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ABSTRACT
Background/Aim: Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), affecting up to ~30% of the world adult population, causes considerable liver-related and extra-hepatic morbidity and mortality. Strong evidence indicates that NAFLD (especially in its more severe forms) is associated with a higher risk of all-cause mortality, and the predominant cause of mortality in this patient population is cardiovascular disease (CVD). The aim of this narrative review is to discuss the strong association between NAFLD and increased risk of cardiovascular, cardiac and arrhythmic complications. We also discuss the putative mechanisms that link NAFLD to CVD and other cardiac/arrhythmic complications; and briefly summarize the CVD risk prediction/stratification and management of the increased risk for CVD observed in patients with NAFLD.

Results: NAFLD is associated with an increased risk of CVD events and other cardiac complications (left ventricular hypertrophy, valvular calcification and certain arrhythmias), independently of common CVD risk factors. The magnitude of risk of CVD and other cardiac/arrhythmic complications parallels the severity of NAFLD (especially the severity of liver fibrosis). There are likely multiple underlying mechanisms by which NAFLD may increase the risk of CVD and cardiac/arrhythmic complications. Indeed, NAFLD exacerbates hepatic and systemic insulin resistance, promotes atherogenic dyslipidaemia, induces hypertension and causes the synthesis of pro-atherogenic, pro-coagulant and pro-inflammatory mediators that may contribute to the development of CVD and other cardiac/arrhythmic complications.

Conclusions: A careful assessment of CVD risk is mandatory in patients with NAFLD for the primary prevention of CVD, together with pharmacological treatment of coexisting CVD risk factors.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is a public health problem worldwide (affecting up to a third of adults in Western countries), and its prevalence is expected to further increase in the near future at a similar rate as the obesity and type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) epidemics [1, 2].

The clinical and economic burden of this common liver disease is not only due to its liver-related complications (non-alcoholic steatohepatitis [NASH], cirrhosis or hepatocellular carcinoma), but also due to an increased risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD) and other extra-hepatic diseases (e.g. T2DM, chronic kidney disease or some extra-hepatic cancers) that impact health outcomes and expenditures [2-5].

It is known that CVD is the leading cause of mortality amongst patients with NAFLD (accounting for ~40% of the total deaths), followed by extra-hepatic cancers and liver-related complications [2-4]. Over the last decade, it has become evident that NAFLD is a ‘multi-system’ disease [6] that not only increases the risk of developing CVD, T2DM or chronic kidney disease [2, 7], but also results in other cardiac and arrhythmic complications (Figure 1) [8].

This narrative review focuses on the strong association between NAFLD and increased risk of CVD and other cardiac/arrhythmic complications; discusses the potential underlying mechanisms by which NAFLD contributes to the development of these complications; and summarizes the CVD risk prediction stratification and management of the CVD risk associated with NAFLD.

2. RISK OF CVD AND OTHER CARDIAC AND ARRHYTHMICH COMPLICATIONS IN NAFLD

2.1 Risk of CVD

As previously reported, CVD is the leading cause of death in NAFLD.[2-4] Using mortality data from the National Vital Statistics System multiple-cause mortality data in the United States, Paik et al. recently confirmed that CVD was one of the most important causes of death amongst NAFLD patients [9].

Convincing evidence associates NAFLD with an increased prevalence and incidence of CVD even after adjustment for common CVD risk factors [2, 4, 10]. Table 1 shows the quantification of the excess of CVD risk in patients with NAFLD (i.e., subclinical atherosclerosis or adverse CVD outcomes). In a recent meta-analysis of 26 cross-sectional studies involving 85,395 individuals, Zhou et al. [11] reported that NAFLD was associated with markers of subclinical atherosclerosis (pooled random-effects odds ratio [OR] 1.60; 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.45-1.78). Subgroup analyses revealed that NAFLD was associated with greater carotid artery intima-media thickness/plaques, increased arterial stiffness, increased coronary artery calcification and endothelial dysfunction with ORs of 1.74 (95% CI 1.47-2.06), 1.56 (95%CI 1.24-1.96), 1.40 (95% CI 1.22-1.60), and 3.73 (95% CI 0.99-14.09), respectively [11]. In a meta-analysis of 16 longitudinal studies (involving ~34,000 middle-aged participants followed for a median follow-up of 6.9 years), Targher et al. showed that NAFLD was associated with a higher risk of fatal and/or non-fatal CVD events (random-effects OR 1.64, 95% CI 1.26-2.13) [12]. In addition, this risk increased further with greater severity of NAFLD (random-effects OR 2.58; 95% CI 1.78-3.75), and remained significant in those studies where analysis was adjusted for conventional CVD risk factors [12].
Recently, other studies reported an independent association between NAFLD and risk of incident acute myocardial infarction, even in primary care populations [13, 14]. However, this finding was questioned by the recent results of a matched cohort study [15]. Using electronic medical records from four European primary healthcare databases, the authors failed to find an independent association between recorded diagnoses of NAFLD and risk of incident acute myocardial infarction or ischemic stroke [15]. However, it should be noted that the prevalence of NAFLD in this electronic database was ~15 fold lower than that reported in the general population when imaging techniques are used for the diagnosis of NAFLD, highlighting the potential for misclassification bias within the ‘control’ group [10].

Interestingly, retrospective cohort studies performed in Asian adults without pre-existing CVD also reported that there was a strong association between NAFLD and the risk of progression of subclinical carotid or coronary atherosclerosis, and, most importantly, that improvement or resolution of NAFLD (on ultrasonography) was associated with a lower risk of carotid atherosclerosis development [10, 16, 17]. Collectively, therefore, although further cohort studies of patients with histologically confirmed NAFLD are required, a number of studies with relatively long follow-ups have confirmed the results of our aforementioned meta-analysis [12]. Furthermore, evidence is accumulating that the risk of incident CVD events parallels the severity of NAFLD histology, and the stage of fibrosis is the strongest histologic predictor of adverse liver-related and CVD outcomes in NAFLD [18-20]. Recently, Henson et al. [21] examined the factors associated with incident CVD events in a cohort of adults with biopsy-proven NAFLD and without pre-existing CVD. Using a competing risks analysis, the authors showed that advanced liver fibrosis was a significant predictor of incident CVD events during a median follow-up period of 5.2 years, and this persisted on multivariable analyses even after considering relevant covariates, including CVD risk scores, which were not independent predictors. Notably, other histologic features, including NASH, were not associated with risk of CVD events [21]. As stated by the authors [21], the findings of this study should be considered when evaluating patients with NAFLD for primary prevention of CVD, and further investigation into the link between advanced liver fibrosis and CVD risk is needed.

### 2.2 Risk of cardiac arrhythmias and conduction defects

In the past few years, the link between NAFLD and the increased risk of arrhythmias (mainly permanent atrial fibrillation [AF]) has gained great scientific interest [8][10]. As also shown in **Table 1**, in a meta-analysis of 9 cohort studies (involving ~365,000 individuals), Mantovani et al. [22] showed that NAFLD doubled the risk of prevalent AF (random-effects OR 2.07, 95%CI 1.38-3.10), independent of common AF risk factors. This risk was particularly high amongst patients with T2DM. In addition, meta-analysis of data from longitudinal studies also showed that NAFLD was associated with increased risk of incident AF, especially among those with established T2DM (random-effects hazard ratio [HR] 4.96, 95%CI 1.42-17.3) [22]. A larger meta-analysis of 6 cohort studies (involving ~615,000 individuals with and without T2DM) recently confirmed the existence of an independent association between NAFLD and risk of incident AF (random-effects HR 1.19, 95%CI 1.04-1.31) [23]. In a retrospective cohort of ~75,000 South Korean individuals with NAFLD without a history of liver or cardiovascular diseases, Park et al. [24] reported that NAFLD patients with increased advanced fibrosis scores had a higher risk of prevalent AF even after adjusting for multiple CVD risk factors (adjusted-OR 2.23, 95%CI 1.74-2.91).
Increasing evidence suggests that the presence and severity of NAFLD is associated with a higher risk for QTc interval prolongation (on standard electrocardiogram) that predisposes to increased risk of both ventricular tachyarrhythmias and sudden cardiac death [8]. In particular, in a cohort of ~30,000 Taiwanese individuals, Hung et al. found a significant, graded relationship between the ultrasonographic severity of NAFLD and risk of QTc interval prolongation even after adjusting for common CVD risk factors [25]. Similarly, Mantovani et al. found an association between NAFLD and risk of ventricular tachyarrhythmias in a cohort of T2DM patients referred for clinically indicated 24-hour Holter monitoring. This association was independent of age, sex, obesity, hypertension, smoking, co-morbidities, medication use and left ventricular (LV) ejection fraction [26].

Finally, preliminary evidence suggests the existence of an association between NAFLD and certain cardiac conduction defects, which are risk factors of cardiac mortality [8]. In a hospital-based case-control study of 700 patients without known cardiovascular and liver diseases, Mangi et al. [27] reported a significant association between NAFLD and presence of certain types of cardiac conduction defects (on standard electrocardiogram). Similarly, Mantovani et al. [28] found that NAFLD was associated with a threefold increased risk of cardiac conduction defects (mainly persistent first-degree atrio-ventricular block, right bundle branch block or left anterior hemi-block) in a hospital-based cohort of 751 elderly patients with T2DM.

Taken together, the results of these studies support the existence of a strong relationship between NAFLD and cardiac arrhythmias, such as permanent AF, QTc interval prolongation and ventricular tachyarrhythmias. Although further research is needed, we believe that these findings are clinically relevant, as they could partly explain the increased risk of cardiac death observed in patients with NAFLD.

### 2.3 Risk of functional and structural myocardial abnormalities

Convincing evidence now substantiates a strong association between NAFLD and risk of LV diastolic dysfunction and hypertrophy both in children and in adults, with or without co-existing features of the metabolic syndrome (MetS) [8] [10].

As reported in **Table 1**, in a meta-analysis of 12 cross-sectional studies, Wijarnpreecha et al. [29] reported an independent association between NAFLD and LV diastolic dysfunction with a pooled random-effects OR of 2.02 (95%CI 1.47-2.79). This finding was recently confirmed among the Framingham Heart Study participants, who underwent echocardiography and standardized computed tomography measures of liver fat [30]. Additionally, in a cohort study of 3,300 South Korean individuals, Chung et al. [31] found that the NAFLD-associated risk of LV diastolic dysfunction incrementally increased according to the presence of advanced liver fibrosis (estimated by non-invasive fibrosis scores). In a sample of 308 South Korean patients, Lee et al. [32] also showed that hepatic steatosis and fibrosis (as assessed by vibration-controlled transient elastography) were independently associated with LV diastolic dysfunction. This association was linked to altered myocardial glucose uptake evaluated by 18-fluoro-deoxyglucose-positron emission tomography.

Some smaller studies using liver biopsy to diagnose NAFLD also reported a graded, positive association between myocardial abnormalities (i.e., LV diastolic dysfunction, greater cardiac mass or larger left atrial volume) and the severity of NAFLD histology [33-35].
2.4 Risk of valvular calcification

Aortic valve sclerosis and mitral annular calcification are two common findings in elderly individuals that are associated with adverse CVD outcomes [8, 36, 37].

As reported in Table 1, Di Minno et al. [38] reported a significant association between NAFLD and increased prevalence of aortic valve sclerosis (random-effects OR 2.28, 95% CI 1.21-4.28) in a meta-analysis of three cross-sectional studies enrolling a total of 2,639 middle-aged individuals. More recently, Mantovani et al. [39] found that ultrasound-detected NAFLD was associated with a ~3.5 fold higher risk of both aortic valve sclerosis and mitral annular calcification in a hospital-based study of T2DM patients with no history of heart failure, moderate-to-severe heart valve diseases or known liver diseases. This association remained significant after adjusting for traditional CVD risk factors, diabetes-related variables, medication use and some relevant echocardiographic variables [39].

3. PUTATIVE MECHANISMS

NAFLD and T2DM frequently co-exist and share CVD risk factors in common, for example NAFLD and T2DM are both strongly associated with factors linked to the MetS, including increased abdominal adiposity.[40] Whereas the increase in risk of T2DM with NAFLD is independent of potential confounders [41], it is more difficult to prove that the association between NAFLD and CVD is independent of cardio-metabolic risk factors that are shared with T2DM. Furthermore, with both NAFLD and T2DM, a “vicious spiral” of worsening disease also exists, with the presence of either disease worsening the development and progression of the other disease. For example, there is now unequivocal evidence that NAFLD is a risk factor for T2DM [41], and T2DM increases risk for CVD and advanced liver disease (e.g., NASH, cirrhosis or hepatocellular carcinoma) [42-47]. Additionally, recent evidence (discussed below) suggests that with the development of advanced liver disease in NAFLD, there is a further increase in risk of CVD events, compared to the presence of liver fat alone. Figure 2 illustrates schematically, the “vicious spiral” of worsening disease that occurs when NAFLD co-exists with T2DM, to increase the risk of CVD and cardiac diseases (panel A) and summarizes some of the potential CVD and cardiac risk factors in NAFLD (panel B).

Although as discussed above, there is evidence that NAFLD may be an independent risk factor for CVD [12], the evidence also suggests that NAFLD is a stronger risk factor for T2DM [41], and with the development of T2DM, the presence of diabetes is an additional established CVD risk factor. With NAFLD, fatty liver alone increases the risk of incident T2DM at least two fold [41]. However, when fatty liver is combined with obesity and insulin resistance (that also commonly occur with NAFLD), there is an additive effect of all three risk factors, further markedly increasing the risk of T2DM by ~12 fold [48]. Until recently, it had been thought by some that the coexistence of T2DM confounded the association between NAFLD and CVD. However, Wild et al. showed that this was not the case, and confirmed that NAFLD was an independent risk factor for CVD, even in people with established T2DM [43]. These investigators used data from the diabetes registry in Scotland between 2004 and 2013. Patients had one or more hospital admission records, and linked hospital, cancer, and death records and liver disease and outcomes, were identified using ICD-9 and ICD-10 codes. For
NAFLD, the adjusted HRs, compared with the group with no record of liver disease, were 1.70 (95% CI 1.52, 1.90) for CVD and 1.60 (95% CI 1.40, 1.83) for all-cause mortality, respectively [43]. Moreover, in support of these findings that T2DM does not confound the association between NAFLD and risk of CVD events, a recent meta-analysis confirmed that NAFLD was associated with a higher risk of fatal and/or nonfatal CVD events in T2DM patients [49].

T2DM is not only associated with increased adiposity but also with changes in lipoprotein metabolism; changes in intestinal function and alterations in the intestinal microbiome (dysbiosis); and these factors may exert an adverse effect on the risk of CVD and cardiac diseases in NAFLD. Figure 3 illustrates schematically the development of atherogenic dyslipidaemia in NAFLD and its relationship with MetS. The figure also highlights the role of intestinal factors and adipose tissue function to deliver long-chains fatty acids (LCFAs) as substrates and stimuli for various liver lipid species, which in turn affect hepatic inflammation, insulin resistance, oxidative stress and lipid globule formation in NAFLD.

3.1 Lipoprotein metabolism, MetS and genetic modifiers of CVD risk
NAFLD is frequently a cause of atherogenic dyslipidaemia, which is characterized by increased levels of very-low density lipoprotein (VLDL), small dense LDL (sd-LDL), lower levels of high density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) together with postprandial lipemia and HDL dysfunction [50, 51]. Not only has atherogenic dyslipidaemia been observed in adults but recently this dyslipidaemia has also been noted in children with NAFLD [52]. In this study, nuclear magnetic resonance lipoprotein profile, including lipoprotein particle sizes, apolipoproteins and the lipoprotein-insulin resistance index, were measured in 76 children at the time of liver biopsy. Children with NASH had higher ratios of apolipoprotein B to apolipoprotein A1 (ApoB/ApoAI) and higher lipoprotein-insulin resistance index than children with non-NASH. Severity of hepatocyte ballooning was also associated with higher ApoB/ApoAI ratios, while HDL size was inversely associated with hepatic fat accumulation [52]. Thus, these interesting data in children, who are unlikely to have other comorbidities (such as T2DM that was excluded), suggest that it is the liver disease that is responsible for the dyslipidaemia and not co-existing diabetes. Thus, atherogenic dyslipidaemia may be, at least in part, responsible for the increased risk of CVD in NAFLD, since it is well accepted that this dyslipidaemia increases CVD risk [51, 53-55]. As mentioned above, increased sd-LDL particles are also a feature of this dyslipidaemia, and increased sd-LDL levels are associated with NAFLD and MetS [56-59]. Thus, it is plausible that the increased CVD associated with more severe liver disease in NAFLD may, at least in part, be linked to increased levels of sd-LDL, since higher levels of this lipoprotein have been reported in patients with NASH than in those with fatty liver alone [60].

When considering the association between NAFLD and CVD, no studies to date have satisfactorily taken account of the potential modifying influence of common genetic polymorphisms (SNP) in NAFLD and the influence that these SNPs may have on NAFLD-related CVD risk. The two most frequently studied SNPs in NAFLD, i.e., the patatin-like phospholipase domain-containing protein 3 (PNPLA3) rs738409 and the trans-membrane 6 superfamily 2 (TM6SF2) rs58542926 genetic variants, are known to be associated with a higher risk of NASH and yet lower levels of serum triglycerides [61-63]. In a cohort of 300 Finnish individuals genotyped for both the E167K (rs58542926) variant in TM6SF2 and the I148M (rs738409) variant in the PNPLA3 gene in whom liver fat content was measured by magnetic resonance spectroscopy, circulating lipids were analyzed by liquid chromatography-mass chromatography [61].
The authors compared the plasma lipidome between three groups of NAFLD patients with similar levels of liver fat: (i) carriers of the E167K but not of the I148M variant in PNPLA3 (the ‘TM6SF2 NAFLD’ group), (ii) carriers of the I148M but not of the E167K variant (the ‘PNPLA3 NAFLD’ group), and (iii) non-carriers of either risk allele. In this analysis, the authors showed that, despite similar liver fat levels between these three groups, both the ‘TM6SF2 NAFLD’ and ‘PNPLA3 NAFLD’ groups had lower levels of serum triglycerides and most common triacylglycerols than non-carriers of either risk allele. Since fasting triglyceride levels are predominantly hepatic-derived VLDL particles, and lower levels of serum triglycerides are associated with higher levels of HDL-C and lower levels of sd-LDL (i.e., atherogenic dyslipidaemia as discussed above), both the ‘TM6SF2 NAFLD’ and ‘PNPLA3 NAFLD’ groups had a less atherogenic plasma lipid profile that is associated with lower CVD risk, despite both risk alleles being associated with more severe liver disease in NAFLD.

In support of the notion that these PNPLA3 or TM6SF2 risk alleles have the potential to modify the CVD risk in NAFLD despite being a potential cause for more severe liver disease, a recent population-based exome-focused genotyping array study tested associations between PNPLA3 or TM6SF2 risk alleles and CVD risk in the general population using the UK BioBank cohort [64]. The data were obtained from >300,000 participants and replicated in >280,000 participants in the cohort. The results of this analysis identified 444 variants in 250 genetic loci that were associated with plasma lipid profile. As discussed above, both PNPLA3 and TM6SF2 risk alleles were associated with lower levels of serum triglycerides, higher levels of liver fat content and a higher risk of T2DM; also providing indirect evidence that increased liver fat was associated with higher risk of T2DM. Interestingly, in this study both PNPLA3 and TM6SF2 risk alleles were associated with lower risk of CVD [64]. Thus, these data provide further insight into the association between NAFLD and CVD risk as patients carrying these two genotypes represent a subgroup of NAFLD patients who are at lower CVD risk, because they have lower levels of hepatic-derived lipoproteins.

Previously, in a meta-analysis of studies investigating the relationship between NAFLD and risk of CVD events, we have shown that NAFLD is independently associated with increased risk of CVD [12]. The data discussed above [64], showing that PNPLA3 or TM6SF2 risk alleles are associated with a lower risk of CVD (despite increased liver fat), suggests that the lower levels of hepatic-derived lipoproteins (VLDL, IDL and LDL) with these risk alleles may be the key mediator of the decreased risk of CVD in NAFLD patients carrying these risk alleles, compared to the increased risk of CVD in those who don’t have these risk alleles. The mechanism by which PNPLA3 I148M lowers hepatic-derived lipoproteins has recently been studied in humans [65]. Although in this small study comparing 12 subjects with PNPLA3 M148M with 14 subjects with PNPLA3 I148I, there was no difference between these two groups in total VLDL levels, subjects with PNPLA3 M148M had increased polyunsaturated triacylglycerols, and phosphatidylcholines were decreased in liver. These data led the authors to conclude that PNPLA3-148M is a loss-of-function allele that remodels intra-hepatic triglycerides in a polyunsaturated direction by impairing hydrolysis/transacylation of polyunsaturated fatty acids from diacylglycerols to feed phosphatidylcholine synthesis [65]. Additionally, the mechanism by which the TM6SF2E167K variant lowers VLDL levels has also recently been studied, using 3D hepatic spheroids derived from primary human hepatocytes [66]. These data showed that the TM6SF2E167K variant had reduced ApoB-containing lipoprotein particle secretion and up-regulated cholesterol and fatty acid biosynthesis. Taken together, these in vivo and in vitro data suggests that both PNPLA3 and TM6SF2 risk alleles have effects that may influence composition and quantity of hepatic-derived triglyceride-rich lipoproteins in the circulation to attenuate risk of CVD.
Another susceptibility gene for NAFLD is the GCKR (encoding liver-specific glucokinase regulatory protein [GKRP]) that is involved both in hepatic de novo lipogenesis [67] and in NAFLD development [68]. A recent meta-analysis reported that common variants in GCKR gene were weakly associated with the risk of CVD (OR per risk allele 1.02 [95%CI 1.0-1.04]) [69], and this increased CVD risk might also be due to changes in VLDL (i.e. increased) with this susceptibility gene [70].

3.2 Inflammation, alterations in redox state, liver fibrosis and CVD

Increased liver fat has been associated with plasma inflammatory biomarkers in the Framingham Heart Study [71]. In this community-based study, the authors used data from 2,482 middle-aged individuals, who underwent computed tomography to assess the presence of liver fat together with measurement of 14 plasma inflammatory biomarkers. Interestingly, they found that increased liver fat was associated with the following inflammatory biomarkers: high-sensitivity C-reactive protein, urinary F2-isoprostanes, interleukin-6 (IL-6), intercellular adhesion molecule-1, and P-selectin. The adjustment for adiposity measures only slightly attenuated these associations [71].

Steatotic hepatocytes also release extracellular vesicles that increase endothelial inflammation [72]. In this study the vesicles from steatotic hepatocytes were characterized by altered miRNA expression profiles inducing vascular inflammation by miR-1 release and activation of NF-κB. Steatotic hepatocytes also released more extracellular vesicles compared to the extracellular vesicles released by control hepatocytes. It is plausible that steatotic hepatocyte-derived extracellular vesicles induce vascular inflammation and facilitate atherogenesis by miR-1 delivery, reduced Kruppel-like factor 4 and NF-κB activation [34]. These findings suggest a role for hepatocyte-derived extracellular vesicles affecting the vasculature, suggesting a novel mechanism underlying a link between liver disease and CVD amongst patients with NAFLD.

Hepatokine secretion also occurs with NAFLD and hepatokines may influence metabolic phenotypes by having paracrine and endocrine actions beyond the liver [73-75]. Hepatokines are proteins that are secreted by hepatocytes, and hepatokines have been linked with the induction of metabolic dysfunction, insulin resistance, inflammatory pathways, and CVD [73-76]. Fetuin-A, fibroblast growth factor-21 (FGF-21), retinol binding protein-4, selenoprotein-P, sex hormone-binding globulin, angiopoietin-related growth factor (also known as angiopoietin-related protein 6) and leukocyte derived chemotaxin 2 (LECT2) are considered very important hepatokines in vivo [73, 74, 77], and recent studies have suggested that via the secretion of hepatokines the liver may directly influence whole body homeostasis [77, 78]. Preclinical studies have shown that hepatokines have important effects on glucose metabolism, lipid metabolism and body weight in animal models [76]. However, it remains less certain whether hepatokines are causally influencing CVD or cardiac diseases in patients with NAFLD. That said, a Mendelian randomisation study has suggested that serum fetuin-A concentrations are increased and might be causally involved in myocardial infarction [79]. Similarly, selenoprotein-P is associated with inflammation and in multiple regression analyses, selenoprotein-P showed an independent association with carotid intima-media thickness as well as plasma C-reactive protein, even after adjustment for other confounding risk factors [80]. Increased FGF-21 levels were also a significant independent risk factor for carotid intima-media thickness in regression analysis [81] and LECT2 has been shown to induce inflammatory cytokines via c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) phosphorylation [82]. Nevertheless, further research is needed to determine whether modification of specific
hepatokine concentrations, e.g. suppression of fetuin-A, selenoprotein-P or LECT2, represents an important therapeutic strategy for ameliorating CVD risk in NAFLD.

A possible link between dyslipidaemia and hepatic inflammation is also supported by recent data suggesting that proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type-7 gene variants are associated with the severity of liver disease in NAFLD [83]. With accumulation of lipid within hepatocytes during progression of liver disease, there is increased mitochondrial activity and oxidative stress resulting in enhanced production of reactive oxygen species, chemokines and cytokines [84, 85]. The pathophysiology of NASH is complex, but the development of NASH involves several mechanisms, including increased infiltration of monocytes, T-lymphocytes and neutrophils into the liver, as well as activation and in situ expansion of the Kupffer cells or hepatic stellate cells [86]. With this process increased oxidative stress, cell death and continuous unresolved inflammation drive the activation of hepatic stellate cells, leading to increased hepatic fibrogenesis [87, 88]. As mentioned above, with progression of liver disease to advanced fibrosis, there is evidence that risk of CVD is further increased. For example, as discussed previously (Table 1), in a subgroup analysis of a meta-analysis that included 16 longitudinal studies with ~34,000 individuals, those patients with NAFLD who had evidence of more severe liver disease were also more likely to develop fatal and/or non-fatal CVD events compared to those without NAFLD [12]. Similarly, in a recent study of 1,535 patients with biopsy-proven NAFLD, the authors found that the stage of fibrosis was associated with a higher CVD risk [89]. Additionally, increased serum gamma-glutamyltransferase (GGT) levels often occur in NAFLD [90], are associated with reduced cardiorespiratory fitness in NAFLD [91], and are also associated with higher risks of all-cause and cancer mortality in the general population, even after adjusting for the presence of fatty liver [92]. GGT is an enzyme that contributes to maintaining the physiological concentrations of cytoplasmic glutathione and cellular defence against oxidative stress via cleavage of extra-cellular glutathione and increased availability of amino acids for its intra-cellular synthesis. [90] Increased GGT levels are, therefore, a marker of enhanced oxidative stress and may reflect the increased generation of free radicals and peroxidation reactions that are involved in both the plasma LDL-C oxidation and the atherosclerotic processes [90].

It is also plausible that increased cytokines and decreased adiponectin derived from expanded (dysfunctional) visceral adipose tissue may contribute either to exacerbate the severity of liver disease (via effects on lipid metabolism, glucose metabolism or insulin sensitivity) or may act directly on the vasculature. Increased plasma levels of IL-6 have also been associated with subclinical atherosclerosis in population-based studies [93]. Visceral fat also expresses much higher concentrations of IL-6, IL-1β and tumour necrosis factor (TNF)-α than the liver, and marked weight loss attenuates the expression of these cytokines [94, 95]. A meta-analysis has shown that increased levels of plasma IL-6 and CRP are associated with increased AF incidence [96]. Although there are no human studies in NAFLD that have tested the effects of specific anti-inflammatory agents that we are aware of, the effects of inhibiting a specific cytokine in patients with known vascular disease has been tested with potentially interesting results. Whether treatment to decrease IL-1β with canakinumab (i.e., an anti-IL-1β monoclonal antibody) reduces risk of major adverse CVD events was tested in the Canakinumab Anti-inflammatory Thrombosis Outcome Study that involved 10,061 patients with previous myocardial infarction and a plasma C-reactive protein level of ≥2 mg/L [97]. In this trial, the investigators showed that canakinumab met the pre-specified multiplicity-adjusted threshold for statistical significance for the primary endpoint and the secondary endpoint that additionally included hospitalization for unstable angina that led to urgent coronary revascularizations. However, it should be noted that compared to placebo, treatment with canakinumab was associated with a
higher incidence of fatal infections and there was no significant difference in all-cause mortality. Thus, it remains uncertain whether specific targeted treatment of inflammation or proinflammatory mediators without also targeting proven CVD risk factors is beneficial, in conditions such as NAFLD.

### 3.3 Intestinal microbiome and CVD risk

The intestinal microbiome affects energy harvesting, inflammation and immunity and a role for the intestinal microbiota and changes in the gut microbiota (dysbiosis) have been proposed in the pathogenesis of liver fibrosis. [98]. In this study, the authors characterized the gut microbiome from stool samples in 86 patients with biopsy-proven NAFLD (72 with mild/moderate NAFLD, and 14 with advanced NAFLD). Using a set of 40 features (including 37 bacterial species), they constructed a regression model that showed excellent accuracy for distinguishing mild/moderate NAFLD from advanced fibrosis, providing intriguing preliminary evidence for a faecal-microbiome-derived metagenomic signature of advanced fibrosis in NAFLD [98].

Although patients with NAFLD have alterations in their gut microbiome (dysbiosis), changes in the microbiome are not as well defined as they are for other liver diseases, such as alcoholic liver disease. It is also possible that changes in the immune response and the metabolome induced by changes in the microbiome may have a greater impact than changes in the microbes themselves [99]. That said, one of the most commonly observed changes is an increase in Gram-negative bacteria and a decrease in Gram-positive bacteria [99]. With an increase in Gram-negative bacteria and an increase in intestinal permeability, there is increased potential for endotoxin to enter the portal circulation and thereby promote an inflammatory response in the liver. Interestingly, mice given an infusion of lipopolysaccharide (LPS) developed insulin resistance, hepatic steatosis and increased adipose tissue, similar to mice fed a high fat diet [100]. Many nutritional compounds, e.g. red meat, contain a trimethylamine group (TMA), and in the liver TMA is oxidised by flavin monooxygenases (FMOs) to trimethylamine oxide (TMAO) [101]. Numerous studies have shown that plasma TMAO levels are associated with CVD, chronic kidney disease, T2DM or NAFLD [102-105]. With dysbiosis, other gastrointestinal tract-derived factors, such as LPS, aromatic acid metabolites, p-cresyl sulphate, indoxyl sulphate, short-chain fatty acids, incretins, and modified bile acids, may potentially contribute to exacerbate the severity of the liver disease (via effects on lipid metabolism, glucose metabolism or insulin sensitivity) or act directly on the cardiovascular system [101, 106, 107].

Although there is an unquestionable potential adverse role for dysbiosis-mediated factors both on the liver in NAFLD and on the vasculature, it is currently unclear what prebiotics, probiotics or symbiotics should be used to modify the gut microbiota. It is also poorly known which gut microbiota need to be changed in order to benefit the liver and/or CVD risk. A recent phase-2 controlled trial involving 104 patients with NAFLD examined whether administration of a synbiotic combination of probiotic and prebiotic agents for 1 year affected liver fat content, non-invasive fibrosis scores, liver stiffness and the composition of the faecal microbiome [108]. The results of this trial showed that the synbiotic did not significantly improve liver fat content, liver stiffness, or liver fibrosis scores. However, the synbiotic significantly altered the faecal microbiome, but it is uncertain whether these changes in microbiota had any beneficial effects on the vascular system and CVD risk [108].

Collectively, as discussed above, there are likely multiple underlying mechanisms by which NAFLD can directly increase the risk of CVD and cardiac/arrhythmic complications. Indeed, NAFLD exacerbates insulin resistance, promotes atherogenic dyslipidaemia, induces hypertension and causes the synthesis of several pro-
atherogenic, pro-coagulant and pro-inflammatory mediators that may contribute to the development of CVD and other cardiac/arrhythmic complications. Nevertheless, other mechanistic studies are needed to better elucidate the existing but complex link between NAFLD and these cardiovascular complications. In particular, more research is needed to investigate the role of low-grade systemic inflammation in the development of myocardial remodeling (cardiomyopathy), as well as cardiac autonomic abnormalities and myocardial electrical instability (arrhythmias) associated with NAFLD.

4. CVD RISK PREDICTION AND LIFESTYLE/PHARMACEUTICAL MODIFIERS OF NAFLD-RELATED CVD RISK

4.1 CVD risk assessment

In adults with NAFLD, for the primary prevention of CVD, determining the individual’s risk of future CVD events is essential to successfully implementing CVD risk reduction [71]. Several algorithms are commonly used in the general population to estimate one’s future risk of CVD events and can be applied to adults with NAFLD. These include the Framingham Risk score (FRS) and the Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease (ASCVD) score [109]. The FRS incorporates age, blood pressure, total cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, smoking, and pre-existing T2DM to estimate an individual’s 10-year risk of coronary heart disease (CHD) [110]. Treeprasertsuk et al. found that the FRS accurately predicted the 10-year CHD risk in adults with NAFLD [111]. Further, they noted that adults with NAFLD had a higher predicted 10-year risk of CHD as assessed by the FRS than age- and sex-matched control individuals. The ASCVD risk score is an alternative algorithm that predicts 10-year risk of CHD by incorporating age, race, sex, blood pressure, plasma lipids, diabetes, smoking, and use of anti-hypertensive drugs, statins or aspirin [112]. The ASCVD risk score is also a predictor of major adverse CVD events in adults with NAFLD and can be used to estimate future CVD risk in this patient population (unpublished data, KEC). That said, further studies are needed to ascertain whether a risk multiplier should be applied to NAFLD patients over and above other CVD risk factors already included in these risk algorithms, or whether addition of NAFLD may improve the accuracy of risk algorithms to predict adverse CVD outcomes.

In select cases, coronary computed tomography angiogram might be beneficial in CVD risk assessment in adults with NAFLD. Recently, Meyersohn et al. evaluated the prognostic impact of fatty liver (detected by coronary computed tomography angiogram) on prevalent CHD and incident major CVD events in a nested cohort study of 3,756 United States middle-aged individuals followed for a median period of 25 months. At baseline, compared to those without fatty liver on cardiac imaging, adults with fatty liver had higher coronary artery calcium scores, higher segment involvement scores and greater Leaman’s scores (i.e., a composite measure of extent and severity of atherosclerotic plaques) [113]. In addition, for every class of coronary artery disease (i.e., no significant CAD, non-obstructive CAD or obstructive CAD) on baseline coronary computed tomography angiogram, the addition of fatty liver was associated with a higher risk of CVD events than those with the same degree of CAD but without fatty liver [113]. Thus, in adults with NAFLD, coronary computed tomography scans might provide valuable information to aid in CVD risk prediction.

Finally, the presence of advanced liver fibrosis is associated with higher CVD risk in adults with NAFLD. As mentioned previously, Henson et al. reported that in adults with
NAFLD, advanced fibrosis (fibrosis stage 3-4) on histology and by non-invasive testing (e.g., Fibrosis-4 score) was independently associated with increased risk of incident CVD events [21]. Thus, clinicians should have a high degree of suspicion for CVD in NAFLD adults with advanced fibrosis.

4.2 Modifying NAFLD-related CVD risk: lifestyle intervention

Once CVD risk is assessed, lifestyle interventions including hypocaloric diet and moderate physical exercise should be always implemented to both reduce CVD risk and for the treatment of NAFLD (Figure 4).

Physical activity is recommended for all individuals, regardless of NAFLD, to improve risk of CVD. Seventy-five minutes of vigorous physical activity or 150 minutes of moderate intensity activity weekly is associated with CVD risk reduction [109, 114]. Physical activity is also beneficial for treatment of NAFLD. A recent meta-analysis of exercise for NAFLD (predominantly aerobic exercise) found that even in the absence of weight loss or dietary changes, physical activity may decrease hepatic steatosis and may improve serum aminotransferase levels [115]. Thus, weekly exercise can both improve NAFLD and decreased CVD risk. It is essential to note that any degree of physical activity is considered beneficial and for those patients who are unable to exercise to the duration and intensity goals above, regular exercise of any intensity and duration should be encouraged.

The Mediterranean diet is also beneficial for both NAFLD and CVD risk reduction. The Mediterranean diet focuses on limiting consumption of red and processed meats while increasing consumption of vegetables, legumes or fruit. Whole grains should be minimally processed, and the primary sources of fat should be n-3 fatty acids, such as fish, olive oil and nuts. A large randomized trial found that adults at high risk of CVD assigned to two forms of the Mediterranean diet (Mediterranean diet supplemented with olive oil or mixed nuts) had a lower incidence of CVD events than those advised to follow a low fat diet [116]. Increasing evidence also supports the value of the Mediterranean diet for treatment of NAFLD. Relative to a low fat, high carbohydrate diet, the Mediterranean diet leads to a greater decrease in insulin resistance and fatty liver [117]. Results from the Framingham Heart Study also found that the Mediterranean diet was associated with a lower incidence of fatty liver and decreased severity of fatty liver [118].

Tobacco use is an important contributor to CVD risk and premature death [119]. Further, tobacco use may contribute to liver fibrosis progression and poor outcomes in NAFLD [21, 120]. Current guidelines recommend that clinicians routinely screen for tobacco use and refer patients for both behavioral and pharmacotherapy interventions to aid smoking cessation [109, 114].

Dyslipidaemia, hypertension, T2DM and the MetS are established CVD risk factors and frequently occur concurrently in NAFLD [109, 114]. Thus, primary care physicians are strongly encouraged to screen for and treat these conditions to modify CVD risk (as summarized in Figure 4).

4.3 Modifying NAFLD-related CVD risk: pharmacotherapy
Presently, there are no approved pharmacological treatments for NAFLD. The cornerstone of NAFLD management remains lifestyle modification. In this section, we specifically discuss the current pharmacological treatments for modifying the NAFLD-related CVD risk.

Daily aspirin use is strongly recommended for adults with a prior history of CVD as well as for adults aged 40-70 years at high CVD risk but not at increased bleeding risk [109, 114]. In adults with a low risk of CVD or high risk of bleeding, the CVD benefits derived from aspirin do not outweigh the risk of bleeding and thus aspirin is not recommended. In a cohort of adults with histologically-defined NAFLD, aspirin use was associated with a lower risk of advanced liver fibrosis that increased as the duration of aspirin use increased. Importantly, the use of non-aspirin non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs did not impact liver fibrosis [121]. Thus, for NAFLD patients with a CVD indication for aspirin, especially those with a history of CVD, daily aspirin therapy should be strongly considered.

Several clinical trials have shown that the chronic use of statins markedly reduces the risk CVD events and all-cause mortality [122]. Importantly, statins are also safe to use in adults with NAFLD, but are often under-utilized [123]. We strongly recommend initiation of statin therapy for any patients with CVD indications, regardless of NAFLD. However, there is insufficient evidence to recommend statins to specifically treat NAFLD. That said, there is limited evidence that chronic use of statins may also be associated with a reduced risk of hepatocellular carcinoma [124].

Glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists (GLP-1 RAs) increase insulin production from pancreatic beta cells as well as muscle and adipose glucose uptakes while decreasing glucose production in the liver leading to decreased plasma glucose levels in adults with T2DM. Further, GLP-1 RAs decrease satiety and reduce gastric emptying leading to weight loss. Several GLP-1 RAs have been shown to exert beneficial CVD effects in adults with T2DM. For instance, in the Liraglutide Effect and Action in Diabetes: Evaluation of Cardiovascular Outcome Results (LEADER) trials, liraglutide significantly reduced CVD events, CVD-related mortality and all-cause mortality compared to placebo [125]. Semaglutide, as a weekly injection, also reduced CVD events, largely driven by a reduction in ischemic strokes compared to placebo, while dulaglutide reduced both CVD events and CVD-related deaths [126, 127]. At present, it remains debatable if the aforementioned CVD benefits are a class effect, because other GLP-1 RAs have shown borderline or no benefit in CVD event reduction [128, 129]. Data on the impact of GLP-1 agonists on arrhythmias is limited with a meta-analysis showing no association with GLP-1 agonists and permanent AF [130], and a study in rats showing reduced ventricular arrhythmic potential with administration of exendin-4 [131].

GLP-1 RAs may also be beneficial in NAFLD. In a phase 2 randomized controlled trial (the LEAN trial) that involved 52 obese patients with NASH, liraglutide 1.8 mg daily resulted in significantly higher rates of NASH resolution over 48 weeks when compared to placebo [132], although it remains uncertain whether benefit is mediated by weight loss with this agent. Currently, semaglutide is being evaluated for NASH treatment and an analysis of a phase 2 randomized controlled trial of semaglutide vs. placebo for NASH fibrosis stage 2-3 found that the highest dose of daily subcutaneous semaglutide 0.4 mg resulted in more frequent NASH resolution than placebo (59% vs. 17%,
Randomized controlled trials assessing the effect of semaglutide (NCT02970942, enrollment completed) or dulaglutide (NCT03648554) on NASH histology are currently ongoing.

Sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 (SGLT2) inhibitors act in the renal proximal tubule to prevent glucose reabsorption, reducing plasma glucose levels and causing glycosuria as well as diuresis, leading to reduction in blood pressure and body weight. In adults with established T2DM, empagliflozin was also associated with a significant reduction in the composite endpoint of myocardial infarction, stroke or CVD-related deaths, as well as reduction in hospital admissions for heart failure and all-cause mortality [133]. Canagliflozin in adults with T2DM also reduced a composite CVD event outcome and reduced heart failure admissions when compared to placebo and may have benefits in prevent progression of renal disease [134]. Further, SGLT2 may also be effecting in preventing or treating AF [135]. In a murine model of diabetes induced by high fat diet and low-dose streptomycin injection, Shao et al. reported that compared to the control group, diabetic rats had increased mitochondrial dysfunction and greater AF inducibility, suggesting an important role for mitochondrial dysfunction in the pathogenesis of AF in diabetes [136]. In this study, treatment with empagliflozin improved mitochondrial dysfunction and biogenesis, as well as atrial electrical and structural remodelling, supporting a possible beneficial role of empagliflozin for AF prevention in diabetes.

Both empagliflozin and canagliflozin have shown promise in the treatment of NAFLD. For instance, in adults with T2DM, 20 weeks of empagliflozin reduced hepatic fat content and reduced serum aminotransferase levels when compared to placebo [137]. In a single arm, pilot trial of 24 weeks empagliflozin in adults with well-controlled T2DM demonstrated a reduction in hepatic steatosis, hepatocyte ballooning and fibrosis compared to a historic control group [138]. Recently, other two randomized controlled trials assessed the impact of empagliflozin vs. placebo on liver fat assessed by MRI. The first study, among adults with NAFLD and well controlled T2DM, found that empagliflozin for 24 weeks significantly lowered liver fat content by MRI and body weight [139]. The second study, conducted in those without T2DM, found that, compared to placebo, 24 weeks of empagliflozin caused a significant decrease in liver fibrosis as assessed by transient elastography (Fibroscan®), although there was no difference in hepatic fat as assessed by controlled attenuated parameter [140]. A recent trial evaluated the impact of canagliflozin vs. placebo on liver fat levels. Canagliflozin reduced liver fat levels, a reduction that strongly correlated with weight loss and also improved hepatic insulin sensitivity [141]. As GLP-1 RAs and SGLT2-inhibitors have proven benefits in CVD and may also have benefits in NAFLD, not least to facilitate weight loss, we recommend consideration of these newer antihyperglycaemic drugs (alone or in combination with metformin) for most patients with T2DM and NAFLD.

It is known that use of pioglitazone in NASH patients has beneficial effects on serum liver enzyme levels, liver fat and resolution of NASH both in patients with and without T2DM [142-145]. When compared to its beneficial effects on NASH, the effect of pioglitazone on the histological severity of liver fibrosis is modest. However, in a trial of 101 patients with NASH and prediabetes or T2DM, who were randomly assigned to pioglitazone or placebo for 18 months, Cusi et al. reported that long-term pioglitazone
treatment was safe and effective in patients with prediabetes or T2DM and NASH [146]. Pioglitazone treatment also improved fibrosis stage and all other individual histologic scores of NASH. Interestingly, in a meta-analysis of 8 randomized trials, Musso et al. showed that pioglitazone treatment reduced advanced liver fibrosis in patients with NASH, irrespective of T2DM status [147]. Although pioglitazone is not currently approved outside the treatment for T2DM, the American and European guidelines for management of NAFLD recommended the use of pioglitazone in adults with biopsy-confirmed NASH, regardless of diabetes status [148, 149]. However, concerns about weight gain, fluid retention and risk of bone fractures may restrict the wider clinical use of pioglitazone in NAFLD patients. That said, taking into consideration that it is known that pioglitazone may also exert some cardiovascular benefits in patients with T2DM or prediabetes, this inexpensive, generic medication should also be considered in NASH patients without a history of congestive heart failure [150-152].

4.4 Impact of histologic improvement in NAFLD on CVD risk

While NAFLD is a risk factor for CVD, the impact of histologic improvement or resolution of NASH on CVD risk remains currently unknown [10]. A post-hoc analysis of the PIVENS trial found that patients with NASH resolution, independent of treatment arm, had significant improvements in serum triglyceride and HDL-C levels, as well as a decrease in the FRS when compared to those without NASH resolution [153]. A subsequent analysis of this trial also demonstrated that patients with NASH resolution showed a favorable increase in LDL particle size and an increase in the prevalence of LDL phenotype A, both associated with less atherogenic LDL particles and decreased CVD risk [154]. Thus, while the impact of NASH resolution on CVD risk is not yet known, resolution of NASH is associated with favorable changes both in lipid risk factors for CVD and in the FRS.

4.5 CVD testing and referral

For all adults with NAFLD and new-onset symptoms concerning for CVD, additional testing, including carotid ultrasonography, electrocardiogram, transthoracic echocardiography and cardiac stress testing can be performed and referral to a cardiologist is highly recommended. Coronary computed tomography angiography can also be considered for those unable to participate in exercise stress testing or with indeterminate stress testing results [155].

Collectively, whereas we acknowledge that any future decision on approval of new therapies for NAFLD is based upon the histological improvements in NASH and/or stage of liver fibrosis, we want to draw particular attention to the possible cardiometabolic effects of these newer drugs. Indeed, it is clinically important to understand how new therapies for NAFLD may impact the CVD risk profile in these patients [156]. The ideal therapeutic drug(s) in NAFLD would not only ameliorate liver disease but also prevent cardiovascular and cardiac/arrhythmic complications associated with NAFLD.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The current evidence strongly supports the notion that NAFLD is a ‘multisystem’ disease that adversely affects many extra-hepatic organ systems, including the cardiovascular system [10]. NAFLD independently increases the risk of coronary
atherosclerosis, cardiomyopathy and arrhythmias, which clinically result in increased CVD morbidity and mortality. This risk parallels the severity of NAFLD (especially the stage of liver fibrosis). Although further studies are needed to definitely establish the existence of an independent contribution of NAFLD to risk of developing adverse CVD outcomes and other cardiac/arrhythmic complications, all these observations may have important implications for decision-making in clinical practice and public health [10]. Indeed, all patients with NAFLD on primary prevention of CVD should undergo careful cardiovascular surveillance (at least by the use of algorithms for CVD risk prediction) and aggressive pharmacological treatment of coexisting CVD risk factors should be considered in NAFLD patients, as these patients are at higher risk for CVD events.
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FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. NAFLD-related effects on coronary arteries and other structures of the heart. NAFLD not only promotes accelerated coronary atherosclerosis, but also increases the risk of cardiomyopathy, cardiac valvular calcification, arrhythmias (mostly permanent atrial fibrillation) and some cardiac conduction defects. **Abbreviations:** NAFL, non-alcoholic fatty liver; NASH, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis

Figure 2. Inter-connections among NAFLD, type 2 diabetes, metabolic syndrome and risk of cardiovascular disease and cardiac/arrhythmic complications. The figure illustrates schematically in Panel A, the “vicious spiral” of worsening disease that occurs when NAFLD co-exists with type 2 diabetes (T2DM). Current evidence suggests that with the presence of NAFLD, both steatosis and liver fibrosis are associated with an increase in risk of incident cardiovascular disease (CVD). Presently it is uncertain whether any specific histological feature of NAFLD contributes a differentially greater risk of CVD. The presence of either NAFLD or T2DM increases the risk of, and development and progression, of the other disease. For example, liver
fat increases the risk of incident T2DM and with further progression of liver disease to liver fibrosis, the risk of T2DM is further increased. The coexistence of T2DM with NAFLD often makes it difficult to achieve good glycemic control, due to marked hepatic and peripheral insulin resistance. T2DM also increases progression of liver disease, with increased risk of NASH, advanced fibrosis, cirrhosis or hepatocellular carcinoma. When NAFLD and T2DM coexist, both conditions independently increase the risk of CVD and cardiac diseases. Panel B shows some of the key potential CVD and cardiac risk factors in patients with NAFLD.

Figure 3. Putative pathophysiological links between NAFLD, expanded adipose tissue and intestinal dysbiosis with the development of atherogenic dyslipidaemia. This figure illustrates schematically the development of atherogenic dyslipidaemia in NAFLD and its relationship with features of the metabolic syndrome. The figure also highlights the role of lipopolysaccharide (LPS), chylomicrons and adipose-derived factors, such as long-chain fatty acids (LCFAs), inflammatory cytokines and adipokines to influence liver lipid species [e.g., ceramides, di-palmitoyl phosphatidic acid (di-P PA) and diacylglycerols (DAGs)] that may affect liver inflammatory processes, insulin sensitivity and oxidative stress. Some NAFLD-related genetic variants, such as \textit{PNPLA3} 148M and \textit{TM6SF2} 167K (see text), may modify the accumulation of the lipid globule and very-low density lipoprotein (VLDL) production and secretion as a key component of the atherogenic lipoprotein profile and the presence of these genotypes may act to differentially modify CVD risk in patients with NAFLD. Two elements of the atherogenic lipoprotein profile [i.e., increased VLDL and decreased high-density lipoprotein (HDL) particles] are also both key components of the clinically diagnosed metabolic syndrome (i.e., increased VLDL particles manifest as increased fasting triglyceride concentrations and decreased HDL-cholesterol concentrations) that often occur with NAFLD and contribute to increased CVD risk.

Figure 4. Possible therapeutic approaches that reduce CVD risk and potentially modify also liver disease. The management of adult individuals with NAFLD to reduce the risk of CVD has yet to be entirely defined. The possible therapeutic approaches are formulated to target the underlying mechanisms that associate NAFLD and CVD. \textit{Abbreviations:} ACE, angiotensin-converting enzyme; SGLT2, sodium-glucose cotransporter-2; GLP-1 RA, glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonist; HF, heart failure; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma.
Table 1. Meta-analytic quantification of the excess of CVD risk (markers of subclinical atherosclerosis and major adverse CVD events), arrhythmias (atrial fibrillation) and presence of cardiac dysfunction or aortic-valve sclerosis in individuals with NAFLD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, Reference</th>
<th>Study characteristics</th>
<th>Markers/outcomes</th>
<th>Random-effects OR or HR (95% confidence intervals)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Markers of subclinical atherosclerosis</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhou YY et al. [11]</td>
<td>26 cross-sectional studies involving a total of 85,395 individuals (28.9% with NAFLD)</td>
<td>Increased carotid artery intima-media thickness/plaques</td>
<td>1.74 (1.47-2.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Increased arterial stiffness</td>
<td>1.56 (1.24-1.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Coronary artery calcification</td>
<td>1.40 (1.22-1.60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Circulatory endothelial dysfunction</td>
<td>3.73 (0.99-14.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fatal and non-fatal CVD events §</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Targher G et al. [12]</td>
<td>16 longitudinal studies involving a total of 34,043 individuals (36.3% with NAFLD); median follow-up of 6.9 years</td>
<td>Any fatal or nonfatal CVD events, n=16 studies</td>
<td>1.64 (1.26-2.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fatal CVD events (only), n=6 studies</td>
<td>1.31 (0.87-1.97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fatal and nonfatal CVD events (combined endpoint), n=5 studies</td>
<td>1.63 (1.06-2.48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nonfatal CVD events (only), n=5 studies</td>
<td>2.52 (1.52-4.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Subgroup analyses in patients with “more severe” NAFLD* (n=6 studies)</td>
<td>Fatal CVD events (only), n=3 studies</td>
<td>3.28 (2.26-4.77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fatal and nonfatal CVD events (combined endpoint), n=3 studies</td>
<td>1.94 (1.17-3.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Atrial fibrillation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mantovani A et al. [22]</td>
<td>5 cross-sectional and 4 longitudinal studies involving a total of 364,919 individuals (43% with NAFLD)</td>
<td>Prevalent atrial fibrillation, n=5 studies</td>
<td>2.07 (1.38-3.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.17 (2.05-13.0) for type 2 diabetics only</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Incident atrial fibrillation, n=4 studies</td>
<td>1.34 (0.92-1.95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.96 (1.42-17.3) for type 2 diabetics only</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cai X et al. [23]</td>
<td>6 longitudinal studies involving a total of 614,673 individuals (~40% with NAFLD); median follow-up of 10 years</td>
<td>Incident atrial fibrillation, n=6 studies</td>
<td>1.19 (1.04-1.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cardiac dysfunction</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wijarnpreecha K et al. [29]</td>
<td>12 cross-sectional studies involving a total of 280,645 individuals (~30% with NAFLD)</td>
<td>Left ventricular diastolic dysfunction on echocardiography</td>
<td>2.02 (1.47-2.79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aortic-valve sclerosis</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Di Minno MN et al. [38]</td>
<td>3 cross-sectional studies involving a total of 2,639 individuals (44.4% with NAFLD)</td>
<td>Aortic-valve sclerosis on echocardiography</td>
<td>2.28 (1.21-4.28)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
§ Fatal and/or non-fatal CVD events were defined as presence of cardiovascular death, non-fatal CVD events (i.e., acute myocardial infarction, angina, ischemic stroke or coronary revascularization procedures), or both.

* Defined by the presence of either NAFLD on imaging techniques plus either elevated serum gamma-glutamyltransferase concentrations or high NAFLD fibrosis score or high F-18 fluoro-deoxyglucose uptake on positron emission tomography, or by increasing liver fibrosis stage on histology.