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**Abstract**

**Context:**

An inverse relationship between brown adipose tissue (BAT) and obesity has previously been reported in older children and adults, but unknown in young children.

**Objective**

We investigated the influence of BAT in thermoneutral condition on adiposity and metabolic profile in Asian preschool children.

**Design, Setting and Participants**

A total of198 children aged 4.5 years from a prospective birth cohort study, Growing Up in Singapore Towards healthy Outcomes (GUSTO)were successfully studied with water-fat magnetic resonance imaging of the supraclavicular-axillary fat depot (FDSA). Regions within FDSA with fat-signal-fraction between 20% and 80% were considered BAT, and percentage BAT (%BAT), (100\*BAT volume/ FDSA volume) was calculated.

**Main outcome measures**

Abdominal adipose tissue compartment volumes, ectopic fat in the soleus muscle and liver, fatty liver index, metabolic syndrome scores and markers of insulin sensitivity.

**Results**

A one percent unit increase in %BAT was associated with lower body mass index, difference (95%CI), -0.08 (-0.10, -0.06) kg/m2 and smaller abdominal adipose tissue compartment volumes. Ethnicity and sex modified these associations. In addition, each unit increase in %BAT was associated with lower ectopic fat at 4.5 years in the liver, -0.008

(-0.013, -0.003) %, soleus muscle, -0.003 (-0.006, -0.001) % of water-content and lower fatty liver index at 6 years.

**Conclusions**

Higher %BAT is associated with a more favorable metabolic profile. BAT may thus play a role in the pathophysiology of obesity and related metabolic disorders. The observed ethnic and sex differences imply that the protective effect of BAT may vary among different groups.

**Introduction**

Adipose tissue is commonly divided into white (WAT) and brown adipose tissue (BAT). WAT is commonly thought to be a storage depot for energy and an endocrine organ secreting adipokines including adiponectin and leptin. BAT is suggested to be a major contributor to the regulation of energy metabolism(1). BAT when activated increases thermogenesis by stimulating fatty acid oxidation through mitochondrial uncoupling protein-1 and increases energy dissipation(1,2). BAT also possesses a great capacity for glucose uptake from the circulation thereby improving insulin sensitivity by both insulin-dependent and insulin-independent pathways(3,4). These properties suggest BAT having a role in whole-body metabolism. Therefore BAT has recently received a great deal of attention as a potential target for obesity therapy(4). There seems to be a major crosstalk between several organs including BAT, liver, skeletal muscle, and gut as well as the central nervous system in regulation of energy metabolism Originally, BAT was believed to be present only in infancy but it is now known to persist into childhood and adulthood(5-8). Cold-induced activated BAT is inversely related to body mass index (BMI), obesity and visceral fat in older children and adults(9-11). However, such associations have been little explored in younger children. The most commonly used method for estimating BAT activity in humans has been 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography combined with computed tomography (FDG PET/CT) which exposes subjects to significant doses of ionizing radiation and thus its applicability to the general population, to its use in children, and in large cohort studies is limited. More importantly, recent studies have highlighted that PET/CT may underestimate the amount of BAT as PET/CT can only detect activated BAT, generally by cold or pharmacologically induced activation(12-14). The increased uptake of the most commonly used glucose tracer, e.g. FDG is used as the indication of activated BAT during PET/CT scans. Therefore, BAT withFDGuptakebelowthedetection limit or non-activated BAT are less likely to be detected by PET/CT scans. Recently, a multi-echo chemical-shift water-fat magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was introduced as an alternative imaging technique to PET/CT in detecting BAT without requiring physiological activation. Water-fat MRI is capable of detecting BAT under thermoneutral conditions without the use of ionizing radiation(15-17). Therefore, this study aimed to examine the association of non-activated BAT, quantified using water-fat MRI, with metabolic parameters. Total and abdominal adiposity, ectopic fat in the liver and soleus muscle measured at 4.5 years were primary outcomes, and fatty liver index (FLI), metabolic syndrome (MetS) score and measures of insulin resistance; fasting plasma glucose (FPG) and insulin measured at 6 years were secondary outcomes.

**Materials and Methods**

*Subjects*

Children who participated were from the Growing up in Singapore Toward healthy Outcomes (GUSTO), a prospective observational mother-offspring birth cohort study(18). GUSTO was set up to evaluate the developmental influences on the risk of metabolic diseases. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Singapore National Healthcare Group and the Central Institutional Review Board of SingHealth. Between June 2009 and September 2010, 1450 pregnant women were recruited during the first trimester of pregnancy. To be eligible in GUSTO study, mothers had to be aged 18 years and above, intended to deliver in one of the two main public maternity units in Singapore; the KK Women’s and Children’s Hospital or the National University Hospital, and to reside in Singapore for the next five years. Pregnant women self-identified their homogenous ethnicity; Chinese, Malay or Indian i.e. same ethnicity of the subject, their partners, and both sides of parents. Study visits for metabolic imaging were conducted from June 2015 to December 2016 when the children were 4.5 years old. MRI was offered to the parents of all participants and performed on children whose parents provided written informed consents. After quality control procedures for good image quality and symmetric body positioning, 198 of the 330 children with water-fat MRI were included in the analyses. A priori sample size calculations were not performed as we considered this study an exploratory comparison between BAT and metabolic profile in children. **Figure 1** shows the study flow chart.

*Magnetic Resonance Imaging: Image acquisition, segmentation and quantification*

*Image acquisition*

MRI was performed on a 3T MR scanner (Magnetom Skyra, Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany) without sedation or any form of BAT stimulation at a room temperature between 20-22̊ C and a minimum of 3-5 hours after afternoon meals. MR scans were taken with children in supine position with arms abducted. A multi-echo chemical-shift based water*-*fat MRI sequence of supraclavicular and axillary fat depots (FDSA) with flexible echo times (TE) was acquired(15). FDSA is the main location of BAT after infancy, and anatomically easily identifiable and has been extensively studied in humans using PET/CT scans. Pertinent sequence parameters included 104 axial slices with 1.6 mm thickness and in-plane resolution of 1.6 × 1.6 mm, repetition time: 10ms, TEs:1.00, 2.41, 3.82, 5.23, 6.64, 8.05 ms, flip angle (FA): 5̊ covering the region from the base of the neck to the inferior border of the scapula.

*Segmentation of BAT*

All 3 planes of MR images; axial, coronal and sagittal planes were utilized in defining supraclavicular and axillary fat depots (FDSA). The first step was the contouring of FDSA which was performed following anatomical boundaries. The superior border was the base of the neck above the clavicular head, with other borders defined inferiorly by the axillary fold, medially (anteriorly) by the sternocleidomastoid muscle and posteriorly (laterally) by the anterior border of the trapezius muscle or the scapula. Regions of interest (ROIs) were drawn in the stack of slices of the supraclavicular-axillary region from which the averaged signal intensities were calculated within each ROI subsequently.

The next step was the manual optimizing process. The consistency and quality of the manual optimization were validated by repeating the procedure twice on randomly selected 20 data sets and measuring the inter- and intra-rater reliability (**Table 1**). The mean dice similarity coefficients (DSC) for intra- and inter-rater reliability for BAT were 0.85 and 0.86, respectively. The DSC is used to quantify the performance of image segmentation and is a measure of how similar the objects are (19). It is the size of the overlap of the two segmentations divided by the total size of the two objects. The DSC is not only a measure of true positives but it also penalizes the false positives that the method finds, similar to precision. The DSC ranges between 0 and 1 and scores greater than 0.7 can be interpreted as a high grade of overlap of the generated contours.

*Quantification of BAT*

Chemical-shift water fat MRI is capable of generating distinct signal contrasts between BAT and WAT. Water-fat MRI provides spatially resolved fat-signal-fraction (FF) maps which are proportional to the fat content within voxels in FDSA ranging from 0–100%. Brown adipose tissue (BAT) and white adipose tissue (WAT) were differentiated by exploiting their inherent differences in FF. In vivo BAT from FF maps in infants and children identified by water-fat MRI has been and validated against CT and dissection, and the depots have been verified as BAT using histological and biochemical analysis(15,16). WAT regions have increased signal intensities and are predominantly composed of lipids and thus has a low water to fat ratio. In contrast, BAT depots exhibited intermediate gray signal intensities suggesting a high water to fat ratio compared to WAT. The regions within supraclavicular-axillary fat depot (FDSA) with FF between 20% to 80% were used as a proxy for estimating BAT (12,16) while regions within FDSA with FF between 81% to 100% were defined as WAT. The initial manual delineation and segmentation of bilateral FDSA were performed by two trained image analysts using ITK-SNAP (www.itksnap.org) followed by optimization and final review was done by a pediatric radiologist. All analysts were blinded to participant information. BAT and WAT volumes for FDSA were then generated by multiplying the number of respective segmented voxels by the voxel dimension (1·6 x 1·6 x 1·6) mm.

FDSA depots were heterogeneous and had regions interspersed with varying degrees of FF in preschool children. Previous studies using water-fat MRI used FF as a measure of BAT. Activated BAT induces lipolysis within BAT which subsequently depletes its triglyceride content(1) and thus increasing water to fat ratio within BAT. FF may be reflective of BAT activity, it does not provide quantitative information about BAT deposition. In the MRI images of children in this study, characteristics of FDSA is more BAT-like with lower FF in children with lower BMI while more WAT-like with higher FF in children with higher BMI (**Figure 2**). In other words, children with lower BMI had lower volume of FDSA with BAT characteristics and children with higher BMI will have higher volume of FDSA with mostly white adipose tissue characteristics. We thus explored a new approach to present BAT with normalization of depot size. Therefore, the proportion of BAT within FDSA (100\*BAT volume/FDSA volume) i.e. %BAT was calculated as one of the measures of BAT. %WAT was also calculated (100\*WAT volume/FDSA volume). **Figure 3** shows the scatter plots of the association between child’s body mass index (BMI) and measures of adipose tissue within FDSA (BAT and WAT). A positive association was observed between BMI and BAT volume while the association was inverse between BMI and %BAT. However, the associations between BMI and WAT, expressed as either volume or percent of WAT, were both positive. These associations were similar between BMI and other adiposity measures. Therefore, BAT FF and %BAT were used as BAT measures in multivariable regression analyses.

Abdominal MRI was performed to quantify the volumes of abdominal adipose tissue compartments (AAT); superficial subcutaneous (SSAT), deep subcutaneous (DSAT) and visceral (VAT) adipose tissue using a fully automated graph theoretic segmentation algorithm and quantified as described elsewhere(20,21). Liver fat (fat content per unit of liver weight, expressed as percentage) and intramyocelluar lipids (IMCL) of the soleus muscle (expressed as a percentage of tissue water content) were assessed by proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy (1H-MRS) as previously described.

*Child’s anthropometric measurement at 4*·*5 years*

Height (using a SECA213 stadiometer) and weight (using a SECA803 weighing scale) were measured in duplicates. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as (weight in kilogram)/ (height in meter square). Skinfold thicknesses (SFT); (triceps, biceps, subscapular and suprailiac) were measured on the right side of the body in triplicate using Holtain skinfold calipers (Holtain Ltd). The mean of the two closest measurements for height, weight and SFT was used for analyses. Sum of SFT (∑SFT) of all four sites was used as a measure of total adiposity.

*Assessment of metabolic markers at 6 years*

Venous blood was drawn after 8-10 hours of fasting. FPG was measured using enzymatic hexokinase methods (Abbott Architect c8000 analyzer and Beckman AU5800 analyzer at clinical referral laboratories of KK Women’s and Children’s Hospital and National University Hospital, respectively). Insulin was measured using the Access ultrasensitive immunoassay (Beckman Dxl800 analyzer, Beckman Coulter). Gamma glutamyl transferase (GGT), triglycerides (TG) and high density lipoprotein-cholesterol (HDL-C) were measured using enzymatic colorimetric assay (Beckman AU5800 analyzer).

For children with available data on TG and GGT, FLI was calculated using a published equation, [0.953\*ln(TG) +0.139\*BMI +0.718\*ln(GGT) +0.053\*waist -15.745](22). FLI is an index to estimate non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) with modest efficacy compared to MRS which is expensive and not readily accessible. FLI varies between 0 and 100; and a threshold of <30 can be used to rule out NAFLD. A MetS score for children was generated as the sum of sex- and age-specific *z*-scores for waist circumference and Homeostatic Model Assessment of Insulin Resistance (HOMA-IR) (fasting plasma insulin\*FPG)/22.5, the mean of *z*-scores of diastolic and systolic blood pressure and HDL-C (multiplied with -1 due to its inverse association with metabolic risk) and TG(23,24). A higher score indicates a less favorable metabolic profile.

*Statistical analysis*

Multivariable regression analyses were used to examine the associations between %BAT and metabolic profiles in children. Primary outcome measures were total adiposity; BMI and ∑SFT, abdominal adiposity measured by abdominal adipose tissue compartment volumes; SSAT, DSAT and VAT, ectopic fat in the liver and IMCL in soleus muscle at age 4.5 years. Secondary outcomes were metabolic parameters; FLI, MetS score and measures of insulin resistance; FPG and fasting plasma insulin at age 6 years. Covariates which are shown to have associations with BAT in the literature i.e. ethnicity, child’s sex and age were controlled for in the regression analyses. Ethnicity and sex modified the associations between %BAT and adiposity thus stratified analyses were performed. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 21.0. (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). Two-sided p-values of less than 0.05 indicated statistical significance. P values were corrected using Benjamini-Hockberg method with false discovery rate (FDR) of 0.05(25).

**Results**

The characteristics of the children of this study are shown in **Table 2**. There were 86 Chinese (43.4%), 66 Malay (33.3%) and 46 Indian (23.2%) children; 89 boys (44.9%) and 109 girls (55.1%), respectively. Indian and Malay children had higher %BAT compared to Chinese children. Indian children also had higher SAT and ectopic fat compared to Chinese or Malay children despite having similar BMI. Girls had higher ∑SFT and SAT and lower %BAT than boys. Following sex and age group standardized BMI cut-off points by Cole et.al 8.6% of children were overweight and 7.1% were obese (26). Generally, the participants of this study had the similar characteristics with the children who completed MRI with poor image quality, or GUSTO children who did not participated in this study, except that participants appeared to have lower IMCL at 4.5 years compared to those children. The participants also had marginally higher sum of SFT than the children of whole GUSTO cohort who did not participate in this study (**Table 3**).

**Table 4** shows that %BAT had an inverse correlation while BAT FF within FDSA had a positive correlation with adiposity and all metabolic parameters; total and abdominal adiposity, ectopic fat and FLI. Figure 2 shows as an example that FDSA exhibits lower FF in one child with BMI 13.5 kg/m2 which is primarily filled with BAT and higher FF in a child with BMI 25.0 kg/m2 which was devoid of BAT.

*Associations between %BAT and adiposity at age 4****.****5 years*

A one percent unit increase in %BAT was associated with lower total adiposity measures; BMI, β (95%CI), -0.08 (-0.10, -0.06) kg/m2 and ∑SFT, -0.57 (-0.70, -0.44) mm (**Table 5**). Similarly,each one percent unit increase %BAT was associated with lower abdominal adiposity; SSAT -11.16 (-13.87, -8.45) ml, DSAT -8.21 (-10.17, -6.25) ml and VAT -4.26 (-5.19, -3.32) ml (Table 5). The interaction terms were significant between %BAT and ethnicity as well as sex on total and abdominal adiposity measures. Therefore, stratified analyses by ethnicity and sex were performed. An increase in %BAT was associated with a reduction in total and abdominal adiposity in all three ethnic groups, as well as in both boys and girls. Compared to Malay and Chinese children, the reduction in adiposity with increasing %BAT was greater among Indian children despite them having greater total and abdominal adiposity. Similarly, such associations were stronger in girls than in boys (**Table 6**).

*Associations between %BAT and metabolic profile at age 4****.****5 years and 6 years*

Each one percent unit increase in %BAT was associated with lower liver fat, -0.008

(-0.013, -0.003) by-weight and lower IMCL, -0.003 (-0.006, -0.001) % of water (Table 5). Similarly, each one percent unit increase in %BAT at age 4.5 years was associated with lower FLI; -0.044 (-0.075, -0.013) and MetS score: -0.046 (-0.093, 0.001) at 6 years. There were no associations between %BAT and FPG or fasting plasma insulin concentrations (Table 5). Ethnicity and sex did not modify the association between %BAT and ectopic fat accumulation.

*Associations between FF of FDSA and metabolic profile at age 4****.****5 years and 6 years*

Contrary to the associations between %BAT and metabolic profile, each percent increase in FF within FDSA had a positive association with higher total and abdominal adiposity, ectopic fat volumes and metabolic parameters (Table 5). There was no association between FF and FPG or fasting plasma insulin concentrations.

**Discussion**

In this study, using water-fat MRI, we identified and quantified BAT in supraclavicular and axillary regions without physiological activation in 4.5-year old Asian children. We observed an inverse relationship between %BAT and total adiposity, abdominal adipose tissue compartment volumes using MRI, ectopic fat accumulation in the liver and soleus muscle tissue using MRS and with FLI. The accumulation of abdominal fat and ectopic adipose tissue is recognized as one of the important characteristics of obesity and a major risk factor of cardiometabolic diseases and has been shown to have an inverse relationship with BAT(27). In the past few years, multiple studies in animal and adult humans have convincingly showed that adult humans have functional BAT which can be activated and which has the capacity for obesity-reducing thermogenesis(4). These observations of an inverse relationship with BAT at a non-activated state and metabolic risk markers underscore and support the favorable and important role of BAT in the pathophysiology of obesity.

We found that ethnicity and sex modify the associations between %BAT and adiposity. Compared to Malay and Chinese children, the strength of the associations was stronger in Indian children despite them having the highest degree of adiposity and most ectopic fat. The underlying mechanism for this observation is not known. However, our findings of ethnic differences in adiposity and IMCL is consistent with previous findings in the GUSTO cohort (21,28). We have previously shown interethnic variations in insulin sensitivity. With increasing adiposity, insulin sensitivity was generally lower but the effect was lesser in Indians despite greater extent of DSAT and IMCL accumulation compared to Chinese and Malays(29). These observations suggest that the presence of higher %BAT may not be as protective against adiposity in Indians as in the other two ethnic groups. To our knowledge, there is no study exploring sex differences in the association between BAT and adiposity. Several studies have suggested that women have greater activated BAT mass and activity than men(30-33). Pfannenberg et. al reported that higher BAT mass and activity was observed in women older than 43 years but no sex difference was observed in the younger age group 11-43 years(34). Our finding of girls having lower %BAT is consistent with a recent study which observed lower cold-activated BAT mass in younger women (18-35 years) than men. The lower %BAT and the greater strength of association between %BAT and adiposity observed in girls may reflect that girls may benefit more from the protective effect of BAT compared to boys. The underlying mechanisms behind these ethnic and sex differences are not clear. However, these findings highlight that the potential protective effect of BAT even at non-activated state on adiposity may differ across ethnic groups and sex. This information is important as there is currently considerable ongoing focus on BAT as a therapeutic target for treatment of obesity.

The observed inverse relationship between %BAT and liver fat supports the potential role of BAT in the development of NAFLD. Possible mechanisms underlying these findings have been discussed previously(35-37). The oxidation of fatty acids in BAT inhibits lipid trafficking into the liver leading to suppression of liver fat accumulation and thus consequently reduce the risk of developing NAFLD(35-37). Similarly, higher BAT activation measured by infrared thermography following cold exposure was associated with less liver fat and a more favorable metabolic profile in prepubertal children(38). We did not find an association between %BAT and glucose, insulin and MetS scores in the children. As our study population of young children was relatively homogeneous, it is likely that fasting glucose and fasting insulin concentrations were still in the normal physiological range with less variation enabling observations of significant differences.

Our findings add to the limited information on BAT in young children. Our study has several unique strengths. Firstly, this study shows the novel finding of an inverse relationship between non-activated BAT under thermoneutral conditions and metabolic profile in early childhood. The fact that these differences are observed in preschool age children points to underlying genetic and early environmental factors which has important future metabolic implications. Further, the GUSTO study draws from the three major Asian ethnic groups that represent 50% of the global population, increasing the relevance of these findings to global efforts to address non-communicable diseases. Secondly, the mean age of the children in this study was 4.6 years forming a homogenous age group unlike in most previous studies of BAT, thus the observations on BAT can better represent preschool age. Moreover, the study participants were healthy and were not patients requiring PET/CT for medical indications. BAT activation can be interfered or altered in patients depending on the underlying disease status such as cancer and medication used which might lead to misinterpretation of the observations during PET/CT scans.(39). Lastly, our findings strengthen the proposition of MRI as an alternative imaging technique to characterize BAT even at non-activated state. The available methods which identify BAT in human are PET/CT and infrared thermography, and both only measure activated BAT thus underestimating the presence of inactive or weakly activated BAT. Water-fat MRI does not use ionizing radiation nor radioactive tracers therefore it can be used as a safe modality to identify BAT in future research in larger cohorts, longitudinal studies and in the general population, especially in a pediatric population, to better understand the role BAT plays in humans.

There are some potential limitations which merit discussion. Water-fat MRI derived measures of BAT either FF or volume, do not provide a direct measurement of BAT activity as PET/CT, nor differentiate between active and inactive BAT. Water-fat MRI is used by inference with the knowledge that lower fat-signal-fraction (FF) in the supraclavicular-axillary region reflects BAT in the absence of pharmacological or cold stimulation (40,41). We acknowledge that information based on FF alone may not have 100% sensitivity or specificity to draw this conclusion.  However, as participants in this study are young children, either cold or pharmacological stimulation or more accurate methods involving radiation such as PET-CT would not be acceptable in our setting. Our approach is therefore based on findings from previous studies showing that BAT can be detected by water-fat MRI in a physiologic condition in comparison to PET/CT and validated by histology (15,42). In addition, at the present time, there are no standardized FF ranges or metrics for identifying BAT. It is not known if this range differs from cohort to cohort, or by age and sex.  In our study, FF was used as a broader range i.e. 20% to 80%, based on FF identified as BAT in anatomical locations (supraclavicular and axillary fat depot) in previous studies for neonates, children and adults (15,42,43). . FF <20% was excluded as such low FF represent FF from bones or muscle. In addition, the upper limit of the cut-off FF for BAT was considered based on FF of subcutaneous adipose tissue from MRS acquired in these children on the same day as BAT MRI was performed.The median FF of subcutaneous adipose tissue was **87.6%** and the 10th percentile of FF was **80.8%** (**Figure 4**).Therefore, the chosen cut-off of 80% can be considered reasonable. Even selecting 80%, the heterogeneity of BAT at this age, is such that underestimation of BAT is still a possibility especially for overweight or obese children in whom FF at FDSA is higher (40). It is also possible that BAT in FDSA may have a high FF that mimics WAT at the state before oxidation of stored lipids.

Only a subset of all GUSTO children was included in these analyses given the challenges of obtaining consent from parents, acquiring MRI in this age group and stringent image quality control process. Although this number of subjects is the largest by far among BAT studies using water-fat MRI, caution is warranted in generalizing our findings. Future studies in a larger population as well as longitudinal studies are required to assess if the observed associations persist. The secondary outcomes of this study, metabolic parameters in the blood were measured at 6 years, approximately 1.5 years after MRI was performed. Therefore, the difference in temporal relationship between BAT and these metabolic markers may have attenuated the associations. Longitudinal follow up of these children is ongoing to determine whether these associations persist. Lastly, in our regression models, we only controlled for factors which are known to be associated with BAT based on previous literature. Therefore, there may be residual confounding which may have affected our results.

In conclusion, our findings support the potential role of BAT in influencing adiposity and ectopic fat depots in Asian children. Observed ethnic and sex differences provide novel important information that the protective role of higher BAT may differ by ethnicity and sex. Long-term follow-up studies are warranted to replicate these findings, to better understand the role of BAT and to determine the long-term health implications of BAT on the development of obesity and related metabolic disorders.
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# Legends for Figures

# Figure 1 Study flow chart showing children participating in MRI at 4.5 years

**Figure 2.** Color map of supraclavicular and axillary fat depots from water-fat MRI images in preschool children

***Figure Legend:*** Segmented supraclavicular and axillary fat depots (FDSA) were indicated by white boundaries. Color map of fat-signal-fractions is illustrated on 0% (blue) to 100% (red) scale. Supraclavicular-axillary fat depots (outlined white) exhibit lower fat fractions in a child with BMI 13·5 kg/m2 (A) versus a child with BMI 25·0 kg/m2 (B)

**Figure 3** Scatter plots of child’s body mass index and brown and white adipose tissue within supraclavicular and axillary fat depot at 4.5 years

***Figure Legend:*** Scatter plots of child’s body mass index and brown and white adipose tissue within supra-clavicular fat depot at 4.5 years· 3A· The association between body mass index (BMI) and volume of brown adipose tissue, 3B· The association between BMI and percentage of brown adipose tissue, 3C· The association between BMI and volume of white adipose tissue, 3D· The association between BMI and percentage of white adipose tissue within supraclavicular and axillary fat depot

# Figure 4 Histogram of Fat-signal-fraction of subcutaneous adipose tissue in 4.5 years old children

***Figure Legend:*** Histogram of fat-signal-fraction of subcutaneous adipose tissue in 4.5 years old children from magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) acquired on the same day as water-fat magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)

**Legends for Tables**

**Table 1.** Intra- and Inter reliability of manual segmentation of brown adipose tissue

**Table 2** Characteristics of participants by ethnicity groups and sex

**Table 3** Comparison of characteristics of study participants and non-participants

# Table 4 Correlations of percentage brown adipose tissue and brown adipose fat-signal-fraction with adiposity and metabolic profile of children

**Table 5** Association of percentage brown adipose tissue and fat-signal-fraction with metabolic parameters of children at 4.5 years and 6 years

**Table 6** Association between percentage brown adipose tissue and adiposity measures at 4.5 years by ethnicity groups and sex

**Table 1.** Intra- and Inter reliability of manual segmentation of brown adipose tissue

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Subject | Intra-reliability | Inter-reliability |
| Dice Similarity Coefficients |
| 1 | 0.83 | 0.85 |
| 2 | 0.80 | 0.86 |
| 3 | 0.89 | 0.91 |
| 4 | 0.85 | 0.90 |
| 5 | 0.83 | 0.82 |
| 6 | 0.88 | 0.87 |
| 7 | 0.83 | 0.87 |
| 8 | 0.87 | 0.82 |
| 9 | 0.88 | 0.84 |
| 10 | 0.76 | 0.85 |
| 11 | 0.89 | 0.90 |
| 12 | 0.89 | 0.86 |
| 13 | 0.79 | 0.82 |
| 14 | 0.88 | 0.87 |
| 15 | 0.85 | 0.90 |
| 16 | 0.83 | 0.85 |
| 17 | 0.85 | 0.85 |
| 18 | 0.76 | 0.80 |
| 19 | 0.86 | 0.86 |
| 20 | 0.87 | 0.90 |

The Dice Similarity Coefficients is not only a measure of true positives but it also penalizes for the false positives. The DSC ranges between 0 and 1 and scores greater than 0.7 can be interpreted as a high grade of overlap of the generated contours.

**Table 2** Characteristics of participants by ethnicity groups and sex

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **N** | All N=198 | Ethnicity |  | Sex |
|  | Chinese | Malay | Indian |  | Boys | Girls |
|  | 86 (43.4) | 66 (33.3) | 46 (23.2) |  | 89 (44.95) | 109 (55.05) |
| Age (years) | 198 | 4.58 (0.07) | 4.57 (0.06) | 4.58 (0.08) | 4.59 (0.08) |  | 4.57 (0.07) | 4.59 (0.09) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Brown adipose tissue measures at 4.5 years** |
| Mean fat-signal-fraction of BAT within FDSA | 198 | 0.51 (0.05) | 0.52 (0.04) | 0.51 (0.05) | 0.51 (0.05) |  | 0.50 (0.04) | 0.52 (0.05) |
| BAT volume within FDSA (ml) | 198 | 16.89 (3.53) | 16.40 (2.95) | 17.49 (3.38) | 16.94 (4.55) |  | 17.44 (3.53) | 16.44 (3.48) |
| Percentage BAT within FDSA (%) | 198 | 79.70 (11.66) | 76.88 (11.25) | 81.38 (11.70) | 82.54 (11.45) |  | 82.28 (9.38) | 77.59 (12.90) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Total adiposity measures at 4.5 years** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Body mass index (kg/m2) | 198 | 15.77 (1.94) | 15.54 (1.60) | 16.00 (1.65) | 15.87 (2.74) |  | 15.94 (1.74) | 15.63 (2.08) |
| Sum of skinfold thickness (mm) | 194 | 32.40 (12.43) | 30.38 (8.14) | 32.76 (11.67) | 35.53 (18.19) |  | 28.75 (9.26) | 35.38 (13.86) |
| **Abdominal adiposity measures at 4.5 years** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SSAT (ml) | 187 | 427.20 (251.24) | 391.54 (163.38) | 422.94 (235.67) | 499.16 (370.97) |  | 369.45 (186.83) | 472.30 (284.65) |
| DSAT (ml) | 187 | 173.88 (182.84) | 143.83 (118.31) | 173.21 (179.81) | 230.09 (260.48) |  | 133.51 (124.25) | 205.40 (213.25) |
| VAT (ml) | 187 | 192.02 (86.31) | 198.02 (69.68) | 184.32 (60.15) | 192.61 (135.27) |  | 192.14 (72.20) | 191.92 (96.24) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Ectopic fat measured by MRS at 4.5 years** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Liver fat (% of liver weight) | 158 | 0.567 (0.364) | 0.538 (0.338) | 0.563 (0.354) | 0.632 (0.426) |  | 0.580 (0.362) | 0.559 (0.366) |
| Intramyocellular lipids (% of water content) | 170 | 0.461(0.205) | 0.453(0.182) | 0.428(0.174) | 0.531(0.274) |  | 0.454 (0.200) | 0.466 (0.210) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Adiposity and metabolic parameters at 6 years** |
| Age (years) | 198 | 6.05 (0.09) | 6.05 (0.09) | 6.06 (0.09) | 6.04 (0.10) |  | 6.04 (0.9) | 6.06 (0.9) |
| Body mass index (kg/m2) | 198 | 15.70 (2.23) | 15.38 (1.91) | 16.15 (2.31) | 15.67 (2.62) |  | 15.68 (1.98) | 15.73 (2.43) |
| Fatty liver index | 84 | 1.100 (1.534) | 1.063 (1.697) | 0.926 (0.724) | 1.376 (1.920) |  | 0.773 (0.581) | 1.312 (1.890) |
| Metabolic syndrome score | 89 | 0.192 (2.262) | 0.073 (2.371) | 0.247 (1.881) | 0.349 (2.264) |  | -0.030 (1.771) | 0.328 (2.525) |
| Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/L) | 142 | 4.51 (0.38) | 4.54 (0.37) | 4.47 (0.42) | 4.53 (0.34) |  | 4.62 (0.36) | 4.43 (0.38) |
| Fasting plasma insulin (mU/L) | 116 | 5.00 (3.94) | 4.54 (3.25) | 5.65 (5.28) | 4.94 (2.60) |  | 4.49 (3.29) | 5.30 (4.27) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Data shown are N (%) for categorical variables and mean (SD) for continuous variables. Abbreviations: FDSA: supraclavicular and axillary fat depot**,** BAT: brown adipose tissue, SSAT: abdominal superficial subcutaneous adipose tissue, DSAT: abdominal deep subcutaneous adipose tissue, VAT: visceral adipose tissue.

**Table 3** Comparison of characteristics of study participants and non-participants

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **N** | Participants | N | Children excluded due to failed image QC | P1 | N | Non-participants of whole GUSTO cohort | P2 |
| Age (years) | 198 | 4.58 (0.07) | 132 | 4.56 (0.07) | 0.116 | 633 | 4.55 (0.08) | 0.718 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Total adiposity measures at 4.5 years** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Body mass index (kg/m2) | 198 | 15.77 (1.94) | 132 | 15.47 (1.83) | 0.589 | 633 | 15.48 (1.81) | 0.372 |
| Sum of skinfold thickness (mm) | 194 | 32.40 (12.43) | 129 | 29.57 (10.64) | 0.232 | 602 | 30.34 (10.02) | 0.004 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Abdominal adiposity measures at 4.5 years** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SSAT (ml) | 187 | 427.20 (251.24) | 90 | 387.31 (203.40) | 0.232 | 98 | 384.36 (197.41) | 0.149 |
| DSAT (ml) | 187 | 173.88 (182.84) | 90 | 137.85 (142.63) | 0.171 | 98 | 135.33 (139.18) | 0.132 |
| VAT (ml) | 187 | 192.02 (86.31) | 90 | 188.35 (65.21) | 0.473 | 98 | 190.55 (64.19) | 0.454 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Ectopic fat measured by MRS at 4.5 years** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Liver fat (% of liver weight) | 158 | 0.567 (0.364) | 96 | 0.560 (0.395) | 0.689 | 86 | 0.588 (0.416) | 0.273 |
| Intramyocellular lipids (% of water content) | 170 | 0.461(0.205) | 107 | 0.514 (0.367) | 0.009 | 92 | 0.520 (0.372) | 0.008 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Adiposity and metabolic parameters at 6 years** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age (years) | 198 | 6.05 (0.09) | 116 | 6.04 (0.08) | 0.154 | 593 | 6.06 (0.10) | 0.447 |
| Body mass index (kg/m2) | 198 | 15.70 (2.23) | 116 | 15.59 (2.40) | 0.962 | 591 | 15.53 (2.35) | 0.961 |
| Fatty liver index | 84 | 1.100 (1.534) | 50 | 1.135 (1.634) | 0.719 | 203 | 1.55 (4.18) | 0.099 |
| Metabolic syndrome score | 89 | 0.192 (2.262) | 52 | -0.095 (2.145) | 0.585 | 215 | 0.00 (2.55) | 0.278 |
| Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/L) | 142 | 4.51 (0.38) | 92 | 4.48 (0.54) | 0.053 | 348 | 4.55 (0.38) | 0.978 |
| Fasting plasma insulin (mU/L) | 116 | 5.00 (3.94) | 57 | 4.41 (2.30) | 0.115 | 255 | 4.32 (2.95) | 0.118 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# Data shown are N (%) for categorical variables and mean (SD) for continuous variables. Abbreviations: FDSA: supraclavicular and axillary fat depot, BAT: brown adipose tissue, SSAT: abdominal superficial subcutaneous adipose tissue, DSAT: abdominal deep subcutaneous adipose tissue, VAT: visceral adipose tissue. P1 and P2 values were based on comparison of characteristics between study participants vs. children excluded due to failed image QC and Non-participants of whole GUSTO cohort respectively.

# Table 4 Correlations of percentage brown adipose tissue and brown adipose fat-signal-fraction with adiposity and metabolic profile of children

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | N | Percentage brown adipose tissue (%) |  | Brown adipose tissue fat-signal-fraction |
|  | Pearson correlation | P  |  | Pearson correlation | P  |
| **Adiposity measures at 4·5 years** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Body mass index (kg/m2) | 198 | -0·386 | <0·001 |  | 0·422 | <0·001 |
| Sum of skinfold thickness (mm) | 195 | -0·483 | <0·001 |  | 0·503 | <0·001 |
| SSAT (ml) | 187 | -0·487 | <0·001 |  | 0·515 | <0·001 |
| DSAT (ml) | 187 | -0·486 | <0·001 |  | 0·480 | <0·001 |
| VAT (ml) | 187 | -0·537 | <0·001 |  | 0·426 | <0·001 |
| **Ectopic fat at 4·5 years** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Liver fat measured by MRS (% of liver weight) | 158 | -0·194 | 0·014 |  | 0·181 | 0·023 |
| Intramyocellular lipids (% of water content) | 170 | -0·166 | 0·031 |  | 0·188 | 0·014 |
| **Metabolic parameters at 6 years** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fatty liver index | 84 | -0·305 | 0·005 |  | 0·348 | 0·001 |
| Metabolic syndrome score | 89 | -0·194 | 0·069 |  | 0·298 | 0·005 |
| Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/L) | 142 | -0·060 | 0·477 |  | 0·036 | 0·669 |
| Fasting plasma insulin (mU/L) | 116 | -0·092 | 0·328 |  | 0·135 | 0·148 |

Abbreviations: SSAT: abdominal superficial subcutaneous adipose tissue, DSAT: abdominal deep subcutaneous adipose tissue, VAT: visceral adipose tissue, N: number of participants· Two sided P-values less than 0.05 considered significant·

**Table 5** Association of percentage brown adipose tissue and fat-signal-fraction with metabolic parameters of children at 4.5 years and 6 years

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Dependent variables | Percentage brown adipose tissue |  | Brown adipose tissue fat-signal-fraction |
| β (95%CI) | P | Corrected P |  | β (95%CI) | P | Corrected P |
| **Adiposity measures at 4.5 years** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Body mass index (kg/m2) | -0.08 (-0.10,-0.06) | <0.001 | <0.001 |  | 0.20 (0.15, 0.25) | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| Sum of skinfold thickness (mm) | -0.57 (-0.70, -0.44) | <0.001 | <0.001 |  | 1.35 (1.03, 1.67) | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| SSAT (ml) | -11.16 (-13.87, -8.45) | <0.001 | <0.001 |  | 28.09 (21.58, 34.60) | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| DSAT (ml) | -8.21 (-10.17, -6.25) | <0.001 | <0.001 |  | 19.19 (14.35, 24.03) | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| VAT (ml) | -4.26 (-5.19, -3.32) | <0.001 | <0.001 |  | 8.16 (5.70, 10.62) | <0.001 | <0.001 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Ectopic fat measured by MRS at 4.5 years** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Liver fat (% of liver weight) | -0.008 (-0.013, -0.003) | 0.004 | 0.007 |  | 0.017 (0.004, 0.029) | 0.009 | 0.012 |
| Intramyocellular lipids (% of water content) | -0.003 (-0.006, -0.001) | 0.020 | 0.030 |  | 0.009 (0.002, 0.015) | 0.009 | 0.011 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Metabolic parameters at 6 years** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fatty liver index | -0.044 (-0.075, -0.013) | 0.005 | 0.008 |  | 0.117 (0.045, 0.189) | 0.002 | 0.003 |
| Metabolic syndrome score | -0.046 (-0.093, 0.001) | 0.054 | 0.066 |  | 0.158 (0.051, 0.266) | 0.004 | 0.007 |
| Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/L) | -0.004 (-0.010, 0.002) | 0.165 | 0.182 |  | 0.007 (-0.006, 0.021) | 0.294 | 0.186 |
| Fasting plasma Insulin (mU/L) | -0.032 (-0.101, 0.037) | 0.362 | 0.362 |  | 0.118 (-0.051, 0.286) | 0.169 | 0.294 |

Abbreviations: SSAT: abdominal superficial subcutaneous adipose tissue, DSAT: abdominal deep subcutaneous adipose tissue, VAT: visceral adipose tissue. Models were adjusted for ethnicity, sex and age on MRI day. Coefficients (β) shown are differences in unit changes in adiposity and metabolic parameters with each one percent unit increase in %brown adipose tissue. Two sided P-values were determined with the use of multivariable regression models. Corrected P: P values corrected using Benjamini-Hockberg method with false discovery rate (FDR) of 0.05.

**Table 6** Association between percentage brown adipose tissue and adiposity measures at 4.5 years by ethnicity groups and sex

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | BMI (kg/m2) | ∑SFT (mm) | SSAT (ml) | DSAT (ml) | VAT (ml) |
|  | β (95% CI) | β (95% CI) | β(95% CI) | β (95% CI) | β (95% CI) |
| **Ethnicity1** |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chinese | -0.04 (-0.07,-0.01) | -0.31 (-0.45, -0.18) | -6.01 (-9.01, -3.01) | -4.49 (-6.66, -2.33) | -3.66 (-4.85, -2.48) |
|  | P=0.010 | P=<0.001 | P=<0.001 | P=<0.001 | P=<0.001 |
| Malay | -0.06 (-0.09, -0.02) | -0.44 (-0.67, -0.21) | -8.79 (-13.34, -4.25) | -6.79 (-10.32, -3.26) | -2.35 (-3.58, -1.12) |
|  | P=0.002 | P=<0.001 | P=<0.001 | P=<0.001 | P=<0.001 |
| Indian | -0.17 (-0.22, -0.12) | -1.19 (-1.51, -0.88) | -23.69 (-30.69, -16.69) | -17.21 (-21.89, -12.53) | -8.27 (-10.97, -5.57) |
|  | P=<0.001 | P=<0.001 | P=<0.001 | P=<0.001 | P=<0.001 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P for interaction (ethnicity\*%BAT) | P=<0.001 | P=<0.001 | P=<0.001 | P=<0.001 | P=<0.001 |
| **Sex2** |  |  |  |  |  |
| Girls | -0.09 (-0.12, -0.07) | -0.69 (-0.86, -0.52) | -13.28 (-16.78, -9.78) | -10.11 (-12.69, -7.52) | -4.71 (-5.87, -3.54) |
|  | P=<0.001 | P=<0.001 | P=<0.001 | P=<0.001 | P=<0.001 |
| Boys | -0.04 (-0.08, 0.00) | -0.31 (-0.52, -0.11) | -5.99 (-10.40, -1.57) | -3.56 (-6.53, -0.59) | -3.35 (-5.00, -1.70) |
|  | P=0.049 | P=0.003 | P=0.009 | P=0.019 | P=<0.001 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P for interaction (sex\*%BAT) | P=0.038 | P=0.014 | P=0.030 | P=0.007 | P=0.223 |

Abbreviations: BMI: body mass index, ∑SFT: sum of skinfold thickness, SSAT: abdominal superficial subcutaneous adipose tissue, DSAT: abdominal deep subcutaneous adipose tissue, VAT: visceral adipose tissue. 1 Models adjusted for sex and age on MRI day. 2 Models adjusted for ethnicity and age on MRI day. Coefficients (β) shown are differences in unit change in BMI, ∑SFT, SSAT, DSAT and VAT with each one percent unit change in %brown adipose tissue. Two sided P-values were determined with the use of multivariable regression models.