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Biliary tract cancer was for many years something of a “Cinderella” malignancy. It appeared highly lethal, presented late, the surgery was difficult with high levels of morbidity and there was little Pharma interest as it was a less common cancer. Before the last decade there were no definitive reports on the use of chemotherapy that could be used to guide the management of patients with any confidence. The only adjuvant trial of note covered pancreatic cancer as well as all biliary cancer (1) and although it showed some potential in gallbladder cancer the chemotherapy schedule was not one which is presently used.

Between 2005 and 2015 a number of groups began to take a serious interest in biliary tract cancer. The UK National Cancer Research Institute (NCRI) initiated an advanced disease study (ABC01/2) with gemcitabine/cisplatin as the experimental arm and gemcitabine alone as control (2). An adjuvant trial (BILCAP) was also launched using capecitabine as the experimental arm and a no treatment control arm (3). The basis of this choice, fortunate in retrospect, was that there that there was no standard treatment on which the adjuvant regimen could be based. It was thought that in the event of relapse the patient could be recruited to ABC02. The ABC01/2 trials recruited quickly and in 2010 (2) reported a significant survival benefit for the combination treatment, establishing a standard of care in advanced biliary tract cancer. Interestingly despite many other schedules being trialled this combination remains the first line standard of care to this day. The NCRI group has also undertaken a second line study which has also produced a standard of care in this setting (4).

# In addition to BILCAP a number of other groups turned their attention to adjuvant therapies. The Nagoya group (Japan) launched the BCAT study in 2007 which compared gemcitabine alone as adjuvant with surveillance. The results of this trial reported in 2018 (5). Only extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma, not intrahepatic or gallbladder cancer were examined in this trial. The French Group (PRODIGE 12-ACCORD 18-UNICANCER GI) opened a study in 2009 comparing gemcitabine/oxaliplatin to surveillance as adjuvant in all biliary tract cancer. This trial reported in 2019 (6). In 2013 the Japan Clinical Oncology Group launched JCOG1202 (ASCOT study) (7) examining the use of S1, an orally available fluoropyrimidine versus surveillance in all biliary cancer. This trial has completed recruitment but not yet reported. Lastly in 2015 an international intergroup study opened (ACTICCA-01) which set out to examine the use of the ABC02 regimen (gemcitabine/cisplatin) versus observation (8). As discussed below the design of this study has been revised.

# The role of adjuvant therapy in biliary tract cancer has been comprehensively reviewed recently (9). In summary, it is useful to split the adjuvant schedules into gemcitabine-based and fluoropyrimidine-based regiments. The two reported gemcitabine-based studies have both been negative. The BCAT (5) study was completely negative with no difference between the arms. PRODIGE 12 (6) was also reported as negative although there was a trend towards benefit with a non-significant HR of 0.88 for PFS. The trial may have been underpowered with only 196 patients being recruited. Of the fluoropyrimidine-based studies only BILCAP has reported (3). The study demonstrated that the overall survival improved by approximately 16 months from 36.4 in the control arm to 51.1 months in the capecitabine arm. This escaped significance on the ITT analysis but was positive in the per protocol analysis (excluding patients who did not get the allocated capecitabine). It was also significant on the pre-planned sensitivity analysis and the difference in PFS was significant. On the basis of the large effect size capecitabine has been recommended as standard of care in the ASCO Guidelines (10). The ASCOT study has completed recruitment but not yet reported. The results are also keenly awaited, not least for the fact that it will be possible to meta-analyse the results with BILCAP as capecitabine and S1 are similar drugs. For the immediate future ACTICCA-01 has changed design to compare gemcitabine /cisplatin (the standard of care in advanced disease), to capecitabine which is now the standard of care adjuvant drug. The trial is continuing after its interim analysis so there is no suggestion of harm in the experimental arm.

# In this issue of the of the Annals of Surgical Oncology, Seita and colleagues (11) have investigated the adjuvant treatment of biliary tract cancer but taken a different approach. The authors are from the same Nagoya group that performed the highly successful and informative, although negative, BCAT trial. This study is a phase 2a (non-randomised) study recruiting what they consider to be a higher risk group, those with node positive disease. This is an interesting though high-risk strategy. Whist it is possible that the effect size might be greater, recruitment would be much lower than if all patients were included. However, the group feel they can identify patients in whom the risk of relapse is low and hence they may not benefit from adjuvant treatment. In this study, they have chosen to use S1 as therapy. This, as stated, is the agent used in the JCOG1202 randomised trial which has completed recruitment.

# The study itself planned to recruit 50 patients. As it was, non-randomised historical controls were used to assess the effect size. Data suggested that the 3-year OS would be around 30% in this cohort. It was anticipated that in the study a 45% 3-year OS might be achieved. In fact, a 50% 3-year OS was achieved and by the criteria of the investigators this represents, in their view, a “signal” that SI may be effective in this setting. It is possible to make a comparison with the data from the only other adjuvant study using a fluoropyrimidine, namely BILCAP (3). Although the 3-year OS was not an end point in BILCAP, it can be derived. The subgroup analysis paper from BILCAP is unpublished but is in preparation. It is certainly the case that the OS in the node negative patients is very high and this accords with the authors contention. Similarly, the OS in the node positive patients is much lower, and this is reported in the BILCAP manuscript. The benefit of chemotherapy appears in fact to be in the same order as reported Seita et al (11) gives support to the findings. Looking at the tolerability and toxicity of S1 there is no real surprise and again broadly similar to BILCAP. A tentative conclusion might be that a “signal” of efficacy is evident in this study.

# There are however several caveats. The main weakness of the approach of this study is that it is not randomised. Where possible phase 2 trials should be randomised. The aim of a phase 2 trial is to determine if there is the enough evidence of efficacy to investigate in a phase 3 study. In the case of an advanced cancer it is possible a signal can be obtained by seeing a response in a non-randomised study if the effect size is large enough, but even then randomisation is better. However, in adjuvant studies the end point is relapse, so the only comparator is retrospective data. This can be highly unreliable. Patients who endure a major operation and then are quickly fit for chemotherapy are a highly selected group who will have a better outlook than the average. This was certainly the case in BILCAP where the power calculation had to be revised as both arms of the trial were surviving better than historical controls.

# In conclusion, it may be that S1 has potential as an adjuvant in resected biliary tract cancer but the data from this trial is insufficient to confirm this with certainly. It gives support to the purpose of JCOG1202 (ASCOT study) (7) and the result of this trial is awaited with great interest. However, to give an unequivocally robust answer as regards the role of fluoropyrimidines in biliary tract cancer meta–analysis will almost certainly be required of all appropriate studies. It is unfortunate that this present trial cannot be included in any such analysis as it lacks an observation only control arm.
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