

**Supplementary Table S1.** Linear regression analyses – Association between NAFLD and/or T2DM status and values of log LF/HF ratio (included as a continuous variable).

	Standardized $\beta$ Coefficient(s)	P values
<b>Unadjusted model</b>		
<i>NAFLD and T2DM status</i>		
Without NAFLD/Without T2DM (n=115)	<i>Ref.</i>	
With T2DM/Without NAFLD (n=56)	0.001	0.987
With NAFLD/Without T2DM (n=68)	0.090	0.138
With NAFLD/With T2DM (n=117)	0.210	0.001
<b>Adjusted model 1</b>		
<i>NAFLD and T2DM status</i>		
Without NAFLD/Without T2DM (n=115)	<i>Ref.</i>	
With T2DM/Without NAFLD (n=56)	0.014	0.817
With NAFLD/Without T2DM (n=68)	0.086	0.148
With NAFLD/With T2DM (n=117)	0.192	0.002
Age (years)	-0.041	0.411
Sex (women vs. men)	-0.198	0.001
<b>Adjusted model 2</b>		
<i>NAFLD and T2DM status</i>		
Without NAFLD/Without T2DM (n=115)	<i>Ref.</i>	
With T2DM/Without NAFLD (n=56)	0.069	0.316
With NAFLD/Without T2DM (n=68)	0.139	0.033
With NAFLD/With T2DM (n=117)	0.327	<0.001
Age (years)	-0.053	0.386
Sex (women vs. men)	-0.199	<0.001
Body mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	-0.127	0.059
Hypertension (yes vs. no)	0.032	0.605
Dyslipidemia (yes vs. no)	0.010	0.856
HbA1c (%)	-0.086	0.345
HOMA-IR score	0.056	0.467
C-reactive protein (mg/dl)	-0.033	0.558
Liver stiffness (kPa)	-0.043	0.453

Sample size,  $n=356$ . Data are expressed as standard  $\beta$  coefficients as tested by linear regression analysis. The LF/HF ratio values (logarithmically transformed before analysis) were the dependent variable in all regression models.