OBJECTIVE

To synthesize the evidence on the efficacy of ADHD teacher training interventions for teachers’ ADHD knowledge and reducing pupils’ ADHD-type behaviors.

Primary question: How effective are ADHD teacher training interventions in increasing teachers’ knowledge of ADHD and reducing pupils’ ADHD-type behaviors?

Secondary question: Does an ADHD teacher training intervention result in reduced ADHD-type behaviors of pupils in the classrooms of participating teachers?

METHOD

A systematic search was performed on 8/11/19 and repeated on 14/4/20 in six electronic databases: PsycINFO, CINAHL Plus,ERIC, MEDLINE, Web of Science and Scopus, plus backward and forward citation chasing.

Peer-reviewed and grey literature were included.

No data or language restrictions were used.

A meta-analysis (m-a) to calculate pooled standard mean difference (SMD) was conducted in Comprehensive Meta-Analysis.

Primary outcome for m-a: teacher ADHD knowledge

Secondary outcome for m-a: pupil ADHD-type behavior change

RESULTS

29 studies retained for systematic review and 22 had sufficient data for meta-analysis

Figure 1 Teacher ADHD knowledge pre-post measures (within subjects)

Figure 2 Teacher ADHD knowledge post-follow up measures (within subjects)

Overall SMD=−1.21, 95% CI=−2.02, −0.41

Heterogeneity: $\chi^2=0.89$, df=1, $p=0.345$, $I^2=0$

Figure 3 Pupil ADHD-Type Behaviour pre-post measures (between subjects)

Figure 4 Pupil ADHD-Type Behaviour post-follow up measures (between subjects)

Overall SMD= 0.50, 95% CI=0.14, 0.87

Heterogeneity: $\chi^2=0.89$, df=1, $p=0.345$, $I^2=0$

Teacher ADHD knowledge between subjects data only available for pre-post test: SMD=1.56 (95% CI: 0.52, 2.65).

Secondary Outcome: Pupil ADHD-Type Behaviour

Overall SMD=0.78, 95% CI=0.37, 1.18, post-follow up: SMD= 0.50 (95% CI: 0.14, 0.87)

Figure 5 Risk of bias data for RCTs (ROB2)

Figure 6 Risk of bias data for Non-Randomised Studies (ROBINS-I)

CONCLUSIONS

This systematic review with meta-analysis provides some support that ADHD teacher training interventions improve teachers’ ADHD knowledge and positive behaviors towards pupils with ADHD-type behaviors, even though the medium-to-high risk of bias indicates that we cannot be confident in the size of the effect.

Furthermore, according to our systematic review and meta-analysis, there is no solid evidence to support improvements in pupil ADHD-type behaviors following these programmes.

The broad range of geographical locations for the included studies shows a consistency in results for different cultures and educational systems, but the high risk of bias across all included studies, together with the vast heterogeneity of interventions and measures, creates uncertainty in terms of confidence in the reported results.

Limitations centre on high heterogeneity and high risk of bias, predominately due to lack of control of confounding factors and non-blinded, subjective outcome measures.

The strongest evidence relates to the improvement in teacher ADHD knowledge, where all studies used an objective outcome measure and results were consistent regardless of intervention content or delivery.

In terms of future research, there is a strong need for high quality RCTs which investigate the specific interventions and their characteristics which produce positive outcomes for both teachers and pupils.
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