Tephra deposition and bonding with reactive oxides enhances burial of organic carbon in the Bering Sea
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Key Points

• Tephra layers are loci of marine organic carbon (OC) burial with distinct carbon isotopic compositions.
• Preservation primarily linked to association of OC with reactive iron phases, accounting for ~80% of all OC in tephra layers.
• Distribution of reactive iron from tephras into surrounding sediment has enhanced OC burial in these layers (~33% of OC in sediments bound to reactive phases).
• OC-reactive Fe coupling is observed in sediments >700,000 years old, indicating long-term persistence of these complexes.
• These interactions may help explain how OC is preserved in sediments on geological timescales.
Abstract

Preservation of organic carbon (OC) in marine sediments exerts a major control on the cycling of carbon in the Earth system. In these marine environments, OC preservation may be enhanced by diagenetic reactions in locations where deposition of fragmental volcanic material called tephra occurs. While the mechanisms by which this process occurs are well understood, site-specific studies of this process are limited. Here, we report a study of sediments from the Bering Sea (IODP Site U1339D) to investigate the effects of marine tephra deposition on carbon cycling during the Pleistocene and Holocene. Our results suggest that tephra layers are loci of OC burial with distinct \( \delta^{13}C \) values, and that this process is primarily linked to bonding of OC with reactive metals, accounting for ~80% of all OC within tephra layers. In addition, distribution of reactive metals from the tephra into non-volcanic sediments above and below the tephra layers enhances OC preservation in these sediments, with ~33% of OC bound to reactive phases. Importantly, OC-Fe coupling is evident in sediments >700,000 years old. Thus, these interactions may help explain the observed preservation of OC in ancient marine sediments.

Plain Language Summary

The burial of organic carbon in marine sediments is one of the major carbon sinks on Earth, meaning that it removes carbon dioxide from the ocean-atmosphere system. However, the speed at which burial occurs varies across the globe, and is dependent on a range of factors, from the amount of nutrients in the water column, to the type of sediment. Despite evidence suggesting that when tephra is deposited to the seafloor carbon burial is enhanced, very little work has been done to investigate this process. We have therefore analyzed sediments from the Bering Sea, where volcanoes from the Aleutian Islands and Kamchatka regularly deposit tephra in the ocean. We found that organic carbon burial is indeed associated with ash deposition, and importantly, that organic carbon is preserved in the ash layers themselves. We show here that this carbon is preserved effectively because of chemical reactions between the organic carbon and reactive iron, which is released by the ash, creating conditions which preserve carbon for hundreds of thousands of years.

Introduction

The preservation of organic carbon (OC) in marine sediments exerts a controlling influence on the carbon cycle, providing a link between the active pools (e.g. oceans, atmosphere and terrestrial environments) and the inactive, long-term carbon pools, such as those within sedimentary rocks (Arndt et al., 2013; Burdige, 2007; LaRowe et al., 2020). Only about 0.5% of all organic matter produced in the oceans is ultimately preserved in the sedimentary record, with the remainder remineralised and reintroduced into active carbon pools (Hedges & Keil, 1995). The process of OC burial and preservation leads to net removal of CO\(_2\) from the atmosphere, thus any process which changes the marine sedimentary carbon sink is critically important for understanding the climate system on geological timescales.

Explosive volcanism delivers approximately 1 km\(^3\) of ash to the atmosphere every year (Pyle, 1995), and because a high proportion of volcanoes are located close to the oceans, and 70% of the world is covered by oceans, much of this material falls into seawater and onto seafloor substrates (Olgun et al., 2011; Pyle, 1995). Tephra also enters the oceans through rapid erosion of newly created volcanic deposits (Cashman et al., 2013). This material eventually settles to the seafloor, and is deposited in the sedimentary record as tephra layers (Dingwell et al., 2012; Pyle, 1989). Tephra may also derive
from submarine eruptions, such that tephra is thought to represent as much as 25% of marine sediments in the Pacific Ocean (Scudder et al., 2009; Straub & Schmincke, 1998).

There are four mechanisms by which enhanced preservation of OC in marine sediments may occur as a result of tephra deposition and diagenesis: (1) fertilization; (2) reactive metal bonding; (3) reduced oxidant exposure, and (4) authigenic carbonate formation (Longman et al., 2019, 2020).

Upon deposition in the ocean, and as a result of the dissolution of reactive mineral phases, tephra releases large amounts of macro- and micronutrients such as P, Fe and Mn (Frogner et al., 2001; Jones & Gislason, 2008) that may alleviate deficiencies (Moore et al., 2013), particularly when Fe is the limiting nutrient. Indeed, the fertilization effect has been observed in the form of phytoplankton blooms in the aftermath of tephra deposition events (Achterberg et al., 2013; Duggen et al., 2010; Langmann et al., 2010; Uematsu et al., 2004). Tephra deposition on the seafloor rapidly reduces pore water O\textsubscript{2} contents to near-zero as a result of oxidation of silicate-bound Fe\textsuperscript{II} (Haeckel et al., 2001; Hembury et al., 2012), thus inhibiting the oxidation and remineralization of OC.

Reactive metal bonding is thought to account for ~20% of all OC preserved in marine sediments (Lalonde et al., 2012), and reactive Fe, Mn and Al phases are known to be released from tephra layers to adjacent sediments during diagenesis (Homoky et al., 2011). Hence, while it has yet to be directly observed, tephra deposition likely also contributes enhanced OC preservation through this process.

The association of abundant tephra layers and high OC concentrations has been taken to suggest that tephra diagenesis played a role in enhanced OC preservation in ancient environments (Lee et al., 2018; Tang et al., 2020), and there is growing evidence of tephra-related processes actively enhancing OC preservation in modern sediments (Hembury et al., 2012; Homoky et al., 2011; Murray et al., 2018). Nevertheless, the role of reactive metals released by tephra in this process has not been studied in detail.

Here, we estimate the contribution of this process, and the others outlined above, to the preservation of OC in the Bering Sea. Our work investigates the changing chemistry above, below and within, tephra layers deposited throughout the Quaternary Period (2.6 Ma to present), helping to improve our understanding of the impact tephra deposition has on marine sedimentary organic carbon.

Methods and Materials

Study Site

Sediments from IODP Expedition 323 site U1339D (54°31.26’N, 169°44.35’W, 200 mbsl), on the Umnak Plateau in the Bering Sea (Fig. 1) largely comprise two lithological end-members: biogenic diatom-rich sediment and a tephra. The volcanogenic material is sourced from eruptions along the Aleutian arc, and constitutes ~4 – 40% of the sediment (Takahashi et al., 2011; Vaughn & Caissie, 2017). Tephras were identified on-ship by their difference in colour (typically black) with respect to the adjacent sediments, abrupt lower and upper contacts, and the presence of glass shards in smear slides (Takahashi et al., 2011). After visual identification of cores, subsampling of both tephra layers and background sediment was undertaken in this study. The surface of the split-core sections was removed and care was taken to ensure that only the centre of the tephra layers was sampled to reduce contamination from the adjacent sediments below visibly detectable levels. In all studied examples, a sharp boundary between background sediment and the base of the tephra layer is present (e.g., Figs. S1-S2). Mixing of sediment into the top of the tephra is present in some tephras,
but this is clearly apparent in the core and, where present, was typically limited to the uppermost 2-3 cm of tephra layers (Figs. S1-S2).

For this study, we selected 9 sections, which are denoted Sections 1 to 9, with their depths and approximate ages indicated in Figure 2 (see Table S1 for details). Indicative ages were taken from the biostratigraphic age model of Takahashi et al. (2011b), interpolating linearly between the midpoint of each datum (see Fig. 2, Table S1).

**Geochemical Analyses**

**Organic Carbon**

Organic carbon measurements were carried out on a Vario PYRO cube Element Analyser (EA) coupled to a vision isotope ratio mass spectrometer (IRMS) at the University of Southampton. Approximately 20 mg of homogenised sample was acidified in perchloric acid to remove any carbonate prior to multiple rinses with Milli-Q water. EA quality control was performed via repeated measurements of High Organic Sediment Standard (HOSS; Element Microanalysis Ltd., n=11), with a reproducibility of ±0.07 wt %, and Acetalinide (n=8), with a reproducibility of ±0.1 wt % (1 SD).

Bulk sediment carbon isotope signatures ($\delta^{13}C_{Bulk}$) were measured on CO$_2$ evolved from EA combustion, and calibrated to USGS 40 and USGS 41a, with reproducibility of ±0.02 ‰ (n=5), and ±0.36 ‰ (n=4), respectively (1 SD). Repeat analyses of HOSS (n=11), and Acetanilide (n=8) were used for quality control, with precision of ±0.04 ‰ and ±0.05 ‰ respectively (1 SD).

**Inorganic Carbon**

Approximately 20 mg of homogenised sediment was analyzed via coulometry of perchloric acid treatment-released CO$_2$, using an AutoMate Prep Device (AutoMate FX, Inc., Bushnell, Florida, USA) using a UIC CMS015 CO$_2$Coulometer (UIC Inc., Joliet, Illinois, USA) at the University of Southampton. Calibration was performed using a pure carbonate standard (CAS #471-34-1), and quality control was completed via analysis of an in-house stream sediment standard.

Subsamples of layers which contained quantifiable levels of CaCO$_3$ were then selected for carbonate carbon and oxygen isotope analysis. According to the CaCO$_3$ content, between 5-15 mg of sample was analysed via a Thermo Scientific Kiel IV Carbonate device coupled to a MAT253 IRMS at the University of Southampton. Perchloric acid released CO$_2$, which was analysed for carbon and oxygen isotopes ($\delta^{13}C_{Carb}$ and $\delta^{18}O$). Replicate analyses of an in-house standard were calibrated to NBS-18 and NBS-19, with reproducibility of ±0.13 ‰ and ±0.12 ‰ for $\delta^{13}C_{Carb}$, and ±0.17 ‰ and ±0.23 ‰ for $\delta^{18}O$ (all 1 SD).

**Elemental Geochemistry**

Bulk sample geochemistry was carried out after digestion at 130°C for 24 hours via a closed-vessel mixed acid (HNO$_3$-HCl-HF) approach. Digests were then diluted to 2% HNO$_3$ and analyzed on a Thermo Scientific X-Series ICP-MS at the University of Southampton. Here, we present data for Al, Mn, Fe and Ba (Table S1). Alongside samples, blanks and reference material (HISS-1 and JMS-1 marine sediment standards) were prepared and analyzed in the same manner (see Table S2 for HISS-1 recoveries and blank values).

**Reactive Oxides**
To isolate reactive oxide phases, a 4 hour dithionite extraction was performed (Kostka & Luther, 1994; Lalonde et al., 2012; Mehra & Jackson, 1958). Despite the potential drawbacks of this approach, which include incomplete extraction of all OC bound to reactive phases (Fisher et al., 2020), it remains the most widely utilised method for investigating interactions between reactive phases and OC (Faust et al., 2021; Fisher et al., 2021).

For each sample, 4 ml of dithionite reagent (buffered to pH 4.8) was prepared, and added to 0.1 g of homogenised, freeze-dried sediment. To maintain pH 4.8, a buffered 0.35M sodium acetate, 0.2M sodium citrate solution was used, and heated to 60°C in a water bath. Samples were agitated using a vortex mixer every 15 minutes. This approach has been previously used to extract amorphous Fe-oxides alongside a fraction of crystalline Fe-oxides and acid volatile sulphides (Kostka & Luther, 1994; Roy et al., 2013). Dithionite-extracted fractions were diluted in the same manner as for elemental analysis and analyzed on a Thermo Scientific X-Series ICP-MS at the University of Southampton. Results are presented in Table S3. Chilean Margin sediment (RR9702A-42MC, see Murati et al., (2012)), was prepared and analyzed in the same manner as the samples, with results for reactive Fe (Fe₀) and reactive Mn (Mn₀) found to be within the range of previously reported values (Table S2). For Fe₀, values of 10475±125 ppm (1 SD, n=3) are close to previously measured values of 10800±800 ppm (Roy et al., 2013) and 9300±200 ppm (N.A. Murray et al., 2016). For Mn₀, measured values of 306±15 ppm (1SD, n=3) compare well with other studies, including 290±10 ppm (Murray et al., 2016), and 300±60 ppm (Roy et al., 2013) (Table S2).

To investigate the composition of carbon associated with the phases extracted via dithionite leaching, we used the approach of Lalonde et al. (2012). This involves analysis of the OC content before and after the extraction experiment outlined above, and analysis of δ¹³CBulk before and after extraction. In addition, a control experiment was completed, where samples were extracted using sodium chloride instead of sodium dithionite and trisodium citrate, according to the method of Lalonde et al., (2012). For tephra, this released 0.004 wt% of the OC, and for sediment 0.02 wt%.

These values were then used to correct experimental data (Lalonde et al., 2012; Shields et al., 2016), although it has been shown this approach can result in underestimations of Feₐ-associated OC (Fisher et al., 2020) (Table S4). For simplicity, and in a similar manner to previous studies (e.g. Faust et al., 2021), we consider the results of the extraction experiment to represent Feₐ-bound OC, and not Mnₐ- and Alₐ-bound OC. Results are presented in Table S4.

Using the results of the extraction experiment and control experiment, the fraction of OC associated with reactive phases (hereafter fOCFe) and the isotopic composition of this OC (hereafter δ¹³CFe-OC) were calculated using the following equation (Lalonde et al., 2012):

\[
\delta^{13}C_{Fe-OC} = \frac{OC_{extract} - OC_{control}}{OC_{bulk}}
\]

where fOCFe is the fraction of OC bound to reactive phases, OCcontrol is the OC content after control extraction (for either tephra or sediment), OCextract is OC content after dithionite extraction and OCbulk is OC content prior to extraction. Using the precision data derived from the EA standards (±0.07 wt%), and the average wt % OC content of the samples lost during the extraction (0.32 wt%), we estimate the error on fOCFe to be on average ±21%. Only two samples display an absolute OC loss lower than the analytical error (Table S3). The isotopic composition of the fraction of OC extracted (δ¹³CFe-OC) was calculated using the following equation:

\[
\delta^{13}C_{Fe-OC} = \frac{\delta^{13}C_{bulk} \times OC_{bulk} - \delta^{13}C_{Fe-OC-extract} \times OC_{extract}}{OC_{bulk} - OC_{extract}}
\]
where $\delta^{13}C_{\text{bulk}}$ is the $\delta^{13}C$ of OC before the dithionite experiment and $\delta^{13}C_{\text{Fe-OC}}$-extract is the $\delta^{13}C$ of OC after the dithionite extraction. Using the calculated $\delta^{13}C_{\text{Fe-OC}}$ and the absolute amount of OC associated with Fe$_8$ (OC$_{Fe}$), the isotopic composition of the non Fe$_8$-bound OC ($\delta^{13}C_{\text{Non-Fe-OC}}$) was then calculated using the following equation:

$$
\delta^{13}C_{\text{Non-Fe-OC}} = \frac{\delta^{13}C_{\text{Fe-OC}} \times OC_{\text{Fe}} - \delta^{13}C_{\text{bulk}} \times OC_{\text{bulk}}}{OC_{\text{Fe}} - OC_{\text{bulk}}}
$$

Using a similar approach to that outlined above, precision from IRMS standards (±0.13 ‰), the average $\delta^{13}C_{\text{Fe-OC}}$ (0.56 ‰), we calculate error on $\delta^{13}C_{\text{Fe-OC}}$ estimates to be on average ±22%. In this case, only one sample displays a $\delta^{13}C$ shift lower than analytical error (Table S3).

**Palaeoproductivity**

To assess changing palaeoproductivity, we used the biogenic fraction of barium (Ba$_{\text{bio}}$), a commonly used proxy (Schoepfer et al., 2015). This approach first calculates the proportion of excess Ba in the sediments, an approach which uses the expected ratio of Ba to the conservative element Al in detrital, non-biogenic Ba to calculate the remainder:

$$
Ba_{\text{Bio}} = Ba_{\text{Total}} - Al_{\text{Total}} \times (Ba/Al_{\text{detrital}})
$$

For Ba/Al$_{\text{detrital}}$, we assume the primary detrital contributor is tephra and use an average value from all tephra layers in this study (0.0099). Using published biostratigraphic ages (Takahashi, Ravelo, & Alvarez Zarikian, 2011), we calculate accumulation rates which are then used to convert raw Ba$_{\text{Bio}}$ into Ba$_{\text{Bio}}$ flux:

$$
Ba_{\text{Bio}}\text{Flux} = Ba_{\text{Bio}} \times \rho \times LSR
$$

where LSR is the linear sedimentation rate, in cm$^1$ kyr and $\rho$ is the density of sediment, estimated using the following equation:

$$
\rho = 0.0794 \times \ln(x) + 0.650
$$

where x is the age of the sample in kyr (Schoepfer et al., 2015). Results may be found in Table S1.

**Results**

The composition of the tephra layers and adjacent non-volcanogenic sediments are compared in Table 1. The tephra layers show lower average OC and inorganic carbon contents, but extend to much higher inorganic carbon concentrations in Section 6 (Fig. 2). The bulk $\delta^{13}C$ values of the two groups overlap, but the tephra layers have more negative mean $\delta^{13}C$ values (-25.4±1.18‰, 1SD, n=22) than those of the sediments (-23.9±0.6‰, 1SD, n=44) (Table S5). The tephra layers are slightly enriched in total Fe and Mn with similar levels of Al to sediment. The tephras contain slightly lower reactive phase contents (Fig. 3; Table 1). The dithionite extraction experiment shows a greater average $f_{OC-Fe}$ in tephras (79±13%, 1SD, n=13) than sediments (33±22%, 1SD, n=24), with $\delta^{13}C_{Fe-OC}$ in tephras and sediments averaging -25.83‰ and -24.16‰, respectively (Table 1; Fig. 4, Table S4). Carbonate $\delta^{13}C$ analyses show two clusters for $\delta^{13}C_{\text{Carb}}$ (Fig. 5). One cluster (n=5) displays a narrow range in $\delta^{13}C_{\text{Carb}}$ values between -1.01 and -1.58 ‰. The other (n=8) has $\delta^{13}C_{\text{Carb}}$ values between -13.41 and -19.56 ‰. $\delta^{18}O$ values also differ between the two clusters, with samples in the first lying between -7.09 and 1.34 ‰ and the second between 2.92 and 9.2 ‰ (Fig. 5).

**Discussion**
OC in tephra layers

Our analyses show that all tephra layers contain a component of OC, with an average of 0.3±0.7 wt% (1SD, n=22), compared to an average of 0.9 wt% in the background sediments (Fig. 2a, Table S5). Because fresh tephra contains negligible OC, these data indicate that some OC preservation mechanism occurred within the tephra layers. Bulk carbon isotope analyses of the tephra layers and surrounding sediments indicate that the composition of this OC is different to what is preserved in surrounding sediments. Mean tephra $\delta^{13}C$ is -25.4±1.2‰ (1SD, n=22) and mean sediment $\delta^{13}C$ is -23.9±0.6‰ (1SD, n=44) (Fig. 2d), with statistically different means and variances (T-test p-value <0.005). This suggests that the tephra layers contain a distinct source of $^{13}C$-depleted carbon, which is consistent with the fact that we minimized sampling of any background sedimentary OC mixed into the tephra layers, despite the bioturbated nature of some sediments at site U1339D. However, where present, the effect of bioturbation on tephra is limited to the uppermost 1-2 cm of the layers (Takahashi et al., 2011). Therefore, our sampling method—which avoided gradational boundaries and sampled the centre of the tephras—deliberately avoided the sampling of any potentially mixed sediment (Figs. S1-2). Further, if bioturbation were to have played a role, one would expect the tephra layers to contain a mix of OC brought in from surrounding layers, rather than a distinct source as we observe. It is possible the distinct $\delta^{13}C$ represents a shift to OC of a more terrestrial origin in tephra, with Yukon River OC typically on the order of -27‰ (Guo & Macdonald, 2006). However, this is unlikely to constitute a significant proportion of the OC supply to our site, with the Yukon delta located nearly 1000 km to the northeast. In addition, there is no a priori reason to expect the tephra layers to contain more terrestrial OC than the sediments, but the possibility cannot be discounted.

An additional explanation for the distinct OC $\delta^{13}C$ values in the tephra may be autochthonous microbial biomass formation, because microbial fatty acid $\delta^{13}C$ values range from -30 to -45 ‰, depending on the carbon source (Cifuentes & Salata, 2001; Gong & Hollander, 1997; Hayes, 2001). This occurs due to fractionation processes which take place as microbes utilise carbon, the majority of which result in $\delta^{13}C$ depletion (Hayes, 2001). While we cannot be certain of a biomass origin, the negative values of microbial biomass means that only a relatively small contribution is necessary to result in the observed isotopic shift. Further study would be required to confirm this hypothesis, but evidence suggests that volcanic glass may provide the ideal substrate for microbial growth (Li et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2017). Indeed, tephra layers have been shown to contain microbial communities which are distinct, more diverse and greater in number than surrounding sediments (Inagaki et al., 2003), with sulfide oxidation suggested as an energy source (Böhnke et al., 2019). However, even if microbial biomass plays a role in the OC preserved in these tephras, both the lack of direct evidence, and the relatively un-depleted $\delta^{13}C$ values in tephra suggest that another source of OC is also present within the tephra layers. One further possibility is that the shift in lithology from sediment to tephra has allowed for the preferential preservation of certain organic compounds, due to changing reactivity and chemical compositions. This could be linked to the preservation of OC via bonding with reactive iron (Fe$_{ox}$) phases, as a result of the high Fe$_{ox}$ content in ash (Homoky et al., 2011), and there is evidence to suggest that Fe is released during tephra alteration (Luo et al., 2020; Maters et al., 2017). Such a mechanism is not dependent on the formation mechanism of the OC, rather increasing preservation across all OC forms, as the complexes formed are difficult to break down (Lalonde et al., 2012). This may result in the depletion of $\delta^{13}C$ in the tephras, as OC degradation tends to result in the retained OC containing a more negative $\delta^{13}C$ value (Lehmann et al., 2002; Zonneveld et al., 2010), but further research is necessary to confirm this. As such, it may be that the OC retained in the tephra is simply marine OC which has undergone selective preservation, resulting
in more depleted δ\textsuperscript{13}C than surrounding sediment (Fig. 4). Thus, a microbial component cannot be
discounted, but also cannot be proven from our data alone.

Reactive metal bonding

Globally, ~20% of marine OC is thought to be preserved via bonding with FeR phases (Barber et al.,
2017; Lalonde et al., 2012), hence we have investigated how bonding reactions may have influenced
OC preservation at U1339D. Absolute values of OC associated with Fe (OC-Fe) are similar for both
tephra (average 0.31 wt %) and sediment (average 0.27 wt %), but with much more variability in the
tephra layers (Fig. 4c; Figure S3). However, the variability in the bulk OC content, and the lower OC
content of tephra, means that the percentage of OC associated with FeR (f\textsubscript{OC-Fe}) is clearly different
between the tephra and background sediment (Fig. 4e, Table 1). The f\textsubscript{OC-Fe} in the background
sediment (average 33%) is similar to the global average, but within the tephra layers, f\textsubscript{OC-Fe} increases
to an average of 79% (Fig. 4; Table 1). By comparison, the highest f\textsubscript{OC-Fe} observed in marine
sediments elsewhere is ~40% in deltaic sediments and ~30% in sediments underlying the equatorial
Pacific upwelling zone (Lalonde et al., 2012). The intense FeR-OC bonding within the tephra layers
may be linked to the high proportion of Fe\textsuperscript{n} within tephra deposits (Homoky et al., 2011), that
provides an ideal environment for OC inner-sphere bonding (Barber et al., 2017). Interestingly, the
FeR content of the tephra layers at Site U1339C is lower than those in the background sediments
(= 3), potentially because Aleutian eruptions are primarily andesitic and rhyolitic as opposed to
basaltic in composition (Figure S4). However, f\textsubscript{OC-Fe} is high, supporting recent work which suggests
the absolute availability of FeR is not the dominant control on OC-Fe association (Faust et al., 2021).
The higher proportion of f\textsubscript{OC-Fe} in the sediments adjacent to the tephra (relative to the more distal
sediments) (Fig. 4) may be related to the diffusion of colloidal reactive Fe out of the tephra layers (cf.
Homoky et al., 2011).

The isotopic signature of the FeR-bound OC (δ\textsuperscript{13}C\textsubscript{Fe-OC}) may indicate the type of OC being preserved
via these interactions (Fig 4b, d, f). As with bulk δ\textsuperscript{13}C, the δ\textsuperscript{13}C\textsubscript{Fe-OC} of tephra layers is consistently
more negative than those in the sediments, with an average of -25.50 ‰ in tephra, and -22.4 ‰ in
sediments (Fig. 4f), suggesting a distinct carbon source. The affinity of marine OC to FeR phases has
been observed in a range of marine sediments located on the continental shelf previously, likely
indicating a marine source of sediment-hosted OC bound to FeR (Lalonde et al., 2012), a finding in
line with those from other marine environments such as estuaries (Sirois et al., 2018; Zhao et al.,
2018). As with bulk sediment OC, it is possible that the more negative nature of δ\textsuperscript{13}C\textsubscript{Fe-OC} in tephra
represents a shift toward microbial OC generation and preservation (Cifuentes & Salata, 2001; Gong
& Hollander, 1997), resulting from fractionation which occurs as microbes utilise carbon (Hayes,
2001). Circumstantial evidence for this hypothesis comes from laboratory studies which
demonstrate that reactive Fe oxides may act as electron suppliers for metabolism of metal-reducing
bacteria (Coker et al., 2012; Kato et al., 2010).

However, as with bulk δ\textsuperscript{13}C values, the variation may result from the preservation of certain OC
compounds. Our data appear to support this, as the bulk δ\textsuperscript{13}C value of tephra is similar to that of
tephra-hosted δ\textsuperscript{13}C\textsubscript{Fe-OC} values (Fig. 4), a function of the majority of OC being bound to FeR phases in
tephra. The retention of a depleted signal in δ\textsuperscript{13}C\textsubscript{Fe-OC} suggests that what remains in the tephra is the
non-labile, FeR-complexed OC, and that the loss of labile compounds has caused the depletion.
Indeed, in background sediments, δ\textsuperscript{13}C\textsubscript{Fe-OC} displays less negative isotope ratios (Fig. 4). This suggests
that outside of tephra layers the isotopic composition has not been shifted as Fe-OC makes up a
smaller proportion of total OC and so less preservation of negative δ\textsuperscript{13}C is occurring. The
enhancement of OC preservation due to Fe\textsubscript{r} bonding in tephra layers may thus provide a previously unconsidered sink for such OC in sediments containing abundant tephra (Hedges et al., 1997).

The molar ratio of organic carbon to reactive iron (OC:Fe; Fig. 5a, Table S4) may provide information on the mechanisms of binding between OC and Fe (Faust et al., 2021; Lalonde et al., 2012), with low ratios indicative of simple mono-layer sorption, and higher ratios related to coprecipitation (Wagai & Mayer, 2007). In the Bering Sea sections, OC:Fe molar ratios vary greatly, suggesting a range of OC-Fe interactions, but tephra layers typically display lower OC:Fe than in the surrounding sediments (Fig. 5a). The high OC:Fe ratios observed in some layers (OC:Fe >10) may indicate deposition under anoxic/sub-oxic conditions (Lalonde et al., 2012). In tephra layers, this is likely related to the consumption of porewater O\textsubscript{2} during tephra diagenesis (Hembury et al., 2012). Low OC:Fe ratios are typically linked to O\textsubscript{2} exposure (Lalonde et al., 2012), or terrestrial OC-Fe bonding (Barber et al., 2014; Faust et al., 2021), but as discussed above these mechanisms are unlikely to be at play here. If microbial activity is a contributor to tephra OC, utilisation of the reactive Fe during microbial metabolism may have altered the Fe\textsubscript{r} content adsorbed or coprecipitated to other OC (Elizabeth Cooper et al., 2017; Eusterhues et al., 2014). Further, since the dithionite extraction removes all “reactive” Fe phases, and not simply those complexed with OC, it is possible that low OC:Fe ratios are related to the extraction of Fe\textsubscript{r} phases not involved with bonding (Faust et al., 2021). By pairing our OC:Fe data with \textit{f}_{OC-Fe}, and comparing with previous studies, it is clear that the OC-Fe\textsubscript{r} interaction in tephra layers differ from any previous studies (Fig. 6). Our sediment data are close to previous studies of oxic and suboxic sediments (Lalonde et al., 2012), but the tephra shows very high \textit{f}_{OC-Fe} associated with low OC:Fe. This further indicates that a process not typically associated with marine sediments occurs in the tephra layers (Fig. 6).

Long term persistence of an enhanced ‘rusty carbon sink’ in tephra-rich sediments

Regardless of the source of the OC preserved in the sediments and tephra, there is evidence of extensive OC-Fe\textsubscript{r} bonding in all layers of the studied Bering Sea sediments, with particularly high levels of OC complexion in both the tephra and surrounding sediments (Fig. 4). These values suggest that the environment produced by tephra deposition, in which enhanced availability of nutrients (and potentially Fe\textsubscript{r} although not in the Bering Sea) is coupled to localised oxygen depletion (Hembury et al., 2012), is one in which high proportions of local OC are complexed to Fe\textsubscript{r}. The enhanced \textit{f}_{OC-Fe} proportions in tephra are greater than reported in any surface sediments, even in anoxic depositional environments, suggesting the size of the ‘rusty sink’ in any given sediment may be more related to the availability of Fe\textsubscript{r} phases and not the available oxygen (Lalonde et al., 2012).

In addition, even in the oldest layers around the tephra deposited between 700-745 kyr (i.e., section 21H4; Takahashi et al., 2011), all \textit{f}_{OC-Fe} proportions are above 10%, and greater than 70% in the tephra. This suggests that bonding with reactive oxides provides a long-term sink for OC in the marine environment, one which persists for far longer than previously indicated (Faust et al., 2021). This has implications for long-term carbon cycling on Earth, suggesting OC-Fe bonding may represent an important component of the high activation energy (\textit{E}) OC involved in the long-term preservation of marine OC (Hemingway et al., 2019). The proportion of high-\textit{E} organic compounds has been shown to increase as time proceeds in marine sediments, with our work suggesting a proportion of this is linked to OC-Fe\textsubscript{r} bonds. This finding, coupled with the implication that tephra are loci of intense OC-Fe\textsubscript{r} bonding, suggest that tephra may be involved in the burial and long-term
sequestration of OC after periods of major volcanic activity, such as in the Late Ordovician (Buggisch et al., 2010) and the mid-Cretaceous (Lee et al., 2018).

Other processes involved in OC preservation

Another potential carbon sink in tephra is authigenic carbonate (Schrag et al., 2013), which may form in tephra layers themselves (Longman et al., 2021), or sediments in which levels of Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\) have been enhanced by ash deposition (Hong et al., 2020; Longman et al., 2019; Luo et al., 2020; Torres et al., 2020). In most tephra and sediments at site U1139D, there is little evidence for this process occurring (Fig. 2b), potentially due to the small amount of carbonate precipitation typically promoted by ash alteration (Hong et al., 2020), but there are exceptions, particularly in one layer where carbonate contents exceed 50 wt% (tephra 7; Fig. 2b). In addition, a small number of sediment layers show carbonate enrichment (Fig. 2b).

Carbon and oxygen isotope analyses of the tephra-hosted carbonates (δ\(^{13}\)C\(_{\text{Carb}}\)) indicate that there are two clear groups (Fig. 5b). The first, composed solely of carbonate from sediment layers, is characterized by δ\(^{13}\)C\(_{\text{Carb}}\) between -1 and -2 ‰, and appears to be indicative of a biogenic carbonate formation, or authigenic carbonates formed from dissolved inorganic carbon in seawater (e.g. Humphreys et al., 2015). The second group shows δ\(^{13}\)C\(_{\text{Carb}}\) values between -12 to -20 ‰ (Fig. 5b). This 12C depletion is typical of carbonates formed as a result of the anaerobic oxidation of methane once this methane reaches the zone where it occurs in concert with sulfate (Sivan et al., 2007; Whiticar & Faber, 1986). Authigenic carbonates (C\(_{\text{auth}}\)) formed as a result of this process may act as a carbon sink, preventing the methane from returning carbon to the ocean, and locking it into stable carbonate phases (Schrag et al., 2013). Previous work in the region has shown widespread evidence for C\(_{\text{auth}}\) in Bering sediment (Pierre et al., 2016), with similarly 13C-depleted carbon isotope signatures (Hein et al., 1979). As we see little evidence for C\(_{\text{auth}}\) formation in sediments, and with previous work showing C\(_{\text{auth}}\) in tephra layers (Hein et al., 1979), it is possible that tephra alteration has supplied the Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\) necessary for formation. If true, this would suggest C\(_{\text{auth}}\) formation is a carbon sink enhanced by tephra diagenesis.

It is also possible that tephra deposition may stimulate phytoplankton productivity in surface seawater (Langmann et al., 2010; Olgun et al., 2011). Using both OC content and biogenic barium flux (Ba\(_{\text{bio}}\)) as proxies for palaeoproductivity (Schoepfer et al., 2015), we investigated the impact of tephra deposition on productivity in the Bering Sea. There is little evidence of increased productivity in sediments directly surrounding tephra deposits, with slightly lower average OC content, and similar Ba\(_{\text{bio}}\) (Fig. 2c). This is despite evidence of plankton blooms in the aftermath of eruptions in the region (Hamme et al., 2010; Langmann et al., 2010). This suggests either that: i) plankton blooms are transitory and short-lived, having very little impact on overall productivity in the region; or ii) the organic carbon produced by such blooms is either not exported from the upper ocean prior to remineralisation, or it is transported to other locations by ocean currents. In addition, the Bering Sea is typically an area of high productivity (Wehrmann et al., 2011), so that the addition of tephra makes little difference to overall production. A final possibility is that the andesitic and relatively low-Fe nature of the tephra deposited in the Bering Sea means that it does not contain sufficient amounts of nutrient to influence biological productivity.

Conclusions

Our results demonstrate enhanced OC preservation in tephra, and in the sediments surrounding tephra deposits. The OC in the tephra layers is primarily associated with reactive metal phases, with an average f\(_{\text{OC-Me}}\) value of 77% in tephra. Thus, tephra layers contain the highest f\(_{\text{OC-Me}}\) proportions yet
reported. Isotopic analyses indicate that this OC is primarily marine in origin, supporting previous studies which demonstrate the affiliation of Fe\textsubscript{R} to marine OC in marine environments (Sirois et al., 2018; Zhao et al., 2018). The data also shows the viability of long-term stability of such relationships, with high f\textsubscript{OC-Fe} proportions in sediments older than 700 kyr. This finding may explain observed increases in OC activation energy as age increases (Hemingway et al., 2019), with OC-Fe\textsubscript{R} interactions resulting in hard to break down organic compounds. In addition to bonding of OC with reactive metal phases, there is limited evidence for authigenic carbonate formation in these tephra layers.
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Figure 1: Map of part of the Aleutian Island Arc showing the location of IODP core U1339D. The inset map shows the location of the sampling site within Alaska. Also shown are a number of volcanoes which have actively supplied ash to the Bering Sea during the Quaternary Period (as defined by the Global Volcanism Program of the Smithsonian Institution). Marked in yellow are the key ocean currents affecting sedimentation at this site.
Figure 2: Geochemical parameters of tephra and background sediment from U1339D. a) Organic carbon content in tephra (purple) and background sediments (blue). b) CaCO₃ content, c) biogenic barium flux, d) bulk δ¹³C, with average value for both sample type indicated by solid lines. To the left of boxes a-d are the section numbers, depths in metres below sea floor (mbsf) and indicative ages in thousands of years before present (ka). Panels e-h display box and whisker diagrams of the data presented in panels a-d. Boxes are defined between the first and third quartile (interquartile range; IQR), with minimum and maximum whiskers representative of 1.5 times the IQR, and with any outliers (>1.5 times IQR) removed.
Figure 3: Comparison of total metal contents, reactive metal contents and organic carbon (OC) content of sediments and tephras from Site U1339D. Panels a-c display reactive metal concentrations plotted against OC whilst panels d-f show total elemental content for Fe, Mn and Al against respective reactive metal content. Tephra samples are coloured blue, with sediments in red.
Figure 4: Results of dithionite extraction experiments. In all panels, tephra points are indicated by blue circles and background sediments are in red. a) Organic carbon (OC) content in all samples before leach. b) Bulk δ13C (%o) pre-leach. c) Organic content (wt %) vs. fOC (wt %) for different samples. d) Bulk δ13C (%o) vs. fOC (%o) for different samples. e) Organic content (wt %) vs. fOC (wt %) for different samples. f) Bulk δ13C (%o) vs. fOC (wt %) for different samples.
extraction versus OC content after extraction. Thick black line indicates where samples should plot if no OC was extracted. Labelled dashed lines indicate the fraction of OC associated with reactive phases (f_{OC-Fe}). b) Plot of bulk δ^{13}C before and after extraction. Thick black line indicates where samples should plot if no isotopic change were observed. Panels c-d display the same data as a and b but indicate the shift from the original sample (filled circles) to extracted samples (open circles). e) f_{OC-Fe}, with thick lines indicating average f_{OC-Fe} for tephra (blue) and sediment (red). f) Bulk isotopic composition of OC associated with reactive phases δ^{13}C_{OC-Fe}. As before, thick coloured lines indicate the average δ^{13}C_{OC-Fe} of tephra (blue) and sediments (red). For panels c-f, section numbers are indicated to the left. These refer to the ages and depths indicated in Figure 2.

Figure 5: Geochemistry of tephras and sediments from Site U1339D. a) Ratio of OC to reactive Fe, using molar masses for sediments (red) and tephras (blue). b) δ^{13}C and δ^{18}O of the carbonate fraction (see Methods), with typical values for isotopic composition of seawater (orange rectangle) and biogenic carbonate (yellow rectangle) highlighted. For panel a, section numbers are indicated to the left. These refer to the ages and depths indicated in Figure 2.
Figure 6: Comparison of the fraction of OC associated with FeR ($f_{OC-Fe}$) with the Fe:OC molar ratio from this study and a selection of previous studies. Data from this current study from tephras (blue circles) and sediments (red circles) are shown alongside sediment data from the Barents Sea (green diamonds; Faust et al., 2021). Also shown are data from the compilation of Lalonde et al., (2012), with samples from anoxic/suboxic environments (magenta squares), sulfidic sediments (yellow plus symbols), oxic shelf sediments (orange triangles), deltaic and estuarine sediments (brown stars) and deep-sea sediments (cyan crosses).

Tables

Table 1: Summary of experimental results. Mean, minimum and maximum values for each of the measured variable are presented, for both tephra and sediment layers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tephra Layers</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before dithionite extraction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic carbon content (wt%)</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>3.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>Maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inorganic carbon content (wt%)</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>51.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba_{bio} flux (mg cm^{-3} kyr)</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Fe (wt%)</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>9.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Mn (ppm)</td>
<td>1082</td>
<td>608</td>
<td>2232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Al (wt%)</td>
<td>7.98</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk δ^{13}C (%)</td>
<td>-25.4</td>
<td>-23.23</td>
<td>-27.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**After dithionite extraction**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Organic carbon content (wt%)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactive Fe (wt %)</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactive Mn (ppm)</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>19.83</td>
<td>1042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactive Al (ppm)</td>
<td>524.92</td>
<td>287.8</td>
<td>972.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk δ^{13}C (%)</td>
<td>-26.29</td>
<td>-23.99</td>
<td>-27.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f_{DC-Fe} (%)</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>δ^{13}C_{Fe-OC} (%)</td>
<td>-25.83</td>
<td>-23.39</td>
<td>-24.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sediment Layers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before dithionite extraction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic carbon content (wt%)</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inorganic carbon content (wt%)</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba_{bio} flux (mg cm^{-3} kyr)</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Fe (wt%)</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Mn (ppm)</td>
<td>784.8</td>
<td>488.4</td>
<td>2337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Al (wt%)</td>
<td>8.04</td>
<td>6.47</td>
<td>10.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk δ^{13}C (%)</td>
<td>-23.82</td>
<td>-22.65</td>
<td>-24.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>After dithionite extraction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic carbon content (wt%)</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactive Fe (wt %)</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactive Mn (ppm)</td>
<td>170.8</td>
<td>34.32</td>
<td>580.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactive Al (ppm)</td>
<td>914.42</td>
<td>214.4</td>
<td>2254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk δ^{13}C (%)</td>
<td>-24.37</td>
<td>-23.99</td>
<td>-27.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f_{DC-Fe} (%)</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>δ^{13}C_{Fe-OC} (%)</td>
<td>-24.16</td>
<td>-22.94</td>
<td>-26.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>