

Lagged compound occurrence of droughts and pluvials globally over the past seven decades

Xiaogang He^{1,2}, Justin Sheffield^{1,3}

¹Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey, USA.
 ²Water in the West, Woods Institute for the Environment, Stanford University, Stanford, California, USA.
 ³Geography and Environment, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK.

Key Points:

9

10

11

12

• We develop a novel statistical framework to quantify the spatial hotspots and temporal dynamics of the drought-pluvial seesaw.

Globally, we find on average 11 percent of all drought events have been followed by at least one pluvial event in the following season.

• Coherent changes in seesaw frequency are not detected at the regional scale, but distinct seesaw hotspots emerge at local scales, albeit over limited land areas.

Corresponding author: Xiaogang He, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Princeton, New Jersey 08544, USA, hexg@princeton.edu

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1029/ŒŒÕŠ€Ì Ï JG

14 Abstract

15	The drought-pluvial seesaw - defined as the phenomenon of pluvials (wet spells) fol-
16	lowing droughts (dry spells) - magnifies the impact of individual pluvial and drought
17	events, yet has not been systematically evaluated, especially at the global scale. We ap-
18	ply an event coincidence analysis to explore the aggregated seesaw behavior based on land
19	surface model simulations for the past seven decades (1950-2016). We find that glob-
20	ally, about 5.9% and 7.6% of the land surface has experienced statistically significant
21	(p < 0.10) drought-pluvial seesaw behavior during the boreal spring-summer and fall-
22	winter, with an average 11.1% and 11.4% of all droughts being followed by pluvials in the
23	following season, respectively. Although this global frequency pattern is modest and co-
24	herent changes cannot be detected at the sub-continental scale, local hotspots of drought-
25	pluvial seesaw have become more frequent than either droughts or pluvials alone in the
26	last three decades, albeit with a small percentage of area coverage.

Accepted

-2-

27 Plain Language Summary

Acc

Droughts and pluvials (also referred to as large-scale and long-term dry and wet spells) 28 have profound impacts on a wide range of sectors, including water, agriculture and food 29 security, energy production, infrastructure, and ecosystem health. There have been numer-30 ous studies investigating the changing behavior of droughts and pluvials and their soci-31 etal impact, yet they are generally treated separately. The intersection between the two, 32 especially the rapid transition from drought to pluvial (we call this the "drought-pluvial 33 seesaw"), deserves more attention as it can lead to greater impact than the sum of each in-34 dividual type of event because of the potential increase in vulnerability of populations and 35 ecosystems. For example, the 2017 winter pluvials in California contributed to widespread 36 floods, which occurred on the back of the state's multi-year (2011-2016) drought and put 37 additional strains on the state's multiple water dependent sectors. In this study, we investi-38 gate how often droughts have been followed by pluvials in the past seven decades through 39 a novel yet mathematically simple approach. We find that about 11 percent of droughts 40 have been followed by at least one pluvial in the following season, although over a small 41 percentage of the global land surface. Importantly, the swing from drought to pluvial has 42 become more frequent in the past 30 years in some parts of the world, which may indi-43 cate greater variability in weather with climate change. Our approach could have practical 44 value as it can inform policy-makers and local stakeholders on the often overlooked but 45 important risk of coincident drought and pluvial, and therefore more effective water and 46 agricultural management and adaptation plans. 47

48 **1** Introduction

Weather extremes have been listed as one of the top three global risks for the past 49 six years (2014-2019) [World Economic Forum, 2019], among which floods and droughts 50 are the most common and impactful natural hazards globally. Severe floods are mainly 51 triggered by persistent and widespread wet spells (also referred to as pluvials), either in 52 the form of heavy precipitation events and/or through high antecedent soil moisture con-53 54 ditions [e.g., Sivapalan et al., 2005]. Droughts are on the other end of the hydrological spectrum, usually linked to prolonged periods of low precipitation and/or dry soils. Such 55 wet and dry events can have large impacts on agriculture and food security, water avail-56 ability, energy production and natural ecosystems [e.g., Gleick, 1993; Sheffield and Wood, 57 2011; He et al., 2019]. Globally, drought and flood losses have increased tenfold over the 58 second half of the 20th century, to US\$596 billion in the early 21st century (2000-2017) 59 [EM-DAT, 2018]. A recent study [UNISDR, 2015] finds that, during 1995-2015, for all 60 weather-related disasters, droughts account for 26% and affect 1.1 billion people. Pluvial 61 events, in the form of floods, affect 2.3 billion people and account for 56% of disasters. 62 Although a growing body of research based on climate model projections has documented 63 that anthropogenic climate change will increase the frequency and magnitude for pluvials 64 [e.g., Field, 2012; Fischer et al., 2013; Duffy et al., 2015; Martin, 2018; Zhan et al., 2020] 65 and droughts [e.g., Sheffield and Wood, 2008a; Orlowsky and Seneviratne, 2013; Martin, 66 2018], albeit with prominent regional variability, historical evidence does not show con-67 sistent changes for pluvials [e.g., Kangas and Brown, 2007; Liu and Allan, 2013; Greve 68 et al., 2014; Lehmann et al., 2015, 2018] and droughts [e.g., Sheffield and Wood, 2008b; 69 Sheffield et al., 2012; Dai, 2013; Trenberth et al., 2014] owing to the lack of observations, 70 use of different metrics, as well as uncertainties from model simulations related to model 71 structure and parameterization schemes. 72

Although droughts (or dry spells) and pluvials (or wet spells) are generally treated 73 separately, there are good reasons to analyze their co-occurrence and mechanisms, and 74 manage and mitigate their impacts concurrently for a number of reasons. Firstly, changes 75 in frequency and intensity of droughts and pluvials are inherently interconnected and gov-76 erned by the same underlying hydrological processes and atmospheric dynamics, which 77 may lead to higher hydroclimatic variability with response to a warming climate [Tren-78 berth, 1999; Trenberth et al., 2003; Giorgi et al., 2011]. Moreover, there are many recent 79 examples of coincidental flood (manifested or induced by pluvial conditions) and drought 80

-4-

events that highlight the compounded impacts of events that follow each other, and are 81 suggestive of the expectation of a more variable climate under climate change. For in-82 stance, California recently suffered a multi-year (2011-2016) intense drought [Diffenbaugh 83 et al., 2015; He et al., 2017], which caused severe environmental issues (e.g., groundwater depletion, wildfires, tree mortality) and economic losses [e.g., Howitt et al., 2014]. On 85 the heels of this prolonged drought, the state was hit by large-scale pluvial events with ex-86 treme precipitation transported from atmospheric rivers. These led to severe flooding in 87 February 2017, which triggered a state emergency and an evacuation of 188,000 residents 88 downstream of the Oroville Dam (California's second largest reservoir) due to its spillway 89 failure [NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information, 2018]. In September 2015, 90 there was a fast transition from drought to pluvial flooding within one week over South 91 Carolina because of the deep tropical moisture connection to Hurricane Joaquin, which 92 brought a once-in-a-thousand-years flood and erased the prevailing drought conditions that 93 had lasted from May to September in 2015. This drought-pluvial seesaw also happened 94 in the southeast U.S., where Texas experienced its worst drought in recorded history from 95 2010 until May 2015, which was suddenly ended by a heavy precipitation event. How-96 ever, this widespread pluvial event caused flash floods, compounded the impacts of the 97 five-year drought which has already changed the landscape and vegetation distribution sig-98 nificantly. The dramatic swing from severe droughts to devastating pluvials (and floods) as 99 shown above poses a substantial risk for water management practices, especially for reser-100 voir operation, as there exists a trade-off between short-term flood-control and long-term 101 water-storage imperatives to satisfy water demand. In developing regions, the transition 102 from drought to pluvial is arguably more impactful because of the compounding effects 103 on population vulnerability. Although pluvials can sometimes alleviate drought conditions, 104 they can have a significant effect on already impacted and more vulnerable populations if 105 pluvials lead to severe floods [e.g., King-Okumu et al., 2018]. 106

Diagnosing the coincidence of droughts and pluvials in a changing environment [see Lins and Slack, 1999; Sheffield and Wood, 2007; Milly et al., 2008; Giorgi et al., 2011; Collet et al., 2018] is, therefore, important for fully characterizing their impacts on waterrelated sectors and understanding potential adaptation strategies, such as designing more effective reservoir operation rules or agricultural planning. There is growing evidence that recent warming is leading to more extreme events in general [*Peterson et al.*, 2013] and that pluvial and drought episodes may be linked. For example, pluvial conditions are often

-5-

the reason for recovery of drought conditions, such as in the southeast U.S., where tropi-114 cal cyclones play a major role in drought recovery and alleviation [e.g., Kam et al., 2013; 115 Maxwell et al., 2012, 2013]. In the Pacific Northwest U.S., 60-74% of persistent droughts 116 are terminated by atmospheric rivers [Dettinger, 2013], and these pluvial events could help 117 boost hydropower production. Antecedent conditions (i.e., soil moisture and snowpack 118 conditions) can be related to changing flood risk [Sivapalan et al., 2005], which can also 119 drive drought persistence through reductions in recycled precipitation [e.g., Dominguez 120 et al., 2009]. At larger scales, pluvials and droughts are often linked because a shift in 121 circulation drives pluvial conditions in one region while causing drought conditions in a 122 neighboring region. For example, weakening in the East Asian summer monsoon is re-123 sponsible for the spatial drought-pluvial seesaw in China, with the North and Northeast 124 experiencing persistent and severe droughts while the Yangtze River basin in the South 125 undergoes extreme precipitation events [Ding et al., 2008]. Such seesaw oscillations have 126 been observed spatially across the Atlantic Ocean, where pluvial flooding in the Ama-127 zon tends to coincide with Congo droughts and vice versa [Eltahir et al., 2004]. Other 128 examples include the pluvial episode in Texas and drought episode in the southeast U.S. in 129 2006, which were driven by a persistent shift in moisture sources from the Gulf of Mexico 130 [Dong et al., 2011]. At local scales, the transition between droughts and pluvials is re-131 lated to hydrological persistence, which is controlled by land-atmosphere coupling through 132 the complex partitioning of surface fluxes [e.g., Ferguson and Wood, 2011; Roundy et al., 133 2013; Santanello Jr et al., 2017]. For instance, wet/dry soils can trigger convective precipi-134 tation via positive/negative land-atmosphere feedbacks [e.g., Eltahir and Bras, 1996; Taylor 135 et al., 2011, 2012; Guillod et al., 2015]. 136

Nevertheless, studies focused on improving our understanding or even providing 137 basic quantification of transitions between droughts and pluvials (also can be dubbed as 138 "weather whiplash", Loecke et al. [2017]; Swain et al. [2018]) is lacking. The few stud-139 ies that do exist are either event-based [Seager et al., 2012; Parry et al., 2013] or limited 140 to regional-scales [Dong et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2017; Swain et al., 2018] or focusing 141 on future global warming scenarios [Madakumbura et al., 2019]. A global holistic pic-142 ture from the historical perspective is not available, which is due to: (1) lack of reliable 143 datasets with long-term records, as well as a global coverage to derive robust statistical re-144 lationships; and (2) lack of novel and effective statistical models to better characterize the 145 (lagged) coincidence between droughts and pluvials. The former can be solved via the use 146

-6-

of large-scale hydrological modeling, which is now mature enough to provide reasonable estimates of the large-scale terrestrial water cycle. Satellite-gauge combined estimates of precipitation and other meteorological variables are available to drive these models for multiple decades that are needed to provide robust statistics [*He et al.*, 2020]. The latter can be addressed through the recent development of event-based coincidence analysis (ECA, *Donges et al.* [2016]; *Siegmund et al.* [2017]), which accounts for both the instantaneous and lagged response between climatic events, such as droughts and pluvials.

The main objective of this study is to develop a comprehensive understanding of the 154 drought to pluvial transition (or lagged coincidence), globally over the past seven decades. 155 This can help improve hydrological predictability and risk assessment, and therefore make 156 disaster preparedness and risk management more effective. Given that empirical evidence, 157 basic theory (e.g., Clausius-Clapeyron), and climate model projections suggest that plu-158 vial and drought risk are increasing and will continue to do so in the future, we attempt to 159 examine the inter-relationship between droughts and pluvials, including the geographical 160 hotspots of the seesaw between them and whether this is becoming more prevalent. This 161 is the first global study to quantify this, and not only shed light on the underlying mecha-162 nisms of the pluvial-drought cycle but also provide useful information to increase society's 163 resilience to future swings between droughts and pluvials. 164

165

2 Materials and Methods

6

2.1 Drought and Pluvial Identification

We focus on large-scale and long-term drought and pluvial events (equivalent to 167 large-scale and long-term dry and wet spells), as these events usually have larger impacts 168 on water, agriculture, and energy sectors compared to those small scale events, and there-169 fore deserve special attention. We consider two standardized metrics, which allow com-170 parisons over time and space, as proxies of drought and pluvial conditions from both the 171 meteorological and agricultural perspectives. The first one is the Standardized Precipita-172 tion Index over a one-month period (SPI1, McKee et al. [1993]), which is calculated using 173 precipitation from an updated and extended version (V3) of the Princeton Global Forc-174 ings (PGF, Sheffield et al. [2006]; He et al. [2020]), from 1948 to 2016 at 0.25° spatial 175 resolution. Calculation of SPI involves two steps. The first step is to fit a Gamma distribu-176 tion to the monthly precipitation time series at each grid cell, separately for each month of 177

-7-

the year. The second step is to transform the cumulative probability of the fitted Gamma 178 distribution to a standard normal distribution (with mean zero and variance one). For ob-179 served precipitation at a given time scale, SPI is calculated as the number of standard de-180 viations away from the median precipitation with negative and positive values represent-181 ing precipitation deficit and surplus, respectively. We define meteorological drought at a 182 grid cell if the monthly SPI1 is below the threshold of -1.0 [Svoboda et al., 2012]. Simi-183 larly, large-scale pluvials are defined if the SPI1 exceeds 1.0. The other index is the soil 184 moisture percentile proposed by Sheffield et al. [2004], which is derived from a global off-185 line simulation of Variable Infiltration Capacity (VIC) land surface hydrological model 186 [Liang et al., 1994, 1996; Cherkauer et al., 2003] forced by the PGFV3. We average the 187 simulated daily soil moisture from the VIC model to a monthly time scale and calculate 188 the soil moisture percentile at each grid after fitting an empirical distribution separately 189 to each month. Previous versions of VIC simulations have been analyzed in terms of 190 drought by Sheffield and Wood [2007, 2008b] and Sheffield et al. [2009]. The latest version 191 of the simulation analyzed here uses updated soil parameters based on the SoilGrids1km 192 database of soil types and profiles [Hengl et al., 2014], coupled with recently developed 193 pedotransfer functions [Tóth et al., 2015] to estimate model parameters such as saturated 194 conductivity and soil water holding capacities. These are combined with VIC-specific pa-195 rameter values that were previously calibrated to river discharge measurements from a set 196 of global river basins and evaluated against available in situ and remote sensing hydrolog-197 ical measurements, including soil moisture networks, satellite derived snow cover, water 198 storage and evapotranspiration [Sheffield and Wood, 2007; Pan et al., 2012]. We define an 199 area in drought if the monthly soil moisture percentile is below a chosen threshold. The 200 threshold value used to define a deficit is subjective as it depends on the impacted sec-201 tor. As the objective is to examine drought-pluvial concurrently, it is necessary to ensure 202 that both extremes have the same long-term occurrence rate. We therefore use the 16^{th} 203 percentile as the threshold to identify the soil moisture drought, as this has the same cu-204 mulative probability as the SPI1-based drought threshold (SPI1<-1.0 is equivalent to the 205 16th percentile). In a similar manner, pluvial events can be measured by the surplus soil 206 moisture above the 84^{th} percentile. 207

-8-

Ì

2.2 Event Coincidence Analysis

208

We apply a novel yet conceptually simple method, called event coincidence analysis (ECA, *Donges et al.* [2016]; *Siegmund et al.* [2017]), to investigate the statistical interdependency between droughts and pluvials (see Figure 1). ECA can not only quantify the number of simultaneous occurrences of two extreme events (i.e., pluvials and droughts in this study), it also allows the consideration of lagged (through the time lag parameter τ) and time-uncertain (through the window size parameter ΔT) responses between them. In the case of the drought-pluvial seesaw (defined as the transition from drought to pluvial), ECA can calculate how frequently droughts are followed by pluvials with a mutual delay (τ) given a certain temporal window (ΔT) through the calculation of the so-called trigger coincidence rate $r^{\mathbf{D} \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}}$:

$$r^{\mathbf{D} \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}}(\Delta T, \tau) = \frac{1}{N_{\mathbf{D}}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{\mathbf{D}}} \Theta \Big[\sum_{i=1}^{N_{\mathbf{P}}} \mathbf{1}_{[0,\Delta T]}((t_i^{\mathbf{P}} - \tau) - t_j^{\mathbf{D}}) \Big]$$

where Θ is the Heaviside function:

$$\Theta(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & x > 0 \\ 0 & x \le 0 \end{cases},$$

and $\mathbf{1}_{[0,\Delta T]}(\cdot)$ is the indicator function of the selected window $[0,\Delta T]$:

$$\mathbf{1}_{[0,\Delta T]}(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in [0,\Delta T] \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin [0,\Delta T] \end{cases}.$$

 $t_i^{\mathbf{P}}$ and $t_i^{\mathbf{D}}$ represent the pluvial and drought timing with total number of events $N_{\mathbf{P}}$ and 209 $N_{\rm D}$, respectively. Here, we chose $\tau = 3$, as this represents a typical (i.e., seasonal) scale 210 at which the large-scale hydrological conditions veer from deficit to surplus, which is crit-211 ical for long-term water resources management, for example. To further quantify the ro-212 bustness of the statistical interrelationship between droughts and pluvials, we conduct an 213 analytical significance test based on the assumption of a Poisson process with the null 214 hypothesis that the lagged coincidence between droughts and pluvials is randomly dis-215 tributed (see details in Text S1). The Poisson process-based significance test is applied to 216 each land pixel (at 0.25° spatial resolution) using monthly time series of drought and plu-217 vial indices (see Section 2.1) extracted from that pixel. We calculate the significance level 218 (*p*-value) for each pixel to assess whether the estimated seesaw occurrence rate is statisti-219 cally significant or not. We also perform a comprehensive sensitivity analysis (see details 220 in Section 4) to examine how the absolute value of the drought-pluvial seesaw frequency 221

varies with different choices of drought/pluvial metrics (whether it is precipitation-based

or soil moisture-based) and the setting of ECA (e.g., window size and time lag parame-

224 ters).



Figure 1. Schematic of the large-scale drought-pluvial seesaw based on the event coincidence analysis given the time lag (τ) between the drought occurrence timing ($t_j^{\mathbf{D}}$) and pluvial occurrence timing ($t_i^{\mathbf{P}}$) within a certain window (ΔT). Pluvial/drought events are detected when the corresponding pluvial/drought index (i.e., SPI or soil moisture percentile) exceeds/falls below the predefined threshold.

229 3 Results

230

3.1 Climatology of Drought-Pluvial Seesaw Frequency

At the global scale, we estimate an averaged seasonal drought-pluvial lagged coin-231 cidence frequency of 11.1% and 11.4% for the boreal spring-summer (April-May-June-232 July-August-September, AMJJAS) and boreal fall-winter (October-November-December-233 January-February-March, ONDJFM), respectively, during the 1950-2016 period (Figure 2, 234 A and B). In other words, about 11% of droughts are followed by pluvials with a three-235 month lag after drought onset for the past seven decades. These frequencies are less than 236 (or in specific locations, not equal to) 16%, potentially due to the effects of temporal au-237 tocorrelation. The majority (52.1% for AMJJAS and 55.6% for ONDJFM) of the global 238 land surface (excluding Greenland, Antarctic and desert regions with annual rainfall less 239 than 100 mm) has coincidence rates between 10% and 20%. 12.9/11.6% of the total land 240 surface area has a coincidence rate less than 5% during AMJJAS/ONDJFM, which mainly 241

occurred over Africa. There is a clear shift in these low frequency patterns over South-242 ern Africa during AMJJAS and over the northern Central Africa (i.e., the transition re-243 gion between deserts and tropical rainforests) during ONDJFM, which is potentially due 244 to the seasonal movement of the Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ). The climatol-245 ogy of seasonal drought-pluvial seesaw frequency larger than 30% is virtually non-existent 246 (0.27/0.15% for AMJJAS/ONDJFM). Furthermore, only 5.9% (of the global land surface) 247 of the estimated coincidence rate is locally statistically significant (with the degree of be-248 lief \geq 90%) during AMJJAS, with spatially organized patterns most prominent outside of 249 the tropics, including western territories of Canada, western coast and central part of the 250 U.S., southeastern Brazil, northwestern Central Africa (CAF), central Democratic Repub-251 lic of the Congo, the border between Kenya and Somalia, central and northeastern China, 252 central and eastern Australia, and western Siberia (Figure 2C). There is a slight increase in 253 the percentage of locally statistically significant area ($\sim 7.6\%$) during ONDJFM with robust 254 drought-pluvial seesaw patterns over Alaska, western Canada, northwestern and central 255 U.S., central and southern Brazil, western Russia, eastern Europe, southern Central Africa, 256 Botswana, Iran, western and southern China (Figure 2D). 257

Our findings echo the observed evidence of drought-pluvial seesaw documented 266 in previous studies. For instance, over Europe, long-term tree ring data has shown an 267 increased volatility (i.e., more rapid shifting) between wet and dry extremes since the 268 1960s, which is mainly related to the increased fluctuation of the North Atlantic jet stream 269 [Trouet et al., 2018]. The seesaw hotspots detected over the Horn of Africa during AMJ-270 JAS (Figure 2C) are related to abrupt transitions in summer rainfall, which are caused by 271 frequent summer monsoon jumps coincident with abrupt circulation changes of the Somali 272 jet [Riddle and Cook, 2008]. Over the northern and southern part of the U.S. Great Plains, 273 Christian et al. [2015] find that there is about 25% chance that a significant drought year 274 is followed by a significant pluvial year, which is similar to our estimated coincidence 275 rate, although their estimates are at annual time scale. The seasonal difference in the sta-276 tistically significant clusters over Africa is likely due to the movement of the ITCZ. The 277 scattered patterns found in the western U.S. could be related to the occurrence of atmo-278 spheric rivers, which are often associated with drought recovery [Dettinger, 2013], whereas 279 280 over southern China, the eastern summer monsoon could contribute to the drought-pluvial seesaw [Ding, 1992; Lau and Yang, 1997; Wu et al., 2006]. The robust statistical interde-281 pendency between droughts and pluvials over the southwestern and central U.S., Australia 282

-11-



Figure 2. Frequency of drought-pluvial seesaw for the period 1950-2016. Maps show the lagged trigger 258 coincidence rate, indicating how frequent droughts are followed by pluvials with a 3-month lag for the boreal 259 spring-summer (AMJJAS) (A) and boreal fall-winter (ONDJFM) (B), and whether the rates are locally sta-260 tistically significant based on different levels (90, 95, 99 and 99.9 percent) of significance (C and D). (E) The 261 10 sub-continental regions (with acronyms for brevity) used to summarize the regional statistics, covering the 262 global land surface excluding Greenland, Antarctica and extremely dry regions with annual rainfall less than 263 100 mm (E). Ridgeline plots (F) showing the grid cell distributions of locally significant coincidence rates 264 during AMJJAS and ONDJFM for each sub-region with its mean and coefficient of variation (CV). 265

and southern Amazon is in line with previous studies [e.g., *Fu*, 2015]. Particularly over the southern Amazon, there has been increased evidence of lengthening dryness, accompa-

-12-

©2020 American Geophysical Union. All rights reserved.

nying more frequent wet seasons [e.g., *Debortoli et al.*, 2015; *Agudelo et al.*, 2019], which result in more frequent seesaw events because of the increased intra-annual variability of the monsoon systems. Although the exact cause is still not clear, previous studies suggest that there could be multifaced mechanisms responsible for this, either due to recently intensified large-scale Walker and Hadley circulation patterns [e.g., *Badger and Dirmeyer*, 2016; *Agudelo et al.*, 2019] or because of reduced local-scale moisture recycling due to deforestation-induced land cover changes [e.g., *Fu and Li*, 2004; *Yin et al.*, 2014].

To verify the robustness of the estimated seesaw frequency at different spatially ag-292 gregated levels (e.g., country, sub-continent), we conduct field significance tests follow-293 ing the false discovery rate (FDR) approach [Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995] to account for the potential multiplicity issue [Wilks, 2006, 2016; Ferguson and Mocko, 2017]. We 295 find that field significant see-saw frequency cannot be detected at most sub-continents, 296 although isolated hot spots still emerge at the local scale within each region. The only 297 exception is found over NNA, where 10% of the locally significant (p < 0.1) pixels are 298 also field significant (at the p < 0.1 global field significant level) during ONDJFM. How-299 ever, at the country level, we find that a small percentage of total pixels within the country 300 start to pass field significance tests at p < 0.1 global field significant level, for instance, 301 over the Democratic Republic of Congo, Kenya and Myanmar during AMJJAS, and over 302 Canada, Brazil, Democratic Republic of Congo, Botswana, Iran and China during OND-303 JFM. These results reiterate previous findings that field significance tests can be influenced 304 by the spatial inhomogeneities due to the geographic configuration (e.g., domain size and boundary) [Libertino et al., 2019]. We further compare the differences for the two periods 306 (Figure 2F) for the 10 sub-continent regions (Figure 2E). The spatial distribution reveals 307 that the AMJJAS seesaw generally has higher mean values than the ONDJFM seesaw for 308 most regions (except for SAF, OCE, and SSA), and higher spatial variability (based on 309 the CV) except OCE. For SAF and SNA, there is a clear shift of the distribution, which is 310 also manifested in the spatial pattern (Figure 2A and B) as the rainfall band moves, from 311 summer to winter. 312

313

3.2 Epochal Changes in Drought, Pluvial and Seesaw Frequencies

We next calculate the frequency ratios of drought, pluvial and drought-pluvial seesaw during AMJJAS (Figure 3 and 4) and ONDJFM (Figure S1 and S2 in the supplementary information) to reflect any long-term hydrological changes. The frequency ratio is

-13-

defined as the ratio of the event frequency in the last 30 years (1987-2016) to that in the 317 first 30-year period (1950-1979). Globally, the changing frequency for droughts (Figure 318 3A and S1A) and pluvials (Figure 3B and S1B) is more organized and spatially coher-319 ent compared to that for drought-pluvial seesaw (Figure 3C and S1C). During AMJJAS, a 320 prominent spatial cluster with increased drought frequency is found over southwestern and 321 southeastern U.S., Colombia, Brazil, western Europe, majority of Africa, India, western 322 Russia, northeast China and eastern Australia (up to five times more frequent for particular 323 pixels). The percentage area with increased drought frequency decreases slightly during 324 ONDJFM compared to AMJJAS, but in general, the area of increased drought frequency 325 is still larger than that of decreased frequency for both AMJJAS and ONDJFM (Figure 3A 326 and S1A). These spatial hotspots are consistent with previous drought exposure [Dilley 327 et al., 2005] and frequency analysis based on long-term historical records of precipitation 328 [e.g., Dai, 2013; Spinoni et al., 2014], Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI) [e.g., Dai, 329 2013] and modeled soil moisture [e.g., Sheffield and Wood, 2008b]. Among the 10 sub-330 continental regions, the probability that droughts become more frequent during AMJJAS 331 in recent decades (Figure 4A) is evidenced for more than half of the NAS (58.4%), CAF 332 (58.6%), and SAS (52.6%). The increased drought frequency is even more widespread 333 over SAF (66.4%), although the percentage area decreases slightly during ONDJFM (Fig-334 ure S2A). Over NAS, 10.7/11.1% of the total land surface area even exhibits frequency 335 ratios of > 3 during AMJJAS/ONDJFM. 336

Different from droughts, regions experiencing increased pluvial frequency during 337 AMJJAS in recent decades arise over a large spatial extent of central and eastern U.S., 338 northwestern Amazon (AMZ), southern South America (SSA), Europe, Russia, and the 339 western part of Southern Asia (SAS), especially over the Tibetan region (Figure 3B). Sim-340 ilar spatial patterns are found over most of these regions during ONDJFM, with increased 341 pluvial frequency more pronounced over Europe, western Russia, the Sahel and western 342 Australia. We also observe that for regions with increased pluvial frequency, the magni-343 tude of frequency ratios is generally smaller than that for droughts, indicating that pluvials 344 occur less frequently than droughts in recent decades, which is also consistent with the re-345 duced spread of the regional distribution of pluvial frequency ratios (Figure 4B and S2B). 346 In other words, regions with increased pluvial frequency have less spatial variability than 347 that for droughts. Similar findings have been reported by previous global [van der Schrier 348 et al., 2013] and regional studies focusing on the U.S. [Kangas and Brown, 2007], Amazon 349

-14-

[Marengo and Espinoza, 2016], India [Singh and Ranade, 2010], and Europe [Zolina et al.,
2013], albeit with different observational records and metrics. Regional statistics (Figure
4B) show that recent decades have experienced an increased probability of pluvials during AMJJAS for nearly two-thirds of SNA (66.6%), more than half of NAS (52.6%), EUR
(62.2%), SSA (61.1%) and NNA (59.6%). During ONDJFM, the percentage area with
increased pluvial frequency increases substantially over SAF (15.7%) and OCE (48.9%)
compared to AMJJAS (4.1% and 16.7%, respectively).

Compared with droughts and pluvials, we find less organized spatial structures for 357 the increased seesaw frequency but with much higher ratios (Figure 3C and S1C), sug-358 gesting that the seasonal seesaw from droughts to pluvials has become more frequent in 359 the recent three decades than either droughts or pluvials alone, albeit the small percent-360 age coverage. The tendency toward more frequent seesaw is more apparent during AMJ-361 JAS (Figure 3C) than ONDJFM (Figure S1C), especially over the sub-tropics and mid-362 latitudes, which is also revealed from the left-skewed regional distributions (Figure 4C and 363 S2C) with longer tails. We note an increased seesaw frequency during AMJJAS for more 364 than half of the NAS (51.8%), ERU (50.1%), and NNA (54.0%). The elevated seesaw 365 frequency during the recent period is particularly high with a threefold increase for more 366 than 10% coverage of NAS, EUR and NNA for both periods. During ONDJFM, nearly 367 one-fifth of the total data points in NNA (17.3%) exhibit ratios of > 3 (Figure S2C), 368 which are mainly concentrated over the central U.S. (Figure S1C). 369

3.3 Regional Multi-Decadal Variability of Drought, Pluvial and Seesaw Frequencies

376

377

Results in the previous section only consider the two end members of the whole 378 study period. As a complement to the spatial patterns, in this section, we quantify the 379 temporal dynamics using a 30-year moving window (1950-1979 through 1987-2016) to 380 capture the multi-decadal variability. We estimate regional trends based on the non-parametric, 381 pre-whitening Mann-Kendall test [Yue et al., 2002], which is robust and can effectively re-382 duce the influence of autocorrelation. Regional trend tests for AMJJAS (Figure 5) and 383 ONDJFM (Figure S3) suggest that overall there is little change in the seesaw frequency 384 with a few exceptions mostly over NAS, SAS, SAF and OCE. The shading spanning the 385 25 and 75 percentiles of the regional event frequency indicates that seesaws have the largest 386 spatial variability especially over tropical and Southern hemisphere regions (e.g., CAF, 387



AMZ, SSA, SAF), followed by droughts, and pluvial frequency has the least spatial variability. Comparison across different regions reveals that SNA and EUR generally have the

-16-

©2020 American Geophysical Union. All rights reserved.



Figure 4. Ridgeline plots showing the grid cell distributions of frequency ratios for drought (A), pluvial
(B), and seesaw (C) events over the 10 sub-regions.

- highest seesaw frequency, whereas Africa has the lowest seesaw frequency (SAF during
 AMJJAS and CAF during ONDJFM). A few regions (e.g., AMZ, SSA, SAF) show an opposite trend before and after the 1970s, which might be related to the shift in the warm
 phase of the El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) and the coincidence with increased
 global mean temperature [*Dai et al.*, 1998].
- We find that the changing variability of the seesaw behavior is more complex than 395 the changing variability for each individual type of event. The potential that more/less see-396 saw behavior will accompany increased/decreased drought and (or) pluvial frequency typi-397 cally does not hold. For instance, during AMJJAS over the AMZ, even though we observe 398 robust declining trends for both drought (-0.02% yr⁻¹, p < 0.01) and pluvial frequency 399 (-0.01% yr⁻¹, p < 0.01), but because the magnitude is small, no robust trend is identified 400 for the seesaw frequency (Figure 5). Similar declining trends in drought and pluvial fre-401 quencies are also found over OCE. But with a higher magnitude, this could translate to a 402 decreasing trend of seesaw occurrence. In contrast, albeit that no robust trends are found 403 for either droughts or pluvials over SAS during AMJJAS and SNA during ONDJFM, in-404 creasing trends of seesaw frequency are detected for both regions, although with different 405 degrees of significance (p < 0.01 for SAS and p < 0.1 for SNA). In another case, only 406 one end of the hydroclimate spectrum (i.e., either pluvial or drought, but not both) experi-407

-17-

408	ences a robust trend, but the trend in seesaw is still statistically significant. This happens
409	over SSA during AMJJAS, where robust increasing trends are only detected for pluvials
410	$(0.06\% \text{ yr}^{-1}, p < 0.1)$ and seesaw $(0.04\% \text{ yr}^{-1}, p < 0.05)$. A similar trait is shared by
411	SAF during AMJJAS, but with robust declining trends for both events. This also happens
412	in Asia (NAS and SAS) during ONDJFM, where a robust trend of seesaw frequency is
413	accompanied by a robust trend of pluvial frequency, but is essentially zero over NAS for
414	both pluvial (-0.001% yr ⁻¹ , $p < 0.05$) and seesaw (-0.003% yr ⁻¹ , $p < 0.05$). In con-
415	trast, the robust and substantial changing trends of seesaw frequency over AMZ (-0.10 $\%$
416	yr ⁻¹ , $p < 0.01$) and OCE (0.13% yr ⁻¹ , $p < 0.01$) during ONDJFM are concomitant with
417	the robust trend of drought frequency. Only few regions experience robust trends for all
418	three types of events. This includes NAS and OCE during AMJJAS, with the former hav-
419	ing more pronounced increases in drought occurrence (0.11% yr ⁻¹ , $p < 0.01$), whereas
420	the latter has more pronounced decreases in pluvial occurrence (-0.08% yr ⁻¹ , $p < 0.01$)
421	compared to the other two events. During ONDJFM, we observe a positive trend of see-
422	saw occurrence (0.06% yr ⁻¹ , $p < 0.01$) over EUR, which coincides with the negative trend
423	of drought occurrence (-0.11% yr ⁻¹ , $p < 0.01$) and positive trend of pluvial occurrence
424	(0.08% yr ⁻¹ , $p < 0.01$). There has been a decreasing trend of the seesaw from droughts
425	to pluvials over SAF (-0.04% yr ⁻¹ , $p < 0.05$), mainly due to the negative trend of pluvial
426	occurrence (-0.12% yr ⁻¹ , $p < 0.01$), albeit with increased occurrence of droughts towards
427	the more recent period (0.03% yr ⁻¹ , $p < 0.01$).

-18-

Acce



averaged frequencies for the 10 sub-continents. Upward/Downward arrow in each panel indicates that there is
 a statistically significant increasing/decreasing trend based on different levels of significance (represented by
 different numbers of stars).



©2020 American Geophysical Union. All rights reserved.

435 **4 Discussion and Conclusions**

Droughts and pluvials have been widely studied, yet their interrelationship (the tran-436 sition from one type to the other) has not been systematically examined, especially at the 437 global scale from the historical perspective. Using event coincidence analysis we find that 438 globally, about 5.9% and 7.6% of the land surface has experienced statistically signifi-439 cant (p < 0.10) drought-pluvial seesaw during the boreal spring-summer (AMJJAS) and 440 fall-winter (ONDJFM), with an averaged 11.1% and 11.4% of all droughts being followed 441 by pluvials in the next season, respectively. Although the overall percentage area of see-442 saw occurrence is small, we identify regional hotspots, mainly in the mid-latitude regions, 443 which have experienced an increase in the frequency of droughts, pluvials and drought-444 pluvial seesaw in the historical period. 445

It should be noted that the estimated probability of lagged concurrent droughts and 446 pluvials depends on the settings of the proposed framework, including the definition of 447 drought and pluvial events related to the threshold (e.g., high vs low) and choice of met-448 rics (e.g., whether they are precipitation-based or soil moisture-based), the pre-defined 449 time lag (which determines the rapidness of event transition) and the selected temporal 450 window size (which characterizes the uncertain timing of event occurrence). Researchers 451 should therefore tailor the proposed framework to a specific sector and impact related set-452 ting. From the disaster management point of view, the time lag parameter τ indicates how 453 fast societies can respond to and prepare for the rapid transition from droughts to pluvials, 454 whereas the coincidence interval ΔT can be related to models' forecast skill, for instance, 455 the uncertain onset of extreme events. Sensitivity analysis (Figure S4, S5, S6) reveals that 456 regional averaged drought-pluvial coincidence rate is more sensitive to the uncertainty of 457 event timing (as represented by ΔT) compared to the delay between events (as represented 458 by τ). This highlights the importance of reducing uncertainties in the predicted onset of 459 extremes, which is still challenging especially at seasonal and even longer timescales [Hao 460 et al., 2018]. In fact, the increased coincidence rates with larger window size is not sur-461 prising, as a larger window tends to cover more events, which inevitably increases the 462 lagged concurrency of droughts and pluvials. Using precipitation-based indices for both 463 droughts and pluvials identification (Figure S5) yields similar results compared to the 464 combination of soil moisture-based droughts and precipitation-based pluvials (Figure S4). 465 However, there is a significant decrease in the magnitude of regional coincidence rate but 466 amplified regional differences, if droughts and pluvials are both identified using soil mois-467

-20-

ture percentile (Figure S6). These sensitivity results highlight the complicated dynamics
 that can introduce a disconnect between precipitation-based and land surface water-based
 representations of dryness and wetness via the propagation through the hydrological cycle.

Explaining these patterns from a physical standpoint is difficult, given that the mech-471 anisms for individual types of events are complex, let alone the intertwined relationship 472 between the two. An understanding of the drought-pluvial seesaw is therefore difficult to 473 identify, especially at the global scale; the transition from drought to pluvial is likely case 474 dependent, and influenced not only by climate variability but potentially also by climate 475 change, and therefore difficult to disentangle. Nevertheless, a critical question is whether 476 the identified historic changes in drought-pluvial seesaw frequency in the regional hotspots 477 are due to climate change and therefore a sign of potential further changes in the future. 478 Numerous studies have demonstrated that with a warming climate, drought risk/frequency 479 could be elevated due to increased evapotranspiration induced by increased temperature 480 [e.g., Sheffield and Wood, 2008a; Zhan et al., 2020]. At the same time, the probability of 481 extreme rainfall events is expected to increase, as the atmosphere can hold more moisture 482 from the increased evapotranspiration, which can contribute to increased pluvial risk [e.g., 483 Zhan et al., 2020]. On top of these overall trends, warming-induced changes in global cli-484 mate variability, such as El Niño/La Niña [e.g., Yu et al., 2017; Fasullo et al., 2018], or 485 Artic sea ice [e.g., Francis et al., 2017; Coumou et al., 2018] can bring more year-to-year 486 variability or persistence in weather patterns, substantially influencing regional precipi-487 tation and temperature anomalies. Direct human interventions could further exacerbate drought risk (due to increased human water consumption through irrigation and groundwa-489 ter pumping, Wada et al. [2013]; He et al. [2017]) and pluvial-induced flood risk (due to 490 land use changes including urbanization [e.g., Yang et al., 2013] and agricultural practices 491 [e.g., Villarini and Strong, 2014], as well as levee and dam construction as demonstrated 492 by Munoz et al. [2018] at the local scale). Therefore, it remains to be seen to what extent 493 future seesaw frequency will respond to anthropogenic forcing, internal atmospheric pro-494 cesses as well as human interventions. 495

Droughts, pluvials and their rapid transitions are inevitable, but fatalities, infrastructure failure and economic losses are not. The regional hotspots we identified, such as in Africa, generally have high vulnerability to pluvials and droughts, which can be exacerbated when there is a rapid transition between events, with an already impacted population being even more vulnerable to a subsequent hazard. The framework developed in this

-21-

- study could therefore be of practical value to inform policy-makers and local stakehold-
- ⁵⁰² ers on the potential risks and therefore more effective water and agricultural management
- ⁵⁰³ policies and robust mitigation plans.

-22-

- 504 Acknowledgements
- ⁵⁰⁵ This material was based upon work supported by NOAA grant NA14OAR4310218 and the
- ⁵⁰⁶ Princeton Environmental Institute at Princeton University through the Mary and Randall
- Hack '69 Research Fund. The latest Princeton Global Forcing (PGF) datasets, VIC land
- ⁵⁰⁸ surface model simulations and derived drought indices are available at:
- ⁵⁰⁹ http://hydrology.princeton.edu/data/hexg/GDFC/. Details can be found in *He*
- ⁵¹⁰ *et al.* [2020].

Ì

-23-

511	References	
511	References	

- Agudelo, J., P. A. Arias, S. C. Vieira, and J. A. Martínez (2019), Influence of longer dry seasons in the Southern Amazon on patterns of water vapor transport over northern
- ⁵¹⁴ South America and the Caribbean, *Climate Dynamics*, 52, 2647–2665.
- ⁵¹⁵ Badger, A. M., and P. A. Dirmeyer (2016), Remote tropical and sub-tropical responses to ⁵¹⁶ Amazon deforestation, *Climate Dynamics*, *46*, 3057–3066.
- Benjamini, Y., and Y. Hochberg (1995), Controlling the false discovery rate: A practical and powerful approach to multiple testing, *Journal of the royal statistical society. Series B (Methodological)*, pp. 289–300.
- ⁵²⁰ Cherkauer, K. A., L. C. Bowling, and D. P. Lettenmaier (2003), Variable infiltration ca ⁵²¹ pacity cold land process model updates, *Global and Planetary Change*, *38*(1-2), 151–
 ⁵²² 159.
- ⁵²³ Christian, J., K. Christian, and J. B. Basara (2015), Drought and pluvial dipole events
 ⁵²⁴ within the great plains of the United States, *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Cli-* ⁵²⁵ *matology*, 54(9), 1886–1898.
- ⁵²⁶ Collet, L., S. Harrigan, C. Prudhomme, G. Formetta, and L. Beevers (2018), Future hot ⁵²⁷ spots for hydro-hazards in Great Britain: A probabilistic assessment, *Hydrology and* ⁵²⁸ *Earth System Sciences*, 22(10), 5387–5401.
- ⁵²⁹ Coumou, D., G. Di Capua, S. Vavrus, L. Wang, and S. Wang (2018), The influence of
 ⁵³⁰ Arctic amplification on mid-latitude summer circulation, *Nature communications*, 9(1),
 ⁵³¹ 2959.
- ⁵³² Dai, A. (2013), Increasing drought under global warming in observations and models, *Na-*⁵³³ *ture Climate Change*, *3*(1), 52–58.
- ⁵³⁴ Dai, A., K. E. Trenberth, and T. R. Karl (1998), Global variations in droughts and wet ⁵³⁵ spells: 1900–1995, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 25(17), 3367–3370.
- ⁵³⁶ Debortoli, N. S., V. Dubreuil, B. Funatsu, F. Delahaye, C. H. De Oliveira, S. Rodrigues-⁵³⁷ Filho, C. H. Saito, and R. Fetter (2015), Rainfall patterns in the Southern Amazon: A
- chronological perspective (1971–2010), *Climatic Change*, *132*(2), 251–264.
- ⁵³⁹ Dettinger, M. D. (2013), Atmospheric rivers as drought busters on the US West Coast,
- ⁵⁴⁰ *Journal of Hydrometeorology*, *14*(6), 1721–1732.
- ⁵⁴¹ Diffenbaugh, N. S., D. L. Swain, and D. Touma (2015), Anthropogenic warming has in-⁵⁴² creased drought risk in California, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*,

-24-

⁵⁴³ *112*(13), 3931–3936.

- ⁵⁴⁴ Dilley, M., R. S. Chen, U. Deichmann, A. L. Lerner-Lam, and M. Arnold (2005), *Natural* ⁵⁴⁵ *disaster hotspots: A global risk analysis*, The World Bank.
- ⁵⁴⁶ Ding, Y. (1992), Summer monsoon rainfalls in China, *Journal of the Meteorological Soci-*⁵⁴⁷ *ety of Japan. Ser. II*, *70*(1B), 373–396.
- ⁵⁴⁸ Ding, Y., Z. Wang, and Y. Sun (2008), Inter-decadal variation of the summer precipita-⁵⁴⁹ tion in East China and its association with decreasing Asian summer monsoon. Part I:
- ⁵⁵⁰ Observed evidences, *International Journal of Climatology*, 28(9), 1139–1161.
- ⁵⁵¹ Dominguez, F., J. C. Villegas, and D. D. Breshears (2009), Spatial extent of the North ⁵⁵² American Monsoon: Increased cross-regional linkages via atmospheric pathways, *Geo-*⁵⁵³ *physical Research Letters*, *36*(7).
- ⁵⁵⁴ Dong, X., B. Xi, A. Kennedy, Z. Feng, J. K. Entin, P. R. Houser, R. A. Schiffer,
- 555 T. L'Ecuyer, W. S. Olson, K.-l. Hsu, et al. (2011), Investigation of the 2006 drought
- and 2007 flood extremes at the Southern Great Plains through an integrative analysis of
 observations, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, *116*(D3).
- ⁵⁵⁸ Donges, J., C.-F. Schleussner, J. Siegmund, and R. Donner (2016), Event coincidence ⁵⁵⁹ analysis for quantifying statistical interrelationships between event time series, *The Eu-*⁵⁶⁰ *ropean Physical Journal Special Topics*, 225(3), 471–487.
- ⁵⁶¹ Duffy, P. B., P. Brando, G. P. Asner, and C. B. Field (2015), Projections of future meteo-⁵⁶² rological drought and wet periods in the Amazon, *Proceedings of the National Academy* ⁵⁶³ *of Sciences*, *112*(43), 13,172–13,177.
- Eltahir, E. A., and R. L. Bras (1996), Precipitation recycling, *Reviews of Geophysics*, 34(3), 367–378.
- Eltahir, E. A., B. Loux, T. K. Yamana, and A. Bomblies (2004), A see-saw oscillation
- ⁵⁶⁷ between the Amazon and Congo basins, *Geophysical Research Letters*, *31*(23).
- EM-DAT (2018), The International Disaster Database, https://www.emdat.be/, accessed:
 2018-10-20.
- Fasullo, J., B. Otto-Bliesner, and S. Stevenson (2018), ENSO's changing influence on tem-
- perature, precipitation, and wildfire in a warming climate, *Geophysical Research Letters*,
 45, 9216–9225.
- Ferguson, C. R., and D. M. Mocko (2017), Diagnosing an artificial trend in NLDAS-2 afternoon precipitation, *Journal of Hydrometeorology*, *18*(4), 1051–1070.

-25-

Ferguson, C. R., and E. F. Wood (2011), Observed land-atmosphere coupling from satel-575 lite remote sensing and reanalysis, Journal of Hydrometeorology, 12(6), 1221–1254. 576 Field, C. B. (2012), Managing the risks of extreme events and disasters to advance climate 577 change adaptation: Special report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change, 578 Cambridge University Press. 579 Fischer, E. M., U. Beyerle, and R. Knutti (2013), Robust spatially aggregated projections 580 of climate extremes, Nature Climate Change, 3(12), 1033-1038. 581 Francis, J. A., S. J. Vavrus, and J. Cohen (2017), Amplified Arctic warming and mid-582 latitude weather: New perspectives on emerging connections, Wiley Interdisciplinary 583 Reviews: Climate Change, 8(5), e474. 584 Fu, R. (2015), Global warming-accelerated drying in the tropics, Proceedings of the Na-585 tional Academy of Sciences, 112(12), 3593–3594. 586 Fu, R., and W. Li (2004), The influence of the land surface on the transition from dry to 587 wet season in Amazonia, Theoretical and Applied Climatology, 78(1-3), 97–110. 588 Giorgi, F., E.-S. Im, E. Coppola, N. Diffenbaugh, X. Gao, L. Mariotti, and Y. Shi (2011), 589 Higher hydroclimatic intensity with global warming, Journal of Climate, 24(20), 5309-590 5324. 591 Gleick, P. H. (1993), Water in crisis: A guide to the worlds fresh water resources, Oxford 592 University Press, New York. 593 Greve, P., B. Orlowsky, B. Mueller, J. Sheffield, M. Reichstein, and S. I. Seneviratne 594 (2014), Global assessment of trends in wetting and drying over land, Nature Geoscience, 7(10), 716-721. 596 Guillod, B. P., B. Orlowsky, D. G. Miralles, A. J. Teuling, and S. I. Seneviratne (2015), 597 Reconciling spatial and temporal soil moisture effects on afternoon rainfall, Nature com-598 munications, 6, 6443. 599 Hao, Z., V. P. Singh, and Y. Xia (2018), Seasonal drought prediction: Advances, chal-600 lenges, and future prospects, Reviews of Geophysics, 56(1), 108-141. 601 He, X., Y. Wada, N. Wanders, and J. Sheffield (2017), Intensification of hydrological 602 drought in California by human water management, Geophysical Research Letters, 44(4), 603 1777-1785, doi:10.1002/2016GL071665. 604 He, X., L. Estes, M. Konar, D. Tian, D. Anghileri, K. Baylis, T. P. Evans, and J. Sheffield 605 (2019), Integrated approaches to understanding and reducing drought impact on food 606 security across scales, Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability, 40, 43-54. 607

-26-

©2020 American Geophysical Union. All rights reserved.

- He, X., M. Pan, Z. Wei, E. F. Wood, and J. Sheffield (2020), A global drought and flood
 catalogue from 1950 to 2016, *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, doi:10.
 1175/BAMS-D-18-0269.1.
- Hengl, T., J. M. de Jesus, R. A. MacMillan, N. H. Batjes, G. B. Heuvelink, E. Ribeiro,
 A. Samuel-Rosa, B. Kempen, J. G. Leenaars, M. G. Walsh, et al. (2014), SoilGrids1km
 global soil information based on automated mapping, *PLoS One*, 9(8), e105,992.
- Howitt, R., J. Medellín-Azuara, D. MacEwan, J. Lund, and D. Sumner (2014), Economic
 analysis of the 2014 drought for California agriculture, *Center for Watershed Sciences*,
 University of California, Davis.
- Kam, J., J. Sheffield, X. Yuan, and E. F. Wood (2013), The influence of Atlantic tropical
 cyclones on drought over the eastern United States (1980–2007), *Journal of Climate*,
 26(10), 3067–3086.
- Kangas, R. S., and T. J. Brown (2007), Characteristics of US drought and pluvials from
 a high-resolution spatial dataset, *International Journal of Climatology: A Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 27(10), 1303–1325.
- King-Okumu, C., B. Jillo, J. Kinyanjui, and I. Jarso (2018), Devolving water governance
 in the Kenyan Arid Lands: From top-down drought and flood emergency response to
 locally driven water resource development planning, *International Journal of Water Re- sources Development*, 34(4), 675–697.
- Lau, K., and S. Yang (1997), Climatology and interannual variability of the Southeast Asian summer monsoon, *Advances in Atmospheric Sciences*, *14*(2), 141–162.
- Lehmann, J., D. Coumou, and K. Frieler (2015), Increased record-breaking precipitation events under global warming, *Climatic Change*, *132*(4), 501–515.
- Lehmann, J., F. Mempel, and D. Coumou (2018), Increased occurrence of record-wet and
- record-dry months reflect changes in mean rainfall, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 45,
 doi:10.1029/2018GL079439.
- Liang, X., D. P. Lettenmaier, E. F. Wood, and S. J. Burges (1994), A simple hydrologically based model of land surface water and energy fluxes for general circulation models, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, *99*(D7), 14,415–14,428.
- Liang, X., E. F. Wood, and D. P. Lettenmaier (1996), Surface soil moisture parameterization of the VIC-2L model: Evaluation and modification, *Global and Planetary Change*, *13*(1-4), 195–206.

-27-

- Libertino, A., D. Ganora, and P. Claps (2019), Evidence for increasing rainfall extremes
 remains elusive at large spatial scales: The case of Italy, *Geophysical Research Letters*,
 46, 1–10.
- ⁶⁴³ Lins, H. F., and J. R. Slack (1999), Streamflow trends in the United States, *Geophysical* ⁶⁴⁴ *research letters*, 26(2), 227–230.
- Liu, C., and R. P. Allan (2013), Observed and simulated precipitation responses in wet and dry regions 1850–2100, *Environmental Research Letters*, 8(3), 034,002.
- Loecke, T. D., A. J. Burgin, D. A. Riveros-Iregui, A. S. Ward, S. A. Thomas, C. A. Davis,
- and M. A. S. Clair (2017), Weather whiplash in agricultural regions drives deterioration of water quality, *Biogeochemistry*, *133*(1), 7–15.
- Madakumbura, G. D., H. Kim, N. Utsumi, H. Shiogama, E. M. Fischer, Ø. Seland, J. F.
 Scinocca, D. M. Mitchell, Y. Hirabayashi, and T. Oki (2019), Event-to-event intensification of the hydrologic cycle from 1.5 °C to a 2 °C warmer world, *Scientific Reports*, 9(1), 3483.
- Marengo, J. A., and J. Espinoza (2016), Extreme seasonal droughts and floods in Ama zonia: Causes, trends and impacts, *International Journal of Climatology*, *36*(3), 1033–
 1050.
- Martin, E. (2018), Future projections of global pluvial and drought event characteristics,
 Geophysical Research Letters, 45(21), 11–913.
- Maxwell, J. T., P. T. Soulé, J. T. Ortegren, and P. A. Knapp (2012), Drought-busting trop ical cyclones in the southeastern Atlantic United States: 1950–2008, *Annals of the Asso- ciation of American Geographers*, 102(2), 259–275.
- Maxwell, J. T., J. T. Ortegren, P. A. Knapp, and P. T. Soulé (2013), Tropical cyclones and drought amelioration in the Gulf and southeastern coastal United States, *Journal of Climate*, 26(21), 8440–8452.
- McKee, T. B., N. J. Doesken, J. Kleist, et al. (1993), The relationship of drought fre quency and duration to time scales, in *Proceedings of the 8th Conference on Applied Climatology*, vol. 17, pp. 179–183, American Meteorological Society Boston, MA.
- Milly, P., J. Betancourt, M. Falkenmark, R. M. Hirsch, Z. W. Kundzewicz, D. P. Letten-
- maier, and R. J. Stouffer (2008), Stationarity is dead: Whither water management?, *Science*, *319*(5863), 573–574.
- Munoz, S. E., L. Giosan, M. D. Therrell, J. W. Remo, Z. Shen, R. M. Sullivan,

C. Wiman, M. O'Donnell, and J. P. Donnelly (2018), Climatic control of Mississippi

-28-

- River flood hazard amplified by river engineering, Nature, 556(7699), 95-98. 673
- NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information (2018), U.S. Billion-Dollar 674
- Weather and Climate Disasters (2018), https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/billions/, accessed: 675 2018-08-20. 676
- Orlowsky, B., and S. I. Seneviratne (2013), Elusive drought: Uncertainty in observed 677 trends and short-and long-term CMIP5 projections, Hydrology and Earth System Sci-678 ences, 17(5), 1765-1781.
- Pan, M., A. K. Sahoo, T. J. Troy, R. K. Vinukollu, J. Sheffield, and E. F. Wood (2012), 680 Multisource estimation of long-term terrestrial water budget for major global river basins, Journal of Climate, 25(9), 3191-3206. 682
- Parry, S., T. Marsh, and M. Kendon (2013), 2012: From drought to floods in England and 683 Wales, Weather, 68(10), 268-274. 684
- Peterson, T. C., M. P. Hoerling, P. A. Stott, and S. C. Herring (2013), Explaining extreme 685 events of 2012 from a climate perspective, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Soci-686 ety, 94(9), S1-S74. 687
- Riddle, E. E., and K. H. Cook (2008), Abrupt rainfall transitions over the Greater Horn of 688 Africa: Observations and regional model simulations, Journal of Geophysical Research: 689 Atmospheres, 113(D15). 690
- Roundy, J. K., C. R. Ferguson, and E. F. Wood (2013), Temporal variability of land-691 atmosphere coupling and its implications for drought over the southeast United States, 692 Journal of Hydrometeorology, 14(2), 622-635. 693
- Santanello Jr, J. A., P. A. Dirmeyer, C. R. Ferguson, K. L. Findell, A. B. Tawfik, A. Berg, 694
- M. Ek, P. Gentine, B. P. Guillod, C. van Heerwaarden, et al. (2017), Land-atmosphere 695 interactions: The LoCo perspective, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, pp. 696
- 1253-1272. 697

679

- Seager, R., N. Pederson, Y. Kushnir, J. Nakamura, and S. Jurburg (2012), The 1960s drought and the subsequent shift to a wetter climate in the Catskill Mountains region 699 of the New York City watershed, Journal of Climate, 25(19), 6721-6742. 700
- Sheffield, J., and E. F. Wood (2007), Characteristics of global and regional drought, 1950-701 2000: Analysis of soil moisture data from off-line simulation of the terrestrial hydro-702 logic cycle, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 112(D17).
- Sheffield, J., and E. F. Wood (2008a), Projected changes in drought occurrence under fu-704
- ture global warming from multi-model, multi-scenario, IPCC AR4 simulations, Climate 705

-29-

706	Dynamics, 31(1), 79–105.
707	Sheffield, J., and E. F. Wood (2008b), Global trends and variability in soil moisture and
708	drought characteristics, 1950-2000, from observation-driven simulations of the terres-
709	trial hydrologic cycle, Journal of Climate, 21(3), 432-458.
710	Sheffield, J., and E. F. Wood (2011), Drought: Past problems and future scenarios, Earth-
711	scan, London.
712	Sheffield, J., G. Goteti, F. Wen, and E. F. Wood (2004), A simulated soil moisture based
713	drought analysis for the United States, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres,
714	<i>109</i> (D24).
715	Sheffield, J., G. Goteti, and E. F. Wood (2006), Development of a 50-year high-resolution
716	global dataset of meteorological forcings for land surface modeling, Journal of Climate,
717	<i>19</i> (13), 3088–3111.
718	Sheffield, J., K. Andreadis, E. F. Wood, and D. P. Lettenmaier (2009), Global and conti-
719	nental drought in the second half of the twentieth century: Severity-area-duration analy-
720	sis and temporal variability of large-scale events, Journal of Climate, 22(8), 1962-1981.
721	Sheffield, J., E. F. Wood, and M. L. Roderick (2012), Little change in global drought over
722	the past 60 years, Nature, 491(7424), 435–438.
723	Siegmund, J. F., N. Siegmund, and R. V. Donner (2017), CoinCalc - A new R package for
724	quantifying simultaneities of event series, Computers & Geosciences, 98, 64-72.
725	Singh, N., and A. Ranade (2010), The wet and dry spells across India during 1951–2007,
726	Journal of Hydrometeorology, 11(1), 26–45.
727	Sivapalan, M., G. Blöschl, R. Merz, and D. Gutknecht (2005), Linking flood frequency to
728	long-term water balance: Incorporating effects of seasonality, Water Resources Research,
729	41(6).
730	Spinoni, J., G. Naumann, H. Carrao, P. Barbosa, and J. Vogt (2014), World drought fre-
731	quency, duration, and severity for 1951–2010, International Journal of Climatology,
732	34(8), 2792–2804.
733	Svoboda, M., M. Hayes, and D. Wood (2012), Standardized precipitation index user guide,
734	World Meteorological Organization Geneva, Switzerland.
735	Swain, D. L., B. Langenbrunner, J. D. Neelin, and A. Hall (2018), Increasing precipitation
736	volatility in twenty-first-century California, Nature Climate Change, 8(5), 427-433.
737	Taylor, C. M., A. Gounou, F. Guichard, P. P. Harris, R. J. Ellis, F. Couvreux, and
738	M. De Kauwe (2011), Frequency of Sahelian storm initiation enhanced over mesoscale
0.0	
	-30-

-30-

 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{\texttt{C2020}}}$ American Geophysical Union. All rights reserved.

739	soil-moisture patterns, Nature Geoscience, 4(7), 430-433.
740	Taylor, C. M., R. A. de Jeu, F. Guichard, P. P. Harris, and W. A. Dorigo (2012), After-
741	noon rain more likely over drier soils, Nature, 489(7416), 423-426.
742	Tóth, B., M. Weynants, A. Nemes, A. Makó, G. Bilas, and G. Tóth (2015), New gener-
743	ation of hydraulic pedotransfer functions for Europe, European journal of soil science,
744	66(1), 226–238.
745	Trenberth, K. E. (1999), Conceptual framework for changes of extremes of the hydrologi-
746	cal cycle with climate change, in Weather and Climate Extremes, pp. 327-339, Springer.
747	Trenberth, K. E., A. Dai, R. M. Rasmussen, and D. B. Parsons (2003), The changing char-
748	acter of precipitation, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 84(9), 1205-
749	1218.
750	Trenberth, K. E., A. Dai, G. van der Schrier, P. D. Jones, J. Barichivich, K. R. Briffa, and
751	J. Sheffield (2014), Global warming and changes in drought, Nature Climate Change,
752	4(1), 17–22.
753	Trouet, V., F. Babst, and M. Meko (2018), Recent enhanced high-summer North Atlantic
754	Jet variability emerges from three-century context, Nature communications, 9(180).
755	UNISDR (2015), The human cost of weather related disasters, The UN Office for Disaster
756	Risk Reduction.
757	van der Schrier, G., J. Barichivich, K. Briffa, and P. Jones (2013), A scPDSI-based global
758	data set of dry and wet spells for 1901-2009, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmo-
759	spheres, 118(10), 4025–4048.
760	Villarini, G., and A. Strong (2014), Roles of climate and agricultural practices in dis-
761	charge changes in an agricultural watershed in Iowa, Agriculture, ecosystems & envi-
762	ronment, 188, 204–211.
763	Wada, Y., L. P. H. van Beek, N. Wanders, and M. F. P. Bierkens (2013), Human water
764	consumption intensifies hydrological drought worldwide, Environmental Research Let-
765	ters, 8(3), 034–036.
766	Wang, SY. S., JH. Yoon, E. Becker, and R. Gillies (2017), California from drought to
767	deluge, Nature Climate Change, 7(7), 465-468.
768	Wilks, D. (2006), On "field significance" and the false discovery rate, Journal of Applied
769	Meteorology and Climatology, 45(9), 1181–1189.
770	Wilks, D. S. (2016), "the stippling shows statistically significant grid points": How re-
771	search results are routinely overstated and overinterpreted, and what to do about it, Bul-
	-31-

 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{\texttt{C2020}}}$ American Geophysical Union. All rights reserved.

- *Itelin of the American Meteorological Society*, 97(12), 2263–2273.
- World Economic Forum (2019), The global risks report 2019.
- Wu, Z., J. Li, J. He, and Z. Jiang (2006), Occurrence of droughts and floods during the
- normal summer monsoons in the mid-and lower reaches of the Yangtze River, *Geophys- ical Research Letters*, 33(5).
- Yang, L., J. A. Smith, D. B. Wright, M. L. Baeck, G. Villarini, F. Tian, and H. Hu (2013),
 Urbanization and climate change: An examination of nonstationarities in urban flooding,
 Journal of Hydrometeorology, *14*(6), 1791–1809.
- Yin, L., R. Fu, Y.-F. Zhang, P. A. Arias, D. N. Fernando, W. Li, K. Fernandes, and A. R.
 Bowerman (2014), What controls the interannual variation of the wet season onsets over
- the Amazon?, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 119(5), 2314–2328.
- Yu, J.-Y., X. Wang, S. Yang, H. Paek, and M. Chen (2017), The changing El Niño–
 Southern Oscillation and associated climate extremes, *Climate Extremes: Patterns and Mechanisms*, pp. 1–38.
- Yue, S., P. Pilon, B. Phinney, and G. Cavadias (2002), The influence of autocorrelation on the ability to detect trend in hydrological series, *Hydrological Processes*, *16*(9), 1807– 1829.
- Zhan, W., X. He, J. Sheffield, and E. F. Wood (2020), Projected seasonal changes in large scale global precipitation and temperature extremes based on the CMIP5 ensemble,
 Journal of Climate.
- Zolina, O., C. Simmer, K. Belyaev, S. K. Gulev, and P. Koltermann (2013), Changes in
 the duration of European wet and dry spells during the last 60 years, *Journal of Cli-*
- ⁷⁹⁴ *mate*, 26(6), 2022–2047.

Acce

-32-