Quantifying Cooperation Benefits for New Dams in Transboundary Water Systems Without Formal Operating Rules
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New dams impact downstream ecosystems and water infrastructure; without cooperative and adaptive management, negative impacts can manifest. In large complex transboundary river basins without well codified operating rules and extensive historical data, it can be difficult to assess the benefits of cooperating, in particular in relation to new dams. This constitutes a barrier to harmonious development of river basins and could contribute to water conflict. This study proposes a generalised framework to assess the benefits of cooperation on the management of new dams in water resource systems that do not have formal sharing arrangements. Benefits are estimated via multi-criteria comparison of historical reservoir operations (usually relatively uncooperative) vs. adopting new cooperative rules which would achieve the best results for riparian countries as evaluated by a water resources simulator and its performance metrics. The approach is applied to the Pwalugu Multipurpose Dam (PMD), which is being built in Ghana in the Volta river basin. The PMD could impact downstream ecosystems and infrastructure in Ghana and could itself be impacted by how the existing upstream Bagre Dam is managed in Burkina Faso. Results show that with cooperation Ghana and Burkina Faso could both increase energy production although some ecosystem services loss would need to be mitigated. The study confirms that cooperative rules achieve higher overall benefits compared to seeking benefits only for individual dams or countries.
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INTRODUCTION

Growing food, water and energy demand is fuelling the expansion of water resources infrastructure such as dams (Zarfl et al., 2014; Siciliano et al., 2018; Gerlak et al., 2020). New infrastructure can modify a river's flow regime impacting benefits and services provided by existing downstream infrastructure and natural assets like wetlands or floodplains. These often play a central role in
the functioning of aquatic ecosystems and of local communities by providing food and drinking water supply services (Mul et al., 2017). Furthermore, new infrastructure can generate or intensify conflicts between different basin stakeholders, especially in transboundary river basins without cooperative water management arrangements (Sadoff and Grey, 2009; Basheer et al., 2018; Wheeler et al., 2018). In this context, water resource systems models can be used to identify and quantify the potential impacts of new infrastructure and different operating policies of existing and new infrastructure (Loucks, 1992; Thiessen and Loucks, 1992).

Motivated by the need to identify the best possible approaches to share transboundary waters, optimisation models have often been used to filter through the nearly unlimited ways water sharing rules could be structured and parametrised. Different methods have been applied including linear optimisation (e.g., Kucukmehmetoglou and Guldmann, 2004, 2010; Porse et al., 2015), stochastic dynamic programming and stochastic dual dynamic programming (e.g., Tilmant and Kinzelbach, 2012; Tilmant et al., 2012; Arjoon et al., 2014; Kahsay et al., 2019). Kucukmehmetoglou and Guldmann (2004) use a linear optimisation model to identify optimal allocation of water resources in the Euphrates and Tigris river basin considering water demands for irrigation, urban consumption and hydropower generation, where the economic consequences of different strategies with cooperative and non-cooperative scenarios were assessed. Arjoon et al. (2014) use a stochastic dual dynamic programming model to assess the hydro-economic risk of the Grand Ethiopian Renaissance Dam (GERD) in the eastern Nile river basin, where they demonstrated that if the riparian countries (Ethiopia, Sudan, and Egypt) agree to cooperative management in the basin, the GERD would increase the basin-wide benefits, increasing water security in Sudan and Egypt during dry years.

Other scholars have sought to more realistically represent institutional realities and motivations within models. Methods used in this vein include for example agent-based models (e.g., Becker and Easter, 1999; Kilgour and Dinar, 2001; Giuliani and Castelletti, 2013; Yoon et al., 2021) and game theory (e.g., Bennett et al., 1998; Bhaduri and Liebe, 2013; Bhagabati et al., 2014). Giuliani and Castelletti (2013) presented a multiagent decision-analytic framework to model and analyse different levels of cooperation and information exchange among multiple decision makers in the Zambezi river basin. Bhagabati et al. (2014) investigated the net benefits of hydropower developments and water resource utilisation in the Mekong transboundary river basin using a game theory approach where different adaptation strategies that benefit all riparian countries were proposed. A potential advantage of game theory or agent-based methods is their ability to represent more realistic scenarios of non-cooperation and non-centralised management in transboundary systems, involving multiple institutional or stakeholder interests. These methods assume each agent or institution can give priority to their own objectives and the interactions between parties can be identified and described (Madani, 2010; Giuliani and Castelletti, 2013). Optimisation techniques typically assume all parties are willing to cooperate and exchange information to achieve better system-wide outcomes; in practice this can be unrealistic given the political and institutional contexts within transboundary river basins (Giuliani and Castelletti, 2013).

Classical optimization, agent-based and game theory methods may however be difficult to apply when representing large-scale complex systems including non-linear physical and institutional processes. In this case rule-based simulation of water resources systems (Loucks, 1992; Loucks and Van Beek, 2005; Matrosov et al., 2011) can be helpful because they do not require assuming optimising behaviours and they can be applied to large-scale complex real-world non-linear water systems, coupling hydrological complexity with the complexity of human water management rules. Simulation models allow to test and refine management strategies through scenario simulation and can incorporate water management impact information from ecology, economics and stakeholder-informed metrics of performance (Wurbs, 1993; Loucks and Van Beek, 2005; Harou et al., 2009; Voinov et al., 2016). Water resource management simulation models can help improve stakeholder understanding of large-scale and complex systems and thereby contribute to their design, management, and operation (Hall et al., 2019).

In the last decade hybrid simulation-optimisation methods have become popular; this involves simulating a variety of behaviours (without necessarily assuming optimising agents), then linking simulators to external independent search algorithms which help filter through the numerous system design and management options whilst considering the motivation and behaviours of simulated agents. Linking water resource systems simulators to multi-objective evolutionary algorithms (MOEAs) has proven effective at allowing water manager and planners to assess trade-offs among conflicting objectives and explore strategies under multiple plausible future scenarios (e.g., Kasprzyk et al., 2009; Herman et al., 2014; Zeff et al., 2014; Matrosov et al., 2015; Huskova et al., 2016; Giuliani et al., 2018; Wheeler et al., 2018; Geressu and Harou, 2019; Wild et al., 2019). MOEAs use search techniques to approximate a multidimensional Pareto front identifying a non-dominated (“best achievable”) set of intervention strategies (Reed and Kasprzyk, 2009; Maier et al., 2014, 2018). For the different system design and/or management alternatives identified in the Pareto front, an incremental change made to improve one objective will come at the expense of one or more other objectives (i.e., the system has reached a level of system performance where any further improvement will necessarily come at a cost). The resulting multi-objective trade-off analysis can assist stakeholders in managing or planning river system infrastructure and services. Example applications, several of which are in Africa, include (e.g., Huford and Harou, 2014; Smith et al., 2015; Zeff et al., 2016; Geressu et al., 2020; Huford et al., 2020a,b).

To date, there have been relatively few multi-objective trade-off studies in transboundary water systems seeking to assess whether a new development in one country may or may not conflict with benefits in others (Geressu and Harou, 2015; Wheeler et al., 2018). For example, Giuliani et al. (2016a) explored the impact of different climate projections on existing infrastructure in the Red river basin, shared by China and Vietnam. They used many-objective Direct Policy Search
(Giuliani et al., 2014) to identify optimal reservoir operations that offset possible negative impacts on hydropower generation in the basin. Wheeler et al. (2018) presented a framework to evaluate the impact of the GERD in Ethiopia on the downstream infrastructure in the eastern Nile basin. They coupled the Borg MOEA (Hadka and Reed, 2013) with a hydro policy model of the basin to explore different management infrastructure strategies under different levels of cooperation between the riparian countries. Schmitt et al. (2019) proposed a multi-objective optimisation-based framework for planning dams in the transboundary Mekong basin considering trade-offs between sediment and hydropower.

This study builds on the multi-objective water system design literature contributing a multi-objective framework for evaluating impacts of new dams on the performance of existing natural and built transboundary basin systems with poorly codified operating rules. The proposed methodology is divided into three stages. Stage one uses a policy identification approach (Giuliani et al., 2014), based on many-objective direct policy search, to identify historical operating policies for existing infrastructure using observed operational data (observed flow and storage volume); stage two, based on two scenarios, cooperative and historical non-cooperative, identifies optimal operational strategies for new infrastructure; finally, in stage three, a stochastic evaluation of the operating policies identified in stages one and two is conducted. The cooperative scenario assumes transparent coordination between the riparian countries and infrastructure operators through a central water management institution in the basin. The historical non-cooperative scenario omits coordination of historical operations with new infrastructure. The framework is applied to the new Pwalugu Multipurpose Dam (PMD), in Ghana, within the Volta river basin. The new dam is designed to produce hydropower, provide flood protection, food production and employment via a new irrigation scheme. Our application aims to demonstrate how formal synergistic operation of new and existing infrastructure supports local communities’ livelihoods enabling flood recession agriculture (FRA), pond fishing, year-round domestic water supply and grazing grounds for livestock during the dry season (Mul et al., 2017).

The PMD is being implemented in the White Volta sub-basin in the Upper East region of Ghana, downstream of the Bagre Dam in Burkina Faso (Mosello et al., 2017; Baah-Kumi and Ward, 2020). The project is managed by the Volta River Authority (VRA), in collaboration with the Ghana Irrigation Development Authority (GIDA) and funded by the Ghanaian government. The PMD is expected to contribute to the development of Northern Ghana, one of the countries’ poorest regions (Darko et al., 2019). According to Volta River Authority (2018) the PMD has the potential to irrigate 20,000 ha and to produce 176 GWh. Another function of the PMD would be flood protection resulting from intense rainfall events in northern Ghana, exacerbated by spills from the Bagre Dam (Darko et al., 2019).

**Context for the Development of Pwalugu Dam**

The Volta river basin in West Africa is shared between six countries with Ghana and Burkina Faso covering over 80% of the area and where most of the large water infrastructures in the basin are found (Mul et al., 2015). Water resources in the basin provide essential services that support the economies of its riparian communities and wider countries (Mul et al., 2015, 2017). Ecosystem services and water resources infrastructure in the basin facilitate food production, access to renewable and cheap energy via hydropower, flood protection and provision of water for industrial and domestic use (Baah-Kumi and Ward, 2020). In the last two decades Ghana and Burkina Faso have experienced significant economic and population growth putting increased pressure on their water resources. This led the two governments and the transboundary basin agency, the Volta Basin Authority (VBA), to consider developing some new infrastructure to control and increase water availability in the basin as well as provide other benefits such as increased food production via formal irrigation schemes and hydropower. The VBA is a basin-wide institution that, among

**THE VOLTA RIVER BASIN CONTEXT**

**Basin Description**

The Volta river basin (Figure 1) is located in West Africa and is shared by six riparian countries where Ghana and Burkina Faso make up the largest area of 42 and 43%, respectively, while the remaining 15% is distributed between Benin, Côte d’Ivoire, Mali and Togo (Mul et al., 2015). The Volta’s total average annual flow is approximately 40,400 [Mm³ year⁻¹] and making it one of the major rivers of Africa (McCartney et al., 2012). The Volta’s water resources are used for irrigation, hydropower generation, fisheries, domestic, industrial, mining, livestock, and water transport. According to Mul et al. (2015), and Baah-Kumi and Ward (2020) different factors such as high population growth, climate change, floods in wet seasons, and increased economic activity have motivated new infrastructure to regulate flow in the basin. Proposed infrastructure includes single and multi-purpose dams for hydropower, large-scale irrigation schemes and public water supply.

**Figure 1** shows the most relevant built and natural assets (existing and planned) in the basin. Existing built infrastructure includes the Kanozoe, Ziga, Loumbila, Bagre Dams in the White Volta, Kompienga dam in the Oti, Bui dam in the Black Volta, and Akosombo and Kpong dams in the Lower Volta, and associated irrigation schemes. Existing natural assets includes the floodplain downstream of Pwalugu, which floods annually when the White Volta river overflows, distributing water and sediments beyond the riverbanks. The floodplain supports local communities’ livelihoods enabling flood recession agriculture (FRA), pond fishing, year-round domestic water supply and grazing grounds for livestock during the dry season (Mul et al., 2017).

The PMD is being implemented in the White Volta sub-basin in the Upper East region of Ghana, downstream of the Bagre Dam in Burkina Faso (Mosello et al., 2017; Baah-Kumi and Ward, 2020). The project is managed by the Volta River Authority (VRA), in collaboration with the Ghana Irrigation Development Authority (GIDA) and funded by the Ghanaian government. The PMD is expected to contribute to the development of Northern Ghana, one of the countries’ poorest regions (Darko et al., 2019). According to Volta River Authority (2018) the PMD has the potential to irrigate 20,000 ha and to produce 176 GWh. Another function of the PMD would be flood protection resulting from intense rainfall events in northern Ghana, exacerbated by spills from the Bagre Dam (Darko et al., 2019).
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FIGURE 1 | Volta river basin. Location of infrastructure in the six-country river basin.

other mandates, is developing a transboundary cooperative institutional framework to guide future water use in the multi-country basin (Baah-Kumi and Ward, 2020).

The PMD is located on the White Volta sub-basin close to the Pwalugu village in the Upper East region of Ghana, downstream of the Bagre Dam in Burkina Faso (Mosello et al., 2017; Baah-Kumi and Ward, 2020). The main benefit of the dam is considered to be hydropower production, which is aimed at increasing energy connectivity in the northern regions of the country. The dam will also, provide flood protection, food production and employment via a new irrigation scheme. As an energy exporting country, Ghana would benefit from increasing overall hydropower production, which also supplies Burkina Faso. However, while providing these benefits, the PMD could disrupt the current flow regime which allows FRA and pond fishing (Mul et al., 2017). Also, reduced downstream water availability because of increased water storage, evaporation and irrigation water use may reduce hydropower production downstream at Akosombo (Baah-Kumi and Ward, 2020). Furthermore, the PMD itself could be impacted by the operation of the existing Bagre Dam or expansion of the irrigated area upstream in Burkina Faso. Ideally these dams would be operated jointly to maximise the benefits to both countries and to limit negative impacts. However, despite the existence of the transboundary VBA basin-wide agency, current management and development initiatives amongst the riparian countries are relatively uncoordinated (Baah-Kumi and Ward, 2020). For example, historically, more than 80% of the annual inflow into the Bagre Dam is spilt during peaks flow periods (August/September) (Mul et al., 2017; Darko et al., 2019) contributing to flooding in Northern Ghana. Floods in Northern Ghana have led to the deaths of more than 63 people and displaced over 100,000 in
addition to causing widespread damage to farmlands, roads, houses, and bridges (Davies, 2018; IFRC, 2019). According to Baah-Kumi and Ward (2020), Ghana and Burkina Faso have agreed that Burkina Faso will provide 2 weeks’ notice before the start of annual Bagre Dam spillage in late August or early September, allowing Ghana to take mitigation measures to reduce flood damage.

**METHODS AND TOOLS**

The framework presented in this study (Figure 2) is composed of three stages. Stage 1 formulates the planning and management problem and identifies historical operating rules when information about them is not available. The policy identification step calibrates a river basin simulation model representing existing infrastructure to available historical data via many-objective optimisation; in the literature this has also been referred to as “direct policy search” (Giuliani et al., 2014). This identifies operating policies for existing infrastructure that reproduce the system’s historical operation under the observed inflow, release, and storage volume time series. In Stage 2, two scenarios, cooperative and historical non-cooperative, are defined and a robust many-objective direct policy search is implemented to design new infrastructure operating policies for both existing and planned assets. In Stage 3 evaluation of the operational policies over an ensemble of plausible futures and sensitivity analysis are conducted.
The cooperative scenario represents a situation where there is a central water management institution in the basin (as is the case of the Volta river basin) and where the countries and the infrastructure operators agree management strategies of existing and future infrastructure. This scenario also assumes all data is shared (inflows, water demands, water withdrawals, and future developments) across the basin. This assumption is not always met in practice given the political and institutional settings in many transboundary basins (Giuliani and Castelletti, 2013). However, according to Sadoff and Grey (2009) an integration scenario that recognises the opportunities for distribution of benefits and costs, and the different alternatives of cooperation, is a worthy goal of integrated water resources management. The historical non-cooperative scenario assumes there is no cooperation between the riparian countries and infrastructure operators with regards to reservoir operation. Here, the upstream countries maximise their benefits without consideration of the impacts on the downstream countries built or natural assets.

**Volta River Basin Simulation Model**

The river basin simulation uses the Python Water Resources model (Pywr), a Python-based water resources system simulator freely available as an open-source Python library (Tomlinson et al., 2020). Pywr is an optimisation-driven simulator and solves a linear program at every simulation time-step to allocate water to different nodes by minimising allocation penalties. The model can be summarised by a mass balance equation Eq. (1) solved at each node in the network representing incremental catchment inflow and water demands at ecosystem service delivery and infrastructure locations:

\[ S_{t+1,n} = S_{t,n} + q_{t,n} - e_{t,n}(h_{t,n}) C^R \left( \sum_{i} u_{i,n} - sp_{t,n} \right) \forall t, n \]

where, \( S_{t,n} \) is the volume of water stored in the reservoirs at node \( n \), in time-step \( t \); \( u_{i,n} \) represents the water allocation for the water uses \( i \) in the system, namely public water supply (pws), hydropower \( (hp) \), and irrigation schemes \( (is) \). Irrigation demand is defined by the water demand of each crop \( (ct) \) integrating an irrigation scheme whilst \( sp_{t,n} \) denotes spill flows from reservoirs; \( q_{t,n} \) represents the inflows to the nodes and \( e(\cdot)_{t,n} \) represent evaporation, which uses on the water level \( h_{t,n} \) in the reservoir to determine its real-time surface area using a bathymetry curve. \( C^R \) is the network connectivity matrix in the system \([C^R]_{j,k} = 1 \) (−1) where node \( j \) receives water from (to) node \( k \). For releases to consumptive water uses (mainly irrigation uses), the network connectivity matrix tracks possible flows that return to the network as a fraction of the release.

**Figure 3** shows the network schematic of the Volta river basin simulation model, and a screenshot of an online model deployment (Knox et al., 2019) which allows its access by collaborating parties. The model includes the existing infrastructure in the basin and the proposed PMD project (Table 1). Existing built infrastructure in Burkina Faso includes the Kanozoe dam and attached Yako irrigation scheme; the Loumbila dam that provides water to an irrigation scheme and public water supply to Ouagadougou the capital of Burkina Faso; the Ziga dam provides most of the public water supply to Ouagadougou and the Bagre Dam that produces hydropower and provides water to an existing irrigation scheme. Modelled existing infrastructure in Ghana includes the Bui dam and attached irrigation scheme; the Akosombo dam and the Kpong run-of-river hydropower plant and an irrigation scheme associated to Kpong. Also, aggregated public water supply for the Ghana Water Company Limited is modelled downstream of the PMD near the city of Tamale as well as aggregated abstractions from Lake Volta and an abstraction downstream of Kpong for Accra.

Modelled natural assets include FRA and pond fishing, downstream of the PMD. These benefits are made possible by the annual flood that occurs during the rainy season. Floods deposit nutrients that replenish the soil, enabling the practice of FRA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Use</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kanozoe</td>
<td>Built</td>
<td>Storage: 75 Mm³</td>
<td>Irrigation, Fishing</td>
<td>Existing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Irrigation: 5,319 ha</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loumbila</td>
<td>Built</td>
<td>Storage: 50.9 Mm³</td>
<td>Irrigation, Fishing, Public water supply</td>
<td>Existing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ziga</td>
<td>Built</td>
<td>Storage: 470 Mm³</td>
<td>Fishing, Public water supply</td>
<td>Existing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kompienga</td>
<td>Built</td>
<td>Storage: 2,020 Mm³</td>
<td>Hydropower</td>
<td>Existing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bagre</td>
<td>Built</td>
<td>Storage: 2,320 Mm³</td>
<td>Irrigation, Fishing, Hydropower</td>
<td>Existing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akosombo</td>
<td>Built</td>
<td>Storage: 155,500 Mm³</td>
<td>Fishing, Public water supply, Hydropower</td>
<td>Existing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hydropower: 1,020 MW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bui</td>
<td>Built</td>
<td>Storage: 12,700 Mm³</td>
<td>Irrigation, Hydropower</td>
<td>Existing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Planned irrigation: 30,000 ha</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hydropower: 400 MW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kpong</td>
<td>Built</td>
<td>Storage: Run-off-river</td>
<td>Irrigation, Public water supply, Hydropower</td>
<td>Existing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hydropower: 168 MW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMD</td>
<td>Built</td>
<td>Storage: 2,622 Mm³</td>
<td>Irrigation, Fishing, Public water supply, Hydropower</td>
<td>Planned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Irrigation: 20,000 ha</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hydropower: 59 MW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bagre irrigation expansion</td>
<td>Built</td>
<td>Up to 50,000 ha</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Planned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flood plain</td>
<td>Natural</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Flood recession agriculture and pond fishing</td>
<td>Existing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
by the local inhabitants. As the flood recedes, depressions in the banks are left with residual floodwater containing fish, allowing residents to perform pond fishing during an annual ceremony (Mul et al., 2017).

Performance Metrics

Performance metrics quantify the benefits generated by the built and natural assets in the Volta river basin. Metrics include annual and firm hydropower production, irrigation yield, prescribed performance metrics, and natural assets in the Volta river basin. Metrics include annual performance metrics, respectively.

Hydropower Generation

The Volta water model quantifies the average Annual Energy production [GWh year\(^{-1}\)], and the Firm Power at 90% exceedance of each hydropower dam:

\[
HP_{t,n} = \eta g Y_n h_{t,n} u_{t,n} h_{t,n}^{bp} \quad (2)
\]

\[
J_n^{AE} = \max \left[ \frac{\text{mean}}{E} \left( \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} HP_{t,n} \right) \right] \quad (3)
\]

\[
J_n^{FP} = \max \left[ \frac{\text{mean}}{E} \left( \frac{HP_{90,n} P \left( HP_{t,n} = HP_{90,n} \right) = 0.90 \right) \right] \quad (4)
\]

where, HP\(_{t,n}\) is the hydropower generation; \(\eta\) is the turbine efficiency [%] (Akosombo = 0.93, Kompienga = 0.85, Bagre = 0.8, Bui = 0.85, Pwalugu = 0.9 and Kpong = 0.93); \(g\) is the gravitational acceleration constant, 9.8 m s\(^{-2}\); \(Y_n\) is the water density [kg m\(^{-3}\)]; \(h_{t,n}\) is the net hydraulic head [m]; \(T\) is the simulation time horizon and \(E\) represents the hydrological ensemble. Finally, \(J_n^{AE}\) and \(J_n^{FP}\) are the average Annual Energy and Firm Power metrics, respectively.

Irrigation

Benefits of formal irrigation schemes are represented by irrigation yield. Each scheme's irrigation water demand and yield are estimated by using the Crop Water Requirements method proposed by the FAO (Allen et al., 1998):

\[
CWR_{t,(c\in n)} = \max \left( 0, K_{ct,(c\in n)} \left( ETo_{t,(c\in n)} - R_{t,n} \right) A_{t,(c\in n)} \right) \quad (5)
\]

\[
IWR_{t,n} = \sum_{c\in n} \frac{CWR_{t,(c\in n)}}{\alpha_{ct} \beta_{ct}} \quad (6)
\]

\[
CR_{t,n} = \frac{u_{f,n}^{ct}}{IWR_{t,n}} \quad (7)
\]

\[
J_n^{Y} = \frac{\text{mean}}{E} \left( \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} CR_{t,n} \left( A_{t,n} Y_n \right) \right) \quad (8)
\]

where, CWR\(_{t,(c\in n)}\) is the crop water requirement per node (irrigation scheme) and per month \((m \in t)\). \(K_{ct,(c\in n)}\), \(ETo_{t,(c\in n)}\), and \(R_{t,n}\) are the monthly crop water coefficient, effective evapotranspiration [mm day\(^{-1}\)] per crop and effective precipitation [mm day\(^{-1}\)], obtained from Sadick et al. (2015), respectively. \(A_{t,(c\in n)}\) is the area [ha] reserved for each crop type. \(IWR_{t,n}\) is the monthly irrigation water requirement per irrigation scheme, \(\alpha_{ct}\) and \(\beta_{ct}\) are the overall irrigation and conveyance efficiencies, assumed to be 0.8 and 0.7, respectively. \(CR_{t,n}\) is a curtailment ratio, \(Y_n\) is the annual yield [ton/ha] per irrigation scheme. Finally, \(J_n^{Y}\) is the average irrigation yield metric per irrigation scheme.

Environmental Flow

The environmental flow was calculated as a minimum flow that is exceeded 95% of the time according to PMD feasibility study (Volta River Authority, 2018), Eq. (9).

\[
J_n^{EE} = \max \left[ \frac{\text{mean}}{E} \left( q_{95,n} P \left( q_{t,n} \leq q_{95,n} = 0.95 \right) \right) \right] \quad (9)
\]

where, \(q_{t,n}\) is the flow downstream [Mm\(^3\) day\(^{-1}\)] of the PMD and \(J_n^{EE}\) is the environmental flow metric, maximised in the policy identification process under the hydrological ensemble \(E\).

Flood Recession Agriculture

Flood recession agriculture is dependent on the seasonal flooding of the floodplain during the peak of the rainy season (July–September). The magnitude of the annual peak (August or September) determines the total area sown by locals each year (Balana et al., 2015). Low flood peaks do not result in the river overflowing the banks, preventing FRA activities. Once the flooding threshold is breached, the flooded area increases with increasing flood peak. Extreme floods can negatively affect FRA by removing the fertile topsoil. Therefore, the area suitable for FRA reduces to zero for extreme flows (95% exceedance probability).

\[
q_{n}^{FRA} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t} \max \left( q_{(Aug)t,n}, q_{(Sep)t,n} \right) \quad (10)
\]

\[
Y_n = A_n (q_{n}^{FRA})_{FRA} C_{Y} \quad (11)
\]

\[
J_n^{FRA} = \frac{\text{mean}}{E} \left( \beta_{FRA} \times Y_n \right) \quad (12)
\]

where, \(q_{n}^{FRA}\) is the average annual flow in August or September during the simulation horizon; \(l_{t,n}\) is the flow in August and September; \(A_n (\cdot)\) is the flooded area [ha]; \(J_n^{FRA}\) is a suitability factor (Balana et al., 2015); \(C_{Y}\) is the crop yield [ton ha\(^{-1}\)] assuming a typical FRA crop mix of maize, beans, Bambara beans, soya, millet and groundnuts (Sidibé et al., 2016); \(Y_n\) is the total FRA yield [ton year\(^{-1}\)]; \(\beta_{FRA}\) is the average regional market price of the crops at $1,222 ton\(^{-1}\) (Pettinotti, 2017). Finally, \(J_n^{FRA}\) is the benefit of the FRA activity.

Policy Identification

To evaluate the impact of new infrastructure operation it is necessary to identify and simulate the historical operational strategy of the existing infrastructure in the basin. Here, we use an explicit policy identification approach (Khadem et al., 2020),
which requires a historical time series to estimate the operating rules of the existing infrastructure. The policy identification uses many-objective direct policy search to approximate operating rules of existing infrastructure using quantitative statistics metrics as objectives (Moriasi et al., 2007).

Operating Rules

We employed Gaussian radial basis functions (RBF) to model system operating rules. RBFs have shown good performance representing functions for a large class of problems, including reservoir storage and time into release decisions (Giuliani et al., 2014, 2016b; Zatarain Salazar et al., 2017; Geressu and Harou, 2019). The Gaussian RBF is defined by Eq. (13).

$$\psi (x) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} w_i \times \exp \left[-\sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{(x_j - c_{j,i})^2}{b_{j,i}^2} \right]$$

(13)

where \( m = 2 \) is the number of input variables \( x \) (namely time and reservoir level); \( l \) is the number of RBFs (\( l = 4 \)); \( w_i \) is the weight of the \( i \)th RBF \( (q_i) \); \( c_{j,i} \) and \( b_{j,i} \) are the \( m \)-dimensional centres and radius vectors of the \( i \)th RBF, respectively. The centres and radius include: \( c_{j,i} \in [-1, 1] \) \( b_{j,i} \in [0, 1] \) \( w_j \in [0, 1] \) \( \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_j = 1 \). The parameter vector \( \theta \) is defined as \( \theta = [c_{j,i}, b_{j,i}, w_j] \). For more details we refer the reader to Giuliani et al. (2014).

Historical Many-Objective Optimisation

Similar to Giuliani et al. (2016a) this study applies direct policy search, where the operating policy is parameterised using Gaussian RBF and then the parameters of the RBF are optimised using many-objective optimisation using the system’s performance metrics (section “Performance Metrics”) as objectives. In this work, in addition to optimising the operating policy parameters to maximise the system’s metrics, we used the Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency metric to calibrate the operating policy parameters such that they reproduce observed reservoir volume time-series. Using observed historical data, we attempt to identify historical operating policies:

$$F(\theta_n) = (f_{AE}^n, f_{FP}^n, f_{NS}^n) n$$

Bagre, Bui, Kompienga, and Akosombo

(14)

where, \( f_{NS}^n \) is the Nash-Sutcliffe objective; \( \theta_n \) is the vector of decision variables which are the parameters for the reservoir operating rules. The objective function \( F(\cdot) \) vector is obtained by simulating the Volta river basin simulation model over the horizon \( T \) and the historical hydrologic scenario under the set of operating rules \( (\theta_n) \) defined by the search algorithm.

Policy Optimisation

Historical Non-cooperative Scenario

The historical non-cooperative scenario is based on the Policy identification stage, where operating rules for existing dams were identified under historical hydrological conditions. This scenario assumes business as usual with dam operators focussing maximising their own benefits. In this scenario, the PMD maximises its benefits without consideration of the impacts...
Eq. (15) present the objective vector to be optimised:

$$\mathbf{F}(\theta_n) = (f_n^{AE}, f_n^{FP}, f_n^Y, f_n^{EF}, q_n^{FRA})_n = PMD$$

(15)

where, $\theta_n$ is the vector of decision variables which are the parameters of the PMD operating rules. The objective function $\mathbf{F}(\cdot)$ vector is obtained by simulating the Volta river basin simulation model over the horizon $T$ and the hydrological ensemble $\Xi$ under the set of operating rules ($\theta_n$) defined by the search algorithm.

Cooperative Scenario

This scenario assumes practical coordination and collaboration amongst the riparian countries and infrastructure operators, where institutional integration allows revising and possibly modifying the operation of existing dams to maximise basin-wide benefits. For the analysis, we only considered the Bagre and Akosombo dams because these two dams are upstream and downstream, respectively, of the PMD. The operation for the Bui and the Kompienga dams is considered static and uses operating rules identified in Stage 1. Eq. (16) present the objective vector to be optimised.

$$\mathbf{F}(\theta_n) = (f_n^{AE}, f_n^{FP}, f_n^Y, f_n^{EF}, q_n^{FRA})_n = Bagre, PMD, and Akosombo$$

(16)

where, $\theta_n$ is the vector of decision variables of the operating rules for the Bagre, PMD, and Akosombo reservoirs. Note, that the cooperative scenario formulation only includes the objectives $f_n^Y, f_n^{EF}$, and $q_n^{FRA}$ for the PMD, whilst the $f_n^{AE}$ and $f_n^{FP}$ objectives are calculated for the Bagre, PMD and Akosombo reservoirs. Similar to the historical non-cooperative scenario, the objective function $\mathbf{F}(\cdot)$ vector is obtained by simulating the Volta river basin simulation model over the horizon $T$ and the hydrological ensemble $\Xi$ under the set of operating rules ($\theta_n$) defined by the search algorithm.

Hydrological Inputs

The Volta river basin simulation model requires historical inflow time-series and possible future hydrological scenarios to perform the Policy identification and the Policy optimisation, respectively. The historical inflow scenario is used to simulate and identify the historical system operation. Meanwhile, the possible future scenarios are used to evaluate the alternative policies over a wide range of hydrological conditions.

For the historical inflow scenario, given the lack of long-term observational flow data at all upstream reservoir locations, we used a reconstruction of the monthly historical naturalised river flows in the basin derived from a hydrological model, following Lin et al. (2019). We used the incremental flows at each dam location to represent the inflows to the reservoirs. Additionally, this historical scenario was used to generate a stochastic ensemble ($\Xi$) of hydrologic realisations for each reservoir located in the basin. We used the synthetic streamflow generation method proposed by Kirsch et al. (2013), which relies on Cholesky decomposition to maintain autocorrelation, and a bootstrap resampling technique to preserve multisite correlation (Herman et al., 2016).

Computational Experiment

For the Policy identification and the Policy optimisation we linked the Volta river basin simulation model to the Borg MOEA (Hadka and Reed, 2013) algorithm to solve the many-objective optimisation problem. This identifies the Pareto-approximate ("best achievable for any combination of priorities between objectives") set of reservoir system operating rules and quantifies the trade-offs between performance objectives that they imply. Borg has been shown to successfully handle complex, non-linear, and non-concave problems when searching for non-dominated solutions (Hadka and Reed, 2012; Zatarain Salazar et al., 2016). We used the default algorithm parametrisation, with an initial population of 100 individuals. Additionally, we set epsilon values equal to 1.0 for annual energy production, 0.1 for firm power, 0.05 for irrigation yield, 0.05 for environmental flow and 0.05 for FRA.

The Volta basin simulation model runs on a weekly time step ($t$) over a 20-year time horizon ($T = 20$ years) for both the historical and 30-member future ensemble ($\Xi$). The Policy identification and the Policy optimisation were run for 10 random seeds where each seed was run over 400,000 function evaluations (i.e., 4,000,000 simulations for the hydrological ensemble). The final Pareto-approximate curve is obtained as the set of non-dominated solutions from the combined results of all optimisations.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

First Stage: Historical Operation Identification

We use historical observed reservoir storage time series to identify the historical policy operating of existing infrastructure. Daily data were available for the Akosombo reservoir (01/01/1991 to 12/31/2010) and monthly data for the Bagre reservoir (01/01/2000 to 12/31/2010). In addition to the Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency objectives used to identify the reservoir operation for Akosombo and Bagre, we use system performance metrics (see section “Performance Metrics”) to identify the operation for the reservoirs Bui and Kompienga, where historical time series were not available.

Figure 4 shows the historical observed versus the simulated volume for the Akosombo and Bagre reservoirs. The operating policy for the existing reservoirs was selected based on the maximum values for the Nash-Sutcliffe metric obtained in the optimisation process, 0.75 and 0.63 for the Akosombo...
and Bagre Nash-Sutcliffe metrics, respectively. According to Moriasi et al. (2007), these results are classified as good and satisfactory, respectively. In general, the historical operating policy can reproduce the seasonality of both reservoirs. The storage volume is reduced every year almost to the minimum operating level in July and reservoirs fill in the wet season. However, in some years modelled storage is overestimated or underestimated and in the case of the Bagre reservoir, modelled storage reaches lower troughs as compared to the observed data. This is because the inflow time-series used by the simulation model are taken from the hydrological model and are subject to errors in their year-to-year variability.

**Second Stage: Design and Adaptation of System Infrastructure Operation Policies**

In the second phase of the method, we identify Pareto-approximate PMD reservoir release operating rules under two scenarios, historical non-cooperative and cooperative, where, in the non-cooperative, the Bagre and the Akosombo operating policies are held constant. Whilst in the cooperative we allowed Bagre and Akosombo operating policies to adapt to the new built infrastructure (the PMD) to maximise overall basin benefits. Results of the historical non-cooperative and cooperative scenarios (Figure 5) are visualised using a parallel plot (Inselberg, 1997). In Figure 5, each vertical axis represents an objective in the optimisation formulation whilst each coloured line represents a non-dominated operating policy portfolio for each new and existing dam. That point at which each coloured line intersects each respective vertical axis represents the performance of that policy in that objective. An ideal solution in the figure would lead to a straight horizontal line that intersects every axis at the top. Crossing lines between axes indicate trade-offs between two adjacent objectives. The “Ghana Average Energy” axis represents a non-optimised metric which is the sum of the Pwalugu and Akosombo average energy objectives. This metric is visualised in the figure to show the impacts of the upstream reservoirs’ operation (Bagre and Pwalugu) on the overall energy production in Ghana.

The full set of non-dominated portfolios for the historical non-cooperative and cooperative scenarios are presented in light blue and light red, respectively. We selected two policy portfolios from the historical non-cooperative scenario (solid and dashed line in blue) to evaluate in detail. The portfolio represented by the solid blue line achieves the highest PMD average energy 197.2 [GWh year⁻¹] in the set of historical non-cooperative portfolios, whilst at the same time the flow (at 95% exceedance) downstream of the PMD is greater than the minimum environmental flow (0.18 Mm³ day⁻¹) requirement (Volta River Authority, 2018). The dashed line corresponds to an operating policy that achieves the highest PMD firm power 13.5 [MW]. Both operating policies, however, fall in the lower range of FRA benefits generating 9.3 [$M year⁻¹] and 6.3 [$M year⁻¹] for the portfolios represented by the solid and dashed lines, respectively. As under in the historical non-cooperative scenario we employed static operating policies for the Bagre and Akosombo dams (identified in the Policy identification stage), their range of performance is small across all Pareto-approximate policies.
Cooperation between the two riparian countries is more likely to occur if both countries receive a net benefit as a result of the cooperation (Sadoff and Grey, 2009; Jeuland et al., 2017). The solutions for the cooperative scenario are filtered such that those that only increase energy generation from Bagre in Burkina Faso 51.1 [GWh year\(^{-1}\)], and energy generation in Ghana 4151.3 [GWh year\(^{-1}\)] as compared to the historical non-cooperative scenario are seen in bold colours. We also filter out all policies that result in flows downstream of the PMD that are greater than 0.18 [Mm\(^3\) day\(^{-1}\)], the minimum environmental flow identified in Volta River Authority (2018). Amongst the remaining cooperative solutions, we select two the “Built Infrastructure portfolio” represented by the solid black line maximises Ghana Average energy (producing 4490.7 [GWh year\(^{-1}\)]) whilst the “compromise portfolio” represented by the dashed black line maximises ecosystem services in Northern Ghana by maximising FRA benefits (generating 22.5 [$M year\(^{-1}\)$]).

Figure 5 shows how that energy generation and firm power from the Akosombo dam may be impacted if the historical operating policies of existing dams are not adapted to the new flow regime resulting from PMD development (blue lines). The filtered cooperative portfolios (red lines) show that implementing cooperative operating policies results in Akosombo hydropower benefits (average energy and firm power) being less negatively impacted than if non-cooperative policies were implemented. In addition, hydropower benefits at Bagre can be increased, and environmental flows downstream of the PMD can be maintained.

According to Baah-Kumi and Ward (2020), the PMD development may impact Akosombo operation because the inflows to Lake Volta could be reduced due to increasing water storage, water demands and evaporation upstream of Lake Volta. Our analysis confirms this conclusion; however, Figure 5 shows that the negative impact of Akosombo could be greater without the coordinated infrastructure management. For example, Akosombo average energy is reduced by 11.5% from the energy generated historically (black star in Figure 5), in the selected non-cooperative portfolio (solid blue line). Whilst under the cooperative portfolio, which maximises hydropower benefits (solid black line) the FRA benefits are reduced by 69.4%. However, note that under the compromise operating policy (dashed black line), the FRA benefits could be reduced only by 10.7%.
Third Stage: Implications for Infrastructure Operation on the Volta River Basin

To investigate the possible range of benefits generated in the Volta basin with the identified operating policies we performed a sensitivity analysis by simulating the system over 100 hydrological scenarios. Policies considered in this analysis include the selected cooperative and non-cooperative policies as well as those in the entire cooperative filtered set in Figure 5.

Figure 6 shows the exceedance curves for the different metrics and different operating policies. Figures 6A,B visualize the PMD hydropower metrics while Figures 6C,D show metrics related to the new irrigation scheme and the impact to the environmental services – FRA – downstream of the PMD at Pwalugu in the
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**FIGURE 6 |** Probability of exceedance curves for different metrics in the system. Panel (A) shows the Pwalugu Average energy, panel (B) shows the Pwalugu Firm Power, panel (C) shows the Pwalugu Irrigation Yield, panel (D) shows the Pwalugu Flood Recession Agriculture (FRA), panel (E) shows the Bagre Average energy, and panel (F) shows the Akosombo Average energy. The PMD average energy and firm power design (Volta River Authority, 2018) is displayed in panels (A,B), respectively.
Upper East Region of Ghana. Finally, Figures 6C,F show the average energy produced at Bagre and Akosombo, respectively. In general, the exceedance curves show that the operating policies identified by the cooperative scenario perform better according to almost all metrics for all hydrological scenarios. The selected cooperative policy that maximises Ghana Average energy (solid black line in Figure 6) shows that the Pwalugu average energy and firm power (Figures 6A,B) is 162.7 [GWh year\(^{-1}\)] and 5.1 [MW] at 90% of exceedance, respectively. Note that the PMD was designed to produce 176 [GWh year\(^{-1}\)] with a firm power of 16 [MW]. According to our results the designed annual energy is achieved at only 77% exceedance under the same cooperative policy (Figure 6A).

Figures 6C,D, show that a trade-off exists between irrigation yield and FRA downstream the PMD. Policies that result in higher benefits in FRA in Pwalugu result in lower irrigation yields from the formal irrigation scheme associated with the PMD. For example, if the selected cooperative policies (solid and dashed black lines) are compared, the formal irrigation yield under the cooperative policy that maximises Ghana Average energy is 596.0 [kton year\(^{-1}\)] at 90% of exceedance whilst the FRA benefit is 8.7 [SM year\(^{-1}\)]. However, under the portfolio that maximises the FRA benefits, the formal irrigation yield is reduced to 526.9 [kton year\(^{-1}\)] and the FRA benefits increase to 21.6 [SM year\(^{-1}\)]. The flood recession ecosystem services benefits occur downstream of the PMD in the floodplains. The irrigation system abstracts water downstream of the PMD hydropower releases. These abstractions result in smaller downstream floods and lower overall flood recession benefits, implying there is direct competition between formal and informal irrigation. Figures 6E,F show the Bagre and the Akosombo average energy production in the cooperative scenario outperform the energy
production in the historical non-cooperative scenario under all hydrologic scenarios.

Figure 7 shows five-operation-years for the Bagre, Pwalugu, and Akosombo reservoirs, for the operating policy represented by the black and blue solid lines under the hydrological scenarios. Figures 7A,C,E show the mean value under the scenarios and the standard deviation (light blue and grey area in Figure 7) for the simulated reservoir volume in Bagre, Pwalugu, and Akosombo, respectively. While Figures 7B,D,F show the same information but for the simulated energy production for the Bagre, Pwalugu, and Akosombo dams.

According to Figures 7A,E, the mean volume for Akosombo and Bagre follow a similar seasonal operating pattern as compared to the historical operation of both reservoirs (see section “First Stage: Historical Operation Identification”), where reservoir storages reduce to lower volumes in the dry season and fill in the wet season. However, building and operating the PMD under the selected cooperative policy (black line) results in lower volumes in Akosombo in all seasons compared to the historical operation without the PMD development (see Figure 4). This results from the increase in upstream storage, water consumption, and evaporation and the increased hydropower generation in peak flow periods (see Figure 7F) to maintain historical energy generation levels. The Pwalugu reservoir operation follows a similar seasonal pattern to the Bagre reservoir, where the storage volume is reduced every year almost to the minimum operating level in July and reservoirs fill in the wet season. However, this operation for Pwalugu is more pronounced (lower reservoir volumes) in the cooperative scenario. This could potentially reduce flood peaks downstream of the PMD by increasing Pwalugu’s capacity to buffer possible spills from Bagre protecting from floods in Northern Ghana. However reducing the flood peak would also reduce the ecosystem-services derived from this flood (i.e., FRA) (see Figure 7D) and (Figure 6D), as well as increase risks that the dam is not able to refill in some years.

Under the cooperative policy (black line), on average Bagre operates at a lower volume and increases its hydropower generation during peak flow periods, as compared to the historical non-cooperative scenario (see Figures 7A,B). This added to steady Pwalugu hydropower releases (see Figure 7D) increases the water availability downstream minimising the negative impacts on the Akosombo energy production, as compared to the non-cooperative scenario. However, increasing energy production in Bagre during peak flows reduces its ability to generate hydropower during off-peak flows periods (Figure 7B). This could possibly increase energy import dependency in Burkina Faso during these periods despite total annual generation by Bagre being greater in the cooperative scenario. This possible negative impact for Burkina Faso could be offset by increasing energy trades between Ghana and Burkina Faso during those periods.

**Future Work and Limitations**

The analysis presented in this paper considers hydrologic uncertainty within the robust optimisation. The stochastic ensemble used in the optimisation process is based on the historical flow regime in the basin. Climate change may modify this flow regime and could impact the long-term robustness of the operating policies identified in the study. Future studies could apply the proposed framework under climate change uncertainty to identify cooperative water strategies that are robust to climate change and support long-term sustainable water resources management. Another potential direction for future research could be to explore the impacts of political or economic uncertainty or the consequences of deviation from operating agreements by each party via optimisation or sensitivity analysis.

**CONCLUSION**

This paper explores the multiple potential impacts of the proposed Pwalugu Multipurpose Dam (PMD) could have on existing infrastructure and water services of the Volta river basin. We presented a novel framework that links river basin simulation to many-objective search to approximate existing operating rules and design new ones in the presence of complex multiactor trade-offs. The approach identifies benefits of collaborative management in systems where current river management is not codified in formal regulations, as is the case in many multi-region river basins in the global south. We evaluated the possible impacts that new development could impose on ecosystem services and downstream infrastructure and how the PMD itself could be impacted by different operating strategies of the existing upstream Bagre Dam in Burkina Faso under two alternative scenarios assuming cooperation and non-cooperation.

Results indicate that the PMD has the potential to reduce inflows into the Akosombo dam. This would likely have a negative impact on hydropower generation from Akosombo, with up to 11.5% reduction in energy generation compared to the case without the PMD. However, if cooperative infrastructure operation is adopted, the impact could be reduced by only 3.6%, and result in a positive net energy production of 0.74% in Ghana overall and a 6.2% increase for Bagre Dam hydropower production in Burkina Faso. Environmental services in Northern Ghana may also be impacted in a non-cooperative operation scenario decreasing floods downstream of the PMD and possibly reducing benefits to local communities that depend directly on flood recession activities. Under a cooperative scenario, the Bagre reservoir could increase hydropower releases during peak flow periods increasing its total net annual generation. This operative strategy could increase water availability downstream of the PMD minimising the negative impacts on Akosombo energy production, resulting in net positive energy production in Ghana even after constructing the PMD. However, such a strategy could reduce Bagre energy generation during low flow periods increasing Burkina Faso’s dependence on energy imports from Ghana during the dry season, despite the higher overall total annual generation. Results showed there is room for riparian countries to negotiate cooperative strategies to offset possible negative impacts generated by the new PMD. The PMD provides an opportunity for the VBA to
implement cooperative operational water management strategies in the basin.
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