

Martin-Almedina *et al.*

## **Janus-faced *EPHB4*-associated disorders – novel pathogenic variants and unreported intrafamilial overlapping phenotypes**

Silvia Martin-Almedina PhD<sup>1</sup>, Kazim Ogmen PhD<sup>1\*</sup>, Ege Sackey PhD<sup>1\*</sup>, Dionysios Grigoriadis MS<sup>1</sup>, Christina Karapoulidou PhD<sup>1</sup>, Noeline Nadarajah MS<sup>1</sup>, Cathrine Ebbing MD<sup>2</sup>, Jenny Lord PhD<sup>3</sup>, Rhiannon Mellis MD<sup>4,5</sup>, Fanny Kortuem MD<sup>6</sup>, Mary Beth Dinulos MD<sup>7,8</sup>, Cassandra Polun MS, LCGC<sup>9</sup>, Sherri Bale PhD<sup>10</sup>, Giles Atton MD<sup>1</sup>, Alexandra Robinson MD<sup>1,11</sup>, Hallvard Reigstad MD<sup>12</sup>, Gunnar Houge MD<sup>13</sup>, Axel von der Wense MD<sup>14</sup>, Wolf-Henning Becker MD<sup>15</sup>, Steve Jeffery PhD<sup>1</sup>, Peter S Mortimer MD<sup>1,16</sup>, Kristiana Gordon MD<sup>1,16</sup>, Katherine S Josephs MD<sup>1,17</sup>, Sarah Robart MD<sup>4</sup>, Mark D Kilby MD<sup>18,19</sup>, Stephanie Vallee MD<sup>7</sup>, Jerome L Gorski MD<sup>9</sup>, Maja Hempel MD<sup>6</sup>, Siren Berland MD<sup>13</sup>, Sahar Mansour MD<sup>1,17‡</sup>, Pia Ostergaard PhD<sup>1‡</sup>.

### **Affiliations:**

1. Molecular and Clinical Sciences Institute, St George's University of London, London, UK
2. Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway
3. Wellcome Sanger Institute, Hinxton, UK
4. North Thames Genomic Laboratory Hub, Great Ormond Street Hospital for Children NHS Foundation Trust
5. UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health. Institute of Human Genetics, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany
6. Institute of Human Genetics, University Medical Center Hamburg Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany
7. Dartmouth-Hitchcock Medical Center, Department of Pediatrics – Section of Genetics and Child Development, Lebanon, NH 03756
8. Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth College
9. The Department of Child Health, University of Missouri School of Medicine, USA
10. GeneDx, 207 Perry Parkway, Gaithersburg, Maryland, USA

Martin-Almedina *et al.*

11. University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust, Bristol
12. Neonatal intensive care unit, Children's Department, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway
13. Department of Medical Genetics, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway
14. Department of Neonatology and Paediatric Intensive Care, Altona Children's Hospital, Hamburg, Germany
15. Elbe Center for Prenatal Medicine, Hamburg, Germany
16. Dermatology & Lymphovascular Medicine, St George's Universities NHS Foundation trust, London, UK.
17. South West Thames Regional Genetics Service, St George's NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK
18. The Institute of Metabolism & Systems Research, College of Medical & Dental Sciences, University of Birmingham, UK.
19. West Midlands Fetal Medicine Centre, Birmingham Women's & Children's Foundation Trust, Birmingham, UK

\*These two authors contributed equally

‡Clinical correspondence to Sahar Mansour, [smansour@sgul.ac.uk](mailto:smansour@sgul.ac.uk), phone: +44(0)208720955 and research-related correspondence to Pia Ostergaard, [posterga@sgul.ac.uk](mailto:posterga@sgul.ac.uk), phone: +44(0)2087250192.

Martin-Almedina *et al.*

### Conflict of interest

Sherri Bale is an employee of GeneDx, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of OPKO Health, Inc. The remaining authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

## Abstract

**PURPOSE.** Several clinical phenotypes including fetal hydrops, central conducting lymphatic anomaly or capillary malformations with arteriovenous malformations (CM-AVM2) have been associated with *EPHB4* (Ephrin type-B receptor 4) variants, demanding new approaches for deciphering pathogenesis of novel variants of uncertain significance (VUS) identified in *EPHB4*, and for the identification of differentiated disease mechanisms at the molecular level.

**METHODS.** Ten index cases with various phenotypes; either fetal hydrops, CM-AVM2 or peripheral lower limb lymphedema, whose distinct clinical phenotypes are described in detail in this study, presented with a variant in *EPHB4*. *In vitro* functional studies were performed to confirm pathogenicity.

**RESULTS.** Pathogenicity was demonstrated for six of the seven novel *EPHB4* VUSs investigated. A heterogeneity of molecular disease mechanisms was identified, from loss of protein production or aberrant subcellular localization to total reduction of the phosphorylation capability of the receptor. There was some phenotype-genotype correlation, however previously unreported intra-familial overlapping phenotypes such as lymphatic-related fetal hydrops (LRFH) and CM-AVM2 in the same family were observed.

**CONCLUSION.** This study highlights the usefulness of protein expression and subcellular localization studies to predict *EPHB4* variant pathogenesis. Our accurate clinical phenotyping expands our interpretation of the Janus-faced spectrum of *EPHB4*-related disorders, introducing the discovery of cases with overlapping phenotypes.

## Introduction

The *EPHB4* gene encodes a receptor tyrosine kinase protein, EPHB4, that binds to its ligand EphrinB2, to initiate complex contact-dependent bidirectional signalling cascades, controlling cellular

Martin-Almedina *et al.*

fate during embryonic angiogenesis and essential cellular processes such as adhesion, migration and proliferation, in both blood and lymphatic endothelial cells.<sup>1</sup>

We previously reported that monoallelic missense variants in the intracellular tyrosine kinase domain of *EPHB4* cause a form of *in utero* primary lymphatic anomaly coined lymphatic-related fetal hydrops with/without atrial septal defect (LRFH) (OMIM 617300).<sup>2</sup> The study included two families (GLD<sub>UK</sub> and GLD<sub>NOR</sub>) with a primarily lymphatic and venous phenotype, but with several family members presenting with fetal hydrops and/or atrial septal defects (ASD). Supporting evidence from mouse models lacking *Ephb4* expression during specific stages of development, or genetically modified to alter only the *Ephb4* dependent forward signalling, show edema, blood filled lymphatic vessels with defective collector valves and lymphovenous valves,<sup>2,3</sup> which suggested the *EPHB4*-associated hydrops in the two families was caused by a lymphatic-related fault. Li *et al.* identified an in-frame insertion in *EPHB4* in a family with a history of fetal hydrops and lymphovenous dysfunction characterised by edema of the lower extremities, venous stasis and variable chylous effusions.<sup>4</sup> This work confirmed the important role of *EPHB4* in the function and development of the lymphatic system and the association of *EPHB4* variants with fetal hydrops of lymphovenous origin.

Other research groups have reported that loss-of-function, monoallelic variants in the same gene can cause various vascular pathologies. Under the umbrella of capillary malformation-arteriovenous malformation 2 (CM-AVM2) (OMIM 618196) are included several vascular pathologies such as isolated multifocal capillary malformations, telangiectasia or high flow complex arteriovenous malformations.<sup>5-8</sup> Some patients with telangiectases consistent with hereditary haemorrhagic telangiectasia (HHT) but negative for the classical HHT-associated genes, have been positively screened for *EPHB4* variants suggesting that the spectrum of the CM-AVM2 phenotype should be expanded.<sup>9</sup> *EPHB4* variants have been detected in patients with cutaneous telangiectases, initially suspected to have HHT, but negative for variants in the classical HHT-associated genes. Upon review of these cases regarding specific location, number and appearance of the telangiectases, they were

Martin-Almedina *et al.*

noted to be distinct from those seen in patients with HHT. The cutaneous telangiectases in these patients with an *EPHB4* variant had an earlier onset (childhood) and were often innumerable in a given location. Some of the larger lesions (labelled as capillary malformations, CMs) were "haloed" and occurred in locations (trunk and extremities) not typical of HHT. These CMs probably represent larger dermal AVMs.

The increasing number of *EPHB4* variants of uncertain significance (VUS) identified through exome sequencing demands rapid and effective tools to confirm pathogenicity to enable an accurate genetic diagnosis. No clear phenotype-genotype correlation associated with *EPHB4* variants has yet been identified and our understanding of the disease mechanism of the known causal variants that could assist in clinical decision making is limited.

In this study we aimed to functionally investigate seven novel *EPHB4* VUSs (from eight unreported index cases) associated with a disease phenotype to predict their pathogenicity and attempt to unravel the molecular disease mechanisms that could explain the variable phenotypes. For comparison, three variants from previously reported cases and three control variants selected from gnomAD were also included in this investigation. We demonstrated the pathogenic effect of six of the seven variants, showing a variety of *EPHB4*-related disease mechanisms at a molecular level.

We present an increasing number of variable or overlapping phenotypes associated with *EPHB4* variants. These include a dominant form of primary lymphedema without fetal hydrops, ASD or capillary malformation, and an intra-familial overlapping phenotype with capillary malformation (telangiectasia) and ASD, with other members of the family presenting with fetal hydrops but no telangiectasia, i.e. a dual (Janus-faced) phenotype. This highlights that a detailed clinical evaluation of the affected families and continuous follow-up are critical. Functional validation of the causative variants was undertaken, and various disease mechanisms put forward. This knowledge will help in understanding any phenotype-genotype correlations, and aid clinical practice.

## Materials and Methods

### Patient selection, *EPHB4* variant detection and lymphoscintigraphy

Ten index cases and affected family members with variants in *EPHB4* were included in the study. Two were previously described,<sup>2</sup> and eight were novel, unreported referrals. Of those, five cases were direct referrals from clinicians, two were identified through the Prenatal Assessment of Genomes and Exomes (PAGE) study,<sup>10</sup> and one through GeneMatcher.<sup>11</sup> See Supplementary Information, Supplementary Table 1 and Supplementary Figures 1-3 for detailed clinical information of the recruited cases. *EPHB4* variants were detected by next generation sequencing (NGS) in the respective molecular genetics services and confirmed by Sanger sequencing.

Patients from the GLD<sub>UK</sub>, GLD<sub>NOR</sub> and PL1 families underwent lower limb lymphoscintigraphy which was performed according to standard local procedure by injecting radioactive isotope (technetium-99m-nanocoll) into the web spaces between the toes.<sup>12,13</sup> Images were taken at 15 minutes and 2 hours post-injection with a gamma camera. Quantification figures 2 hours post-injection were calculated, where possible, as percentage (%) of tracer retention within right and left foot, and tracer uptake in the ilioinguinal nodes.

### *In silico* analysis

The relative genomic and protein positions of *EPHB4* reported here correspond to the transcript *EPHB4-001* (RefSeq: NM\_004444, Ensembl: ENST00000358173.3) and P54760 Uniprot protein accession ID. The reported genomic coordinates refer to the GRCh38/hg38 human genome reference. Putative changes in the gene structure and/or amino acid sequence caused by the reported variants were retrieved from the UCSC Refgene database.<sup>14</sup> Allele frequencies (AF) were checked in gnomAD databases.<sup>15</sup> Pathogenicity was predicted by the Combined Annotation Dependent Depletion (CADD) tool,<sup>16</sup> Mutation Taster,<sup>17</sup> and Polyphen-2.<sup>18</sup> The protein model of

Martin-Almedina *et al.*

EPHB4 was generated in R using the Bioconductor trackViewer package.<sup>19</sup> Multiple sequence alignments of EPHB4 protein from different species were performed using the T-Coffee tool.<sup>20</sup>

### Site-directed mutagenesis of EPHB4 constructs

50 ng of the EPHB4 mammalian expression vector Myc-DDK-tagged pCMV6-Entry-EPHB4 (RC208559, OriGene) was used as template with the QuikChange II XL Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Agilent). All primers (Supplementary Table 2) were designed with QuikChange Primer Design (Agilent) and all constructs verified by Sanger sequencing.

### Cell culture, transfection and activation of EPHB4 receptor

Human dermal lymphatic endothelial cells (LECs) (C-12217, PromoCell) were cultured in supplemented endothelial growth medium MV2 (C-22022, PromoCell) containing 50 ng/ml VEGF-C (9199-VC-025, Bio-Techne). The cells are routinely analyzed by flow cytometric analysis and immunofluorescent staining: > 95% of the cells are CD31 positive and podoplanin positive. All experiments with LECs were repeated  $n \geq 3$  with cells isolated from independent single donors.

The day before transfection  $2 \times 10^5$  cells/well were seeded in fibronectin-coated (F1141, Sigma-Aldrich) 6-well plates. They were then transfected with 2  $\mu\text{g}$  of the EPHB4 constructs and Viromer Yellow transfection reagent (VY-01LB-01, Lipocalyx) or 1  $\mu\text{g}$  of the EPHB4 constructs and Lipofectamine 3000 transfection reagent (Life Technologies). Ligand activation of EPHB4 receptor was performed as previously described.<sup>2</sup>

### Immunoprecipitation and Western blot

24 hours post-transfection LECs were harvested in lysis buffer and immunoprecipitation performed as previously described.<sup>2</sup> Immunoprecipitates were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to Immobilon-FL PVDF membranes (Millipore). Membranes were blocked with TBS blocking solution (Odyssey Blocking Buffer diluted 1:1 in TBS, LI-COR Biosciences) and incubated with goat anti-human EPHB4 (AF3038, Bio-Techne) and mouse anti-phosphotyrosine (clone 4G10, 05-321, Millipore)



Martin-Almedina *et al.*

antibodies diluted in TBS blocking solution containing 0.2% Tween 20 (Sigma-Aldrich). After incubation with IRDye 680RD donkey anti-goat IgG (925-68074, LI-COR Biosciences) and IRDye 800CW donkey anti-mouse IgG (925-32212, LI-COR Biosciences) antibodies diluted in TBS blocking solution containing 0.2% Tween 20 (Sigma-Aldrich) and 0.01% SDS (Sigma-Aldrich), membranes were scanned with the Odyssey infrared imaging system (LI-COR Biosciences).

For the detection of EPHB4 expression levels, after transfection, cell lysates were subjected to western blotting as above but instead, EPHB4 expression was detected with C-terminal specific mouse anti-DDK (clone 4C5, TA50011, OriGene), and mouse anti-GAPDH (clone 6C5, MAB374, Millipore) was used as an internal control. Uncropped western blots are shown in Supplementary Figure 7.

### Immunofluorescence and microscopy

Human dermal LECs were seeded on fibronectin-coated glass coverslips prior to lipofectamine-mediated transfection with EPHB4 variants. After 24hr transfection, cells were fixed with 3% paraformaldehyde (PFA), then permeabilised and quenched with 0.2% saponin / 50mM NH<sub>4</sub>Cl. Mouse anti-DDK and goat anti-VE-Cadherin (sc-6458, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) were used as primary antibodies. Alexafluor488 anti-mouse (A32766, Invitrogen) and Alexafluor555 anti-goat (A32816, Invitrogen) were used as fluorophore-conjugated secondary antibodies. All antibody dilutions were prepared in PBS containing 0.2% (w/v) fish skin gelatin, 0.02% (w/v) saponin and 0.02% (w/v) NaN<sub>3</sub>. DAPI (Sigma-Aldrich) was used for nuclei detection and VECTASHIELD® (Vector Laboratories) was used as mounting medium. Images were taken at 20X magnification with the EVOS™M5000 imaging system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Massachusetts, USA) and analysed in GIMP ([www.gimp.org](http://www.gimp.org)).

## Results

### Novel *EPHB4* variants related to fetal hydrops of unknown aetiology

Since the first report of two pathogenic *EPHB4* missense variants associated with LRFH,<sup>2</sup> four additional cases of fetal hydrops (FH1:II.2, FH2:II.1, FH4:II.1 and FH5:II.2) and one case presenting with bilateral pleural effusions (FH3:II.7) with variants in *EPHB4* have come to our attention (Figure 1A-C). Including other family members, who carried an *EPHB4* variant, a total of 7 individuals are reported all presenting with lymphovenous and/or congenital heart defects; for full clinical details and pedigrees see the Supplementary Information, Supplementary Table 1 and Supplementary Figure 1-2.

The two pathogenic variants reported by Martin-Almedina *et al.* are located in the intracellular tyrosine kinase domain.<sup>2</sup> Of the five new referrals, four carried missense *EPHB4* VUSs also located in the same region (Supplementary Figure 4) and conservation analysis revealed that all the variants alter highly conserved residues in the tyrosine kinase domain of *EPHB4* (Supplementary Figure 5A). The p.R744H variant was reported in two unrelated cases (FH1:II.2 and FH2:II.1) (Table 1) and is located in a highly conserved region in close proximity to the catalytic loop HRD (His-Arg-Asp), also strongly conserved in most protein kinases (Supplementary Figure 5B) and predicted to control the active conformation of the kinase domain.<sup>21, 22</sup> FH4:II.1 carried an indel (c.760\_761insC) predicted to cause a frameshift resulting in the premature truncation of the *EPHB4* protein (p.S254Tfs\*10), which would lack several functional domains including the tyrosine kinase domain (Supplementary Figure 4). None of the variants were reported in gnomAD and *in silico* analysis predicts them to be non-functional (Table 1).

### Novel *EPHB4* variants related to a vascular anomaly phenotype

The findings from Amyere *et al.*<sup>6</sup> and Wooderchak-Donahue *et al.*<sup>9</sup> led to the identification in our genetics clinic of two index cases presenting with familial telangiectasia with novel variants in

Martin-Almedina *et al.*

*EPHB4*. There were 5 affected individuals from two families (VA1 and VA2) who presented with HHT-like features (Figure 1D-E). For the full clinical details see Supplementary Information, Supplementary Table 1 and Supplementary Figure 3. Affected family members of VA1 carry a stop-gain, p.Q711X, leading to a premature stop codon in the tyrosine kinase domain (Supplementary Figure 4), and VA2 family members a missense variant, p.T110P, in the extra-cellular ligand binding domain in a highly conserved residue (Supplementary Figures 4 and 5A). None of the variants are in gnomAD and *in silico* analysis predicts them to be non-functional (Table 1).

#### Novel *EPHB4* variant related to isolated persistent peripheral primary lymphedema

After the screening of a patient (PL1:II.3) with primary lymphedema on the primary lymphedema gene panel through South West Thames Regional Genetic Services, a VUS in *EPHB4* was identified. All three affected family members in PL1 carried this *EPHB4* variant and the main clinical feature was early onset (around age of 2y) swelling of the lower limbs. There was no history of fetal hydrops, CHD, CM, AVM or telangiectases. Lymphoscintigraphy imaging was consistent with primary lymphedema (Figure 2A-B). The full clinical details and the pedigree are presented in the Supplementary Information, Supplementary Table 1 and Supplementary Figure 3. The *EPHB4* VUS identified, p.N410K, is located in the extra-cellular fibronectin domain (Supplementary Figure 4) in a highly conserved residue (Supplementary Figure 5A), is not reported in gnomAD, and *in silico* analysis predicts it to be non-functional (Table 1).

#### Update on clinical findings in previously published *EPHB4*-associated LRFH cases

Since the first report in 2016,<sup>2</sup> the two families, GLD<sub>UK</sub> and GLD<sub>NOR</sub>, have been periodically evaluated at the respective specialist centres. Despite no apparent clinical signs of persistent peripheral lymphedema, three individuals underwent lymphoscintigraphy imaging, which confirmed abnormal lower limb lymphatic function (Figure 2C, D, F). Lymphoscintigraphy in the GLD<sub>NOR</sub> and GLD<sub>UK</sub> cases shared features of abnormal lymphatic drainage via tortuous tracts with superficial rerouting similar to previous findings in the GLD<sub>UK</sub> family (Figure 2E, G).<sup>2</sup> Features suggestive of venous hypertension

Martin-Almedina *et al.*

contributing to impaired lymphatic function were also observed. This is in keeping with the observation of often extensive varicose veins from a young age in all five adults investigated in the two families (Figure 1F). Updated clinical details are given in the Supplementary Information, Supplementary Table 1 and Supplementary Figure 1.

At the time of the original publication, none of the individuals in the GLD<sub>UK</sub> and GLD<sub>NOR</sub> families were assessed for telangiectases or capillary malformations. At a recent visit, GLD<sub>UK</sub>:III.2 reported repeated nose bleeds and on further inspection several telangiectases were identified around his mouth. It was also noticed that his mother (GLD<sub>UK</sub>:II.4) had multiple, diffuse telangiectases around the mouth and on her hands (Figure 1G-H). Both of these individuals have ASDs (III.2 had multiple ASDs) and neither were hydropic or had pleural effusions unlike other affected family members. Brain and spine MRIs were entirely normal, no AVMs were identified and there were no capillary malformations. No pathogenic variants in the HHT genes (*ENG*, *ACVRL1*, *GDF2* and *SMAD4*) or *RASA1* were identified in the exome data of GLD<sub>UK</sub>:II.4 so it is highly likely that the *EPHB4* variant is causing the overlapping features in the two.

#### Analysis of protein expression levels, kinase activity and subcellular localization of *EPHB4* mutated proteins expressed in LECs

Functional analysis of the two pathogenic missense variants originally reported to cause LRFH demonstrated normal *EPHB4* protein expression levels but reduced protein activity.<sup>2</sup> The analysis of four of the 20 missense variants reported to cause CM-AVM2 by Amyere *et al.* showed largely reduced protein expression levels with *EPHB4* protein aggregated in intracellular inclusions.<sup>6</sup> These findings led us to carry out a functional classification of the seven new variants for FH1-FH5, VA1-2 and PL1.

As a reference, the two previously published missense variants, p.R739Q and p.I782S, were included,<sup>2</sup> and missense variant, p.D802G,<sup>5</sup> was included as a representation of the CM-AVM2

Martin-Almedina *et al.*

phenotype. All three are located in the tyrosine kinase domain of EPHB4 in highly conserved residues and are predicted to be pathogenic by *in silico* analysis (Table 1, Supplementary Figures 4 and 5).

They are all novel, except p.D802G, which has been reported once in gnomAD, but is extremely rare (MAF = 0.000004).

In addition, three variants located in the tyrosine kinase domain and not reported to be associated with disease were identified in gnomAD and included as controls. Two of the variants have been reported in homozygotes, they all had a low MAF (0.000024 – 0.006) and whilst we suspect them to be benign variants, *in silico* analysis predicts them to be pathogenic (Table 1, Supplementary Figures 4 and 5A).

We generated mammalian expression vectors for the wildtype (WT) form of EPHB4 and for each of the 13 variants listed in Table 1 by site-directed mutagenesis. The constructs were transfected into LECs and the effects of the variants on EPHB4 protein expression levels were analysed by western blot. Most of the variants tested resulted in expression levels comparable to the WT protein (Figure 3A). However, the three variants, p.T110P, p.N410K and p.D802G, showed reduced expression of protein, and what seems to be a shorter protein (compared with the predicted 108kDa full size protein). Variants p.Q711X and p.S254Tfs\*10 could not be detected with the C-terminal specific antibody, indicating a loss of full-size protein expression. The *in silico* prediction from Mutation Taster suggests the two variants lead to nonsense-mediated decay (NMD) due to the introduction of premature stop codons.

To investigate the possible impact of the variants on EPHB4 function, the tyrosine kinase activity of the receptor was analysed by immunoprecipitation and western blot after EphrinB2 stimulation. We also included the three control variants in this analysis. Stimulation with pre-clustered EphrinB2 (EphrinB2-Fc) for 30 minutes increased the tyrosine phosphorylation level of the receptor after its binding in the WT form while tyrosine phosphorylation was reduced or not detected for most

Martin-Almedina *et al.*

variants (Figure 3B). The three control variants displayed tyrosine phosphorylation activity after EphrinB2 treatment, so did one VUS, p.K885R.

Immunofluorescence studies were performed to investigate the subcellular localization of the generated variants. Exogenous EPHB4 expression was detected with an anti-DDK antibody, while VE-cadherin expression was used as a marker of cell-cell membrane contact. Five of the variants investigated were detected in the cell membrane, confirmed by the localization in proximity to VE-cadherin, showing a similar expression localization pattern to the WT protein. On the other hand, the three variants, p.T110P, p.N410K and p.D802G, showed absent or much reduced membrane localization and a distinct intracellular expression pattern. In some cells, increased reticular staining and/or intracellular aggregates that could be accumulations of misfolded proteins were detected when transfecting these three variants (Figure 3C). A summary of our interpretation on the effect of the *EPHB4* variants regarding the expression levels, kinase activity and subcellular localization obtained from the functional assays is shown in Supplementary Table 3.

## Discussion

Since the initial reports of germline variants in *EPHB4* associated with a disease phenotype,<sup>2,4-9</sup> new cases with *EPHB4* variants of uncertain significance (VUS) have come to our attention from several clinicians looking for additional evidence to confirm diagnosis. To verify the pathogenicity of all the new variants, we investigated protein expression levels, tyrosine kinase activity and subcellular localization of the EPHB4 receptor. We showed that six of the seven novel variants under investigation, resulted in a reduction or total absence of EPHB4 kinase activity, due to the presence of a dysfunctional receptor or total loss of protein expression. Thus, for most variants we were able to report a damaging effect on the protein function to the clinicians, suggesting that the VUS was pathogenic and causative of the disease. However, the p.K885R variant in family FH5, performed similarly to the WT protein in our functional assays. Based on our results, this variant appears benign

Martin-Almedina *et al.*

and we cannot conclude it was the cause of the LRFH in this family, therefore more extensive functional work must be done to confirm its pathogenicity.

We phenotypically describe eight new families with variable lymphatic and vascular phenotypes associated with novel *EPHB4* variants (summarized in Table 2) and provide a more detailed clinical report for the two families first published in 2016. Until now, our understanding has pointed towards the existence of two phenotypically differentiated autosomal dominantly inherited disease entities caused by germline variants in *EPHB4*; LRFH and CM-AVM2. Based on the evaluation of the new families, individuals in families VA1 and VA2 could be classified under the umbrella of CM-AVM2 as their presentation is similar to that given by Wooderchak-Donahue *et al.*, who reported a muco-cutaneous telangiectasia in a series of atypical HHT cases with *EPHB4* variants.<sup>9</sup>

Five of the new cases were more difficult to interpret. They had fetal hydrops (FH1 – FH3 and FH5) or pleural effusions (FH4), which were suspected to be lymphatic-related in FH1 and FH5 as they had chylous ('milky') fluid drained postnatally. However, the lack of clinical information for the other cases does not allow us to conclude whether lymphatic dysfunction is the source of the fetal hydrops phenotype.

Of particular significance is the discovery of intra-familial overlapping phenotypes with individuals presenting with features of both LRFH and CM-AVM2 (Table 2). An increasing number of allelic conditions have now been shown to have features of two different phenotypes in the same individual or family – the Janus (as the ancient Roman god with two faces) or dual phenotype.<sup>23, 24</sup> Furthermore, overlapping lymphatic and vascular features have previously been reported in patients with *RASA1*-associated CM-AVM type 1.<sup>25</sup>

Three individuals from the PL1 family presented with peripheral primary lymphedema but no history of fetal hydrops or ASD. The onset of lymphedema was around the age of 2 years and the lymphoscintigraphy was distinct from those of the LRFH families. PL1 also differs from the CM-AVM2 cases as there were no CM or AVMs. This is the first family to be described with just primary

Martin-Almedina *et al.*

lymphedema and a likely pathogenic variant in *EPHB4*. Either early onset isolated peripheral primary lymphedema is a new separate entity (in addition to LRFH and CM-AVM2) in the increasing family of *EPHB4*-associated phenotypes, or, based on the proposed disease mechanism elucidated through our functional analysis, the CM-AVM2 phenotype spectrum needs expanding to include primary lymphedema.

Our results show different possible molecular disease mechanisms associated with *EPHB4* pathogenesis and highlight the importance of further research into the functional and clinical consequences of the disruption of the *EPHB4* forward and reverse signalling cascades. For some variants, we have shown that the *EPHB4* mutant protein is expressed and presented on the membrane of LECs, but that tyrosine kinase activity is reduced, presumably altering the forward signalling (Figure 3D, left). Reverse signalling might be unaffected although this was not investigated here. The reduced forward signalling could be due to an antimorphic disease mechanism with a dominant negative effect of the *EPHB4* mutant on the WT protein activity. This dominant negative disease mechanism has been suggested for some *VEGFR3* variants associated with primary lymphedema.<sup>26</sup> The variants in this category predominantly associated with LRFH with fetal hydrops, lymphovenous abnormalities and ASD, some with very mild symptoms (e.g. FH3).

For other variants, we detected a loss of *EPHB4* protein, probably due to either nonsense-mediated mRNA decay or protein degradation. Any *EPHB4* mutant protein detected was of a shorter size and was trapped intracellularly, which could be a sign of the protein undergoing degradation. These *EPHB4* mutants are most likely amorphic, acting as null alleles (Figure 3D, right). Less *EPHB4* receptor levels will lead to an imbalance in the ratio of EphrinB2 ligand to *EPHB4* receptor, which promotes cell dysfunction in normal microvascular endothelial cells.<sup>27</sup> Variants in this category predominantly associated with CM-AVM2, but there were exceptions (e.g. the PL1 family). Bidirectional Eph-Ephrin signalling is complex and we can only speculate on how downstream forward or reverse signalling is affected by the *EPHB4* variants as this was not investigated in this study. The existence of receptor-



Martin-Almedina *et al.*

ligand oligomerization and bi-directional endocytosis of receptor ligand complexes add another level of intricacy, which supports the need for more research into the field to fully understand the contribution of both forward and reverse signalling to the arteriovenous and lymphovenous compartments.

Further studies are also needed to explain why the phenotype within families can be so variable. Several authors have suggested that a postzygotic *de novo* mutation in the other allele ('second hit hypothesis') would be required for the development of the focal lesions associated with the CM-AVM2 disease phenotype.<sup>6-8</sup> This mechanism has been shown for other hereditary multifocal vascular malformations such as *RASA1*-associated CM-AVM1.<sup>28-30</sup> However, variable expression of the disease phenotype could also be due to genetic modifiers or polygenic inheritance, therefore, it is necessary to understand whether one or two *EPHB4* null alleles will lead to disease.

In conclusion, several reports have shown that monoallelic *EPHB4* variants can cause a spectrum of arteriovenous and lymphovenous disorders, but our findings add more complexity to this. We introduce a possible new phenotype, dominant primary lymphedema, which showed no overlap with LRFH and CM-AVM2 as we currently understand them. However, with the observation of overlapping phenotypes between LRFH and CM-AVM2, the *EPHB4*-associated disease classifications might need a major revision. Regardless, a change of clinical practice is required as cases thought to be CM-AVM2 should undergo echocardiography, whilst cases thought to be LRFH should also undergo careful skin examination and consideration of brain and spine MRI to check for arteriovenous malformations. Furthermore, *EPHB4* variant pathogenicity was demonstrated in this study for six of the seven novel VUSs investigated and highlights the usefulness of protein expression and subcellular localization studies to predict the pathogenesis of VUSs. With NGS as a routine method for the diagnosis of inherited disorders, the detection rate for rare and novel variants is growing and inferring the pathogenicity of the identified variants can be challenging. Therefore, as suggested by the ACMG guidelines,<sup>31</sup> a comparable approach is essential for other genes/diseases to

Martin-Almedina *et al.*

maximise diagnostic rates. Our study also highlights different *EPHB4*-related disease mechanisms; however, further research is necessary to expand our knowledge on how they operate at a cellular and molecular level to fully understand the intricate *EPHB4* clinical enigma.

### Data availability

The most recent version of the scripts used for the described analysis can be found online (Pre-processing/Alignment: <https://github.com/sgul-genetics-centre-bioinformatics/Next-Generation-Sequencing-Pipelines>; Unpaired Somatic Calling: [https://github.com/digrigor/Unpaired\\_somatic\\_variant\\_calling](https://github.com/digrigor/Unpaired_somatic_variant_calling). Archived version of this software can be found here (<https://figshare.com/s/ecf5dcb85b7420be4938>).

### Acknowledgements

We extend our thanks to the patients and their families. This work was supported by the British Heart Foundation (BHF) [SP/13/5/30288 and FS/15/39/31526], a joint grant from the Medical Research Council (MRC) and the British Heart Foundation (BHF) [MR/P011543/1], and Fonds National Suisse de la Recherche Scientifique (FNS) [CRSII5\_177191/1]. The PAGE study is co-funded by the Wellcome Trust and Department of Health (England), through an award from the Health Innovation Challenge Fund [HICF-R7-396] and the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute [WT098051]. The research team also acknowledges the support of the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR), through the Comprehensive Clinical Research Network (CCRN). We also thank Dr Biermann, Department of Radiology, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway and Dr Heenan, Radiology, St George's University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK for the provision of lymphoscintigraphy images.

### Author information

Conceptualization: S.M.A., S.J., S.M., P.O.; Investigation: S.M.A., K.O., E.S., D.G., C.K., N.N., S.B., K.G., S.M., P.O.; Funding acquisition: P.O., S.J., S.M., P.M.; Resources: C.E., J.L., R.M., F.K., M.B.P.D., C.P.,

Martin-Almedina *et al.*

S.B., H.R., G.H., Avd.W., W-H.B., K.G., K.J., S.R., M.D.K., S.V., J.G., M.H., S.B.; Supervision: S.J., P.M., S.M., P.O.; Writing – original draft: S.M.A., K.O., E.S., D.G., G.A., A.R., S.B., S.M., P.O.; Writing – review & editing: All authors.

## Ethics declaration

Subjects in this study were recruited through genetic and lymphovascular clinics in Norway, UK and Germany. All affected individuals and family members underwent a detailed physical examination. Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Norwegian Regional Committees for Medical and Health Research Ethics and the West (REC ref: 2011/2453), South West London Research Ethics Committee (REC ref: 05/Q0803/257), NRES Committee London - Harrow (REC ref: 01/0095), NRES Committee West Midlands - South Birmingham (REC ref: 14/WM/0150 and 14/WM/1219) and Research Ethics Committee of the Hamburg Medical Association (Ref: PV3802). Written, informed consent was obtained for all subjects. Written consent for publication of patient images has been obtained and archived in our site files.

## References

1. Kania A, Klein R. Mechanisms of ephrin-Eph signalling in development, physiology and disease. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* Apr 2016;17(4):240-56. doi:10.1038/nrm.2015.16
2. Martin-Almedina S, Martinez-Corral I, Holdhus R, et al. EPHB4 kinase-inactivating mutations cause autosomal dominant lymphatic-related hydrops fetalis. *Journal of Clinical Investigation.* Aug 2016;126(8):3080-3088. doi:10.1172/jci85794
3. Zhang G, Brady J, Liang WC, Wu Y, Henkemeyer M, Yan M. EphB4 forward signalling regulates lymphatic valve development. *Nat Commun.* Apr 13 2015;6:6625. doi:10.1038/ncomms7625
4. Li D, Wenger TL, Seiler C, et al. Pathogenic variant in EPHB4 results in central conducting lymphatic anomaly. *Hum Mol Genet.* Jun 14 2018;doi:10.1093/hmg/ddy218
5. Yu J, Streicher JL, Medne L, Krantz ID, Yan AC. EPHB4 Mutation Implicated in Capillary Malformation-Arteriovenous Malformation Syndrome: A Case Report. *Pediatr Dermatol.* Sep 2017;34(5):e227-e230. doi:10.1111/pde.13208
6. Amyere M, Revencu N, Helaers R, et al. Germline Loss-of-Function Mutations in EPHB4 Cause a Second Form of Capillary Malformation-Arteriovenous Malformation (CM-AVM2) Deregulating RAS-MAPK Signaling. *Circulation.* Sep 12 2017;136(11):1037-1048. doi:10.1161/circulationaha.116.026886
7. Duran D, Zeng X, Jin SC, et al. Mutations in Chromatin Modifier and Ephrin Signaling Genes in Vein of Galen Malformation. *Neuron.* Feb 6 2019;101(3):429-443.e4. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2018.11.041

8. Vivanti A, Ozanne A, Grondin C, et al. Loss of function mutations in EPHB4 are responsible for vein of Galen aneurysmal malformation. *Brain*. Apr 1 2018;141(4):979-988. doi:10.1093/brain/awy020
9. Wooderchak-Donahue WL, Akay G, Whitehead K, et al. Phenotype of CM-AVM2 caused by variants in EPHB4: how much overlap with hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia (HHT)? *Genet Med*. Sep 2019;21(9):2007-2014. doi:10.1038/s41436-019-0443-z
10. Lord J, McMullan DJ, Eberhardt RY, et al. Prenatal exome sequencing analysis in fetal structural anomalies detected by ultrasonography (PAGE): a cohort study. *Lancet*. Feb 23 2019;393(10173):747-757. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(18)31940-8
11. Sobreira N, Schiettecatte F, Valle D, Hamosh A. GeneMatcher: a matching tool for connecting investigators with an interest in the same gene. *Hum Mutat*. Oct 2015;36(10):928-30. doi:10.1002/humu.22844
12. Sarica M, Gordon K, van Zanten M, et al. Lymphoscintigraphic Abnormalities Associated with Milroy Disease and Lymphedema-Distichiasis Syndrome. *Lymphat Res Biol*. Dec 2019;17(6):610-619. doi:10.1089/lrb.2019.0016
13. Weissleder H, Weissleder R. Lymphedema: evaluation of qualitative and quantitative lymphoscintigraphy in 238 patients. *Radiology*. Jun 1988;167(3):729-35. doi:10.1148/radiology.167.3.3363131
14. O'Leary NA, Wright MW, Brister JR, et al. Reference sequence (RefSeq) database at NCBI: current status, taxonomic expansion, and functional annotation. *Nucleic Acids Res*. Jan 2016;44(D1):D733-45. doi:10.1093/nar/gkv1189
15. Karczewski KJ, Francioli LC, Tiao G, et al. The mutational constraint spectrum quantified from variation in 141,456 humans. *Nature*. 05 2020;581(7809):434-443. doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2308-7
16. Rentzsch P, Witten D, Cooper GM, Shendure J, Kircher M. CADD: predicting the deleteriousness of variants throughout the human genome. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 01 2019;47(D1):D886-D894. doi:10.1093/nar/gky1016
17. Schwarz JM, Cooper DN, Schuelke M, Seelow D. MutationTaster2: mutation prediction for the deep-sequencing age. *Nat Methods*. 2014:361-2. vol. 4.
18. Adzhubei IA, Schmidt S, Peshkin L, et al. A method and server for predicting damaging missense mutations. *Nat Methods*. Apr 2010;7(4):248-9. doi:10.1038/nmeth0410-248
19. Ou J, Zhu LJ. trackViewer: a Bioconductor package for interactive and integrative visualization of multi-omics data. *Nat Methods*. 06 2019;16(6):453-454. doi:10.1038/s41592-019-0430-y
20. Madeira F, Park YM, Lee J, et al. The EMBL-EBI search and sequence analysis tools APIs in 2019. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 07 2019;47(W1):W636-W641. doi:10.1093/nar/gkz268
21. Kornev AP, Haste NM, Taylor SS, Eyck LF. Surface comparison of active and inactive protein kinases identifies a conserved activation mechanism. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. Nov 21 2006;103(47):17783-8. doi:10.1073/pnas.0607656103
22. Krupa A, Preethi G, Srinivasan N. Structural modes of stabilization of permissive phosphorylation sites in protein kinases: distinct strategies in Ser/Thr and Tyr kinases. *J Mol Biol*. Jun 18 2004;339(5):1025-39. doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2004.04.043
23. Arighi E, Popsueva A, Degl'Innocenti D, et al. Biological effects of the dual phenotypic Janus mutation of ret cosegregating with both multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2 and Hirschsprung's disease. *Mol Endocrinol*. Apr 2004;18(4):1004-17. doi:10.1210/me.2003-0173
24. Zenker M, Rauch A, Winterpacht A, et al. A dual phenotype of periventricular nodular heterotopia and frontometaphyseal dysplasia in one patient caused by a single FLNA mutation leading to two functionally different aberrant transcripts. *Am J Hum Genet*. Apr 2004;74(4):731-7. doi:10.1086/383094
25. Burrows PE, Gonzalez-Garay ML, Rasmussen JC, et al. Lymphatic abnormalities are associated with RASA1 gene mutations in mouse and man. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. May 21 2013;110(21):8621-6. doi:10.1073/pnas.1222722110

26. Karkkainen MJ, Ferrell RE, Lawrence EC, et al. Missense mutations interfere with VEGFR-3 signalling in primary lymphoedema. *Nature Genetics*. Jun 2000;25(2):153-159.
27. Fehnel KP, Penn DL, Duggins-Warf M, et al. Dysregulation of the EphrinB2-EphB4 ratio in pediatric cerebral arteriovenous malformations is associated with endothelial cell dysfunction in vitro and functions as a novel noninvasive biomarker in patients. *Exp Mol Med*. Apr 2020;52(4):658-671. doi:10.1038/s12276-020-0414-0
28. Lapinski PE, Doosti A, Salato V, North P, Burrows PE, King PD. Somatic second hit mutation of RASA1 in vascular endothelial cells in capillary malformation-arteriovenous malformation. *Eur J Med Genet*. Jan 2018;61(1):11-16. doi:10.1016/j.ejmg.2017.10.004
29. Revencu N, Boon LM, Mendola A, et al. RASA1 mutations and associated phenotypes in 68 families with capillary malformation-arteriovenous malformation. *Hum Mutat*. Dec 2013;34(12):1632-41. doi:10.1002/humu.22431
30. Revencu N, Fastre E, Ravoet M, et al. RASA1 mosaic mutations in patients with capillary malformation-arteriovenous malformation. *J Med Genet*. Jan 2020;57(1):48-52. doi:10.1136/jmedgenet-2019-106024
31. Richards S, Aziz N, Bale S, et al. Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology. *Genet Med*. May 2015;17(5):405-24. doi:10.1038/gim.2015.30

## Figures

**Figure 1: Clinical findings in individuals with *EPHB4* variants. (A)** Antenatal ultrasound scan (transverse plane) demonstrating bilateral pleural effusions at gestational week 30+4 in FH2:II.1. **(B)** Baby in the neonatal period with fetal hydrops (GLD<sub>UK</sub>:II.6). **(C)** Persistent peripheral lymphedema in the feet of FH5:II.2 at age 4y. **(D)** Capillary malformation in the midline of the neck in VA1:II.2. **(E)** Multiple telangiectasia along the vermilion border of the upper lip and the mucus membrane of the lower lip in VA2:II.1 (inside boxed areas). **(F)** Early onset and extensive lower limb varicose veins in GLD<sub>UK</sub>:I.2. **(G)** GLD<sub>UK</sub>:II.4 with multiple telangiectasia with a propensity for the vermilion border of the lips (inside boxed area). **(H)** Dermatoscopic image of telangiectasia on the left cheek confirming the presence of dilated linear and branching capillary vessels in GLD<sub>UK</sub>:II.4.

**Figure 2: Imaging of the lymphatic system in individuals with *EPHB4* variants.** Anterior view of lower limb lymphoscintigraphy 2 hours after injection. Quantification figures 2 hours post injection are given where available. Values for an individual with a normal lymphatic system are given on the right. Any values deviating from the normal values, indicating abnormal lymphatic drainage, are highlighted in red. Genetic variants and predicted protein changes are also shown. Refer to the Supplementary Information for a detailed description of the lymph scans. **(A)** PL1:II.3 demonstrated abnormal lymphatic drainage in both legs with evidence of bilateral deep rerouting via the popliteal lymph nodes (arrows). **(B)** PL1:III.1 has abnormal drainage, possibly due to deep rerouting but the popliteal lymph nodes are not visible in this scan. **(C)** GLD<sub>NOR</sub>:II.2 has multiple tortuous lymphatic tracts in the lower limbs. Superficial rerouting of tracer is apparent in the calves. **(D)** GLD<sub>NOR</sub>:II.3 has tortuous lower limb lymphatic tracts and superficial rerouting of tracer is present around the ankles and calves. **(E)** The lower limb lymphatic tracts in GLD<sub>UK</sub>:II.4 are tortuous and superficial rerouting is seen within the calves (dark shading, arrowheads). **(F)** GLD<sub>UK</sub>:II.2 has symmetrical impairment of lymphatic drainage within both legs. The main lymphatic tracts are tortuous. **(G)** GLD<sub>UK</sub>:I.2 has superficial rerouting of tracer in the right lower leg (arrowhead) and deep rerouting via the right popliteal lymph nodes. Lymphatic tracts in right leg are tortuous. There is markedly reduced lymphatic transport in the left leg with no visible uptake of tracer in the left inguinal lymph nodes. **(H)** Unaffected subject with symmetrical transport of radionuclide tracer from injection sites in the feet up to the inguinal lymph nodes via main lymphatic vessels. The black dot in E and F is the orientation marker. F, female; M, male.

**Figure 3: *In vitro* functional characterization of *EPHB4* VUSs after transfection into LECs. (A)**

Western blot analysis of *EPHB4* expression detected with anti-DDK antibody and GAPDH used as a loading control. The position of molecular mass markers (in kDa) is indicated to the right of the gel.

**(B)** Effect of *EPHB4* variants on *EPHB4* tyrosine phosphorylation in LECs after EphrinB2 stimulation with 1 µg/ml clustered Ephrin B2/Fc (EB2/Fc) or Fc alone. Receptor phosphorylation was analysed by immunoprecipitation with anti-DDK antibody and western blotting using anti-p-tyrosine (upper panel) and *EPHB4* (lower panel) antibodies. **(C)** Subcellular localization of *EPHB4* variants. *EPHB4* receptor was visualized with anti-DDK antibody (green), cell-cell contact with anti-VE-cadherin antibody (red) and nuclei with DAPI (blue). Membrane localization of the receptor is marked with arrowheads, cytoplasmic reticular localization with an asterisk and intracellular aggregates with arrows. Images taken at 20X magnification with an EVOS™M5000 imaging system. Scale bar 125µm.

For A, B, and C, one representative of ≥3 experiments is shown. **(D)** Model for potential *EPHB4* molecular disease mechanisms. Left: Some mutant receptors were present in the membrane, lacking tyrosine activity. Whether this leads to *EPHB4* haploinsufficiency or a dominant negative effect of the mutant *EPHB4* was not tested in this study. Most variants fitting with this model were cases with a LRFH phenotype. Right: Other mutant receptors were sequestered into intracellular aggregates, leading to a loss-of-function disease mechanism. Most variants fitting with this model were cases with a CM-AVM2 phenotype. Bidirectional Eph-Ephrin signalling is complex with the existence of receptor-ligand oligomerization and bi-directional endocytosis of receptor-ligand complexes and we can only speculate on how forward or reverse signalling would be affected. WT, wild-type *EPHB4* receptor; MUT, mutant *EPHB4* receptor.



## Tables

**Table 1. Functional annotation of the thirteen *EPHB4* variants investigated in this study.** Genomic coordinates, nucleotide and protein changes, predicted pathogenicity and population allele frequencies are summarised. All previously reported *EPHB4* variants for the GLD<sub>UK</sub>, GLD<sub>NOR</sub> and CM-AVM2 cases and *EPHB4* variants of uncertain significance (VUS) for the FH1-FH5, VA1-VA2 and PL1 cases, are either not reported in gnomAD databases or their allele frequency is infinitesimal, supporting the argument that they are extremely rare in the general population, while they are all reported to be pathogenic by all three prediction tools used (CADD, Polyphen2, MutationTaster). The three *EPHB4* variants used as controls (SNP) in this study are reported as rare variants (AF<0.01) in gnomAD databases. Two of them are found in homozygotes and/or are predicted as pathogenic.

AF, allele frequency; CM-AVM, capillary malformation-arteriovenous malformation; FH, fetal hydrops; FND, fibronectin domain; LBD, ligand binding domain; PL, primary lymphedema; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism (likely benign variant); TKD, tyrosine kinase domain; VA, vascular anomalies. GLD<sub>UK</sub> and GLD<sub>NOR</sub> published in Martin-Almedina *et al.* <sup>2</sup> and CM-AVM2 in Yu *et al.* <sup>5</sup>.

Martin-Almedina *et al.*

**Table 2. Clinical summary.** Overview of the phenotype of the eight new index cases and affected family members with an *EPHB4* VUS (FH1-FH5, VA1-VA2 and PL1) included in this study. Clinical details for the *EPHB4* index cases and affected family members previously reported by Martin-Almedina *et al.* (GLD<sub>UK</sub> and GLD<sub>NOR</sub>)<sup>2</sup> have been updated and included for comparison.

Telangiectases, are indicated in teal. Features originally described as associated with lymphatic-related fetal hydrops (LRFH) are indicated with a pale yellow. The lymphedema observed in PL1 is different on lymphoscintigraphy to that of individuals from the GLD<sub>UK</sub> and GLD<sub>NOR</sub> families thus a darker shading has been used to indicate that. Refer to the Supplementary Information and Supplementary Table 1 for detailed clinical description of each case.

\*, monozygotic twins; F, female; FH, fetal hydrops; GLD, generalized lymphatic dysplasia; IUD, intrauterine death; M, male; ND, neonatal death; PE, pleural effusions; PL, primary lymphedema; VA, vascular anomaly. ? in the fetal hydrops column indicates that there were hydropic features at birth. 'Normal' in the congenital heart defect column indicates that a normal echocardiogram was obtained and blank that echocardiography has not been carried out or reported. '(Yes)' in the persistent peripheral lymphedema column indicates that the individual is clinically normal, but abnormal lymphatic drainage was demonstrated on lymphoscintigraphy and blank indicates no lymphoscintigraphy was carried out. §, the type of *EPHB4* variant suggests this could be a CM-AVM2 case, but telangiectasia was not confirmed. Blank fields indicate the relevant procedure was not carried out or the information was not available.

**Table 1**

ID	Genomic coordinates (GRCh38)	Nucleotide change	Predicted protein change	Domain affected	CADD Phred score	Polyphen2 HVAR rankscore	MutationTaster prediction	gnomAD v2.1 AF	gnomAD v2.1 Homozygous carriers	gnomAD v3 AF	gnomAD v3 Homozygous carriers
GLD <sub>UK</sub>	chr7:100807483-100807483	c.2216G>A	R739Q	TKD	35	0.916	Pathogenic	-	-	-	-
GLD <sub>NOR</sub>	chr7:100806559-100806559	c.2345T>G	I782S	TKD	26.5	0.971	Pathogenic	-	-	-	-
FH1	chr7:100807468-100807468	c.2231G>A	R744H	TKD	31	0.916	Pathogenic	-	-	-	-
FH2	chr7:100807468-100807468	c.2231G>A	R744H	TKD	31	0.916	Pathogenic	-	-	-	-
FH3	chr7:100807372-100807372	c.2327G>A	S776N	TKD	29.2	0.749	Pathogenic	-	-	-	-
FH4	chr7:100822318-100822318	c.760_761insC	S254Tfs*10	-	-	-	Pathogenic	-	-	-	-
FH5	chr7:100805525-100805525	c.2654A>G	K885R	TKD	24.3	0.769	Pathogenic	-	-	-	-
VA1	chr7:100807568-100807568	c.2131C>T	Q711X	-	38	-	Pathogenic	-	-	-	-
VA2	chr7:100823727-100823727	c.328A>C	T110P	LBD	27.9	0.971	Pathogenic	-	-	-	-
CM-AVM2	chr7:100806499-100806499	c.2405A>G	D802G	TKD	32	0.971	Pathogenic	0.000004	0	-	-
PL1	chr7:100819624-100819624	c.1230C>G	N410K	FND	23.2	0.971	Pathogenic	-	-	-	-
SNP	chr7:100807375-100807375	c.2324C>T	T775M	TKD	30	0.971	Pathogenic	0.000024	0	0.000014	0
SNP	chr7:100805535-100805535	c.2644G>A	A882T	TKD	23.5	0.639	Pathogenic	0.001195	3	0.003831	5
SNP	chr7:100805509-100805509	c.2670G>T	E890D	TKD	14.98	0.121	Benign	0.005635	6	0.005392	2

**Table 2**

ID	Case	Sex	Age (yr)	Fetal hydrops (wks)	Congenital heart defect	Persistent peripheral lymphedema	Varicose veins	Telangiectasia	Predicted protein change
GLD <sub>UK</sub>	I.2	M	60		Yes	Yes	Yes		R739Q
	II.2	F	35	Yes	Yes	(Yes)	Yes		
	II.4	F	29		Yes	(Yes)	Yes	Yes	
	II.6	M	ND 21d	Yes	Normal				
	II.7	F	IUD 26wks	Yes	Normal				
	III.1	M	13	Yes	Yes				
GLD <sub>NOR</sub>	II.2*	F	42	?	Normal	(Yes)	Yes		I782S
	II.3*	F	42	?	Yes	(Yes)	Yes		
	III.5	M	ND 1.5d	Yes	Yes				
	III.9	M	11	Yes	Yes				
FH1	II.2	M	ND 36d	Yes	Yes			R744H	
FH2	II.1	F	ND 9wk	Yes	Yes			R744H	
FH3	II.7	F		only PE	Normal			S776N	
FH4	II.1	M	IUD?	Yes	Yes			§	S254Tfs*10
FH5	I.2	F	40		Normal		Yes		K885R
	II.1	F	8	?	Normal				
	II.2	M	4	Yes	Yes	Yes			
VA1	I.2	F	44					Yes	Q711X
	II.1	F	17					Yes	
	II.2	F	16					Yes	
VA2	I.1	M	54					Yes	T110P
	II.1	M	14					Yes	
PL1	II.2	F				Yes			N410K
	II.3	F	14			Yes			
	III.1	F	6			Yes			

Figure 1





Figure 2



Family	PL1		PL1		GLD <sub>NOR</sub>		GLD <sub>NOR</sub>		GLD <sub>UK</sub>		GLD <sub>UK</sub>		GLD <sub>UK</sub>		
Individual	II.3		III.1		II.2		II.3		II.4		II.2		I.2		
Lower limb	Right	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Normal values
Tracer retention in foot at 2hrs (%)	91.5	91.1	83	96.9	-	-	-	-	70	71	82.3	87.7	88	97	<80
Uptake in ilioinguinal nodes at 2hrs (%)	0.5	4.6	18.7	2.9	borderline	reduced	normal	normal	20	17	2.8	1.3	0.8	0.2	>8
<i>EPHB4</i> variant	c.1230C>G		c.1230C>G		c.2345T>G		c.2345T>G		c.2216G>A		c.2216G>A		c.2216G>A		
Predicted protein change	p.N410K		p.N410K		p.I782S		p.I782S		p.R739Q		p.R739Q		p.R739Q		
Sex	F		F		F		F		F		F		M		
Age at time of scan	16		6		37		37		24		24		42		

Figure 3

