Counteracting contribution of the Upper and Lower Meridional Overturning Limbs to the North Atlantic Nutrient Budgets: enhanced imbalance in 2010
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Key Points:

- The overturning circulation lower limb drives a net southward transport of oxygen and nutrients from the North to the South Atlantic
- Anomalous circulation in 2010 enhanced nutrient convergence by the overturning upper limb, boosting North Atlantic biological CO₂ uptake
- We observed a deep silicate divergence in the North Atlantic in 2004 and 2010 compatible with a transient response to reduced overturning
Abstract

The North Atlantic Basin is a major sink for atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) due in part to the extensive plankton blooms which form there supported by nutrients supplied by the three-dimensional ocean circulation. Hence, changes in ocean circulation and/or stratification may influence primary production and biological carbon export. In this study, we assess this possibility by evaluating inorganic nutrient budgets for 2004 and 2010 in the North Atlantic based on observations from the transatlantic A05-24.5$^\circ$N and the Greenland-Portugal OVIDE hydrographic sections, to which we applied a box inverse model to solve the circulation and estimate the across-section nutrient transports. Full water column nutrient budgets were split into upper and lower meridional overturning circulation (MOC) limbs. According to our results, anomalous circulation in early 2010, linked to negative-NAO conditions, led to an enhanced northward advection of more nutrient-rich waters by the upper overturning limb, which resulted in a significant nitrate and phosphate convergence north of 24.5$^\circ$N. Combined with heaving of the isopycnals, this 'extreme circulation event' in 2010 favoured an enhancement of the nutrient consumption (5.7 ± 4.1 kmol-P s$^{-1}$) and associated biological CO$_2$ uptake (0.25 ± 0.18 Pg-C yr$^{-1}$, upper-bound estimate), which represents a 50% of the mean annual sea–air CO$_2$ flux in the region. Our results also suggest a transient state of deep silicate divergence in both years. Both results are indicative of a MOC-driven modulation of the biological carbon uptake (by the upper MOC limb) and nutrient inventories (by the lower MOC limb) in the North Atlantic.

1 Introduction

Oceans play a crucial role in the climate system (Siedler et al., 2001). The capacity of the ocean to uptake and store atmospheric CO$_2$ emitted by human activities buffers the effects of the anthropogenically-induced perturbations on the global carbon cycle (Khatiwala et al., 2013; Heinze et al., 2015). This anthropogenic uptake by the solubility pump (2.6 Pg-C yr$^{-1}$; Gruber et al., 2019) is however small compared to the much larger natural carbon cycle including the roughly 10 Pg-C yr$^{-1}$ exported from the upper ocean via the biological carbon pump (BCP) consisting of the production, sinking and remineralization of organic matter (Falkowski et al., 1998; Ito & Follows, 2005). Small
changes in natural carbon uptake therefore have the potential to negate or amplify oceanic uptake of anthropogenic carbon. Such changes are likely because the BCP is not homogeneously distributed across the ocean, particularly due to limitations imposed by the lack of nutrients in the photic layer (upper 100-150 dbar) (Pérez et al., 2003; Carpenter & Capone, 2013; Moore et al., 2013). The strongly stratified subtropical gyres are some of the most extensive oligotrophic areas (Emerson et al., 2001), whereas the high latitudes, especially the subpolar North Atlantic (Pommier et al., 2009), are characterized by elevated winter nutrient concentrations which support extensive phytoplankton blooms in spring (Watson et al., 2009).

Nutrients in the upper ocean are provided from multiple sources/mechanisms including coastal runoff, atmospheric deposition, horizontal advection, isopycnal heave, wind-driven upwelling, diapycnal diffusion and/or induction (e.g. Williams & Follows, 2003). The importance of these mechanisms varies by region, with the Gulf Stream, so called ‘nutrient stream’ (Pelegri & Csanady, 1991), being key in supplying nutrients from the tropics to the mid and high latitudes in the Atlantic (Williams et al., 2011) and sustaining primary production in the subtropical gyre via lateral advection (Palter et al., 2005, 2011; Pelegri et al., 2006; Dave et al., 2015; Letscher et al., 2016) and subsequent induction downstream (Williams et al., 2006). The Gulf Stream forms the western boundary of the subtropical gyre and comprises the bulk of the warm northward upper limb of the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (MOC) (Meinen et al., 2010). Changes in ocean MOC are closely linked with the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO), the leading mode of atmospheric variability in the North Atlantic, on interannual to decadal timescales (Stepanov & Haines, 2014; DeVries et al., 2017; Sutton et al., 2017). The NAO evolved from largely positive states in the 1990s to near neutral states in the 2000s (Hurrell et al., 2013), reaching exceptionally negative values in 2010 (Osborn, 2011; Stendardo & Gruber, 2012). The latter led to an anomalous southward Ekman transport and a weakening of the MOC at 26.5°N (Srokosz & Bryden, 2015), with potential effects on the nutrient supply to the upper ocean (Oschlies, 2001; Cianca et al., 2007).

Since nutrient availability limits primary production, any change in oceanic nutrient content and supply to the photic layer has the potential to influence the regional magnitude and/or efficiency of the BCP (Stocker et al., 2013). The assessment of basin-
scale nutrient pools and their variability is therefore crucial to our understanding of how the BCP functions and what factors control its magnitude and efficiency. Several studies have examined the large-scale meridional transport of major nutrients (nitrate, phosphate, and silicate) in the North Atlantic (Schlitzer, 1988; Rintoul & Wunsch, 1991; Martel & Wunsch, 1993; Williams & Follows, 1998; Williams et al., 2000; Álvarez et al., 2002, 2003, 2004; Ganachaud & Wunsch, 2002; Lavín et al., 2003; Williams et al., 2011; Maze et al., 2012), whereas fewer studies have provided nutrient budget estimates in the region (Michaels et al., 1996; Ganachaud & Wunsch, 2002; Álvarez et al., 2003; Maze et al., 2012; Fontela et al., 2019). Due to the differences and limitations of the methodological approaches, whether the North Atlantic is a net source for nutrients to the other basins remains subject to debate (Table 1). The inability to accurately assess time varying sources and sinks, as well as the scarcity of observational data that would allow estimation of accurate tracer accumulation/depletion rates at a basin scale, has traditionally resulted in a steady-state condition becoming a de facto assumption for the majority of the inverse nutrient budget calculations. The steady-state assumption implies the nutrient inputs and outputs are in balance so that the basin does not accumulate or lose nutrients in time. Only a very few studies indicated that the North Atlantic nutrient stocks might not be in steady state on decadal to century time-scales (e.g. Michaels et al., 1996). In this study we re-evaluate the nutrient budgets in the North Atlantic using the wealth of data collected since the last estimates by Álvarez et al. (2003). We consider the response of North Atlantic nutrient budgets to changing circulation and the likelihood that these budgets are not in steady state on annual-to-interannual timescales, suggesting that the biogeochemical budgets are subject to transient responses to the large (and rapid) MOC changes.

The study is structured as follows: in section 2 we present the data and methods; in section 3.1, we examine the basin-scale meridional nutrient (and oxygen) distributions and transports across both sections, accounting for the differences between both occupations (2004 and 2010); next, in section 3.2, by combining both hydrographic sections and recent estimates of external nutrient sources, we quantify the nutrient budgets of the region between the sections in both years to test the hypothesis that the
North Atlantic is in a biogeochemical steady state; and finally, section 4 contains the summary and conclusions.

**Table 1.** Summary of reference observation-based estimates of the meridional nutrient and oxygen transports at different latitudes in the North Atlantic. Negative transports meaning southwards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Cruise</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Property transport (kmol s⁻¹)</th>
<th>Steady-state assumption</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Davis Strait (67°N)</td>
<td>ARK-XXI 1b</td>
<td>16 Aug – 9 Sep 2005</td>
<td>-42.9 ± 5.2, -31.3 ± 3.6, -3.7 ± 0.4, np*</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Torres-Valdés et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OVIDE (40-60°N)</td>
<td>35TH20020610</td>
<td>10 Jun – 12 Jul 2002</td>
<td>np</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Maze et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35TH20040604</td>
<td>4 Jun – 7 Jul 2004</td>
<td>11 ± 16</td>
<td>-0.2 ± 1</td>
<td>-924 ± 314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29AH20140515</td>
<td>23 Jun – 7 Jul 2014</td>
<td>-130 ± 50*</td>
<td>10 ± 35*</td>
<td>1.1 ± 3.6*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-254 ± 176</td>
<td>-130 ± 95</td>
<td>-12.6 ± 6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-160 ± 110</td>
<td>-70 ± 120</td>
<td>-1.0 ± 7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Average transport estimates also considering the 2002, 2004 and 2006 cruises. np, not provided.

### 2 Data and Methods

#### 2.1 Hydrographic data

We used the cruise data from the GO-SHIP A05-24.5°N ([www.nodc.noaa.gov/ocads/oceans/RepeatSections/clivar_a05.html](http://www.nodc.noaa.gov/ocads/oceans/RepeatSections/clivar_a05.html)) and OVIDE ([www.nodc.noaa.gov/ocads/oceans/RepeatSections/clivar_ovide.html](http://www.nodc.noaa.gov/ocads/oceans/RepeatSections/clivar_ovide.html)) sections (Figure 1). Both sections comprise high-quality measurements at high spatial resolution of standard tracers such as temperature, salinity, nitrate, silicate, phosphate, oxygen and carbonate system variables (pH, alkalinity, DIC), making them a valuable observational database for the study of the biogeochemical transports in the North Atlantic. First sampled in 1957, the A05-24.5°N section has been occupied nine times over the last few decades. In this study, we used the Apr-May 2004 (Brown et al., 2010) and Jan-Feb 2010 (Atkinson et al., 2012) repeats. Among the nine biennial repeats of the OVIDE section, which was first carried out in 2002, we used Jun-July 2004 (Lherminier et al., 2010) and June 2010 occupations (Mercier et al., 2015) (Table 2). We selected the 2004 and 2010
repeats as they were carried out within the same year at both the subtropical and subpolar locations. Both sections combined together enclose an oceanic region comprising a significant part of the North Atlantic (namely NA-box hereinafter, Figure 2).

Figure 1. Schematic diagram of the North Atlantic circulation adapted from Daniault et al. (2016). Bathymetry is plotted with colour change at 100 m and every 1000 m at and below 1000 m. The locations of the A05-24.5°N and OVIDE hydrographic stations are indicated (see legend). The region enclosed by these two sections, and the Davis and Gibraltar Straits, is referred to as NA-box. Major topographic features: Azores-Biscay Rise (ABR), Atlantis Fracture Zone (AFZ), Bight Fracture Zone (BFZ), Charlie–Gibbs Fracture Zone (CGFZ), Eriador Seamount (ESM), Faraday Fracture Zone (FFZ), Kane Fracture Zone (KFZ), Maxwell Fracture Zone (MFZ), Oceanographer Fracture Zone (OFZ). Labelled
water masses and currents: Antarctic Bottom Water (AABW), Antilles Current (AnC), Azores Current (AzC), Canary Current (CC), Deep Western Boundary Current (DWBC), Denmark Strait Overflow Water (DSOW), East-Greenland Current (ECG), Florida Current (FC), Gulf Stream (GS), Iceland–Scotland Overflow Water (ISOW), Irminger Current (IC), Labrador Current (LC), Labrador Sea Water (LSW), Mediterranean Water (MW), North Atlantic Current (NAC), North Equatorial Current (NEC), Portugal Current (PC).

**Table 2.** List of hydrographic cruises used in this study. C.S. denotes cruise chief scientist, and #St the number of stations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Cruise Name</th>
<th>Expocode</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Vessel</th>
<th>C.S.</th>
<th>#St</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OVIDE 2010</td>
<td>35TH201006010</td>
<td>8 Jun 7 Jul 2010</td>
<td>Thalassa</td>
<td>V. Thierry</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>Mercier et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLIVAR A05 2004</td>
<td>74DI20040404</td>
<td>5 Apr 6 May 2004</td>
<td>Discovery</td>
<td>S. Cunningham</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>Atkinson et al. (2012)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A05 2010</td>
<td>74DI20100106</td>
<td>15 Feb 2010</td>
<td>Discovery</td>
<td>B. King</td>
<td>135</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the A05-24.5°N cruises, the analysis of inorganic nutrients, nitrate and nitrite (hereinafter nitrate, NO$_3^-$), phosphate (PO$_4^{3-}$) and silicate (Si(OH)$_4$), were undertaken on a Skalar San$^{\text{plus}}$ autoanalyzer following the method described by Kirkwood (1996), with the exception that pump rate through the phosphate line was increased by a factor of 1.5 to improve the reproducibility and peak shape of the results. OVIDE nutrients were analysed using a Chemlab AAII type Auto-Analyser, following the protocols and methods described by Aminot & Chaussepied (1983). The precision for NO$_3^-$ and PO$_4^{3-}$ and Si(OH)$_4$ was evaluated at 0.2, 0.02 and 0.1 μmol kg$^{-1}$, respectively. Oxygen was determined by Winkler titration, following WOCE standards (Culberson, 1991) and GO-SHIP best practices (Langdon, 2010) at OVIDE and A05-24.5°N, respectively, with a precision better than 1 μmol kg$^{-1}$. All oxygen and nutrient data were quality controlled (QC) and corrected according to GLODAPv2.2019 secondary QC protocols (Olsen et al., 2019) (see multiplicative factors in Supporting Information Table S1).

### 2.2 Other data sources

In addition to cruise data, we used complementary hydrographic data (nitrate and neutral density) from the Bermuda Atlantic Time-series Study (BATS) (http://bats.bios.edu/), and
MODIS satellite chlorophyll data (https://oceandata.sci.gsfc.nasa.gov/MODIS-Aqua/) for the period 2004 to 2012, to validate the anomaly signals detected in our data; the RAPID MOC time series data (Smeed et al., 2019), to compute the MOC magnitude for the period of the cruises and the annual averages, as a reference; and different wind product databases: the Cross-Calibrated Multi-Platform Product (CCMP) (Atlas et al., 2011), NCEP (Kistler et al., 2001) and ERA-Interim (Dee et al., 2011), to compute the Ekman transport across the sections.

Finally, to estimate the nutrient budgets in the NA-box (section 2.4), we also used additional data sources from former studies (Supporting Information Table S2) to account for the external inputs of nutrients by river runoff, atmospheric deposition, N$_2$-fixation, seafloor weathering, ground water, hydrothermal and meltwater sources, dissolved organic fraction or the nutrient transports across the open boundaries of the domain (Davis and Gibraltar Straits). Further details about derivation of numbers are provided in Supporting Information (Text S1).

2.3 Transports of nutrients

The transport of a tracer perpendicular to a transoceanic section ($T_{tracer}$; kmol s$^{-1}$) is estimated as

$$T_{tracer} = \sum_{j=stpA}^{stpB} \Delta x_j \int_{z_a}^{z_b} \rho_j [tracer]_j v_j \, dz,$$  

(1)

where $T_{tracer}$ is the transport of the tracer (with tracer being the general notation for oxygen, O$_2$; silicate, Si(OH)$_4$; nitrate, NO$_3$; or phosphate, PO$_4^{3-}$) spatially integrated and positive (negative) into (out-of) the NA-box. For each station pair j, $\rho_j$ is seawater density profile (kg m$^{-3}$), $[tracer]_j = [tracer](z)$ is the concentration of the tracer (in μmol kg$^{-1}$), and $v_j = v_j(z)$ is the (absolute) velocity profile (m s$^{-1}$). $\Delta x$ is the horizontal coordinate (station pair spacing along the section, in m), with stpA and stpB referring to two different station pairs (note stpA=1 and stpB=N, with N the total number of stations pairs, when computing the net tracer transport across the entire section). Station pair notation refers to the midpoint between hydrographic stations, so that, e.g., stp1 refers to the midpoint between hydrographic stations 1 and 2. $z$ is the vertical coordinate (either depth, in m, or density, in in kg m$^{-3}$), with $z_a$ and $z_b$ referring to two different depths (note $z_a$=surface and...
zb=bottom, when computing the net tracer transport across the entire section. To match the velocity fields, the oxygen and nutrient distributions were linearly interpolated at the same 1-dbar grid resolution as the velocity fields, and averaged across station pairs. For explanatory purposes, the transports of oxygen, nitrate, phosphate and silicate will be referred to hereinafter as kmol-O s⁻¹, kmol-N s⁻¹, kmol-P s⁻¹, and kmol-Si s⁻¹, respectively. Uncertainties for all nutrient transport estimates were estimated based on the uncertainty of each of the component parts of equation (1) (detailed in Supporting Information Text S2).

Absolute velocities across the A05-24.5°N and OVIDE sections (vₗ(z), Figure 2), were obtained by applying a box inverse model method (Mercier, 1986) founded on the least-squares formalism. The thermal wind equation is used to compute the relative geostrophic velocity, normal to the hydrographic section, which depends on the a priori selected reference layer. The objective of the inversion is to refine the velocity estimates at the reference level by minimizing—in the least squares sense—a set of constraints given by independent estimates (e.g. ADCP measurements and/or integral volume or tracer transports) and the distance to the a priori solution. For the A05-24.5°N – OVIDE joint inversion, we selected the reference levels, as well as a number of a priori constraints (Table 3), according to previous studies at the A05-24.5°N section (Lavín et al., 2003, and Atkinson et al., 2012) and the OVIDE section (Lherminier et al., 2007, 2010, and Mercier et al., 2015), while satisfying salt conservation (Supporting Information Text S3, Figures S1 and S2).

Table 3. Volume (Sv; 1 Sv=10⁶ m³ s⁻¹) and salt (Sv psu) transport constraints used in the 24.5°N – OVIDE joint inverse model. Positive transports mean into the NA-box, that is, southwards across the OVIDE section and northwards across the 24.5°N.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constraints</th>
<th>Lon (°W)</th>
<th>Station pairs</th>
<th>Vertical range</th>
<th>Before inversion a priori value</th>
<th>After inversion value</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OVIDE section</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sal conservation</td>
<td>9.5-42.8</td>
<td>121-225</td>
<td>surf-bottom</td>
<td>-41.2 ± 35.0 Sv psu</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>This study*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vol conservation</td>
<td>9.5-42.8</td>
<td>121-225</td>
<td>surf-bottom</td>
<td>-1 ± 3 Sv</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>Lherminier et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Boundary</td>
<td>9.5-10.7</td>
<td>121-128</td>
<td>surf-σ₂ 36.98 kg m⁻³</td>
<td>-5 ± 3 Sv</td>
<td>-3.1</td>
<td>Lherminier et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Boundary</td>
<td>9.5-10.7</td>
<td>121-128</td>
<td>σ₂ 36.98 kg m⁻³-bottom</td>
<td>-1 ± 2 Sv</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
<td>Lherminier et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAP</td>
<td>11.1-16.4</td>
<td>129-145</td>
<td>σ₁ 45.85 kg m⁻³-bottom</td>
<td>-0.8 ± 0.8 Sv</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>Lherminier et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The ageostrophic Ekman transport was estimated by means of the wind stress fields from the Cross-Calibrated Multi-Platform Product (CCMP) (Atlas et al., 2011), following McCarthy et al. (2012). A comparison between three different wind products, CCMP, NCEP (Kistler et al., 2001) and ERA-Interim (Dee et al., 2011) was done to validate our choice (Supporting Information Figure S1). The Ekman transport was averaged over the year of the cruise (annual average), and added homogeneously in the first 30 m of the water column. For both cruises and locations, seasonal aliasing did not exceed the range of the uncertainties associated with the annual estimates (Supporting Information Text S4). More details about methods and the sensitivity tests performed are provided in the Supporting Information (Texts S5 and S6).

The net volume transports across the study sections were geographically delimited by subregions (Figure 2). We selected the lateral limits of the regions so that they comprised main reference geographic limits and/or main current systems. Horizontally, we defined four main layers by isopycnal levels limiting the main water masses:

i) an upper-intermediate layer embracing the upper limb of the MOC, from surface to $\sigma_1=32.15$ kg m$^{-3}$ (hereinafter referred to as $\sigma_{\text{MOC}}$; Mercier et al., 2015), which at 24.5°N is occupied by Central Waters (of North and South Atlantic origin) and Antarctic Intermediate Water (AAIW) (Guallart et al., 2015), and at OVIDE by North Atlantic Central Water (NACW), Subarctic Intermediate Water (SAIW) and Subpolar Mode Water (SPMW) (García-Ibáñez et al., 2015);
ii) an intermediate-deep layer, $\sigma_{\text{MOC}} < \sigma_1 \leq 32.53$ kg m$^{-3}$ ($\sim \sigma_2 = 36.94$ kg m$^{-3}$), where at 24.5°N there is contribution of Mediterranean Water (MW), Labrador Sea Water (LSW), and upper North Atlantic Deep Water (NADW$_U$; of which the main source is the lightest vintage of the LSW) (Guallart et al., 2015), and at OVIDE contribution of SPMW, MW and LSW (García-Ibáñez et al., 2015);

iii) a deep layer, between $\sigma_1 \leq 32.53$ kg m$^{-3}$ and $\sigma_4 < 45.9$ kg m$^{-3}$, which main contribution at 24.5°N is the lower North Atlantic Deep Water (NADW$_L$), and at OVIDE lower North East Atlantic Deep Water (NEADW$_L$), Denmark Strait Overflow Water (DSOW), and Iceland–Scotland Overflow Water (ISOW) (García-Ibáñez et al., 2015);

iv) and a bottom layer, $\sigma_4 < 45.9$ kg m$^{-3}$, only present at 24.5°N, mainly occupied by Antarctic Bottom Water (AABW) (Hernández-Guerra et al., 2014; Guallart, Schuster, et al., 2015).

$\sigma_{\text{MOC}}$ was defined by Mercier et al. (2015) as the density at which the overturning stream function reaches a maximum across the OVIDE section. At this latitude, using density coordinates provides a more truthful magnitude of the overturning circulation (Lherminier et al., 2010), as it takes into account the fact that most of the East Greenland-Irminger Current (Figure 1) ultimately belongs to the lower limb of the MOC, while the North Atlantic Current (Figure 1) at the same depths belongs to the upper limb (Figure 2b,d). At 24.5°N, however, the overturning streamfunction is usually computed in depth coordinates, so that it represents a balance between net northward (southward) flowing water above (below) the depth of maximum overturning, located at around 1100 m (Smeed et al., 2014; McCarthy et al., 2015). The 1100 m level at this latitude is though pretty much concordant with the $\sigma_1 = 32.15$ kg m$^{-3}$ isopycnal (Figure 2a,c). To be consistent at both locations, we kept the same upper/lower MOC limb interface definition as at the OVIDE section (i.e., $\sigma_{\text{MOC}} = \sigma_1 = 32.15$ kg m$^{-3}$). Transport-weighted properties by the upper and lower MOC limbs were estimated as the total tracer transport across the given MOC limb, divided by the volume transport by that limb.
**Figure 2.** Upper panels: Schematic view of the NA-box (distances are to scale). Numbers in the open circles indicate subregion numeration, as reference for the results section. Lower panels: Velocity (in m/s) perpendicular to the A05-24.5°N (a,c) and OVIDE (b,d) sections for the 2004 (upper row) and 2010 (lower row) cruises. Panel b modified from Lherminier et al. (2010). The isopycnals used as density horizons for the nutrient transport estimates are also indicated (dotted lines): $\sigma_{MOC}$ refers to $\sigma_1$ isopycnal 32.15 kg m$^{-3}$ ($\sigma_1$ is the potential density referenced to 1000 dbar), separating the upper and lower limbs of the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (Mercier et al., 2015); $\sigma_1$=32.53 kg m$^{-3}$; $\sigma_4$=45.9 kg m$^{-3}$ ($\sigma_4$ is the potential density referenced to 4000 dbar). Numbers represent net transports ± uncertainties (in Sv, positive into NA-box) by subregions. Open squares indicate those regions where volume transports are significantly different for both years. Main topographic features are indicated: Azores-Biscay Rise (ABR), Eriador Seamount (ESM), Reykjaness Ridge (RR), Mid-Atlantic Ridge (MAR).
2.4 Budget estimates

As the main goal of the study, we estimated the silicate, nitrate and phosphate budgets in the NA-box. The NA-box was defined as the region bounded by the basin-scale subtropical A05-24.5°N section, the subpolar OVIDE section, and the Davis and Gibraltar Straits (Figure 1). The nutrient budgets, which satisfy the salt conservation (Supporting Information, Text S3), were defined as the balance between the following five main terms:

- lateral nutrient advection across the limits of NA-box (i.e., across the OVIDE section, $T_{N}^{\text{ovide}}$, the A05-24.5°N section, $T_{N}^{a05}$, the Davis Strait, $T_{N}^{\text{davis}}$, and the Gibraltar Straits, $T_{N}^{\text{gibraltar}}$),
- the nutrient supply by river runoff ($F_{N}^{\text{runoff}}$), the input through the air-sea interface within the enclosed domain ($F_{N}^{\text{air-sea}}$), the net biological nutrient source/sink term (B), and the time derivative of the nutrient content ($\frac{\Delta N}{\Delta t}$):

$$\frac{\Delta N}{\Delta t} = T_{N}^{\text{ovide}} + T_{N}^{a05} + T_{N}^{\text{davis}} + T_{N}^{\text{gibraltar}} + F_{N}^{\text{runoff}} + F_{N}^{\text{air-sea}} + B$$ (3)

Where subindex N refers to a general notation for nutrient (either silicate, Si(OH)$_4$; nitrate, NO$_3$; or phosphate, PO$_4^{3-}$). $T_{N}^{\text{ovide}}$ and $T_{N}^{a05}$ are the nutrient transports estimated according to equation (1) (section 2.3), whereas $T_{N}^{\text{davis}}$, $T_{N}^{\text{gibraltar}}$, $F_{N}^{\text{runoff}}$ and $F_{N}^{\text{air-sea}}$ were obtained and/or inferred from previous studies (Supporting Information, Text S1 and Table S2).

The term B accounts for the net balance between the organic matter production (nutrient sink) and remineralization (nutrient source), i.e., the net storage of organic matter (dissolved and particulate). Under a de facto steady-state assumption, the North Atlantic basin is not accumulating or losing nutrients ($\Delta N/\Delta t = 0$), so that the balance of inputs minus outputs must equal the biological term B within the box, which consequently becomes the target unknown in equation (3). More specifically, for the silicate budget, B accounts for the balance between biogenic silica production by silicifying plankton vs. biogenic silica dissolution (silicate regeneration); whereas for nitrate and phosphate, B refers to the balance between photosynthesis vs. respiration of organic matter (nitrate/phosphate regeneration). Most production is remineralized (either in surface or at depth), so in an integrated water column sense, B can only represent either: 1) sediment burial (which is a very small term compared to primary production and export production)
or 2) accumulation in a small particulate pool or larger dissolved organic pools. In the
sense where water column is split (e.g. upper and lower limb, uMOC and IMOC,
respectively), \(B^u\text{MOC}\) and \(B^\text{IMOC}\) represent net community production in the upper limb (if
\(B^u\text{MOC}>0\)) and remineralisation in the lower limb (if \(B^\text{IMOC}<0\)). These indirect estimates
\(B^u\text{MOC}\) and \(B^\text{IMOC}\) can be compared, under certain assumptions, with independent
estimates of production and remineralization. Significant larger/lower values of \(B\) than
those obtained by in situ measurements may be indicative of the existence of a time
tendency in the nutrient budgets (i.e., \(\Delta N/\Delta t \neq 0\)), as will be discussed in section 3.2.

For silicate, we took into account the additional contribution of submarine groundwater,
seafloor weathering, deep-sea hydrothermal sources (Tréguer & Rocha, 2013) and sheet-
ice melting (Hawkins et al., 2017) as an extra addend in equation 3, \(F^\text{other silicate}\) (Supporting
Information, Text S1.5 and Table S2). For nitrate, there is also an extra addend in
equation (3), corresponding to the balance between biological dinitrogen fixation vs.
denitrification \(F^{\text{N}_2\text{-fixation}}_{\text{nitrate}}\) (Supporting Information, Text S1.4 and Table S2). Furthermore,
when it comes to closing the nitrate and phosphate nutrient budgets in the North Atlantic,
one agreed limitation among most of previous studies in the region (Michaels et al., 1996;
Ganachaud & Wunsch, 2002; Álvarez et al., 2003; Fontela et al., 2019) is the contribution
of the dissolved organic nutrient source from the subtropics to higher latitudes, suggested
as the potential missing counter-balancing flux that might keep the inorganic nutrient pool
in the North Atlantic in balance. We therefore also assessed the organic nutrient transport
at 24.5°N (Supporting Information, Text S1.5 and Table S2).

In this study we present the nutrient budgets as net budgets (whole-water column
integration), and split into upper (surface to \(\sigma_{\text{MOC}}\)) and lower (\(\sigma_{\text{MOC}}\) to bottom) MOC limb
budgets.
3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Oxygen and nutrient distribution and transports across the A05-24.5°N and OVIDE sections

3.1.1 Oxygen and nutrient distributions: general description

The lowest nutrient concentrations are found in surface waters (Figure 3e to j) where they are consumed by phytoplankton activity, whereas oxygen concentrations in surface waters (Figure 3c,d) are relatively high due to direct exchange with the atmosphere (oxygen solubility). At intermediate levels, nutrients increase (oxygen decreases) due to in situ remineralization and ageing of the water masses (i.e., organic matter remineralization as water masses are laterally advected from their source regions). This biological process is responsible of the highest nitrate and phosphate concentrations at 24.5°N being found at around 700-900 m (maximum remineralization depth) (Figure 3g,i), which is also evidenced by the pronounced oxygen minimum (namely oxygen minimum zone, Figure 3c). Deeper in the water column, high oxygen concentrations relate to the recently ventilated LSW (Figure 3c,d). Closer to the bottom, high nutrient concentrations (the highest for silicate, Figure 3e) are associated to the AABW, the oldest water mass across the section.
Figure 3. Vertical distribution of salinity (S), oxygen (O$_2$, in μmol kg$^{-1}$), silicate (Si(OH)$_4$, in μmol kg$^{-1}$), nitrate (NO$_3^-$, in μmol kg$^{-1}$) and phosphate (PO$_4^{3-}$, in μmol kg$^{-1}$) along the A05-24.5°N section (left panels) and the OVIDE section (right panels) for 2004. Numbers in the open circles in panels a and b indicate sub-region numeration, as reference for the
results section. Numbers in panels c to j represent oxygen and nutrient transports ± uncertainties (in kmol s\(^{-1}\), positive into NA-box) by subregions. Open squares indicate those regions where oxygen and or nutrient transports are significantly different for both years. The isopycnals used in this study as density horizons for the nutrients transport estimates are also indicated (dotted lines): \(\sigma_{\text{MOC}}=\sigma_1=32.15 \text{ kg m}^{-3}\) (\(\sigma_1\) is the potential density referred to 1000 dbar); \(\sigma_1=32.53 \text{ kg m}^{-3}\); \(\sigma_4=45.9 \text{ kg m}^{-3}\) (\(\sigma_4\) is the potential density referred to 4000 dbar). Main water masses traceable by the oxygen and nutrient distributions are also indicated: Antarctic Bottom Water (AABW), Antarctic Intermediate Water (AAIW), Denmark Strait Overflow Water (DSOW), Labrador Sea Water (LSW), lower North Atlantic Deep Water (NADWL), Mediterranean Water (MW), Subpolar Mode Water (SPMW), upper North Atlantic Deep Water (NADWU); and main topographic features: Azores-Biscay Rise (ABR), Eriador Seamount (ESM), Reykjanes Ridge (RR), Mid-Atlantic Ridge (MAR).

3.1.2 Oxygen and nutrient mean circulation patterns

Here we describe the main circulation patterns at both locations. The transport values shown represent the average of the 2004 and 2010 occupations ± standard error (Table 4).

At 24.5ºN, the upper 1000 dbar (broadly upper MOC limb, \(\sigma_1<\sigma_{\text{MOC}}\), regions 1 to 5, Figure 3a) are characterized by a net northward transport of oxygen and nutrients as result of the large northward oxygen and nutrient transport by the Florida and Antilles Currents (regions 1+2, Figure 3a), which is not compensated by the gyre recirculation (regions 3+4+5). The lower MOC limb (\(\sigma_1>\sigma_{\text{MOC}}\), regions 6 to 14, Figure 3a), comprises a net southward transport of oxygen and nutrients, mainly advected by the Deep Western Boundary Current (DWBC) system (regions 6+7+10+11, Figure 3a). This current represents the largest transport of nutrients and oxygen across the whole section below 1000 dbar, although there is also a less intense deep southward transport of oxygen and nutrients in the eastern basin (regions 8+9+12+13, Figure 3a). Deeper in the water column, we find the bottom northward transport of oxygen and nutrients related to the AABW (region 14, Figure 3a). Dominated by the lower MOC limb, the net basin-wide (integration across the entire section) transport of oxygen and nutrients across 24.5ºN is
southwards (Table 4), consistent with previous estimates based on the 1992 A05 cruise (Jul-Aug) by Lavín et al. (2003) (-2621 ± 705 kmol-O s\(^{-1}\), -254 ± 176 kmol-Si s\(^{-1}\), -130 ± 95 kmol-N s\(^{-1}\) and -12.6 ± 6.3 kmol-P s\(^{-1}\)) and Ganachaud & Wunsch (2002) (-2070 ± 600 kmol-O s\(^{-1}\), -220 ± 80 kmol-Si s\(^{-1}\), -50 ± 50 kmol-N s\(^{-1}\) and -7.6 ± 3.6 kmol-P s\(^{-1}\)).

Across OVIDE, we identify the same upper/lower MOC scheme of circulation, with a net northward transport of oxygen and nutrients by the upper MOC limb (\(\sigma_1 \leq \sigma_{MOC}\), regions 1 to 3, Figure 3b), which is mostly carried by the North Atlantic Current (region 1, Figure 3b) and partly recirculates in easternmost region of the section (region 2, Figure 3b); and a net southward transport of oxygen and nutrient by the lower MOC limb (\(\sigma_1 > \sigma_{MOC}\), regions 3 to 9, Figure 3b). At this latitude, different to the A05-24.5ºN results, the transport of nutrients by the upper and lower MOC limbs is nearly in balance, within the uncertainties (Table 4); although there is a significant net southward transport of oxygen.

As part of the lower MOC, the Western Boundary Current (East Greenland-Irminger and Deep Western Boundary Currents, region 3, Figure 3b) is the main contributor to the basin-wide transports, comprising an intense southward “deep oxygen and nutrient stream”, as observed at 24.5ºN. Below, the bottom southward transport related to the DSOW (region 7, Figure 3b) also contributes to the “deep oxygen and nutrients stream”.

In the European Basin there is also a deep, albeit less intense, southward flux of oxygen and nutrients between 1000-4000 dbar pressure range (region 6, Figure 3b). Underneath, in the Iberian Abyssal plain, there is a net northward oxygen and nutrients transport (region 9, Figure 3b).

Integrated across the entire OVIDE section, only the transport of oxygen (2004: 1501 ± 770 kmol-O s\(^{-1}\), 2010: 1398 ± 830 kmol-O s\(^{-1}\), southward, Table 4) is significantly different from zero in both years (Table 3); a result consistent with estimates reported by Maze et al. (2012) (a three-cruise 2002-2006 mean: 924 ± 314 kmol-O s\(^{-1}\)) and Fontela et al. (2019) (an eight-cruise 2002-2016 mean: 909 ± 132 kmol-O s\(^{-1}\)). However, the enhanced MOC in 2010 produced nutrient transports that were large enough to be significant (81 ± 69 kmol-Si s\(^{-1}\), -5.9 ± 3.3 kmol-P s\(^{-1}\)). The only other significant non-zero net nutrient transport across OVIDE in the literature is the Fontela et al. (2019) eight-cruise average phosphate transport (-0.8 ± 0.7 kmol-P s\(^{-1}\)).
Between both sections, we estimated an across-\(\sigma\)MOC upward diapycnal flux (1.8 ± 1.4 Sv in 2004, and 0.5 ± 1.6 Sv in 2010, Figure 6b,c) comprising an upward transfer of nutrients between the lower and upper MOC limbs. Although our result is below the uncertainty level, such diapycnal flow is supported by the study of Desbruyères et al. (2013), who found that about 4-Sv, related to the dense-to-light conversion of deep western boundary current waters, fed back into the upper MOC limb in the vicinity of Flemish Cap.

**Table 4.** Mean (2004 and 2010 average) volume, oxygen and nutrient transports (± standard error of the mean) by subregions and by lower/upper MOC limbs. Note that for the total section and upper and lower MOC limbs, transports by both years are also indicated. Region numbering is illustrated in Figures 2 and 3. Positive (negative) transports mean into (out of) the NA-box.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Tvol (Sv)</th>
<th>Toxy (kmol s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Tsil (kmol s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Tnit (kmol s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Tphos (kmol s(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>OVIDE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>-0.9 ± 3.7</td>
<td>-0.9 ± 3.6</td>
<td>1.6 ± 1.2</td>
<td>1.3 ± 1.3</td>
<td>14.9 ± 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uMOC ((\sigma)i ≤ 32.15)</td>
<td>-0.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>14.89 ± 5.19</td>
<td>35 ± 46</td>
<td>25 ± 20</td>
<td>3.9 ± 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMOC ((\sigma)i &gt; 32.15)</td>
<td>-17.3 ± 0.9</td>
<td>-19.2 ± 1.3</td>
<td>-3892 ± 221</td>
<td>-4315 ± 324</td>
<td>-103 ± 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Upper**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reg.</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Transport</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>North Atlantic Current</td>
<td>-26.6 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Eastern recirculation</td>
<td>8.4 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Intermediate**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reg.</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Transport</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Western Boundary Current</td>
<td>30 ± 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Irminger Basin</td>
<td>-19.8 ± 4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Iceland Basin</td>
<td>-2 ± 7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>European Basin</td>
<td>6 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Deep**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reg.</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Transport</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Irminger Basin</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>East Reykjanes Ridge</td>
<td>1 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>European Basin</td>
<td>-1.2 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**A05-24.5°N**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reg.</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Transport</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1+2+3</td>
<td>Florida Straits, W-Atlantic</td>
<td>36.0 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4+5</td>
<td>E-Atlantic</td>
<td>-20.4 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reg.</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Transport</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6+7+10+11</td>
<td>DWBC system</td>
<td>-13.3 ± 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8+9+12+13</td>
<td>E-Atlantic</td>
<td>-3.7 ± 5.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reg.</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Transport</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>W-Atlantic</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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3.1.3 2004-to-2010 differences in nutrient distributions and transports: upper vs lower MOC limb-mediated meridional transport of oxygen and nutrients

In this section, we first identify the major differences observed in the oxygen and nutrient distributions and transports in 2010 compared to 2004 at both locations. Temporal variations in oxygen and nutrient distributions may be caused by changes in circulation patterns, i.e., more or less of a certain water mass crossing the section, and/or by changes in the tracer concentrations within water masses. The latter in turn can be the result of changing water mass properties in the source region, changes in the mixing with surrounding waters as the water mass spreads, and/or due to variations in the biological activity. Here, the comparison between both occupations seeks to better understand the representativeness of our estimates with regards to a mean state, as well as the origin of the differences observed, rather than aiming to infer changes over time per se.

At 24.5ºN, the most striking change was found at the nitrate and phosphate (oxygen) maximum (minimum) depth (Figure 3c,g,i), around 700-900 dbar mainly in the Florida Straits and western Atlantic, where the nutrient (oxygen) maximum (minimum) was notably larger (lower) in 2010 compared to 2004 (Figure 4e,i,k). This large nutrient increase at the thermocline level ranged between 4-7 μmol-N kg$^{-1}$ and 0.2-0.4 μmol-P kg$^{-1}$ in the Florida Straits and Western Atlantic basin, with a section average increase at that level of ~1 μmol-N kg$^{-1}$ and ~0.05 μmol-P kg$^{-1}$ (accompanied by a concomitant decrease in oxygen of around 10 μmol kg$^{-1}$, Figure 4i). Several studies (e.g. García et al., 1998, 2005; Bopp et al., 2002; Matear & Hirst, 2003; Stramma et al., 2010; Stendardo & Gruber, 2012) have reported deoxygenation trends and expansion of hypoxic/suboxic waters at the minimum oxygen zone (broadly 700-1000 m depth). Yet, the magnitude of these trends (0.6 μmol-O$_2$ kg$^{-1}$y$^{-1}$ at 1100 m for the period 1957-1992 by García et al., 1998; or 0.09-0.34 μmol-O$_2$ kg$^{-1}$y$^{-1}$ in the 300-700 m by Stramma et al., 2008) do not account for the 6-year change observed here, evidencing that further driving mechanisms in 2010 might have enhanced the long-term signal. The positive (negative) anomaly signature in nutrients (oxygen) was also accompanied by negative anomalies in temperature (Figure 4c) and salinity (not shown), linked to positive density anomalies (Figure 5a), which can be interpreted as isopycnal vertical displacement (heave).
Evidencing the relevance of these nutrient anomalies, we found that even though the Florida and Antilles Currents were significantly weaker in 2010 (29.8 ±1.1 Sv; regions 1+2, Figure 2c) compared to 2004 (38.8 ±1.3 Sv; regions 1+2, Figure 2a), the nitrate and phosphate transports were not reduced accordingly in proportion (Figure 3g,i). That is, the nutrient transport in these regions in 2010 was not dominated by changes in volume transports but compensated instead by changes in nutrient concentration. Overall, both isopycnal heave and the enhancement of the northward transport in the entire upper western basin (regions 2 and 3, Figure 2a,c) led to more nutrient-rich and less oxygenated thermocline waters being advected across the section by the upper MOC in 2010 (Table 4). Combined with the gyre recirculation in the eastern basin not being significantly different in both years (reduced recirculation in 2010, but not statistically different of 2004 within the uncertainties), this resulted in a significant larger northward nutrient transport in the upper MOC compared to 2004 (Table 4).

In the lower MOC limb, we observed negative silicate anomalies (Figure 4g), especially in the eastern basin. These anomalies were linked to a northward-to-southward flow reversal in 2010 in regions 7 and 9 and enhanced southward flow in region 8 Figure 2a,c), which reduced the influence of southern-origin (silicate-rich) waters in the 1000-3500-dbar pressure range compared to 2004. In contrast to this enhanced southward volume transport by the lower MOC in the eastern basin, we found the DWBC system (regions 6+7+10+11, Figure 2) experienced a reduction in 2010 (-9.9 ±4.5 Sv, Figure 2c) compared to 2004 (-16.8 ±4.6 Sv, Figure 2a), which was statistically significant in its westernmost branch (regions 6+10, Figure 2). This decrease, consistent with the MOC slowdown recorded by the RAPID array (Smeed et al., 2018), led to a concomitant significant reduction of the southward nutrient transports by the DWBC (Figure 3e,g,i).

West of the Mid-Atlantic Ridge below 3500 dbar, we also observed positive silicate anomalies (Figure 4g) linked to a larger influence of southern-origin waters in 2010 in this part of the section as result of the reduced DWBC transport (less northern-origin NADW influence) (Figure 2a,c, regions 10, 11 and 12). Integrated across the section and from surface to bottom, the anomalous circulation pattern in 2010 resulted into total nitrate and phosphate transports that were not statistically different from zero (Table 4). Compared to the 2004 transports, a reduction of the meridional nitrate and phosphate total transport
of 34% and 48% respectively was thus observed. Total oxygen and silicate transports were larger in 2010 compared to 2004, although these temporal differences were within the range of the uncertainties.

At OVIDE, the North Atlantic Current (region 1) was weaker in 2010 (Figure 2b,d), consistent with the reduction of the Florida and Antilles Currents at 24.5°N. Its southwards recirculation in the eastern basin (region 2) was also reduced in 2010, although this slowdown was more pronounced than the reduction in the North Atlantic Current, leading to the net oxygen and nutrient transports by the upper MOC limb to be significantly larger in 2010 compared to 2004 (Table 4). We identified a positive nitrate anomaly (of 5-7 μmol kg⁻¹, Figure 4j) over the Reykjanes Ridge that was not accompanied by a concomitant increase in phosphate. This positive anomaly patch was coincident with an intensification of the Irminger Current (Figure 2b,d). The fact that the nitrate anomaly was not accompanied by a concomitant increase in phosphate, prompted us to hypothesize that the waters advected by the enhanced Irminger Current comprised an increased contribution of subtropical-origin waters, which are characterized by high N₂-fixation-derived nitrate concentrations relative to phosphate, as characterised by a positive N* (N-16P) anomaly (Gruber & Sarmiento, 1997; Benavides et al., 2013; Benavides & Voss, 2015). These results may comprise observational evidence of how under a negative-NAO scenario, the cyclonic circulation in the Newfoundland Basin strengthens so that the Labrador Current and its retroflection intensify (Henson et al., 2013; Sarafanov et al., 2009). We conjecture that the mixing between the Labrador Current and the NAC waters nearby Flemish Cap (Fratantoni & McCartney, 2010) might have been enhanced in 2010 and caused the observed downstream nitrate anomaly. The unusually large phytoplankton abundances in the central Irminger basin in 2010 were also suggestive of such intensified recirculation (Henson et al., 2013).

In the lower MOC limb, we observed positive nitrate and phosphate anomalies in the Irminger Basin (related to the explanation above), but negative anomalies east of the Reykjanes Ridge up to the Azores-Biscay Rise. The latter related to a to northward-to-southward flow reversal in the Iceland Basin in 2010 (region 5, Figure 2b,d), which led to
the advection of more recently-ventilated (with lower age) waters across the section in 2010.

The southward intensification of these ‘secondary’ southward deep flows in the eastern basin across both the OVIDE and A05-24.5ºN sections counteracted the decrease in the DWBC (Figure 2), hence not resulting in a noticeable annual MOC slowdown, as estimated by the RAPID-array time series (12.8 Sv, Apr 2009-Mar 2010 average, McCarthy et al., 2012; Bryden et al., 2014, Smeed et al. 2018; 15.0 [5.1] Sv, Jan-Dec 2010 average [standard deviation]; Smeed et al. 2019), but leading instead to a larger MOC in 2010 than in 2004 (Table 4). In view of that, hereinafter we will refer to a DWBC slowdown in 2010 rather than MOC slowdown.
Figure 4. Vertical distributions of potential density ($\sigma_1$, potential density referred to 1000 dbar), potential temperature ($\theta$), oxygen (O$_2$), silicate (Si(OH)$_4$), nitrate (NO$_3^-$) and phosphate (PO$_4^{3-}$) anomalies (2010 minus 2004) on pressure surfaces along the A05-
24.5°N section (left panels) and OVIDE section (right panels). Dotted lines correspond to the $\sigma_{\text{MOC}}$ isopycnal (2004 in blue, 2010 in red).

To better disentangle the overall change in properties between both sections and years, we estimated the transport-weighted concentrations for the upper and lower branches of the MOC (Table 5). Both MOC limbs are characterized by a meridional North-to-South gradient of decreasing (increasing) oxygen (nutrient) concentrations (Figure 3, Table 5). Biotic remineralization of the exported dissolved organic carbon at high latitudes (Fontela et al., 2016), as well as dilution with the northward-flowing low dissolved organic carbon Antarctic Intermediate and Bottom Waters (Hansell et al., 2009), support the observed gradient. Oxygen is furthermore influenced by enhanced solubility of colder subpolar waters (Gruber et al., 2001) and deep convection of recently ventilated waters, which ultimately favours the transfer of this high-oxygen signal to depth (Fröb et al., 2016).

However, the lower MOC limb is more meridionally homogeneous for oxygen than the upper limb, but with the largest silicate gradient. For nitrate and phosphate, the transport-weighted properties suggest a more meridionally-homogeneous upper MOC in 2004, but a more meridionally-homogeneous lower limb in 2010. Both the upper and lower MOC limbs were enriched in nutrients in 2010 compared to 2004 at both sections. Note, however, that at OVIDE the nutrient increase in the upper limb was only significant for nitrate, whereas at A05-24.5°N the nutrient increase in the lower limb was only significant for silicate. The latter would be explained by the reduced intensity of the DWBC, which favoured the penetration of AABW northwards (Figure 2), and led to an overall significant increase in the transport-weighted silicate concentration by the lower MOC limb (Table 5).

**Table 5.** Transport-weighted properties (oxygen, silicate, nitrate, phosphate) by upper and lower MOC limbs (uMOC, IMOC).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transport (Sv)</th>
<th>uMOC</th>
<th>OVIDE</th>
<th>A05</th>
<th>IMOC</th>
<th>Oxygen</th>
<th>Silicate</th>
<th>Nitrate</th>
<th>Phosphate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>-17.3 ± 0.9$^g$</td>
<td>218 ± 16$^a$</td>
<td>126 ± 16$^{g/1}$</td>
<td>16.6 ± 1.2$^f$</td>
<td>295 ± 35$^{g/1}$</td>
<td>5.5 ± 1.7$^{g/1}$</td>
<td>10.6 ± 2.7$^{g/1}$</td>
<td>17.4 ± 1.9$^{g/1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>-19.2 ± 1.3$^g$</td>
<td>220 ± 22$^a$</td>
<td>119 ± 11$^{g/1}$</td>
<td>18.8 ± 1.5$^{g/1}$</td>
<td>292 ± 35$^{g/1}$</td>
<td>5.5 ± 1.7$^{g/1}$</td>
<td>10.8 ± 2.7$^{g/1}$</td>
<td>15.3 ± 2.6$^{g/1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen 2004</td>
<td>5.7 ± 0.4$^g$</td>
<td>6.4 ± 0.6$^a$</td>
<td>9.4 ± 1.6$^{g/1}$</td>
<td>11.0 ± 1.2$^{g/1}$</td>
<td>17.4 ± 2.8$^{g/1}$</td>
<td>13.4 ± 1.4$^{g/1}$</td>
<td>16.6 ± 1.8$^{g/1}$</td>
<td>19.4 ± 1.9$^{g/1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen 2010</td>
<td>12.4 ± 0.9$^g$</td>
<td>14.1 ± 1.3$^a$</td>
<td>15.3 ± 2.6$^{g/1}$</td>
<td>19.4 ± 1.9$^{g/1}$</td>
<td>218 ± 35$^{g/1}$</td>
<td>13.4 ± 1.4$^{g/1}$</td>
<td>16.6 ± 1.8$^{g/1}$</td>
<td>19.4 ± 1.9$^{g/1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicate 2004</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.1$^g$</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.1$^a$</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.2$^{g/1}$</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.2$^{g/1}$</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.2$^{g/1}$</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.2$^{g/1}$</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.2$^{g/1}$</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.2$^{g/1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicate 2010</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.1$^g$</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.1$^a$</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.2$^{g/1}$</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.2$^{g/1}$</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.2$^{g/1}$</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.2$^{g/1}$</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.2$^{g/1}$</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.2$^{g/1}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^g$ Superscript indicates a larger-than-uncertainty latitudinal property gradient; $^1$ Superscript indicates larger-than-uncertainty temporal differences.
Overall, and even if hydrographic cruise data at 24.5ºN (17.5 ± 0.9 Sv, this study; 16.1 Sv, Atkinson et al., 2012) do not replicate the magnitude of the MOC slowdown in 2010 as reported by the RAPID array on an annual basis (15.0 [5.1] Sv average [standard deviation] for the 2010 Jan-Dec annual period; Smeed et al., 2019), even if considering the quasi-synoptic wind forcing to run the inversion (13.2 ± 0.9 Sv, this study, Supplementary Information Text S6; versus the RAPID-array estimate of 8.4 [1.7] Sv, average [standard deviation] for the period of the cruise; Smeed et al., 2019), the estimates are not inconsistent, since they represent different time scales and are based in different methodological approaches. In this study we did identify an anomalous pattern of advection in 2010 including anomalously negative Ekman transport, isopycnal heave, reorganization in the gyre circulation (McCarthy et al., 2012), weakening of the DWBC and strengthening at depth of the secondary southward advective branches, all of these physical drivers favouring the northward transport of more nutrient-richer waters by the upper MOC limb in 2010 (Table 3, Figure 5), which ultimately led to a reduced southward transport of nitrate and phosphate.

Ultimately, the results above may comprise observational evidence of how under a negative-NAO scenario, and favoured by the NAO-induced contraction of the Subpolar Gyre (Chaudhuri et al., 2011; Sarafanov et al., 2009), the contribution of subtropical and southern waters at high latitudes of the North Atlantic was enhanced, and compensating altered circulation patterns at depth observed.

3.2. Nutrient budgets in the North Atlantic

3.2.1 2004 vs. 2010 nutrient budgets in the North Atlantic

As an ultimate objective of this study, we provided novel estimates of the major inorganic nutrient inventories (silicate, nitrate and phosphate) in the North Atlantic, which had not been re-evaluated for this region since the former studies of Álvarez et al. (2003) and Ganachaud & Wunsch (2002). For the first time in this region, we combined two basin-scale hydrographic sections (the subtropical A05-24.5ºN section and the subpolar OVIDE section) occupied in the same year for two different occupation periods (2004 and 2010), to derive consistent circulations across the sections using a joint inverse model and
compute the nutrient transports across them. Combining these basin-wide advective nutrient transports with the most recent estimates of the additional external nutrient sources (e.g. atmospheric inputs and river runoff) (Supporting Information, Table S2), we obtained the net nutrient balance term (term B in equation (3)) for the North Atlantic, which under steady-state ($\Delta N/\Delta t = 0$) leads to an inferred net biological nutrient source/sink in the NA-box (Figure 5).
Figure 5. Schematic of the volume (a to c), silicate (d to f), nitrate (g to i) and phosphate (j to l) budgets in the North Atlantic. Left panels represent the net surface-to-bottom budgets, whereas the middle and left panels account for the upper and lower MOC limb budgets, respectively. Volume budget: large-font numbers account for the convergence/divergence term closing the budget (positive meaning net evaporation); for the upper (lower) MOC budgets, values outlined in orange refer to diapycnal flow, positive (negative) sign meaning inflow (outflow). Units in Sv (1Sv=10^6 m^3 s^-1). Inorganic nutrient
budgets: large-font numbers in the grey-shaded squares account for the net balance term B in equation (3) (B for the net water-column integrated budget, left side panels; \( B^{\text{uMOC}} \) for the upper MOC limb budget, central panels; or \( B^{\text{LMOC}} \) for the lower MOC limb budget, right panels), positive values meaning nutrient convergence (net nutrient consumption under steady-state); negative values meaning nutrient divergence (net nutrient remineralization under steady-state). Units in kmol s\(^{-1}\). Blue (red) numbers refer to 2004 (2010) budgets. Bold font indicates significantly different from zero values. Numbers with an asterisk are referenced in the Supporting Information (Table S2).

Integrated over the whole water column, the net nutrient balance (term B in equation 3) was statistically different from zero only in 2010 (B\([2010]\): -106 ± 83 kmol-Si s\(^{-1}\), 75 ± 42 kmol-N s\(^{-1}\), 7.2 ± 2.7 kmol-P s\(^{-1}\), Figure 5d, g, j). In 2004, however, the system was close to balance, with none of the net nutrient balance estimates B being significantly different from zero (B\([2004]\): -60 ± 75 kmol-Si s\(^{-1}\), 25 ± 45 kmol-N s\(^{-1}\), 0.2 ± 2.9 kmol-P s\(^{-1}\)). Results from the sensitivity analysis we performed (Supporting Information, Text S6) showed that these net balance estimates are significantly sensitive to the wind forcing used to solve the velocity field at 24.5°N in 2010 (annual vs synoptic forcing), with quasi-synoptic wind forcing leading to significantly enhanced nutrient convergence (Supporting Information, Figure S8), hence intensifying the anomalous pattern in 2010. Our annual estimates for 2010 might therefore represent a lower bound of the convergence occurred. However, for nutrient budget estimate purposes, the annual forcing provides a more representative approach of the real state as it prevents aliasing of seasonal imbalances, especially when combining cruises that have been carried out in different seasons (Supporting Information, Text S4); since we would be adding extra uncertainty due to the seasonal imbalance linked to the biological term (balance term B in equation 3).

For nitrate and phosphate, the net balance term was positive, and statistically significant in 2010, showing that under the steady state assumption, there is a significant net nutrient consumption (75 ± 42 kmol-N s\(^{-1}\), 7.2 ± 2.7 kmol-P s\(^{-1}\)), which suggests the region acts as a net autotrophically with biological primary production exceeding respiration (i.e., the basin producing more organic carbon than that being consumed through remineralisation). Relaxing the steady state assumption, nevertheless, another plausible
explanation could be that the region accumulated nitrate and phosphate during the period

\( \Delta N/\Delta t > 0 \), in equation 3). This result contrasts with a former study by Álvarez et al. (2003),

whose estimates pointed to a total nitrate production in the North Atlantic region. Their estimate, the result of the sum of a net nitrate consumption between their 4x (OVIDE-like) section and 36°N, and a net nitrate remineralization between 36°N AND 24.5°N, was however not significantly different from zero (15 ± 131 kmol s\(^{-1}\)), which makes it statistically comparable to our result in 2004.

Contrarily to nitrate and phosphate, the water-column integrated silicate budget resulted in a negative balance term. For both years, the net silicate balance (B[2004]: -60 ± 75 kmol-Si s\(^{-1}\); B[2010]: -106 ± 83 kmol-Si s\(^{-1}\); its magnitude being only statistically significant in 2010) indicated net silicate regeneration within the NA-box (i.e., net loss of biogenic silica, under the steady-state assumption). Or, relaxing the steady state assumption, it might be indicative of the North Atlantic losing silicate during the period \( \Delta N/\Delta t < 0 \), in equation 3).

The opposing sign in the water-column integrated net silicate balance vs that of nitrate and phosphate, indicated a different pattern for these nutrients. The NA-box is a region that comprises part of the subtropical and subpolar gyres, and where a number of different biogeochemical provinces coexist (Reygondeau et al., 2013). Hence, changes in relative abundances of the non-siliceous phytoplankton (requiring nitrate and phosphate but not silicate) and diatom phytoplankton communities (which in addition to phosphate and nitrate require silicate) might partly explain the differential response observed in the silicate vs nitrate and phosphate budgets.

We now split the inventories into upper/lower MOC limbs to better understand the observed imbalances and their interpretation, as well as to further understand the differences between the 2004 and 2010 total nutrient budgets.

The upper limb of the MOC within the NA-box domain was unequivocally characterized by a net positive balance of inorganic nutrients (BuMOC>0; Figure 5e,h,k). Under steady-state conditions, this excess of nutrients should be entirely accounted for by the biological term, that is, the upper limb being characterized by net nutrient consumption (organic
matter production exceeding remineralization). Our results also show that nutrient consumption was enhanced in 2010 (BuMOC[2010]: 133 ± 29 kmol-Si s⁻¹, 144 ± 45 kmol-N s⁻¹, 9.0 ± 2.9 kmol-P s⁻¹ in 2010, Figure 6e,h,k, red numbers) compared to 2004 (BuMOC[2004]: 115 ± 29 kmol-Si s⁻¹, 92 ± 43 kmol-N s⁻¹, 3.3 ± 2.9 kmol-P s⁻¹; Figure 6e,h,k, blue numbers), although this enhancement was only statistically significant for the phosphate budget. To put numbers into context with the biological carbon pump, the phosphate consumption rate in the upper MOC limb, translated into carbon via stoichiometric ratios of the C:N:P:O₂=117:16:1:-170 (Anderson & Sarmiento, 1994), was enhanced by 5.7 kmol-P s⁻¹, equivalent to an increase in organic matter production of 0.25 ± 0.18 Pg-C yr⁻¹ in 2010 (1.7 times the value in 2004, 0.14 Pg-C yr⁻¹). For comparison, the mean annual sea-air CO₂ flux in the North Atlantic (north of 14°N, including the Nordic Seas and portion of the Arctic) is -0.49 Pg-C yr⁻¹ (Takahashi et al., 2009) of which our estimate of the anomalous 2010 uMOC nutrient budget represents up to 50%. Note, however, our indirect estimate should be taken as an upper-bound value, as we are assuming steady-state (no nutrient accumulation). Note as well the upper MOC limb is deep enough for remineralisation to take place too, so our estimate represents the net balance between consumption of “new” nutrients in the euphotic zone (transferred to depth via the BCP) and their remineralisation deeper in the water column within the upper limb.

The high-nutrient signature observed at 24.5°N in 2010 was also identifiable downstream in the North Atlantic by independent data sources (Figure 4j). The combination of a larger nutrient supply by horizontal advection with the heave of the isopycnals in the western-inner gyre (and subsequent upwelling of those nutrients to the sunlit upper ocean) (Figure 6d and 6e) altogether favoured an (immediate) biological response (enhanced primary production/nutrient consumption) in the upper ocean between 26.5-40°N (Figure 6b,c) and likely in the subpolar gyre (Henson et al., 2013). Actually, our results indicate that the missing nutrient source reported by Henson et al. (2013) may actually have had a subtropical origin. Summed up to the reported intense cooling of the upper subtropical Atlantic throughout 2010 (Cunningham et al., 2013) potentially favouring the carbon solubility pump, for which according to our results the heat divergence reduction (by 38% in 2010 compared to 2004) could have accounted for up to 40% contribution to the total
heat content decrease of $-1.2 \times 10^{22}$ J reported by Cunningham et al. (2013), this study evidences boosted biological CO$_2$ uptake.

![Figure 6](http://bats.bios.edu/). Time-series of a) MODIS satellite chlorophyll $a$ anomalies for 25-45°N, 20-60°W box, b) MOC strength at 26.5°N, c) depth anomaly of $\gamma_n=26.25$ kg m$^{-3}$ isopycnal at 32°N, 46°W, and e) nitrate 2004-2013 time series at the Bermuda Atlantic Time-Series (BATS) station (http://bats.bios.edu/). Bold lines in panels a to d equate to 3-month filter applied. Conversely, the lower MOC limb was characterized by a net nutrient divergence, its magnitude exceeding the uncertainty level for silicate. For the nitrate and phosphate lower MOC budgets, we obtain net balance terms ($B_{\text{LMOC}[2004]}$: $-69 \pm 44$ kmol-N s$^{-1}$, $-3.2 \pm 2.9$ kmol-P s$^{-1}$; $B_{\text{LMOC}[2010]}$: $-67 \pm 46$ kmol-N s$^{-1}$, $-1.7 \pm 3.1$ kmol-P s$^{-1}$) consistent with organic carbon consumption at depth of about $0.19 \pm 0.13$ Pg-C yr$^{-1}$ (based on the 2004 and 2010 average nitrate estimates) or $0.11 \pm 0.13$ Pg-C yr$^{-1}$ (based on the 2004 and 2010 average phosphate estimates). Our estimates, although largely uncertain, can be compared with
the net DOC consumption rate estimated by Fontela et al. (2016) for the lower MOC limb (0.062 Pg-C yr\(^{-1}\)) which would be combined with remineralisation of sinking POC.

Now centring the discussion in the silicate budget, we found the largest divergence of the three nutrients (176 ± 76 kmol-Si s\(^{-1}\) in 2004; significantly larger in 2010, 238 ± 83 kmol-Si s\(^{-1}\)). Under the steady-state assumption (no net nutrient accumulation/loss), such divergence indicates net remineralization within the lower MOC limb, as might be expected. In an \textit{in situ} study in the deep northeast Atlantic (3000-m depth), Ragueneau et al. (2001) estimated an annual opal flux of 43.0 mmol-Si m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\) (i.e., 23 kmol-Si s\(^{-1}\)), subject to a seasonal fluctuation between ~6 kmol-Si s\(^{-1}\) in autumn/winter to ~133 kmol-Si s\(^{-1}\) in spring/summer (Ragueneau et al., 2001). When averaged with other \textit{in situ} estimates at different sites in the North Atlantic, the mean opal flux decreases to about 16 kmol-Si s\(^{-1}\) (0.03 mol m\(^{2}\), Ragueneau et al., 2000). Since most of biogenic silica production is exported to deeper levels more efficiently than particulate organic carbon (Segschneider & Bendtsen, 2013) and mostly recycled within the water column (Tréguer et al., 1995; Loucaides et al., 2012), we could take these \textit{in situ} measurements of opal flux as a reference. Any of the \textit{in-situ} estimates, however, are significantly lower than our inferred rate. Hence, we hypothesize that the silicate divergence might actually not be completely balanced by the biological remineralization term, but instead there might be also a net silicate divergence in the lower MOC limb (silicate pool decreasing in time), as also hypothesised in Carracedo et al. (in review). However, given the large uncertainties and current limitations on the nutrient budget assessment, as well as the debateable comparability between basin-scale budget and sediment-trap derived estimates, this interpretation is not conclusively supported by this study, but only hypothesized.

3.2.2 Further considerations on the nutrient budget estimates

In this study we revisited the external nutrient sources (e.g. river runoff, atmospheric input) based on the most recent studies. As illustration, the atmospheric and river runoff nitrate supply used in this study (4.5 ± 4.5 kmol-N s\(^{-1}\) and 2.2 ± 0.5 kmol-N s\(^{-1}\), respectively) were larger than those formerly used in the study by Álvarez et al. (2003) (3.7 kmol-N s\(^{-1}\) and 1.4 kmol-N s\(^{-1}\), respectively). The ongoing anthropogenic forcing is very likely to keep increasing the nutrient supply via atmospheric and river runoff, as
evidenced by the tendency over the last few hundred years (Seitzinger et al., 2010; Moore et al., 2013; Beusen et al., 2016), making them a crucial gateway by which land-based human perturbations are transferred to the open ocean (Duce et al., 2008; Jickells et al., 2017). However, the paucity of observations and the poor understanding of its variability mean estimates remain uncertain.

Despite this uncertainty, one important remark is that summed up together, the external nutrient sources comprise a minor term compared to the magnitude of lateral advection. Hence, it is not surprising that changes in ocean circulation patterns might drive major oceanic nutrient pool reorganization on interannual time-scales (this study), or multi-annual – decadal timescales (Carracedo et al., in review). Climate change projections predict that the MOC will decrease during the following century (IPCC Report), accompanied by a general warming of the sea surface and subsequent ocean stratification (Stocker et al., 2013) and, ultimately, by a reduction in primary productivity (Behrenfeld et al., 2006). However, the mechanistic understanding of the regional drivers at seasonal to multidecadal timescales, as well as the temporal and spatial coherences, is still work in progress. Even if promising results are now being published on global ocean biogeochemistry models that assimilate both physical and biogeochemical observations (e.g., ECCO-Darwin; Carroll et al., 2020), adding improvement to previous non-assimilation-based models (e.g. Galbraith et al., 2010; Yool et al., 2013; Stock et al., 2014; Aumont et al., 2015), these are still in the evaluation phase. Therefore, observation-based results can be used as benchmark for model evaluation.

In this study we have shown that the DWBC slowdown event in 2009/2010, which was accompanied by horizontally-driven upper nutrient redistribution, actually conveyed an increase in nutrient convergence in the upper MOC limb and nutrient supply to the upper ocean, which ultimately favoured primary production and biological carbon uptake. Therefore, under the ongoing (and projected) scenario of MOC slowdown and increasing ocean stratification, extreme events injecting nutrients to the upper ocean may gain relevance in boosting the biological carbon uptake in the North Atlantic.
Summary and conclusions

This study provided new observational basin-scale meridional nutrient transport estimates across the A05-24.5°N and OVIDE sections for the 2004 and 2010 cruises. Both sections are characterized by an upper northward (low-oxygen and low-nutrient) MOC branch carrying nutrients and oxygen to the North Atlantic, and a lower (well-oxygenated and nutrient-rich) branch advecting oxygen and nutrients back to the South Atlantic. As result, this overturning pattern drives a net north-to-south meridional transport of nutrients and oxygen, so that the North Atlantic ventilates the South Atlantic and provides it with nutrients.

Lateral advection, and this upper/lower limb overturning circulation pattern, was shown as a key mechanism involved in the meridional flux of nutrients, with the Gulf Stream and its extension downstream, the North Atlantic Current, corroborated as the main advective path for the northward transfer of nutrients from low to high latitudes in the upper MOC limb; and the Deep Western Boundary Current identified as the main ‘deep nutrient stream’ by the lower limb, redistributing these nutrients southwards. Although volume transport variations dominated the observed changes in the nutrient transports in most of the regions, we showed that the nutrient transport by Gulf Stream, particularly at subtropical latitudes, was also greatly influenced by changes in nutrient concentrations, which counteracted opposing changes in volume transport in 2010.

We highlighted in this study the relevance of assessing the varying role the upper and lower MOC limbs play in the transport of oxygen and nutrients, and more importantly the imbalance between both limbs, to better understand the magnitude and variability of the total water-column nutrient inventories.

First assuming steady-state, we estimated the inorganic nutrient budgets in the North Atlantic. Under this assumption, the convergence of nutrients in the upper MOC limb is balanced by net biological consumption, likewise net divergence of nutrients in the lower MOC limb is balanced by net biological regeneration, both consistent with a downward particle flux by the BCP within the region. However, our results showed higher-than-in-situ (silicate) remineralization rates in the lower MOC limb, so we suggest that the steady-state assumption may be compromised over the observational period. Even if external...
nutrient sources (e.g., atmospheric input, river runoff) may become a more relevant input as anthropogenic forcing continues (e.g. by ice sheet melting, or increasing atmospheric dust supply), they still comprise a smaller magnitude term compared to lateral advection (particularly for silicate), so that circulation changing patterns are likely to dominate nutrient budget variability.

Despite the in situ observation-based nutrient budget estimates remain largely uncertain, a degree of interannual variability can be depicted, especially when paying attention to the split contribution to the upper and lower MOC limbs. As illustration, in 2010 we found a significant enhanced northward transport of more nutrient-rich waters by the upper MOC limb linked to a heave of isopycnals, which favoured an (immediate) biological response (enhanced nutrient -nitrate and phosphate- consumption) in the upper ocean between 26.5-40°N. As result, the water-column integrated nitrate and phosphate budgets in 2010 showed significant net biological production, pointing to the region as being autotrophic, and evidencing that extreme events in the atmospheric forcing, and subsequent ocean dynamics reorganization, are capable of driving (boosting) biological CO$_2$ uptake.

In summary, we showed that the de facto steady-state assumption may not be the best representation of the biogeochemical budgets, which may actually be responding on interannual time scales to circulation changes with either accumulation/depletion of the nutrient inventories in response to an excess of nutrient convergence/divergence. However, the large uncertainties associated with the nutrient sources, transports and budgets preclude an irrefutable conclusion. Therefore, we strongly encourage further research to be directed in better resolving the feedbacks between the changes in global circulation patterns and their impact on carbon and nutrient inventories in the ocean, as well as to better quantify the magnitude and variability of the external nutrient sources.

Acknowledgments, Samples, and Data

This study is a contribution to OVIDE (co-funded by the IFREMER, CNRS/INSU/LEFE), AtlantOS (EU Horizon 2020 grant No 516 633211), CLASS (NERC National Capability Science Single Centre awards), and ABC-Fluxes (NERC-funded grant No NE/M005046/1) projects. This study was supported by the TRIATLAS project, which has received funding from the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation
programme under grant agreement No 817578. L.I.C. was first supported by the
University of Vigo through the Galician I2C Plan for postdoctoral research, afterwards
funded by NERC within the framework of the ABC-Fluxes Project. H.M. was financed by
CNRS; E.M., R.S. and P.B. by NERC and NORCE; G.R. by the University of Vigo; S.T.
by AWI; C.M.M. by the NERC; P.L. by IFREMER; and F.F.P. by the BOCATS2 Project
(PID2019-104279GB-C21) co-funded by the Spanish Government and the FEDER, and
by the project COMFORT funded from the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and
innovation program under grant agreement No 820989. The authors are grateful to the
captains, crew, technicians and scientists who contributed to the acquisition, processing,
and quality control of the hydrographic data used in this study. We particularly thank P.
Zunino for her valuable contribution to the OVIDE data interpolation. We also thank the
programs that made cruise data available: GO-SHIP (www.go-ship.org), CLIVAR
(www.clivar.org) and CCHDO (cchdo.ucsd.edu). Florida Current absolute transports are
also available online (www.aoml.noaa.gov/phod/floridacurrent), and well as Florida
Straits repeated hydrography (ftp://ftp.aoml.noaa.gov/phod/pub/WBTS/WaltonSmith/).

References

fluxes and net budgets in the subpolar and temperate North Atlantic. Journal of Marine Research,
60, 191–226. https://doi.org/10.1357/00222400260497462

inorganic carbon in the subpolar and temperate North Atlantic. Global Biogeochemical Cycles,
17(1), 1002. https://doi.org/10.1029/2002GB001881

https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JC002015

CNEXO, 395p.


A Cross-calibrated, Multiplatform Ocean Surface Wind Velocity Product for Meteorological and
https://doi.org/10.1175/2010BAMS2946.1


North Atlantic Ocean mean circulation in the early 21st century. Progress in Oceanography, 146,
142–158. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2016.06.007
oligotrophic area in the subtropical North Atlantic? Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans,
120(6), 3958–3969. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JC010787
920 Dee, D. P., Uppala, S. M., Simmons, A. J., Berrisford, P., Poli, P., Kobayashi, S., et al. (2011). The ERA-
Interim reanalysis: configuration and performance of the data assimilation system. Quarterly
overturning circulation across the A25-Ovide section: Decadal variability of meridional overturning
https://doi.org/10.1029/2012JC008342
927 DeVries, T., Holzer, M., & Primeau, F. (2017). Recent increase in oceanic carbon uptake driven by
https://doi.org/10.1038/nature21068
https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1150369
of natural riverine silica inputs to the coastal zone. Biogeosciences, 8(3), 597–620.
https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-8-597-2011
936 Emerson, S., Mecking, S., & Abell, J. (2001). The biological pump in the subtropical North Pacific Ocean:
Nutrient sources, Redfield ratios, and recent changes. Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 15(3),
939 Falkowski, P. G., Barber, R. T., & Smetacek, V. (1998). Biogeochemical Controls and Feedbacks on
https://doi.org/10.1126/science.281.5374.200
https://doi.org/10.1038/srep26931
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2019.02.004
948 Fratantoni, P. S., & McCartney, M. S. (2010). Freshwater export from the Labrador Current to the North
Atlantic Current at the Tail of the Grand Banks of Newfoundland. Deep Sea Research Part I:
Irminger Sea deep convection injects oxygen and anthropogenic carbon to the ocean interior.
Nature Communications, 7, 13244. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms13244
https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-7-1043-2010
production during the World Ocean Circulation Experiment. Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 16(4),
and Oceanic Technology, 20(11), 1641–1655.


