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Interventions by creative practitioners such as visual artists, choreographers and poets play an increasingly important role in museum education as a means of communicating collections, developing alternative narratives, promoting inclusivity, and extending reach to new audiences.¹ However, as museum professionals engage with creative practitioners, and creatives take on the responsibility of educators,² these dynamics produce a series of questions and tensions. In particular, if the role of creatives is to do things differently – to use their imagination – then museum professionals must ask themselves how far they are happy to “let go” of established narratives.³ Likewise, creative practitioners must understand what the nature and limits of evidence are; what is fixed and what is not. In other words, both need to grapple with the issue of authenticity and to understand, and agree, where the room for creativity lies.

The interplay between evidence and imagination is particularly alive in the context of prehistoric archaeology. Here the process of archaeological investigation and scientific evidence form part of the narrative that museums wish to convey, but there are also gaps in understanding about the distant human past; much remains unknown and is the focus of on-going research. The representation and reconstruction of prehistory has thus long been a matter for discussion.⁴ As creatives enter the museum space with their own agendas and take more of a front-line role in museum programming, these discussions take on new life in terms of the presentation of the past in creative work.

The question we consider here is not whether staying true to data is important but, once we decide that it is, how museums can work together with creative practitioners to develop mutually beneficial outcomes. To do so we examine how attitudes towards
archaeological evidence were addressed within *Journey to the Beginnings*, a Co-
operation Project funded as part of the European Year of Cultural Heritage 2018. We
reflect on the challenges and opportunities this posed, and how this led us to develop
fruitful ways of working together.

**Journey to the Beginnings**

*Journey to the Beginnings* was a collaborative project involving four museums linked to
key prehistoric sites, their archaeological parks and collections in countries along the
River Danube: Matrica Museum and the Bronze Age site at Százhalombatta, Hungary;
Vučedol Culture Museum and the Eneolithic site of Vučedol, Croatia; Museum
Lepinski Vir and the Mesolithic site of Lepinski Vir, Serbia; Iron Gates Region
Museum and the Bronze Age site of Gârla Mâre, Romania. It brought together museum
educators and curators from these institutions, the Association of Heritage Managers
Hungary, an academic archaeological liaison (Joanna Sofaer, University of
Southampton, UK), ProProgressione (an umbrella arts organisation), Novena
Multimedia (digital multimedia specialists), and individual creative practitioners
including a novelist (Balázs Zágoni), a theatre director (Máté Czakó), and a composer
(Ljubomir Nikolić). It aimed to develop a new interpretive infrastructure for the
museums and their archaeological sites in order to enhance visitor understanding of
prehistoric archaeology and access hard to reach teenage audiences. By bringing
museums together and inviting creatives into their space, the project wanted to stimulate
novel thinking and add new dimensions to existing programming.
The project ran for almost two years, ending in February 2020. During this time it developed a series of site specific, performance-based live games designed by the creatives. Partner institutions shared a common format although the content of these was bespoke. Each live game was based around a number of “escape room” type challenges designed and staged by Czakó with a soundscape by Nikolić in which small groups of visitors solve a problem or make a prehistoric object in order to move on to the next stage in a story. Inspired by Zágoni’s interest in science fiction, the storyline revolves around an archaeologist trapped between time dimensions because she lost her mobile phone during a visit to the prehistoric past. Visitors need to find the phone in order to return her home. Each stage in the story takes the visitor through a different prehistoric setting in which, guided by characters played by actors (craftsperson, hunter, mother, shaman), they gradually gain the objects and skills to retrieve the phone. These settings reflect different aspects of prehistoric life including craft production, hunting and gathering, food consumption, cosmology and funerary rituals. Once the phone has been located, the game ends with a geocaching activity where visitors must find a time capsule, place the device inside, and follow instructions to return to the present where they meet the missing archaeologist - a real member of the museum staff. She is oblivious to having been freed and welcomes them to a genuine short archaeological talk and object handling session, as if that is what they had come to do all along. The activity is thus designed as a content-rich, active-learning experience. Participation requires visitors to move around the museum grounds or archaeological park thereby exploiting outside spaces beyond those usually used for museum programming.

The live game was subsequently developed into a mobile game app in order to provide a sustainable, long-term outcome that could be used by the museums beyond the lifetime
of the project itself. Game players solve problems and collect objects in similar
scenarios to those offered in the live game, progressing through a series of skill-based
levels corresponding to the archaeological sites involved in the project. The
multidisciplinary, multi-institutional, and multinational configuration of Journey to the
Beginnings, as well as its long-term ambition, was therefore distinct from many
education projects involving creative practitioners inasmuch as the majority of such
interventions are often limited to a single site and temporary. Nonetheless, we focus
here on the development of the live game. Working through issues involved in its
development underpinned the entire project in terms of the nature and role of
archaeological evidence, where spaces for creativity lay and where they did not.

Starting challenges: The nature and role of evidence

From the start, all the museum professionals insisted that project outcomes had to be
based on archaeological evidence in order to be of use within their education programs.
However, none of the creatives involved in the project had any previous engagement
with archaeology or familiarity with the sites and collections involved; they had been
selected for expertise in their own fields. The need for closeness to data – what we
know about the distant past and what we do not – thus necessitated a different kind of
model to that of a standard freeform museum residency where creatives are typically
allowed to develop their own interpretations of museum objects. An archaeological
liaison role was therefore built into the project at its inception in order to designate a
main point of archaeological contact for the creatives, provide scientific guidance across
the project as a whole, and act as a bridge between the creatives and the museums. This
also aimed to relieve pressure from museum staff who were already committed to day-
to-day work in their institutions and were unfamiliar with the needs of creatives. The
liaison was familiar with the archaeology of the Danube region, with previous
experience of working with creative practitioners. She was therefore able to “speak the
languages” of archaeology and of creative practice, to ease communication by
translating between them, and to interpolate between the needs of all participants.

The project began by intensive working with the creatives to familiarize them with the
archaeological data available at each of the sites, including material culture and
environmental evidence. To this end they participated in an experimental archaeology
camp at Asparn, Austria and then embarked on a series of supported residencies in each
museum being guided through the archaeology. During the first of these, held at the
Matrica Museum, it quickly became clear that acquainting the creatives with
archaeological finds and data was not sufficient on its own. Consistent with the
project’s agreed policy of closeness to data, as the creatives began considering ideas for
the activity, they asked questions about the past that met with somewhat ambiguous
archaeological answers (“it's not preserved so we don't know;” “it could be this or that”).
Understandably, such responses met with a degree of frustration. The partial nature of
archaeological data was not giving them everything they thought it would; they could
not straightforwardly take archaeological knowledge about prehistory and make a
narrative out of it. This was compounded by an archaeological insistence that they could
not make up information to fill the gaps. As prehistory has by definition no written
record and is at some temporal distance from the present, archaeologists take for
granted that there are things we know about the past and things we don’t; it is implicit in
the nature of archaeological enquiry to identify the latter in order to try to find answers.
However, the creatives were not familiar with the limits of archaeological evidence and the nature of archaeological knowledge construction, and therefore felt the need to complete archaeologically incomplete renditions of the past.

Towards the start of the project it therefore became clear that we needed to engage in a series of conversations around the nature of the archaeological process in order to navigate where the possibilities lay for creative practice. In an addition to the planned program of museum visits, the creatives were invited to participate in the archaeological excavation of the Bronze Age tell settlement at Százhalombatta so that they could better understand the systems of archaeology. In other words, to understand the nature and limits of evidence they had to understand how the evidence was generated. This was a formative experience in the creation of the final storyline. It led not only to an archaeologist becoming a significant figure in the narrative but, more importantly, to the central notion of problem-solving (articulated within the escape room format) as a concept that encapsulates the archaeological process.

Given the differences in the archaeology and collections among the four museums, the project also decided to focus on the material in the Matrica Museum as a practical means of developing modes of collaboration and trying out ideas on one set of data before working on the other sites. It was here that the concept of developing a single overarching framework for the storyline composed of a series of themed escape rooms into which the site-specific archaeology held by each museum could be placed, was discussed, experimented with, trialled, and refined. We developed an iterative working process, with cycles of development and testing, central to which was continued dialogue between creatives, archaeological liaison, and museum educators; each stage
of the storyline was discussed not only in terms of filling it with appropriate
archaeology but also in relation to its performance needs. The live game was trialled
during the 2018 and 2019 summer seasons. Museum educators, teenage school groups
and families were invited to the trials. They tested the game in groups of 8-15 people,
with feedback from questionnaires and focus groups used to refine versions throughout.

**Opportunities and spaces for creativity**

Through these processes of dialogue and iteration two distinct sets of spaces for creative
practice gradually crystalized. On one hand there were areas for free creativity such as
storylines, characters, or acting. On the other there were areas where the creatives
responded to the site-specific archaeology such as costume, objects or environment but
were constrained both by existing evidence and what is unknown about the past.
Elsewhere, research on creativity indicates that it may be enhanced through the
imposition of boundaries as the recognition, choice and use of constraints enables
restructuring of problems and thus facilitates creative solutions. In our case, once these
different arenas and constraints were identified, this gave impetus to the creative
process. As part of their practice, the creatives understood how to use constraints
effectively and thus felt freer to use their professional expertise in working out how to
deliver the project goals. The project moved away from introducing them to
archaeology with a “question and answer” mode, into a phase of practice-based research
where archaeological and museum input became about verifying and reacting to
content. In other words, it transformed into active learning as part of the continuing
professional development of the creatives, a strategy that is familiar in the training of
museum professionals.\textsuperscript{6}

Working in these different kinds of spaces for creativity posed different sets of
opportunities. To illustrate these we give two brief examples. The first relates to how
the visitor literally steps into prehistory at the start of the live game. It was essential to
find a way for visitors to enter the past in a credible manner in order to “buy into” the
experience. Here the creatives had free rein and experimented with a variety of
solutions. Novelist Zágoni’s storyline and actors’ script made sense of the fictional
interdimensional portal through which visitor and archaeologist alike pass into the past
by reference to the principles of stratigraphy; going back through the layers of the past
as you excavate as a form of time travel. He developed theatre director Czakó’s
realization that the pits prehistoric people dug in settlements, which sometimes cut
through hundreds of years of history, connect different points in time at the same
location; literal interdimensional portals. Czakó developed the scenography of the
visitors’ entry to the past using VR. Participants are asked put on a VR headset, giving
the impression of being assisted by technology to enter the past. However, rather than
using VR to experience the past, it is used as a “placeholding device” that allows a live
scene change to take place around the participants without them being aware. Thus,
when participants put on the VR headset they see their surroundings as if continuous
with the previous live narrative. While they are in the virtual world the scenery is
changed around them so that when they take off the headset they step into prehistory
with a prehistoric character there to guide them to the first escape room challenge.
Our second example relates to the challenge of language. Although the modern archaeologist’s character could have spoken any of the project languages (Croatian, English, Hungarian, Romanian or Serbian), none of these existed in the prehistoric past. It was not, therefore, authentic for prehistoric characters to speak a modern language. However, the linguistics of prehistoric language are controversial. In response to this tension between the known and the unknown, Zágoni and Czakó developed a script in which communication difficulties between visitors and their prehistoric guides are integral to the escape room scenarios; each has to work to communicate and understand the other, hence the notion that the past is a foreign country is reinforced. The few words spoken by the actors are inspired by Zágoni’s explorations of Proto-Indo-European language, some of which are deliberately taught to the visitor, notably through an original song composed by Nikolić. This also serves as a device to communicate the sophistication of prehistoric life, challenging stereotypes of prehistoric people as “primitive”.

In addition to these spaces for creativity, ethical considerations emerged as a further discussion point. In an early version of the script participants were asked to pilfer an object. While dramatically interesting, this clearly created ethical issues around consent and the legitimation of antiquities theft. The script was swiftly changed so that participants were in receipt of a gift. The importance of not taking anything from the past and the problem of antiquities theft were then signposted in the activity as an education message.

**Concluding reflections**
Journey to the Beginnings’ commitment to closeness to data led to development of a partnership model of collaboration in which a parallel on-going commitment to trust and dialogue was vital. In developing the live game it was essential for all involved to understand where the spaces for creativity lay. These were twofold: in traditional areas of creative practice such as storylines or dramaturgy, and in responses to the past. In the case of the latter, it became clear that opportunities for creativity lay not in knowledge gaps about prehistory but in areas where archaeological evidence is strong.

Conversations around the nature and limits of the data generated a productive dynamic that facilitated creative engagement rather than stifle it. These enabled the creatives to explore the past in ways that add value to museum education in the present by linking data and imagination.

Finding ways of working together was vital to project success. Our experience of collaborating with creatives in Journey to the Beginnings leads us to suggest the following recommendations for museum professionals working with creative practitioners:

1. Invest time in discussion, including open and honest dialogue about the need for authenticity and the nature of data. Identify constraints in order to understand and agree where the room for creativity lies.

2. Experiences and conversations, as well as objects, inform creative processes and outcomes. Consider including creatives in aspects of museum practice to which they would not normally have access, or exploring objects together.
3. It can be helpful to include a specialist liaison role to facilitate communication between museum professionals and creative practitioners. This solidifies partnership working.

4. Problem solving is an important element of creative practice. In order to make the most of the added value offered by creatives in the museum, include time for iterative processes and for testing of interventions so that feedback can be addressed and acted upon.
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