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The homogeneous Os-catalyzed dihydroxylation of alkenes has been widely applied in organic synthesis. Mechanistic studies on the diol formation have been performed but concentrated on the osmylation step to form Os(VI) glycolate, however the details and the origin of the reactivity of the catalytic cycle that comprises several mechanistically poorly defined steps have yet to be fully understood. Here, we report density functional theory (DFT) investigations of Os-catalyzed non-enantioselective dihydroxylation of trans-butene under homogenous conditions (OsO4/NMO) to demonstrate the predominant pathway to be through the second cycle, with reoxidation of the Os(VI) glycolate outpacing its hydrolysis. The putative Os(VIII) trioxoglycolate is found as a highly reactive intermediate that undergoes a highly rapid osmylation of another alkene, initiating the second cycle. The present study shows that tertiary amines like NMM, the oxidation coproduct, do not promote either reoxidation or hydrolysis of Os(VI) glycolate but inhibit the reaction by competing coordination. Utilizing the energetic span model on our proposed computed catalytic cycle, reoxidation of Os(VI)→Os(VIII) glycolate is found to be turnover-limiting state. The hydrolysis of Os(VI) bisglycolate, formed by the second osmylation reaction is catalyzed by NMM through a stepwise ion-pairing mechanism. In addition to the reoxidation played by NMO, it plays another role by catalyzing the hydrolysis of the stable Os(VI) bisglycolate either through the coproduct base NMM or by NMO-assisted stabilization of the stepwise ion-pairing hydrolysis of Os(VI) glycolate. Besides, the reoxidation step Os(VI)→Os(VIII), with and without NMM, is studied in detail by combining localized and principle interacting orbital and distortion/interaction analysis. The findings presented in this work will encourage experimentalists to implement further studies on Os catalysis to design a more efficient catalytic version that tackles enantioselective deficiencies in the 1,2-diol formation and even in oxidative cyclization of 1,5-dienes to give tetrahydrofuran diols.

Introduction

Vicinal diols are valuable intermediates in numerous syntheses and this moiety is present in many naturally occurring and synthetic bioactive molecules.1,2 syn-Dihydroxylation of alkenes catalyzed by osmium tetroxide is probably the most widely applied method used to access 1,2-diols, certainly on a laboratory scale, and particularly where stereochemically defined products are sought (Figure 1a).1,2 However, due to high toxicity, volatility, and high cost of OsO4, recent interests are turned to more environmentally-friendly protocols, where Os-free asymmetric synth-dihydroxylations have been developed in the last two decades.3 Secondary oxidizing agents (SOA) including tert-butylhydroperoxide (TBHP),4 amine N-oxides (e.g. N-methylmorpholine N-oxide, NMO),5 or potassium hexacyanoferrate(III) (K3Fe(CN)6)6 have been employed for reoxidation of Os (VI) species during catalysis. Two of the best known methods of catalytic dihydroxylation are the Upjohn (OsO4/NMO)1a,b and Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation (K3Fe(CN)6/K3CO3; SAD) protocols1a,b,c,e,f the latter exploiting cinchona alkaloid-derived ligands to achieve enantioselectivity. Mechanistically, addition of OsO4 to alkenes proceeds by (3+2) cycloaddition, with an alternative proposal involving a (2+2) pathway having been excluded based on combination of theoretical and kinetic isotope effect studies.7 While calculations delivered valuable insights into the mechanism of alkene osmylation, there remains a dearth of computational study of other important aspects integral to catalytic dihydroxylation, including all ensuing reactions of the resulting Os(VI) glycolates. A second catalytic cycle, also giving rise to diols, was identified in dihydroxylation where the SOA and Os are in the same phase (Figure 1b).8,9-10 It was proposed that oxidation of Os(VI) glycolate intermediate 3 by the SOA gives an Os(VIII) trioxoglycolate 4 that may osmylate another olefin substrate through this so-called second cycle. In the context of SAD, the second cycle is deleterious to enantioselectivity, particularly for more substituted olefins.
where Os glycolate hydrolysis is sluggish. Therefore, modifications that minimised the second cycle were developed, including slow addition of the olefin, introduction of additives, or by using a basic t-BuOH/H$_2$O system where osmylation and reoxidation of Os(VI) proceed in different phases. 

Therefore, modifications that minimised the second cycle were developed, including slow addition of the olefin, introduction of additives, or by using a basic t-BuOH/H$_2$O system where osmylation and reoxidation of Os(VI) proceed in different phases.

The presence of Os(VIII) trioxoglycolates 4 as intermediates at the intersection of the two cycles is widely accepted; their existence is inferred from observation of the second cycle and formation of Os(VI) bisglycolates. However, Os(VIII) trioxoglycolates 4 have not been isolated or characterized. Despite the many experimental studies focused on this cornerstone reaction but steps of producing 1,2-diol moiety are still not clearly judged. To date, most of computational investigations have been mainly focused on the osmylation step of the reaction, either with or without ligands. However, no in-depth theoretical examination has appeared in literature to tackle the omniphilic mechanistic pathway since the catalytic cycle comprises several mechanistically poorly defined steps, including reoxidation of Os(VI) to Os(VIII) in glycolate intermediates, hydrolysis of Os(VI) or Os(VIII) glycolates and competition of the first and the second cycles as well as effect of the coproduct amine on reoxidation and hydrolysis. Here, we report a density functional theory (DFT) study of these reaction steps for a representative trans-disubstituted alkene, (E)-but-2-ene (8), finding the Os(VIII) trioxoglycolate as an unstable intermediate, which readily engages in osmylation of another olefin molecule. The findings are consistent with the predominance of second cycle osmylation under Upjohn dihydroxylation conditions and shed light on why Os(VIII) trioxoglycolates have not been observed as isolable species. In addition, despite the main role for the SOA, amine-N-oxides, NMO, is reoxidation (Os(VI)→Os(VIII)), the results demonstrate that the SOA plays another dual role through providing the coproduct NMM, after reoxidation, as a base, to catalyze the hydrolysis of the Os(VI) bisglycolate 17 and stabilizing the stepwise hydrolysis of 17 as a ligand (NMO).
Results and Discussions

Initial considerations. Dihydroxylation of alkene \( \text{8} \) to give \( (2R^*,3R^*) \)-butane-2,3-diol \( \text{9} \) was investigated with DFT simulations using the optimization protocol performed with the SMD-M06/6-311+G(d,p),def2-TZVP level of theory in acetone as solvent medium based on gas phase optimized geometries performed with the M06/6-31G(d),LANL2DZ level of theory.\(^{13}\) The alkene osmylation step has previously been studied using DFT calculations,\(^7\) and interest here lies primarily in subsequent steps that give rise to diol product and Os species capable of propagating catalysis. Of many pathways available to the initially formed Os(VI) dioxoglycolate intermediate, the present study considers addition of ligands (H\(_2\)O, NMM and NMO), hydrolysis, reoxidation (Os(VI) \( \rightarrow \) Os(VIII)), in the first and second cycles.\(^{14}\)

Reaction mechanism of the simplest pathway for diol formation via hydrolysis of Os(VI) glycolate. Osmylation of alkene \( \text{8} \) by OsO\(_4\) proceeds through \( (3+2) \) cycloaddition TS \( \text{10} \) with a barrier of 15.8 kcal/mol (Figure 2), giving tetrahedral Os(VI) dioxoglycolate \( \text{11} \) as an exergonic step (\( \Delta G^\text{osm} = -21.3 \) kcal/mol).\(^{7,13,15}\) It is important to mention here that based on our previous computations\(^{12}\) and literature reported by Strassner,\(^7j\) the triplet state of the Os(VI) glycolate is energetically disfavored compared to singlet spin multiplicity and thus triplet state was excluded. Following formation of Os(VI) dioxoglycolate \( \text{11} \), different pathways can give rise to vicinal diol \( \text{9} \); direct hydrolysis of Os(VI) dioxoglycolate \( \text{11} \) was examined first. Insertion of a water molecule is exergonic of 6.4 kcal/mol to form hydrated Os(VI) intermediate \( \text{11} \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O} \) with a barrier of 17.8 kcal/mol via a six-membered ring TS \( \text{11TS}_2 \) (shuttled by H\(_2\)O) and 21.7 kcal/mol via four-membered TS \( \text{11TS} \) (non-shuttled). Calculations show hydrolysis of Os(VI) glycolate \( \text{11} \) following an associative pathway, as an endergonic process that requires substantial barriers to be overcome via four-membered ring transition state (TSs) \( \text{12TSs} \) and \( \text{14TSs} \cdot \text{NMO} \); \( \Delta G^\text{‡} = 34.5 \) kcal/mol and 30.4 kcal/mol, respectively, indicating a disfavorable hydrolysis. In this context, introducing another water molecule in the hydrolysis TSs \( \text{12TSs} \) and \( \text{14TSs} \cdot \text{NMO} \) led to lower barriers to 25.2 and 21.6 kcal/mol, respectively, for the less strained six-membered ring TSs \( \text{12TSs} \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O} \) and \( \text{14TSs} \cdot \text{NMO} \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O} \). Here, the second water molecule behaves as a shuttle to facilitate the transfer of the proton during glycolate opening. The ring-opened Os glycolate intermediate \( \text{13} \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O} \) is a relatively unstable species, stabilised following coordination of NMO to give \( \text{13} \cdot \text{NMO} \). Release of the glycol \( \text{9} \) is catalyzed by a water molecule shuttle, exhibiting a reduced barrier of 21.6 kcal/mol compared to 30.4 kcal/mol when the additional H\(_2\)O molecule is absent. The results are consistent with slow hydrolysis of Os(VI) glycolates under neutral conditions and favorable coordination of the diol to H\(_2\)O.
Os(VI) (see SI1). In this regard, the experimental activation energy ($E_a^{exp}$) for hydrolysis of osmate ester of 3-cyclohexene carboxylic acid was reported to be 19.0 kcal/mol whereas the calculated one for trans-2-butene ($E_a^{calc}$) is 17.8 kcal/mol, providing confidence in the chosen level of theory.

To close the first cycle, reoxidation of the hydrolysed Os(VI) species by NMO to reform OsO$_4$ was considered as two elementary steps, namely NMO complexation and oxo-transfer, and found to be thermodynamically favored. OsO$_4$ arises from oxo-transfer within the complex OsO$_3$•NMO with a low barrier of 4.5 kcal/mol as a thermodynamically exergonic step. Association of NMO to OsO$_4$ is close to thermoneutral ($\Delta G = -1.3$ kcal/mol). We therefore conclude that the hydrolysis pathway via Os(VI) glycolate is slow with the current conditions because of the barriers needed along TS$^{12}$H$_2$O and 14NMO,H$_2$O and consequently the pathway is not favored in comparison to reoxidation of Os(VI) glycolate (see below in Figure 3). However, the calculated energies of Os(VI) hydrolysis shown in Figure 2 are clearly consistent with slow hydrolysis of Os(VI) glycolates under neutral conditions and favorable coordination of the diol to Os(VI) (see also Figure SI1).

Reoxidation of Os(VI) to Os(VIII) glycolate and diol formation via hydrolysis of Os(VIII) glycolate vs second cycle. A second important reaction manifold available to the Os(VI) dioxoglycolate with ensuing hydrolysis or osmylation through the second cycle (Figure 3, right-hand pathway). Coordination of NMO to Os(VI) glycolate gives a stable complex of pentacoordinate Os(VI) species 11NMO ($\Delta G = -10.4$ kcal/mol) which undergoes an oxo-transfer process through a relatively low barrier of 12.2 kcal/mol to give the trioxoglycolate 11[ox] as a thermonutral step with release of NMM.

Osmylation of a second alkene molecule by 11[ox] was found to be highly favorable thermodynamically ($\Delta G_{osm} = -52.7$ kcal/mol) and proceeding as a near-barrierless process ($\Delta G^\dagger = 0.6$ kcal/mol), through TS 16 to give distorted square-pyramidal Os(VI) oxobisglycolate 17.$^{22}$ The extremely low-barrier and near-barrierless nature of 16 is further validated by a series of

Fig. 3. Reoxidation of Os(VI)→Os(VIII) glycolate followed by competition between hydrolysis and intermolecular osmylation (right) compared with hydrolysis and intermolecular osmylation of NMO-complexed Os(VI) 11NMO (left), calculated by the SMD-(acetone)-M06/6-311+G(d,p),def2-TZVP//M06/6-31G(d),LANL2DZ level of theory at 298.15 K. Bond lengths in angstrom and energies in kcal/mol.
different functionals and basis sets, as shown in Table SI1. To gain further understanding of the barrierless of TS 16, we calculated the interaction energy between 11[ox] and alkene 8 from Distortion/Interaction analysis. The result indicates a highly favorable interaction energy of −11.4 kcal/mol, as compared to the negligible distortion energy of 1.7 kcal/mol, subsequently reducing the barrier during the intermolecular (3+2) cycloaddition. By contrast, hydrolysis of Os(VIII) trioxoglycolate 11[ox] requires comparatively higher energy barrier through TS 18\(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) (\(\Delta G^\ddagger = 16.6\) kcal/mol) to give unstable intermediate 19\(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) (\(\Delta G = 13.2\) kcal/mol) and the latter needs further barrier of 5.5 kcal/mol via TS 20\(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) to be surmounted to release OsO\(_4\)•NMO and diol 9 (Figure 3, blue right-hand pathway). However, the backward reaction for 19\(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) during the hydrolysis of 11[ox] is kinetically faster through TS 18\(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) than the forward step through TS 20\(\text{H}_2\text{O}\).

Given the favored calculated energy of the Os(VIII) trioxoglycolate formation, we also considered whether the second cycle, or indeed hydrolysis, might proceed by way of an alternative intermediate such as NMO-complexed Os(VI) glycolate 11\(_{\text{NMO}}\). The reactions of NMO-complexed Os(VI) intermediate 11\(_{\text{NMO}}\) with water (hydrolysis) and with E-but-2-ene by 11\(_{\text{NMO}}\) was calculated to be thermodynamically disfavored (NMO-complexed bisglycolate Os(IV) intermediate 22, \(\Delta G_{\text{osm}} = 9.5\) kcal/mol) with a substantially high barrier via TS 21 (\(\Delta G^\ddagger = 47.7\) kcal/mol). Hydrolysis of 11\(_{\text{NMO}}\) is also found to have a high barrier via six-membered ring TS 12\(_{\text{NMO,\text{H}_2\text{O}}}\) (\(\Delta G^\ddagger = 24.2\) kcal/mol) leading to an endergonic intermediate 13\(_{\text{NMO,\text{H}_2\text{O}}}\) (\(\Delta G = 8.0\) kcal/mol). These alternative pathways should therefore not compete with reoxidation of 11 to trioxoglycolate 11[ox] that subsequently enters the second cycle as a favored pathway.

Furthermore, inclusion of NMM as a base did not lower the barrier of hydrolysis for the Os(VIII) trioxoglycolate 11[ox] compared to reoxidation (Figure 4). NMM indeed promotes the hydrolysis due to its basicity, but it is found to be a stepwise process in every single glycolate bond hydrolysis. Initially, the first glycolate hydrolysis is found to be an endergonic process with the intermediates being located higher in energy than its TS due to the intrinsically low barrier. However, the second glycolate bond requires a barrier of 21.2 kcal/mol through TS 27\(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) kcal/mol to release the ion pair intermediate 28 followed by a barrierless step to furnish the diol 9 and regenerate OsO\(_4\)•NMO. It is noticed that TSs are often lower in energy than the corresponding intermediates. This is because the minimum of these intermediates is too shallow on the potential energy surface by which intrinsic reaction coordinate calculations showed that these intermediates are very close in energy to the TSs, and thus the corrections on vibration and entropy lead to the lower TS energy. Although the above-mentioned calculations were performed by geometry optimization in gas phase, it is shown that re-optimization under implicit solvation of acetone leads to only very negligible change on the energetics, as shown in the Supporting Information.

![Figure 4](https://i.imgur.com/3Q5Q5Q.png)
Effect of tertiary amine (NMM) on reoxidation (Os(VI)→Os(VIII)) and hydrolysis of Os(VI) glycolate 11. The influence of NMM, present as the coproduct of reoxidation, was also considered for the oxo-transfer process within Os-NMO complexes and hydrolysis of Os(VI) glycolate 11 (Figure 5). Coordination of NMM to 11 is nearly thermoneutral (ΔG = 0.4 kcal/mol), but the resulting complex 11NMM requires a substantial barrier of ΔG‡ = 31.8 kcal/mol to progress the oxo-transfer from N to Os as indicated in TS 15NMM (Figure 5, right). In contrast, the oxo-transfer requires a slightly lower barrier of 12.2 kcal/mol in the absence of NMM, so NMM is unlikely to be involved in the reoxidation. The increased barrier from 11NMM compared to reoxidation from 11 will be discussed in the later part (see below). Importantly, addition of tertiary amine like NMM to the square pyramidal Os(VIII) trioxoglycolate, forming octahedral Os(VIII) trioxoglycolate 11[ox].

Reoxidation of Os(VI) dioxoglycolate 11 to give Os(VIII) trioxoglycolate 11[ox] is comparatively favorable over hydrolysis under neutral conditions, and alkene osmylation by 11[ox] is highly exergonic and has a modest barrier. Therefore, the second cycle should outpace hydrolysis of Os(VIII) 11[ox] or hydrolysis of Os(VI) 11 under typical Upjohn or homogenous conditions. The very high reactivity of 11[ox] towards osmylation through the second cycle may be reconciled with the absence of reported examples where Os(VIII) trioxoglycolates have been isolated or characterized.

**Orbital nature of the reoxidation reaction and the influence of NMM.** To reveal the nature of interaction between the Os center and NMO during the oxygen-atom-transfer (OAT) process (11NMM→11ox or 11NMO,NMM→11ox), a total of 68 points were extracted from intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) of the transition state 15 or 15NMM (Figure 6b). Analysis was carried out using Mayer’s bond order, and Pipek-Mezey localized orbital, a robust orbital localization method especially suitable for complex systems.
for compounds with complex electronic structure. For \(11_{\text{NMO}}\), the Os–O1 bond order is determined to be 0.3347, indicating a weak but still significant covalent interaction. The presence of covalent bonding is further proved by the localized orbital found between Os and O1, corresponding to an Os–O1 σ bond. As shown in the bond order curve along the IRC pathway of TS 15, the Os–O1 bond order rises sharply to \(~1.3\) upon the OAT reaction, in a synchronous manner with respect to the cleavage of the N–O bond, where bond order decreases from 0.9596 to 0.4358 for 15, and to zero after OAT completes.

The Os–O1 bond order is further decomposed to different type of bonding (Figures 6c and 6d). The strength of \(\sigma(\text{Os–O1})\) changes only slightly along the IRC. In addition, new \(\pi(\text{Os–O1})\) interactions appear during the OAT process. Although a localized orbital with \(\pi(\text{Os–O1})\) character also exists at the beginning of the IRC path, it is very close to the pure p orbital of O1 atom and contributes minimally to the total Os–O1 bonding. For TS 15, this \(\pi\) bonding orbital, namely \(\pi(\text{Os–O1})\), becomes rather significant as it is formed by the O1 p orbital perpendicular to the xy plane and the Os dxy orbital. In the meantime, another localized orbital with \(\pi\) character, namely \(\pi_2(\text{Os–O1})\), formed by an in-plane oxygen p orbital along the N–O1 direction and the Os dxz orbital is also developing in a synchronous manner with \(\pi_1(\text{Os–O1})\). Overall, two different types of \(\pi\) bonding are developed during the OAT reaction, while the σ bonding is almost unchanged. The change in bonding mode finally affords a total Os–O1 bond order at \(~1.3\), to which two types of \(\pi\) bonding and one σ bond each contributes 1/3 of it.
It is worth noting that the transition state $^{15}$NMM has a very high energy compared to its analogue without an extra NMM ligand, namely 15, which renders the reoxidation of $^{11}$NMO rather unfavorable. In order to find out the reason why NMM ligand affects the barrier to such a large extent, the evaluation of key bond orders was examined along the IRC pathway (Figure 7a,b). Interestingly, although the energy profile indeed exhibits an electronic barrier of ~32 kcal/mol, much higher than the value of ~16 kcal/mol for $^{15}$, the two bond order curves are quite similar. The strong similarity prompted us to conduct a more detailed analysis by distortion/interaction analysis methods and principle interacting orbital (PIO) methods.28 Further examination on the geometry of $^{15}$NMM and 15 shows that $^{15}$NMM bears a significantly longer Os–O bond (2.04 Å, compared to 1.96 Å in 15) trans to the incoming NMO compared to 15. As a result, we propose that the destabilization of $^{15}$NMM originates partly from the weakened Os–alkoxyl group interaction, which could be attributed to the trans-influence of the forming Os–O multiple bonding. In the presence of NMM ligand, the O(trans)–Os–O(NMO) forms a better linear alignment than 15, which maximizes the trans-influence. It is also noteworthy that the N–O bond in the NMO part differs significantly (1.83 Å for 15 and 2.04 Å for $^{15}$NMM). This effect of these geometrical changes was explored by distortion-interaction analysis (Figure 7c,d).

In this analysis, 15, $^{15}$NMM and their corresponding starting species, namely $^{11}$NMO and $^{11}$NMO.NMM, were divided into two fragments: the incoming NMO, and the rest (named as Os part). The energy of both the two parts were compared to that for the fully optimized minimums, and the difference was the distortion energy of each fragment (Figure 7c). The interaction energy of the two fragments was defined by the difference of the energies for the full molecule and the sum of two fragments. According to the results, it is clear that the presence of NMM has negligible effect on $^{11}$NMO (versus $^{11}$NMO.NMM) but leads to large distortion to both Os and NMO part in $^{15}$NMM. The huge increase in these two terms is in agreement with the elongated Os–O(trans) and N–O bond in $^{15}$NMM respectively. On the other hand, the increase in interaction energy could not outcompete the unfavorable distortion energy, leading to overall destabilization.

Figure 7. (a) The energy profile for the OAT reaction with $^{15}$NMM along the IRC. (b) The evaluation of key bond orders along the IRC path of $^{15}$NMM. (c) The distortion/interaction analysis results (in kcal/mol) of TSs $^{15}$NMM and 15 and their corresponding reactants $^{11}$NMO and $^{11}$NMO.NMM, where bond lengths in angstrom and angles in degree. (d) The distortion/interaction energies of TSs 15 and $^{15}$NMM along potential energy surface of IRC.
To further reveal the orbital nature of the Os–NMO interaction and the reason of the changes in geometry and interaction energy, PIO analysis was performed for 15 and 15NMM (Figure 8). Both TSs, 15 and 15NMM, share similar interaction modes: one d(Os) → σ*(N–O) interaction, one p(O) → σ*(Os–O) interaction and one p(O) → π*(Os–O) interaction. Although PIO analysis was performed for the TSs, the orbital’s energies for a TS is in general affected by its position on the reaction coordinate, and it is more informative to consider the corresponding minimums. Localized orbitals of 11NMO and 11NMO.NMM shows a good consistency with the TSs, and the energy levels of the corresponding localized orbitals are shown in Figure 8. It is shown that the NMM ligand increases the energy level of d(Os), leading to a much stronger mixing with the antibonding σ*(N–O) orbital, in consistency with the longer N–O bond in 15NMM. The raise of the d-orbital energy could be attributed to the 4e-interaction of the ligand lone pair and metal occupied d-orbital. The mixing of p(O) to the O(trans)–O interaction could be attributed to the 4e-interaction of the ligand lone pair and metal occupied d-orbital. It cannot outcompete the unfavorable geometry distortion of both the Os part and the NMO fragment. Strong orbital interaction with σ*(N–O) results in a more dissociated N–O bond in the NMO fragment, and the collinear alignment of the O(trans)–Os with the forming Os–O multiple bonds in the hexacoordinated Os center of 15NMM leads to strong trans-influence that weakens the trans-Os–Os bond. All these factors cause large energy compensation, and finally results in the high energy of 15NMM.

**Mechanism of releasing the diol from Os(VI) bisglycolate 17 and completing the cycle.** Pathways to release diol 9 from of Os(VI) bisglycolate 17 were investigated (Figure 9). Firstly, oxidation of 17 by NMO prior to hydrolysis can be excluded (see 5 → 7, Figure 1); formation of Os(VIII) bisglycolate 17 (trans) is highly endergonic of 38.6 kcal/mol and so any possibility of having a third cycle is totally disallowed. Instead, stepwise hydrolytic release of diol 9 proceeds according to an associative pathway by way of octahedral intermediates 17H2O and 31NMO as an endergonic process of ΔG = 9.7 kcal/mol (Figure 9, right). Coordination of H2O to 17 is endergonic of 7.8 kcal/mol to give 17H2O. Now, the dissociation of the glycolate ligand from the Os(VI) centre via four-membered ring TS 30 needs 16.5 kcal/mol, so an overall barrier of 24.3 kcal/mol is seen from 17 as an endergonic step of 13.9 kcal/mol to give 31. Surprisingly, an overall higher barrier of 27.0 kcal/mol is found for hydrolysis by way of six-membered TS 30H2O, with an endergoncity of 17.9 kcal/mol to give 31H2O. In contrast to the first dissociation during glycolate opening, the glycolate dissociation shows modest...
preference for six-membered TS $32_{\text{NMO H}_2\text{O}}$ with a barrier of 11.4 kcal/mol as a slightly exergonic step to release the diol 9 and regenerate the NMO-complexed Os(VI) glycolate $11_{\text{NMO}}$, which can propagate the catalytic cycle. The high barriers and endergonicity are consistent with slow hydrolysis of Os(VI) bisglycolates under neutral conditions and the known stability of such intermediates isolated from dihydroxylation reactions using NMO.\(^{16}\)

In addition to the hydrolysis promoted by water-shuttle, a stepwise NMM-assisted hydrolysis process was proven to be kinetically more favored (Figure 9, left). Starting from $17_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$, another water molecule adds to the Os center, accompanied by a proton transfer to an incoming NMM, giving an ion-pair intermediate 34 with an overall barrier of 20.8 kcal/mol via 33, that means 13.0 kcal/mol from $17_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$. Then, the NMM-H\(^+\) fragment protonates the alkoxyl group through a barrierless TS 35 giving $31_{\text{NMO}}$. The NMM-assisted hydrolysis of the second alkoxyl group in $31_{\text{NMO}}$ exhibits a reduced barrier of 6.2 kcal/mol via a similar stepwise proton transfer process, through TS 36, ion pair 37 and TS 38. This barrier is substantially lower than the water-shuttled pathway (TS $32_{\text{NMO H}_2\text{O}}$).

Inspection of the calculated energies for the steps leading to formation of diol 9 shows hydrolysis of oxobisglycolate Os(VI) 17 to be rate-determining in the second cycle pathway. Interestingly, we found tautomerization of hydrate $17_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ to the dihydroxy complex 6 (Figure 1, $R = \text{Me}$) to be thermodynamically disfavoured ($\Delta G = 29.4$ kcal/mol, see SI). Corresponding osmate dianion complexes have been isolated experimentally, but upon protonation, their free acids were found to rapidly return oxobisglycolates 17 rather than 6.\(^{16a}\)

Fig. 9. Hydrolysis of bisglycolate Os(VI), with (left) and without (right) involvement of NMM, and disfavored reoxidation to dioxobisglycolate Os(VIII), calculated by the SMD-(acetone)-M06/6-311+G(d,p),def2-TZVP//M06/6-31G(d),LANL2DZ level of theory at 298.15 K. Bond lengths in angstrom and energies in kcal/mol.
Proposed catalytic cycle and its efficiency. Based on the findings described above, a catalytic cycle for Upjohn dihydroxylation of a representative trans-disubstituted alkene under neutral conditions is presented (Figure 10). Os(VI) dioxoglycolate \( \text{11} \) is initially produced by (3+2) cycloaddition of OsO\( \text{4} \) and olefin \( \text{8} \). Reoxidation of \( \text{11} \) proceeds via NMO complex Os(VI) glycolate \( \text{11}_{\text{NMO}} \) to give a reactive Os(VIII) trioxoglycolate \( \text{11}_{\text{[ox]}} \), which rapidly undergoes second cycle osmylation as a near-barrierless process and as a highly exergonic reaction \( \text{11}_{\text{[ox]}} \rightarrow \text{17} \). Hydrolysis of the resulting Os(VI) bisglycolate follows an associative pathway, releasing diol \( \text{9} \), facilitated by NMM-assisted ion pair stepwise process with regeneration of the NMO-complexed Os(VI) dioxoglycolate to continue the catalytic cycle.

To evaluate the catalytic efficiency and its turnover frequency (TOF) in our theoretically obtained energy profile, the energetic span model proposed by Shaik and Kozuch\(^3\) is used to determine the apparent free energy of activation for the entire proposed catalytic cycle. This model examines the compatibility of the resulting overall barrier with the experimental conditions. In this model, two fundamental terms are considered which are the TOF-determining transition state (TDTS) and the TOF-determining intermediate (TDI). The calculated catalytic cycle appeared in Fig. 10 shows two states of TDTS, hydrolysis (TDTS1) and reoxidation (TDTS2) steps, with one single TDI on the hydrolysis step. On one hand, the TDTS1 appears after the TDI, located on \( \text{17} \), the energetic span \( \Delta \varepsilon \text{1} \) is determined to be 20.8 kcal/mol, and so TOF1 = 12.67 h\(^{-1} \). On the other hand, although the TDTS2 appears before the TDI, another energetic span \( \Delta \varepsilon \text{2} \) has to be overcome to continue the catalytic cycle. Starting from \( \text{17} \) to \( \text{15} \) in the next catalytic cycle, the calculated energy is \( \Delta \varepsilon \text{2} = 22.0 \) kcal/mol, since the \( \Delta G_r = -43.6 \) kcal/mol, which is faster than \( \Delta \varepsilon \text{1} \) and so TOF = 1.67 h\(^{-1} \). According to these results, we conclude that the rate-determining state composes of reoxidation rather than hydrolysis step, and thus reoxidation is turnover-limiting state.\(^18\)

Conclusion

The mechanism of catalytic non-enantioselective dihydroxylation of alkenes under homogenous conditions (OsO\( \text{4}/\text{NMO} \)) has been explored using DFT calculations, finding the second cycle to be the preferred pathway. The Os(VI) glycolate \( \text{11} \), generated by well-known osmylation of alkene substrate by OsO\( \text{4} \), is slow to undergo hydrolysis under either NMO-complexed Os(VI) or ligand-naked Os(VI) intermediates. Instead, this Os(VI) glycolate \( \text{11} \) is preferentially reoxidized by NMO to afford the Os(VIII) dioxoglycolate \( \text{11}_{\text{[ox]}} \) which then osmylates another alkene molecule to afford Os(VI) bisglycolate \( \text{17} \), entering the so-called second cycle, rather than hydrolysis of Os(VIII) trioxoglycolate. Although amine ligands are known to catalyze alkene osmylation by OsO\( \text{4} \), the present study shows that tertiary amines like NMM do not promote either reoxidation or hydrolysis of Os(VI) glycolate but inhibit the reaction by competing coordination. The coordination of NMM to Os(VI) was found to be outpaced by coordination of NMO, where NMO binds stronger to Os(VI) than NMM, and subsequently reoxidation is favored under homogenous conditions to subsequently enter the second cycle. The favorable interaction between NMO and Os(VI) and orbital nature of the reoxidation reaction in the presence and absence...
of NMM have been rationalized. The NMO forms a covalent σ-bonding with the Os(VI) center in which two types of Os–O ≡O-bonding are synchronously developed along the oxo-transfer process where Os center donates its d-electron to the σ*(N–O) orbital during interaction with NMO and accepts oxygen p-electron with both σ*(Os – trans-O) and π*(Os–O). Although addition of NMM strengthens the Os–NMO interaction in the oxo-transfer transition state, a competing unfavorable geometry distortion of both the Os part and the NMO fragment is seen due to the strong orbital interaction with σ*(N–O) that enhances a dissociated N–O bond and leads to an increased trans-influence of 15NMM. This trans-influence weakens the trans-O–Os glycolate bonding which subsequently causes a large unfavorable distortion energy that resulting in high barrier needed from 15NMM compared to 15 and confirming that reoxidation of Os(VI) glycolate is competing pathway even in the presence of tertiary amines.

The hydrolysis of Os(VI) bisglycolate 17 proceeds through an intermediate where the incoming water molecule coordinates with the Os center, and this hydrolysis proceeds via a stepwise ion-pairing facilitation assisted by the coproduct of reoxidation, NMM, to furnish the diol and regenerate the NMO-complexed Os(VI) intermediate to persist the catalytic cycle through only second cycle. Our computations showed that hydrolysis of 17 was determined to be highly slow when it is only water-assisted diol release. The results indicated that the amine-N-oxide, NMO, not only plays as an oxidant (Os(VI)→Os(VIII)), but also assists the hydrolysis of the stable Os(VI) bisglycolate 17 through the coproduct NMM released from reoxidation and by stabilizing the stepwise ion-pairing hydrolysis of 17 as a ligand (NMO). The so-common proposed intermediates Os(VIII) dioxo- and Os(VI) dihydroxy-bisglycolates (17ω) and 6) were excluded as intermediates under neutral or homogenous conditions due to the energetic demand accompanying this process as a result of the unfavorable octahedral geometries required for either Os(VIII) dioxo- or Os(VI) dihydroxy-bisglycolates. Using energetic spin model for the proposed computed catalytic cycle, reoxidation (Os(VI)→Os(VIII) glycolate) was found to be turn-over-limiting states. This computational work offers new insights into important steps in Os-catalyzed dihydroxylation and helps inform future developments in asymmetric Os-catalyzed transformations.31

Computational Methods

All calculations were performed using Gaussian 09 program.32 For geometry optimization, the hybrid meta-generalized gradient approximation functional M0633,34 was used with the LANL2DZ pseudopotential and basis set 35 for Os and 6-31G(d) basis set 36,37 for other atoms. All minimums were verified by the absence of negative eigenvalues in the vibrational frequency analysis. Transition state structures were found using the Berny algorithm 38 and verified by their imaginary frequency. Single point energies were calculated with the def2-TZVP basis set 39 for Os and 6-311+G(d,p) for others. Solvent effect of acetone was included via the SMD implicit solvation model 40 in the single point energy calculation. Intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) calculations were performed for the identified transition states to make sure they are correctly located.26

Gibbs free energies were obtained through thermochemical corrections derived from vibrational frequencies at 298.15 K using unscaled frequencies and single point energies at the M06/6-311+G(d,p),def2-TZVP level of theory. All activation free energies are quoted relative to infinitely separated reagents. Optimized structures are illustrated using CYLview.42 To take the effect of concentration in our computations, we used a concentration correction from standard state in gas phase, 1 atm, to standard state in solution, 1 mol/l at 298 K, so this conditional correction is responsible for the addition of 1.89 kcal/mol to the Gibbs energy of each calculated species involved in this study at 298 K. To understand the nature of interaction during the oxygen atom transfer, the analysis of bond order and localized orbital were employed to give new significant electronic insights.43 In our work, totally 68 points were extracted from the IRC path of 15 and 15NMM and single point calculation at M06/6-31G(d),LANL2DZ level was run for each point. The contribution to the total bond order by a special bonding interaction is obtained by the following procedure: a) run a Pipek-Mezey orbital localization; b) calculate the total bond order between interested atoms (namely B.O.1); c) manually find out the orbital interested based on the localized orbital, and set its occupation number to zero; d) calculate the bond order based on the new density matrix (namely B.O.2); and e) then the contribution of this orbital to the total bond order is derived by B.O.1 minus B.O.2. The PIO analysis was performed using the open-source PIO program available at https://github.com/jxzhangcc/PIO.28
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