1 Streamflow prediction in "geopolitically ungauged" basins using satellite 2 observations and regionalization at subcontinental scale

3	
4	Tien L.T. Du ^{a,b} Hyongki Lee ^a Duong D. Bui ^{c,*} Berit Arheimer ^d Hong-Yi Li ^a Ionas Olsson ^d
5	Stephen E. Darby ^e Justin Sheffield ^e Donghwan Kim ^a Euiho Hwang ^f
6	Stephen D. Duroy, Justin Sherifeld, Donghwan Mini, Danio Hwang
7	^a Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Houston, Houston, TX, USA
8	^b Da Nang Institute for Socio-Economic Development, Da Nang Vietnam
9	^c National Center for Water Resources Planning and Investigation (NAWAPI) Ministry of Natural
10	Resources and Environment (MONRE) Hanoi, Vietnam
11	^d Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute (SMHI). Norrköping, Sweden
12	^e School of Geography and Environmental Science. University of Southampton. Southampton UK
13	^f Water Resources Research Center, K-Water Institute, K-Water, Daeieon, South Korea
14	
15	
16	
17	*Corresponding author at: Duong D. Bui, NAWAPI, MONRE, Hanoi, Vietnam.
18	Email address: duongdubui@gmail.com (Duong D. Bui)
19	Highlights
20	• Hydrology of the entire Greater Mekong region was modeled with HYPE.
21	• Regionalization was obtained by similarity in physiography and climate.
22	• Regionalization reached 80% of performance of local calibration at ungauged basins.
23	• Water level based flow correlation helped evaluate model at ungauged basins.
24	• Flow correlation framework outperformed the current metrics of modeled water level.

26 Abstract

27 A novel approach of combining regionalization and satellite observations of various 28 hydrological variables were employed to significantly improve prediction of streamflow signatures 29 at "geopolitically ungauged" basins. Using the proposed step-wise physiography and climate-30 based regionalization approach, the model performance at ungauged basins reached 80% of 31 performance of locally calibrated parameters and significantly outperformed the global 32 regionalization parameters. The proposed water level based flow correlation was found to help 33 diagnose models and outperform the existing performance metrics of simulated water levels at 34 ungauged basins. The study also set up the first multi-national, multi-catchment hydrological 35 model in the Greater Mekong region, the top global biodiversity and major disaster risk hotspot in 36 the world through sequential and iterative refinement of the existing global hydrological model. 37 New model setup or existing models in the poorly-gauged and ungauged basins could be benefited 38 from the proposed approach to predict and evaluate model at ungauged basins.

Keywords: catchment model, regionalization, flow correlation, satellite observations, altimetry,
Mekong

41 **1. Introduction**

42 Adequate and reliable information about streamflows are imperative for effective 43 management of water resources. Streamflow data are required for practical applications such as 44 the design of drainage or water supply infrastructure, as well as planning short-term and long-term 45 water use with respect to changes of land use and climate. However, only a small fraction of 46 catchments in any part of the world, possess a stream gauge (Bloschl et al. 2013). Additionally, 47 the number of actively gauged stations has in recent years declined significantly due to reducing 48 government funds for monitoring networks (Ad Hoc Group et al., 2001; Shiklomanov et al., 2002). 49 Given the scarcity of operational gauging stations, the availability of streamflow data is becoming 50 increasingly limited.

51 In addition to the global trend of declining streamflow gauges, accessing existing data is 52 often more difficult in transboundary river catchments. Unfollowing the human-defined political 53 or administrative boundaries, transboundary river basins account for roughly one-half of the 54 earth's land surface, generate about 60% of the global freshwater flow and are home to nearly 40% 55 of the world's population (UNEP-DHI & UNEP, 2016). At least one transboundary water body 56 exists in almost every non-island state in the world. Even if international agreements enabling data 57 and information sharing among states exist in principle, in practice data sharing is often complex 58 in transboundary waters (Gerlak et al., 2010). For example, in the Okavango River basin, although 59 there is agreement between Namibia and Botswana on sharing river flow data, it is of question 60 how to validate the accuracy of shared data (Turton et al., 2003). Or in the case of the Jordan river 61 basin, where there are asymmetric power relations, intentionally ambiguous mechanisms were 62 designed by stronger states to allow no actual data exchange while diffusing domestic opposition 63 (Fishhendler, 2008). These transboundary river basins are thus considered as "geopolitically

64 ungauged" where data observation networks may exist but data are unavailable for use due to
65 geopolitical constraints (Kibler et a., 2014).

66 Since streamflow observations are not available and accessible for all locations, 67 hydrological models often rely on regionalization approaches to transfer information from gauged 68 to ungauged catchments (see Beck et al., 2016; Razavi and Coulibaly, 2013; Bloschl et al., 2013; 69 Parajka et al., 2013; Hrachowitz et al., 2013; He et al., 2011 for reviews). There are different 70 regionalization approaches with their respective advantages and limitations. In general, approaches 71 that transfer calibrated parameter sets with respect to their climatic and physiographic similarity 72 and/or simultaneously calibrate multiple catchments with those similar characteristics performed 73 better than other approaches (Arheimer et al., 2019; Beck et al., 2016; Donnelly et al., 2016; 74 Garambois et al., 2015; Sellami et al., 2014; Kim and Kaluarachchi 2008; Parajka et al, 2007). 75 Nonetheless, it is of question if this approach would work in the case of physically-based 76 distributed hydrological models, which inevitably have a large number of parameters.

77 Physically-based distributed hydrological models, which have parameters linked to 78 physiography and/or climate in the context of multi-catchment modeling approach (including both 79 gauged and ungauged basins), is a type of regionalization (Donnelly et al., 2016; Abbaspour et al., 80 2015; Arheimer et al., 2019; Hossain et al., 2017; Mohammed et al., 2018). Most of these studies 81 used physiography-linked parameter sets, except the most recent study by Arheimer et al. (2019) 82 that included similarity in climate characteristics. Among them, the study by Donnelly et al. (2016) 83 explicitly evaluated physiography-linked parameter sets and concluded that they were useful for 84 prediction at ungauged basins. The most recent study by Arheimer et al. (2019) included climate-85 linked parameter sets by assigning different potential evapotranspiration algorithms for catchments 86 characterized according to Koppen climate classification. However, it is questionable if the choice

of climate regions based on Koppen classification was optimal due to no explicit quantification of
improvement in simulating streamflow.

89 The growing availability of spatially distributed remotely sensed data and open global data 90 sources, together with better computational capacity and advanced methods to assure better data 91 quality, has brought the possibility of macroscale hydrological modeling at the continental scale 92 (e.g. Pechlivanidis and Arheimer, 2015; Abbaspour et al., 2015; Donnelly et al., 2016) and the 93 global scale (Arheimer et al, 2019; Doll et al., 2003; Beck et al., 2016). However, it is known that 94 most of the global scale hydrological models do not always have satisfactory performance over all 95 stations within their expansive domains, constraining their application for management purposes. 96 Furthermore, the evaluation of model performance has been undertaken at gauged or pseudo-97 gauged stations. Accordingly, it is of question how to discern at which station model can 98 satisfactorily capture the observed hydrological regimes. An innovative approach to evaluate 99 model at ungauged basins without using streamflow data is thus required.

100 While streamflow data are less available and accessible, water level or stream stage data 101 are more widely obtainable because there is less investment of people and equipment to measure 102 them and increasingly more access and coverage of stage data derived from satellite altimetry 103 become available (Okeowo et al., 2017; Lee et al., 2009). Water level observations either from in 104 situ observations or derived from satellite altimetry have been increasingly used to calibrate 105 hydrological models towards replacing streamflow information for poorly and ungauged basins 106 (Getirana, 2010; Sun et al., 2012; Lindstrom, 2016; Jian et al., 2017) and used innovatively to 107 estimate important hydrological information for Mekong river basin (Kim et al., 2019a; Chang et 108 al., 2019). However, the evaluation of hydrological models using the existing performance metrics 109 based on water level only can yield inaccurate results due to inherent numerical problems

(Lindstrom, 2016; Jian et al., 2017). Therefore, it is vital to develop methods that more effectively
utilize water level data, where available, for evaluation of model at ungauged basins as a surrogate
for streamflow.

113 Similar flow dynamics (mean discharge, relative flow variability and catchment response 114 rates) have been found between catchments having high spatial correlation of daily streamflow (p 115 > 0.9), rather than catchments having spatial proximity (Archfield and Vogel, 2010; Betterle et al., 116 2017; Betterle et al., 2019). Instead of using streamflow for ungauged catchments (receptor), water 117 level observations can be used to find the most highly correlated gauged catchments (donor). This 118 approach is named as water level based flow correlation in this study. If a model can simulate 119 similar correlation to the observed correlation patterns between gauged (using streamflow) and 120 ungauged (using water level), it is hypothesized that performance of simulated ungauged 121 catchments is as similar as gauged catchments. It is thus worth exploring whether this hypothesis 122 is valid.

123 Accordingly, the overarching goal of this work is to develop and test a new method of 124 using satellite observations and regionalization to improve the prediction of streamflow at 125 "geopolitical ungauged" basins using Hydrological Predictions for the Environment (HYPE) semi-126 distributed hydrological model (Lindstrom et al., 2010). A first subcontinent-scale hydrological 127 model would be setup for the Greater Mekong region, which is a global biodiversity and major 128 disaster risk hotspot but poorly simulated in the existing global hydrological models, constraining 129 their use for pressing management purposes (Tordoff et al., 2012; Dilley et al., 2005; Du et al., 130 2018). The region covers 13 river basins, of which six international river basins make up 90% of 131 total area, passing the entire territory of Vietnam, Laos, Cambodia and parts of China, Thailand 132 and Myanmar (Figure 1). The specific objectives of this work are to examine: (i) how far a multi133 catchment HYPE model using global open data sources including satellite observations can predict 134 flow signatures for gauged catchments in the region; (ii) identify whether physiography and 135 climate based regionalized parameters could improve prediction of streamflow signatures at 136 ungauged catchments; (iii) determine whether water level based flow correlation could help to 137 evaluate model performance at ungauged catchments.



Figure 1. The study domain of Greater Mekong, covering thirteen river basins, six of which are international transboundary river basins (red colored legends) (including the entire territory of Vietnam, Laos, Cambodia and part of China, Thailand and Myanmar) whereas the remaining river basins are located inside Vietnam

141 **2. Data**

142 **2.1. Input dataset for HYPE model**

143 The study used HYPE semi-distributed hydrological model, which has been examined in 144 extensive catchment types worldwide (Arheimer et al., 2019). In this study, HYPE for Greater 145 Mekong region is named as Greater Mekong HYPE (GMH), which has been developed 146 incrementally, and the current final version 1.3 (GHMv1.3) was based on the first version 147 (GMHv1). GMHv1 was the result of multiple collaboration works over multiple years between 148 Swedish Meteorological Hydrological Institute (SMHI) and National Center for Water Resources 149 Planning and Investigation (NAWAPI). To be comparable with the Worldwide HYPE model 150 version 1.3 (WWHv1.3, Arheimer et al., 2019), the catchment model HYPE for the Greater 151 Mekong region used the same topography and hydrological databases (Table 1). Additionally, 152 supplementary forcing and gauging data were used. In addition to Hydrological Global Forcing 153 Data (HydroGFD) precipitation and HydroGFD temperature (Berg et al., 2018), Multi-Source 154 Weighted-Ensemble Precipitation (MSWEP) precipitation, Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission 155 (TRMM 3B42) precipitation and National Centers for Environmental Prediction Climate Forecast 156 System version 2 (NCEP CFSv2) temperature, which have been examined to perform well in the 157 region, were added (Tang et al., 2019; Mohammed et al., 2018). Since different forcing datasets 158 have different spatial resolutions (Table 1), the nearest grid approach was used to assign the 159 characteristics to each sub-catchment. Considering Vietnam to be the country that needs to monitor

160 water resources outside of the country (given 60% of water is generated outside of the country; 161 World Bank, 2019; Du et al., 2016), any streamflow observations inside Vietnam are named as 162 gauged catchments (used for calibration) whereas the observations outside of Vietnam, where 163 available, are named as "geopolitically ungauged" catchments (gauged but not used for 164 calibration). Sources of the additional ground observations of streamflow, water level and 165 precipitation were supplemented by project partners to calibrate and validate the model 166 performance in the region (see Figure 1 for their locations. Details of stations' names, locations 167 and basic information are provided in Table Supplementary 1).

168 169 Table 1

Data description and sources used in the Greater Mekong HYPE project							
Data type	Source and resolution	Reference					
Topography (Flow accumulation, flow direction, digital elevation, river width)	SRTM (3 arcsec) HYDRO1k (30 arcsec) GWD-LR (3 arcsec)	USGS UGGS Yamazaki et al., 2014					
Floodplains and Lake	Global Lake and Wetland Database (GLWD)	Lehner and Doll, 2004					
Reservoirs and dams	Global Reservoir and Dam database v1.1 (GRanD)	Lehner et al., 2011					
Land Cover characteristics	ESA CCI Landcover v1.6.1 epoch 2010 (300m)	ESA Climate Change Initiative – Land Cover project					
Precipitation	MSWEP (0.25° grid, 1979 – 2014) TRMM 3B42 (0.25° grid, 2001 – 2015) HydroGFD (0.5° grid, 1961 – 2015) In-situ precipitation stations in Vietnam (176 stations, 1975 – 2006)	Beck et al. 2017 Huffman et al., 2006 Berg et al., 2018 BIG DREAM project (VINIF.2019.DA17)					
Temperature	HydroGFD (0.5° grid, 1961 – 2015) NCEP CFSv2 (0.25° grid,1979 – 2014)	Berg et al., 2018 Saha et al., 2011					
Potential Evapotranspiration	MOD16A2 (8-day 1 km, 2001 - 2010)	Mu et al., 2016					
Streamflow observations in Vietnam (Gauged) (used for calibration)	19 Stations (daily, 1980 - 2010)	BIG DREAM project (VINIF.2019.DA17)					
Observations of streamflow and water level in Mekong ("geopolitically ungauged") (used for independent evaluation)	12 Stations (daily, 1980 – 2007)	Mekong-SERVIR project (ADPC)					
Envisat-derived Water Level (Envisat-"ungauged"*) (used for independent evaluation)	17 Virtual Stations (daily every 35 days, 2002-2009)	Okeowo et al., 2017; Lee et al., 2009; Chang et al., 2019; Kim et al., 2019; CTOH_ENVISAT_2014_01					

170 * Envisat-"ungauged" catchments are catchments that have virtual stations of Envisat-derived water level but mostly have no
171 observations of streamflow (except 3 catchments that are located in "geopolitically ungauged" catchments) (More explanations)

are provided in Table 2)

173 2.2. Radar Altimetry data

174 The heights of the earth surface every 35 days can be determined using the two-way travel 175 time of radar pulses by Envisat Radar Altimeter 2 (RA 2) during period from August 2002 to 176 October 2010 (see Figure 2 and Table 2 for their locations). Altimetric along-track data v2.1 of the 177 Envisat mission (CTOH_ENVISAT_2014_01) corrected by CTOH (Centre de Topographie des 178 Océans et de l'Hydrosphère, LEGOS, France) were extracted and time series were generated using 179 the automation algorithm developed in Okeowo et al. (2017). This algorithm was based on K-180 means clustering for the automatic detection of outliers. Their method was found to be 181 computationally effective compared to other methods, such as Kalman filter approach by Schwatke 182 et al. (2015) and applicable in the Mekong river basin (Kim et al., 2019b).

183 Table 2

184 List of seventeen virtual stations (VSs) with Envisat pass numbers and their location

No.	VS	Pass number	Location (Lat/Lon)	Located in "Geopolitically ungauged" catchments?
1.	VS 101	737	20.195°N/100.472°E	No – Envisat-"ungauged" catchment (EU101)
2.	VS 102	651	20.025°N /101.950°E	No – Envisat-"ungauged" catchment (EU102)
3.	VS 103	651	19.817°N /101.994°E	No-Envisat-"ungauged" catchment (EU103)
4.	VS 104	565	18.345°N /103.796°E	No – Envisat-"ungauged" catchment (EU104)
5.	VS 105	107	18.151°N /103.115°E	No – Envisat-"ungauged" catchment (EU105)
6.	VS 106	651	17.980°N /102.443°E	No-Envisat-"ungauged" catchment (EU106)
7.	VS 107	21	17.531°N /104.699°E	Yes - (GU25) Nakhom Phanom Station (EU107)
8.	VS 108	21	17.137°N /104.789°E	No – Envisat-"ungauged" catchment (EU108)
9.	VS 109	21	16.279°N /104.990°E	Yes - (GU27) Savannakhek Station (EU109)
10.	VS 110	937	14.044°N /106.944°E	No - Envisat-"ungauged" catchment (EU110)
11.	VS 111	479	13.856°N /106.269°E	No – Envisat-"ungauged" catchment (EU111)
12.	VS 112	866	13.845°N /105.986°E	Yes – (GU31) Stung Treng Stations (EU112)
13.	VS 113	322	13.842°N /106.709°E	No – Envisat-"ungauged" catchment (EU113)
14.	VS 114	866	13.372°N /105.881°E	No – Envisat-"ungauged" catchment (EU114)
15.	VS 115	939	13.310°N /107.111°E	No – Envisat-"ungauged" catchment (EU115)
16.	VS 116	21	12.270°N /105.911°E	No – Envisat-"ungauged" catchment (EU116)
17.	VS 117	565	11.933°N /105.276°E	No – Envisat-"ungauged" catchment (EU117)

185 Note: "Geopolitically ungauged" catchments are catchments that actually have historical observations of daily streamflow and

186 water level for only cross-validating the proposed method (not used at all for calibration) (Table 1). Envisat-"ungauged" catchments

- 187 are catchments that have virtual stations of Envisat-derived water level but mostly have no observations of streamflow (except 3
- 188 catchments that are located in "geopolitically ungauged" catchments). Among 17 Envisat-"ungauged" catchments, 3 of them are
- 189 located in "geopolitically ungauged" (shown in **bold** font), which can be validated with the actual streamflow observations.



Figure 2. Spatial distribution of virtual stations (red triangles) and "geopolitically ungauged" stations (white circles) employed in the study (Figure 2a). The black lines denote the ground tracks of Envisat altimetry. Figure 2b shows the names of "geopolitically ungauged" stations. Time series of river elevation at three VS's are shown in the panels on the right (Figure 2c, 2d, 2e) (time series of all locations are not presented for reason of brevity).

3. Methods

196 **3.1 The multi-catchment hydrological model HYPE**

HYPE is a process-oriented semi-distributed open-source model that is developed and used
operationally to deliver high-resolution model predictions of water and nutrients (Lindstrom et al.,
2010; Arheimer and Lindstrom, 2013). Initially developed for use in Sweden, it has more recently
been used in applications in, for example, India (Pechlivanidis and Arheimer, 2015), Europe

201 (Donnelly et al., 2016), and across the globe (Arheimer et al., 2019). The HYPE model code has 202 been developed since 2005 with a flexible approach to start with simple process descriptions and 203 further refine and increase complexity when necessary (Lindstrom et al., 2005; Bergstrom 1991; 204 Beven 2001). The model structure is based on a multi-catchment approach allowing simultaneous 205 modeling of multiple river basins, with each river basin divided into multiple subbasins and each 206 subbasin further divided into hydrologic response units (HRUs). Each HRU can be divided 207 vertically into three maximum distinct soil layers (normally the top layer has a thickness of around 208 25 cm, the second of 1-2 meters and the third can be deeper to account for ground water) (Bui et 209 al., 2011). The model is forced by precipitation and temperature at either daily or hourly temporal 210 resolution, and its calculation starts at HRUs and is then aggregated to subbasin level. HYPE 211 calculates flow paths in the soil based on snow melt, evapotranspiration, surface runoff, 212 infiltration, percolation, macropore flow, tile drainage and outflow to the stream from soil layers 213 when water content is above field capacity. Different algorithms are provided to calculate snow 214 melt, evapotranspiration, and infiltration according to the physical characteristics of the modeled 215 catchments. The runoff from the land classes is then routed through the network of rivers and lakes 216 to generate river flow, which could be dampened due to effect of lakes and reservoirs. HYPE can 217 also simulate the effect of floodplains, which is crucial for large river systems and their deltas 218 (Andersson et al., 2017), and it can also simulate the transport and concentration of nutrients in 219 both soil, rivers and lakes (Lindstrom et al., 2010). In addition to natural dynamics, the model can 220 simulate simplified water management schemes, such as regulated reservoirs (hydropower), and 221 irrigation. There are several parameters used in HYPE that can be constrained in a stepwise manner 222 using different types of observed data (Arheimer and Lindstrom 2013). The parameters may be 223 soil type dependent (e.g., field capacity), land cover dependent (e.g., evapotranspiration 224 coefficient) or general across the domain (e.g., river routing parameters). Parameters, which are
225 linked to physiography and/or climate rather than to a specific catchment, are thus assumed to be
226 transferable to ungauged sites. More details on the HYPE model, including visual schematic
227 diagram, can be found in the web-based documentation (<u>http://www.smhi.net/hype/wiki/)</u> and
228 Lindstrom et al. (2010).

The HYPE model has the explicit lake routing, including two types of lakes, which are local lakes and outlet lakes. Local lakes, which are located inside the subbasin, only receive a portion of local surface runoff and then flows to main river of the same subbasin. Outlet lakes, which are located near the main river, receive both local runoff (after it has passed local lakes) and the river flow from upstream subbasins. Each lake can be set with an individually defined depth. The outflow from lakes (when water level is above a defined threshold) can be either determined by a general rating curve or a specific rating curve.

The rating curve for a lake outlet is written as:

- 237
- 238 Thus, water level can be also seen as a transformation of streamflow:
- 239 $(w w_o) = (q/k)^{1/p}$ (2)

 $q = k(w - w_0)^p$

where *q* is the outflow or streamflow (m3/s), *w* is water level (m), w_0 a threshold (m) and *p* is an exponent (Lindstrom, 2016). When w_0 is known, ($w - w_0$) is equal to water depth.

Lake water levels, which are easier and cheaper to be measured, are mainly used with the purpose of estimating streamflow through established rating curves. Meanwhile, there are a lot of basins that have no observations of streamflow. Therefore, Lindstrom (2016) tested if HYPE can be calibrated using water level data instead of streamflow. The study found that water levels could be useful for calibration of hydrological models without measuring streamflow by establishing a

(1)

traditional rating curve but using a constant rating curve exponent. His suggestion of using p = 2while adjusting *k* and w_0 appropriately for all lakes resulted in a reasonable agreement with observed daily water level records based on the assumption of parabolic lake outlets, which agreed with the previous study by Maidment (1992).

251 Accordingly, to integrate river elevation derived from Envisat altimetry into HYPE, 252 modeled streamflow must be converted to water level. Using outlet lake routine from HYPE, 253 negligibly small outlet lakes, which have inflow equal to outflow (no storage capacity to affect 254 streamflow) were added in subbasin, where there is either in-situ observations of streamflow and 255 water level or Envisat-derived river elevation. To reduce uncertainty of estimating water depth, in 256 addition to constant p, constant k = 100 and $w_0 = 0$ were used, so equation (2) becomes w = $(q/100)^{1/2}$. Since the proposed method (water level based flow correlation, explained in section) 257 258 3.3) emphasized the temporal dynamics rather than the true magnitude of a variable, it was not 259 necessary to estimate the exact water depth. Simulated w would be compared with either in-situ 260 observations of water level at "geopolitically ungauged" catchments or Envisat-derived water 261 elevation at Envisat-"ungauged" catchments. Envisat-"ungauged" catchments are catchments that 262 have virtual stations of Envisat-derived water level but mostly have no observations of streamflow 263 (except 3 catchments that are located in "geopolitically ungauged" catchments) (Table 2).

264 **3.2. Grouping catchments using climatic indexes**

Similar seasonal water balance patterns between catchments, which could be explored based on three climatic indices alone, i.e., climatic aridity, timing of seasonal precipitation, and a temperature-based measure of snowiness, was found to provide a useful backdrop to the signatures of streamflow variability over various time scales (daily to decadal) and states (low flow to floods)

(Berghuijs et al., 2014). This study applied Berghuijs et al. (2014)'s approach to robustly group catchments based on their similarity in climatic characteristics. Accordingly, two dimensionless indices that account for similar water balances among catchments were calculated, namely the aridity index and the seasonality index (snowiness is not considered in this study since there is almost no snow impact in the study area).

274 Proposed by Budyko (1974), the aridity index is defined as:

275
$$\varphi = \frac{\bar{E}}{\bar{P}}$$
(3)

where \overline{E} is the average potential evaporation rate (mm/day) and \overline{P} is the average precipitation rate (mm/day). This average is calculated from 2002-2009, the same time period used to calibrate the model. φ can range from 0 to infinity (in theory) with higher values associated with more arid climate.

Here, it is assumed that the seasonal variability of precipitation and air temperature can be modeled as simple sine curves (Milly, 1994; Potter et al., 2005; Woods, 2009) as follows:

282
$$P(t) = \bar{P}\left[1 + \delta_P \sin\left(\frac{2\pi(t - s_P)}{\tau_P}\right)\right]$$
(4)

283
$$T(t) = \overline{T} + \Delta_T [\sin(\frac{2\pi(t-s_T)}{\tau_T})]$$
(5)

where *t* is the time (days), *s* is a phase shift (days), τ is the duration of the cycle under consideration (here, 365 days), \overline{P} is the average precipitation (mm/day), \overline{T} is the average temperature (°C/day) over same period 2002-2009, δ_P and Δ_T are dimensionless seasonal amplitudes, and the subscripts *P* and *T* stand for precipitation (mm/day) and temperature (°C/day) respectively. *P*(t) is the precipitation rate (mm/day) and *T*(t) is temperature (°C/day) as a function of *t*. Using a least squares optimization, δ_P and Δ_T were obtained for all individual 1120 catchments in the HYPE study domain.

291 Then, the seasonality index δ_P^* was calculated using Woods (2009):

292
$$\delta_P^* = \delta_P \cdot sgn(\Delta_T) \cdot \cos(\frac{2\pi(s_P - s_T)}{\tau})$$
(6)

where δ_P^* indicates whether precipitation is in phase with the potential evaporation and temperature regimes. The parameter δ_P^* can range from -1 to +1, with the former representing strongly winterdominant precipitation (*P* out of phase with *T*) and the latter showing strongly summer-dominant precipitation (*P* in phase with *T*). $\delta_P^* = 0$ indicates the uniform precipitation throughout the year.

297 **3.3.** Water level based flow correlation between gauged and "ungauged" catchments

298 A measured correlation matrix (Pearson's r correlation coefficient) between daily in-situ 299 water level of "geopolitically ungauged" catchments and daily streamflow of gauged catchments 300 was calculated to find the most highly correlated reference gauged catchments to the study 301 "ungauged" catchments. Similarly, a measured correlation matrix between Envisat-derived water 302 level of "ungauged" catchments outside of Vietnam and the daily streamflow of gauged 303 catchments was also computed. To examine the assumption that the correlation between two daily 304 streamflow series was similar to water level based flow correlation between daily water level (either insitu observations of water level or Envisat-derived water level) and streamflow, a 305 corresponding correlation matrix between daily streamflow of "geopolitically ungauged" 306 307 catchments and daily streamflow of gauged catchments was made. From previous studies on flow 308 correlation, correlation coefficients larger than 0.9 were recommended to consider as being highly 309 correlated catchments (Archfield and Vogel, 2010; Betterle et al., 2019). Because there were less

310 catchments considered in the study, $r \ge 0.7$ was selected as the threshold correlation coefficient. 311 Because *r* was smaller in the study, only catchments in the same climatic group (section 3.2) were 312 examined, to ensure they have similar climate characteristics.

313

3.4. Step-wise physiography and climate based regionalization at gauged basins

314 For data-sparse regions, step-wise calibration approach was shown to be a useful method 315 to reduce the problem of equifinality of the final model output (Stromqvist et al., 2012; Arheimer 316 and Lindstrom, 2013; Donelly et al., 2016; Andersson et al., 2017). At each key process, lumped 317 calibration was carried out simultaneously for sub-groups of gauged basins (representative gauged 318 basins - RGBs) with upstream areas dominated by a specific land-use or soil type. When calibration 319 for a specific group of RGBs is deemed satisfactory, the parameters for that responding land-use 320 or soil type can be kept constant and the next parameters for another group can be calibrated using 321 another set of RGBs. The step-wise separation followed the hydrological pathways through the 322 landscape, starting with climate inputs (precipitation, evapotranspiration), then subsequently 323 moving downstream to soils (infiltration, storage, runoff), then the rivers and lakes (routing and 324 storage). After each step, evaluation of model performance was undertaken for all 19 gauged 325 stations and the best performance parameter set was used in the next step of the model refinement. 326 The period 2002 - 2009 was selected as the calibrated period to analyze errors and refine the model. 327 This period was chosen because it aligned with the availability of Moderate Resolution Imaging-328 Spectroradiometer (MODIS) - derived potential evapotranspiration (PET) and Envisat-derived 329 water level. The earlier part of the simulation period (1991-2001) was retained for independent 330 validation at the same stations.

331 A key objective in calibrating the Greater Mekong-HYPE model was to represent the main 332 hydrological processes of all river basins. Therefore, model evaluation and refinements primarily 333 focused on achieving satisfactory performance across the whole basin using consistent descriptions 334 rather than excellent performance at few locations. The streamflow signatures to be evaluated in 335 the study were the daily and monthly specific streamflow (mm/day and mm/month), high flow (5th percentile of daily specific flow in mm/day), low flow (95th percentile of daily specific flow in 336 mm/day) and medium flow (50th percentile of daily specific flow in mm/day). These signatures 337 338 were selected because they are the most important and widely used signatures of catchment runoff 339 response to be applied in water resources planning and environmental studies (Arheimer et al., 340 2019; Donnelly et al., 2016) (Table 3).

341 The entire domain-scale performance was quantified by first calculating key performance 342 criteria for each of the above flow signatures at each of the 19 streamflow gauges available inside 343 Vietnam (Figure 1), and then computing summary statistics to describe model performance across 344 all locations. The model's ability to simulate daily and monthly streamflow at each gauge was 345 quantified with standard metrics, including the Kling-Gupta Efficiency (KGE) and its components r, β , α , which are directly linked with Pearson's correlation coefficient, relative error (RE) and 346 347 relative error of standard deviation (RESD, variability ratio) respectively (Gupta et al., 2009) 348 (Table 4). For constraining PET parameter values, absolute value of RE was used to find the best 349 agreements between modeled PET and MODIS-derived PET.

Both automatic and manual calibration approach were employed to take advantage of strengths of both methods. The advantage of the former is power and speed of computation and objective parameter constraints. Nevertheless, it is unlikely to provide physically acceptable parameter estimates, which are mostly addressed by highly labor-intensive manual calibration 354 (Boyle et al., 2000). The automatic approach was the Differential Evolution Markov Chain 355 (DEMC) method (Ter Braak, 2006). DEMC allowed to examine parameter sensitivity, probability 356 based uncertainty estimate and a better convergence towards the global optimum. Two-step DEMC 357 automatic calibration was undertaken. Firstly, short runs (around 400 iterations) were done to 358 examine parameter sensitivity. Secondly, longer runs (with at least 1000 iterations) were 359 undertaken for only sensitive parameters to allow convergence to global optimum values. DEMC 360 automation was then followed by manual checks to ensure the physically acceptable parameter 361 ranges and simulated hydrograph similar to the observed patterns. Table 5 describes the model 362 parameters to be calibrated and lists the initial parameter values for each parameter. Other 363 parameters were kept as default as the baseline parameters from the first Greater Mekong HYPE 364 model version (GMHv1) (the same roughly calibrated parameter sets of the first Worldwide HYPE 365 model version 1.0 (WWHv1.0, Arheimer et al., 2019).

366 Step-wise physiography and climate based regionalization framework for estimating 367 different groups of model parameter values in each step were as follows:

368 (1) For precipitation and temperature, different datasets of precipitation and temperature were
369 used with the baseline parameters from WWHv1.0 (roughly calibrated model at global
370 scale) without undertaking any additional calibration to identify the optimal climate forcing
371 datasets for the region. Daily KGE was used to evaluate this step. This model step after
372 selecting the optimal climate data was named as the Greater Mekong HYPE model version
373 1.0 (GMHv1.0).

(2) PET parameter values (lb, kc5, alb: see Table 5 for description of parameter values) were
 constrained using the absolute value of RE between annually simulated PET and MODIS derived PET. PET algorithm selected in the study was Food and Agriculture Organization

377 (FAO) Penman-Monteith, which was integrated inside the HYPE model (Allen et al., 1998; 378 Monteith, 1965). This algorithm was selected so that it was more comparable to MODIS-379 based PET, which was also based on Penman-Monteith logic (Mu et al., 2016). Two-step 380 DEMC automatic calibration was undertaken to obtain the optimal values for each RGB of 381 each land cover type (10 main land cover types were grouped from 36 European Space 382 Agency (ESA) Climate Change Initiative CCI v1.6 data, see details of land cover 383 description and grouping in Arheimer et al., 2019). Thirdly, manual checks of parameter 384 values for each group were made to ensure their acceptable physical meaning. This model 385 step after selecting optimal parameter values was named as the Greater Mekong HYPE 386 model version 1.1 (GMHv1.1).

387 (3) Parameters related to soil storage, flow paths and runoff generation (19 parameters 388 provided in Table 5) were first optimally tuned by two-step DEMC calibration with daily 389 KGE used as the objective function. Because all gauged and ungauged basins were mainly 390 vegetated areas, only parameters for vegetated soils were calibrated. The remaining 391 parameters were kept as default. Following automatic calibration, manual check was done 392 to examine the physical meaning of parameters, and hydrograph simulation of other 393 signatures (daily streamflow, Q95, Q5 and Q50). This model step after selecting the 394 optimal parameter values was named as the Greater Mekong HYPE model version 1.2 395 (GMHv1.2).

(4) Each catchment group (section 3.2) was evaluated separately and calibrated using regional
correction parameters (cevpcorr, rrcscorr: see Table 5 for description of parameter values)
(Hundecha et al., 2013). Two-step DEMC with daily KGE as the objective function and
manual checks were done for all flow signatures (daily streamflow, Q95, Q5 and Q50).

400

This model step after selecting the optimal regional correction parameter values was named

401 as the Greater Mekong HYPE model version 1.3 (GMHv1.3).

402 Table 3 403

Flow signatures evaluated in the study (Range estimated from 2002 – 2009 period) Flow Signatures Description MeanDailyQ (QDD) Mean daily specific flow in mm MeanMonthlyQ (QMM) Mean monthly specific flow in mm 5th percentile of daily specific flow in mm Q5 050 50th percentile of daily specific flow in mm 95th percentile of daily specific flow in mm Q95 MeanDailyW(WDD) Mean daily water level in m MeanMonthlyW (WMM) Mean monthly water level in m

404

405

Table 4

406 Performance metrics used in the study Performance metrics Equation / References Range Variables KGE Negative Infinity to 1 $KGE = 1 - \sqrt{(r-1)^2 + (\alpha - 1)^2 + (\beta - 1)^2}$ (the closer to 1, the better QDD, QMM (Kling-Gupta simulation) Efficiency) (Gupta et al., 2009) $\beta = \frac{\mu_s}{\mu_o}; RE = (\beta - 1).100$ RE Infinity to Infinity QDD, QMM, (the closer to 0, the better Q5, Q50, (Gupta et al., 2009) (Relative error) simulation) Q95 RESD $\alpha = \frac{\sigma_s}{\sigma_c}$; RESD = (α). 100 Infinity to Infinity (the closer to 0, the better QDD, QMM (Relative Error of (Gupta et al., 2009) simulation) Standard Deviation) QDD, QMM, -1 to 1 $r = \frac{cov\left(x_o, x_s\right)}{\sigma_s \sigma_o}$ Pearson's r Correlation WDD, (the closer to -1 or 1, the Coefficient WMM better simulation) $NSEW = NSE + \frac{(\beta - 1)^2}{\sigma_o^2}$ Negative Infinity to 1 WDD. NSEW (the closer to 1, the better WMM simulation) (Lindstrom, 2016) $NSE_{anom} = 1 - \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{nt} \{ [x_o(t) - \overline{x_o}] - (x_s(t) - \overline{x_s}] \}^2}{\sum_{t=1}^{nt} [x_o(t) - \overline{x_o}]^2}$ Negative Infinity to 1 WDD. **NSE**anom (the closer to 1, the better WMM simulation) (Getirana, 2010) 407 Note. x represents the streamflow or water level time series. μ : the mean value of streamflow or water level time series. σ : the

408

standard deviation of streamflow or water level time series. The sub-indexes o and s are observed and simulated streamflow or

409 water level time series, respectively. t is the time step (one month for this application), nt is the total number of months.

410 Table 5

Hydrological Process	Parameter and description	Initial Parameter Range	Posterior parameter values
Potential	lb: threshold soil water for activation of PET	0.9	0.9
evapotranspiration	kc5: crop coefficient for Penman-Monteith algorithm	[0.9 – 1.4]	[1.2 – 1.9]
	alb: albedo for PET algorithms	[0.12 - 0.23]	[0.12 - 0.23]
Soil water storage	rrcs1: recession coefficient for uppermost soil layer	0.3	0.3
and flow path	rrcs2: recession coefficient for lowest soil layer	0.03	0.015
(for vegetated soil and land uses)	rrcs3: recession coefficient for slope dependent	0.0002	0.0002
and fand uses)	mperc1: maximum percolation capacity from soil layer 1 to soil layer 2	20	20
	mperc2: maximum percolation capacity from soil layer 2 to soil layer 3	20	50
	macrate: fraction for macro-pore/subsurface flow	0.3	0.4
	mactrinf: threshold for macro-pore/subsurface flow	10	6
	mactrsm: threshold soil water for subsurface and surface runoff	0.7	0.1
	srrate: fraction for infiltration excess surface runoff (Horton overland flow)	0.04	0
	wcwp1: wilting point as a fraction for uppermost soil layer	0.2	0.2
	wcwp2: wilting point as a fraction for second soil layer	0.2	0.2
	wcwp3: wilting point as a fraction for lowest soil layer	0.2	0.2
	wcfc1: fraction of soil available for evapotranspiration for uppermost soil layer	0.15	0.15
	wcfc2: fraction of soil available for evapotranspiration for second soil layer	0.15	0.15
	wcfc3: fraction of soil available for evapotranspiration for lowest soil layer	0.15	0.15
	wcep1: effective porosity as a fraction, for uppermost soil layer	0.04	0.015
	wcep2: effective porosity as a fraction, for second soil layer	0.04	0.3
	wcep3: effective porosity as a fraction, for lowest soil layer	0.04	0.4
	srrcs: recession coefficient for saturated surface runoff (Dunne overland flow)	[0.05 – 0.2]	[0-0.4]
Seasonal water	cevpcorr: correction factor for PET	0	0
balances among	rrcscorr: correction factor for soil recession coefficient	0	[-0.50.2]

412 Note. Posterior parameter values different from initial parameter range are shown in bold font.

413 3.5. Performance of regionalized parameters at ungauged basins

The performance of physiography and climate based regionalized parameters was assessed 414 415 with the following approach. At 12 "geopolitically ungauged" to be used for independent evaluation (Figure 3), KGE, RE for daily streamflow, RE for Q95, Q5, Q50 were obtained by 416 417 using the following sets of parameters:

- 418 (1) Step-wise physiography and climate based regionalization parameters transfer from
 419 gauged catchments (par GMHv1.3) (section 3.4).
- 420 (2) Global regionalization parameters from the WWHv1.3 (Arheimer et al., 2019). This
- 421 parameter set was forced with the same climate data as the WWHv1.3 model (HydroGFD
- 422 Precipitation and Temperature).
- 423 (3) Locally calibrated parameters in the ideal situation where observed streamflow were
 424 available for calibration (Step one to three of section 3.4 without manual calibration so that
 425 selected parameters can be objective).
- The performance metrics from the three parameter sets were compared to address research
 objective 2 (section 1) if the proposed regionalization method could help improve prediction of
 streamflow signatures at ungauged basins.



429

430

431 **Figure 3.** Flow chart summarizing steps of proposed method in the study

432

3.6. Model evaluation at ungauged basins using water-level based flow correlation

433 At 12 "geopolitically ungauged" and 17 Envisat-"ungauged" catchments, which were 434 assumed to have no observations of streamflow but only water level, different performance metrics 435 were used to examine if water level can be modelled with a satisfactory level of performance. 436 Pearson's correlation coefficient was first examined to see if the modeled water level has similar 437 temporal dynamics with the recorded water level, irrespective of their magnitudes. Getirana (2010) 438 proposed Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency for anomalies (NSEanom), which was a modified NSE metrics 439 to eliminate the anomalies or bias caused by different reference water level between modeled and 440 recorded water levels. Similarly, Lindstrom (2016) introduced Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency adjusted 441 for bias (NSEW) to eliminate bias between them (Table 4). However, due to numerical problems, 442 both equations can still yield inaccurate results because magnitudes of variation between the two 443 variables are significantly different (modeled water level with few meters whereas recorded water 444 level with several hundred meters above sea level).

445 Because of numerical problems to evaluate the performance of catchments based on water 446 level only, this study proposed applying hydrologic similarity theory by assuming that the most 447 highly correlated reference gauged catchments (using daily streamflow) also have similar 448 performance to that of the study "ungauged" catchments (using water level). To use this method, 449 first, the modeled water levels of the "ungauged" catchments were evaluated against recorded 450 water levels using Pearson's correlation coefficient, NSEanom and NSEW. For in-situ water 451 levels, evaluation was undertaken at both daily and monthly time steps. For Envisat-derived water 452 levels, evaluation was performed at any day step that has recorded data (one daily observation 453 every 35 days). NSEanom and NSEW were used only to examine if numerical problems of 454 evaluating models based on water levels existed. When only modeled water level had good

455 correlation with recorded water level ($r \ge 0.7$), following steps were undertaken. This condition 456 ensured that the temporal variation of modeled water levels against observations was captured. 457 Secondly, the modeled correlation between modeled water levels of the "ungauged" catchments 458 and modeled streamflow of the reference most highly correlated catchments was computed. If 459 there was similar result between modeled correlation and measured correlation (modeled 460 correlation can range from 0.5 to 0.9 compared to measured correlation), performance of reference gauged catchment was assumed to be the performance of "ungauged" catchment. To cross-validate 461 this assumption, performance of "ungauged" catchments against the historical observations of 462 463 streamflow, where available, was evaluated and compared with the assumption (Figure 4).



465 Figure 4. Model evaluation framework at "ungauged" catchments using water level based flow correlation method.
466 Q, W represents daily or monthly streamflow and water level time series respectively. The subscripts s and o are
467 simulated and observed time series respectively. The second subscripts 1 and 2 are "ungauged" (either "geopolitical
468 ungauged" or Envisat-"ungauged") and their most highly correlated gauged catchments respectively. Q_{ol} (if available)
469 is not used in model setup or calibration, but only used to cross-validate the assumption that performance of

470 "ungauged" catchments is similar to that of the reference most highly correlated gauged catchments. The expression
471 "=" is understood as between +/- 0.2 (so modeled correlation can range from 0.5 to 0.9 compared to measured
472 correlation).

473 **4. Results**

474 **4.1. Catchment delineation and characteristics**

475 The World Hydrological Input Set-up Tool (WHIST) developed by SMHI (Swedish 476 Meteorological and Hydrological Institute, developer of HYPE model) was used to delineate 477 catchment borders (Arheimer et al., 2019). Consistent with the WWHv1.3, catchment delineation 478 was defined using the same approach according to the locations of gauging stations in the river 479 network (including 19 "gauged" stations and 12 "geopolitically ungauged"), the outlets of large 480 lakes/reservoirs, and seeking to reach an average catchment size of ~ 1,000 km² (Arheimer et al., 2019). As a result, the Greater Mekong region (~1,2 million km²) was divided into 1,120 sub-481 catchments with an average size of 1,047 km². Sub-catchments within low-lying areas with 482 483 extensive floodplains tended to have a larger size (average 3,600 km²), among which the TonleSap basin had the largest size of 10,000 km². The outputs of catchment delineation were quality 484 485 checked with station metadata (obtained from governmental reports). 100% of the estimated 486 catchment areas were found to fall within +/- 5% of the areas reported by these metadata. For lakes and reservoirs, in total, 15 lakes and 18 reservoirs (only lakes and reservoirs larger than 10 km² 487 488 recorded by GLWD and GRanD were considered in this version) were identified.

489 Similar to WWHv1.3, HRUs represented a combination of land cover characteristics and
 490 elevation, resulting in 169 HRUs (details of HRUs can be found in Arheimer et al., 2019). Different
 491 hydrological active soil depths were assigned for the HRUs, based on the variability in vegetation,

and elevation they represented as suggested by Troch et al. (2009) and Gao et al. (2014) and
currently used in WWHv1.3 (Arheimer et al., 2019). Similar to WWHv1.3, detailed description of
soil properties was not included in HYPE model to reduce number of parameters. Nevertheless,
five general distinct soil classes (including (i) no soil (water), (ii) urban soil, (iii) rock (no texture),
(iv) vegetated soil and (v) irrigated soil) based on impermeable conditions and infiltration of land
covers were identified to describe the hydrological processes in the region.

498 **4.2. Grouping catchments using climatic indexes**

499 Across all 1,120 catchments and during the 2002 to 2009 study period, the aridity index 500 ranged from 0.4 to 1.7 whereas seasonality index ranges from -0.3 to 1. Accordingly, consistent 501 with Berghuijs et al. (2014), four catchment groups were made, including group (1): Humidity 502 $(\varphi \le 0.75)$ with Mild seasonality $(\delta_P^* \le 0.5)$; group (2): Humidity $(\varphi \le 0.75)$ with High seasonality 503 $(\delta_P^* > 0.5)$; group (3): Sub-humidity ($\varphi > 0.75$) with Mild seasonality ($\delta_P^* \le 0.5$); and group (4): 504 Sub-humidity ($\phi > 0.75$) with High seasonality ($\delta_P^* > 0.5$) (Table 6). Figure 5 shows the 505 geographic spread and organization of four catchment groups obtained from this classification 506 approach (See Figure Supplementary 2 for spatial distribution of all catchments based on group 507 classification). Most catchments having historical streamflow observations (both gauged and 508 ungauged) were classified as group 3 or group 4. Catchments of group 1 were mostly located near 509 the coastal area with stronger humidity and more wet-season dominant precipitation. Catchments 510 of group 3 were located mostly in the southwest of the region with less humidity and less seasonal 511 water variability. Catchments of group 4 were located mostly in the northwest of the region with 512 less humidity and more dry-season dominant precipitation.

513 Grouping catchments using climatic indexes could provide a robust reference to further 514 regionalize parameters for each climate group. This study adapted a simple regional calibration 515 approach, following Hundecha et al. (2016). After step-wise calibration of the model for all 516 catchments, we evaluated the model for each catchment group to find out which signatures need 517 to be refined and then performed regional calibration separately by using group-specific correction 518 parameters. It should be noted that only catchment group 1, 3 and 4 could be calibrated and 519 validated whereas catchment group 2 had no validation because there were no gauged stations for 520 this group.

521 **Table 6**

522 Catchment groups using climatic indexes

		Total [–] catchments	Available observations within			
Group	Description		Gauged catchments	"Geopolitically ungauged" catchments	Envisat-"ungauged" catchments	
1	Humidity with Mild Seasonality	54	4	0	0	
2	Humidity with High Seasonality	68	0	0	1	
3	Sub-humidity with Mild Seasonality	322	5	3	9	
4	Sub-humidity with High Seasonality	680	10	9	7	

523 Note: Humidity ($\varphi \le 0.75$); Sub-humidity ($\varphi > 0.75$); Mild seasonality ($\delta_P^* \le 0.5$); High seasonality ($\delta_P^* > 0.5$).



and "geopolitically ungauged" catchments outside of Vietnam) into 4 climatic catchment groups.

552 **4.3.** Water level based flow correlation between gauged and "ungauged" catchments

553 Figure 6 validates the assumption that water level based flow correlation using daily 554 observed in-situ water levels and streamflow had similar results to the correlation using both daily 555 observed streamflow observations. In the case of Envisat-derived water levels, because there were 556 less observations (one daily observation every 35 days), the correlation coefficient became slightly 557 smaller but the difference was negligible. Accordingly, for the "geopolitically ungauged" and 558 Envisat-"ungauged" catchments in catchment group 3, Talai (G18) was found to be the reference 559 most highly correlated gauged catchment. For the "geopolitically ungauged" and Envisat-"ungauged" catchments in catchment group 4, LaoCai (G2), LaiChau (G4), YenBai (G6), Xala 560 561 (G9) were the reference most highly correlated gauged catchments.





562

564 Figure 6. Matrices show Pearson' correlation coefficient between gauged catchments (horizontal positions: $G1 \rightarrow G18$ 565 using daily streamflow) and "ungauged" catchments (vertical positions, including "geopolitical ungauged" 566 catchments: $GUO20 \rightarrow GUO31$ using daily streamflow: $GUW20 \rightarrow GUW31$ using daily in-situ water levels; and 567 Envisat-"ungauged" catchments EU101 \rightarrow EU109 using daily Envisat-derived water level). Each dotted box shows 568 the same "ungauged" catchment using different datasets (either streamflow GUQ or in-situ water level GUW or 569 Envisat-derived water level EU) correlated with same gauged catchments. Figure 6a is correlation matrix of 570 "ungauged" catchment group 3 and Figure 6b is correlation matrix of "ungauged" catchment group 4 (Table 6). Red 571 color box highlights the most highly correlated gauged catchments with "ungauged" catchments ($r \ge 0.7$). For details 572 of the location and name of catchments, see Table S1.

573 **4.4. Step-wise physiography and climate-based regionalization at gauged basins**

574 **4.4.1. Baseline model performance (GMHv1.0)**

575 Six sets of precipitation and temperature data were used to identify the most appropriate 576 climate inputs for the model. Among them, HydroGFD had the coarsest resolution (0.5° grid) 577 whereas MSWEP, TRMM and NCEP were gridded at had 0.25° resolution. There were 176 in-situ 578 precipitation stations to examine the quality of different climate data inputs of the model. The 579 period 2000-2006 was selected to examine their correlation as it was the period that all datasets 580 were available. In terms of magnitudes, it was found that HydroGFD and TRMM precipitation 581 datasets overestimated during wet months (5% and 7% respectively) and underestimated during 582 dry months (13% and 5% respectively) compared to the in-situ precipitation, resulting in weaker 583 correlation with in-situ precipitation (0.65 and 0.53 respectively) (Table 7). MSWEP had smaller 584 bias (less than 1% for the entire year) and stronger correlation with in-situ precipitation. There 585 was, unfortunately, no in-situ temperature dataset to compare with HydroGFD and NCEP. 586 Monthly average temperature from HydroGFD was larger than monthly average NCEP (Figure 7).

Using the initial default parameter set WWHv1.0 with different sets of climate data, no significant difference in model performance was found between them. Any temperature dataset combined with the same precipitation dataset resulted in almost similar performance. Among the precipitation datasets, MSWEP led to the highest model performance, followed by HydroGFD and TRMM. Since the MSWEP precipitation and NCEP temperature datasets had better resolution (both at 0.25°), this set of forcing data was selected as the climate input data to be used for the baseline model (GMHv1.0) (Table 8).

594Table 7595Correlat

Precipitation	HydroGFD	MSWEP	TRMM	In-situ Precipitation
MSWEP	0.78			
TRMM	0.61	0.85		
In-situ Precipitation	0.65	0.75	0.53	

596 Note. Precipitation dataset has the highest correlation with in-situ precipitation are shown in bold font.597



599 **Figure 7.** Monthly time series of different climate datasets (Figure 7a: precipitation datasets; Figure 7b: temperature datasets).

601 **Table 8**

602 Model performance using different climate datasets

Precipitation	Temperature	KGE	Absolute RE (%)	Absolute RESD (%)	r	
HydroGFD	HydroGFD	0.25	16.8	53.55	0.59	
HydroGFD	NCEP	0.32	22.61	54.75	0.59	
MSWEP	HydroGFD	0.31	21.57	50.16	0.74	
MSWEP	NCEP	0.29	19.34	47.59	0.74	
TRMM	HydroGFD	0.25	25.27	69.15	0.73	
TRMM	NCEP	0.20	25.56	73.64	0.73	
Note. Table presents median performance metrics for 19 gauged Vietnamese stations. For clarity, in each column, the two best						

values are shown in bold font (the highest values (the better) for KGE and r, the smallest values (the better) for absolute RE and

absolute RESD).

603

606 **4.4.2. Refining potential evapotranspiration (GMHv1.1)**

607 Evaporation is a significantly important process in all river basins in Vietnam, accounting 608 for around 50% of precipitation on average (Nguyen, 2005). Given the importance of evaporation 609 in the region and large errors of streamflow variability in many locations of the baseline model, 610 calibration was undertaken to estimate PET – the upper limit of evaporation in the model. Among three PET related parameters, land use dependent parameter (kc5) was found to be sensitive. The 611 612 posterior kc5 was found to reduce relative volumetric errors (RE) between modeled PET and 613 MODIS-derived PET by 40% compared to initial kc5 value (Table 5). With this posterior kc5614 values, model performance for all flow signatures significantly improved over all stations, 615 particularly for KGE (from 0.3 to 0.47 for daily streamflow). In this model version, nevertheless, 616 low flows were significantly underestimated while high flow were overestimated, requiring 617 refinement of the soil storage and flow paths process (Figure 8).



Figure 8. Model performance (all values are median values) of all model versions at gauged stations in both calibration
(Figure 8a) and validation periods (Figure 8b). Dotted box for daily flow signatures and dashed box for monthly flow
signatures. Color interpretation of the Figure: blue is good and yellow/red/purple is poor performance.



627

Figure 9. Total hydrograph of simulated streamflow of all model versions against observed streamflow (Figure 9a),
annual hydrograph (Figure 9b) and flow duration curve of simulated streamflow of all model versions against observed
streamflow (Figure 9c) at one sample gauged location at Lao Cai (located in Red River basin).

631 **4.4.3. Refining soil storage and flow paths (GMHv1.2)**

The GMHv1.1 model displayed a quick and peaky response of streamflow to rainfall events, resulting in the underestimation of low flows and the overestimation of high flows (Figure 9). DEMC automation found the sensitive parameters that needed to be calibrated. They were parameters governing the soil porosities (wcep1, wcep2, wcep3), percolation (mperc2), subsurface 636 runoff and surface runoff (macrate, mactrinf, mactrsm, rrcs2). Parameters of the GMHv1.1 model 637 represented significantly little soil storage, and high recession coefficients. Therefore, soil related 638 parameters were adjusted to increase soil storage capacity, more infiltration and lower recession 639 coefficients for subsurface runoff. In addition, runoff components (Horton overland Flow, Dunne 640 overland flow, subsurface flow) for different soil classes were unreasonable compared to Dunne 641 theory (Dunne, 1978; Li et al., 2014). Accordingly, srrcs (Dunne overland flow related parameter) 642 and strate (Horton overland flow related parameter) were manually calibrated so that Horton 643 overland flow dominated in urban and bare soil class whereas subsurface runoff and Dunne 644 overland flow dominated in vegetated soil class. Refining these descriptions helped to maintain 645 physical meaning of parameters, whereas significantly improve overall simulated flow signatures 646 for all gauged catchments during both the calibration and validation period. For instance, for the 647 calibration period, compared to the GMHv1.1 model, the KGE for daily streamflow improved 648 from 0.47 to 0.7. On the other hand, volumetric errors of low flows significantly reduced to -27% 649 from -95% and high flow from 35% to 1.7% (Figure 8).

650 4.4.4. Refining seasonal water balances among catchment groups (GMHv1.3)

651 The model GMHv1.2 had an overall satisfactory performance for both daily and monthly 652 streamflow time series in both the calibration and validation periods (KGE for daily streamflow 653 was above 0.5). However, the low flow signature (Q95) was underestimated for few stations. In 654 the model GMHv1.2, the global physiography-based parameters, which were based on soil and 655 land cover characteristics of catchments, were used for all catchments. Evaluating the model 656 GMHv1.2 for each catchment group (only 3 groups having gauged stations), the global 657 physiography-based parameters were more suitable for catchment group 3, whereas low flow 658 signatures for both catchment groups 1 and 4 were still underestimated (Figure 10). Catchment

group 1 with humidity and mild seasonality has more wet-season dominant storage variation 659 660 whereas catchment group 4 with sub-humidity and high seasonality has more dry-season dominant 661 variation (Berghuijs et al., 2014). Accordingly, various correction factors storage 662 (evapotranspiration and recession coefficients) were used to simultaneously consider the variety 663 of climate characteristics between catchments (Hundecha et al., 2016). In a trial and error, the 664 correction factor for the soil recession coefficient (rrcscorr) has resulted in improvement for the 665 low flow signatures for group 1 and group 4 for both calibration and validation periods whereas 666 other parameter (cevpcorr) did not result in any improvement.



Figure 10. Model performance by different catchment groups for 19 gauged catchments for calibration (Figure 10a)
and validation (Figure 10b). Color interpretation of the Figure: blue is good and yellow/red/purple is poor
performance.

674 **4.5. Performance of regionalized parameters at ungauged basins**

675 Table 9 summarizes the performance in terms of KGE, RE for daily streamflow, RE for 676 Q5, Q95, Q50 obtained in 12 "geopolitically ungauged" evaluation catchments using 677 physiography-based regionalized parameters (par GMHv1.2), physiography and climate based 678 regionalized parameter sets from gauged catchments (par GMHv1.3), global regionalization 679 parameter sets (par WWHv1.3) and locally calibrated parameter sets. Similar to Arheimer et al. 680 (2019), although global regionalization parameters could characterize spatial variability of flow 681 signatures across the globe, they had difficulties in capturing low flows, particularly in tropical 682 catchments. The difference between physiography-based regionalized parameters and 683 physiography and climate-based regionalized parameters was not significant. Nevertheless, the 684 later could significantly reduce volumetric errors of low flow because only one extra parameter 685 was used in the WWHv1.3 compared to previous model version. Compared to locally calibrated 686 parameters, physiography and climate-based regionalized parameters reached nearly 80% in terms 687 of KGE for daily streamflow and was even a slightly better in terms of volumetric errors for low 688 flow, medium and high flow.

690 **Table 9**

691	Model performance using multiple performance metrics of different flow signatures with various parameter sets for
692	the 12 "geopolitically ungauged" evaluation catchments for the period 2002 – 2009

Performance metrics	Flow Signatures	Par GMHv1.2 (section 4.4.3)	Par GMHv1.3 (section 4.4.4)	Par WWHv1.3 (global regionalization parameters)	Locally calibrated parameters
KGE	QDD	0.68	0.68	0.32	0.88
RE	QDD	-1.3	-1.7	-61	1
KGE	QMM	0.76	0.76	0.21	0.87
RE	QMM	-1.3	-1.7	-61	0.99
RE	Q95	-32.35	-10.6	-98.17	-14.12
RE	Q5	-4.43	4.06	-48.23	6.45
RE	Q50	1.54	4.51	-80.82	10.61

Note. Table presents median performance metrics for 12 "geopolitically ungauged" evaluation catchments. For clarity, in each row,
the two best scores are shown in bold font (the highest values (the better) for KGE, closest values to 0 (the better) for RE).

695 Figure 11 shows performance of daily simulated streamflow of all catchments in terms of 696 KGE compared to their historical observations of streamflow during validation period (1991 -697 2001) for two model versions, including baseline GMHv1.0 and final GMHv1.3. The catchments 698 presented in Figure 11 include both gauged catchments located inside black Vietnamese boundary 699 and "geopolitically ungauged" catchments located outside of black Vietnamese boundary. Most of 700 simulated catchments using the final model version have captured better hydrological processes of 701 the region, resulting in a substantial improvement (mostly blue dots in Figure 11b). In both model 702 versions, streamflow in Lang Son (located in Bang Giang Ky Cung basin, Northeast of Vietnam, 703 the only yellow dot in Figure 11b) was not well simulated. The reason could be the 704 underrepresented spatial variation of precipitation in the catchment owing to the its small size (the 705 smallest size 1,500 km² in all evaluated catchments in the study). Future research could be further 706 improved by using the average of the nearest precipitation grids or higher resolution precipitation 707 datasets like NASA Global Precipitation Measure integrated multi-satellite retrievals with 0.1° 708 resolution (GPM IMERGF-V6) (Le et al., 2020).



Figure 11. Spatial overview of the model performance for GMHv1.0 (Figure 11a), GMHv1.3 (Figure 11b) and their
changes from GMHv1.0 to GMHv1.3 (Figure 11c) in terms of KGE for daily streamflow time series. Model
performance for both gauged (inside boundary of Vietnam) and "ungauged" catchments for validation periods (1991
- 2001). See Figure Supplementary 3 for model performance of simulated low flows and high flows.

714 **4.6.** Model evaluation at ungauged basins using water level based flow correlation

715 To evaluate the performance of model at ungauged catchments that have observed water 716 levels, evaluation framework using water level based flow correlation (Figure 4) and existing 717 performance metrics of simulated water levels was used. This section applied the framework and 718 performance metrics for both baseline and final model versions to examine if this method and/or 719 performance metrics can work for both scenarios (Figure 12 and Figure 13). Accordingly, firstly, 720 the daily and/or monthly simulated water levels were evaluated against the recorded water levels 721 using the existing performance metrics for simulated water levels, including Pearson's correlation 722 coefficients, NSEanom and NSEW. From Figure 12 and Figure 13, conflicting performance results 723 of simulated water levels compared to observed water levels were found. In any row of both 724 figures, inconsistent colors between r, NSEanom and NSEW for simulated water levels (especially 725 for Envisat-derived water level) were shown. For example, from Figure 12d, at EU 107 station, 726 Pearson's correlation coefficient (dark blue color – good result) showed that simulated water level 727 had good temporal correlation with observed water levels; NSEanom (blue color – acceptable 728 result) informed that they had acceptable magnitude bias; NSEW (orange color – bad result) 729 advised that they had significantly high magnitude bias. Comparing simulated streamflow of this 730 station with observed streamflow (blue color of KGE – acceptable result), the model was found to 731 simulate daily flow at acceptable level but could not capture high flows (light purple color of Q5 732 - overestimated) and low flows (yellow color of Q95 – highly underestimated). This finding 733 confirmed previous studies that model performance using on only water levels could yield 734 inaccurate results in modelling streamflow signatures (Lindstrom, 2016; Jian et al., 2017). 735 Additionally, unlike water levels that have limited performance metrics and derived hydrological 736 signatures, there is a high variety of performance metrics to evaluate various signatures of streamflow that could help diagnose model problems and inform where to improve. For example,
KGE metric can inform whether temporal pattern or variation or magnitude of daily flow is not
good (Gupta et al., 2009) whereas relative volumetric errors of flow signatures from flow duration
curve (high, low and medium) can inform which part of runoff (surface or subsurface runoff) is
not well represented (Yokoo and Sivapalan, 2011). Accordingly, it raised a question how to have
extra important model diagnostic information if only observations of water levels are available.

743 Water level based flow correlation was found to possibly address the above question. Using 744 water level based flow correlation evaluation framework, firstly, temporal patterns of simulated 745 water levels were examined against observations using correlation coefficients, which were all 746 above 0.7 for both model versions (Figure 12, Figure 13). Secondly, modeled correlation between 747 simulated water levels of "ungauged" catchments and simulated streamflow of gauged catchments 748 were compared against measured correlation between observed water levels of "ungauged" 749 catchments and observed streamflow of gauged catchments. The difference between them was 750 within +/- 0.2 for both model versions, thus the performance of "ungauged" catchments was 751 similar to the performance of the most highly correlated gauged catchments (see Figure 752 Supplementary 4). Accordingly, in both baseline and final model versions, performance of 753 "ungauged" catchments were similar to performance of the most highly correlated gauged 754 catchments for all flow signatures. It was then validated with any "ungauged" catchments that have 755 historical observed streamflow to cross-validate the hypothesis. Consistent results were found for 756 all flow signatures between the reference most highly correlated gauged catchments and 757 "ungauged" catchments having observed streamflow (where available for cross-validation) to 758 accept the hypothesis. For catchments having only Envisat-derived water level without observed 759 streamflow, its performance cannot be validated. Nevertheless, since this method worked for both

in-situ water levels and 3 catchments having both Envisat-derived water level and observed
streamflow, performance of the remaining 14 catchments with Envisat-derived water level could
be evaluated using the reference most highly correlated gauged catchments.

Accordingly, it showed that water level based flow correlation method could be used to evaluate the model performance at ungauged catchments having only observations of water levels. Furthermore, compared to previous studies that used water levels to evaluate model performance, this approach can not only overcome numerical problems of existing performance metrics for water levels but also provide important model diagnostic information on how to improve model performance without streamflow observations.



771 Figure 12. Evaluation of the baseline model GMHv1.0 for "geopolitically ungauged" catchment group 3 (Figure 12a), 772 "geopolitically ungauged" catchment group 4 (Figure 12b), Envisat-"ungauged" catchment group 3 (Figure 12c) and 773 Envisat-"ungauged" catchment group 4 (Figure 12d). Simulated water levels were evaluated against in-situ water level 774 (left images) and Envisat-derived water level (right images). Since modeled correlation was similar to measured 775 correlation, simulated streamflow of "geopolitically ungauged" or Envisat-"ungauged" catchments were similar to 776 that of the reference most highly correlated gauged catchments (red highlight box). This simulation was then validated 777 against observed streamflow for any "ungauged" catchments that have historical observations (observed streamflow 778 of "ungauged" catchments were only used for cross-validation, not used in calibration). For the reference most highly 779 correlated gauged catchment 4, there were 4 catchments, thus both minimum and maximum performance metrics were 780 presented



781

782 Figure 13. Evaluation of the final model GMHv1.3 for "geopolitically ungauged" catchment group 3 (Figure 13a), 783 "geopolitically ungauged" catchment group 4 (Figure 13b), Envisat-"ungauged" catchment group 3 (Figure 13c) and 784 Envisat-"ungauged" catchment group 4 (Figure 13d). Simulated water levels were evaluated against in-situ water level 785 (left images) and Envisat-derived water level (right images). Since modeled correlation was similar to measured 786 correlation, simulated streamflow of "geopolitically ungauged" or Envisat-"ungauged" catchments were similar to 787 that of the reference most highly correlated gauged catchments (red highlight box). This simulation was then validated 788 against observed streamflow for any "ungauged" catchments that have historical observations (observed streamflow 789 of "ungauged" catchments were only used for cross-validation, not used in calibration). For the reference most highly 790 correlated gauged catchment 4, there were 4 catchments, thus both minimum and maximum performance metrics were 791 presented.

792 **5. Discussion**

793 **5.1. Step-wise physiography and climate-based regionalization at gauged basins**

Catchment models are important tools to support decision makers in sustainable planning 794 795 of water resources. The Greater Mekong region is the top global biodiversity hotspot but 796 increasingly facing urgent socio-economic development and climate change impacts. Accordingly, 797 it is imperative to have a multi-national and multi-catchment model to support river basin 798 authorities. It could thus help predict river flows across administrative borders and allocate water 799 resources among water users in a harmonized manner. For the first time, a multi-national and 800 multi-catchment Greater Mekong HYPE was set up in this important region. The analysis of the 801 final model GMHv1.3 version (KGE of daily and monthly streamflow is 0.7 and 0.8 respectively) 802 shows that the model is useful for water authorities in managing water related issues. The model 803 has been setup on the foundation of the Worldwide HYPE model and successfully refined to 804 capture the hydrological processes for the region. It shows that global hydrological model, in this 805 case the worldwide HYPE model, could be a useful starting point as a time-saving alternative for 806 other regions to further refine it with local expert knowledge, so that it could be useful in 807 supporting decision makers for water management. Additionally, further refining an existing 808 model would allow critical knowledge and experiences shared between research groups and 809 practitioners, thus increasing full transparency in the research process, further understanding of 810 general hydrological patterns, process and functions between catchments. It can thus ultimately 811 advance hydrological sciences toward a unified theory of hydrology at catchment scale (Sivapalan, 812 2005) and better predict flow signatures at ungauged basins (Bloschl et al., 2013).

813 The approach of sequentially and iteratively (both automatically and manually) refining 814 inadequately described hydrological processes, together with local knowledge can substantially 815 improve the appropriateness of model application in a new region. Calibration is inevitable in 816 physically distributed model because of impossibility to measure all required model parameters at 817 the model simulation scale (Beven, 1989; Bloschl and Sivapalan, 1995). This study combined both 818 automatic and manual calibration to combine the strengths of both methods to achieve more 819 physically acceptable parameters at a timely efficient manner at each step in hydrological 820 processes. The study area has various seasonal water variability due to its substantial precipitation 821 and evaporation variability from tropical monsoon effect. Therefore, using climatic indexes 822 (aridity index and seasonality index) is a useful approach to group catchments so that all 823 catchments can be simulated in the same modeling domain. Adding one simple step (step 4 in the 824 step-wise calibration approach in section 3.4) into the common step-wise physiography-based 825 parameters helped reduce the underestimation of low flow of two catchment groups. In this study, 826 simple regionalized parameter approach (correction parameters) were used. More substantial 827 model improvement could be made if other regionalization approaches could be employed, such 828 as linear parameter estimation based on catchment descriptors (Hundecha et al., 2016). Future 829 studies could examine this hypothesis.

830 **5.2. Performance of regionalized parameters at ungauged basins**

HYPE with physiography and climate based regionalized parameters appears to perform as good as locally calibrated parameters and outperform global regionalization parameters in all flow signatures. This result confirms findings of the previous studies that similarity in catchment characteristics and climate characteristics can lead to similarity in rainfall-runoff responses (Beck et al., 2016; Berghuijs et al., 2014). Climatic indexes based on observations of precipitation and temperature during the same period with observations of streamflow could provide more dynamically agreeing characteristics of each catchment rather than using Koppen climate 838 classification, which has different timeline with streamflow observation (Kottek et al., 2006). The 839 evaluated catchments are all vegetated (either forest or agricultural lands) catchments so the 840 difference in physiography is not significant. Nevertheless, physiography-based regionalized 841 parameters are demonstrated to predict well flow signatures in ungauged basins across Europe 842 (Donnelly et al., 2016). This approach could be helpful for existing model using physiography-843 based regionalized parameters to be further improved without altering the current parameter sets. 844 Since this is a poorly-gauged region, obtaining more streamflow observations would be 845 challenging. Therefore, it is important to develop more approaches to validate the simulated 846 streamflow from model for ungauged catchments. The next section is one of those attempts. 847 Another approach to cross-validate simulated streamflow for ungauged catchments could be using 848 ensemble learning regression combining satellite altimetry data and a hydrologic model, which 849 could be HYPE model in this case (Kim et al., 2019c).

850 **5.3.** Model evaluation at ungauged basins using water level based flow correlation

851 To evaluate model performance at ungauged basins, both existing performance metrics of 852 water levels and proposed water level based flow correlation were adopted. Inconsistent and even 853 conflicting performance results using different performance metrics happened for both baseline 854 and final models, which make diagnosing and evaluating the model at ungauged basins difficult 855 (Figure 12 and 13). Meanwhile, using water level based flow correlation method (both in-situ and 856 Envisat derived water levels) can provide more details regarding model diagnostics of which 857 signature needs to be further refined. For instance, in the baseline model (Figure 12), using the 858 performance of the reference most highly correlated gauged catchments, it informed that model 859 could not capture low flow for both catchment group 3 and catchment group 4. For this study, the 860 threshold for identifying the most correlation catchments were only 0.7 because of limited ground

861 observations. Data access in this region is particularly arduous, therefore correlation threshold was 862 lower than other studies (Archfield and Vogel, 2010; Betterle et al., 2017; Betterle et al., 2019). 863 Lower correlation threshold could have reduced the matching performance between the reference 864 most highly correlated gauged catchments and "ungauged" catchments although the difference is 865 not significant. Future researches could further examine this hypothesis. Findings show that flow 866 correlation method with in-situ water level can be used to evaluate the performance of ungauged 867 catchments through the most highly correlated gauged catchments. For Envisat-derived water 868 level, since there are only 3 virtual stations located in catchments having ground observations, 3 869 out of 17 Envisat-"ungauged" catchments have been validated. Nevertheless, since this method 870 was found to work with both in-situ water level and 3 Envisat virtual stations, it is assumed that 871 the remaining 14 Envisat-"ungauged" catchments could have similar satisfactory simulation to the 872 reference gauged catchments.

873 It is expected that not only sub-continental multi-catchment hydrological models but also 874 multi-continental multi-catchment hydrological models would be benefited from this approach if 875 water level-based flow correlation was found between altimetry-derived water level in ungauged 876 catchments of a poorly gauged continent and streamflow in gauged catchments of another 877 excessively gauged continent. In this study, expanding possibility of study area of GMv1.3, along 878 with water level based flow correlation, could further validate the performance of the current non-879 validated catchment group 2 of model. Meanwhile, global-scale model could more satisfactorily 880 capture the full range of variability of hydrological regimes that actually exist within their large 881 domains. Thus, it can further increase the ability of hydrological models to be employed routinely 882 and with confidence to ungauged basins. More altimetry satellite missions with denser coverage

in the future could further advance this approach to improve predictions of flow regimes inungauged basins.

885 **6.** Conclusion

886 The study uses a novel approach to combine regionalization and satellite observations of 887 various hydrological variable to improve prediction of streamflow signatures at "geopolitically 888 ungauged" basins. Using the proposed step-wise physiography and climate-based regionalization 889 approach, the model performance at ungauged basins reached 80% of performance of the ideal 890 situation, where observed streamflow data were available for calibration, and significantly 891 outperformed the global regionalization parameters using the Koppen climate classification. This 892 approach would be helpful for both new model setup and existing physically distributed models 893 because it is flexible and does not change the current parameter values of existing models. 894 Additionally, the proposed water level based flow correlation was found to help diagnose models 895 and outperform the existing performance metrics of simulated water levels at ungauged basins. It 896 is expected that more satellite altimetry missions with a denser coverage in the future, together 897 with macroscale hydrological model, either at continental scale or global scale with a wide variety 898 of observed streamflow patterns (Alemaw and Chaoka, 2003; Arheimer et al., 2019; Doll et al., 899 2013; Beck et al., 2016) could be benefited from this approach to further evaluate model 900 performance in ungauged basins.

The study also helps to setup the first multi-national, multi-catchment hydrological model in the Greater Mekong region, the top global biodiversity and major disaster risk hotspot in the world. This model version would be useful for water authorities to monitor and plan sustainable use of water resources across administrative boundaries under rapid changing development

905 activities and climate impacts. Using a common hydrological model concept and setup approach 906 compared to the global hydrological model would allow critical sharing of knowledge and 907 experiences to advance toward a unified theory of hydrology at catchment scale and better predict 908 flow signatures at ungauged basins. Nevertheless, knowledge gaps in aquifers, floodplain effect, 909 and water extraction by human have not been addressed. Future model version could be further 910 improved, such as using average of the nearest precipitation grids (for better reproducing regimes 911 in small catchments), incorporating other hydrological data (e.g. groundwater level, total terrestrial 912 storage change, soil moisture), and adding water management modules (e.g. regulated reservoirs, 913 irrigation, water quality) to explore impacts of various changing scenarios from climate and human 914 activities on the vital water, food and energy security in the region. Web data portal could be 915 developed to allow more data accesses and knowledge sharing of water status in this important 916 region (McDonald et al., 2019; Biswas and Faisal, 2018).

918 Acknowledgement

919

920 This study is supported by NASA's Applied Sciences Program for SWOT Science Team 921 (NNX16AQ33G); GEO Program (80NSSC18K0423); Vingroup Innovation Foundation 922 (VINIF.2019.DA17); the Greater Mekong HYPE Study Subcontract (No. SC1) of the ECMWF 923 COPERNICUS project (C3S_422_Lot1_SMHI); SERVIR Program (80NSSC20K0152); Vietnam 924 National Foundation for Science and Technology Development (NAFOSTED) and the United 925 Kingdom's Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) (NE/S002847/1); USAID's PEER 926 Project (AID-OAA-A-11-00012); and South Korea Ministry of Environment's Demand 927 Responsive Water Supply Service Program (2019002650004). Especially, the authors would like 928 to express our gratitude to SMHI hydrological research unit and water monitoring and forecasting 929 team at NAWAPI, where various prior common works over multiple years on the regional 930 modelling platform were done to make this study possible. We would like to thank Dr. Phil Graham 931 for supporting with HYPE model setup, Kel Markert for helping with Google Earth Engine coding, 932 and data providers listed in Table 1 for providing us important resources and data to undertake this 933 work.

934 Credit Author Statement

935

Tien L.T. Du: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing – Original Draft, Writing
– Review & Editing; Hyongki Lee: Supervision & Resources; Duong D. Bui: Supervision &
Resources; Berit Arheimer: Resources, Writing – Review & Editing; Hong-Yi Li: Writing –
Review & Editing; Jonas Olsson: Writing – Review & Editing; Stephen E. Darby: Writing –
Review & Editing; Justin Sheffield: Writing – Review & Editing; Donghwan Kim: Review &
Editing; Euiho Hwang: Writing – Review & Editing

944 **References**

- Abbaspour, K.C., Rouholahnejad, E., Vaghefi, Srinivasan, R., Yang, H. and Kløve, B., 2015. A continental-scale
 hydrology and water quality model for Europe: Calibration and uncertainty of a high-resolution large-scale
 SWAT model. *Journal of Hydrology*, 524, pp.733-752.
- Ad Hoc Group, Vörösmarty, C., Askew, A., Grabs, W., Barry, R.G., Birkett, C., Döll, P., Goodison, B., Hall, A.,
 Jenne, R. and Kitaev, L., 2001. Global water data: A newly endangered species. *Eos, Transactions American Geophysical Union*, 82(5), pp.54-58.
- Alemaw, B.F. and Chaoka, T.R., 2003. A continental scale water balance model: a GIS-approach for Southern
 Africa. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts A/B/C*, 28(20-27), pp.957-966.
- Allen, R.G., Pereira, L.S., Raes, D. and Smith, M., 1998. Crop evapotranspiration-Guidelines for computing crop water requirements-FAO Irrigation and drainage paper 56. *Fao, Rome, 300*(9), p.D05109.
- Andersson, J.C., Arheimer, B., Traoré, F., Gustafsson, D. and Ali, A., 2017. Process refinements improve a hydrological model concept applied to the Niger River basin. *Hydrological processes*, *31*(25), pp.4540-4554.
- Archfield, S.A. and Vogel, R.M., 2010. Map correlation method: Selection of a reference streamgage to estimate daily
 streamflow at ungaged catchments. *Water Resources Research*, 46(10).
- Arheimer, B. and Lindström, L., 2013. Implementing the EU Water Framework Directive in Sweden. Chapter 11.20
 in: Bloeschl, G., Sivapalan, M., Wagener, T., Viglione, A. and Savenije, H. (Eds). Runoff Predictions in
 Ungauged Basins Synthesis across Processes, Places and Scales. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge,
 UK. (p. 465) pp. 353-359.
- Arheimer, B., Pimentel, R., Isberg, K., Crochemore, L., Andersson, J. C. M., Hasan, A., and Pineda, L., 2019 Global
 catchment modelling using World-Wide HYPE (WWH), open data and stepwise parameter estimation,
 Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2019-111, in review.
- Beck, H.E., van Dijk, A.I., De Roo, A., Miralles, D.G., McVicar, T.R., Schellekens, J. and Bruijnzeel, L.A., 2016.
 Global-scale regionalization of hydrologic model parameters. *Water Resources Research*, 52(5), pp.3599-3622.
- Beck, H.E., Van Dijk, A.I., Levizzani, V., Schellekens, J., Gonzalez Miralles, D., Martens, B. and De Roo, A., 2017.
 MSWEP: 3-hourly 0.25 global gridded precipitation (1979-2015) by merging gauge, satellite, and reanalysis data. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 21(1), pp.589-615.
- Berg, P., Donnelly, C. and Gustafsson, D., 2018. Near-real-time adjusted reanalysis forcing data for hydrology. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 22(2), pp.989-1000.
- Berghuijs, W.R., Sivapalan, M., Woods, R.A. and Savenije, H.H., 2014. Patterns of similarity of seasonal water
 balances: A window into streamflow variability over a range of time scales. *Water Resources Research*, 50(7), pp.5638-5661.
- 977 Bergström, S., 1991. Principles and confidence in hydrological modelling. *Hydrology Research*, 22(2), pp.123-136.
- Betterle, A., Radny, D., Schirmer, M. and Botter, G., 2017. What do they have in common? Drivers of streamflow
 spatial correlation and prediction of flow regimes in ungauged locations. *Water Resources Research*, 53(12),
 pp.10354-10373.
- Betterle, A., Schirmer, M. and Botter, G., 2019. Flow dynamics at the continental scale: Streamflow correlation and
 hydrological similarity. *Hydrological processes*, 33(4), pp.627-646.
- 983 Beven, K.J., 2011. Rainfall-runoff modelling: the primer. John Wiley & Sons.
- Beven, K., 1989. Changing ideas in hydrology—the case of physically-based models. *Journal of hydrology*, *105*(1-2), pp.157-172.
- Biswas, N.K. and Hossain, F., 2018. A scalable open-source web-analytic framework to improve satellite-based operational water management in developing countries. *Journal of Hydroinformatics*, 20(1), pp.49-68.
- Blöschl, G., Sivapalan, M., Savenije, H., Wagener, T. and Viglione, A. eds., 2013. *Runoff prediction in ungauged basins: synthesis across processes, places and scales.* Cambridge University Press.
- Blöschl, G. and Sivapalan, M., 1995. Scale issues in hydrological modelling: a review. *Hydrological processes*, 9(3-4), pp.251-290.
- Boyle, D.P., Gupta, H.V. and Sorooshian, S., 2000. Toward improved calibration of hydrologic models: Combining
 the strengths of manual and automatic methods. *Water Resources Research*, *36*(12), pp.3663-3674.
- 994 Budyko, M.I., 1974. *Climate and life*. New York: Academic press.

- Bui, D.D., Kawamura, A., Tong, T.N., Amaguchi, H., Nakagawa, N. and Iseri, Y., 2011. Identification of aquifer
 system in the whole Red River Delta, Vietnam. *Geosciences Journal*, 15(3), p.323.
- Chang, C.H., Lee, H., Hossain, F., Basnayake, S., Jayasinghe, S., Chishtie, F., Saah, D., Yu, H., Sothea, K. and Du
 Bui, D., 2019. A model-aided satellite-altimetry-based flood forecasting system for the Mekong
 River. *Environmental modelling & software*, *112*, pp.112-127.
- 1000 CTOH_ENVISAT_2014_01. Doi:10.6096
- 1001Dilley, M., Chen, R.S., Deichmann, U., Lerner-Lam, A.L. and Arnold, M., 2005. Natural disaster hotspots: a global1002risk analysis. The World Bank.
- 1003Döll, P., Kaspar, F. and Lehner, B., 2003. A global hydrological model for deriving water availability indicators:
model tuning and validation. *Journal of Hydrology*, 270(1-2), pp.105-134.
- 1005 Donnelly, C., Andersson, J.C. and Arheimer, B., 2016. Using flow signatures and catchment similarities to evaluate 1006 the E-HYPE multi-basin model across Europe. *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 61(2), pp.255-273.
- 1007 Du, T.L.T., Bui, D.D., Quach, X.T. and Robins, L., 2016. Water governance in a changing era: Perspectives on Vietnam. *Water governance dynamics in the Mekong region*, pp.241-248.
- 1009 Du, T., Bui, D., Nguyen, M. and Lee, H., 2018. Satellite-Based, Multi-Indices for Evaluation of Agricultural Droughts 1010 in a Highly Dynamic Tropical Catchment, Central Vietnam. *Water*, 10(5), p.659.
- 1011 Dunne, T., 1978. Field studies of hillsope flow processes. *Hillslope hydrology*, pp.227-293.
- Gao, H., Hrachowitz, M., Schymanski, S.J., Fenicia, F., Sriwongsitanon, N. and Savenije, H.H.G., 2014. Climate controls how ecosystems size the root zone storage capacity at catchment scale. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 41(22), pp.7916-7923.
- 1015Fischhendler, I., 2008. Ambiguity in transboundary environmental dispute resolution: The Israeli—Jordanian water1016agreement. Journal of Peace Research, 45(1), pp.91-109.
- 1017 Garambois, P.A., Roux, H., Larnier, K., Labat, D. and Dartus, D., 2015. Parameter regionalization for a process-1018 oriented distributed model dedicated to flash floods. *Journal of Hydrology*, 525, pp.383-399.
- 1019 Gerlak, A.K., Lautze, J. and Giordano, M., 2011. Water resources data and information exchange in transboundary 1020 water treaties. *International Environmental Agreements: Politics, Law and Economics*, *11*(2), pp.179-199.
- 1021 Getirana, A.C., 2010. Integrating spatial altimetry data into the automatic calibration of hydrological models. *Journal* 1022 of Hydrology, 387(3-4), pp.244-255.
- Gupta, H.V., Kling, H., Yilmaz, K.K. and Martinez, G.F., 2009. Decomposition of the mean squared error and NSE
 performance criteria: Implications for improving hydrological modelling. *Journal of hydrology*, 377(1-2),
 pp.80-91.
- He, Y., Bárdossy, A. and Zehe, E., 2011. A review of regionalisation for continuous streamflow simulation. *Hydrology* and Earth System Sciences, 15(11), pp.3539-3553.
- Hossain, F., Sikder, S., Biswas, N., Bonnema, M., Lee, H., Luong, N.D., Hiep, N.H., Du Duong, B. and Long, D.,
 2017. Predicting water availability of the regulated Mekong river basin using satellite observations and a physical model. *Asian Journal of Water, Environment and Pollution*, 14(3), pp.39-48.
- Hrachowitz, M., Savenije, H.H.G., Blöschl, G., McDonnell, J.J., Sivapalan, M., Pomeroy, J.W., Arheimer, B., Blume,
 T., Clark, M.P., Ehret, U. and Fenicia, F., 2013. A decade of Predictions in Ungauged Basins (PUB)—a
 review. *Hydrological sciences journal*, 58(6), pp.1198-1255.
- Huffman, G.J., Adler, R.F., Bolvin, D.T., Gu, G., Nelkin, E.J., Bowman, K.P., Stocker, E. and Wolff, D.B., 2006. The
 TRMM Multi-satellite Precipitation Analysis (TMPA): quasi-global precipitation estimates at fine scales.
- Hundecha, Y., Arheimer, B., Donnelly, C. and Pechlivanidis, I., 2016. A regional parameter estimation scheme for a pan-European multi-basin model. *Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies*, 6, pp.90-111.
- 1038Jian, J., Ryu, D., Costelloe, J.F. and Su, C.H., 2017. Towards hydrological model calibration using river level
measurements. Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies, 10, pp.95-109.
- Kibler, K.M., Biswas, R.K. and Juarez Lucas, A.M., 2014. Hydrologic data as a human right? Equitable access to information as a resource for disaster risk reduction in transboundary river basins. *Water Policy*, 16(S2), pp.36-58.
- Kim, D., Yu, H., Lee, H., Beighley, E., Durand, M., Alsdorf, D.E. and Hwang, E., 2019a. Ensemble learning regression for estimating river discharges using satellite altimetry data: Central Congo River as a Test-bed. *Remote sensing of environment*, 221, pp.741-755.
- Kim, D., Lee, H., Chang, C.H., Bui, D.D., Jayasinghe, S., Basnayake, S., Chishtie, F. and Hwang, E., 2019b. Daily
 River Discharge Estimation Using Multi-Mission Radar Altimetry Data and Ensemble Learning Regression
 in the Lower Mekong River Basin. *Remote Sensing*, 11(22), p.2684.

- Kim, D., Lee, H., Beighley, E. and Tshimanga, R.M., 2019c. Estimating discharges for poorly gauged river basin using ensemble learning regression with satellite altimetry data and a hydrologic model. *Advances in Space Research*.
- 1052 Kim, U. and Kaluarachchi, J.J., 2008. Application of parameter estimation and regionalization methodologies to 1053 ungauged basins of the Upper Blue Nile River Basin, Ethiopia. *Journal of Hydrology*, *362*(1-2), pp.39-56.
- 1054 Kottek, M., Grieser, J., Beck, C., Rudolf, B. and Rubel, F., 2006. World map of the Köppen-Geiger climate classification updated. *Meteorologische Zeitschrift*, 15(3), pp.259-263.
- Le, M.H., Lakshmi, V., Bolten, J. and Du Bui, D., 2020. Adequacy of Satellite-derived Precipitation Estimate for Hydrological modeling in Vietnam Basins. *Journal of Hydrology*, p.124820.
- Lee, H., Shum, C.K., Yi, Y., Ibaraki, M., Kim, J.W., Braun, A., Kuo, C.Y. and Lu, Z., 2009. Louisiana wetland water
 level monitoring using retracked TOPEX/POSEIDON altimetry. *Marine Geodesy*, *32*(3), pp.284-302.
- 1060 Lehner, B. and Döll, P., 2004. Development and validation of a global database of lakes, reservoirs and 1061 wetlands. *Journal of Hydrology*, 296(1-4), pp.1-22.
- Lehner, B., Liermann, C.R., Revenga, C., Vörösmarty, C., Fekete, B., Crouzet, P., Döll, P., Endejan, M., Frenken, K.,
 Magome, J. and Nilsson10, C., 2011. Global reservoir and dam (grand) database. *Technical Documentation*, *Version*, 1, pp.1-14.
- Li, H.Y., Sivapalan, M., Tian, F. and Harman, C., 2014. Functional approach to exploring climatic and landscape
 controls of runoff generation: 1. Behavioral constraints on runoff volume. *Water Resources Research*, 50(12), pp.9300-9322.
- Lindström, G., Rosberg, J. and Arheimer, B., 2005. Parameter precision in the HBV-NP model and impacts on nitrogen scenario simulations in the Rönneå River, Southern Sweden. AMBIO: A Journal of the Human Environment, 34(7), pp.533-538.
- Lindström, G., Pers, C., Rosberg, J., Strömqvist, J. and Arheimer, B., 2010. Development and testing of the HYPE
 (Hydrological Predictions for the Environment) water quality model for different spatial scales. *Hydrology research*, 41(3-4), pp.295-319.
- 1074 Lindström, G., 2016. Lake water levels for calibration of the S-HYPE model. *Hydrology Research*, 47(4), pp.672-1075 682.
- 1076 Maidment, D.R., 1992. Handbook of hydrology. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- McDonald, S., Mohammed, I.N., Bolten, J.D., Pulla, S., Meechaiya, C., Markert, A., Nelson, E.J., Srinivasan, R. and Lakshmi, V., 2019. Web-based decision support system tools: The Soil and Water Assessment Tool Online visualization and analyses (SWATOnline) and NASA earth observation data downloading and reformatting tool (NASAaccess). *Environmental Modelling & Software*, *120*, p.104499.
- 1081 Milly, P.C.D., 1994. Climate, soil water storage, and the average annual water balance. *Water Resources* 1082 *Research*, 30(7), pp.2143-2156.
- 1083 Mohammed, I.N., Bolten, J.D., Srinivasan, R. and Lakshmi, V., 2018. Satellite observations and modeling to 1084 understand the Lower Mekong River Basin streamflow variability. *Journal of hydrology*, 564, pp.559-573.
- Monteith, J.L., 1965. Evaporation and environment. In *Symposia of the society for experimental biology* (Vol. 19, pp. 205-234). Cambridge University Press (CUP) Cambridge.
- 1087 Mu, Q., Zhao, M. and Running, S.W., 2011. Improvements to a MODIS global terrestrial evapotranspiration 1088 algorithm. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 115(8), pp.1781-1800.
- Okeowo, M.A., Lee, H., Hossain, F. and Getirana, A., 2017. Automated generation of lakes and reservoirs water
 elevation changes from satellite radar altimetry. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*, 10(8), pp.3465-3481.
- Parajka, J., Viglione, A., Rogger, M., Salinas, J.L., Sivapalan, M. and Blöschl, G., 2013. Comparative assessment of predictions in ungauged basins–Part 1: Runoff-hydrograph studies. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 17(5), pp.1783-1795.
- Parajka, J., Blöschl, G. and Merz, R., 2007. Regional calibration of catchment models: Potential for ungauged catchments. *Water Resources Research*, 43(6).
- 1097Pechlivanidis, I. and Arheimer, B., 2015. Large-scale hydrological modelling by using modified PUB
recommendations: the India-HYPE case. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 19(11), pp.4559-4579.
- Potter, N.J., Zhang, L., Milly, P.C.D., McMahon, T.A. and Jakeman, A.J., 2005. Effects of rainfall seasonality and soil moisture capacity on mean annual water balance for Australian catchments. *Water Resources Research*, 41(6).
- 1102Razavi, T. and Coulibaly, P., 2012. Streamflow prediction in ungauged basins: review of regionalization
methods. Journal of hydrologic engineering, 18(8), pp.958-975.

- Saha, S., Moorthi, S., Wu, X., Wang, J., Nadiga, S., Tripp, P., Behringer, D., Hou, Y.T., Chuang, H.Y., Iredell, M. and Ek, M., 2011. NCEP climate forecast system version 2 (CFSv2) 6-hourly products. *Research Data Archive at the National Center for Atmospheric Research, Computational and Information Systems Laboratory.*
- Sellami, H., La Jeunesse, I., Benabdallah, S., Baghdadi, N. and Vanclooster, M., 2014. Uncertainty analysis in model parameters regionalization: a case study involving the SWAT model in Mediterranean catchments (Southern France).
- Schwatke, C., Dettmering, D., Bosch, W. and Seitz, F., 2015. DAHITI-an innovative approach for estimating water
 level time series over inland waters using multi-mission satellite altimetry. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 19(10), pp.4345-4364.
- 1114 Shiklomanov, A.I., Lammers, R.B. and Vörösmarty, C.J., 2002. Widespread decline in hydrological monitoring 1115 threatens pan-Arctic research. *Eos, Transactions American Geophysical Union*, 83(2), pp.13-17.
- 1116 Sivapalan, M., 2005. Pattern, process and function: elements of a unified theory of hydrology at the catchment 1117 scale. *Encyclopedia of hydrological sciences*.
- Strömqvist, J., Arheimer, B., Dahné, J., Donnelly, C. and Lindström, G., 2012. Water and nutrient predictions in ungauged basins: set-up and evaluation of a model at the national scale. *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 57(2), pp.229-247.
- Sun, W., Ishidaira, H. and Bastola, S., 2012. Calibration of hydrological models in ungauged basins based on satellite radar altimetry observations of river water level. *Hydrological Processes*, 26(23), pp.3524-3537.
- 1123Tang, X., Zhang, J., Gao, C., Ruben, G.B. and Wang, G., 2019. Assessing the Uncertainties of Four Precipitation1124Products for Swat Modeling in Mekong River Basin. *Remote Sensing*, 11(3), p.304.
- Tordoff, A.W., Bezuijen, M.R., Duckworth, J.W., Fellowes, J.R., Koenig, K., Pollard, E.H.B., Royo, A.G. and ZUN,
 P., 2012. Ecosystem profile: Indo-Burma biodiversity hotspot 2011 update. *Critical Ecosystem Partnership Fund, Arlington, Virginia.*
- 1128Ter Braak, C.J., 2006. A Markov Chain Monte Carlo version of the genetic algorithm Differential Evolution: easy1129Bayesian computing for real parameter spaces. Statistics and Computing, 16(3), pp.239-249.
- Troch, P.A., Martinez, G.F., Pauwels, V.R., Durcik, M., Sivapalan, M., Harman, C., Brooks, P.D., Gupta, H. and Huxman, T., 2009. Climate and vegetation water use efficiency at catchment scales. *Hydrological Processes: An International Journal*, 23(16), pp.2409-2414.
- Turton, A., Ashton, P. and Cloete, E., 2003. An introduction to the hydropolitical drivers in the Okavango River
 basin. Transboundary Rivers, Sovereignty and Development: Hydropolitical Drivers in the Okavango River
 Basin. Pretoria & Geneva: AWIRU & Green Cross International.
- UNEP-DHI, U.N.E.P., 2016. Transboundary river basins: status and trends (summary for policy makers). *Nairobi: United Nationds Environment Programe (UNEP)*.
- 1138 Woods, R.A., 2009. Analytical model of seasonal climate impacts on snow hydrology: Continuous snowpacks. *Advances in water resources*, *32*(10), pp.1465-1481.
- 1140 World Bank, 2019. Vietnam: Toward a safe, clean and resilient water system. Washington DC: The World Bank
- 1141 Yamazaki, D., O'Loughlin, F., Trigg, M.A., Miller, Z.F., Pavelsky, T.M. and Bates, P.D., 2014. Development of the 1142 global width database for large rivers. *Water Resources Research*, *50*(4), pp.3467-3480.
- 1143 Yokoo, Y. and Sivapalan, M., 2011. Towards reconstruction of the flow duration curve: development of a conceptual 1144 framework with a physical basis. *Hydrology & Earth System Sciences*, *15*(9).
- 1145