Compliance with UK National Guidance for Elective Surgery During the COVID-19 Pandemic
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Elective paediatric surgery essentially stopped during the initial COVID-19 lockdown resulting in significant treatment delays. As of December 2020, 210,000 children were awaiting elective surgery, with 66,000 waiting >6 months1. To facilitate the safe and efficient recovery of children’s elective surgery, national guidance was developed2. This child-focussed guidance accounts for lower COVID-19 prevalence in children, inability to isolate children from household contacts and considers the impact of processes on children and families. This guidance was published July 2020, endorsed by Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health, Royal College of Surgeons England, adopted by National Institute for Health and Care Excellence and revised September 2020 and January 2021, considering rising COVID-19 prevalence and new virus variants.

National adoption of the guidance was audited with a survey distributed to anaesthetists and surgeons in all 26 UK specialist paediatric surgery centres during October 2020 (low COVID-19 prevalence). All 16 responding centres were aware of the guidance and had implemented some recommendations. We highlight areas of practice where guidance is not being followed and which may contribute to inefficiency in the delivery of surgery, or significant unwarranted negative impact on families.

Contrary to guidance, children, parents/carers, and siblings are asked to self-isolate prior to elective admission in 75%, 50% and 31% of centres respectively*. Parental screening of COVID-19 symptoms was performed in 13/16 (81%) and parental swabbing in 5/16 (31%). All centres perform patient SARS-CoV-2 swabs within 72-hours of surgery but only 11/15 (69%) use local testing. 25% (4/16) of centres would cancel elective surgery if the child was mildly coryzal on admission despite a negative swab, and only 2 (12.5%) centres would use rapid PCR testing. Contrary to guidance, 4/16 centres delay for up to 20-minutes between moving children from the anaesthetic room into theatre after aerosol generating procedures (AGPs), only 2 centres remove laryngeal masks in recovery.

Some practices identified likely negatively impact children and families. Mandating pre-operative isolation does not substantially reduce risk of infection but may affect the child’s education and family earnings. Travel to a specialist centre for pre-admission testing, rather than utilising facilities closer to home may be time consuming or expensive. Some families may be unable to comply with these requirements. Low-income families may be disproportionately affected risking inequitable access to surgical care. Currently there is little evidence of the potential harm caused by delays and non-compliance but it is anticipated this will soon become evident. Since audit completion, and in light of increasing prevalence, consideration of rapid testing in the immediate pre-operative period has been introduced to the guidance, to reduce the potential risk posed by a delay of over 24-hours between the PCR swab and hospital admission. Swabbing of parents continues not to be recommended as the primary risk to healthcare workers during elective surgery is a result of the AGP. Provided infection prevention and control (IPC) measures are followed, the risk of transmission otherwise is small. Whilst vaccination is reassuring, it is not an alternative for IPC measures and therefore guidance is not altered by the vaccination programme.

Recovery from the pandemic requires over 100% of pre-pandemic capacity for months to come. We urge centres to remove barriers to the efficient recovery of children’s surgical services and
implement the recommended practices so this vulnerable population can receive the surgical treatment they need in a timely manner.

*Full results available from author.
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