

**Formation of a ternary oxide barrier layer and its role in switching characteristic of ZnO-based conductive bridge random access memory devices**

*Firman Mangasa Simanjuntak,<sup>1,\*</sup> Julianna Panidi,<sup>1</sup> Fayzah Talbi,<sup>2</sup> Adam Kerrigan,<sup>2</sup> Vlado K. Lazarov,<sup>2</sup> and Themistoklis Prodromakis,<sup>1</sup>*

<sup>1</sup>Centre for Electronics Frontiers, University of Southampton, Southampton SO17 1BJ, U.K.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, University of York, York YO10 5DD, U.K.

\*E-mail: f.m.simanjuntak@soton.ac.uk

The insertion of a metal layer between the active electrode and switching layer leads to the formation of a ternary oxide at the interface. The properties of this self-formed oxide are found to be dependent on the Gibbs free energy of oxide formation of the metal ( $\Delta G_f^\circ$ ). We investigated the role of various ternary oxides in the switching behavior of conductive bridge random access memory (CBRAM) devices. The ternary oxide acts as a barrier layer that can limit the mobility of the metal cations in the cell, promoting stable switching. However, too low (higher negative value)  $\Delta G_f^\circ$  leads to severe trade-offs; the devices require high operation current and voltages to exhibit switching behavior and low memory window (On/Off) ratio. We propose that choosing a metal layer having appropriate  $\Delta G_f^\circ$  is crucial in achieving reliable CBRAM devices.

Keywords: resistive memory, memristor, data storage, film deposition, CBRAM

Memristive technologies offer huge potential for future ultra-high density random access memory (RAM) applications.<sup>1,2</sup> The switching mechanism of the memristive device is based on the reduction-oxidation (redox) process within the metal/insulator (switching layer)/metal cell.<sup>3,4</sup> Based on the nature of the ions involved in the switching mechanism, memristive technologies can be classified into two classes; oxygen vacancy-RAM (OxRAM, also called valence change memory (VCM))<sup>5</sup> and conductive bridge-RAM (CBRAM, also called electrochemical metallization memory (ECM)).<sup>6</sup> OxRAM relies on the formation and rupture of oxygen vacancy filament to control the flow of electrons from the cathode to the anode;<sup>7</sup> the oxygen vacancy defects are created by ionizing oxygen in the switching layer.<sup>8,9</sup> Meanwhile, CBRAM technology utilizes the ionization of metal electrode atoms (Ag, Cu, Ni, or Te cations) to create a conducting bridge.<sup>8</sup> The mobility of cations is higher than that of anions due to their smaller ionic size; henceforth, CBRAM technology could offer a faster switching speed than OxRAM.<sup>10</sup>

The development of CBRAM, however, often suffers from switching instability. Many efforts have been conducted in order to control the diffusion and drift of the cation species in the switching layer and improve the switching stability. Some of the proposed methods are the employment of nanoislands electrode<sup>11</sup> and graphene templates,<sup>12</sup> utilization of nanorods layer,<sup>13</sup> surface oxidation<sup>14</sup> and irradiation,<sup>15</sup> electrode alloying,<sup>16,17</sup> and insertion of a metal layer below the active electrode.<sup>18</sup> The insertion of a metal layer is the most practical method since the other methods require complex fabrication flow and are time-consuming processes. Nevertheless, the insertion of a metal layer is always followed by the formation of interfacial oxide, and the implication of this newly formed oxide in the switching characteristics are still overlooked. This paper studied the impact of different metal insertion layers in forming an oxide barrier layer and its implication to the resistive switching characteristics of CBRAM devices.

5x5  $\mu\text{m}$  cross-point devices were fabricated using a lithography technique, the architecture of the sandwich devices is illustrated in Figure 1. First, a 25 nm Pt bottom electrode (BE) was deposited on  $\text{SiO}_2$  substrate; 50 nm Ti adhesion layer was deposited prior to the Pt deposition. Hereafter, 60 nm ZnO switching layer was deposited from a ZnO target in  $\text{Ar}/\text{O}_2$  mixed ambient employing sputtering technique (radio frequency mode, Angstrom Engineering Inc.). The top electrode (TE) consists of Pt/Ag stack with a thickness of 10 and 30 nm, respectively. The Pt layer is used as a capping layer and to enhance the conductivity of the electrode. In order to study the effect of various barrier layer materials, a 3.5 nm Cr or Ti layer was inserted prior to the top electrode deposition. Devices without and with Cr or Ti metal insertion layer were denoted as NoBL, CrBL, and TiBL, respectively. All metal deposition was carried out using an e-gun evaporator system (Lab 700, Leybold Optics GmbH). The electrical characteristic of the devices was investigated using the ArCOne system (ArC Instruments); voltage sweep bias was employed to the TE while BE was grounded. All devices used a current compliance (CC) during the positive voltage sweep to avoid device breakdown and a -1.5V for the negative voltage sweep. Element profile distribution and oxidation state of the layers were examined using an X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, Thetaprobe ThermoVG). Microscopy analysis was conducted using atomic force microscope (AFM, Bruker Dimension Icon) and transmission electron microscope (TEM, JEOL JEM-2100Plus).

Figure 2 shows the typical  $I$ - $V$  curves and endurance performance of the devices. The device made without a metal insertion layer (NoBL) can show reproducible switching employing CC as low as 10  $\mu\text{A}$ . The No BL device requires a voltage ( $V_{\text{form}}$ ) of approximately 1.7 V to switch its pristine state to a low resistance state (LRS or On), called as electroforming process. Hereafter, the devices exhibit counter-clockwise bipolar switching mode; the devices can be switched from the LRS to a high resistance state (HRS or Off, reset process) using a negative voltage sweep and, hereafter, a positive sweep to switch the device back to an LRS (set process) (Figure 2(a)). The reset ( $V_{\text{reset}}$ ) and set ( $V_{\text{set}}$ ) voltages are found to be -0.83 V and 0.34 V,

84 respectively. The  $V_{\text{reset}}$  and  $V_{\text{set}}$  were calculated based on an average of 100 switching cycles;  
 85 a switching cycle represents a set and a reset process. The NoBL device demonstrates a high  
 86 On/off ratio (4 order of magnitude) but poor endurance having high variation of HRS and many  
 87 intermediate states during the consecutive 100 cycles (Figure 2(b)). Meanwhile, the devices  
 88 made with an insertion metal layer require a higher CC to exhibit switching behavior, as  
 89 depicted in Figure 2(c) and (e). The CrBL device requires a CC as low as  $100\ \mu\text{A}$  to electroform  
 90 the device and  $V_{\text{form}}$  of approximately 1.5V. Henceforward, the device can exhibit reset and  
 91 set processes employing  $V_{\text{reset}}$  and  $V_{\text{set}}$  of -0.34 V and 0.37 V, respectively. The device  
 92 exhibits good endurance for more than 100 cycles with an On/Off ratio of 42 times (Figure  
 93 2(d)). On the other hand, the TiBL device requires CC of  $500\ \mu\text{A}$  and a  $V_{\text{form}}$  of 2.4 V to have  
 94 a complete forming. The device also needs a higher  $V_{\text{reset}}$  and  $V_{\text{set}}$ , which are -0.95 V and  
 95 0.79 V, respectively. The TiBL device exhibits good endurance but with a much lower On/Off  
 96 ratio (6 times) (Figure 2(f)). The statistical distribution of the three devices is shown in Figure  
 97 2(g), the coefficient of variation (standard deviation ( $\gamma$ )/average ( $\mu$ ))<sup>18</sup> of the HRS significantly  
 98 decrease after the insertion of the metal layer (the  $\gamma/\mu$  of NoBL, CrBL, and TiBL is 42.9%,  
 99 36.3%, and 10.5%, respectively) indicating a narrower distribution and indeed enhances the  
 100 switching stability. However, it is also followed by several trade-offs: the increase of the  
 101 minimum switching current and the decrease of On/Off ratio. Materials analysis was conducted  
 102 to explain this phenomenon.

103 Depth-XPS analysis was conducted to elucidate the element profile distribution in the stack  
 104 films, as depicted in Figure 3(a). In the NoBL stack, the Ag is slightly diffused into the top  
 105 region of the ZnO (Figure 3(a)(i)). Meanwhile, the insertion of the metal layer limits the Ag  
 106 diffusion (Figure 3(a)(ii) and (iii)). The profile also shows that the CrBL and TiBL stack regions  
 107 consist of Cr, Zn, and O species which could suggest the formation of  $\text{CrZnO}_x$  and  $\text{TiZnO}_x$   
 108 compounds, respectively. Note that high oxygen accumulation was observed in the CrBL and  
 109 TiBL regions; this indicates that the formation of the ternary oxide compounds involves oxygen

absorption from the ZnO layer. Henceforth, we investigated the oxidation state of Cr and Ti elements in corresponding regions to confirm the occurrence of the oxygen absorption mechanism. Figure 3(b) shows the XPS spectra of Cr<sup>3p</sup> core level in the CrBL stack. The spectra are fitted with a single peak centered at 43.6 eV; this indicates that the Cr has 3+ oxidation state.<sup>19</sup> Meanwhile, the XPS spectra of Ti2p core level in the TiBL stack is fitted with multiple peaks centered at 457, 458.7 (2p<sup>3/2</sup>), 460.3, 464.5 (2p<sup>1/2</sup>), and 455.2 eV (Figure 3(c)); these imply the existence of multiple oxidation states (2+, 3+, and 4+).<sup>20-22</sup> The exhibition of a peak at the shoulder of the Ti2p<sup>3/2</sup> at lower binding energy indicates the formation of a sub-oxide component.<sup>23</sup> This result confirms that both Cr and Ti are in an oxidized state, and they absorbed oxygen from the ZnO layer to form the interfacial compounds between the top electrode and switching layer. We suggest that this is due to the lower (higher negative) Gibbs free energy oxide formation of Cr and Ti than that of Zn (Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> : -1058 kJ/mol, TiOx: -2317 – (-1434) kJ/mol, ZnO: -320.5 kJ/mol).<sup>24</sup> Note that the TiBL has higher oxygen accumulation in the TiBL region, and the TiBL region is thicker as compared to the CrBL region. This is because the free energy formation of sub-oxide TiOx is lower than that of Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.

AFM and TEM analysis were conducted to investigate the microscopic nature of the CrBL and TiBL regions, and the results are shown in Figure 4. There is no significant surface roughness difference between the bare ZnO film (Fig. 4(a) and after the barrier layer deposited onto it (Fig. 4(a) and (b)). However, the cross-sectional TEM images show that the CrBL region is thinner than that of TiBL, as depicted in Fig. 4(d) and (e), respectively; the thickness of the CrBL and TiBL is approximately 4 nm and 9 nm, respectively, which confirm the XPS analysis. Note that both of the CrBL and TiBL show a continuous film on top of the ZnO grains and there is no indication on the formation of nanoislands microstructure.

We propose a switching conduction mechanism to explain the electrical phenomenon, and the schematic is shown in Figure 5. The ionization of Ag TE atoms initiates the formation of the conducting bridge in the CBRAM devices during a positive bias, and these Ag ions drift to

the counter electrode to get oxidized back to Ag atoms.<sup>25</sup> These atoms create a bridge where the electrons can easily flow from the cathode to anode and switch the device to LRS (On).<sup>25</sup> NoBL device has no barrier layer to control the Ag diffusion during the switching process (Figure 5(a)(i)); hence, a high number of conducting bridges was formed during the electroforming process (Figure 5(a)(ii)). These bridges can consist of one or few complete bridge(s) and several incomplete bridges. During the reset process, most of the Ag atoms that make up the bridges can be ionized and drifted back to the top electrode and switch the device to HRS. Most of the bridges, if not all, can be ruptured during the reset process and leads to a large gap between top and bottom electrodes (Figure 5(a)(iii)) and, consequently, a high On/Off ratio (Figure 2(b)). The rejuvenation of the bridge follows a similar fashion as the forming process; however, the structure of the bridge is different in each cycle (Figure 5(a)(iv)). The location of the rupture and rejuvenation of the bridge is random. Thus, the bridge structure after reset and set processes can be varied, leading to the switching instability (Figure 2(b)). The insertion of a metal layer promotes the formation of a ternary oxide. This oxide acts as a barrier layer limiting an excessive Ag drift during the formation and rupture of the bridge (Figure 5(b) and (c)). Since the ternary oxide absorbs oxygen from the ZnO layer (Figure 3(b) and (c)), we can infer that the resistivity of the ZnO layer decreases. Note that ZnO has an abundance of intrinsic donor defects<sup>26</sup> and extraction of oxygen from this film will decrease its insulating behavior.<sup>27</sup> Henceforth, the pristine resistance state of CrBL and TiBL devices is lower than that of NoBL device (Figure 2(c) and (e)). The CrBL and TiBL devices require higher CC to exhibit switching behavior because a lesser insulating CBRAM cell needs a higher CC (or operating current) to complete the conducting bridge formation.<sup>28</sup> Both CrZnOx and TiZnOy barrier layers can limit Ag species involve in the switching process and avoid the occurrence of multiple bridge structures (Figure 5(b)(ii) and (c)(ii)). This indicates that the cations have lower mobility in these oxide regions, which could be due to a higher densely packed lattice than that of ZnO.<sup>29</sup> However, the thickness of the TiZnOy layer is excessively thick (Figure 3(iii)), which further

inhibit more Ag species drift back to the top electrode during the reset process and results in a small gap between the remnant bridge and the top electrode (low On/Off ratio, Figure 5(c)(iii) and Figure 2(f)). We also suggest that the thick TiZnOx layer is responsible for the higher voltage operation ( $V_{form}$ ,  $V_{reset}$ , and  $V_{reset}$ ) in the TiBL device (Figure 2(e)).

We studied the impact of various metal films for making a barrier layer in the CBRAM device structure. The device made without any metal insertion layer suffers from severe switching non-uniformity. On the other hand, the insertion of Cr and Ti film below the Ag electrode leads to the formation of CrZnOx and TiZnOy ternary oxides, respectively, that act as a barrier layer to control the drift of Ag species during the switching process and induce stable switching. However, this enhancement is followed by several trade-offs: the requirement of higher current compliance and lower On/Off ratio. The formation of these ternary oxides involves the absorption of oxygen from the ZnO oxide, and their thickness varies depending on their Gibbs free energy of oxide formation. Nevertheless, the CrZnOx barrier layer is found to be sufficient to avoid the formation of multiple bridge structures and good On/Off ratio (approximately 42 times) without the necessity of a high operation voltage and current (approximately 0.3V employing current compliance of 100  $\mu A$ ) which beneficial to design the future low power data storage. This study emphasizes the importance of choosing suitable metal insertion film to form a reliable barrier layer in designing reliable low-powered CBRAM devices.

## Acknowledgement

The authors acknowledge the support of EPSRC Programme Grant (EP/R024642/1), H2020-FETPROACT-2018-01 SYNCH project, and MSCA EC Grant Agreement 224 No. 101029535– MENESIS

## Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## Data Availability Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the authors, upon reasonable request.

## References

- <sup>1</sup> M.A. Zidan, J.P. Strachan, and W.D. Lu, Nat. Electron. **1**, 22 (2018).
- <sup>2</sup> D. Panda, C.-A. Chu, A. Pradhan, S. Chandrasekharan, B. Pattanayak, S.M. Sze, and T.-Y. Tseng, Semicond. Sci. Technol. **36**, 045002 (2021).
- <sup>3</sup> R. Waser and M. Aono, Nat. Mater. **6**, 833 (2007).
- <sup>4</sup> D. Panda, P.P. Sahu, and T.Y. Tseng, Nanoscale Res. Lett. **13**, 8 (2018).
- <sup>5</sup> F.M. Simanjuntak, S. Chandrasekaran, D. Panda, S. Rajasekaran, C. Rullyani, G. Madhaiyan, T. Prodromakis, and T.-Y. Tseng, Appl. Phys. Lett. **118**, 173502 (2021).
- <sup>6</sup> M. Tada, T. Sakamoto, N. Banno, K. Okamoto, M. Miyamura, N. Iguchi, and H. Hada, in *2012 Int. Electron Devices Meet.* (IEEE, 2012), pp. 29.8.1-29.8.4.
- <sup>7</sup> P.-Y. Jung, D. Panda, S. Chandrasekaran, S. Rajasekaran, and T.-Y. Tseng, IEEE J. Electron Devices Soc. **8**, 110 (2020).
- <sup>8</sup> R. Waser, R. Dittmann, G. Staikov, and K. Szot, Adv. Mater. **21**, 2632 (2009).
- <sup>9</sup> D. Panda and T.-Y. Tseng, Thin Solid Films **531**, 1 (2013).
- <sup>10</sup> C.F. Chang, J.Y. Chen, C.W. Huang, C.H. Chiu, T.Y. Lin, P.H. Yeh, and W.W. Wu, Small **13**, 1 (2017).
- <sup>11</sup> J. Wang, L. Li, H. Huyan, X. Pan, and S.S. Nonnenmann, Adv. Funct. Mater. **29**, 1808430 (2019).
- <sup>12</sup> X. Zhao, S. Liu, J. Niu, L. Liao, Q. Liu, X. Xiao, H. Lv, S. Long, W. Banerjee, W. Li, S. Si, and M. Liu, Small (2017).



214 <sup>13</sup> D. Panda, F.M. Simanjuntak, S. Chandrasekaran, B. Pattanayak, P. Singh, and T.-Y. Tseng,  
 215 IEEE Trans. Nanotechnol. **19**, 764 (2020).

216 <sup>14</sup> F.M. Simanjuntak, S. Chandrasekaran, C.-C. Lin, and T.-Y. Tseng, Nanoscale Res. Lett. **13**,  
 217 327 (2018).

218 <sup>15</sup> F.M. Simanjuntak, T. Ohno, and S. Samukawa, ACS Appl. Electron. Mater. **1**, 18 (2019).

219 <sup>16</sup> Y.T. Tseng, I.C. Chen, T.C. Chang, J.C. Huang, C.C. Shih, H.X. Zheng, W.C. Chen, M.H.  
 220 Wang, W.C. Huang, M.C. Chen, X.H. Ma, Y. Hao, and S.M. Sze, Appl. Phys. Lett. (2018).

221 <sup>17</sup> L. Qiao, Y. Sun, C. Song, S. Yin, Q. Wan, J. Liu, R. Wang, F. Zeng, and F. Pan, J. Phys.  
 222 Chem. C (2020).

223 <sup>18</sup> S. Chandrasekaran, F.M. Simanjuntak, and T. Tseng, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. **57**, 04FE10  
 224 (2018).

225 <sup>19</sup> M. Hassel, I. Hemmerich, H. Kuhlenbeck, and H.-J. Freund, Surf. Sci. Spectra **4**, 246  
 226 (1996).

227 <sup>20</sup> B. Bharti, S. Kumar, H.-N. Lee, and R. Kumar, Sci. Rep. **6**, 32355 (2016).

228 <sup>21</sup> R.H. Temperton, A. Gibson, and J.N. O'Shea, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. (2019).

229 <sup>22</sup> H. Tan, Z. Zhao, M. Niu, C. Mao, D. Cao, D. Cheng, P. Feng, and Z. Sun, Nanoscale **6**,  
 230 10216 (2014).

231 <sup>23</sup> R.L. Kurtz and V.E. Henrich, Surf. Sci. Spectra **5**, 179 (1998).

232 <sup>24</sup> J.A. Dean, *Lange's Handbook of Chemistry*, 15th ed. (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1999).

233 <sup>25</sup> I. Valov, R. Waser, J.R. Jameson, and M.N. Kozicki, Nanotechnology **22**, 254003 (2011).

234 <sup>26</sup> A. Janotti and C.G. Van de Walle, Phys. Rev. B **76**, 165202 (2007).

235 <sup>27</sup> A. Wang, T. Chen, S. Lu, Z. Wu, Y. Li, H. Chen, and Y. Wang, Nanoscale Res. Lett.  
 236 (2015).

237 <sup>28</sup> F.M. Simanjuntak, S. Chandrasekaran, B. Pattanayak, C.-C. Lin, and T.-Y. Tseng,  
 238 Nanotechnology **28**, 38LT02 (2017).

239 <sup>29</sup> Y. Yang, P. Gao, S. Gaba, T. Chang, X. Pan, and W. Lu, Nat. Commun. **3**, 732 (2012).

## Figure Captions

**Figure 1.** (a) Schematic of the CBRAM device structure and electrical measurement setup. (b) Optical micrograph of the fabricated devices.

**Figure 2.** Typical I-V curves and endurance performance of (a, b) NoBL, (c, d) CrBL, (e, f) TiBL devices. A read voltage of -0.1V was used to extract the LRS and HRS states. (g) Cumulative probability of the devices.

**Figure 3.** (a) Depth-XPS element profile of NoBL, CrBL, and TiBL device stack structure. XPS spectra of (b) Cr3p and (b) Ti2p core levels.

**Figure 4.** AFM topography of (a) ZnO, (b) Cr, and (c) Ti -deposited onto ZnO films, respectively. Cross-sectional TEM image of (d) Ag/Cr/ZnO/Pt and (e) Ag/Ti/ZnO/Pt devices.

**Figure 5.** Schematic of conduction mechanism during forming, reset, and set processes in (a) NoBL, (b) CrBL, and (c) TiBL devices.