1	Landward shifts of the maximum accretion zone in the tidal
2	reach of the Changjiang Estuary following construction of the
3	Three Gorges Dam
4	Xuefei Mei ¹ , Zhijun Dai ^{1, 2} , Stephen E. Darby ³ , Min Zhang ^{1, 4} , Huayang Cai ⁵ , Jie Wang ¹ , Wen Wei ¹
5	¹ State Key Laboratory of Estuarine and Coastal Research, East China Normal University, Shanghai
6	200062, China.
7	² Qingdao National Laboratory for Marine Science and Technology, Qingdao 266100, China.
8	³ School of Geography and Environmental Sciences, University of Southampton, Southampton SO17
9	1BJ, UK.
10	⁴ Department of Geography, Shanghai Normal University, Shanghai, China
11	⁵ School of Marine Engineering and Technology, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China
12	
13	Correspondence: Zhijun Dai (zjdai@sklec.ecnu.edu.cn)
14	

16 Abstract

Impacts from anthropogenic activities have substantially modified the geomorphology of most of the 17 world's large rivers. While many studies have focused on the fluvially-dominated and estuarine/delta 18 segments of these rivers, their tidal reaches that links the river to the estuarine delta is much less 19 extensively documented. Yet, morphological variations in these key transition zones, however, 20 21 directly affect the transfer of water and sediment to the sea, and have a significant influence on the delta environment. Here, we analyze the morphological variation of the Datong-Xuliujing Reach 22 (DXR), the tidal reach of the Changjiang River, following the closure and operation of the Three 23 Gorges Dam, using a unique dataset combining surveys in 1992, 2002, 2008 and 2013. The results 24 demonstrate that the DXR exhibits three different morphological development phases. When 25 sediment supply is high (at 3.18×10^8 t/y), the DXR experienced deposition (1992-2002) with the 26 maximum accretion zone located in the middle portions of the reach. Thereafter (2002-2008) the 27 channel underwent a major period of erosion coincident with a substantial decline of fluvial sediment 28 supply (to 1.72×10^8 t/y). More recently (i.e., during 2008-2013), the entire reach experienced 29 deposition, but with the maximum accretion zone shifting around 100 km landward (compared to its 30 position in 1992-2002), while the riverine sediment supply was further reduced to 1.30×10^8 t/y. Our 31 analytical modelling further reveals that a damped high fluvial discharge, induced by Three Gorges 32 Dam regulation, and a relatively strong water level fluctuation induced by tidal forcing in the wet 33 season, are responsible for the upstream shift in the maximum accretion zone. In addition, local 34 variations caused by sand mining and dredging generate spatial nonuniformity in the observed 35 36 patterns of erosion and deposition along the DXR. Such knowledge is of vital importance for the sustainable management of large alluvial rivers and their tidal reaches as they respond to natural and 37 anthropogenic effects. 38

Keywords: accretion zone; tidal reach; Changjiang Estuary; Three Gorges Dam

41 **1. Introduction**

The world's estuarine deltas are dynamic transition zones where rivers meet the ocean (Savenije, 42 2012; Nienhuis et al., 2018). Estuarine deltas are critically important environments as they provide 43 important ecosystem services that support the lives and livelihoods of hundreds of millions of people 44 worldwide (Ericson et al., 2006; Tessler et al., 2015; Angamuthu et al., 2018). However, as low-lying 45 environments subject to the continually changing balance between competing fluvial and tidal 46 processes, there is concern that they are facing a major sustainability crisis of subsidence (Syvitski et 47 al., 2009; Lentsch et al., 2018; Dunn et al., 2019). Specifically, many of the world's estuarine deltas 48 are sinking as a result of the extraction of water, oil and gas from the subsurface (Syvitski et al., 2009; 49 Hoitink et al., 2017). Other human activities, such as the embankment of delta channels and the 50 construction of dams in the river catchments that feed them (Kondolf et al., 2014; Dunn et al., 2019) 51 52 are preventing the natural aggradation of delta plains, which could further exacerbate such delta lowering (Paola et al., 2011; Giosan et al., 2013, 2014). Alongside the removal of sand from channels 53 54 to promote navigation and for use as building aggregate (Auerbach et al., 2015; Hackney et al., 2020), these pressures are collectively changing the natural functioning of deltas. Indeed, the intensity of 55 human interventions across the world's estuarine deltas is now so high that the morphodynamic 56 evolution of many deltas can arguably no longer be considered natural, placing a number of deltas in 57 58 greater danger of inundation due to reductions in floodplain sedimentation, accelerated subsidence and sea-level rise (Nicholls et al., 2016; Lentsch et al., 2018). 59

The scale of these human disturbances within, and upstream, of estuarine deltas may be expected to have significant impacts. For example, the construction of reservoirs and the subsequent operation of dams, may lead to seasonal changes in the delivery of freshwater flows that, in turn, lead to adjustments in the function of fluvial and estuarine hydrology (Poff et al., 2007; Assani et al., 2011;

Mei et al., 2015). Within estuarine deltas, changes in channel (for example, due to sand mining) and 64 delta-plain morphology (for example, due to land reclamation) are also known to have non-local 65 impacts on changes in the tidal prism (Angamuthu et al., 2018; Bain et al., 2019), feeding back to 66 further destabilise channel morphology. Importantly, these pressures are stressing many large rivers 67 and their estuarine deltas, including major rivers in east and south Asia such as the Mekong River in 68 Vietnam, the Ganges River in India, and the Pearl and Changjiang Rivers (the latter is the focus of 69 this study) in China. Indeed, most of the world's large rivers have now been significantly dammed in 70 their central and upper reaches, resulting in changes in hydraulics and morphological adjustments in 71 their lower reaches (Räsänen et al., 2017; Rahman et al., 2018; Dunn et al., 2019). Moreover, these 72 environmental stresses are expected to increase, both as a result of ongoing climate change, as well 73 as increasing population, urbanization and socio-economic change (Darby et al., 2016; Angamuthu 74 75 et al., 2018; Dunn et al., 2019). A detailed understanding of the ways in which tidal channel morphology adjusts to environmental change is, therefore, an essential pre-requisite for ensuring that 76 77 the world's estuarine deltas can be managed in ways that increase their resilience.

As the longest river in Asia, the Changjiang (Yangtze) River has been subjected to extensive human 78 79 interference, particularly the construction of the Three Gorges Dam (TGD) in 2003, the world's largest piece of hydraulic infrastructure (Mei et al., 2015; Dai et al., 2016). Although many previous 80 studies have investigated the morphological response of the Changjiang since the closure of the TGD, 81 these prior works have mainly focused on either the upstream river basin that is completely dominated 82 by fluvial discharge (e.g. Yuan et al., 2012; Lai et al, 2017; Mei et al., 2018; Deng et al., 2019), or 83 the estuarine delta that is directly and significantly affected by tidal asymmetry (Kuang et al., 2013; 84 Luan et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2018). In contrast, much less information is available on the 85 erosion/accretion status of the tidally-influenced reach that links the river basin to the delta, much 86

J

less to consider how the combined effects of dam construction and altered flow regime have affected 87 the morphology of this critical transition zone. Located in the last 500 km of the river, the tidal reach 88 of the Changjiang is characterized by a dynamic environment which is dominated by fluvial forcing, 89 but affected by tidally-induced water level fluctuations. No long-term observations are available for 90 water and sediment discharge along this tidal reach due to its complicated hydrodynamic conditions 91 92 (Mei et al., 2019). The same problem exists in many other large rivers, such as the Mekong (Lauri et al., 2012) and Mississippi (Wang et al., 2018), which are relatively under-studied in their lowermost 93 reaches. This situation severely hinders management of the Changjiang's estuarine delta, one of the 94 world's economically most important, because morphological processes along the tidal reach can 95 significantly affect the sediment budget of the entire system. This study directly fills this gap by 96 addressing the following specific objectives: 1) To detect the morphological changes of the tidal 97 98 Changjiang before and after construction of the TGD, using conventional bathymetric surveys; 2) To explore, using an analytical model, how the altered spatial-temporal hydrodynamics affect the 99 observed morphological changes along the tidal Changjiang; 3) To identify the potential drivers of 100 the morphological evolution in the study area. The knowledge derived from this study can be 101 considered as an analogue for other major meso-tidal systems (such as the Mekong and Pearl) that 102 have similar seasonal flow regimes and tidal ranges, and which are facing similar environmental 103 pressures. 104

105

106 **2. Study Location**

The Changjiang (Yangtze) River basin, extending 6300 km from the Tibetan Plateau to the East
China Sea, covers about 20% of the total area of China (Yan et al., 2010). The specific focus of this

study is the 512 km-long portion between Datong and Xuliujing (117°37' E -121°59' E and 30°46' 109 N-31°00' N, Fig. 1A) (hereafter, DXR), the lowermost part of the Changjiang River that connects 110 the fluvial system to the estuarine delta. Datong, as the tidal limit during the dry season, indicates the 111 upstream most influence of tide. Downstream of Xuliujing, the Changjiang River directly branches 112 into the estuary. Therefore, the Datong-Xuliujing reach (DXR) is distinctive from both the river and 113 the estuary, with an obvious transition between the fluvially and tidally-dominant zones. Note that 114 the lowermost limit of the DXR is still over 100 km away from the East China Sea, its response to 115 the tidal forcing is mainly in terms of water level fluctuations, rather than tidal asymmetry (Mei et al., 116 2019). 117

Over the period of 1992-2013, annually there were 9×10^{11} m³ and 2.31×10^{8} t of water and 118 suspended sediment discharge, respectively, entering into the DXR through Datong (Fig. S1). Being 119 120 strongly affected by the Asian monsoon climate, the river's hydrological regime is highly seasonal, with more than 70% of the flow discharge passing Datong during the wet season between May and 121 122 October (Chen et al., 2016). The river planform is characterized by the interconnection of relatively straight and meandering channel patterns (Fig. 1B). The Changjiang Estuary is a meso-tidal system 123 with a mean tidal range of 2.2 m at Xuliujing (Fig. S2), which is primarily the result of the semidiurnal 124 M_2 tidal constituent, followed in importance by the S_2 and K_1 tides (Zhang et al., 2018). There is no 125 spring/neap variation in the tidal amplitude at Xuliujing, but a slight seasonal variation is observed, 126 with the tidal range in wet season (May to October) being on average 0.1 m higher than in the dry 127 season (November to April) (Fig. S2). Xuliujing is dominated by the ebb tide, with average flood and 128 129 ebb durations of 4.5 h and 8 h, respectively, and correspondingly, the mean flood and ebb tidal currents are 0.61 m/s and 1.02 m/s. Datong and Zhenjiang mark the approximate limits of the tidal backwater 130 influence in the dry and flood seasons, respectively. The stations at Nanjing and Jiangyin represent 131

the uppermost limits to which the tidal flood currents penetrate, again in the dry and flood seasons, respectively (Mei et al., 2019; the locations of these interfaces are indicated on Fig. 1B). The upper limit of the DXR is located some 1200 km downstream of the TGD (see Fig. 1A for location). The TGD project began in 2003; by 2009, when full operations began, the total water storage capacity was ~40 billion m³, equivalent to 5 % of the Changjiang's mean annual discharge (Bao et al., 2015).

137 **3. Data collection and methods**

Four types of data were collected in this study: 1) topographic maps, dating from 1992, 2002, 2008 138 and 2013 (in each case the surveys on which the maps are based were undertaken during the wet 139 season, i.e. between May and August), were obtained from the Changjiang Waterway Bureau and 140 used to determine morphological changes along the DXR and to provide the geometric characteristic 141 parameters used subsequently in the analytical model. The resolution of the bathymetric data is further 142 143 introduced in Section 3.1; 2) Daily water and suspended sediment discharges at Datong were obtained from the Changjiang Water Resources Commission for the period from 1992 to 2013 (the location of 144 Datong is indicated on Fig. 1B). Water discharge was estimated through the representative vertical 145 line method, which divides the entire cross-section into 6 bins by 5 velocity verticals following the 146 code for hydrologic data processing in China (MWRPRC, 2012). For each bin, its discharge is the 147 product of the wetted cross-sectional area and the mean flow velocity of the corresponding 148 representative line (Mei et al., 2019). A vertical velocity profile is measured with acoustic Doppler 149 current profilers (ADCP). Suspended sediment discharge was obtained as the product of the discharge 150 and suspended sediment concentration. Suspended sediment concentration in fluid is measured by 151 152 filtering and drying of the samples that were obtained from horizontal suspended sediment sampler with a storage capacity of 1000 ml (MWRPRC, 2012); 3) Hourly tidal levels, as measured at 153 Xuliujing in 2002 and 2013, were obtained from the Changjiang Water Conservancy Committee; 4) 154

Monthly mean tidal ranges and water levels in 2002 and 2013 at Wuhu (WH), Maanshan (MAS), Nanjing (NJ), Zhenjiang (ZJ), Jiangyin (JY), and Tianshenggang (TSG) were obtained from the Changjiang Hydrology Bureau of the People's Republic of China (the locations of these stations are indicated on Fig. 1B).

To address the issues outlined in Section 1, we firstly conducted an empirical analysis using repeat 159 bathymetric surveys, which enabled us to quantify the evolution of the Changjiang tidal channel's 160 morphology before and after construction of the TGD (Section 3.1). Then, we employed a well-161 developed analytical model (Cai et al., 2013, 2016, 2019), in a range of simulation experiments to 162 further analyze how the changes in flow regime, channel morphology and tidal amplitude affect the 163 spatial patterns of tide-river hydrodynamics in the wet season (Section 3.2). By combining the data 164 obtained from both approaches we seek to link the observed changes in morphology to their causative 165 166 mechanisms.

167

168 **3.1 Bathymetric change analysis**

To quantify the change in the morphology of the DXR before and after completion of the TGD, we 169 employed a dataset compiled from 4 topographic maps. The maps dating from 1992 and 2002 170 represent the bathymetric information before the construction of TGD, while the charts from 2008 171 and 2013 reflect the morphological response since its subsequent closure and operation. From each 172 map around 700-900 cross sections, spaced at a distance of around 400-600 m (this is equivalent to 173 one cross-section for roughly every 2 to 3 channel widths based on the minimum channel width) were 174 175 digitized to characterize the channel bathymetry. The cartographic data used to compile the original maps were acquired using shipborne dual-frequency echo sounders for depth measurement and GPS 176 devices for positioning, with a vertical error of approximately 0.2 m and a positioning error of 1 m. 177

Such small depth and position errors highlight the high quality of the data (Dai et al., 2014). The map
scales range from 1:20,000 to 1:80,000 (Table S1A-D).

The data from the maps were transferred into depth points relative to Beijing 54 coordinates and were corrected to the 'Wusong Datum' (which refers to the lowest water level) using ArcGIS. Thereafter, the vector bathymetric point data from each map were gridded using the Kriging interpolation method to generate a digital elevation model (DEM) with 50×50 m grid resolution (van der Wal et al., 2002; Blott et al., 2006). Spatial variations in deposition and erosion of the river bottom were then obtained by comparing the riverbed elevations of two adjacent (in time) surveys using:

186
$$\Delta h(p, t_1, t_2) = h_2(p, t_2) - h_1(p, t_1)$$
 (1)

187 where $h_1(p, t_1)$ and $h_2(p, t_2)$ are, respectively, the riverbed elevations at times t_1 and t_2 at any 188 position p(x, y). In this study, the entire 512 km length of the DXR is equally divided into 20 sub-189 reaches, each with a downstream interval of 25.6 km. Then, the statistical characteristics of the sub-190 reach averaged change in bed elevation, $\Delta h(p, t_1, t_2)$, within each of these downstream intervals 191 were analysed by fitting a Gaussian distribution, a typical pattern used in geoscience research 192 (Montreuil et al., 2014; Dai et al., 2018), to the long-stream variations in erosion/deposition:

193
$$f(\Delta h) = aexp^{(-(\Delta h - b)^2/2c^2)}$$
 (2)

194 where $f(\Delta h)$ is the probability density function of Δh , with *a* indicating the height of the curve's 195 peak, *b* indicating the position of the center of the peak, and *c* indicating the standard deviation. In 196 this way the patterns of erosion and deposition in each epoch of change were represented as statistical 197 models (Gaussian models) with respect to distance along the reach.

198

199 **3.2** Simulations of river-tide hydrodynamics

200 To explore how the construction and operation of the TGD may affect the spatial-temporal patterns

of tide-river hydrodynamics in the DXR during the wet season, the variation of the residual (tide-201 averaged) water level slope in the momentum equation were calculated using the well-developed 202 analytical model of Cai et al. (2013; 2016; 2019). Flow deceleration around the maximum residual 203 water level slope should render a transition zone for processes of sediment transport and deposition, 204 with most deposition taking place around this region and thus generating a maximum sediment 205 deposition zone (Lamb et al., 2012). This can be attributed to the sudden decrease of velocity when 206 the water surface transitions from a steep to more gentle gradient. The performance of this analytical 207 model in the Changjiang Estuary has been compared with the numerical TELEMAC model that 208 considers both the real bathymetrical condition and time varying inputs. The results of this 209 comparison exercise showed that the two models are consistent in reproducing the seasonal behavior 210 of tide-river dynamics and estimating the residual water level profile for a wide range of tide and 211 river discharge scenarios (Zhang et al., 2016), demonstrating that the simpler analytical model can be 212 used with confidence in this study. 213

214

215 **3.2.1.** Basic equations for reproducing the residual water level profile

In tidal rivers, the cross-sectional averaged residual water level can be obtained from the onedimensional momentum equation (Savenije, 2012; Cai, 2016):

218
$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + U \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + g \frac{\partial Z}{\partial x} + \frac{gh}{2\rho} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x} + g \frac{U|U|}{K^2 h^{4/3}} = 0$$
(3)

where *U* is the cross-sectional averaged velocity, *Z* is the free surface elevation, *h* is the water depth, *g* is the acceleration of gravity, *t* is time, ρ is the water density, *x* is the distance along the channel (starting from the estuary), and *K* is the Manning-Strickler friction coefficient. Assuming a periodic variation of flow velocity, the residual water level slope can be calculated as (Cai et al., 2019):

223
$$\overline{\frac{\partial Z}{\partial x}} = -\frac{1}{K^2} \overline{\left(\frac{U|U|}{h^{4/3}}\right)} - \frac{1}{2g} \frac{\partial \overline{U^2}}{\partial x} - \frac{1}{2\rho_0} \overline{h} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x}$$
(4)

where the over bars and the subscript zero, respectively, indicate the tidal average and the value at the seaward boundary. As Eq (4) indicates, the residual water level slope is governed by the residual friction, the advective acceleration, and density effects. Compared to the frictional dissipation, the contribution of advective acceleration and density effects have been shown to be rather small in the Changjiang Estuary (Savenije, 2012; Cai et al., 2019), Eq (4) can, therefore, justifiably be further integrated to:

230
$$\overline{Z}(x) = -\int_0^x \frac{\overline{\partial Z}}{\partial x} dx = -\int_0^x \frac{\overline{U|U|}}{K^2 h^{4/3}} dx$$
(5)

Here we set the residual water level at the estuary mouth (x=0) as 0.

232

233 **3.2.2.** Analytical solution for tide-river dynamics

To derive the residual water level profiles in the estuary, the longitudinal variation of crosssectional area and width are both assumed follow an exponential function (see Toffolon et al., 2006 and Cai et al., 2016 for justification):

237
$$\overline{A} = \overline{A_r} + (\overline{A_0} - \overline{A_r}) \exp\left(-\frac{x}{a}\right)$$
(6)

238
$$\overline{B} = \overline{B_r} + (\overline{B_0} - \overline{B_r}) \exp\left(-\frac{x}{b}\right)$$
 (7)

where $\overline{A_0}$ and $\overline{B_0}$ are the tidally averaged cross-sectional area and width at the estuary mouth; $\overline{A_r}$ and $\overline{B_r}$ are the asymptotic riverine cross-sectional area and width; and the parameters *a* and *b* represent the convergence lengths of the cross-sectional area and width. Here, by assuming that the cross-section approximates a rectangular shape, the tidally-averaged depth can then be calculated directly using $\overline{h} = \frac{\overline{A}}{\overline{B}}$.

For a predominantly tidal constituent with frequency ω , the tide-river hydrodynamics is mainly determined by four dimensionless parameters: the dimensionless tidal amplitude ξ (representing the boundary condition at the seaward side), the estuary shape number γ (representing the cross247 sectional area convergence), the friction number χ (representing the frictional dissipation) and the dimensionless river discharge φ (representing the influence of freshwater discharge imposed at the 248 upstream boundary). Detailed definitions of these four parameters are shown in Table S2. 249 The analytical solution for the main tide-river dynamics is obtained by solving a set of four 250 dimensionless equations (Cai et al., 2019): 251 1) The damping/amplification equation, 252 $\delta = \mu^2 (\gamma \theta - \gamma \mu \lambda \Gamma) / (1 + \mu^2 \beta)$ (8) 253 where θ , β and Γ account for the effect of river discharge and are given by: 254 $\theta = 1 - (\sqrt{1+\zeta} - 1)\varphi/(\mu\lambda)$ (9)255 $\beta = \theta - r_s \zeta \varphi / (\mu \lambda)$ 256 (10) $\Gamma = \frac{1}{\pi} [p_1 - 2p_2 \varphi + p_3 \varphi^2 (3 + \mu^2 \lambda^2 / \varphi^2)]$ (11)257 Note that Γ is a friction factor obtained by using the Chebyshev polynomial approach (Dronkers, 258 1964), where the Chebyschev coefficients p_i (*i*=0, 1, 2, 3) are functions of the dimensionless river 259 discharge φ through $\alpha = \arccos(-\varphi)$: 260 $p_0 = -\frac{7}{120}\sin(2\alpha) + \frac{1}{24}\sin(6\alpha) - \frac{1}{60}\sin(8\alpha)$ 261 (12) $p_1 = \frac{7}{6}\sin(\alpha) - \frac{7}{30}\sin(3\alpha) - \frac{7}{30}\sin(5\alpha) + \frac{1}{10}\sin(7\alpha)$ 262 (13) $p_2 = \pi - 2\alpha + \frac{1}{3}\sin(2\alpha) + \frac{19}{30}\sin(4\alpha) - \frac{1}{5}\sin(6\alpha)$ 263 (14) $p_3 = \frac{4}{3}\sin(\alpha) - \frac{2}{3}\sin(3\alpha) + \frac{2}{15}\sin(5\alpha)$ 264 (15)2) The phase lag equation 265 $\tan(\varepsilon) = \lambda/(\gamma - \delta)$ 266 (16)3) The scaling equation 267 $\mu = \frac{\sin(\varepsilon)}{\lambda} = \frac{\cos(\varepsilon)}{\gamma - \delta}$ (17)268 4) The wave celerity equation 269 $\lambda^2 = 1 - \delta(\gamma - \delta)$ (18)270 The main dependent parameters described in Table S2, 271 are also including the amplification/damping number δ , which indicates the rate of increase ($\delta > 0$) or decrease ($\delta < 0$) of 272

the along-channel tidal wave amplitude; the velocity number μ , which indicates the ratio of the actual velocity amplitude to the reference value in a frictionless prismatic channel; the celerity number λ , which indicates the ratio of the classical wave celerity (*c*₀) to the actual wave celerity (*c*); and ε , which indicates the phase lag between high water and high water slack or between low water and low water slack.

To reproduce the tide-river dynamics for the entire channel correctly, the reach was sub-divided into multiple segments to account for the longitudinal variations of the cross-sections. Thus, the tidal amplitude at any distance Δx upstream of the seaward boundary was obtained by simple explicit integration for a given tidal amplification/damping number δ and tidal amplitude η_0 at the seaward boundary:

283
$$\eta_1 = \eta_0 + \frac{d\eta}{dx} \Delta x = \eta_0 + \frac{\eta_{0\omega\delta}}{c_0} \Delta x \tag{19}$$

Based on the computed tidal amplitude and the geometric features of the next reach, the main tidal dynamics, including the amplification/damping number, velocity number, celerity number and phase lag, were obtained by solving the set of Eqs. (8), (16), (17), (18).

287

288 3.2.3. Model Set-Up

The analytical model for the Datong-Xuliujing Reach (DXR) was set-up using three groups of data as follows: (1) geometric characteristics of the channel, including the cross-sectional area and channel depth, were used to obtain the representative exponential functions (Eqs, 6 and 7); (2) model boundary conditions, including the seaward tidal amplitude at Xuliujing and landward fluvial discharge at Datong, were used to drive the model, and; (3) observed tidal amplitude and residual water levels for the main stations along the DXR were employed for model calibration and verification.

296 3.2.4. Calibration and verification of the analytical model in the Datong-Xuliujing Reach

The analytical model for the DXR extends over a length of 512 km. The geometric characteristics along the DXR for the pre- and post-TGD periods, respectively, were extracted from the DEM in 2002 and 2013, at intervals of 5 km. It is evident that both the cross-sectional area and stream width are well represented by Eqs. (6) and (7), which converge exponentially toward a constant crosssection landward in the river part with a statistically significant correlation (p<0.05; Fig. S3 and Table S3).

The analytical model was calibrated and verified against the observed tidal amplitude and residual 303 water level along the DXR on the basis of the monthly mean hydrological data (including tidal ranges 304 and water levels) in 2002 and 2013. The seaward tidal amplitude at Xuliujing, and the landward 305 fluvial discharge at Datong for these two time periods are displayed in the supplementary information 306 307 (Fig. S2, S4). As the Changjiang estuary is characterized by a semi-diurnal tide, the predominant M_2 tidal period (12.42 h) was used in the analytical model. The storage width ratio r_s was set to a value 308 of 1 for simplification, indicating negligible influence of storage area on tidal dynamics (Cai et al., 309 2013; Cai et al., 2019). Thus, the only calibration parameter is the Manning-Strickler friction 310 coefficient, K. In 2002, the calibrated value of K was 85 m^{1/3}s⁻¹ in the seaward region (x=0-70 km), 311 decreasing to 50-85 m^{1/3}s⁻¹ over the transitional reach (*x*=70-90 km), and then a further reduction to 312 50 m^{1/3}s⁻¹ in the landward region (x=90-512 km). In 2013, the calibrated value of K was 75 m^{1/3}s⁻¹ in 313 the seaward region (x=0-70 km), decreasing to 43-75 m^{1/3}s⁻¹ over the transitional reach (x=70-90 km), 314 and finally to 43 m^{1/3}s⁻¹ in the landward region (x=90-512 km). Although the parameter K here is in 315 316 effect a 'catch-all' calibration parameter (reflecting not only the river bed friction, but also wind forcing, the sinuosity of the channel, and so on; Cai et al., 2020), it is nevertheless noteworthy that 317 value of K decreases in the landward direction, which is consistent with observed spatial variations 318

in the bottom sediment grain size (the bed-material grain size being finer in the seaward areas). Note also that the calibrated value of K decreases during the period 2002-2013, which is consistent with the bed coarsening along the tidal reach.

The modeled tidal amplitude and residual water level were compared against observations at Wuhu (WH), Maanshan (MAS), Nanjing (NJ), Zhenjiang (ZJ), Jiangyin (JY), and Tianshenggang (TSG) through analysis of the Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient (Fig. 2). It can be seen that for both the tidal amplitude and residual water level, all the correlation coefficients exceeded 0.99, suggesting that the analytical model accurately reproduces the tide-river dynamics along the DXR.

327

328 4. Scenario settings

The calibrated analytical models before and after the operation of the TGD are used to run for a range of simulation experiments, in which the boundary parameters driving the river-tide hydrodynamics were varied and were calculated based on the observations during 1992-2013. Details of the methods used to compute these key parameter values for the pre- and post-TGD periods of interest are provided as follow:

334

335 4.1. Upstream boundary at Datong

To simulate the river-tide hydrodynamics, the analytical model requires a time-invariant reference discharge (specified here for the upstream limit of the DXR, at Datong). In this study we conducted simulations using three different reference discharges, based on: (i) the effective discharge; (ii) the 50th percentile (Q50) and; (iii) the 75th percentile (Q75) thresholds of the daily discharge series. In all three cases these flow discharges werecalculated based on the daily water and sediment discharge time-series at Datong over the periods 1998-2002 and 2009-2013, to represent the pre- and 342 post-TGD evolutionary stages, respectively.

Note that the effective discharge is defined as the stream flow that transports the largest amount of 343 sediment over geomorphic timescales (Wolman and Miller, 1960). The long-term geomorphic 344 effectiveness of a given discharge is, therefore, the product of the flow frequency (f(Q)) and the 345 suspended sediment transport rate (S(Q)) assigned to that flow (Q) (Doyle et al., 2005; Bunte et al., 346 2014). The discharge that corresponds to the peak of the product function $E(Q)=f(Q)\times S(Q)$, is thus 347 defined as the effective discharge. The frequency (f(Q)) and the suspended sediment function (S(Q))348 that correspond to various discharge magnitudes were calculated using power functions as illustrated 349 in (Fig. 3 A-B, D-E). In this way, the effective discharges were estimated to be 47100 m³/s and 40100 350 m³/s, respectively, for the pre- and post-TGD periods (Fig. 3 C, F; Table 1). In addition, the Q50 and 351 O75 during the pre- and post-TGD stages were computed to have values of 28000 m³/s and 41200 352 m³/s for the pre-TGD scenario; versus 23300 m³/s and 37800 m³/s for the post-TGD scenario (Table 353 1). 354

355

356 4.2. Downstream boundary at Xuliujing

The tidal amplitude at the downstream limit of the DXR was obtained using the hourly tide level 357 at Xuliujing station. Specifically, observations in 2002 and 2013 were selected to represent the pre-358 359 and post-TGD scenario, respectively, because the tides in the Changjiang estuary are approximately the same over each spring-neap tidal cycle (Zhang et al., 2016). During the simulation experiments 360 using the different flow discharge inputs (see 4.1) to represent the pre- and post-TGD scenarios, the 361 362 downstream boundary at Xuliujing was set as the synchronous monthly mean tidal amplitude over the wet season. We focus on the tide-river hydrodynamics during the wet season as it is assumed that 363 the channel dynamics are shaped during higher flows, and because the channel bathymetric data used 364

to represent the channel morphology was collected at this time of year. The specified tidal amplitudes
were estimated as 1.04 m and 1.12 m for the pre- and post-TGD scenarios, respectively. An overview
of all the simulation experiments that were performed using the the analytical model are shown in
Table 1.

369

370 **5. Results**

5.1. Erosion and accretion in the Datong-Xuliujing Reach during 1992-2002

According to measurements made at Datong station, the Changjiang River annually transported 372 3.18×10^8 t of suspended sediment to the DXR during the period 1992-2002 (Fig. S1). Meanwhile, 373 during this same period, our bathymetric change analysis reveals that the DXR showed clear evidence 374 of accretion (Fig. 4), at a mean rate of 0.04 m/y (Fig. 5A). In total, the reach experienced net 375 deposition of 0.75×10^8 t/y, which is the equivalent of 23.6% of the annual load passing through 376 Datong. The deposition in this period resulted in a rising of the thalweg (Fig. S5) as well as a reduction 377 378 in the cross-section area of the channel (Fig. S6). Especially in the vicinity of Wuhu and Nanjing, the mean elevation aggraded by 4.42 m and 5.73 m during 1992-2002, respectively (Fig. S6A-B). 379 Regarding the spatial pattern of bed accretion, there is a notable peak at the upstream limit of the 380 reach, some 50-80 km downstream from Datong. However, when this outlier is excluded, the pattern 381 of deposition with distance along the DXR conforms to a statistically significant (p <0.05) Gaussian 382 distribution with distance along the channel, with the time-averaged rate of riverbed deposition a 383 maximum (~0.13 m/y) in the vicinity of Nanjing, which is some 230-280 km downstream from 384 385 Datong (Fig. 5A). The computed Gaussian fit has a standard deviation of 2.35 sub-reaches, revealing that 68% of this zone of maximum deposition is located within a band between 200-320 km 386 downstream of Datong. 387

389 5.2. Erosion and accretion in the Datong-Xuliujing Reach after 2003

Following closure of the TGD in 2003, the suspended sediment load at Datong abruptly decreased 390 from 2.06×10^8 t in 2003 to 1.32×10^8 t in 2008, stabilizing at a value of around 1.30×10^8 t thereafter 391 (Fig. S1B). At the same time the channel also experienced riverbed scour throughout its length (Fig. 392 4), at an overall (along the full DXR) time-averaged erosion rate of 0.03 m/y during 2002-2008 (Fig. 393 5B), but with the mean bed elevation at Wuhu and Nanjing down-cutting by 5.24 m and 6.48 m, 394 respectively (Fig. S6A-B). Furthermore, during this erosional phase there is (unlike for the pre-TGD 395 period) there is no systematic spatial structure evident in the spatial pattern of morphological change 396 along the reach. 397

In the subsequent 5 years from 2008 to 2013, almost the entire DXR again experienced channel 398 aggradation, except in the reach downstream of Jiangyin, which underwent down-cutting (Fig. 4). 399 The average deposition rate of the DXR during this period was 0.07 m/y (Fig. 5C), when the river 400 bed elevations at Wuhu and Nanjing, respectively, increased by 5.81 m and 1.07 m (Fig. S6A-B). 401 Similar to the pre-TGD period (1992-2002), and neglecting the extremely low deposition zone, 402 located some 180-230 km downstream from Datong, as well as the zone of erosion in the distal part 403 of the reach, the spatial pattern of riverbed deposition during 2008-2013 again is seen to conform 404 well to a Gaussian distribution, but with the zone of maximum accretion now located close to Wuhu, 405 some 130-180 km downstream of Datong, indicating a landward shift of ~100 km compared with the 406 pre-TGD period (Fig. 5C). In this most recent time interval, the standard deviation around the 407 408 Gaussian distribution increases slightly to 2.42 sub-reaches, indicating that 68% of this zone of observable deposition is located within a band between 120-250 km downstream of Datong. 409

411 5.3 River-tide dynamics along the Datong-Xuliujing Reach

The interactions between tide and river flow along the DXR were simulated using the analytical 412 model in terms of the residual (tide-averaged) water-level slope. The model results, corresponding to 413 an effective discharge of 47000 m³/s (pre-TGD) and 40000 m³/s (post-TGD) under wet season tidal 414 amplitudes of 1.04 m (pre-TGD) and 1.12 m (post-TGD) are illustrated in Fig. 6. It is shown that the 415 river-induced residual water level gradient in the pre-TGD period is considerably larger than in the 416 post-TGD phase, in part due to the larger fluvial discharge input in the pre-TGD period, and in part 417 to the increase in the tidal amplitude (Fig. S2, S4). The river-tide interaction induced residual water 418 level gradient is only evident in the lower reach, around 450-512 km from the Datong (Fig. 6). As the 419 tide propagates upstream, the tidal amplitudes attenuate, becoming very small at a location 420 approximately 300 km from Datong (Fig. 6). River forcing therefore dominates the dynamic process 421 422 of much of the tidally-influenced DXR in both scenarios.

The model results also show that there is a critical location along the DXR, at which the residual 423 water level slope is maximized. Specifically, in the pre-TGD stage, the longitudinal water level 424 gradient profile reaches its highest value of 2.21×10^{-5} at a location some 246 km downstream of 425 Datong (Fig. 6A). In post-TGD period, however, the location of the maximum residual water level 426 slope (at a much smaller value of 0.93×10^{-5}) occurs much further upstream, at a location 143 km 427 downstream of Datong (Fig. 6B). It is apparent that the locations of the model-simulated maximum 428 residual water level slopes coincide closely with the locations of the high deposition zones observed 429 during both the pre- and post-TGD periods (Fig. 6B, 6D). 430

Similar to the calculation of sub-reach averaged riverbed elevation change, the mean residual water level slopes for the 20 sub-reaches are obtained for the depositional phases in Fig. 7A and 7C. It is found that the longitudinal distribution of the residual water level slopes is statistical significantly (p<0.05) correlated with the morphological changes for the river dominated reach, namely, the first 17 sub-reaches extending to around 435 km downstream of Datong (Fig. 7B, 7D), when the abnormal morphological changes are excluded according with the former parts (see 5.1 and 5.2). This phenomenon suggests the dominance of dynamics to the channel morphology. Besides, the total level of residual water level slope for the post-TGD period is substantially below pre-TGD period due to a damped high fluvial discharge and a relatively strong water level fluctuation, which in favor of sediment settlement and thus contributes to the significant accretion during 2008-2013.

441

442 **6. Discussion**

443 *6.1. The effect of river morphology*

The geometric characteristics of a tidal reach to a large extent determine the propagation of the 444 tidal wave and hence the sediment transport dynamics (Zhou et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2019). Before 445 the construction of TGD, there was a sufficient suspended sediment supply of 3.18×10^8 t/y from the 446 river (Fig. S1B), which generated overall deposition along the DXR, albeit with some localized areas 447 of erosion. Specifically, erosion was evident in the reach ~20-30 km downstream of Datong, where 448 the channel width decreases by over 35% from 3.07 km to 1.98 km (Fig. 1D). The sudden shrinkage 449 of the channel likely generates a different velocity distribution and as a result, riverbed erosion (Rouse, 450 1961). On the contrary, there was a significant deposition around 60-80 km downstream of Datong, 451 where the channel makes a dramatic U-turn, coupled with a sudden channel widening from 1.34 km 452 to 4.27 km, making the reach a naturally depositional environment (Fig. 1E). The channel 453 454 downstream of this high deposition zone shows a typical Gaussian profile in terms of the pattern of morphological variation with respect to distance along the channel, due to the river-tide interaction, 455 which is further explained in Section 6.2. 456

Following the construction of the TGD, fluvial sediment supply decreased by 45.9% to 1.72 t/y 457 during 2003-2008, whereas the water discharge only decreased by around 10% (Fig. S1). However, 458 siltation still can be detected, particularly around the area where the channel width suddenly increases, 459 indicating the effects of sediment decline can be modulated by local channel planform variations. 460 However, the entire DXR shifted to a depositional state again during 2008-2013, when the suspended 461 sediment input to the DXR was stable at a value of around 1.30 t/y. Owning to channel resistance 462 (Calle et al., 2017), a stable armor layer can be formed in the surface of the river bed (Lai et al., 2017), 463 which prevents further channel degradation and contributes to morphological recovery. Consequently, 464 the morphological evolution again follows the Gaussian pattern with respect to distance along the 465 channel. 466

467

468 *6.2 Interaction between runoff and tidal impacts*

As a tide propagates into the tidal reach, river discharge attenuates the effects of the tidally-induced water level oscillation, but in a way that is strongly related to the pattern of morphological evolution along the estuary (Lamb et al., 2012; Leonardi et al., 2015). In this study, the relative contributions of the tide-induced water level oscillation and river discharge along the DXR are further discussed by considering various scenarios in the analytical model (Table 1). We focus on the mean-high discharge inputs, as they are the main contributors for sediment transport in the Changjiang River (Dai et al., 2016).

Two scenarios, corresponding to the normal (Q_{50}) and flood (Q_{75}) discharge conditions, respectively, are examined for both the pre- and post-TGD stages (Fig. 8). As Fig. 8A indicates, during the pre-TGD stage, the residual water level slope increased to a maximum value of 1.36×10^{-5} at a location 180 km downstream of Datong, reducing thereafter in the normal flood condition (28000

 m^{3}/s) following the deceleration of the river flow and the growing dominance of the water level 480 fluctuation induced by the tidal wave. The slope profile under flood conditions (41200 m^3/s) reaches 481 a maximum gradient at a location 230 km downstream of Datong, indicating some 50 km of seaward 482 shifting and an increase in magnitude of the slope of 0.6×10^{-5} (Fig. 8B). The residual water level 483 slope behaves in a similar way in the post-TGD phase. When the river discharge input at Datong 484 increases by 38.4% between the flood (23300 m³/s) and high flood (37800 m³/s) scenarios, the 485 occurrence of the maximum residual water level slope migrates 47 km downstream, with the 486 magnitude increasing by 0.4×10^{-5} (Fig. 8C-D), indicating that the relative intensity of the river and 487 tidal forcing has the potential also to affect the location of the high deposition zone (because the 488 convergence of the slope to its maximum value would favor sediment deposition in that location). In 489 the high flow scenario, the effect of the tide is relatively weak, thus facilitating sediment deposition 490 491 further downstream, as well as in the high deposition zone. On the contrary, the tidal influence is relatively strong in the low flow case, so that the location of the flow spreading and high deposition 492 493 zone exhibits a landward migration as the tide propagates further upstream (Sassi and Hoitink, 2013).

494

495 *6.3. Local mining and dredging*

The evolution of the DXR is also affected directly by human activities, notably, local mining and dredging that directly force changes in the channel morphology (Zheng et al., 2018). The riverbed of the DXR comprises mainly medium-fine sand (Fig. 9), a material which has beneficial characteristics to many industries, but particularly as a construction material. Sand extraction was widespread in the middle and lower Changjiang River in the 1990s, when the channel lost as much as 80 Mt of sediment annually (Du et al., 2016). Commercial sand mining along the lower and middle Changjiang River has declined since 2003 due to stronger regulation, with the total amount of sand extraction since then being restricted to 14 Mt/yr (Chen et al., 2006). However, the actual quantity of sand mining has very likely been considerably larger than the allowed amount due to illegal extraction. For instance, in a small area 30 km upstream of Xuliujing, a total amount of 9.75 Mt of sand disappeared in half a year from November 2011 to July 2012 (Liu et al., 2014), inducing severe erosion of the riverbed and increased the channel capacity, destroying the Gaussian pattern of morphological variation noted along the reach (Fig. 5C).

Moreover, intensive dredging operations are carried out along DXR for the purpose of improving 509 and maintaining the navigation channels, which is another human interference that further accelerates 510 channel down-cutting (Best, 2018). According to the plan of the 12.5 m Deep-Water Channel Project, 511 the reach downstream of Nanjing should be deepened to 12.5 m to improve navigable capacity (Fig. 512 **1B**). The implementation of this project caused an annual loss of 11.75×10^6 m³ of sediment from 513 514 downstream of Nanjing during the period 2012-2016 (Fig. S7). In comparison to 2003, before the impoundment of the TGD, the averaged waterway depth and width of the DXR has respectively 515 516 increased by 1.48 m and 200 m by 2015 (Yang et al., 2019), resulting in severe riverbed erosion and a landward moving of the deposition peak. 517

518

519 *6.4. Limitations and way forward*

The most restrictive assumption we have made in this study is to assume that the tidal wave can be described by a combination of a steady residual term (river discharge) and a time-dependent harmonic wave (tidal flow) in the analytical model. Thus the model can only deal with tide-river interactions for a single predominant tidal constituent and fails to capture any tidal asymmetry introduced by astronomical tides, overtides and compound tides. This is reasonable as the Changjiang estuary is dominated by the M_2 semi-diurnal tidal constituent, followed (in order of importance) by the S2, K1

and O1 constituents (Zhang et al., 2018). Located over 100 km away from the East China Sea, the 526 DXR is affected by the tide mainly in terms of water level fluctuation, rather than tidal asymmetry, 527 similar to the tidal reach of the alluvial Mississippi River (Wang and Xu, 2018). As Fig 6&8 indicates, 528 the residual water level gradient reaches its maximum value at a location between 88-246 km from 529 Datong, depending on the magnitude of the varying fluvial discharge. Over this range the tidal 530 amplitudes of S2, K1 and O1 are all very small and their fluctuations can be considered negligible 531 (Zhang et al., 2018). In addition, the analytical model is based on a tidally-averaged scale rather than 532 considering time varying processes caused by tidal action, it therefore fails to deduct the bed shear 533 stress profile and cannot be used to detect sediment transport along the channel directly. The focus 534 on such a time scale is acceptable in this study, given the long interval between the available 535 bathymetric surveys and the limited effects of tidal asymmetry on the tidal reach. Furthermore, 536 537 although the erosion and accretion patterns along the estuary are strongly related to the shape of the residual water level profile (Lamb et al., 2012; Cai et al., 2019), further studies and investigations are 538 necessary to directly determine the mechanisms of net transport of sediment and thus directly link the 539 tide-river dynamics to the tidal reach morphology. 540

541

542 **7.** Conclusions

The tidal reach is a vital component of a river system, which directly links the upstream river basin with the outer estuary. Influenced by both fluvial discharge and water level fluctuations due to tidal action, the erosion/accretion states of a tidal reach have their own unique properties that contrast sharply with those of the river and estuary. Using a case study of the DXR in the tidal reach of the Changjiang River, this study has revealed the response of channel morphology to both river-tide interactions and anthropogenic disturbances. The main conclusions are as follows: 1. The DXR experienced dramatic variations in morphology during 1992-2013, with a net accretion of 0.04 m/y during 1992-2002, a net erosion of 0.03 m/y during 2002-2008, and again a net accretion of 0.07 m/y during 2008-2013. The accretion status during 1992-2002 and 2008-2013 both exhibit a distinct Gaussian variation with respect to distance along the channel.

2. Despite a rapid decrease in fluvial sediment supply due to the construction of the Three Gorges
Dam (TGD) in 2003, the DXR exhibits a clear maximum accretion zone during 1992-2002 and 20082013, respectively, when the river-tide dynamics dominate the channel morphology along DXR. In
the natural scenario, during 1992-2002, the DXR exhibited a zone of maximum accretion that was
located around 230-280 km downstream of Datong; but this zone subsequently shifted ~100 km
landwards during 2008-2013.

559 3. The considerable decrease in high flow discharge during the wet season following the seasonal 560 operation of TGD for flood control is primarily responsible for the observed landward shift of the 561 maximum accretion zone within the DXR. However, the intrinsic characteristics of the local 562 geomorphologic configuration generate spatial nonuniformity in the overall pattern of 563 erosion/deposition, with localized sand mining and dredging projects in particular causing occasional 564 outliers of significant erosion/deposition.

565

Acknowledgments. This research was supported by the Key Projects of Intergovernmental Science and Technology Innovation Cooperation of the Ministry of Science and Technology in China (2018YFE0109900), National Science Foundation of China (41706093), Shanghai International Science and Technology Cooperation Fund Project (19230712400), and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities. We are grateful to the two editors and the two anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments and suggestions, which significantly improved the

- 572 manuscript.
- 573

574 **References**

- Angamuthu, B., Darby, S. E., Nicholls, R. J., 2018. Impacts of natural and human drivers on the multi-decadal
 morphological evolution of tidally-influenced deltas, P. Roy. Soc. A-Math. Phy., 474 (2219), 20180396.
- Assani, A. A., Landry, R., Daigle, J., Chalifour, A., 2011. Reservoirs effects on the interannual variability of winter
 and spring streamflow in the St-Maurice River Watershed (Quebec, Canada), Water Resour. Manag., 25(14),
 3661-3675.
- Auerbach, L., Goodbred, S. Jr., Mondal, D. et al., 2015. Flood risk of natural and embanked landscapes on the
 Ganges-Brahmaputra tidal delta plain, Nat. Clim. Change, 5(2), 153-157.
- Bain, R. L., Hale, R. P., Goodbred, S. L., 2019. Flow reorganization in an anthropogenically modified tidal channel
 network: An example from the southwestern Ganges-Brahmaputra-Meghna Delta, J. Geophys. Res.-Earth.,
 124, 2141-2159.
- Bao, Y. H., Gao, P., He, X., 2015. The water-level fluctuation zone of Three Gorges Reservoir A unique geomorphological unit, Earth-Sci. Rev., 150, 14-24.
- 587 Best, J., 2018. Anthropogenic stresses on the world's big rivers, Nat. Geosci., 12, 7-21.
- Blott, S. J., Pye, K., van der Wal, D., Neal, A., 2006. Long-term morphological change and its causes in the Mersey
 Estuary, NW England, Geomorphology, 81, 185-206.
- Bunte, K., Abt, S.R., Swingle, K.W., Cenderelli, D.A., 2014. Effective discharge in Rocky Mountain headwater
 streams. J. Hydrol., 2136-2147.
- Cai, H.Y., Savenije, H. H. G., Toffolon, M., 2013. Linking the river to the estuary: influence of river discharge on
 tidal damping, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sc., 18, 287-304.
- Cai, H.Y., Savenije, H. H. G., Jiang, C., Zhao, L., Yang, Q., 2016. Analytical approach for determining the mean
 water level profile in an estuary with substantial fresh water discharge, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sc., 20, 1177-1195.
- Cai, H.Y., Savenije, H. H. G., Garel, E., Zhang, X.Y., Guo, L. C., Zhang, M., Liu, F., Yang, Q. S., 2019. Seasonal
 behaviour of tidal damping and residual water level slope in the Yangtze River estuary: identifying the critical
 position and river discharge for maximum tidal damping, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sc., 18, 287-304.
- Cai, H. Y., Zhang, P., Garel, E., Matte, P., Hu, S., Liu, F., Yang, Q. S., 2020. A novel approach for the assessment
 of morphological evolution based on observed water levels in tide-dominated estuaries, Hydrol. Earth Syst.
 Sc., 24, 1871-1889.
- Calle, M., Alho, P., Benito, G., 2017. Channel dynamics and geomorphic resilience in an ephemeral Mediterranean
 river affected by gravel mining, Geomorphology, 285, 333-346.
- Chen, J., Finlayson, B.L., Wei, T., Sun, Q., Webber, M., Li, M., Chen, Z., 2016. Changes in monthly flows in the
 Yangtze River, China With special reference to the Three Gorges Dam, J. Hydrol., 536, 293-301.
- 606 Chen, X. Q., Zhou, Q. J., Zhang, E. F., 2006. In-channel sand extraction from the midlower Yangtze channels and
 607 its management: problems and challenges, J. Environ. Plann. Man., 49 (2), 309-320.
- Dai, Z. J, Liu, J. T., Wei, W., Chen, J. Y., 2014. Detection of the Three Gorges Dam influence on the Changjiang
 (Yangtze River) submerged delta, Sci. Rep., 4, 6600.
- Dai, Z. J., Fagherazzi, S., Mei, X., Gao, J. J., 2016. Decline in suspended sediment concentration delivered by the
 Changjiang (Yangtze) River into the East China Sea between 1956 and 2013, Geomorphology, 268, 123-132.
- Dai, Z. J., Fagherazzi, S., Gao, S., Mei, X., Ge, Z. P., Wei, W., 2018. Scaling properties of estuarine beaches, Mar.
- 613 Geol., 404, 130-136.

- Deng, S. S., Xia, J. Q., Zhou, M. R., Lin, F. F., 2019. Coupled modeling of bed deformation and bank erosion in
 the Jingjiang Reach of the Middle Yangtze River, J. Hydrol., 568, 221-233.
- Darby, S. E., Hackney, C. R., Leyland, J., et al., 2016. Fluvial sediment supply to a mega-delta reduced by shifting
 tropical-cyclone activity, Nature, 539(7628), 276-279.
- Doyle, M. W., Stanley, E.H., Strayer, D.L., et al., 2005. Effective discharge analysis of ecological processes in
 streams. Water Resources Research, 41, W11411.
- 620 Dronkers, J. J., 1964. Tidal computations in river and coastal waters. Elsevier, New York, 1-518.
- Du, J. L., Yang, S. L., Feng, H., 2016. Recent human impacts on the morphological evolution of the Yangtze River
 delta foreland: A review and new perspectives, Estuar. Coast. Shelf S., 181, 160-169.
- Dunn, F., Darby, S., Nicholls, R., Cohen, S., Zarfl, C., Fekete, B., 2019. Projections of declining fluvial sediment
 delivery to major deltas worldwide in response to climate change and anthropogenic stress, Environ. Res. Lett.,
 14(8), 1-11.
- Ericson, J. P., Vörösmarty, C. J., Dingman, S. L., Ward, L. G., Meybeck, M., 2006. Effective sea-level rise and
 deltas: causes of change and human dimension implications, Global Planet. Change, 50, 63-82.
- Giosan, L., Constantinescu, S., Filip, F., Deng, B., 2013. Maintenance of large deltas through channelization: Nature
 vs. humans in the Danube delta, Anthropocene, 1, 35-45.
- Giosan, L., Syvitski, J., Constantinescu, S., Day, J., 2014. Climate change: protect the world's deltas, Nature, 516,
 31-33.
- Hackney, C.R., Darby, S.E., Parsons, D.R. et al., 2020. River bank instability from unsustainable sand mining in
 the lower Mekong River, Nat. Sustainability, 3, 217-225.
- Hoitink, A.J.F., Wang, Z.B., Vermeulen, B., Huismans, Y., Kästner, K., 2017. Tidal controls on river delta
 morphology, Nat. Geosci., 10, 637-645.
- Kondolf, G. M., Rubin, Z. K., Minear, J. T., 2014. Dams on the Mekong: cumulative sediment starvation, Water
 Resour. Res., 50, 5158-5169.
- Kuang, C., Liu, X., Gu, J., et al., 2013. Numerical prediction of medium-term tidal flat evolution in the Yangtze
 estuary: Impacts of the Three Gorges project, Cont. Shelf Res., 52, 12-26.
- Lai, X., Yin, D., Finlayson, B. L., Wei, T., Li, M., Yuan, W., et al., 2017. Will river erosion below the Three Gorges
 Dam stop in the middle Yangtze?, J. Hydrol., 554, 24-31.
- Lamb, M. P., Nittrouer, J. A., Mohrig, D., Shaw, J., 2012. Backwater and river plume controls on scour upstream
 of river mouths: Implications for fluvio-deltaic morphodynamics, J. Geophys. Res.-Earth., 117, F01002.
- Lauri, H., de Moel, H., Ward, P.J., Rasanen, T.A., Keskinen, M., Kummu, M., 2012. Future changes in Mekong
 River hydrology: impact of climate change and reservoir operation on discharge. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sc., 16
 (12), 4603-4619.
- Lentsch, N., Finotello, A., Paola, C., 2018. Reduction of deltaic channel mobility by tidal action under rising relative
 sea level, Geology, 46, 599-602.
- Leonardi, N., Kolker, A. S., Fagherazzi, S., 2015. Interplay between river discharge and tides in a delta distributary,
 Adv. Water Resour., 80, 69-78.
- Liu, G. P., Xu, H., Bi, J. F., 2014. Sand dredging along the Jiangsu Reach of Changjing River and their effects,
 Yangtze River, s2, 193-196.
- 653 Luan, H. L., Ding, P. X., Wang, Z. B., Ge, J. Z., 2017. Process-based morphodynamic modeling of the Yangtze

- estuary at a decadal timescale: Controls on estuarine evolution and future trends, Geomorphology, 290, 347-364.
- Mei, X., Dai, Z., van Gelder, P.H.A.J.M., Gao, J., 2015. Linking Three Gorges Dam and downstream hydrological
 regimes along the Yangtze River, China, Earth Space Sci., 2(4), 94-106.
- Mei, X., Du, J., Dai, Z., Du, J., Gao, J., Wang, J., 2018. Decadal sedimentation in China's largest freshwater lake,
 Poyang Lake, Geochem. Geophy. Geosy., 19, 2384-2396.
- Mei, X., Zhang, M., Dai, Z., Wei, W., Li, W. H., 2019. Large addition of freshwater to the tidal reaches of the
 Yangtze (Changjiang) River, Estuar. Coast., 42, 629-640.
- Montreuil, A., Levoy, F., Bretel, P., Anthony, E.J., 2014. Morphological diversity and complex sediment
 recirculation on the ebb delta of a macrotidal inlet (Normandy, France): a multiple LiDAR dataset approach.
 Geomorphology, 219, 114-125.
- Nienhuis, J. H., Hoitink, A. J. F. T., Törnqvist, T. E., 2018. Future change to tide-influenced deltas, Geophys. Res.
 Lett., 45(8), 3499-3507.
- Nicholls, R. J., Hutton, C. W., Lázár, A. N., et al., 2016. Integrated assessment of social and environmental
 sustainability dynamics in the Ganges-Brahmaputra-Meghna Delta, Bangladesh, Estuar. Coast. Shelf S., 183,
 370-381.
- Paola, C. Twilley, R. R., Edmonds, D. A. et al., 2011. Natural Processes in Delta Restoration: Application to the
 Mississippi Delta, Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci., 3, 67-91.
- Poff, N. L., Olden, J. D., Merritt, D. M., Pepin, D. M., 2007. Homogenization of regional river dynamics by dams
 and global biodiversity implications, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 104(14), 5732-5737.
- Rahman, M., Dustegir, M., Karim, R. et al., 2018. Recent sediment flux to the Ganges-Brahmaputra-Meghna Delta
 system, Sci. Total Environ., 643, 1054-1064.
- Räsänen, T. A., Someth, P., Lauri, H. et al., 2017. Observed river discharge changes due to hydropower operations
 in the Upper Mekong Basin, J. Hydrol., 545, 28-41.
- 678 Rouse, H., 1961. Fluid mechanics for hydraulic engineers. New York, Dover Publications, Inc.
- Sassi, M. G., Hoitink, A. J. F., 2013. River flow controls on tides and tide-mean water level profiles in a tidal
 freshwater river, J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans 118(9), 4139-4151.
- 681 Savenije, H. H. G., 2012. Salinity and tides in alluvial estuaries (2nd ed.). New York: Elsevier.
- Syvitski, J.P.M., Kettner, A.J., Overeem, I., et al., 2009. Sinking deltas due to human activities, Nat. Geosci., 2,
 683 681-686.
- Tessler, Z. D., Vörösmarty, C. J., Grossberg, M., et al., 2015. Profiling risk and sustainability in coastal deltas of
 the world, Science, 349, 638-643.
- The Ministry of Water Resources of the People's Republic of China (MWRPRC), 2012. Code for hydrologic data
 processing. Beijing: China Water Power Press.
- Toffolon, M., Vignoli, G., Tubino, M., 2006. Relevant parameters and finite amplitude effects in estuarine
 hydrodynamics, J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans, 111, C10014.
- Van der Wal, D., Pye, K., Neal, A., 2002. Long-term morphological change in the Ribble Estuary, northwest England,
 Mar. Geol., 189, 249-266.
- Wang, B., Xu, Y. J., 2018. Decadal-scale riverbed deformation and sand budget of the last 500 km of the Mississippi
 River: Insights into natural and river engineering effects on a large alluvial river, J. Geophys. Res.-Earth., 123.
- Wolman, M. G., Miller, J. P., 1960. Magnitude and frequency of forces in geomorphic processes, J. Geol., 68, 54-

74.

- Yan, W., Mayorga, E., Li, X., Seitzinger, S. P., Bouwman, A. F., 2010. Increasing anthropogenic nitrogen inputs
 and riverine DIN exports from the Changjiang River basin under changing human pressures, Global
 Biogeochem. Cy., 24(4), GB0A06.
- Yang, Y. P., Zhang, M. J., Liu, W. L., Wang, J. J., Li, X. X., 2019. Relationship between waterway depth and lowflow water levels in reaches below the Three Gorges Dam, J. Waterw. Port C-ASCE., 145(1), 04018032.
- Yuan, W., Yin, D., Finlayson, B., Chen, Z., 2012. Assessing the potential for change in the middle Yangtze River
 channel following impoundment of the Three Gorges Dam, Geomorphology, 147-148, 27-34.
- Zhang, F.Y., Sun, J., Lin, B.L., Huang, G.X., 2018. Seasonal hydrodynamic interactions between tidal waves and
 river flows in the Yangtze Estuary, J. Marine Syst., 186, 17-28.Zhang, G., Cheng, W.C., Chen, L.H., Zhang,
 H., Gong, W.P., 2019. Transport of riverine sediment from different outlets in the Pearl River Estuary during
 the wet season, Mar. Geol., 415, 105957.
- Zhang, M., Townend, I., Zhou, Y., Cai, H., 2016. Seasonal variation of river and tide energy in the Yangtze estuary,
 China, Earth Surf. Proc. Land., 41 (1), 98-116.
- Zhang, X., Fagherazzi, S., Leonardi, N., Li, J. F., 2018. A positive feedback between sediment deposition and tidal
 prism may affect the morphodynamic evolution of tidal deltas, J. Geophys. Res.-Earth., 123, 2767-2783.
- Zheng, S. W., Xu, Y. J., Cheng, H. Q., Wang, B., Xu W., Wu, S. H., 2018. Riverbed erosion of the final 565
 kilometers of the Yangtze River (Changjiang) following construction of the Three Gorges Dam, Sci. Rep., 8,
 11917.
- Zhou, Z., Coco, G., Townend, I., Gong, Z., Wang, Z. B., Zhang, C. K., 2018. On the stability relationships between
 tidal asymmetry and morphologies of tidal basins and estuaries, Earth Surf. Proc. Land., 43, 1943-1959.

736 Figure Captions

Fig. 1. Overview of the Datong-Xuliujing Reach (DXR), showing: (A) the location of the Changjiang 737 River in China (the red box marks the location of panel B; TGD marks the location of the Three 738 Gorges Dam); (B) the DXR showing locations of sites referred to in the text; (C) thalweg profile of 739 the DXR as observed in 2013; (D-E) channel width variation in the selected reaches, with x-axis 740 indicating the distance from Datong (km) and the y-axis indicating the channel width. The remote-741 sensing images of Fig. 1A is from USGS. The remote-sensing images of Fig. 1A is from USGS. 742 Fig. 2. Model calibration and verification through comparisons between modeled results and 743 observations for the periods before and after the operation of the Three Gorges Dam. Comparison of 744 (A) tidal amplitude and (B) residual water level against observations along the DXR in 2002; 745 comparison of (C) tidal amplitude and (D) residual water level against observations along the DXR 746

in 2013. The values of R indicate the correlation coefficient scores for the modelled versus observeddata.

Fig. 3. Derivation of effective discharge histogram (C, F) from sediment load rating curves (A, D)
and flow frequency (B, E) for the scenarios before and after the operation of the Three Gorges Dam.
Fig. 4. Bathymetric change maps indicating patterns of erosion and deposition along the DXR for
three epochs between 1992 and 2013

Fig. 5. Bathymetric changes observed along the Datong-Xuliujing Reach (DXR) during the period 1992-2013. For ease of description, the entire DXR is equally divided into 20 sub-reaches between Datong and Xuliujing at intervals of 25.6 km: (A) annual rate of river bed elevation change during the period 1992-2002; (B) annual rate of river bed elevation change during 2002-2008; and (C) annual rate of river bed elevation change during 2008-2013. **Fig. 6.** Longitudinal variation of the residual water level slope, and the contributions of tidal and riverine forcing to the residual water level slope along the Datong-Xuliujing Reach for scenarios representing: (A) the period prior to operation of the Three Gorges Dam and (B) the period after the operation of the Three Gorges Dam. Thehe vertical solid lines show the location of the maximum residual water level gradient in each scenario.

Fig. 7. Residual water level gradient simulated along the Datong-Xuliujing Reach (DXR): (A) for 2002; (C) for 2013; and relationship between simulated residual water level gradient and the observed yearly riverbed elevation change along the fluvial dominated reach during (B) 2002-1992 and (D) 2013-2008 (the blue line denotes the best fitting line). Red cycle indicates morphological change outliers that are not considered in the correlation analysis.

Fig. 8. Longitudinal variation of the residual water level slope, and the contributions of tidal and riverine forcing to the residual water level slope along the Datong-Xuliujing Reach for: (A-B) the period prior to the operation of the Three Gorges Dam and (C-D) the period after the operation of the Three Gorges Dam operation under (A, C) mean and (B, D) high fluvial discharge scenarios.

Fig. 9. Riverbed profile along the Datong-Xuliujing Reach.

- 773
- 774
- 775
- 776
- 777
- 778
- 779
- 780

781 Fig. 1

























Table 1. Overview of the simulation experiments in the analytical model

Scenario	Channel morphology	Upstream boundary (m ³ /s)	Downstream boundary (m)
		Effective discharge: 47100	
Pre-TGD	2002	Q50: 28000	1.04
		Q75: 41200	
		Effective discharge: 40100	
Post-TGD	2013	Q50: 23300	1.12
		Q75: 37800	

- _ _ .

865	Supplementary material for
866	Landward shifts of the maximum accretion zone in the tidal reach of the Changjiang Estuary
867	following construction of the Three Gorges Dam
868	Xuefei Mei ¹ , Zhijun Dai ^{1, 2} , Stephen E. Darby ³ , Min Zhang ^{1, 4} , Huayang Cai ⁵ , Jie Wang ¹ , Wen Wei ¹
869	¹ State Key Laboratory of Estuarine and Coastal Research, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200062, China.
870	² Qingdao National Laboratory for Marine Science and Technology, Qiangdao 266100, China.
871	³ School of Geography and Environmental Sciences, University of Southampton, Southampton SO17 1BJ, UK.
872	⁴ Department of Geography, Shanghai Normal University, Shanghai, China
873	⁵ School of Marine Engineering and Technology, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China
874	
875	
876	Correspondence: Zhijun Dai (zjdai@sklec.ecnu.edu.cn)
877	
878	
879	
880	Contents of this file
881	Table S1 to S4
882	Figure S1 to S7
883	
884	

1992	Map Title	Scale	Data source
1774	Baimaosha waterway	1:60,000	CWB
1992	Qianjingkou-Xizhou	1:60,000	CWB
1992	Xizhou-Langshan	1:60,000	CWB
1992	Nantonggang	1:60,000	CWB
1992	Nanxing-Liuwei	1:40,000	CWB
1992	Zhangjiagang	1:40,000	CWB
1992	Dahegang-Rugaowei	1:40,000	CWB
1992	Rugaowei-Lu'anzhou	1:40,000	CWB
1992	Lu'anzhou-Guochuangang	1:40,000	CWB
1992	Guochuangang-Tiepigang	1:40,000	CWB
1992	Tiepigang-Heshangzhou	1:40,000	CWB
1992	Heshangzhou-zhengrunzhou	1:20,000	CWB
1992	Shiyezhou	1:40,000	CWB
1992	Shiyezhou-Longtan	1:40,000	CWB
1992	Longtan-Baguazhou	1:40,000	CWB
1992	Baguazhou waterway	1:20,000	CWB
1992	Jiangxinzhou	1:40,000	CWB
1992	Jiangxinzhou-Xinjizhou	1:40,000	CWB
1992	Xinjizhou-Xinhekou	1:40,000	CWB
1992	Xinhekou-Hongzhuang	1:40,000	CWB
1992	Hongzhuang-Wuhu	1:40,000	CWB
1992	Wuhu-Baodingwei	1:40,000	CWB
1992	Baodingwei-Tianranzhou	1:40,000	CWB
1992	Tianranzhou-Jinniudu	1:40,000	CWB
1992	Jinniudu-Chengdezhou	1:40,000	CWB
1000	Chengdezhou	1:40,000	CWB
1992	Hanagana Changwanzhauwai	1:40.000	CWB

ô	Table S1-A	. Details about	the bathymetric	data of the Datong	g-Xuliujing	Reach in 1992
			2			

2002Liuwenjing-Xuliujing1:50,000CWB2002Qidongang-Xincun1:50,000CWB2002Kuliujing Tianshenggang1:50,000CWB2002Langshan-Shiyiwei1:40,000CWB2002Duanshan-Ebizui1:40,000CWB2002Ebizui-Lianchengzhou1:40,000CWB2002Ebizui-Lianchengzhou1:40,000CWB2002Lianchengzhou-Shisiwei1:40,000CWB2002Lianchengzhou-Shisiwei1:40,000CWB2002Lianchengzhou-Shisiwei1:40,000CWB2002Lianchengzhou-Shisiwei1:40,000CWB2002Lianchengzhou-Shisiwei1:40,000CWB2002Lianchengzhou-Jiaoshan1:40,000CWB2002Jiaoshan-Shierwei1:40,000CWB2002Qixiashan-Zhongshammatou1:40,000CWB2002Qiutingzhou-Hejiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Qiutingzhou-Hejiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Qiutingzhou-Hejiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Qiutingzhou-Hejiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Dahewei-Wanjiatan1:40,000CWB2002Dahewei-Wanjiatan1:40,000CWB2002Dahewei-Wanjiatan1:40,000CWB2002Dahewei-Wanjiatan1:40,000CWB2002Dahewei-Wanjiatan1:40,000CWB2002Dahewei-Wanjiatan1:40,000CWB2002Dahewei-Wanjiatan1	Survey date	Map Title	Scale	Data sources
2002Xincun-Beizhikou1:50,000CWB2002Qidongang-Xincun1:50,000CWB2002Xuliujing -Tianshenggang1:50,000CWB2002Langshan-Shiyiwei1:40,000CWB2002Duanshan-Ehizui1:40,000CWB2002Ebizui-Lianchengzhou1:40,000CWB2002Lianchengzhou-Shisiwei1:40,000CWB2002Lianchengzhou-Shisiwei1:40,000CWB2002Lianchengzhou-Shisiwei1:40,000CWB2002Zhenjianggangqu1:20,000CWB2002Zhenjianggangqu1:20,000CWB2002Zhenjianggangqu1:20,000CWB2002Qixiashan-Shierwei1:40,000CWB2002Qixiashan-Zhongshanmatou1:40,000CWB2002Qixiashan-Zhongshanmatou1:40,000CWB2002Qixiashan-Zhongshanmatou1:40,000CWB2002Chenjiazhou-Chenjiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Chenjiazhou-Chenjiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Zhangjiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Zhangjiazhou-Chenjiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Zhangjiazhou-Chenjiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Zhangjiazhou-Chenjiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Zhangjiazhou-Chenjiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Zhangjiazhou-Chenjiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjjiaghou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjj	2002	Liuwenjing-Xuliujing	1:50,000	CWB
2002Qidonggang-Xincun1:50,000CWB2002Kuliujing Tianshenggang1:50,000CWB2002Langshan-Shiyiwei1:40,000CWB2002Dunshan-Ebizui1:40,000CWB2002Ebizui-Lianchengzhou1:40,000CWB2002Lianchengzhou-Shisiwei1:40,000CWB2002Shisiwei-Luochengzhou-Jiaoshan1:40,000CWB2002Zhenjianggangu1:20,000CWB2002Zhenjianggangu1:20,000CWB2002Zhenjianggangu1:20,000CWB2002Qixiashan-Shierwei1:40,000CWB2002Qixiashan-Zhongshanmatou1:40,000CWB2002Qixiashan-Zhongshanmatou1:40,000CWB2002Qiutingzhou-Hejiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Zhongshammatou1:40,000CWB2002Qiutingzhou-Hejiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Qiutingzhou-Hejiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Dahewei-Wanjiatan1:40,000CWB2002Wanjiatan-Zhangjiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Wanjiatan-Zhangjiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Zhangjiazhou-Hejiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Zhangjiazhou-Hejiachang1:40,000CWB2002Wanjiatan-Zhangjiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Zhangjiazhou-Hejiachang1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou </td <td>2002</td> <td>Xincun-Beizhikou</td> <td>1:50,000</td> <td>CWB</td>	2002	Xincun-Beizhikou	1:50,000	CWB
2002Xuliujing - Tianshenggang1:50,000CWB2002Langshan-Shiyiwei1:40,000CWB2002Duanshan-Ebizui1:40,000CWB2002Ebizui-Lianchengzhou1:40,000CWB2002Lianchengzhou-Shisiwei1:40,000CWB2002Lianchengzhou-Shisiwei1:40,000CWB2002Lianchengzhou-Shisiwei1:40,000CWB2002Lianchengzhou-Jiaoshan1:40,000CWB2002Lianchengzhou-Jiaoshan1:40,000CWB2002Zhenjianggangqu1:20,000CWB2002Qixiashan-Shierwei1:40,000CWB2002Qixiashan-Zhongshanmatou1:40,000CWB2002Qixiashan-Zhongshanmatou1:40,000CWB2002Qiutingzhou-Hejiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Chenjiazhou-Chenjiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Dahewei-Wanjiatan1:40,000CWB2002Zhongshanz-Zhangjiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Zhangjiazhou-Hejiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Zhangjiazhou-Hejiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Zhangjiazhou-Hejiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Zhangjiazhou-Hejiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Zhangjiazhou-Hejiachang1:40,000CWB2002Zhangjiazhou-Hejiachang1:40,000CWB2002Nanjiatan-Zhangjiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002	2002	Qidonggang-Xincun	1:50,000	CWB
2002Langshan-Shiyiwei1:40,000CWB2002Tianshenggang-Duanshan1:40,000CWB2002Ebizui-Lianchengzhou1:40,000CWB2002Lianchengzhou-Shiswei1:40,000CWB2002Shisiwei-Luochengzhou1:40,000CWB2002Zhenjianggangqu1:20,000CWB2002Jiaoshan-Shierwei1:40,000CWB2002Jiaoshan-Shierwei1:40,000CWB2002Qixiashan-Shierwei1:40,000CWB2002Qixiashan-Shierwei-Qixiashan1:40,000CWB2002Qixiashan-Zhongshammatou1:40,000CWB2002Qiutingzhou-Hejjazhou1:40,000CWB2002Qiutingzhou-Hejjazhou1:40,000CWB2002Qiutingzhou-Hejjazhou1:40,000CWB2002Dahewei-Wanjiatan1:40,000CWB2002Zhongshammatou1:40,000CWB2002Qiatigazhou-Chenjiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Dahewei-Wanjiatan1:40,000CWB2002Zhangjiazhou-Chenjiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Zhangjiazhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Wanjiatan-Shanginazhou1:40,000CWB2002Zhangjiazhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiang	2002	Xuliujing -Tianshenggang	1:50,000	CWB
2002Tianshenggang-Duanshan1:40,000CWB2002Duanshan-Ebizui1:40,000CWB2002Lianchengzhou-Shisiwei1:40,000CWB2002Shisiwei-Luochengzhou1:40,000CWB2002Luochengzhou-Jiaoshan1:40,000CWB2002Zhenjianggangqu1:20,000CWB2002Jiaoshan-Shisiwei1:40,000CWB2002Zhenjianggangqu1:20,000CWB2002Qixishan-Zhongshanmatou1:40,000CWB2002Qixishan-Zhongshanmatou1:40,000CWB2002Qixishan-Zhongshanmatou1:40,000CWB2002Qiutingzhou-Hejiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Qiutingzhou-Chenjiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Dahewei-Wanjiatan1:40,000CWB2002Wanjiatan-Zhangjiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Wanjiatan-Zhangjiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Zhangjiazhou-Hejiachang1:40,000CWB2002Wanjiatan-Zhangjiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Wanjiatan-Zhangjiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Wanjiatan-Zhangjiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Wanjiatan-Zhangjiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Wanjiatan-Zhangjiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Wanjiatan-Zhangjiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Wanjiatan-Zhangjiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002	2002	Langshan- Shiyiwei	1:40,000	CWB
2002Duanshan-Ebizui1:40,000CWB2002Ebizui-Lianchengzhou1:40,000CWB2002Shisiwei-Luochengzhou1:40,000CWB2002Luochengzhou-Jiaoshan1:40,000CWB2002Zhenjianggaqu1:20,000CWB2002Jiaoshan-Shierwei1:40,000CWB2002Qixiashan-Shierwei1:40,000CWB2002Qixiashan-Shierwei1:40,000CWB2002Qixiashan-Zhongshammatou1:40,000CWB2002Qixiashan-Zhongshammatou1:40,000CWB2002Zhongshammatou-Qiutingzhou1:40,000CWB2002Qiutingzhou-Hejiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Qiutingzhou-Hejiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Qiutingzhou-Hejiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Qiutingzhou-Dahewei1:40,000CWB2002Dahewei-Wanjiatan1:40,000CWB2002Wanjiatan-Zhangjiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Wanjiatan-Zhangiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Wanjiatan-Zhangiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Wanjiatan-Zhangiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Wanjiatan-Zhangiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Wanjiatan-Zhangiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Wanjiatan-Zhangiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangko	2002	Tianshenggang-Duanshan	1:40,000	CWB
2002Ebizui-Lianchengzhou1:40,000CWB2002Lianchengzhou-Shisiwei1:40,000CWB2002Luochengzhou-Jiaoshan1:40,000CWB2002Zhenjianggangqu1:20,000CWB2002Jiaoshan-Shierwei1:40,000CWB2002Jiaoshan-Shierwei1:40,000CWB2002Qixiashan-Zhongshanmatou1:40,000CWB2002Qixiashan-Zhongshanmatou1:40,000CWB2002Qixiashan-Zhongshanmatou1:40,000CWB2002Zhongshanmatou-Qiutingzhou1:40,000CWB2002Qiutingzhou-Hejiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Qiutingzhou-Hejiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Dahewei-Wanjiatan1:40,000CWB2002Dahewei-Wanjiatan1:40,000CWB2002Zhangjiazhou-Hejiachang1:40,000CWB2002Zhangjiazhou-Hejiachang1:40,000CWB2002Nizhau-Zhangjiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Wanjiatan-Zhangjiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhau-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2003Changjianggu<	2002	Duanshan-Ebizui	1:40,000	CWB
2002Lianchengzhou-Shisiwei1:40,000CWB2002Shisiwei-Luochengzhou1:40,000CWB2002Luochengzhou-Jiaoshan1:40,000CWB2002Jiaoshan-Shierwei1:40,000CWB2002Shierwei-Qixiashan1:40,000CWB2002Qixiashan-Zhongshanmatou1:40,000CWB2002Qixiashan-Zhongshanmatou1:40,000CWB2002Zhongshanmatou-Qiutingzhou1:40,000CWB2002Qiutingzhou-Hejiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Qiutingzhou-Hejiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Chenjiazhou-Chenjiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Dabwei-Wanjiatan1:40,000CWB2002Dabwei-Wanjiatan1:40,000CWB2002Dabwei-Wanjiatan1:40,000CWB2002Dabwei-Wanjiatan1:40,000CWB2002Dabwei-Wanjiatan1:40,000CWB2002Wanjiatan-Zhangjiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Wanjiatan-Zhangjiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2003Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:	2002	Ebizui-Lianchengzhou	1:40,000	CWB
2002Shisiwei-Luochengzhou1:40,000CWB2002Luochengzhou-Jiaoshan1:40,000CWB2002Zhenjianggangqu1:20,000CWB2002Shierwei-Qixiashan1:40,000CWB2002Qixiashan-Zhongshanmatou1:40,000CWB2002Qixiashan-Zhongshanmatou1:40,000CWB2002Zhongshanmatou-Qiutingzhou1:40,000CWB2002Zhongshanmatou-Qiutingzhou1:40,000CWB2002Qiutingzhou-Hejiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Qiutingzhou-Hejiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Dahewei-Wanjiatan1:40,000CWB2002Dahewei-Wanjiatan1:40,000CWB2002Zhangjiazhou-Hejiachang1:40,000CWB2002Zhangjiazhou-Hejiachang1:40,000CWB2002Zhangjiagharu-Hejiachang1:40,000CWB2002Zhangjiaghou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2003Sangaregi1:40,000CWB2004Sangaregi1:40,000	2002	Lianchengzhou-Shisiwei	1:40,000	CWB
2002Luochengzhou-Jiaoshan1:40,000CWB2002Zhenjianggangqu1:20,000CWB2002Jiaoshan-Shierwei1:40,000CWB2002Qixiashan-Zhongshanmatou1:40,000CWB2002Qixiashan-Zhongshanmatou1:40,000CWB2002Zhongshanmatou-Qiutingzhou1:40,000CWB2002Qiutingzhou-Hejiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Qiutingzhou-Hejiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Chenjiazhou-Chenjiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Chenjiazhou-Dahewei1:40,000CWB2002Dahewei-Wanjiatan1:40,000CWB2002Zhangjiazhou-Hejiachou1:40,000CWB2002Wanjiatan-Zhangjiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Hejiachang-Nizhou1:40,000CWB2002Hejiachang-Nizhou1:40,000CWB2002Hejiachang-Nizhou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2003Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2004Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,	2002	Shisiwei-Luochengzhou	1:40,000	CWB
2002Zhenjianggangqu1:20,000CWB2002Jiaoshan-Shierwei1:40,000CWB2002Qixiashan-Zhongshammatou1:40,000CWB2002Qixiashan-Zhongshammatou1:40,000CWB2002Zhongshammatou-Qiutingzhou1:40,000CWB2002Qiutingzhou-Hejiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Qiutingzhou-Hejiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Chenjiazhou-Chenjiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Chenjiazhou-Dahewei1:40,000CWB2002Dahewei-Wanjiatan1:40,000CWB2002Zhangjiazhou-Hejiachang1:40,000CWB2002Zhangjiazhou-Hejiachang1:40,000CWB2002Zhangjiazhou-Hejiachang1:40,000CWB2002Zhangjiazhou-Hejiachang1:40,000CWB2002Zhangjiazhou-Hejiachang1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2003Note: CWB: ChangjiaangSankaSanka2004Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2005Nizhou-Sanji	2002	Luochengzhou-Jiaoshan	1:40,000	CWB
2002Jiaoshan-Shierwei1:40,000CWB2002Shierwei-Qixiashan1:40,000CWB2002Qixiashan-Zhongshanmatou1:40,000CWB2002Zhongshanmatou-Qiutingzhou1:40,000CWB2002Qiutingzhou-Hejiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Hejiazhou-Chenjiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Chenjiazhou-Dahewei1:40,000CWB2002Dahewei-Wanjiatan1:40,000CWB2002Dahewei-Wanjiatan1:40,000CWB2002Zhangjiazhou-Dahewei1:40,000CWB2002Dahewei-Wanjiatan1:40,000CWB2002Zhangjiazhou-Hejiachang1:40,000CWB2002Wanjiatan-Zhangjiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Wanjiatan-Shangiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Wanjiatan-Shangiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2003SanaeSanaeSanae2004Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2005SanaeSanaeSanae <trr< td=""><td>2002</td><td>Zhenjianggangqu</td><td>1:20,000</td><td>CWB</td></trr<>	2002	Zhenjianggangqu	1:20,000	CWB
2002Shierwei-Qixiashan1:40,000CWB2002Qixiashan-Zhongshanmatou1:40,000CWB2002Zhongshanmatou-Qiutingzhou1:40,000CWB2002Qiutingzhou-Hejiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Hejiazhou-Chenjiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Chenjiazhou-Dahewei1:40,000CWB2002Dahewei-Wanjiatan1:40,000CWB2002Wanjiatan-Zhangjiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Wanjiatan-Zhangjiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Wanjiatan-Shangjiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Zhangjiazhou-Hejiachang1:40,000CWB2002Wanjiatan-Shangjiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Wanjiatan-Shangjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2003Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2004Changjiang Waterway Bureau (CWB), Ministry of Transportation of China	2002	Jiaoshan-Shierwei	1:40,000	CWB
2002Qixiashan-Zhongshanmatou1:40,000CWB2002Nanjinggangqu1:20,000CWB2002Zhongshanmatou-Qiutingzhou1:40,000CWB2002Qiutingzhou-Hejiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Chenjiazhou-Dahewei1:40,000CWB2002Dahewei-Wanjiatan1:40,000CWB2002Wanjiatan-Zhangjiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Wanjiatan-Zhangjiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Wanjiatan-Zhangjiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Wanjiatan-Shangjiazhou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2003Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2004Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2005Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2006Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2007Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40,000CWB2008Changjiang Waterway Bureau (CWB), Ministry of Transportation of China	2002	Shierwei-Qixiashan	1:40,000	CWB
2002Nanjinggangqu1:20.000CWB2002Zhongshammatou-Qiutingzhou1:40.000CWB2002Qiutingzhou-Hejiazhou1:40.000CWB2002Chenjiazhou-Dahewei1:40.000CWB2002Dahewei-Wanjiatan1:40.000CWB2002Wanjiatan-Zhangjiazhou1:40.000CWB2002Wanjiatan-Zhangjiazhou1:40.000CWB2002Zhangjiazhou-Hejiachang1:40.000CWB2002Hejiachang-Nizhou1:40.000CWB2002Hejiachang-Nizhou1:40.000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40.000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40.000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40.000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40.000CWB2002Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40.000CWB2003Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40.000CWB2004Nizhou-Sanjiangkou1:40.000CWB2005Note:CWB: Changjiang Waterway Bureau (CWB), Ministry of Transportation of ChinaTable S1-C. Details about the bathymetric data of the Datong-Xuliujing Reach in 20Suraw data2003Ma TelaSarla2004Ma TelaSarla2005Note:Sarla2006NangetaNangeta2007NangetaNangeta2008NangetaNangeta2009NangetaNangeta2009NangetaNangeta	2002	Qixiashan-Zhongshanmatou	1:40,000	CWB
2002 Zhongshanmatou-Qiutingzhou 1:40,000 CWB 2002 Qiutingzhou-Hejiazhou 1:40,000 CWB 2002 Hejiazhou-Chenjiazhou 1:40,000 CWB 2002 Chenjiazhou-Dahewei 1:40,000 CWB 2002 Dahewei-Wanjiatan 1:40,000 CWB 2002 Dahewei-Wanjiatan 1:40,000 CWB 2002 Wanjiatan-Zhangjiazhou 1:40,000 CWB 2002 Zhangjiazhou-Hejiachang 1:40,000 CWB 2002 Zhangjiazhou-Hejiachang 1:40,000 CWB 2002 Jizhou-Sanjiangkou 1:40,000 CWB 2002 Nizhou-Sanjiangkou 1:40,000 CWB 2003 Note: CWB: Changjiang Waterway Bureau (CWB), Ministry of Transportation of China Ma Table S1-C. Details about the bathymetric data of the Datong-Xuliujing Reach in 20<	2002	Nanjinggangqu	1:20,000	CWB
2002 Qiutingzhou-Hejiazhou 1:40,000 CWB 2002 Hejiazhou-Chenjiazhou 1:40,000 CWB 2002 Chenjiazhou-Dahewei 1:40,000 CWB 2002 Dahewei-Wanjiatan 1:40,000 CWB 2002 Wanjiatan-Zhangjiazhou 1:40,000 CWB 2002 Zhangjiazhou-Hejiachang 1:40,000 CWB 2002 Zhangjiazhou-Hejiachang 1:40,000 CWB 2002 Hejiachang-Nizhou 1:40,000 CWB 2002 Nizhou-Sanjiangkou 1:40,000 CWB 2003 Note: CWB: Changjiang Waterway Bureau (CWB), Ministry of Transportation of China Table S1-C. Details about the bathymetric data of the Datong-Xuliujing Reach in 20	2002	Zhongshanmatou-Qiutingzhou	1:40,000	CWB
2002 Hejiazhou-Chenjiazhou 1:40,000 CWB 2002 Chenjiazhou-Dahewei 1:40,000 CWB 2002 Dahewei-Wanjiatan 1:40,000 CWB 2002 Wanjiatan-Zhangjiazhou 1:40,000 CWB 2002 Zhangjiazhou-Hejiachang 1:40,000 CWB 2002 Hejiachang-Nizhou 1:40,000 CWB 2002 Hejiachang-Nizhou 1:40,000 CWB 2002 Nizhou-Sanjiangkou 1:40,000 CWB 2002 Nizhou-Sanjiangkou 1:40,000 CWB Note: CWB: Changjiang Waterway Bureau (CWB), Ministry of Transportation of China Table S1-C. Details about the bathymetric data of the Datong-Xuliujing Reach in 20 Sunwu data Man Titla Scala Data guarantee	2002	Qiutingzhou-Hejiazhou	1:40,000	CWB
2002 Chenjiazhou-Dahewei 1:40,000 CWB 2002 Dahewei-Wanjiatan 1:40,000 CWB 2002 Wanjiatan-Zhangjiazhou 1:40,000 CWB 2002 Zhangjiazhou-Hejiachang 1:40,000 CWB 2002 Hejiachang-Nizhou 1:40,000 CWB 2002 Hejiachang-Nizhou 1:40,000 CWB 2002 Nizhou-Sanjiangkou 1:40,000 CWB 2002 Nizhou-Sanjiangkou 1:40,000 CWB 2002 Nizhou-Sanjiangkou 1:40,000 CWB Note: CWB: Changjiang Waterway Bureau (CWB), Ministry of Transportation of China Table S1-C. Details about the bathymetric data of the Datong-Xuliujing Reach in 20 Suyau data May Titla Sala Data suyau may	2002	Hejiazhou-Chenjiazhou	1:40,000	CWB
2002 Dahewei-Wanjiatan 1:40,000 CWB 2002 Wanjiatan-Zhangjiazhou 1:40,000 CWB 2002 Zhangjiazhou-Hejiachang 1:40,000 CWB 2002 Hejiachang-Nizhou 1:40,000 CWB 2002 Nizhou-Sanjiangkou 1:40,000 CWB 2002 Nizhou-Sanjiangkou 1:40,000 CWB 2002 Nizhou-Sanjiangkou 1:40,000 CWB Note: CWB: Changjiang Waterway Bureau (CWB), Ministry of Transportation of China Materway Bureau (CWB), Ministry of Transportation of China Table S1-C. Details about the bathymetric data of the Datong-Xuliujing Reach in 20 Survey data Mate Title Scala Data survey	2002	Chenjiazhou-Dahewei	1:40,000	CWB
2002 Wanjiatan-Zhangjiazhou 1:40,000 CWB 2002 Zhangjiazhou-Hejiachang 1:40,000 CWB 2002 Hejiachang-Nizhou 1:40,000 CWB 2002 Nizhou-Sanjiangkou 1:40,000 CWB 2002 Nizhou-Sanjiangkou 1:40,000 CWB Note: CWB: Changjiang Waterway Bureau (CWB), Ministry of Transportation of China Table S1-C. Details about the bathymetric data of the Datong-Xuliujing Reach in 20 Survay data Man Titla Sada Data courses	2002	Dahewei-Wanjiatan	1:40,000	CWB
2002 Zhangjiazhou-Hejiachang 1:40,000 CWB 2002 Hejiachang-Nizhou 1:40,000 CWB 2002 Nizhou-Sanjiangkou 1:40,000 CWB Note: CWB: Changjiang Waterway Bureau (CWB), Ministry of Transportation of China Table S1-C. Details about the bathymetric data of the Datong-Xuliujing Reach in 20 Survay data Mag Title Scale Data generation	2002	Wanjiatan-Zhangjiazhou	1:40,000	CWB
2002 Hejiachang-Nizhou 1:40,000 CWB 2002 Nizhou-Sanjiangkou 1:40,000 CWB Note: CWB: Changjiang Waterway Bureau (CWB), Ministry of Transportation of China Table S1-C. Details about the bathymetric data of the Datong-Xuliujing Reach in 20 Survay data Man Title Surlay Data survay	2002	Zhangjiazhou-Hejiachang	1:40,000	CWB
2002 Nizhou-Sanjiangkou 1:40,000 CWB Note: CWB: Changjiang Waterway Bureau (CWB), Ministry of Transportation of China Table S1-C. Details about the bathymetric data of the Datong-Xuliujing Reach in 20 Survey data Man Title Surley Data survey	2002	Heijachang-Nizhou	1:40.000	CWB
Table S1-C. Details about the bathymetric data of the Datong-Xuliujing Reach in 20	2002	Nizhou-Sanijangkou	1:40.000	CWB
Table S1-C. Details about the bathymetric data of the Datong-Xuliujing Reach in 20 Survey date Man Title				
Table S1-C. Details about the bathymetric data of the Datong-Xuliujing Reach in 20 Survey date Map Title				
Table S1-C. Details about the bathymetric data of the Datong-Xuliujing Reach in 20 Survey date Man Title				
Table S1-C. Details about the bathymetric data of the Datong-Xuliujing Reach in 20 Survey date Man Title				
Table S1-C. Details about the bathymetric data of the Datong-Xuliujing Reach in 20 Survey date Man Title				
Survey data Mon Title Scale Data sources	Table S1-C. Detai	ils about the bathymetric data of the D	atong-Xuliuiin	g Reach in 20
	Sumon dete	Mon Title	Saala	Data sources

899	Table S1-B. Details about the bathymetric data of the Datong-Xuliujing Reach in 2002

2013	Liuwenjing-Baimaohe	1:80,000	CWB
Survey date	Map Title	Scale	Data sources
Table S1-D. Det	ails about the bathymetric data of the D	atong-Xuliujing	g Reach in 201
— • • • •			_
THORE, C W D. Chally	gnang waterway Dureau (CWD), Ministry Of		Ciiiia
Note: CWR: Chan	tijang Waterway Rureau (CWR) Ministry of	Transportation of	China
2008	Nizhou-Saniiangkou	1:40.000	CWB
2008	Heijachang-Nizhou	1:40.000	CWB
2008	Zhangijazhou-Heijachang	1:40,000	CWB
2008	Wanjiatan-Zhangijazhou	1.40,000	CWB
2008	Dahewei-Wanijatan	1.40,000	CWB
2008	Chanijazhou Dahawaj	1:40,000	CWB
2008	Haijazhou Chanijazhou	1:40,000	CWB
2008	Zhongshanmatou-Qiutingzhou	1:40,000	
2008	Nanjinggangqu	1:20,000	CWB
2008	Qixiashan-Zhongshanmatou	1:40,000	CWB
2008	Shierwei-Qixiashan	1:40,000	CWB
2008	Jiaoshan-Shierwei	1:40,000	CWB
2008	Luochengzhou-Jiaoshan	1:40,000	CWB
2008	Shisiwei-Luochengzhou	1:40,000	CWB
2008	Lianchengzhou-Shisiwei	1:40,000	CWB
2008	Ebizui-Lianchengzhou	1:40,000	CWB
2008	Duanshan-Ebizui	1:40,000	CWB
2008	Tianshenggang-Duanshan	1:40,000	CWB
2008	Laohonggang-Tianshenggang	1:40,000	CWB
2008	Baimaohe- Laohonggang	1:40,000	CWB
2008	Lianxinggang-Qidonggang	1:40,000	CWB
2008	Qidonggang-Xincun	1:40,000	CWB
2008	Xincun-Beizhikou	1:40,000	CWB
2008	Liuwenjing-Baimaohe	1:40,000	CWB

rvey date	Map Title	Scale	Data sou
2013	Liuwenjing-Baimaohe	1:80,000	CWB
2013	Lianxinggang-Qidonggang	1:80,000	CWB

Variables Dimensionless	tidal amplitude $\zeta = \eta / \overline{h}$	Dependent Amplification	$\frac{\partial \mathbf{variables}}{\partial n \text{ number}}$ $\delta = c_0 d\eta / (\eta \omega dz)$	x)
Variables Dimensionless t	idal amplitude	Dependent Amplification	variables n number $\delta = c_d n / (n_{c}) dc$	r)
Table S2. Defi Variables Dimensionlase	idal amplituda	Dependent	variables	
Table S2. Defi		D J . 4		
	nitions of the dimensi	onless parameters i	n the analytical	model
lote: CWB: Cha	ngjiang Waterway Burea	u (CWB), Ministry of	Transportation of	China
2013			1.00,000	
2013	Hejiachai	ig-miznou	1:80,000	
2013	Zhangjiazho	u-неjiachang	1:80,000	CWB
2013	Wanjiatan-Z	nangjiazhou	1:80,000	CWB
2013	Dahewei-	wanjiatan	1:80,000	CWB
2013	Chenjiazho	ou-Dahewei	1:80,000	CWB
2013	Hejiazhou-	Chenjiazhou	1:80,000	CWB
2013	Qiutingzho	u-Hejiazhou	1:80,000	CWB
2013	Zhongshanmat	ou-Qiutingzhou	1:80,000	CWB
2013	Nanjingchan	gjiangdaqiao	1:40,000	CWB
2013	Qixiashan-Zh	ongshanmatou	1:80,000	CWB
2013	Shierwei-	Qıxiashan	1:80,000	CWB
2013	Jiaoshan	-Shierwei	1:80,000	CWB
2013	Luochengzh	iou-Jiaoshan	1:80,000	CWB
2013	Shisiwei-Lu	lochengzhou	1:80,000	CWB
2013	Lianchengz	iou-Shisiwei	1:80,000	CWB
2013	Ebizui-Lia	nchengzhou	1:80,000	CWB
2013	Duansha	in-Ebizui	1:80,000	CWB
2013	Tianshengga	ng-Duanshan	1:80,000	CWB
(1) (1) (1)	Laohonggang-	Tianshenggang	1:80,000	CWB
2013	T 1	TT' 1	1 00 000	CUUD
2013 2013	Baimaohe- I	Laohonggang	1:80,000	CWB
2013 2013 2013	Miaogang Baimaohe- I	-Beizhikou Laohonggang	1:80,000	CWB CWB

	$\gamma = c_0 (\overline{A} - \overline{A_r}) / (\omega \alpha \overline{A})$	$\mu = \upsilon/(r_S \zeta c_0) = \upsilon \overline{h}/(r_S \eta c_0)$			
Friction	number	Cele	erity number	r	
$\chi = r$	$\int_{S}gc_{0}\zeta[1-(4\zeta/3)^{2}]^{-1}/(\omega K^{2}\overline{h})^{2}$	4/3)		$\lambda = c_0/c$	
Dimens	ionless river discharge	Phas	se lag		
	$\boldsymbol{\varphi} = \boldsymbol{U}_r / \boldsymbol{v}$		ε =	$\pi/2 - (\phi_Z - \phi_U)$	
Note: η	is the tidal amplitude; υ is the ve	elocity ampli	tude; $U_r =$	Q/\overline{A} is the river flow velocity; η	r_s is the sto
width and	is $a = \sqrt{a h} / m a$ is the elements	1	iter in a mi	amatic frictionlass shownals of	in the she
width rat	10; $c_0 = \sqrt{gn/rs}$ is the classical	li wave celer	ny in a pri	smalle inclionless channel; φ_Z	is the pha
elevation	; ϕ_U is the phase of current.				
	~				
Table S3	• Characteristics of geometric par	ameters in th	e Yangtze H	River estuary	
Year	Characteristics	River	Mouth	Convergence length a or b (k	m)
2002	Cross-sectional area A (m ²) Width B (m)	1/093	/0138	126 62	0
	$\frac{1}{Cross-sectional area A (m^2)}$	2311	81255	121	0
2013	Width $B(m)$	2171	8778	74	0
13 —		t)			
	A o	1(10	4 В	Δ .	
		load	Δ		
e ¹¹		t :	3	<u>18</u>	
₫ 10		I Q			
010	° ° 9 50 °	o i o	3.		
cahrg	<u>• • 9.50</u> • •	d sedime	3.		
discahrg	<u>• • 9.50</u> • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	nded sedime	3 · A 2 ·	Δ Δ Δ <u>1.72</u> Δ	
arly discahrg	<u> </u>	o spended sedime	3 A 2 -	$ \overset{\Delta}{\underline{}} \overset{\Delta}{\underline{}} \overset{\Delta}{\underline{}} \overset{A}{\underline{}} \overset{A}{\underline{}}$	
Yearly discahrg	<u> </u>	o O o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o	3 A 2 A	$ \overset{\Delta}{} $	
Yearly discahrg	<u> </u>	early suspended sedime	3 · A 2 · A 1 · · · · ·	$ \begin{array}{c} \Delta \\ & \Delta \\ & \underline{ \begin{array}{c} & \underline{ \begin{array}{c} & \Delta \\ & \underline{ \end{array}} \\ & \underline{ \end{array}} \\ & \underline{ \begin{array}{c} & \underline{ \end{array}} \\ & \underline{ \end{array}} \\ & \underline{ \end{array} \\ & \underline{ \end{array} } \\ & \underline{ \begin{array}{c} & \Delta \\ & \underline{ \end{array} \\ & \underline{ \end{array} } \end{array} } \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\$	
Yearly discahrg	<u> </u>	O O O O Yearly suspended sedime	3 A 2 A 1 A 199	$ \begin{array}{c} & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & $	

Fig. S1. (A) Yearly discharge (black cycle) and (B) Yearly suspended sediment discharge (black triangle) at Datong
station, with the blue solid line showing the mean value of each sub-phase.



Fig. S2. Hourly tide level in (A) 2002 and (B) 2013 at Xuliujing station.





Fig. S3. Longitudinal variation of the main geometric characteristics (cross-sectional area, width and depth) along
the Datong-Xuliujing Reach (from Xuliujing to Datong) at (A) 2002 and (B) 2013. The thick black lines represent
the best-fitting curves.



Fig. S4. Daily discharge (black cycle) and daily suspended sediment discharge (black triangle) during 1998-2002
(A-B) and 2009-2013 (C-D) at Datong, with the red line indicating the value of Q75 while the blue line indicating
the value of Q50.



Fig. S5. Thalweg profiles showing the elevation variation along the Datong-Xuliujing Reach, with (A) Thalweg

- profile along the DXR of different years and (B) the mean elevation of each sub-reaches of different years (the entire
 DXR is divided into 21 sub-reaches with an interval of 25 km for better showing the morphological variations).



Distance from the left bank (m) Distance from the left bank (m)
Fig. S6. Cross sections showing the elevation changes along the Datong-Xuliujing Reach from 1992 to 2013: (A)
Wuhu; (B) Nanjing; (C) Shiyezhoutou and (D) Xuliujing.





984 Fig. S7. Yearly sand mining volume for waterway dredging downstream of Nanjing

986			
987			
988			
989			
990			
991			
992			