# Selective constraints on global plankton dispersal

Ben A. Ward<sup>a</sup>, B. B. Cael<sup>b</sup>, Sinead Collins<sup>c</sup>, and C. Robert Young<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Ocean and Earth Science, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK ; <sup>b</sup>National Oceanography Centre, European Way, Southampton, UK ; <sup>c</sup>Institute of Evolutionary Biology, School of Biological Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK,

This manuscript was compiled on January 21, 2021

Marine microbial communities are highly interconnected assem-1 2 blages of organisms shaped by ecological drift, natural selection and dispersal. The relative strength of these forces determines how 3 ecosystems respond to environmental gradients, how much diversity 4 is resident in a community or population at any given time, and how 5 populations reorganise and evolve in response to environmental per-6 turbations. In this study we introduce a globally-resolved population-7 8 genetic ocean model in order to examine the interplay of dispersal, 9 selection and adaptive evolution, and their effects on community assembly and global biogeography. We find that environmental selec-10 tion places strong constraints on global dispersal, even in the face of 11 extremely high assumed rates of adaptation. Changing the relative 12 strengths of dispersal, selection and adaptation has pronounced ef-13 fects on community assembly in the model, and suggests that bar-14 15 riers to dispersal play a key role in the structuring of marine communities, enhancing global biodiversity and the importance of local 16 historical contingencies. 17

Ocean | Microbial | Dispersal | Connectivity | Selection | Evolution

cean microbial biogeography is determined by the balance of two opposing forces: dispersal by the ocean cur-2 rents and selection by the local environment (1). In the limit 3 where global dispersal is fast relative to population turnover, 4 environmental conditions alone should be sufficient to pre-5 dict the presence or absence of a particular species from any 6 given location on Earth (2, 3). This is the view encapsu-7 lated in Baas-Becking's hypothesis that "everything is every-8 where, but the environment selects" (4). On the other hand, q if global dispersal is slow relative to population turnover, lim-10 ited connectivity between ocean regions will tend to reinforce 11 chance differences between isolated communities (5, 6), with 12 13 geographically-isolated but otherwise similar environments 14 displaying significant differences in taxonomic composition.

Over evolutionary timescales, the balance of dispersal and 15 selection will affect community assembly (through diversifi-16 cation and mass effects; 7), ecosystem function (through bio-17 geochemical cycling), and ultimately the resilience of marine 18 ecosystems to environmental change (8). Therefore, under-19 standing the mechanisms that lead to niche diversification 20 and biogeographic structure in microbial communities is a 21 fundamental pursuit of marine microbial research. A cen-22 tral question is to what degree are biogeographic patterns 23 attributable to local selection based on contemporary environ-24 mental factors, or to independent stochastic processes occur-25 ring in geographically-isolated regions (SI Appendix, Fig. S1 26 27 and ref. 1).

Recent analysis of metagenomic data (Figure 1 and ref. 9), has shown that large-scale trends in community composition are correlated both with environmental variables and geographic distance, with distinct clusters emerging along environmental gradients and among the most rapidly connected sites, suggesting that both history and environment play important roles. When sample sites are clustered based 34 on metagenomic pairwise  $\beta$ -diversity (see SI Appendix), there 35 is discernible ecological similarity among sites within the the 36 same ocean basins (Figure 1a), although we also see geo-37 graphically proximate sites clustered far apart, and sites from 38 geographically remote locations clustered together (Figures 1b, 39 SI Appendix, Fig. S4 and S5). These broad patterns appear 40 to reflect both geographic proximity and environmental se-41 lection (9). Nonetheless, it can be difficult to assign causal 42 mechanisms and the drivers of observed biogeography thus 43 remain uncertain. 44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

The roles of selection and dispersal have both been examined using global-scale models, but typically with one in isolation from the other. On one hand, population dynamic models have focused on the role of selection from among a universal background of candidate species (11), in line with the Baas-Becking (4) hypothesis. On the other hand, a number of studies have addressed the question of global gene flow in oceanic microbial communities, using particle tracking models to assess connectivity through the surface waters (6, 12), but these have typically assumed ecological neutrality (5), and have thus ignored the role of selection. While some studies find that the ocean surface is very rapidly connected on timescales of decades or less (12), others suggest that current rates of passive dispersal are insufficient to overcome biogeographic differences created by chance mutations occurring in geographically isolated regions of the ocean (6).

In order to distinguish between the biogeographic effects of selection and dispersal, we need a framework that accounts for both processes together. In this paper, we develop a population

### Significance Statement

Microscopic plankton form the ecological and biogeochemical foundation of almost all marine ecosystems. In the fluid ocean environment, biodiversity and community structure are determined by the poorly-constrained balance of local selection and global dispersal. While ocean currents have the capacity to rapidly connect distant locations, we use numerical simulations to show that extremely high rates of adaptation are required for populations to traverse large-scale gradients in environmental variables such as temperature. Changing the assumed balance of selection, adaptation and dispersal in our simulations has pronounced effects on the simulated community structure, accounting for emergent patterns in the global ocean microbiome and emphasising the importance of evolutionary history in global marine biodiversity and biogeography.

B.A.W., B.B.C., S.C. and C.R.Y. designed research; B.A.W. performed research; B.A.W., B.B.C., S.C. and C.R.Y. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no competing interest.

<sup>2</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: b.a.ward@soton.ac.uk



**Fig. 1.** Taxonomic community similarity clusters in the 0.22 - 3  $\mu$ m size fraction across *Tara* Oceans sites (replotted using data from 9). (a) Community similarity is shown with colours by projecting the Taxonomic Jaccard dissimilarity matrix into the 'rgb' colour space using the t-SNE dimension-reduction algorithm (10). (b) Links between community similarity clusters (dimensionless *x* and *y* coordinates) and spatial location (colours corresponding to ocean basins). See also SI Appendix, Fig. S1 for interpretation of panel **b**.

Connectanc

genetic model representing taxonomic and phenotypic diver-64 sity within a single clonally-reproducing plankton population, 65 66 embedded within an empirically-constrained representation of 67 the ocean circulation (13). In contrast to previous studies, our model accounts for population size, stochastic demography, 68 natural selection, adaptation and transport through the ocean 69 interior (we find that dispersal pathways restricted to the 70 ocean surface are artificially sensitive to fluid convergence and 71 divergence). With a more realistic transport term accounting 72 73 for dispersal at all depths, we find that varying the degree of selection and adaptation leads to very different model outcomes 74 in terms of community biogeography and global connectivity. 75 We show that selection based on thermal niches acts as a major 76 constraint on dispersal, with the clear effects on biogeographic 77 organisation at the global scale. 78

## 79 Simulations

To assess the rate of planktonic dispersal across the global 80 ocean, we developed a model that tracks the relative abun-81 dances of adapting subpopulations in a globally distributed 82 metapopulation, with spatially varying carrying capacity, N 83 (SI Appendix, Fig. S2). At the beginning of each simulation, 84 a resident subpopulation is assumed to have population freq<sub>05</sub> 85 quency of 1 throughout the global ocean. However, at each offe 86 87 94 'seed locations' distributed more or less evenly around the 88 ocean (dots in Figure 3), the resident subpopulation is replaced. 89 with a taxonomically distinct (but ecologically identical) locab subpopulation. From this initial condition, the model is  $inte_{to}$ 90 grated for 100 years in discrete time. Every six hours, plankton 91 populations are dispersed by the ocean circulation. Every  $24_2$ 92 hours each population is replaced with a new generation  $of_3$ 93 N cells, drawn stochastically from a probability distribution 94 determined by the relative abundance of each subpopulation<sup>4</sup> 95 96 and, where appropriate, a temperature-dependent selection<sup>5</sup> coefficient, s (14). In regions where a subpopulation is present<sup>6</sup> 97 in high abundance, the stochasticity of this process has no7 98 significant effect on the relative abundance, but it introduces as 99 meaningful chance of local extinction wherever abundances are 100 low (such as at edges of a subpopulation's range). Repeating 101 each simulation five times, we found no meaningful differences<sup>1</sup> 102 between iterations in terms of the presented results (Figure 2)22 103 Our main set of simulations tracks the dispersal of and 104

Fig. 2. Fraction of connections between the 94 seed locations and the rest of the ocean through time. Solid lines show the results of simulations with time-invariant temperatures and carrying capacities. Dotted lines show the results of simulations with seasonally varying temperature and carrying capacity. Neutral simulations were repeated five times to 100 years. Selective and adaptive simulations were evaluated once to 100 years, and four additional times to 10 years. (Please note that the replicate simulations are so alike that the lines are effectively plotted on top of each other.)

globally-abundant *Prochlorococcus* population with a cellular diameter of approximately 0.6 microns, setting N to the depth-integrated cellular abundance within each grid box (SI Appendix, Fig. S2 and ref. 15). The results presented below are derived from simulations based on a single repeating year with time-invariant environmental temperatures and population carrying capacities. We also performed simulations where these variables followed a seasonal cycle, finding that the results were not overly sensitive to the change (Figure 2).

**Ecologically neutral dispersal by surface transport.** We initially considered a scenario where cells are transported exclusively within the surface layer, with all subpopulations equally well adapted at all temperatures (i.e. ecologically neutral; 5). The dark blue lines in Figure 2a show the timescales over which the 94 *Prochlorococcus* seed subpopulations reach the rest of the ocean. Largely in agreement with previous studies (12), almost 90% of the surface ocean is connected within a decade.

The global dispersal of the ecologically neutral subpopulations is broken down further in Figure 3a. Here immigration



**Fig. 3.** Immigration and emigration timescales (years) for ecologically neutral. *Prochlorococcus* subpopulations, given (a) surface only transport, and (b) depthintegrated transport. Taxonomically distinct subpopulations were seeded in each  $d^{f6}$ the 94 locations marked with dots. Emigration times, represented by the coloured dots?<sup>7</sup> are defined as the time taken for each seed subpopulation to disperse to 90% of alglocations). Immigration times, represented by the background colours, are defined as the time taken for 90% of all seed subpopulations to arrive in each location. Planktonic transport velocities are shown as vectors. (c) Relative changes in global immigration and emigration times when switching from surface-only to depth-integrated transport (b÷a).

183

times (background colours) suggest that temperate latitudes are generally more easily invaded than the equatorial regions Conversely, emigration times (coloured dots) suggest that subpopulations initialised at lower latitudes are more rapidly dispersed throughout the ocean than those from higher lat tudes.

These regional differences in immigration and emigration<sup>90</sup> 130 timescales are explained by the surface circulation patterns<sup>191</sup> 131 shown in Figure 3a. The two-dimensional surface transport<sup>92</sup> 132 vectors are highly divergent in equatorial upwelling regions (St<sup>23</sup> 133 Appendix, Fig. S3a), driving a consistent efflux of cells that<sup>94</sup> 134 must be topped up to the carrying capacity by reproduction of<sup>85</sup> 135 the local resident population. These regions thus export  $\operatorname{cell}^{196}$ 136 to the rest of the ocean, while remaining resistant to  $\mathrm{immi}^{197}$ 137 gration. The sub-tropical gyres, meanwhile, are characterised 138 by convergent flow, with a consistent influx of cells diluting<sup>99</sup> 139 the local resident populations. These regions are thus  $easil_{20}^{200}$ 140 invaded and are slower to export cells to the rest of the ocean.<sup>201</sup> 141

Depth-integrated transport. The assumption that horizontables
dispersal of plankton occurs only in the surface layer ignores
the potential role of subsurface connectivity. To test these
sensitivity of our results to this pathway, we calculated these
depth-integrated horizontal transport of cells across the entires
water column, weighting transport fluxes at each depth by
the local population abundance. After this adjustment to these

transport component, we repeated our initial experiment in the same way. Accounting for sub-surface transport generally decreases global ocean connectivity at timescales less than about 20 years, although there is a very slight increase in global connectivity from 20 years to the end of the simulation (pale blue line in Figure 2).

The generally slower rate of global connectivity in the depthintegrated simulation occurs as the transport field incorporates slower fluxes through the ocean interior (compare the transport vectors in Figure 3a and b). Nonetheless, there are limited regions where the depth-integrated flow field markedly accelerates immigration, most notably the Indian Ocean and Hudson Bay. In these semi-enclosed regions the large-scale circulation is characterised by inflow at depth and outflow at the surface, such that the influx of cells is markedly underestimated in the surface-only simulation.

**Selection.** The previous experiments have assumed that all subpopulations are equally well-adapted to conditions throughout the entire ocean, but we know that changing conditions select for different phenotypes along environmental gradients (16, 17), and that dispersing populations will be selected against as they stray beyond their optimal environments.

To test the influence of selection, we focussed on a single exemplar trait, assigning thermal tolerance curves such that populations are preferentially selected when ambient temperatures align with their thermal optima (Equation 4). Each seed population is assigned a thermal optimum matching the average temperature at its initial location. At the same time, the global resident population is divided into 77 subpopulations, each with thermal optima matching the average temperature at its initial location. This is consistent with the known prevalence of locally-adapted resident populations (17), but ignores the ability of populations to themselves evolve over time (see next section). The model was then evaluated with the depth-integrated circulation scheme.

The global dispersal of the 94 seed populations is severely restricted by temperature-based selection (green line in Figure 2), with global connectivity not rising above 15% in the 100 year simulation.

Adaptation. Temperature-related selection places a strong constraint on the dispersal of thermally-adapted populations. If populations are to overcome this restriction, they must adapt dynamically to their environments by generating heritable phenotypic changes over time (17, 18). We included this capacity in the model by allowing all subpopulations to produce a small fraction of offspring with different thermal optima (see SI Appendix, Methods). This 'trait-diffusion' model is representative of a large range of molecular mechanisms, including heritable and plastic responses, standard mutations, sex, and horizontal gene transfer (19). We initialised the experiment as before, with each subpopulation optimally adapted to its local temperature, but allowed for a small diffusive flux between adjacent phenotypes (19, 20). In line with previous studies (19), we performed simulations with trait-diffusion rates of 1%and 10%.

Even with a very high trait-diffusion rate of 10%, global dispersal is markedly restricted by selection effects, with 90% connectivity only achieved after more than 30 years (orange line in Figure 2). When the trait-diffusion rate is set to 1%, just under 30% of the ocean has been connected within 100 years (yellow line in Figure 2).

Niche breadth. In the selective cases outlined above, the ther-210 mal tolerance curve is a Gaussian function of temperature with 211 an interquartile range of  $\sim 10^{\circ}$  C. To evaluate the sensitivity of 212 dispersal to the breadth of the thermal niche, we repeated the 213 214 selective and adaptive simulations increasing and decreasing 215 the niche breadth parameter by a factor of 2. SI Appendix, Fig. S8 shows that while a broader niche corresponds to more 216 rapid global dispersal, this effect decreases as the rate of adap-217 tation increases. Nonetheless, the requirement for relatively 218 rapid rates of adaptation to overcome the selective restriction 219 of dispersal appears robust to the evaluated breadths of the 220 thermal niche. 221

Global dispersal and community assembly. The global distribu-222 tion of a single seed population, 100 years after it was initialised 223 in the central North Atlantic  $(35^{\circ}N, 46^{\circ}W)$  is shown in four 224 illustrative cases in Figure 4. In the neutral model the high-225 lighted population has complete global coverage, with highest 226 concentrations in the Atlantic subtropical gyres (Figure 4a) 227 Without selection, all seed populations are globally dispersed 228 after 100 years, with communities clustering strongly within 229 230 and across ocean basins (here plotted as in Figure 1 across the Tara Oceans sites). Sites within each ocean basin often cluster 231 together, but there is little of the discrete separation between 232 sites in adjacent basins (e.g. North and South Pacific; Indian 233 Ocean and Red Sea) that we see in the Tara data (Figure 1b). 234 With temperature-based selection enabled, but without 235 adaptation, the distribution of the seed population is restricted 236 to a relatively small area within the North Atlantic subtrop-237 ical gyre (Figure 4d), in waters between 5 and 28°C. The 238 population is unable to disperse beyond its original North 239 Atlantic habitat, excluded from thermally suitable environ-240 ments in other ocean basins by the population's inability to 241 successfully traverse warmer or colder regions. In this case 242 we see multiple distinct clusters of sites within each ocean 243 basin, indicative of the strong niche separation by temperature Despite the presence of similar temperature niches in multiples ocean basins, we do not see any of the clustering across basins that is apparent in the Tara data (Figure 1b). Indeed, only or three clusters include sites drawn from different basins (Reds Sea with Indian, N. Pacific with S. Pacific and N. Atlanticos with Mediterranean). 310

The distribution of the same seed population when it is1 allowed to adapt with a mutation rate of 1% is shown in Fig42 ure 4g. After 100 years the lineage has dispersed much furthens into the South Atlantic, but the majority of its descendants4 remain trapped within the North Atlantic subtropical gyrets With trait diffusion enabled we see fewer and slightly largens clusters, but there remains a relatively low degree of clustering, among sites drawn from different regions.

Only when the trait-diffusion rate is increased to  $10\% \text{ does}_{39}^{\circ}$  the seed population attain similar global dispersal to the neu<sub>20</sub> tral case after 100 years, and even then its distribution is traited more strongly on its original Atlantic habitat (Fig<sub>22</sub> ure 4j). With this extremely high rate of trait-diffusion, we see the global metapopulation clustering strongly both within and across ocean basins.

#### Discussion

Plankton circulating within the global ocean are not dispersed as inert tracers. With their growth and relative fitness affected by the changing physical, chemical and biotic environment of

326

327

4 |

populations are continually under selection as a function of 270 their environmental setting. In environments outside their 271 optimal habitat, dispersing populations are likely to be outcom-272 peted by better adapted local populations, with an increasing 273 risk of local extinction as their abundances decline (21). This 274 selective process has the capacity to place very strong con-275 straints on the global dispersal of individual populations, and 276 hence on the flow of genetic information from one ocean re-277 gion to another. In our experiments, global connectivity only 278 seems to be assured - on timescales of decades to centuries -279 when subpopulations are able to rapidly adapt to changing 280 conditions as they are dispersed.

While the model presented here is likely far too idealised 282 to allow direct quantitative comparison with the Tara Oceans 283 data in all its complexity, our simulations imply that even 284 while the marine plankton are rapidly dispersed by the ocean 285 circulation, significant barriers to viable dispersal exist - even 286 for highly abundant and rapidly evolving microbial taxa. This 287 has important implications for the study of plankton biogeog-288 raphy and community assembly, and for the interpretation 289 of a growing archive of bioinformatic information (22). In 290 particular, to what extent might local community assembly in 291 any one part of the ocean be constrained by its isolation from 292 other ocean regions – either by limited dispersal or selective 293 constraints? In other words, is 'everything really everywhere' 294 as Bass-Becking suggests (4), or is a species' global distribution 295 fundamentally limited? 296

With physical rates of dispersal in the model well constrained (13), the balance between selection, dispersal and adaptation as subpopulations are transported along environmental gradients appears to have a pronounced effect on the global biogeography of microscopic plankton (Figure 4). In the neutral case, we find that abundant populations are rapidly distributed throughout the global ocean with gradual changes in aommunity structure across distance. Enabling temperatureassed selection places strong constraints on global dispersal (43), with distinct locally-adapted communities emerging in anvironmentally dissimilar regions of the same ocean basins (4Figure 4e,f). At the same time, very different communities 20an emerge in otherwise environmentally similar regions, espesaially within different ocean basins at lower latitudes, for which all connecting pathways must pass through the polar oceans zehere warm-adapted types are rapidly attenuated. The ability to sustain both within basin and across basin community addifferences are both diminished with increasing rates of phemotypic adaptation, although the latter appears more robust  $_{20}$  were the <100 year timescales examined here.

<sup>257</sup> Faster rates of adaptation allow populations to adjust their Praits as they are dispersed across environmental gradients, Thus achieving global distributions much wider than their origi-Pral habitat. Very little is known about rates of trait diffusion in Pratural populations, and empirical estimates of this are needed to constrain models of adaptation for microscopic plankton. Prowever, given the rapidity with which phytoplankton adapt to environmental shift in laboratory experiments (24–26), very Prigh rates of trait diffusion are not implausible.

Nonetheless, even with very rapid rates of adaptation, dispersing model populations remain extremely rare in regions what are far from their original seed locations. This is consistent with rank-abundance distributions characterised by a wang-tail of rare species in marine microbial communities (27), 297

298

299

300

301

302

303



**Fig. 4.** Global dispersal and taxonomic clustering of modelled subpopulations. Each row represents a different experiment: Row 1, neutral case; Row 2, selective case; Row 3, adaptive case (1% mutation rate); Row 4, adaptive case (10% mutation rate). The left-hand column maps the global abundance distribution of individual seed populations, initialised at the site indicated by the pink dot (white areas indicate zero abundance). The center column shows community dissimilarity among the *Tara* Oceans sites, with similar sites assigned similar colours (cf. Figure 1). The right-hand column shows similar environmental clustering for the same *Tara* Oceans sites, with similar sites clustered together in the *x* and *y* coordinates. Colours indicate the ocean basin for each site. All panels show results after 100 years of dispersal.

with community structure maintained as the homogenising 331 effects of ocean mixing are counterbalanced by local selec-332 tion. Even when immigrant populations can adapt to local 333 conditions, the necessity to compete with similarly adapted 334 335 but much more abundant residents means that the incoming 336 populations remain scarce.

We have shown that a number of factors influence connec-337 tivity, including subsurface circulation, selection and rate of 338 adaptation. We note that while we demonstrate temperature-339 based selection is likely to constrain dispersal, we have only 340 considered one of many factors that are known to affect plank-341 ton fitness. Our model is highly simplified and includes just 342 a single plankton group whose distribution is in reality set 343 by a complex array of biotic and abiotic factors. For exam-344 ple, with the selection coefficient implemented as a simple 345 function of temperature, we ignore the potential interaction 346 of multiple complementary or contradictory selective pres-347 sures within the complex microbial community. Furthermore, 348 we expect that the need to simultaneously adapt multiple 349 traits along environmental gradients would likely decrease the 350 likelihood of effective adaptation, further increasing selective 351 constraints on dispersal. Global dispersal would likely also 352 be more difficult among larger and more sparsely distributed 353 plankton populations, for which regions of low abundance will 354 act as population bottlenecks. On the other hand, we have 355 also neglected a number of factors that may serve to increase 356 connectivity, with the existence of dormant stages (with low 357 growth and mortality) likely to play a key role for some major 358 groups, such as diatoms. 359

Ultimately, the degree to which the dispersing populations 360 are selected against in non-optimal environments, and the 361 degree to which they are able to adapt, will determine the 362 ubiquity, or otherwise, of marine microbial species. We have 363 shown that geographic proximity can be a strong correlate of 364 microbial community structure even in an ecologically neutral model (6). However, the existence of distinct community  $clus_{-1}^{433}$ ters both within and across ocean basins (Figure 1) is perhaps indicative of a system where everything is not everywhere<sub>36</sub> because the environment selects. Correctly accounting for<sup>37</sup> selection, speciation and limited dispersal therefore appears critical to understanding community structure and biogeog<sub>30</sub> raphy in the ocean. Alongside global metagenomic surveys<sub>40</sub> our results suggest that when developing models of marine<sup>1</sup> microbial biogeography and ecology we need to go beyond the assumption that everything is everywhere and to consider the selective limitations to dispersal and the adaptive means  $by_{44}$ which these are overcome. 445

#### Materials and Methods

The Evolutionary Plankton Metacommunity Dynamics  $(EPMD_{40}^{449})$ model considers the global distribution of an arbitrary number of 451planktonic subpopulations distributed across a two-dimensional (latitude and longitude) ocean grid. The probability of survival for each subpopulation in each generation is a function of its rela tive abundance and (optionally) its thermal tolerance to the  $local_{4}$ environmental temperature (14). Plankton cells are circulated in physical space according to a realistic ocean circulation  $model_{55}$ (13, 28).456

Passive dispersal by the ocean circulation.  ${\rm Plankton\ cells\ are}^{457}$ transported between grid boxes using a  $[J \times J]$  oceanic 'transport matrix' **A** that describes the transport of K populations  $\alpha_{68}$ neutrally buoyant cells between J points in the ocean grid (29).

This transport can be written as

X

$$t+1 = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}_t$$
 [1] 393

392

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

Here  $\mathbf{X}_t$  is the  $[J \times K]$  matrix of population abundances in each 394 grid box of the ocean model. Each element of the transport matrix 395 A describes the transport of cells between source boxes (columns) 396 and recipient boxes (rows). The transport matrix represents the 397 annual mean transport during a single year of the "Estimating the 398 Circulation and Climate of the Ocean" (ECCO) version 4 ocean 399 model (13, 28). It represents physical transport attributable to 400 advection, diffusion and parameterised sub-grid-scale processes in 401 the ocean model with 6-hourly resolution. Results in the main text 402 use annual average circulation, temperature and carrying capacities. 403 We also performed simulations using monthly resolved temperatures 404 and carrying capacities, finding that our results were not overly 405 sensitive to the change (Figure 2). We note that this may not be 406 the case for plankton groups with more pronounced seasonal cycles, 407 such as diatoms. 408 409

In the surface transport case, equation 1 does not conserve mass at the local scale, because the surface flow field is divergent (SI 410 Appendix, Fig. S3a). The associated imbalances are generally small 411  $(\pm < 5\%; SI$  Appendix, Fig. S3b), and are overcome as the local 412 population is restored to the local carrying capacity by positive or 413 negative net growth (as described in the next section). Transport in 414 the depth-integrated cases is generally mass conservative (with the 415 exception of very isolated regions of deep convection in the Irminger 416 and Ross seas).

Stochastic demography. We used a stochastic population model to estimate the global abundance of 94 ecologically neutral subpopulations (i), at the 60,646 surface grid points (j) defining the global ocean. Each subpopulation was initialised with population abundance,  $X_{i,j}$ , equal to the local carrying capacity,  $N_j$ , at 94 unique "seed locations", distributed approximately evenly around the surface ocean. In addition to the seed populations, we included one additional tracer representing a globally resident species, with a local population abundance of  $X_{i,j} = 0$  at all seed locations, and  $X_{i,j} = N_j$  throughout the rest of the surface grid. The total number of individuals  $X_{j,tot}$  of all subpopulations at any location, j, is equal to the carrying capacity,  $N_j$ .

Under the assumption that all species have equal fitness (and from now on ignoring subscripts), the number of individuals X in gach subpopulation surviving at each generation is drawn randomly from a probability distribution representative of the local popu $a_{1}^{366}$  fation (after oceanic transport and mutation) with probability pEqual to the local population frequency  $(x = XN^{-1})$ . Under these sessumptions, the expected population size in each generation is given by the multinomial distribution,

$$X \sim \mathcal{M}(N, p)$$
<sup>[2]</sup>

For large values of N considered here, equation 2 is well approxismatter by a normal distribution when  $X \gtrsim 100$  (i.e.  $p \gtrsim 1 \times 10^{-20}$ ). We therefore adopt the (computationally-efficient) normal distribution in all simulations.

$$X \approx \mathcal{N}(Np, \sqrt{Np(1-p)})$$
[3]

<sup>3</sup>I<sup>6</sup>his will not be the case as subpopulations approach extinction (or more generally fixation), but we expect this error to be small in comparison to cell transport (equation 1). In cases where random draws from the normal distribution yield negative abundances, these stre replaced with zeros.

375

384

385

389

390

446

447

448

Selection. Selection can be further incorporated through the se- $\overset{379}{\text{lection}}$  vector, **s**, that defines the relative fitness of each population  $\overset{380}{10}$  X. With a local water temperature of T, a plankton population with thermal optimum  $T_{opt}$  and thermal niche breadth w will have  $a^{382}_{aa}$  selection coefficient of

$$s = \exp\left[-\left(\frac{T_{env} - T_{opt}}{w}\right)^2\right]$$
[4]

<sup>386</sup>his is incorporated into the probability of selection such that the Wum of all probabilities remains equal to one. The probability of selection for population i at each location is thus

$$p_i = x_i s_i \left[\sum_{k=1}^K x_k s_k\right]^{-1}$$
[5]

459 where K is the total number of populations.

In the non-adaptive simulations, each seed population is assigned
a thermal optimum equivalent to the annual mean water temperature at its seed location. At the same time, the global resident
population is divided into 77 subpopulations, each with thermal
optima matching the average temperature at its initial location. All

<sup>465</sup> populations have a thermal niche breadth, w, of 6°C.

Adaptation. Adaptive evolution is enabled by further dividing 466 each subpopulation into 77 genotypes, each corresponding to a 467 different thermal optimum. The genotypes are linearly spaced at 468  $0.5^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$  intervals from -2 to  $36^{\circ}\mathrm{C},$  with only the locally optimum 469 genotype initialised with non-zero biomass at the beginning of each 470 471 simulation. At each timestep, a small fraction of successfully reproducing individuals are diverted to adjacent genotypes in the 472 same subpopulation with higher or lower thermal optima. In prac-473 tice, this is achieved after each reproductive cycle by multiplying 474 the population matrix  $[\mathbf{X}]$  by the  $K \times K$  trait-diffusion matrix  $\mathbf{M}$ 475 476 (19, 20).

$$\mathbf{X}_{t+1} = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{X}_t$$
 [6]

The trait-diffusion matrix itself is defined by the parameterised traitdiffusion rate (here 1 or 10%). This is the fraction of daughter cells
in each population that are diverted to the neighbouring phenotypic
class in each generation (19, 20).

482 Simulations. In each case the model was integrated for 100 years.
483 The transport matrix was applied every six hours, with selection
484 and adaptation applied every 24 hours.

#### 485 References.

477

- JBH Martiny, et al., Microbial biogeography: putting microorganisms on the map. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 4, 102–112 (2006).
- T Fenchel, BJ Finlay, The ubiquity of small species: Patterns of local and global diversity.
   *BioScience* 54, 777–784 (2004).
- R De Wit, T Bouvier, 'everything is everywhere, but, the environment selects'; what did baas becking and beijerinck really say? *Environ. Microbiol.* 8, 755–758 (2006).
- 4. LGM Baas-Becking, *Geobiologie of Inleiding Tot de Milieukunde*. (Van Stockum & Zoon, The Hague), (1934).
- SP Hubbell, The Unified Neutral Theory of Biodiversity and Biogeographyheory of Biodiversity and Biogeography. (Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey), (2001).
  - FL Hellweger, E van Sebille, ND Fredrick, Biogeographic patterns in ocean microbes emerge in a neutral agent-based model. *Science* 345, 1346–1349 (2014).
  - MA Leibold, et al., The metacommunity concept: a framework for multi-scale community ecology. Ecol. Lett. 7, 601–613 (2004).
  - R Cavicchioli, et al., Scientists' warning to humanity: microorganisms and climate change. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 17, 569–586 (2019).
  - DJ Richter, et al., Genomic evidence for global ocean plankton biogeography shaped by large-scale current systems. *bioRxiv* (2019).
  - L van der Maaten, Accelerating t-sne using tree-based algorithms. J. Mach. Learn. Res. 15, 3221–3245 (2014).
  - MJ Follows, S Dutkiewicz, S Grant, SW Chisholm, Emergent biogeography of microbial communities in a model ocean. *Science* **315**, 1843–1846 (2007).
  - BF Jönsson, JR Watson, The timescales of global surface-ocean connectivity. *Nat. Commun.* 7, DOI: 10.1038/ncomms11239 (2016).
  - G Forget, et al., Ecco version 4: an integrated framework for non-linear inverse modeling and global ocean state estimation. *Geosci. Model. Dev.* 8, 3071–3104 (2015).
  - JL Cherry, J Wakeley, A diffusion approximation for selection and drift in a subdivided population. *Genetics* 163, 421–428 (2003).
  - S Dutkiewicz, et al., Dimensions of marine phytoplankton diversity. *Biogeosciences Discuss*. 2019, 1–46 (2019).
  - D Tilman, Constraints and trade-offs: toward a predictive theory of competition and succession. *Oikos* 58, 3–15 (1990).
  - 17. MK Thomas, CT Kremer, CA Klausmeier, E Litchman, A global pattern of thermal adaptation in marine phytoplankton. *Science* **338**, 1085 (2012).
  - DR O'Donnell, et al., Rapid thermal adaptation in a marine diatom reveals constraints and trade-offs. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 24, 4554–4565 (2018).
  - A Beckmann, CE Schaum, I Hense, Phytoplankton adaptation in ecosystem models. J. Theor. Biol. 468, 60 – 71 (2019).
  - B Sauterey, B Ward, J Rault, C Bowler, D Claessen, The implications of eco-evolutionary processes for the emergence of marine plankton community biogeography. *The Am. Nat.* 190, 116–130 (2017) PMID: 28617645.
  - CA Mallon, JD van Elsas, JF Salles, Microbial invasions: The process, patterns, and mechanisms. *Trends Microbiol.* 23, 719 729 (2015).
  - S Malviya, et al., Insights into global diatom distribution and diversity in the world's ocean. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 113, E1516–E1525 (2016).
  - S Sunagawa, et al., Structure and function of the global ocean microbiome. Science 348 (2015).
  - KT Lohbeck, U Riebesell, TBH Reusch, Adaptive evolution of a key phytoplankton species to ocean acidification. *Nat. Geosci.* 5, 346–351 (2012).

- 25. D Padfield, G Yvon-Durocher, A Buckling, S Jennings, G Yvon-Durocher, Rapid evolution of 535
- metabolic traits explains thermal adaptation in phytoplankton. *Ecol. Lett.* **19**, 133–142 (2016). 536 26. LT Bach, KT Lohbeck, TBH Reusch, U Riebesell, Rapid evolution of highly variable competitive abilities in a kev ohvtoplankton species. *Nat. Ecol. & Evol.* **2**, 611–613 (2018). 538
- E Ser-Giacomi, et al., Ubiquitous abundance distribution of non-dominant plankton across the global ocean. *Nat. Ecol. & Evol.* 2, 1243–1249 (2018).
  - I Fukumori, et al., Ecco version 4 release 4 (2019).

28

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

 S Khatiwala, M Visbeck, MA Cane, Accelerated simulation of passive tracers in ocean circulation models. Ocean. Model. 9, 51–69 (2005).

541