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Abstract—In the second part of this series, we propose a physics-based model for describing the temperature dependence of TiO$_x$ based memristors, both switching and static. We show that, current-voltage (I-V) characteristics of memristor in the non-switching regime, indicating a Schottky emission mechanism, can be described by minor modifications to the Schottky current equation. This leads to a physics-based static I-V compact model. Simultaneously, we show that, the temperature dependence of the switching dynamics model parameters naturally emerges as a mere scaling factor from the static I-V model. This is a computationally efficient approach which does not require any additional parameters to extend the switching dynamics model for incorporating thermal dependence.
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I. INTRODUCTION

TiO$_x$ has been shown to be one of the most promising oxide materials for memristive devices [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7]. It is a CMOS-compatible material that can be used to realise high density arrays of memristor devices [8], [9]. In this part of the series we focus on TiO$_x$ memristors which have been shown to exhibit Schottky emission [5], [10] as the dominant conduction mechanism in low-field regime. These devices exhibit following operating modes: (1) a sub-threshold ‘non-switching’ or ‘static’ regime and (2) a supra-threshold ‘switching regime’. Switching and static regimes can be best understood from Fig. 1 which shows current-voltage characteristics of a Pt/TiO$_x$/Pt memristor. When the I-V is traced only up to ±0.5 V, no hysteresis is observed, however for higher biases i.e. $|V| > 0.5$ V a hysteresis loop can be seen indicating resistive switching. For memory applications of memristors, a bias in the non-switching regime can be used for read operation whereas a bias in the switching regime can be used for set/reset operations.

Strukov et. al [1] have used simple linear relationship to describe the I-V characteristics of memristor devices. However, in reality memristor I-V characteristics can exhibit non-linear behaviour. Simmon’s tunneling barrier model [11] has been one of the most widely used models for metal-insulator-metal (MIM) memristors [12], [13], [14], [15]. However, the expected temperature sensitivity of I-V characteristics in tunnelling-based conduction mechanisms is weak. In TiO$_x$-based devices, however, a significant thermal sensitivity has been observed [10], [16], revealing a barrier controlled conduction mechanism, i.e. Schottky emission in metal/TiO$_x$/metal memristors. For this reason we use the Schottky current equation instead of Simmon’s tunnel barrier model to describe static I-V characteristics.

In this paper, we propose a physics-based, compact model for describing the static I-V characteristics of memristive devices. The model is based on the Schottky current equation with minor modifications to improve accuracy. We then proceed to use static I-V and pulsed resistance transient (PRT) testing protocols to measure the electrical characteristics of TiO$_x$ memristors at a range of temperatures. Finally, we attempt to predict how the resistive switching behaviour changes purely as a result of applying the Schottky barrier temperature correction factor on the baseline model and conclude that this modification may be sufficient to explain all observed thermally-induced variations in resistive switching. This is significant because: i) it shows that to a good approximation the thermal dependence of resistive switching is grounded entirely on the effect of temperature on the static I-V (i.e. the actual process of resistive switching itself is effectively temperature-independent) and ii) it allows for a very compact way of modelling thermal effects on both static and dynamic electrical characteristics of our memristive devices.

II. EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

The experimental data presented in this work is obtained from two specimens of TiO$_x$ memristors referred to as memristor I-b and memristor II in part I as well as in part II of the series. For memristor I-b (for memristor II), both the top and bottom electrodes are deposited using e-beam evaporation technique. The bottom electrode comprises of 12 nm Pt (20 nm Au) and 5 nm Ti, of which Ti is used as an adhesive layer between oxidized Si substrate and the Pt layer. In both the types of memristor devices top electrode is Pt (15 nm for memristor I-b and 12 nm for memristor II). For memristor I-b (memristor II) 10 nm (25 nm) TiO$_x$ active layer is deposited at room temperature using magnetron sputtering. Further details of the fabrication technique can be found in part I of the series. The memristors are electroformed using an incremental step pulse train protocol, identical to part I of the series.
Suitable thermal settling on wafer. This approach is different from the micro-chamber based temperature controller which is suitable for devices dependence measurements for memristor I-b, we use a chuck-switching at any point along the trajectory. In contrast, when an I-V is traced only up to 0.5 V, hysteresis is absent, indicating no resistive switching. In the previous part of the series we have proposed a physics based compact model which can accurately describe I-V characteristics in the non-switching regime. The model introduces fitting parameters $A^*$ and Schottky barrier lowering factor $\phi_B$ in general can have a temperature dependence. $A^*$, $\alpha$ and $\phi_B$ can be extracted (or fitted) using temperature dependent I-V measurements. For the purpose of compact modelling, the temperature dependence of Richardson’s constant can be accounted by modifying the Schottky current equation to,

$$I = A^* T^2 \exp\left(-\frac{q(\phi_B - \alpha \sqrt{V})}{k_B T}\right)$$

where, $I$ is measured current, $V$ is applied bias across top and bottom electrodes, $T$ is temperature, $\phi_B$ is Schottky barrier height, $\alpha$ is Schottky barrier lowering factor, $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant, $A$ is device area and $A^*$ is the Richardson’s constant. Note that, in eq. (1), Richardson constant $A^*$ and Schottky barrier lowering factor $\alpha$ in general can have a temperature dependence. $A^*$, $\alpha$ and $\phi_B$ can be extracted (or fitted) using temperature dependent I-V measurements.

Fig. 1. A pair of I-V curves from a Pt/TiO$_x$/Pt memristor device. When the I-V is traced only up to ±0.5 V, hysteresis is absent, indicating no resistive switching at any point along the trajectory. In contrast, when an I-V is traced to ±3 V, hysteresis is observed indicating a change in device resistance.
where \( R_0 \) is the resistance value of the memristor before the application of a switching bias. The change in the \( \Delta R \) at \( n^{th} \) pulse is given by,

\[
\Delta R_n = -R_p \ln \left( \frac{-st_w}{R_p} + 1 \right), \quad \text{for } n = 1
\]

\[
\Delta R_n = -R_p \ln \left( \frac{-st_w}{R_p} + \exp \left( \frac{\Delta R_{n-1}}{R_p} \right) \right), \quad \text{for } n > 1
\]  

(8)

where \( t_w \) is the pulse width and \( s \) and \( R_p \) are the fitting parameters. For the very first pulse in the switching bias \( \Delta R_0 = 0 \).

In contrast, the temperature dependence in the physics-based model begins from the modified Schottky equation. Eq. (2) implies that the resistance of the memristor can be calculated as:

\[
R = \frac{V_i}{\kappa A_m^*T C_T} \exp \left( \frac{q(\phi_B - \alpha \sqrt{V_i})}{k_B T} \right)
\]  

(9)

where, \( V_i \) is the read voltage which is typically chosen in the non-switching regime and throughout this study we use it to be 200 mV. Using eq. (2) to take a temperature ratio we obtain:

\[
\frac{R(T_2)}{R(T_1)} = \left( \frac{T_1}{T_2} \right)^{CT} \exp \left( \frac{q(\phi_B - \alpha \sqrt{V_i})(T_1 - T_2)}{k_B T_1 T_2} \right)
\]  

(10)

where \( \kappa(T_1, T_2) \) is a function typical to the Schottky emission conduction mechanism. In the next section, from the PRT data, we will show that, irrespective of the pulse number, the measured resistance ratio \( R(T_2)/R(T_1) \) always follows this typical \( \kappa(T_1, T_2) \) characteristic. Now, from eqs. (7), (8) and (11), and dropping the \( (T_1, T_2) \) argument of \( \kappa \) for convenience we can write:

\[
R_n(T_2) = \kappa R_0(T_1) - \kappa R_p(T_1) \ln \left( \frac{-\kappa s(T_1)t_w}{\kappa R_p(T_1)} + \exp \left( \frac{\kappa (R_{n-1}(T_1) - R_0(T_1))}{\kappa R_p(T_1)} \right) \right)
\]  

(12)

which allows us to predict \( R(T_2) \) for any temperature within the valid range of the approximation, so long as we know \( R(T_y) \) for some temperature \( T_y \) within said range.

This links with our empirical formulation of the model from part I of the series. Specifically, we can compare eq. (12) and eq. (8) in order to infer:

\[
s(T_2) = \kappa(T_1, T_2)s(T_1)
\]  

(13)

\[
R_p(T_2) = \kappa(T_1, T_2)R_p(T_1)
\]  

(14)

Eq. (13) and eq. (14) essentially state that the device resistance and the switching dynamics model parameters are scaled down/up according to the Schottky current equation temperature scaling factor \( \kappa \), which then needs to be tested by experiment.

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Fig. 2(a) and (b) show the I-V characteristics of Pt/TiO_2/Pt memristor (memristor I-b) in the first and third quadrants in log and linear scales respectively. Since we use a small positive voltage as a read out voltage which falls in the first quadrant, likewise a third quadrant read-out voltage can also be chosen.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>parameter</th>
<th>first quadrant value</th>
<th>third quadrant value</th>
<th>units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( q\phi_B )</td>
<td>0.277</td>
<td>0.279</td>
<td>eV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A_m^* )</td>
<td>0.002386</td>
<td>0.002675</td>
<td>A/(K^2cm^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_T )</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha_2 )</td>
<td>-5.261 \times 10^{-6}</td>
<td>-4.265 \times 10^{-6}</td>
<td>(\sqrt{K})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha_4 )</td>
<td>3.288 \times 10^{-3}</td>
<td>2.542 \times 10^{-3}</td>
<td>(\sqrt{K})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha_6 )</td>
<td>-2.643 \times 10^{-1}</td>
<td>-1.456 \times 10^{-1}</td>
<td>(\sqrt{V})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Parameters of modified Schottky Model used to describe the I-V behaviour of our test device in the non-invasive regime.

Fig. 2(a) and (b) also show the model fits to the measured I-V characteristics. Fig. 2(c) and (d) show the values of \( A' \) and \( \alpha \) at different temperatures which are obtained by fitting eq. (5) to the data. Next, By fitting eq. (4) we obtain the values of \( C_T \), \( A_m^* \) and \( \phi_B \). Temperature dependence of \( \alpha \) for this particular memristor is described using second order polynomial (eq. (6)). Table 1 presents the extracted model parameters and Fig. 2(c) and (d) show the corresponding equation fits. From Fig. 2(a) and (b), it can be seen that the physics-based static I-V compact model can accurately describe the I-V characteristics of the memristor in the non-switching regime.

We now discuss the switching dynamics of the memristor I-b. Fig. 3(a) show the PRT data of \( \Delta R \) at 313 K and the corresponding train of pulses of the switching bias is shown in Fig. 3(b). The alternate polarity sequence of switching biases of magnitudes 0.84 V to 1.16 V with step size of 0.04 V is used to obtain the PRT characteristics. The switching dynamics model described in eq. (8) is fitted to extract the model parameters \( s \) and \( R_p \). Fig. 3(c) and (d) show the extracted values of \( s \) and \( R_p \) at different bias values. The bias dependence of \( s \) and \( R_p \) is approximated by exponential expressions.

\[
s = A_s \exp (V/V_{0,s})
\]  

(15)

\[
R_p = A_{R_p} \exp (V/V_{0,R_p})
\]  

(16)

The switching dynamics modeling methodology up to this point is identical to that of part I of this series except that PRT data is fitted at only one temperature (313 K in this case). In the next step of the methodology we adopt a physics-based approach and use eqs. (11)-(14) in order to derive (and model) the temperature dependence of switching dynamics model parameters from the static I-V equations.

Measured results, shown in Fig. 4(a), illustrate the PRT data of the memristor obtained at temperatures 313 K - 353 K. Fig. 4(b) presents ratios of resistance at various temperatures vs a baseline value taken at 313 K. The ratios are taken for resistance values measured at the end of the first, middle and the last pulse of the each switching bias in the PRT measurement experiment. The figure also presents the ratios predicted by eq. (11) i.e. from the fitted static I-V model. It can be seen that, irrespective of the pulse number and the amplitude of the switching bias, experimentally determined ratios closely follow the theoretical predictions. Using eq. (11), (13) and (14) values of \( s \) and \( R_p \) at elevated temperatures can indeed be predicted. The corresponding switching dynamics characteristics obtained from the predicted values of \( s \) and \( R_p \) are overlaid on the PRT data in Fig. 4. The agreement
Fig. 2. (a) and (b) are first and third quadrant I-V characteristics respectively of an electroformed Pt/TiO\textsubscript{x}/Pt memristor (memristor I-b) in log and linear scales. For third quadrant I-V, we have dropped the negative sign in both bias and current. The figures also show the static I-V model fit to the data (dashed lines). (c) and (d) show extracted temperature dependence of $A'$ and $\alpha$ for first and third quadrant I-V fits respectively. For both the quadrants fits eq. (4) is used to fit $A'(T)$ whereas a second order polynomial, eq. (6), is used to fit $\alpha(T)$.

Fig. 3. (a) PRT characteristics of initial state-referred resistance ($\Delta R$) of Pt/TiO\textsubscript{x}/Pt memristor and the switching model fit using eq. (8). (b) Applied switching bias of alternate polarity. In each switching bias train of 500 pulses with pulse width 100 $\mu$s and 10 ms inter-pulse off-time is used. Switching model parameter values obtained by fitting eq. (8) to the data for applied switching bias and corresponding equation fits for (c) parameter $s$ and (d) parameter $R_p$. Additional subscripts $p$ and $n$ indicate the polarity of the switching bias.

between calculated PRT characteristics and measured data is good everywhere, except at large amplitude switching biases at 343 K and 353 K. The reason for the discrepancy is not clear and further studies are required in that direction. Nevertheless, our proposed physics-based approach presents a direction for obtaining a computationally efficient switching dynamics model which can accommodate range of temperatures (low and elevated) near the room temperature.

We further show that the proposed physics-based model can be applied to another type of TiO\textsubscript{x} memristor device. We use the PRT measurements data of memristor II mentioned in part I of the series and are presented in Fig. 5(a). We use eq. (10) to obtain $R(T)/R(300K)$ the characteristics shown in Fig. 5(b). Here, we use apparent barrier height defined as $\phi_{B,app} = \phi_B - \alpha \sqrt{\text{V}_{\text{read}}}$ as a fitting parameter. The visual fit using $q\phi_{B,app} = 0.13$ eV is also shown in Fig. 5(b). Fig. 5(a) Also shows the PRT characteristics predicted at elevated temperatures.

Fig. 6 shows a comprehensive comparison of model errors for memristor I-b and memristor II. For a fair comparison we
Fig. 4. (a) PRT data of memristor, at a range of temperatures from 313 K to 353 K. It can be observed that the resistance values are decreasing with temperature. This can be easily shown to be the case according to the Schottky current equation (1). It can also be observed that the magnitude of change in resistance is also progressively reduced. (b) Characteristic temperature dependence originating from the Schottky emission based conduction mechanism. Irrespective of pulse number, the ratio of resistances measured at any pair of different temperatures follows the characteristic (solid line) predicted by the Schottky emission mechanism.

Fig. 5. (a) PRT measurement data of memristor II for temperatures 300 K-360 K. Stage II fitting of PRT characteristics is overlaid for 300 K data whereas for elevated temperatures predicted PRT measurements are overlaid. (b) Characteristics temperature dependence of memristor II exhibit a very similar behaviour to that of the Pt/TiO$_x$/Pt memristor (memristor I-b) characteristics shown in Fig. 4(b).

define the root mean square % error as,

\[
\text{% RMS error} = \frac{100}{N} \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{N} \left( \frac{\Delta R_{\text{data}} - \Delta R_{\text{fitted}}}{\Delta R_{\text{data}}} \right)^2}{}}
\]  

(17)

For the error comparisons we choose \( N = 5 \) which corresponds to the pulses at the end of each switching bias. Fig. 6(a) and (b) present fitting errors in behavioural modelling (part I of the series) and physics-based modelling (this part). The errors are calculated as mentioned in eq(17) for memristor I-b and memristor II for all temperatures and switching biases. For memristor I-b (Fig. 6(a)), behavioural model exhibit smaller errors at all temperatures and switching biases, whereas errors for physics-based model exhibit significantly large errors at higher temperatures. However, for smaller temperatures, there is no clear criterion on which one model can be chosen over another. A similar observation follows for memristor II. For higher temperatures, physics-based model does exhibit significantly large errors, nevertheless, it does provide a qualitative explanation for the reduction in resistive switching with temperature.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The key conclusion of this paper is experimentally showing that directly applying the Schottky equation-based temperature dependence of subthreshold I-V behaviour to supra-threshold
switching yields a remarkably accurate prediction of how resistive switching is affected by variations in temperature. Indeed, the magnitude of resistive switching scales down (or up). This work on physics-based model helps to explain this behaviour which is rooted in the Schottky emission as the conduction mechanism which holds at the low voltages (in non-switching regime) typically applied when reading the device. We find that Schottky equation based physics-based model holds for a significant part of the PRT data except at higher switching biases and at higher temperatures. The mismatch at sufficiently elevated temperature conditions is of as yet unknown origin, but we may speculate that beyond a certain degree of invasiveness (high voltage) and at a sufficiently high temperature, thermal effects could begin to appear, which would deviate the trends in fitting parameters $s(T)$ and $R_p(T)$. To this end, further investigation is needed for uncovering memristor behaviour at temperatures outside the range used in this study (and in particular towards higher temperatures) for determining the limits of the present model.
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