell
lines	

or Listeria and/or with HMO or GOS. Induction of IL-8, MCP-1 and MIP-3α by ELISA and mRNA by qPCR

460 cells, HMO and GOS \downarrow TNF- α induced MIP-3 α (P < 0.0005). TNF- α mediated IL-8 induction was ↓ by HMO in NCM-460 (P < 0.0005) but not in T84 cells. Galactosyllactosein HMO and GOS ↓ inflammatory NF-kB signalling in H4 and NCM-460 cell lines (P < 0.0005)

1

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

reaction.

Abbreviations: LPS, lipopolysaccharide; HMO, human milk oligosaccharides; PB, peripheral blood; HUVEC, human umbilical vein endothelial cells; 2 OC, quantitative chromogenic; TNF, tumour necrosis factor; LAL, Limulus Amoebocyte Lysate; CBMC, cord blood mononuclear cells; IL, interleukin; 3 IFN, interferon; Caco-2 cells, human epithelial colorectal adenocarcinoma cells; PGlyRP3, peptidoglycan recognition protein 3; PPARy, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor y; DP, degree of polymerisation; PBMC, peripheral blood mononuclear cells; TLR, toll-like receptor; SC, short chain; LC, long chain; FOS, fructooligosaccharides; GOS, galactooligosaccharides; GMO, goat milk oligosaccharides; ELISA, enzyme-linked 6 immunosorbent assay; HT29 cells, human colorectal adenocarcinoma cells; MCP-1, monocyte chemoattractant protein-1; scGOS/lcFOS, short chain galactooligosaccharides/long chain fructooligosaccharides; MoDC, monocyte-derived dendritic cells; LAB, Lactic Acid Bacteria; MIP-3a, macrophage inflammatory protein-3 alpha; H4 cells, human normal foetal intestinal epithelial cells; T84 cells, human metastatic colonic epithelial cells; NCM-460 cells, human normal colon mucosal epithelial cells; mRNA, messenger ribonucleic acid; qPCR, quantitative polymerase chain

12

1 Table 4. *In vitro* studies with animal cell cultures showing direct effects of oligosaccharides on immunity.

Reference	Treatment	Cell culture	Study design	LPS testing	Findings
(45)	GOS, GOS+FOS	Equine PBMC	Equine PBMC pre-incubated with	Yes	Exposing PBMC to GOS or
	and	(n=22)	oligosaccharides, then incubated	(quantitative	GOS+FOS caused a dose-dependent
	GOS+FOS+AOS		with medium with 1 μ g/mL LPS +	LAL assay)	↑ of TNF-α in LPS-challenged PBMC
	(5 - 20 g/L)		oligosaccharides. IL-10 and TNF- α		(P < 0.05 for 20 g/L dose of GOS or
			measured by ELISA		GOS+FOS vs LPS). Incubation with
					GOS/FOS/AOS dose-dependently ↓
					TNF-α and IL-10 following LPS
					challenge ($P < 0.05$ for 20 g/L dose of
					GOS+FOS+AOS vs LPS). Mono- and
					disaccharide control fractions
					stimulated inflammatory response in
					LPS-challenged PBMC, though to a
					lesser extent than NDO
(42)	FOS, inulin, GOS	Rat splenic T	Rat splenic T cells and WT/TLR4	None	Prebiotics ↑ TNF-α, IL-6, and IL-10
, ,	and GMO (5 g/L)	cells $(n=28)$ and	KO mouse splenocytes pre-	reported	secretion by mouse splenocytes (<i>P</i> <
		WT/TLR4 KO	stimulated with LPS 1 µg/mL, then	_	0.05) but \LPS-induced IFN-γ and
		mouse	cultured with oligosaccharides. Cell		IL-17 ($P < 0.05$). Inulin \uparrow LPS-
		splenocytes	signalling inhibitors added prior to		induced IL-10 ($P < 0.05$). TLR4 KO
		(n=10)	treatment with NDO. Supernatants		splenocytes had a depressed response.

			collected for cytokine analysis (rat		Prebiotics are TLR4
			cytokines: IL-1β, IL-2, IL-6, IL10,		ligands/modulators in monocytes
			GRO- α , IFN- γ , TNF- α and mouse		
			cytokines: IL-6, IL-10, IL-17, IFN-γ,		
			and TNF- α) by ELISA		
(43)	FOS, inulin, GOS	Rat IEC18 cells	LPS used for reference or as co-	None	IEC18 cells secreted GRO-α, MCP-
	and GMO		treatment with prebiotics. Cytokine	reported	and MIP-2 ($P < 0.05$) following
	(5 g/L)		secretion (IL-6, IL-8, MIP-2, MCP-		treatment with prebiotics, with an
			1, GRO-α) measured by ELISA		efficacy similar to LPS-stimulated
					cells. Response was ↓ by TLR4 ger
					knockdown. Prebiotics are TLR4
					ligands in IEC
(46)	Feruloylated	Bone marrow	DC cultured with LPS or	Yes (QC	Feruloylated oligosaccharides induce
	oligosaccharides	DC from	feruloylated oligosaccharides.	LAL assay)	maturation of DC, as shown by \ \
	from rice bran	C3H/HeN or	Cytokines and chemokines (IL-6, IL-		CD40, CD80/CD86 expression (P
	$(6.25-100 \mu g/mL)$	C57BL/6 mouse	10, IL-12, MCP-1, TNF- α and		0.01 and $P < 0.001$, respectively) a
		with normal or	RANTES) analysed by ELISA.		well as ↑ TNF-α, IL-6, IL-10 and I
		mutated TLR4	Surface markers analysed by flow		12 (at the highest dose tested, $P <$
		and TLR2	cytometry. Activity assays for NF-κB		0.001 for all cytokines) via TLR4
					and/or TLR2. This may be related t
					-

Abbreviations: LPS, lipopolysaccharide; GOS, galactooligosaccharides; FOS, fructooligosaccharides; AOS, acidic oligosaccharides; PBMC, peripheral blood mononuclear cells;; IL, interleukin; TNF, tumour necrosis factor; ELISA, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; LAL, Limulus Amoebocyte Lysate; GMO, goat milk oligosaccharides; WT/TLR4 KO mice, wild type/toll like receptor 4 knockout mice; NDO, non-digestible oligosaccharides; GRO-α, growth-related oncogene-alpha; IFN, interferon; TLR, toll-like receptor; IEC18 cells, nontransformed rat small intestinal epithelial cells; MCP-1, monocyte chemoattractant protein-1; MIP-2, macrophage inflammatory protein-2; IEC, intestinal epithelial cells; DC, dendritic cells; RANTES, regulated on activation, normal T cell expressed and secreted; NF-κB, nuclear factor κB; QC, quantitative chromogenic.

Table 5. In vivo study on germ-free mice showing direct effects of oligosaccharides on immunity.

Reference	Treatment	Study design	Duration	Findings
(47)	SC or LC	Conventional C57BL/6OlaHsd male mice or	5 days	β2→1-fructans modulated immunity by
	β2→1-	C57BL/6OlaHsd male GF mice (both 8 weeks old)		microbiota and microbiota-independent effects
	fructans	received SC or LC $\beta2\rightarrow1$ -fructans. Immune cells in spleen,		Effects dependent on chain length of fructans.
		MLN and PP analysed by flow cytometry. Gene expression		Both SC and LC fructans ↑ Th1 cells in PP
		in ileum measured with microarray. Gut microbiota		(both $P < 0.05$). SC fructans \uparrow regulatory T
		composition analysed with 16S rRNA sequencing of faecal		cells and CD11b-
		samples		CD103- DCs in MLN ($P < 0.01$). $\uparrow 2-\alpha$ -1-
				fucosyltransferase 2 expression in ileum of
				conventional mice. Effects not associated with
				shifts in gut microbiota or SCFA. SC fructan
				induced ↓ CD80 expression by CD11b-

CD103– DC in PP (P < 0.05), LC fructan modulated B cell responses in GF mice

1

- Abbreviations: SC, short chain; LC, long chain; GF, germ free; MLN, mesenteric lymph nodes; PP, Peyer's patches; rRNA, ribosomial RNA; Th1, T
- 3 helper 1; DC, dendritic cells; SCFA, short chain fatty acids;

Table 6. Human studies of prebiotic use for the management of IBD.

Reference	Treatment	Study design	Duration	Condition	Findings
(56)	Inulin 24 g/d	Double-blind placebo	3 weeks	Chronic pouchitis	↓ endoscopic and
		controlled trial (n=20)			histological
					inflammation, ↑ in
					faecal butyrate (P <
					0.01), \downarrow in faecal pH (P
					= 0.02), tendency in \downarrow
					secondary bile acids in
					faeces
(59)	FOS 15 g/d	Open-label trial (n= 10)	3 weeks	Active ileocolonic	\downarrow disease activity ($P <$
				CD	0.01), \uparrow in faecal
					bifidobacteria (P <

					0.001), \uparrow numbers of dendritic cells expressing IL-10, TLR2 ($P < 0.08$) and TLR4 ($P < 0.001$) from rectal
(60)	FOS 15 g/d	Double-blind	4 weeks	Active CD	biopsies No difference in disease
(00)	FOS 13 g/d	randomised control trial	4 weeks	Active CD	activity, \uparrow flatulence (P
					·
		(n=103)			= 0.004) and abdominal
					pain $(P = 0.048)$ than
					placebo. No difference
					in bifidobacteria, serum
					C-reactive protein or
					faecal calprotectin. \(\ \) in
					IL-10 ⁺ DC from rectal
					biopsies $(P < 0.05)$
(58)	Oligofructose-inulin	Double-blind	4 weeks	Inactive or	\downarrow disease activity ($P <$
	(1:1) 10 g/d	randomised control trial		moderately active	0.048), but ↑ dropout
		(n=67)		CD	rate than placebo. ↑ in
					bifidobacteria (P =
					0.03) and faecal
					acetaldehyde ($P =$

					0.0008) and butyrate (<i>P</i>
					= 0.0011)
					concentrations
(57)	Oligofructose-enriched	Double-blind	2 weeks	Active UC	\downarrow disease activity ($P <$
	inulin 12 g/d	randomised controlled			0.05), \downarrow in faecal
		trial (<i>n</i> = 19)			calprotectin ($P < 0.05$)
					after just 1 week, no
					changes in
					inflammatory mediator
					release (IL-8, PGE-2)

Abbreviations: FOS, fructooligosaccharides; CD, Crohn's disease; IL, interleukin; TLR, toll-like receptor; DC, dendritic cells; UC, ulcerative colitis;

PGE-2, prostaglandin-E2;