

1 Maternal smoking behaviour across the first two pregnancies
2 and small for gestational age birth: analysis of the SLOPE
3 (Studying Lifecourse Obesity PrEdictors) population-based
4 cohort in the South of England

5 Elizabeth J Taylor^{1,2*}, Pia Doh¹, Nida Ziauddeen¹, Keith M Godfrey^{2,3}, Ann Berrington⁴, Nisreen A
6 Alwan^{1,2,5*}

7 ¹School of Primary Care, Population Sciences and Medical Education, Faculty of Medicine, University
8 of Southampton, Southampton, UK.

9 ²NIHR Southampton Biomedical Research Centre, University of Southampton and University Hospital
10 Southampton NHS Foundation Trust, Southampton, UK

11 ³MRC Lifecourse Epidemiology Unit, University of Southampton, Southampton General Hospital,
12 Southampton, UK.

13 ⁴Department of Social Statistics and Demography, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK.

14 ⁵NIHR Applied Research Collaboration (ARC) Wessex, Southampton, UK

15 * Corresponding authors: Elizabeth Taylor and Nisreen Alwan

16 Email: E.J.Taylor@soton.ac.uk (EJT) and N.A.Alwan@soton.ac.uk (NAA)

17 Short title: Maternal smoking behaviour and small for gestational age birth

18

19 Abstract

20 Maternal smoking is established to cause adverse birth outcomes, but evidence considering
21 maternal smoking change across successive pregnancies is sparse. We examined the association
22 between self-reported maternal smoking during and between the first two pregnancies with the
23 odds of small for gestational age (SGA) birth (<10th percentile) in the second infant.

24 Records for the first two pregnancies for 16791 women within the SLOPE (Studying Lifecourse
25 Obesity PrEdictors) study were analysed. This is a population-based cohort of prospectively collected
26 anonymised antenatal and birth healthcare data (2003-2018) in Hampshire, UK. Logistic regression
27 was used to relate maternal smoking change to the odds of SGA birth in the second infant.

28 In the full sample, compared to never smokers, mothers smoking at the start of the first pregnancy
29 had higher odds of SGA birth in the second pregnancy even where they stopped smoking before the
30 first antenatal appointment for the second pregnancy (adjusted odds ratio (aOR) 1.50 [95%
31 confidence interval 1.10, 2.03]). If a mother was not a smoker at the first antenatal appointment for
32 either her first or her second pregnancy, but smoked later in her first pregnancy or between
33 pregnancies, there was no evidence of increased risk of SGA birth in the second pregnancy
34 compared to never smokers. A mother who smoked ten or more cigarettes a day at the start of both
35 of her first two pregnancies had the highest odds of SGA birth (3.54 [2.55, 4.92]). Women who were
36 not smoking at the start of the first pregnancy but who subsequently resumed/began smoking and
37 smoked at the start of their second pregnancy, also had higher odds (2.11 [1.51, 2.95]) than never
38 smokers.

39 Smoking in the first pregnancy was associated with SGA birth in the second pregnancy, even if the
40 mother quit by the confirmation of her second pregnancy, particularly for mothers with a previous
41 SGA birth.

42 Introduction

43 Maternal smoking has been associated with the inability to conceive as well as the risks of ectopic
44 pregnancy, miscarriage, stillbirth and prematurity [1, 2] and the association between smoking during
45 pregnancy and fetal growth restriction is considered to be causal [1]. A dose response relationship
46 has been shown between the number of cigarettes smoked a day in pregnancy and the risk of
47 placental abruption and negative birth outcomes [1, 3, 4]. The greatest morphological effects in the
48 placenta are found where there is heavy smoking before 10 weeks gestation (> 20 cigarettes a day)
49 [2]. In addition to being born prematurely [5], adverse health consequences for the child include
50 being born small for gestational age (SGA) (<10th percentile) [6] and an increased risk of congenital
51 malformations, primarily oral-facial clefts [7].

52 A recent systematic review and meta-analysis has estimated that nearly 2% of women globally
53 smoke during pregnancy, with nearly three-quarters of these smoking daily [8]. There is substantial
54 variation between the countries considered in this study with the highest estimated prevalence
55 being in Ireland (38.4% [95% CI [25.4, 52.4]), Uruguay (29.7% [16.6, 44.8]) and Bulgaria (29.4% [26.6,
56 32.2]) [8]. Figures for the third quarter of 2019/20 show that in England, where this study is based,
57 10.5% of women report smoking at the time of delivery, although there is substantial regional
58 variation between the lowest and highest rates (from 1.6% in Central London to 23.3% in Blackpool)
59 [9].

60 Since longitudinal data are sparse, most studies are only able to consider the association between
61 maternal exposures, such as smoking, in one pregnancy with the outcome for that pregnancy, and
62 biological links during the same pregnancy are already established. Few studies have sought to
63 categorise maternal smoking behaviour across successive pregnancies to examine whether the
64 association between SGA and history of smoking extends beyond the period of the same pregnancy
65 or whether exposure in a previous pregnancy, or during the interconception period also carries risk
66 of having a SGA birth in a subsequent pregnancy.

67 Changes to DNA methylation patterns have been seen in the placentas of women who quit smoking
68 prior to pregnancy and a recent study suggests that tobacco exposure may cause long-term effects
69 via the transmission of epigenetic marks to non-directly exposed placentas [10]. A narrative review
70 of epigenetic alterations due to maternal tobacco smoking in pregnancy concluded that there is
71 increasing evidence to indicate that such alterations persist postnatally, but that there is also the
72 suggestion of some reversibility of DNA methylation when stopping smoking either before or during
73 pregnancy [11].

74 An analysis of Norwegian Medical Birth Registry data (1999 to 2014) found that daily smoking
75 throughout both of the first two pregnancies was associated with nearly three times the risk of the
76 second child being born SGA (compared to non-smokers in both pregnancies), but that quitting
77 before or during the second pregnancy reduced the risk [12].

78 We aimed to characterise maternal smoking behaviours across a mother's first two pregnancies and
79 examine the relation of smoking behaviours with the second child's risk of being born SGA. In doing
80 so we examine the hypothesis that mothers who smoked in a previous pregnancy or who smoked
81 between pregnancies have a higher risk of SGA in the second pregnancy compared to never
82 smokers, even if they were not smoking during the second pregnancy. Associations could potentially
83 arise through a variety of biological mechanisms, and these include the effects of smoking on
84 nutritional status or periconceptual development [13, 14]. Whether such a link is biological or not
85 would depend on how much is it confounded by other factors. This study is observational and so we
86 cannot establish causality, however we believe if such associations were demonstrated this would
87 open the way to exploring possible causal mechanisms.

88 The exposure groups to be examined include mothers who smoked in their first pregnancies but who
89 quit smoking at some point up to the confirmation of the second pregnancy and those who initiated
90 or resumed smoking after the first antenatal appointment (ANA) for their first pregnancy and
91 reported smoking at the first ANA for their second pregnancy. We also examined non-smokers at the
92 start of both pregnancies but with a history of smoking before one or both pregnancies. Hence, our

93 comparison group was those who never smoked. Identifying women in these groups may enable the
94 targeting of women for interventions.

95 In addition, we wanted to explore if these relationships are different based on previous history of
96 SGA in the first pregnancy.

97 Methods

98 The SLOPE (Studying Lifecourse Obesity PrEdictors) study is a population-based anonymised cohort
99 of prospectively collected routine antenatal healthcare data collected between January 2003 and
100 April 2018 for women registered with University Hospital Southampton NHS Trust Maternity
101 Services, Hampshire, UK [15-17]. Records for 16791 women with their first two consecutive
102 singleton live-birth pregnancies were included (Figure 1).

103 **Fig 1: Flow diagram showing the composition of the final data used in this analysis**

104 Exclusions from the data are detailed in Fig 1. Births which took place before 24 weeks or after 42
105 weeks gestation were excluded as SGA reference values do not exist for these gestations. An
106 exclusion for pregnancies where the first ANA for the second pregnancy took place after 168 days
107 gestation (as assessed by ultrasound examination performed by healthcare professionals) was made
108 since these were likely to be high-risk pregnancies referred from elsewhere. Variables documenting
109 the previous numbers of live and stillbirths were used to identify women giving birth for the first and
110 second time and to exclude women who either had a first or second birth elsewhere or who had a
111 stillbirth prior to their first live birth or between live births.

112 This analysis forms part of a research project approved by the University of Southampton Faculty of
113 Medicine Ethics Committee (ID 24433) and the National Health Service Health Research Authority
114 (IRAS 242031).

115 **Assessment of the exposure**

116 Self-reported smoking status was recorded by a midwife at the first ANA for each pregnancy. For an
117 uncomplicated pregnancy this is recommended to take place by 10 weeks gestation [18]. Women
118 were asked to self-report smoking status at this appointment, and were asked if they were current
119 smokers or if they had ever smoked. If they reported being a current smoker, they were asked how
120 many cigarettes a day they smoked (up to 10 a day/between 10 and 20 a day/more than 20 a day)
121 and the response recorded. Those who reported that they were ex-smokers were asked when they
122 stopped smoking (more than 12 months before conception/less than 12 months before
123 conception/on confirmation of the current pregnancy).

124 **Exposure category definitions**

125 A variable was derived to characterise smoking behaviour across the first two pregnancies based on
126 the responses given at the first ANAs for each pregnancy. The full derivation of this variable is given
127 in Table 1.

128
129

Table 1: Summary of derived smoking categories based on self-reported maternal smoking status recorded at the first antenatal appointment for each pregnancy

Derived smoking category	Smoking status recorded at first ANA for P1	Smoking status recorded at first ANA for P2	Additional notes
Heavier smoker	Smoking 10 or more cigarettes a day	Smoking 10 or more cigarettes a day	These women are the heaviest smokers at the start of each pregnancy
Smoker	Smoking up to 10 cigarettes a day	Smoking up to 10 cigarettes a day	
Smoker increased	Smoking up to 10 cigarettes a day	Smoking 10 or more cigarettes a day	These women report an increase in the number of cigarettes smoked from the first ANA of P1 to the first ANA of P2
Smoker reduced	Smoking 10 or more cigarettes a day	Smoking up to 10 cigarettes a day	These women report a reduction in the number of cigarettes smoked from the first ANA of P1 to the first ANA of P2
Smoker P2 (not smoking at the first ANA P1)	Not smoking. May be an ex-smoker or have never smoked. If an ex-smoker may have quit at any point up to the confirmation of P1	Smoking any number of cigarettes	These women may have initiated or resumed smoking at any point after the first ANA for P1
Smoker P1 (stopped before the first ANA P2)	Smoking any number of cigarettes	An ex-smoker who quit at any point up to the confirmation of P2	These women may have quit smoking at any point after the first ANA for P1; the latest point for cessation would have been on the confirmation of P2
Other smoker (smoker later in P1 or between pregnancies; not smoking at first ANA for P1 or P2)	A non-smoker or an ex-smoker who quit at any point before P1 conception or on confirmation of P1	An ex-smoker who quit either less than 12 months before P2 conception or on confirmation of P2	These women did not report smoking at the first ANA for either P1 or P2. They could have smoked later in P1 or after the birth of their first child. They will have smoked at some stage during the 12 months prior to the conception of their second child

Derived smoking category	Smoking status recorded at first ANA for P1	Smoking status recorded at first ANA for P2	Additional notes
Ex-smoker	An ex-smoker who quit at any point up to the confirmation of P1	An ex-smoker who quit more than 12 months before the conception of P2	These women may have smoked after the first ANA for P1 but did not smoke during the 12 months prior to the conception of their second child
Never smoker	Non-smoker with no past history of smoking	Non-smoker with no past history of smoking	
Abbreviations: ANA, antenatal appointment ; P1, first pregnancy; P2 second pregnancy			

131 **Outcome assessment**

132 Age and sex-specific birth weight centiles were used to classify infants born SGA [19]. This was
133 defined as < 10th percentile. Baby's birthweight (grams) was measured and sex was recorded at birth
134 as part of routine care by a healthcare professional. Gestational age (days) was calculated based on a
135 first trimester ultrasound dating scan [18].

136 **Assessment of covariates**

137 Maternal age (in years) was calculated from date of birth prior to the extraction of the dataset.
138 Maternal weight was measured by a midwife at the first ANA for each pregnancy (kilograms). Height
139 was self-reported (metres) and body mass index (BMI) was then derived (kg/m²). Self-reported
140 variables collected at the first booking appointment for each pregnancy included maternal ethnicity,
141 highest level of educational attainment (secondary (GCSEs) or below/college (A levels)/university
142 degree or above), employment status (condensed to yes/no), partnership status (partnered/lone
143 parent), folic acid supplementation (taking prior to pregnancy/at confirmation of pregnancy/not
144 taking) and infertility treatment (condensed to yes/no). Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) and
145 gestational hypertension were identified later during each pregnancy and the diagnosis reported in
146 the database. The interpregnancy interval (days) was calculated based on the World Health
147 Organisation definition [20] by taking the period from the date of the first birth to the conception of
148 the second birth, using the gestational age of the second child. SGA in the first pregnancy was
149 calculated as described in the outcome assessments section above.

150 **Statistical analysis**

151 Unadjusted comparisons were carried out using Chi-squared tests for categorical variables
152 and ANOVA for continuous variables.

153 The association between change in smoking behaviour between pregnancies and the risk of
154 SGA birth in the second pregnancy was examined by fitting logistic regression models

155 predicting a binary outcome (SGA/not SGA). A minimal sufficient adjustment set of
156 confounding variables was identified using a directed acyclic graph (DAG) constructed using
157 DAGitty.net [21, 22] (Fig 2). The DAG illustrates the hypothesised confounding relationships
158 by factors collected at the start of each pregnancy and explicitly identifies our assumptions
159 using *a priori* causal knowledge [21, 23]. References to maternal education and employment
160 in the DAG are taken to be those recorded at the start of the first pregnancy in our analysis.

161 **Fig 2: Directed acyclic graph showing the exposure (interpregnancy smoking change) and**
162 **the outcome (being born small for gestational age (SGA))**

163 A large number of minimal sufficient adjustment sets were identified using DAGitty.net [21,
164 22]. We selected a parsimonious set comprising maternal age, BMI, educational attainment,
165 employment status, partnership status, folate supplementation and infertility treatment
166 details collected at the start of the first pregnancy, diagnoses of gestational diabetes mellitus
167 and gestational hypertension recorded during the first pregnancy, SGA birth in the first
168 pregnancy, maternal ethnicity and the length of the interpregnancy interval (Model 1). The
169 variables were complete in all but 72 cases. In 551 cases ethnicity was not recorded and has
170 been included as “Not specified”.

171 Each minimal adjustment set identified should close all biasing paths, leaving only measured
172 causal paths open [24]. We used the other sets identified, some of which included covariates
173 collected at the start of or during the second pregnancy, to confirm that there was no change
174 to the results of our analyses and this sensitivity analysis is presented in S1 Table.

175 Whilst the minimal adjustment set used in this analysis consists mainly of covariates
176 identified at the start of the first pregnancy, a number of second pregnancy covariates may
177 be mediators of the effect of interpregnancy smoking change on SGA birth in the second
178 pregnancy. Analysis was also therefore undertaken to take account of potential mediators.
179 This analysis also followed a minimal adjustment set identified by DAGitty.net [22], but this
180 time taking account of mediators. The identified adjustment set was the same as that

181 identified for Model 1, but with gestational diabetes mellitus and gestational hypertension
182 diagnosed in the second pregnancy in place of that for the first pregnancy and with the
183 addition of maternal BMI recorded at the start of the second pregnancy (Model 2). The
184 adjustment set for Model 2 should close all other measured causal paths with the exception
185 of the effect of interpregnancy smoking change on SGA birth in the second pregnancy [24].

186 For each Model, analysis was initially undertaken in the whole sample and was then stratified to
187 examine the association with new SGA (where there was no SGA birth in the first pregnancy) and
188 recurrent SGA (following SGA birth in the first pregnancy). Stratified analysis was undertaken on this
189 basis since women who have had a previous SGA birth are known to be at higher risk for a
190 subsequent SGA birth, and therefore previous SGA is hypothesised to be an effect modifier of the
191 effect of smoking on the probability of second SGA [25]. We aimed to assess if the effect estimates
192 are different for the risk of recurrent SGA and new SGA. Our comparison group for all our analyses
193 was never smokers.

194 All analysis was performed using R [26]. Packages used included data.table [27], dplyr [28],
195 epiDisplay [29], ggplot2 [30], haven [31], psych [32], reshape [33] and tidyr [34].

196 Results

197 Maternal and infant socio-demographics in the second pregnancy, categorised by exposure, are
198 given in Table 2. Of the 16791 women included in this analysis, 49.9% (n = 8386) were categorised as
199 never smokers. There was a slight reduction in the overall percentage of women who reported
200 smoking at the first antenatal appointment for the first pregnancy (15.0%) and the first antenatal
201 appointment for the second pregnancy (13.3%).

202
203

Table 2: Maternal characteristics recorded at the first antenatal appointment at the start of the second pregnancy, together with characteristics of both the first and second infants. All figures are proportions (%), unless otherwise stated

	Never smoker	Heavier smoker	Smoker	Smoker increased	Smoker reduced	Smoker P2 ¹	Smoker P1 ²	Other smoker ³	Ex-smoker	p-value ⁴
n	8386	333	791	347	313	456	738	1347	4080	
Age, years (mean, SD)	30.2 (5.0)	23.8 (4.4)	24.6 (4.8)	23.4 (3.9)	24.2 (4.6)	24.7 (4.8)	25.7 (4.7)	27.1 (5.2)	30.1 (5.1)	< 0.001
Timing of ANA, weeks (mean, SD)	11.0 (2.3)	11.3 (3.3)	11.2 (2.9)	11.4 (3.3)	10.9 (2.6)	11.0 (2.9)	11.0 (2.6)	10.8 (2.5)	11.0 (2.2)	0.001
BMI, kg/m ² (mean, SD)	25.3 (5.3)	26.1 (6.4)	25.8 (6.1)	26.7 (6.5)	26.5 (6.5)	26.6 (6.3)	26.8 (6.1)	26.7 (6.0)	26.2 (5.6)	< 0.001
Length of IPI, weeks (median, IQR)	96 (63, 144)	91 (46, 164)	107 (58, 184)	98 (52, 163)	113 (58, 189)	121 (68, 188)	130 (74, 217)	123 (74, 190)	96 (62, 147)	< 0.001
BMI category:										
Underweight	2.9	6.6	4.9	4.9	6.1	5.0	2.2	1.8	1.8	< 0.001
Normal weight	54.9	42.9	48.4	42.1	42.5	44.5	45.0	44.0	48.6	
Overweight	25.8	26.1	24.4	25.4	26.2	23.0	27.8	29.3	29.3	
Obese	16.4	24.3	22.3	27.7	25.2	27.4	25.1	24.9	20.3	
Ethnicity:										
White	79.4	97.9	94.1	96.8	96.5	94.5	95.3	93.5	92.6	< 0.001
Other ethnicities	17.1	0.6	2.8	1.7	1.3	2.6	3.0	3.6	3.6	
Not specified	3.5	1.5	3.2	1.4	2.2	2.9	1.8	2.8	3.7	
Highest education level:										
University or above	45.8	1.5	4.8	3.2	5.4	5.9	7.6	15.1	34.2	< 0.001
College	34.9	39.6	48.3	46.1	46.3	53.3	53.4	52.3	44.9	
Secondary or below	19.2	58.9	46.9	50.7	48.2	40.8	39.0	32.7	20.9	
In employment (missing records)	72.3 (n = 57)	28.9 (n = 1)	41.7 (n = 5)	33.3 (n = 2)	38.6 (n = 2)	44.6 (n = 3)	55.4 (n = 2)	64.7 (n = 6)	73.8 (n = 43)	< 0.001

	Never smoker	Heavier smoker	Smoker	Smoker increased	Smoker reduced	Smoker P2 ¹	Smoker P1 ²	Other smoker ³	Ex-smoker	p-value ⁴
n	8386	333	791	347	313	456	738	1347	4080	
Taking folic acid:										
Prior to pregnancy	38.5	7.2	10.7	8.9	10.2	12.1	17.2	18.9	36.2	< 0.001
At confirmation	54.3	66.7	71.4	66.6	70.6	72.6	70.9	71.1	58.3	
Not taking folic acid	7.1	26.1	17.8	24.5	19.2	15.4	11.9	9.9	5.5	
Received infertility treatment	3.7	1.2	0.4	1.7	1.3	2.4	1.6	2.3	3.3	< 0.001
Length of the IPI:										
< 12 months	17.2	29.4	21.1	24.5	20.4	16.7	13.7	13.2	17.8	< 0.001
12 to < 24 months	38.4	26.4	27.1	28.0	25.2	27.0	25.2	27.5	37.1	
24 to < 36 months	23.8	15.9	20.2	19.6	21.4	20.0	19.6	23.8	23.1	
36 months or more	20.6	28.2	31.6	28.0	32.9	36.4	41.5	35.4	22.0	
Lone parent at P2	3.3	21.3	16.4	23.3	18.8	14.3	11.9	9.1	4.0	< 0.001
1 st infant birthweight, grams (mean, SD)	3359.2 (524.0)	3161.7 (552.2)	3194.1 (554.4)	3180.9 (492.6)	3128.1 (492.8)	3312.7 (516.1)	3263.8 (551.3)	3418.6 (530.3)	3442.2 (538.2)	< 0.001
1 st infant SGA	12.0	22.5	20.6	22.5	19.8	16.4	14.9	8.6	9.4	< 0.001
1 st infant LGA	6.6	3.6	4.0	3.2	1.6	4.4	5.7	7.9	9.2	< 0.001
1 st infant PTB	4.9	5.7	6.4	5.8	6.4	5.5	6.4	4.2	5.0	0.253
2 nd infant birthweight, grams (mean, SD)	3523.8 (511.2)	3214.4 (544.6)	3302.6 (535.8)	3226.1 (534.7)	3275.5 (505.0)	3364.9 (530.5)	3466.9 (551.9)	3557.8 (538.8)	3576.2 (512.0)	< 0.001
2 nd infant PTB	3.1	7.8	4.6	7.2	6.4	4.6	4.1	2.7	3.3	< 0.001

	Never smoker	Heavier smoker	Smoker	Smoker increased	Smoker reduced	Smoker P2 ¹	Smoker P1 ²	Other smoker ³	Ex-smoker	p-value ⁴
n	8386	333	791	347	313	456	738	1347	4080	
2 nd infant SGA	6.0	19.5	14.3	16.4	14.4	11.8	8.4	5.3	4.3	< 0.001
2 nd infant LGA	13.9	6.3	6.7	4.0	5.8	7.9	13.6	15.9	15.3	< 0.001
<p>1. A smoker at the first ANA for P2 who was not smoking at the first ANA for P1 2. A smoker at the first ANA for P1 who stopped before the first ANA for P2 3. A smoker later in P1 or between pregnancies; not smoking at the first ANA for P1 or P2 4. p-values calculated using ANOVA for continuous and Chi-squared tests for categorical variables</p> <p>Abbreviations: ANA, antenatal appointment; BMI, body mass index; IPI, interpregnancy interval (from P1 birth to P2 conception) ; IQR, inter-quartile range; LGA, large for gestational age (> 90th percentile); P1, first pregnancy; P2, second pregnancy; PTB, preterm birth (< 259 days); SD, standard deviation; SGA, small for gestational age (< 10th percentile)</p>										

205 Over 70% of women who reported smoking at the first antenatal appointment for their first
206 pregnancy (n = 2522) also reported smoking at the first antenatal appointment for their second
207 pregnancy (n = 1784). Those who smoked at the start of both their first two pregnancies accounted
208 for 10.6% of all included women. A further 4.4% (n = 738) were categorised as smoker P1 (stopped
209 before the first ANA P2) and 2.7% (n = 456) as smoker P2.

210 Mean maternal age at the start of the second pregnancy was the lowest for all categories of smokers
211 (heavier smokers, (23.8 years, (standard deviation (SD) 4.4)), smokers (24.6 years (4.8)), smoker
212 increased (23.4 years (3.9)) and smoker reduced (24.2 years (4.6)) and smoker P2 (24.7 years (4.8)).
213 Mean maternal age at the second pregnancy was the highest in never smokers (30.2 years (5.0)) and
214 ex-smokers (30.1 years (5.1)). At the start of the second pregnancy and compared to never smokers,
215 all categories of smokers were more likely to be lone parents, of White ethnicity, of lower
216 educational attainment, not to be taking folic acid in early pregnancy, and less likely to be in
217 employment.

218 In terms of mothers' ethnicity, our sample comprised 86.6% White, 5.9% Asian, 0.6% Chinese, 1.5%
219 Black/African/Caribbean, and 1.2%. Mixed. Other ethnicities comprised 1.0% of the sample and 3.3%
220 did not specify ethnicity.

221 The incidence of SGA birth for each of the first two pregnancies by maternal smoking status is shown
222 in Fig 3 and in all cases, the prevalence is lower in the second pregnancy than in the first. The
223 incidence of SGA birth in in never smokers was 12.0% in the first pregnancy and 6.0% in the second
224 pregnancy. For ex-smokers these figures were 9.4% and 4.3% respectively. Of women who have
225 never smoked and who had an SGA birth in the first pregnancy (n = 1004), over a quarter are of
226 Asian ethnicity (n = 257). The incidence of first pregnancy SGA birth for the Asian women included in
227 this study was 27.7%, compared to 11.2% for White women.

228 **Fig 3: The percentages of small for gestational age births in the first and second pregnancies**

229 Table 3 shows the univariate odds of small for gestational age birth in the second pregnancy by
230 maternal characteristics recorded at the start of each pregnancy.

231 **Table 3: Univariate odds of small for gestational age birth (< 10th percentile) in the second**
 232 **pregnancy in the full sample, by maternal characteristics recorded at the start of or during the first**
 233 **and second pregnancies**

Maternal Characteristics	First pregnancy		Second pregnancy	
	n	OR (95% CI)	N	OR (95% CI)
Age category (ref = 25-34 years)				
< 18 years	1005	2.2 (1.77, 2.73)	63	1.97 (0.89, 4.33)
18-24 years	5793	1.66 (1.45, 1.89)	3913	1.65 (1.44, 1.89)
35-39 years	845	0.99 (0.73, 1.36)	2386	1.01 (0.84, 1.22)
40 years and over	47	1.65 (0.59, 4.60)	326	0.81 (0.49, 1.35)
BMI category (ref = normal weight (18.5-24.9 kg/m ²))				
Underweight (<18.5 kg/m ²)	628	1.93 (1.51, 2.46)	473	2.30 (1.77, 2.98)
Overweight (25-29.9 kg/m ²)	4106	0.78 (0.67, 0.91)	4516	0.76 (0.65, 0.88)
Obese (≥30 kg/m ²)	2241	0.71 (0.58, 0.87)	3282	0.69 (0.58, 0.82)
Highest level of education (ref = degree level)				
College level	6272	1.20 (1.02, 1.41)	6923	1.31 (1.12, 1.52)
Secondary or below	5531	1.84 (1.57, 2.15)	4272	1.95 (1.66, 2.28)
Folic acid status (ref = taking prior to pregnancy)				
Started once pregnancy confirmed	9624	1.55 (1.35, 1.79)	9986	1.47 (1.27, 1.70)
Not taking folic acid	1454	2.17 (1.76, 2.68)	1489	2.43 (1.99, 2.98)
Not in employment	3458	2.11 (1.85, 2.40)	5530	1.91 (1.69, 2.15)
Received infertility treatment	680	0.87 (0.63, 1.21)	514	0.75 (0.51, 1.11)
Lone parent	1450	1.42 (1.17, 1.72)	1057	1.44 (1.16, 1.78)
Gestational diabetes mellitus	292	0.43 (0.22, 0.84)	425	0.82 (0.54, 1.24)
Gestational hypertension	425	0.78 (0.51, 1.19)	188	1.74 (1.10, 2.74)
	Non pregnancy specific			
	n	OR (95% CI)		
Maternal Ethnicity (ref = White)				
Mixed	196	0.98 (0.55, 1.77)		
Asian	987	2.61 (2.16, 3.15)		
Black/African/Caribbean	247	1.47 (0.94, 2.29)		
Chinese	99	1.14 (0.53, 2.48)		
Other	173	1.75 (1.07, 2.86)		
Not known	551	0.93 (0.65, 1.33)		
Length of the IPI (ref = 12 to < 24 months)				
< 12 months	2937	1.32 (1.10, 1.57)		
24 to < 36 months	3842	1.19 (1.01, 1.41)		
36 months or more	4117	1.39 (1.19, 1.63)		
Previous SGA birth	2067	6.67 (5.86, 7.58)		
Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; IPI, interpregnancy interval (from the birth of the first infant to the conception of the second); SGA, small for gestational age (< 10 th percentile); OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.				

235 Table 4 presents odds ratios for SGA birth in the second pregnancy according to the mother's history
236 of smoking and change in smoking behaviour between the first and second pregnancy, with Model 1
237 adjusting for confounders, and Model 2 adjusting for confounders and mediators.

Table 4: The odds of small for gestational age birth (< 10th percentile) in the second pregnancy

	Full sample		Without previous SGA		With previous SGA	
	n	Odds Ratios (95% CI)	n	Odds Ratios (95% CI)	n	Odds Ratios (95% CI)
Heavier Smoker						
Unadjusted	330	3.79 (2.84, 5.05)	256	3.66 (2.48, 5.41)	74	2.66 (1.64, 4.31)
Model 1 [†]		3.54 (2.55, 4.92)		3.53 (2.32, 5.38)		3.34 (1.96, 5.68)
Model 2 [‡]		3.57 (2.57, 4.97)		3.52 (2.31, 5.37)		3.54 (2.07, 6.08)
Smoker						
Unadjusted	786	2.64 (2.12, 3.29)	623	2.48 (1.84, 3.36)	163	1.93 (1.35, 2.74)
Model 1 [†]		2.44 (1.89, 3.15)		2.43 (1.75, 3.39)		2.34 (1.56, 3.51)
Model 2 [‡]		2.43 (1.88, 3.14)		2.47 (1.77, 3.44)		2.23 (1.48, 3.35)
Smoker increased						
Unadjusted	344	3.00 (2.21, 4.05)	267	2.88 (1.90, 4.37)	77	1.99 (1.22, 3.25)
Model 1 [†]		2.70 (1.92, 3.82)		2.84 (1.82, 4.44)		2.42 (1.41, 4.16)
Model 2 [‡]		2.75 (1.94, 3.88)		2.87 (1.84, 4.49)		2.51 (1.45, 4.32)
Smoker reduced						
Unadjusted	307	2.63 (1.89, 3.67)	247	3.02 (1.97, 4.61)	60	1.50 (0.84, 2.65)
Model 1 [†]		2.44 (1.68, 3.54)		2.98 (1.90, 4.67)		1.75 (0.94, 3.25)
Model 2 [‡]		2.50 (1.72, 3.63)		3.05 (1.95, 4.78)		1.82 (0.97, 3.39)
Smoker P2¹						
Unadjusted	452	2.09 (1.55, 2.82)	377	2.22 (1.50, 3.28)	75	1.54 (0.92, 2.58)
Model 1 [†]		2.11 (1.51, 2.95)		2.22 (1.47, 3.37)		1.93 (1.11, 3.36)
Model 2 [‡]		2.13 (1.52, 2.98)		2.26 (1.49, 3.42)		1.91 (1.09, 3.34)
Smoker P1²						
Unadjusted	735	1.45 (1.10, 1.91)	626	1.75 (1.24, 2.46)	109	0.88 (0.54, 1.44)
Model 1 [†]		1.50 (1.10, 2.03)		1.75 (1.22, 2.53)		1.05 (0.62, 1.78)
Model 2 [‡]		1.53 (1.13, 2.07)		1.80 (1.25, 2.60)		1.05 (0.62, 1.79)
Other smoker³						
Unadjusted	1346	0.89 (0.69, 1.15)	1230	1.09 (0.80, 1.48)	116	0.82 (0.50, 1.33)
Model 1 [†]		1.11 (0.84, 1.45)		1.17 (0.84, 1.62)		0.98 (0.59, 1.64)
Model 2 [‡]		1.12 (0.85, 1.47)		1.17 (0.85, 1.62)		1.01 (0.61, 1.69)
Ex-smoker						
Unadjusted	4065	0.70 (0.59, 0.84)	3681	0.81 (0.65, 1.01)	384	0.65 (0.47, 0.88)
Model 1 [†]		0.89 (0.73, 1.07)		0.93 (0.74, 1.17)		0.82 (0.59, 1.15)
Model 2 [‡]		0.90 (0.74, 1.08)		0.93 (0.74, 1.17)		0.83 (0.60, 1.17)
Never smoker	8354	Reference	7353	Reference	1001	Reference

1. A smoker at the first ANA for P2 who was not smoking at the first ANA for P1
2. A smoker at the first ANA for P1 who stopped before the first ANA for P2
3. A smoker later in P1 or between pregnancies; not smoking at the first ANA for P1 or P2
[†] **Model 1 (adjusts for confounders):** Adjusted for maternal age, BMI, educational attainment, employment status, partnership status, folate supplementation and infertility treatment at the start of the first pregnancy, gestational diabetes mellitus and gestational hypertension recorded during the first pregnancy, SGA birth in the first pregnancy (not in the stratified analysis), maternal ethnicity and the length of the interpregnancy interval
[‡] **Model 2 (adjusts for confounders and mediators):** Adjusted for maternal age, BMI, educational attainment, employment status, partnership status, folate supplementation and infertility treatment at the start of the first pregnancy, gestational diabetes mellitus and gestational hypertension recorded during the second pregnancy, SGA birth in the first pregnancy (not in the stratified analysis), maternal BMI at the start of the second pregnancy, maternal ethnicity and the length of the interpregnancy interval
Abbreviations: ANA, antenatal appointment; BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; P1, first pregnancy; P2 second pregnancy; SGA, small for gestational age (<10th percentile)

240 Model 1 adjusts for confounders and in the full sample shows the odds of SGA birth in the second
241 pregnancy adjusting for maternal age, BMI, educational attainment, employment status, partnership
242 status, folate supplementation and infertility treatment at the start of the first pregnancy,
243 gestational diabetes mellitus and gestational hypertension recorded during the first pregnancy, SGA
244 birth in the first pregnancy, maternal ethnicity and the length of the interpregnancy interval.

245 Compared to never smokers, there are increased odds of SGA birth in the second pregnancy for
246 heavier smokers ((adjusted odds ratio (aOR) 3.54 [95% confidence interval (CI) 2.55, 4.92]), smokers
247 (2.44 [1.89, 3.15]), smoker increased (2.70 [1.92, 3.82]), smoker reduced (2.44 [1.68, 3.54]), smokers
248 P2 (2.11 [1.51, 2.95]) and smokers P1 (stopped before the first ANA P2) (1.50 [1.10, 2.03]). Other
249 smokers, (smokers later in P1 or between pregnancies but not smoking at the first ANA of P1 or P2)
250 or ex-smokers did not have increased odds of SGA birth in the second pregnancy compared to never
251 smokers ((1.11 [0.84, 1.45]) and (0.89 [0.73, 1.07]) respectively).

252 Model 1 in the sample which excludes women whose first child was born SGA makes the same
253 adjustments described above, with the exception of an adjustment for previous SGA birth.

254 Compared to never smokers, there were increased odds of new SGA for heavier smokers (3.53 [2.32,
255 5.38]), smokers (2.43 [1.75, 3.39]), smoker increased (2.84 [1.82, 4.44]), smoker reduced (2.98 [1.90,
256 4.67]), smokers P2 (2.22 [1.47, 3.37]) and smokers P1 (stopped before the first ANA P2) (1.75 [1.22,
257 2.53]). Other smokers (smokers later in P1 or between pregnancies but not smoking at the first ANA
258 of P1 or P2) or ex-smokers did not have increased odds of new SGA compared to never smokers
259 ((1.17 [0.84, 1.62]) and (0.93 [0.74, 1.17]) respectively).

260 Model 1 in the sample where there was SGA birth in the first pregnancy, shows the odds of
261 recurrent SGA birth . The same adjustments were made as described above.

262 Compared to never smokers, there were increased odds of recurrent SGA birth in the second
263 pregnancy heavier smokers (3.34 [1.96, 5.68]), smokers (2.34 [1.56, 3.51]), smoker increased (2.42
264 [1.41, 4.16]) and smokers P2 (1.93 [1.11, 3.36]). Compared to never smokers, there was no increase
265 in the odds of recurrent SGA birth for smoker reduced (1.75 [0.94, 3.25]), smokers P1 (stopped

266 before the first ANA P2) (1.05 [0.62, 1.78]), other smokers (smokers later in P1 or between
267 pregnancies but not smoking at the first ANA of P1 or P2) (0.98 [0.59, 1.64]) or ex-smokers (0.82
268 [0.59, 1.15]).

269 Model 2 adjusts for confounders and mediators and in the full sample shows the odds of SGA birth in
270 the second pregnancy adjusting for maternal age, BMI, educational attainment, employment status,
271 partnership status, folate supplementation and infertility treatment at the start of the first
272 pregnancy, gestational diabetes mellitus and gestational hypertension recorded during the second
273 pregnancy, maternal BMI at the start of the second pregnancy, SGA birth in the first pregnancy,
274 maternal ethnicity and the length of the interpregnancy interval.

275 Compared to never smokers, there were increased odds of SGA birth in the second pregnancy for
276 heavier smokers (3.57 [2.57, 4.97]), smokers (2.43 [1.88, 3.14]), smoker increased (2.75 [1.94, 3.88]),
277 smoker reduced (2.50 [1.72, 3.63]), smokers P2 (2.13 [1.52, 2.98]) and smokers P1 (stopped before
278 the first ANA P2) (1.53 [1.13, 2.07]). Other smokers, (smokers later in P1 or between pregnancies but
279 not smoking at the first ANA of P1 or P2) or ex-smokers did not have increased odds of SGA birth in
280 the second pregnancy compared to never smokers ((1.12 [0.85, 1.47]) and (0.90 [0.74, 1.08])
281 respectively).

282 Model 2 in the sample which excluding women whose first child was born SGA makes the same
283 adjustments described above, with the exception of an adjustment for previous SGA birth.

284 Compared to never smokers, there were increased odds of new SGA for heavier smokers (3.52 [2.31,
285 5.37]), smokers (2.47 [1.77, 3.44]), smoker increased (2.87 [1.84, 4.49]), smoker reduced (3.05 [1.95,
286 4.78]), smokers P2 (2.26 [1.49, 3.42]) and smokers P1 (stopped before the first ANA P2) (1.80 [1.25,
287 2.60]). Other smokers (smokers later in P1 or between pregnancies but not smoking at the first ANA
288 of P1 or P2) or ex-smokers did not have increased odds of new SGA compared to never smokers
289 ((1.17 [0.85, 1.62]) and (0.93 [0.74, 1.17]) respectively).

290 Model 2 in the sample with SGA birth in the first pregnancy shows the odds of recurrent SGA birth .

291 The same adjustments were made as described above.

292 Compared to never smokers, there were increased odds of recurrent SGA birth in the second
293 pregnancy heavier smokers (3.54 [2.07, 6.08]), smokers (2.23 [1.48, 3.35]), smoker increased (2.51
294 [1.45, 4.32]) and smokers P2 (1.91 [1.09, 3.34]). Compared to never smokers, there was no increase
295 in the odds of recurrent SGA birth for smoker reduced (1.82 [0.97, 3.39]), smokers P1 (stopped
296 before the first ANA P2) (1.05 [0.62, 1.79]), other smokers (smokers later in P1 or between
297 pregnancies but not smoking at the first ANA of P1 or P2) (1.01 [0.61, 1.69]) or ex-smokers (0.83
298 [0.60, 1.17]).

299 The full results for Model 1 in the full sample (Table 4) are given in Table 5.

300

301 **Table 5: Full results of Model 1 in Table 4; The adjusted odds of small for gestational age birth**
 302 **(<10th percentile) in the second pregnancy in the full sample**

	aOR	95% CI	
Maternal smoking status (ref = never smoked)			
Heavier smoker	3.54	2.55	4.92
Smoker	2.44	1.89	3.15
Smoker increased	2.70	1.92	3.82
Smoker reduced	2.44	1.68	3.54
Smoker P2 ¹	2.11	1.51	2.95
Smoker P1 ²	1.50	1.10	2.03
Other smoker ³	1.11	0.84	1.45
Ex-smoker	0.89	0.73	1.07
Maternal age at booking	1.00	0.99	1.02
Maternal BMI	0.98	0.96	0.99
In employment	0.83	0.70	0.97
Lone parent	0.93	0.75	1.16
Previous SGA birth	5.48	4.79	6.26
Gestational Diabetes	0.42	0.20	0.86
Gestational Hypertension	0.83	0.53	1.29
Received infertility treatment	0.88	0.62	1.26
Length of the IPI (days)	1.00	1.00	1.00
Maternal ethnicity (ref = White)			
Mixed	0.95	0.52	1.75
Asian	2.09	1.66	2.63
Black/African/Caribbean	1.47	0.92	2.36
Chinese	1.37	0.62	3.05
Other	1.86	1.11	3.15
Not known	1.01	0.69	1.47
Maternal education (ref = Degree)			
College level	0.97	0.81	1.17
Secondary or below	1.06	0.87	1.29
Folic acid (ref = taking prior to pregnancy)			
Started once pregnancy confirmed	1.10	0.93	1.29
Not taking folic acid	1.19	0.93	1.52
1. A smoker at the first ANA for P2 who was not smoking at the first ANA for P1 2. A smoker at the first ANA for P1 who stopped before the first ANA for P2 3. A smoker later in P1 or between pregnancies; not smoking at the first ANA for P1 or P2 Abbreviations: ANA, antenatal appointment; BMI, body mass index; IPI, interpregnancy interval (from the birth of the first infant to the conception of the second); P1, first pregnancy; P2, second pregnancy; SGA, small for gestational age (< 10 th percentile); aOR, adjusted odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.			

303

304

305 Sensitivity analysis for Model 1 was run using the other minimal adjustment sets identified by
306 DAGitty as described in the Methods section above [22]. The results of this analysis (S1 Table) show
307 only very minor differences in the adjusted odds ratios for Model 1 whichever minimal adjustment
308 set is used noting slight differences in the numbers of missing observations across the different
309 models.

310 Discussion

311 In the overall sample we found that mothers smoking at the start of the first pregnancy had a 50%
312 higher risk of SGA birth in the second pregnancy compared to never smokers even if the mother
313 stopped smoking before the first antenatal appointment of the second pregnancy. However, if the
314 mother was not a smoker at the first antenatal appointment for either her first or her second
315 pregnancy, but smoked either later in her first pregnancy or between pregnancies, there was no
316 evidence of increased risk of SGA in her second pregnancy compared to never smokers. When we
317 stratified by previous SGA, this was true for new SGA birth but not for recurrent SGA birth.

318 According to this analysis, smoking at the start of the first pregnancy may be an important factor in
319 shaping the risk of SGA birth in the second pregnancy. It should be noted, however, that mothers
320 smoking at the start of their first pregnancies could have quit smoking at any point after the first
321 antenatal appointment for their first pregnancy, right up until they found out that they were
322 pregnant for the second time (Table 1).

323 In all the analyses, second infants born to mothers who reported smoking at the start of both of
324 their first two pregnancies were more likely to be born SGA compared to those of never smokers,
325 with the highest odds of SGA birth found for the heaviest smokers at the start of both pregnancies.

326 In the analysis of recurrent SGA birth, smokers who reported smoking fewer cigarettes a day at the
327 start of their second pregnancy than they did at the start of their first pregnancy, or who smoked at
328 the start of their first pregnancy but quit by the latest when the second pregnancy was confirmed
329 did not have increased odds of a second infant being born SGA. We do not know however whether
330 these women will have actually quit smoking at some later stage during pregnancy to help avoid a
331 recurrent SGA birth.

332 Maternal smoking is self-reported and there may be an element of under-reporting. Women could
333 either still be smoking at the start of their second pregnancies or resume later during the pregnancy.

334 A comparison of concurrent and retrospective self-reports of smoking status in pregnancy found

335 19% of all discordant reports (total n = 222) were where mothers recalled smoking daily in
336 pregnancy but had not reported this at the time of their pregnancy and an additional 39% reported
337 occasional smoking where they had registered as non-smokers in pregnancy [35]. The remaining
338 discordant reports were where mothers failed to recall smoking which they had reported in
339 pregnancy [35]. The study found that younger mothers, multiparae, those with lower levels of
340 educational attainment and those who were not in a stable relationship had lower concordance on
341 reports of smoking in pregnancy compared to older mothers, primiparae, those who were more
342 highly educated and those living with the father at the time of pregnancy respectively [35]. In our
343 study women were asked for their smoking status at the start of each pregnancy and the responses
344 recorded at that time, which means that recall bias is unlikely.

345 We found similar a similar percentage of women smoked at the start of both of their first two
346 pregnancies to those reported elsewhere [36, 37]. Whilst the time between pregnancies, where a
347 women is still in relatively intense contact with healthcare professionals, is the ideal time to focus on
348 the health of the entire family, particularly for mothers with a previous history of SGA birth, this is
349 obviously a missed opportunity. Whilst mothers who were smoking at the start of their first
350 pregnancy still have an increased risk of SGA birth in their second pregnancy the risk is lower than
351 for those continuing to smoke at the start of the second pregnancy.

352 Healthcare professionals can refer pregnant smokers to smoking cessation services but there are a
353 number of areas which could be considered and evaluated further. These include smoking support
354 for entire family groups [38]. Financial incentives and rewards have been shown to have a positive
355 impact on increasing long-term rates of smoking cessation in pregnancy and the post-partum period
356 [39]. The use of financial and other interventions, including social media applications, websites and
357 text messaging, have received mixed feedback depending upon whether this was sought from
358 mothers, significant others (including partners) or healthcare professionals [38]. Targeted leaflets,
359 posters and campaigns could be a useful persuasive tool particularly where the specific effects of
360 smoking on the developing fetus are emphasized [38].

361 **Strengths and limitations**

362 Our study has a number of strengths. The SLOPE study is a large population-based cohort which
363 includes women from all socio-economic and ethnic backgrounds which is representative of the
364 regional population. The ethnic make-up of our sample is comparable with the 2011 England and
365 Wales census with 86% White, 7.5% Asian/Asian British (which includes Chinese), 3.3%
366 Black/African/Caribbean/Black British and 2.2% Mixed/multiple ethnic groups [40].

367 The Southampton data observatory reports that, based on the 2019 Indices of Deprivation published
368 by the Ministry of Housing Communities and Local Government [41], Southampton is currently
369 ranked 55th out of 317 local authorities based on the average neighbourhood deprivation rank and
370 approximately 45% of the Southampton's population reside in areas which fall within the 30% most
371 deprived nationally [42]. In this analysis approximately half of the women live in Southampton, with
372 half living in the rest of Hampshire which is less deprived.

373 The analysis was able to adjust for several key confounders and outcome measurements were based
374 on records which were objectively measured by healthcare professionals.

375 There are some limitations, primarily the fact that the majority of variables were self-reported. Using
376 self-reported maternal smoking status in pregnancy means that there is the possibility of non-
377 disclosure and information bias affecting the ability to characterise the exposure correctly [43].

378 Suggested methods of overcoming these potential biases are also subject to a number of issues. For
379 example, biologic assays are considered a more accurate way of measuring maternal smoking but
380 still only reflect exposure over short periods and variations in nicotine metabolism affect the net
381 exposure [43].

382 We were also unable to incorporate risk factors for smoking continuation, inception and cessation
383 such as having a partner who smokes (potentially a different partner to the first pregnancy), other
384 smoking within the household and other exposure to passive smoking.

385 Repeating this analysis in other datasets will enable the comparison of results to see if our findings
386 are replicated elsewhere.

387 Conclusion

388 In the analysis of the full sample and in women without a previous SGA birth, smoking in the first
389 pregnancy was associated with increased odds of having a SGA infant in the second pregnancy, even
390 if the mother did not report smoking at the first antenatal appointment of the second pregnancy.
391 Where a mother quit smoking at any point up to the confirmation of the second pregnancy, the odds
392 were lower than for women continuing to smoke or those who smoked at the start of their second
393 pregnancy only (compared to never smokers).

394 In women who were smokers in their first pregnancy and who gave birth to their first infant who was
395 SGA, there was no increase in the odds of having a further SGA infant in the second pregnancy
396 where they quit smoking at any point up to the confirmation of the second pregnancy or where the
397 number of cigarettes a day was reduced from 10 or more in the first pregnancy to up to 10 a day in
398 the second pregnancy (compared to never smokers).

399 Interventions which support mothers to stop smoking between pregnancies or at the start of her
400 second pregnancy or which help her to reduce the number of cigarettes smoked a day may help to
401 reduce the incidence of having a SGA infant in the second pregnancy.

402 List of abbreviations

403 ANA Antenatal appointment

404 BMI Body mass index

405 DAG Directed Acyclic Graph

406 GDM Gestational diabetes mellitus

407 P1 First pregnancy

408 P2 Second pregnancy

409 SGA Small for gestational age (< 10th percentile)

410 SLOPE Studying Lifecourse Obesity PrEdictors

411 Ethics approval and consent to participate

412 This is a study of anonymised routine health records. Data were anonymised by the data owners
413 prior to being accessed by the research team. This analysis forms part of a research project approved
414 by the University of Southampton Faculty of Medicine Ethics Committee (ID 24433) and the National
415 Health Service Health Research Authority (IRAS 242031) and patient consent was not required as
416 part of that.

417 Acknowledgements

418 We thank David Cable (Electronic Patient Records Implementation and Service Manager) and Florina
419 Borca (Senior Information Analyst R&D) at University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust
420 for support in accessing the data used in this study.

421 References

- 422 1. Cnattingius S. The epidemiology of smoking during pregnancy: Smoking prevalence,
423 maternal characteristics, and pregnancy outcomes. *Nicotine & Tobacco Research*.
424 2004;6(Suppl_2):S125-S40.
- 425 2. Zdravkovic T, Genbacev O, McMaster MT, Fisher SJ. The adverse effects of maternal smoking
426 on the human placenta: A review. *Placenta*. 2005;26:S81-S6.
- 427 3. Kolås T, Nakling J, Salvesen KA. Smoking during pregnancy increases the risk of preterm
428 births among parous women. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand*. 2000;79(8):644-8.
- 429 4. Ananth CV, Smulian JC, Demissie K, Vintzileos AM, Knuppel RA. Placental abruption among
430 singleton and twin births in the United States: risk factor profiles. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2001;153(8):771-
431 8.
- 432 5. Kyrklund-Blomberg NB, Cnattingius S. Preterm birth and maternal smoking: Risks related to
433 gestational age and onset of delivery. *American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology*.
434 1998;179(4):1051-5.

- 435 6. Mitchell EA, Thompson JMD, Robinson E, Wild CJ, Becroft DMO, Clark PM, et al. Smoking,
436 nicotine and tar and risk of small for gestational age babies. *Acta Paediatrica*. 2002;91(3):323-8.
- 437 7. Lie RT, Wilcox AJ, Taylor J, Gjessing HK, Saugstad OD, Aabyholm F, et al. Maternal smoking
438 and oral clefts: the role of detoxification pathway genes. *Epidemiology (Cambridge, Mass)*.
439 2008;19(4):606-15.
- 440 8. Lange S, Probst C, Rehm J, Popova S. National, regional, and global prevalence of smoking
441 during pregnancy in the general population: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Lancet*
442 *Global Health*. 2018;6(7):e769-e76.
- 443 9. NHS Digital. Statistics on Women's Smoking Status at Time of Delivery: England Quarter 3,
444 2019-20 [accessed 24 March 2020]. Available from: [https://digital.nhs.uk/data-and-
445 information/publications/statistical/statistics-on-women-s-smoking-status-at-time-of-delivery-
446 england/statistics-on-womens-smoking-status-at-time-of-delivery-england-quarter-3-2019-20](https://digital.nhs.uk/data-and-information/publications/statistical/statistics-on-women-s-smoking-status-at-time-of-delivery-england/statistics-on-womens-smoking-status-at-time-of-delivery-england-quarter-3-2019-20).
- 447 10. Rousseaux S, Seyve E, Chuffart F, Bourova-Flin E, Benmerad M, Charles MA, et al. Immediate
448 and durable effects of maternal tobacco consumption alter placental DNA methylation in enhancer
449 and imprinted gene-containing regions. *BMC medicine*. 2020;18(1):306.
- 450 11. Nakamura A, Francois O, Lepeule J. Epigenetic Alterations of Maternal Tobacco Smoking
451 during Pregnancy: A Narrative Review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2021;18(10).
- 452 12. Kvalvik LG, Haug K, Klungsøyr K, Morken N-H, DeRoo LA, Skjærven R. Maternal Smoking
453 Status in Successive Pregnancies and Risk of Having a Small for Gestational Age Infant. *Paediatric and*
454 *Perinatal Epidemiology*. 2017;31(1):21-8.
- 455 13. Fleming TP, Watkins AJ, Velazquez MA, Mathers JC, Prentice AM, Stephenson J, et al. Origins
456 of lifetime health around the time of conception: causes and consequences. *The Lancet*.
457 2018;391(10132):1842-52.
- 458 14. Mathews F, Yudkin P, Smith RF, Neil A. Nutrient intakes during pregnancy: the influence of
459 smoking status and age. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*. 2000;54(1):17.
- 460 15. Ziauddeen N, Wilding S, Roderick PJ, Macklon NS, Smith D, Chase D, et al. Predicting the risk
461 of childhood overweight and obesity at 4–5 years using population-level pregnancy and early-life
462 healthcare data. *BMC medicine*. 2020;18(1):105.
- 463 16. Wilding S, Ziauddeen N, Smith D, Roderick P, Chase D, Alwan NA. Are environmental area
464 characteristics at birth associated with overweight and obesity in school-aged children? Findings
465 from the SLOPE (Studying Lifecourse Obesity PrEdictors) population-based cohort in the south of
466 England. *BMC medicine*. 2020;18(1):43.
- 467 17. Wilding S, Ziauddeen N, Roderick P, Smith D, Chase D, Macklon N, et al. Are socioeconomic
468 inequalities in the incidence of small-for-gestational-age birth narrowing? Findings from a
469 population-based cohort in the South of England. *BMJ Open*. 2019;9(7):e026998.
- 470 18. National Institute for Care and Clinical Excellence. Antenatal care for uncomplicated
471 pregnancies 2019 [accessed 30 June 2021]. Available from:
472 <https://pathways.nice.org.uk/pathways/antenatal-care-for-uncomplicated-pregnancies>.
- 473 19. Norris T, Seaton SE, Manktelow BN, Baker PN, Kurinczuk JJ, Field D, et al. Updated birth
474 weight centiles for England and Wales. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed*. 2018;103(6):F577-F82.
- 475 20. World Health Organisation: Report of a WHO technical consultation on birth spacing:
476 Geneva, Switzerland 13-15 June W2005. World Health Organization.: World Health Organization;
477 2007.
- 478 21. Textor J, van der Zander B, Gilthorpe MS, Liskiewicz M, Ellison GT. Robust causal inference
479 using directed acyclic graphs: the R package 'dagitty'. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2016;45(6):1887-94.

- 480 22. Textor J. DAGitty — draw and analyze causal diagrams [Website]. Available from:
481 <http://www.dagitty.net/>.
- 482 23. Suttorp MM, Siegerink B, Jager KJ, Zoccali C, Dekker FW. Graphical presentation of
483 confounding in directed acyclic graphs. *Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation*. 2015;30(9):1418-23.
- 484 24. Textor J. Drawing and Analyzing Causal DAGs with DAGitty [updated 11 January 2020.
485 Manual]. Available from: <http://dagitty.net/manual-3.x.pdf>.
- 486 25. McCowan L, Horgan RP. Risk factors for small for gestational age infants. *Best Practice &*
487 *Research Clinical Obstetrics & Gynaecology*. 2009;23(6):779-93.
- 488 26. R Core Team (2020). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation
489 for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria2020.
- 490 27. Dowle M, Srinivasan A. data.table: Extension of `data.frame`. R package version 1.13.0.
491 2020.
- 492 28. Wickham H, François R, Henry L, Müller K. dplyr: A Grammar of Data Manipulation. R
493 package version 1.0.2. 2020.
- 494 29. Chongsuvivatwong V. epiDisplay: Epidemiological Data Display Package. R package version
495 3.5.0.1. 2018.
- 496 30. Wickham H. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer-Verlag New York. 2016.
- 497 31. Wickham H, Miller E. haven: Import and Export 'SPSS', Stata' and 'SAS' Files. 2020.
- 498 32. Revelle W. psych: Procedures for Personality and Psychological Research, Northwestern
499 University, Evanston, Illinois, USA.
- 500 33. Wickham H. Reshaping data with the reshape package. *Journal of Statistical Software*,
501 21(12). 2007.
- 502 34. Wickham H. tidyr: Tidy Messy Data. R package version 1.1.2. 2020.
- 503 35. Post A, Gilljam H, Bremberg S, Galanti MR. Maternal smoking during pregnancy: A
504 comparison between concurrent and retrospective self-reports. *Paediatric and Perinatal*
505 *Epidemiology*. 2008;22(2):155-61.
- 506 36. Tran DT, Roberts CL, Jorm LR, Seeho S, Havard A. Change in smoking status during two
507 consecutive pregnancies: a population-based cohort study. *BJOG: An International Journal of*
508 *Obstetrics & Gynaecology*. 2014;121(13):1611-20.
- 509 37. Hauge LJ, Aarø LE, Torgersen L, Vollrath ME. Smoking during consecutive pregnancies among
510 primiparous women in the population-based Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study. *Nicotine*
511 *Tob Res*. 2013;15(2):428-34.
- 512 38. Bauld L, Graham H, Sinclair L, Flemming K, Naughton F, Ford A, et al. Barriers to and
513 facilitators of smoking cessation in pregnancy and following childbirth: literature review and
514 qualitative study. *Health Technol Assess*. 2017;21(36):1-158.
- 515 39. Notley C, Gentry S, Livingstone-Banks J, Bauld L, Perera R, Hartmann-Boyce J. Incentives for
516 smoking cessation. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2019(7).
- 517 40. Office for National Statistics. Ethnicity and National Identity in England and Wales: 2011
518 [accessed 24 March 2020]. Available from:
519 <https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/culturalidentity/ethnicity/articles/ethnicityandnationalidentityinenglandandwales/2012-12-11>.
- 520
- 521 41. English indices of deprivation 2019 [accessed 1 September 2020]. Available from:
522 <https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/english-indices-of-deprivation-2019>.
- 523 42. Southampton data observatory [accessed 1 September 2020]. Available from:
524 <https://data.southampton.gov.uk/economy/deprivation-poverty/>.

525 43. Dukic VM, Niessner M, Pickett KE, Benowitz NL, Wakschlag LS. Calibrating self-reported
526 measures of maternal smoking in pregnancy via bioassays using a Monte Carlo approach. *Int J*
527 *Environ Res Public Health*. 2009;6(6):1744-59.

528

530