

THE HOMOTOPY TYPES OF $SO(4)$ -GAUGE GROUPS

DAISUKE KISHIMOTO, INGRID MEMBRILLO-SOLIS, AND *STEPHEN THERIAULT

ABSTRACT. The homotopy types of gauge groups of principal $SO(4)$ -bundles over S^4 are classified p -locally for every prime p , and partial results are obtained integrally. The method generalizes to deal with any quotient of the form $(S^3)^n/Z$ where Z is a subgroup generated by $(-1, \dots, -1)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a topological group and P be a principal G -bundle over a base space X . The *gauge group* of P is the topological group of G -equivariant automorphisms of P which cover the identity map on X . If X is a finite CW -complex, Crabb and Sutherland [2] showed that, despite there possibly being infinitely many inequivalent principal G -bundles over X , there are only finitely many homotopy types for the corresponding gauge groups. There has been an intensive effort recently to classify the homotopy types of gauge groups, particularly in cases of interest to physics and geometry.

In this paper we consider the homotopy types of gauge groups of principal $SO(4)$ -bundles over S^4 . Our results are stated in more generality. Let Z be a subgroup of $(S^3)^n$ generated by an element $(-1, \dots, -1)$. Define

$$K_n = (S^3)^n/Z.$$

Then, in particular, $K_1 = SO(3)$ and $K_2 = SO(4)$. Let ϵ_i be the composite of the i -th inclusion $S^3 \rightarrow (S^3)^n$ and the projection $(S^3)^n \rightarrow K_n$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then $\pi_3(K_n) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ is generated by $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n$. Let $\mathcal{G}_{k_1, \dots, k_n}$ be the gauge group of a principal K_n -bundle over S^4 corresponding to $k_1\epsilon_1 + \dots + k_n\epsilon_n \in \pi_3(K_n)$. The aim of this paper is to classify the homotopy types of gauge groups $\mathcal{G}_{k_1, \dots, k_n}$ as k_1, \dots, k_n range over all integers.

Let $\{\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}\}$ denote a multiset consisting of elements a_1, \dots, a_n . For integers a and b , let (a, b) be their greatest common divisor.

Theorem 1.1. *The following hold:*

- (a) *if $\{(k_1, 12), \dots, (k_n, 12)\} = \{(l_1, 12), \dots, (l_n, 12)\}$ then there is a homotopy equivalence $\mathcal{G}_{k_1, \dots, k_n} \simeq \mathcal{G}_{l_1, \dots, l_n}$;*

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 55P15, 54C35, Secondary 81T13.

Key words and phrases. $SO(4)$, gauge group, homotopy type.

The first author is supported by JSPS KAKENHI (No. 17K05248), the second author is supported by EPSRC grant EP/N014189/1 and Leverhulme Trust grant RPG-2019-055.

* Corresponding Author.

(b) if there is a homotopy equivalence $\mathcal{G}_{k_1, \dots, k_n} \simeq \mathcal{G}_{l_1, \dots, l_n}$ then $\{(k_1, 4), \dots, (k_n, 4)\} = \{(l_1, 4), \dots, (l_n, 4)\}$ and $\{(k_1, 3), \dots, (k_n, 3)\} = \{(l_1, 3), \dots, (l_n, 3)\}$.

The weaker statement in Theorem 1.1 (b) stems from the fact that the homotopy equivalence induces an isomorphism of homotopy groups, but as we will see in Lemma 2.7, the isomorphism of second homotopy groups may take the form $\mathbb{Z}/12 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/1 \cong \mathbb{Z}/3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/4$ when $n = 2$, (where $\mathbb{Z}/1$ is the trivial group), which does not imply an equality between $\{(1, 12), (12, 12)\}$ and $\{(4, 12), (3, 12)\}$.

If one is willing to localize then a classification holds. For a nilpotent space X and a prime p , let $X_{(p)}$ be the localization of X at p . For an integer m , let $\nu_p(m)$ be the p -component of m .

Theorem 1.2. *Let p be a prime. There is a p -local homotopy equivalence $(\mathcal{G}_{k_1, \dots, k_n})_{(p)} \simeq (\mathcal{G}_{l_1, \dots, l_n})_{(p)}$ if and only if $\{\nu_p((k_1, 12)), \dots, \nu_p((k_n, 12))\} = \{\nu_p((l_1, 12)), \dots, \nu_p((l_n, 12))\}$.*

The $K_1 = SO(3)$ case in Theorem 1.1 is already known [7]. In this case, as there is only one index involved, Theorem 1.1 implies the stronger statement that $\mathcal{G}_k \simeq \mathcal{G}_l$ if and only if $(k, 12) = (l, 12)$. The key new case is for $K_2 = SO(4)$.

The $SO(4)$ and $Spin(4)$ cases are the last to consider among the principal G -bundles over S^4 when G is a connected, compact Lie group of type 2. A classification in the $SU(3)$ case was completed in [6] and the $PU(3)$ case in [5], a classification of the p -local homotopy types in the $Sp(2)$ case was completed in [10] and $PSp(2)$ in [5], the $U(2)$ -case was classified in [3], and the p -local homotopy types in the G_2 case were classified up to one factor of 2 in [8].

The overall strategy used to prove Theorem 1.1 is similar to that in the other type 2 cases, but distinctive features arise. The principal $SO(4)$ -bundles over S^4 are in one-to-one correspondence with $[S^4, BSO(4)] \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ and so require a multi-index, as opposed to the earlier cases which only required a single index. This leads to the use of multisets and the possibility that a homotopy equivalence $\mathcal{G}_{k_1, k_2} \simeq \mathcal{G}_{l_1, l_2}$ may arise via a permutation of indices. It also leads to the issue mentioned above of a group decomposition preventing an identification of multisets.

The authors would like to thank the referee for a careful reading of the paper that has improved its exposition.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Recall from [4] (cf. [1]) that there is a homotopy equivalence

$$B\mathcal{G}_{k_1, \dots, k_n} \simeq \text{map}(S^4, BK_n; k_1\epsilon_1 + \dots + k_n\epsilon_n),$$

where the right hand side is the path-connected component of the space of maps from S^4 to BK_n containing $k_1\epsilon_1 + \dots + k_n\epsilon_n$. Consider the homotopy fiber sequence

$$K_n \xrightarrow{\partial_{k_1, \dots, k_n}} \Omega_0^3 K_n \rightarrow \text{map}(S^4, BK_n; k_1\epsilon_1 + \dots + k_n\epsilon_n) \rightarrow BK_n,$$

where the last map is the evaluation at the basepoint. Then $\mathcal{G}_{k_1, \dots, k_n}$ is homotopy equivalent to the homotopy fiber of $\partial_{k_1, \dots, k_n}$. Let $\pi: K_n \rightarrow K_1^n$ be the canonical projection. Since $\Omega^3 \pi: \Omega_0^3 K_n \rightarrow (\Omega_0^3 K_1)^n$ is a homotopy equivalence, one obtains the following.

Lemma 2.1. *The gauge group $\mathcal{G}_{k_1, \dots, k_n}$ is homotopy equivalent to the homotopy fiber of $\Omega^3 \pi \circ \partial_{k_1, \dots, k_n}$.* \square

We need to identify the map $\Omega^3 \pi \circ \partial_{k_1, \dots, k_n}$. Whitehead [11] showed that the adjoint $S^4 \wedge K_n \rightarrow BK_n$ of $\partial_{k_1, \dots, k_n}$ is the Whitehead product of the adjoint of $k_1 \epsilon_1 + \dots + k_n \epsilon_n$ and the canonical inclusion $\Sigma K_n \rightarrow BK_n$. Thus by the adjointness of Whitehead products and Samelson products, we obtain the following.

Lemma 2.2. *The adjoint $S^3 \wedge K_n \rightarrow K_n$ of the map $\partial_{k_1, \dots, k_n}$ is the Samelson product $\langle k_1 \epsilon_1 + \dots + k_n \epsilon_n, 1_{K_n} \rangle$.* \square

Now we calculate $\pi \circ \langle k_1 \epsilon_1 + \dots + k_n \epsilon_n, 1_{K_n} \rangle$. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $p_i: K_1^n \rightarrow K_1$ be the projection onto the i^{th} -factor. Define π_i by the composite

$$\pi_i: K_n \xrightarrow{\pi} K_1^n \xrightarrow{p_i} K_1.$$

Define $\bar{\epsilon}_i$ and λ_i by the composites

$$\bar{\epsilon}_i: S^3 \xrightarrow{\epsilon_i} K_n \xrightarrow{\pi_i} K_1$$

$$\lambda_i: S^3 \wedge K_n \xrightarrow{\langle \bar{\epsilon}_i, \pi_i \rangle} K_1.$$

Observe that $\pi_i \circ (k_1 \epsilon_1 + \dots + k_n \epsilon_n) \simeq k_i \bar{\epsilon}_i$. Therefore, as π_i is a homomorphism,

$$\pi_i \circ \langle k_1 \epsilon_1 + \dots + k_n \epsilon_n, 1_{K_n} \rangle \simeq \langle \pi_i \circ (k_1 \epsilon_1 + \dots + k_n \epsilon_n), \pi_i \rangle \simeq k_i \langle \bar{\epsilon}_i, \pi_i \rangle = k_i \lambda_i.$$

Thus $\pi \circ \langle k_1 \epsilon_1 + \dots + k_n \epsilon_n, 1_{K_n} \rangle \simeq (k_1 \lambda_1, \dots, k_n \lambda_n)$. Let $k: K_1 \rightarrow K_1$ be the k^{th} -power map. Then $(k_1 \lambda_1, \dots, k_n \lambda_n) \simeq (k_1 \times \dots \times k_n) \circ (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$. Hence $\pi \circ \langle k_1 \epsilon_1 + \dots + k_n \epsilon_n, 1_{K_n} \rangle$ has the following linearity property.

Proposition 2.3. *There is a homotopy*

$$\pi \circ \langle k_1 \epsilon_1 + \dots + k_n \epsilon_n, 1_{K_n} \rangle \simeq (k_1 \times \dots \times k_n) \circ (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n).$$

Next, we determine the order of λ_i .

Proposition 2.4. *The order of $\lambda_i = \langle \bar{\epsilon}_i, \pi_i \rangle$ is 12 for each $1 \leq i \leq n$.*

Proof. The diagonal map $S^3 \rightarrow (S^3)^n$ induces an inclusion $j: K_1 \rightarrow K_n$ which is a section of π_i for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. On the one hand, since $\pi_i \circ j$ is the identity map on K_1 , the composite

$$S^3 \wedge K_1 \xrightarrow{1_{S^3} \wedge j} S^3 \wedge K_n \xrightarrow{\langle \bar{\epsilon}_i, \pi_i \rangle} K_1$$

is $\langle \bar{\epsilon}_i, 1_{K_1} \rangle$. By [7] the order of $\langle \bar{\epsilon}_i, 1_{K_1} \rangle$ is 12. Thus the order of $\langle \bar{\epsilon}_i, \pi_i \rangle$ is at least 12. On the other hand, observe that $\langle \bar{\epsilon}_i, \pi_i \rangle$ factors as the composite

$$S^3 \wedge K_n \xrightarrow{1_{S^3} \wedge \pi_i} S^3 \wedge K_1 \xrightarrow{\langle \bar{\epsilon}_i, 1_{K_1} \rangle} K_1.$$

Thus the order of $\langle \bar{\epsilon}_i, \pi_i \rangle$ is at most 12. Hence the order of $\langle \bar{\epsilon}_i, \pi_i \rangle$ is precisely 12. \square

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\alpha_i: X \rightarrow Y_i$ be a map of order p^{m_i} into a p -local H -space Y_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$. If $(k_i, p^{m_i}) = (l_i, p^{m_i})$ for all i , then there is a self-homotopy equivalence h_p of $Y_1 \times \cdots \times Y_n$ such that*

$$h_p \circ (k_1 \times \cdots \times k_n) \circ (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \simeq (l_1 \times \cdots \times l_n) \circ (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n).$$

Proof. If $(k_i, p^{m_i}) = (l_i, p^{m_i}) = p^{m_i}$ then the composites $k_i \circ \alpha_i$ and $l_i \circ \alpha_i$ are both null homotopic since α_i has order p^{m_i} . In that case let $h_{p,i}$ be the identity map on Y_i . If $(k_i, p^{m_i}) = (l_i, p^{m_i}) < p^{m_i}$, let $a_i = \frac{k_i}{(k_i, p^{m_i})}$ and $b_i = \frac{l_i}{(l_i, p^{m_i})}$. Then a_i and b_i are units in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, and in particular, the power maps $a_i, b_i: Y_i \rightarrow Y_i$ are homotopy equivalences. As $(k_i, p^{m_i}) = (l_i, p^{m_i})$ we obtain $\frac{b_i}{a_i} k_i = l_i$. Therefore if $h_{p,i} = b_i \circ a_i^{-1}$ then $h_{p,i} \circ k_i \simeq l_i$ as self-maps of Y_i . Thus $h_p = h_{p,1} \times \cdots \times h_{p,n}$ is the desired self-homotopy equivalence. \square

Proposition 2.6. *If $\{(k_1, 12), \dots, (k_n, 12)\} = \{(l_1, 12), \dots, (l_n, 12)\}$, then $\mathcal{G}_{k_1, \dots, k_n}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{l_1, \dots, l_n}$ are homotopy equivalent.*

Proof. By assumption, there is a permutation σ such that $((k_{\sigma(1)}, 12), \dots, (k_{\sigma(n)}, 12)) = ((l_1, 12), \dots, (l_n, 12))$. We denote the permutation of $(S^3)^n$ induced from σ by the same symbol. This automorphism induces an automorphism of K_n which we denote by $\bar{\sigma}$. The automorphism $\bar{\sigma}$ induces a homotopy commutative diagram of Samelson products

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^3 \wedge K_n & \xrightarrow{\langle k_1 \epsilon_1 + \cdots + k_n \epsilon_n, 1_{K_n} \rangle} & K_n \\ \downarrow 1 \wedge \bar{\sigma} & & \downarrow \bar{\sigma} \\ S^3 \wedge K_n & \xrightarrow{\langle k_{\sigma(1)} \epsilon_{\sigma(1)} + \cdots + k_{\sigma(n)} \epsilon_{\sigma(n)}, 1_{K_n} \rangle} & K_n \end{array}$$

Taking adjoints, by Lemma 2.2 we obtain $\Omega^3 \bar{\sigma} \circ \partial_{k_1, \dots, k_n} \simeq \partial_{k_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, k_{\sigma(n)}} \circ \bar{\sigma}$. Composing with the map $\Omega^3 K \xrightarrow{\Omega^3 \pi} \Omega^3 K_1^n$ and using the fact that $\Omega_0^3 K_1^n \simeq (\Omega_0^3 S^3)^n$, we obtain a homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_n & \xrightarrow{\Omega^3 \pi \circ \partial_{k_1, \dots, k_n}} & (\Omega_0^3 S^3)^n \\ \downarrow \bar{\sigma} & & \downarrow \Omega^3 \sigma \\ K_n & \xrightarrow{\Omega^3 \pi \circ \partial_{k_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, k_{\sigma(n)}}} & (\Omega_0^3 S^3)^n \end{array}$$

By Lemma 2.1, the homotopy fibre of $\Omega^3 \pi \circ \partial_{k_1, \dots, k_n}$ is $\mathcal{G}_{k_1, \dots, k_n}$. So as σ and $\bar{\sigma}$ are homotopy equivalences, this diagram induces a homotopy equivalence $\mathcal{G}_{k_1, \dots, k_n} \simeq \mathcal{G}_{k_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, k_{\sigma(n)}}$. Thus, for ease of notation in what follows, we may assume without loss of generality that the permutation σ is the identity.

By Proposition 2.3, $\pi \circ \langle k_1 \epsilon_1 + \cdots + k_n \epsilon_n, 1_{K_n} \rangle \simeq (k_1 \times \cdots \times k_n) \circ (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$. Since $\pi_i(\Omega_0^3 S^3)$ is finite for each i , there is a homotopy equivalence

$$\Omega_0^3 S^3 \simeq \prod_{p \in P} \Omega_0^3 S^3_{(p)}$$

where P is the set of all primes and $S_{(p)}^3$ is the localization of S^3 at p . Let $\widehat{\lambda}_i: K_n \rightarrow \Omega_0^3 S^3$ be the adjoint of λ_i and let

$$(\widehat{\lambda}_1, \dots, \widehat{\lambda}_n)_p: K_n \rightarrow (\Omega_0^3 S_{(p)}^3)^n$$

be the composite of $(\widehat{\lambda}_1, \dots, \widehat{\lambda}_n)$ and the map localizing $(\Omega_0^3 S^3)^n$ to $(\Omega_0^3 S_{(p)}^3)^n$. For convenience, the m^{th} -power map on $\Omega_0^3 S^3$ will also be denoted by m . By Lemma 2.5, for each prime p there is a self-homotopy equivalence h_p of $(\Omega_0^3 S_{(p)}^3)^n$ satisfying a homotopy commutative diagram

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} K_n & \xrightarrow{(\widehat{\lambda}_1, \dots, \widehat{\lambda}_n)_p} & (\Omega_0^3 S_{(p)}^3)^n & \xrightarrow{k_1 \times \dots \times k_n} & (\Omega_0^3 S_{(p)}^3)^n \\ \parallel & & & & \downarrow h_p \\ K_n & \xrightarrow{(\widehat{\lambda}_1, \dots, \widehat{\lambda}_n)_p} & (\Omega_0^3 S_{(p)}^3)^n & \xrightarrow{l_1 \times \dots \times l_n} & (\Omega_0^3 S_{(p)}^3)^n. \end{array}$$

Note that since each $k_i \circ \widehat{\lambda}_i$ is a divisor of 12, the map h_p is a homotopy equivalence if $p \geq 5$. Let h be the composite

$$h: (\Omega_0^3 S^3)^n \xrightarrow{\simeq} \prod_{p \in P} (\Omega_0^3 S_{(p)}^3)^n \xrightarrow{\prod_{p \in P} h_p} \prod_{p \in P} (\Omega_0^3 S_{(p)}^3)^n \xrightarrow{\simeq} (\Omega_0^3 S^3)^n.$$

Since each h_p is a homotopy equivalence, so is h . From the diagrams (2.1) at each p we obtain a homotopy commutative diagram

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} K_n & \xrightarrow{(\widehat{\lambda}_1, \dots, \widehat{\lambda}_n)} & (\Omega_0^3 S^3)^n & \xrightarrow{k_1 \times \dots \times k_n} & (\Omega_0^3 S^3)^n \\ \parallel & & & & \downarrow h \\ K_n & \xrightarrow{(\widehat{\lambda}_1, \dots, \widehat{\lambda}_n)} & (\Omega_0^3 S^3)^n & \xrightarrow{l_1 \times \dots \times l_n} & (\Omega_0^3 S^3)^n. \end{array}$$

On the one hand, by definition, $\widehat{\lambda}_i$ is the adjoint of λ_i , so the adjoint of $(k_1 \times \dots \times k_n) \circ (\widehat{\lambda}_1, \dots, \widehat{\lambda}_n)$ is $(k_1 \times \dots \times k_n) \circ (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$, which by Proposition 2.3 is homotopic to $\pi \circ \langle k_1 \epsilon_1 + \dots + k_n \epsilon_n, 1_{K_n} \rangle$. On the other hand, by Lemma 2.2, $\pi \circ \partial_{k_1, \dots, k_n}$ is also the adjoint of $\pi \circ \langle k_1 \epsilon_1 + \dots + k_n \epsilon_n, 1_{K_n} \rangle$. Thus $(k_1 \times \dots \times k_n) \circ (\widehat{\lambda}_1, \dots, \widehat{\lambda}_n) \simeq \pi \circ \partial_{k_1, \dots, k_n}$. Hence (2.2) may be rewritten as a homotopy commutative diagram

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} K_n & \xrightarrow{\Omega^3 \pi \circ \partial_{k_1, \dots, k_n}} & (\Omega_0^3 S^3)^n \\ \parallel & & \downarrow h \\ K_n & \xrightarrow{\Omega^3 \pi \circ \partial_{l_1, \dots, l_n}} & (\Omega_0^3 S^3)^n. \end{array}$$

By Lemma 2.1, the homotopy fibres of $\Omega^3 \pi \circ \partial_{k_1, \dots, k_n}$ and $\Omega^3 \pi \circ \partial_{l_1, \dots, l_n}$ respectively are $\mathcal{G}_{k_1, \dots, k_n}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{l_1, \dots, l_n}$. From (2.3) there is an induced map of homotopy fibres $\mathcal{G}_{k_1, \dots, k_n} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{l_1, \dots, l_n}$. As h is a homotopy equivalence, this induced map of homotopy fibres is also a homotopy equivalence, completing the proof. \square

Let $\tilde{\epsilon}_i: S^3 \rightarrow (S^3)^n$ be the i -th inclusion for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k_1, \dots, k_n}$ be the gauge group of a principal $(S^3)^n$ -bundle over S^4 corresponding to $k_1\tilde{\epsilon}_1 + \dots + k_n\tilde{\epsilon}_n$. Then there is an isomorphism

$$\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k_1, \dots, k_n} \cong \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k_1} \times \dots \times \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k_n}.$$

where each $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k_i}$ is the gauge group of the principal S^3 bundle over S^4 classified by $k_i \in \mathbb{Z} \cong \pi_4(BS^3)$.

On the other hand, there is a two sheeted covering $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k_1, \dots, k_n} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{k_1, \dots, k_n}$. Therefore for all $m \geq 2$ there is an isomorphism

$$(2.4) \quad \pi_m(\mathcal{G}_{k_1, \dots, k_n}) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \pi_m(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k_i}).$$

In particular, by [9], $\pi_2(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_k) \cong \mathbb{Z}/\frac{12}{(k,12)}$, so we obtain the following.

Lemma 2.7. $\pi_2(\mathcal{G}_{k_1, \dots, k_n}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/\frac{12}{(k_1,12)} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/\frac{12}{(k_n,12)}$. □

Observe that the isomorphism in Lemma 2.7 does not imply that there is an equality of multisets $\{(k_1, 12), \dots, (k_n, 12)\} = \{(l_1, 12), \dots, (l_n, 12)\}$. For example, if $n = 2$ then $\mathbb{Z}/12 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/1 \cong \mathbb{Z}/3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/4$ but $\{(1, 12), (12, 12)\} \neq \{(4, 12), (3, 12)\}$. However, if we work one prime at a time we do get an equality of multisets.

Proposition 2.8. *If $\mathcal{G}_{k_1, \dots, k_n} \simeq \mathcal{G}_{l_1, \dots, l_n}$ then $\{(k_1, 4), \dots, (k_n, 4)\} = \{(l_1, 4), \dots, (l_n, 4)\}$ and $\{(k_1, 3), \dots, (k_n, 3)\} = \{(l_1, 3), \dots, (l_n, 3)\}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.7, the homotopy equivalence $\mathcal{G}_{k_1, \dots, k_n} \simeq \mathcal{G}_{l_1, \dots, l_n}$ implies that there is an isomorphism of groups

$$(2.5) \quad \mathbb{Z}/\frac{12}{(k_1, 12)} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/\frac{12}{(k_n, 12)} \cong \mathbb{Z}/\frac{12}{(l_1, 12)} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/\frac{12}{(l_n, 12)}.$$

For a prime p , let $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ be the integers localized at p . Tensoring the isomorphism (2.5) with $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$ gives a group isomorphism

$$\mathbb{Z}/\frac{4}{(k_1, 4)} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/\frac{4}{(k_n, 4)} \cong \mathbb{Z}/\frac{4}{(l_1, 4)} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/\frac{4}{(l_n, 4)}.$$

The groups $\mathbb{Z}/1$, $\mathbb{Z}/2$ and $\mathbb{Z}/4$ appearing on either side of this isomorphism are indecomposable, so each side must have the same number of generators of each order. Hence $\{(k_1, 4), \dots, (k_n, 4)\} = \{(l_1, 4), \dots, (l_n, 4)\}$. The same argument applies if (2.5) is tensored with $\mathbb{Z}_{(3)}$. □

Finally, we prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Combine Propositions 2.6 and 2.8. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Suppose there is a p -local homotopy equivalence $(\mathcal{G}_{k_1, \dots, k_n})_{(p)} \simeq (\mathcal{G}_{l_1, \dots, l_n})_{(p)}$. Notice that $\nu_2((k_i, 12)) = (k_i, 4)$ and $\nu_3((k_i, 12)) = (k_i, 3)$, so Proposition 2.8 proves the $p = 2$ and $p = 3$ cases. If $p \geq 5$ then $\nu_p((k_i, 12)) = 1$ and $\nu_p((l_i, 12)) = 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, so the asserted equality of multisets holds. The converse is proved using the

same argument as for Proposition 2.6; in fact, it is easier since we need only consider the factor $\Omega_0^3 S_{(p)}^3$ of $\Omega_0^3 S^3 = \prod_{p \in P} \Omega_0^3 S_{(p)}^3$. \square

Remark 2.9. Some generalization is possible. Let G be a simply-connected, simple compact Lie group with centre $Z(G)$. Let $L_n = G^n/Z$ where Z is the subgroup generated by the image of the diagonal map $Z(G) \rightarrow Z(G)^n$. Replacing K_n with L_n , the material in Section 2 through to Proposition 2.4 generalizes, where the order of $\lambda_i = \langle \bar{\epsilon}_i, \pi_i \rangle$ may no longer be 12 but it is a fixed number M for all $1 \leq k \leq n$. The proof of Proposition 2.6 leading to (2.1) holds, giving the statement that if $\{(k_1, M), \dots, (k_n, M)\} = \{(l_1, M), \dots, (l_n, M)\}$ then $\mathcal{G}_{k_1, \dots, k_n}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{l_1, \dots, l_n}$ are p -locally homotopy equivalent for each prime p . However, as $\Omega_0^3 G$ may not only have torsion homotopy groups, the argument for (2.2) leading to an integral homotopy equivalence of gauge groups will not hold. Further, the converse statement in Proposition 2.8 requires the homotopy type of $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_k$ to be determined by a homotopy set that depends on (k, M) , which is not known to hold in general.

REFERENCES

- [1] M.F. Atiyah and R. Bott, The Yang-Mills equations over Riemann surfaces, *Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A* **308** (1983), 523-615.
- [2] M.C. Crabb and W.A. Sutherland, Counting homotopy types of gauge groups, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **81** (2000), 747-768.
- [3] T. Cutler, The homotopy types of $U(n)$ -gauge groups over S^4 and $\mathbb{C}P^2$, *Homology, Homotopy and Appl.* **20** (2018), 5-36.
- [4] D.H. Gottlieb, Applications of bundle map theory, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **171** (1972), 23-50.
- [5] S Hasui, D. Kishimoto, A. Kono and T. Sato, The homotopy types of $PU(3)$ and $PSp(2)$ -gauge groups, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **16** (2016), 1813-1825.
- [6] H. Hamanaka and A. Kono, Unstable K^1 -group and homotopy type of certain gauge groups, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **136** (2006), 149-155.
- [7] Y. Kamiyama, D. Kishimoto, A. Kono and S. Tsukuda, Samelson products of $SO(3)$ and applications, *Glasgow Math. J.* **49** (2007), 405-409.
- [8] D. Kishimoto, S. Theriault and M. Tsutaya, The homotopy types of G_2 -gauge groups, *Topol. Appl.* **228** (2017), 92-107.
- [9] A. Kono, A note on the homotopy type of certain gauge groups, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **117** (1991), 295-297.
- [10] S.D. Theriault, The homotopy types of $Sp(2)$ -gauge groups, *Kyoto J. Math.* **50** 2010, 591-605.
- [11] G.W. Whitehead, On products in homotopy groups, *Ann. of Math (2)* **47** (1946), 460-475.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO, 606-8502, JAPAN

E-mail address: kishi@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp

MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHAMPTON, SOUTHAMPTON SO17 1BJ, UNITED KINGDOM

E-mail address: I.Membrillo-Solis@soton.ac.uk

MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHAMPTON, SOUTHAMPTON SO17 1BJ, UNITED KINGDOM

E-mail address: S.D.Theriault@soton.ac.uk