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**Why was this update written?**

Antibiotics are commonly prescribed for sore throat in primary care, yet are often of limited benefit (1) . They are commonly associated with adverse effects, and contribute towards healthcare costs and antibiotic resistance at both the global and individual-level (1). Prescribing antibiotics also reinforces patients’ belief in the need for antibiotics, and increases the chance of re-attendance in the future (2).

With a view to helping clinicians optimise antibiotic use, we summarise evidence concerning the aetiology and natural history of sore throat, incidence of complications, the use of clinical prediction tools, and compare the outcomes of different antibiotic prescription strategies (no-, immediate-, and delayed-antibiotics), as well as patients’ views on these approaches.

**What is the natural course of illness in sore throat and do antibiotics help?**

Around two thirds of cases are viral (higher in children), and the remainder are usually caused by group A beta-haemolytic streptococci (particularly *Streptococcus pyogenes)*, and less commonly, group C and G streptococci (1) (3). Sore throat is also a feature in approximately 50% of mild-moderate COVID-19 disease (4).

The latest Cochrane review found that, amongst patients not prescribed antibiotics, 40% were symptom-free by day three of illness, and 80% were symptom-free by one week (1). This was similar in Streptococcus‐positive, negative, and untested participants. On average, antibiotics reduced the duration of symptoms by 16 hours (1).

**How common are complications?**

Concern about complications is often a reason for antibiotic prescription, particularly when working in pressured environments (e.g. covering urgent care) (5). Clinicians should be reassured, however, that the incidence of significant complications is very low, regardless of aetiology or antibiotic use (1,6).

In the DESCARTE prospective cohort study (6) of 13,000 patients in UK primary care, 1.4% of patients developed complications (a similar rate to previous studies) (1). The majority of complications were minor (e.g otitis media and rhinosinusitis), and the incidence of quinsy (peritonsillar abscess) was 0.4%. No cases of post streptococcal glomerulonephritis or rheumatic fever were recorded. Immediate antibiotics did reduce the incidence of suppurative complications, however a similar reduction was seen when using delayed antibiotics, and the number needed to treat to prevent one case using immediate antibiotics was almost 200. Similar findings were observed with an individual patient meta-analysis of antibiotic use with respiratory infection (7).

In the UK, rheumatic fever is extremely rare. This complication is more common among certain endemic regions of the world, and antibiotics may be justified in these settings.

**Are clinical prediction tools helpful available?**

Using clinical prediction scores (e.g. FeverPAIN and Centor) to determine the likelihood of streptococcal infection is recommended by NICE (8). The FeverPAIN score was developed to predict the presence of Group A, C, and G streptococci following *in vitro* evaluation of rapid antigen detection tests (RADT) (3). A subsequent randomised controlled trial (in patients > 3 years-of-age) demonstrated that targeting antibiotic using FeverPAIN reduced both antibiotic use and symptom severity, compared with empirical management (9).

It should be noted that point-of-care testing (such as RADT) is not recommended by NICE due to a lack of demonstrable benefit over using clinical scores alone in the primary care setting (9).

**Are purulent tonsils always an indication for antibiotics?**

For many clincians, the presence of pus on the tonsils is considered an immediate indication for antibiotics. It should be noted that purulent tonsils are included as one feature in both FeverPAIN and Centor (8). We therefore recommend that purulence should not dominate decision making, but be considered as part of a structured assessment to determine the likelihood of streptococcal infection.

**How does delayed antibiotic prescription compare with an immediate prescription for sore throat?**

A delayed (or ‘back up’) prescription, is a prescription given with the assumption that it will be dispensed after a period of time if the symptoms worsen or do not improve. If antibiotics are considered, NICE recommends delayed antibiotics unless symptoms are very severe, or the patient is vulnerable to complications (8).

Concerns have been raised regarding the ‘real-world’ impact of delayed prescribing, however using delayed prescriptions for respiratory infections has been shown to decrease patient’s antibiotic use by more than 60%, with no significant difference in complication rates (10). The DESCARTE cohort study (6) found that delayed antibiotics were actually a little more effective at preventing complications following sore throat than using immediate antibiotics, and significantly reduced re-consultation (39% reduction) compared with immediate antibiotics (17% reduction) and no antibiotics. Furthermore, delayed antibiotics have been shown to confer similar symptomatic benefits to immediate antibiotics, with only a small increase in reported symptoms in some studies (10,11).

**What do patients think of these different strategies?**

GPs often overestimate the patient demand for antibiotics, and for many patients the key driver for seeking medical attention is pain relief (12). Optimising analgesia, and offering an explanation of the natural history of sore throat may help patient expectations, and alter subsequent attendance behaviour (2) (12). Helpful patient information leaflets to support specific safety-netting advice are available at: <https://www.rcgp.org.uk/clinical-and-research/resources/toolkits/amr/target-antibiotics-toolkit/-/media/0946BBC4F3064830AE24873713FC57A3.ashx>

If antibiotics are prescribed, clinicians should be reassured that delayed prescriptions confer similar symptomatic benefits to an immediate prescription (see above). Recent studies also show no difference in levels of patient satisfaction with both immediate and delayed prescription strategies, and only a small increase with delayed antibiotics compared with no antibiotics (10) (7).

**Using delayed prescriptions in practice**

Patients are more likely to accept a delayed prescription if they understand the reasons for giving it, and the specific number of days to wait (1,2,7,13). A helpful educational resource that offers practical advice regarding the use of delayed prescriptions is available at: <https://antibioticoptimisation.co.uk/using-delayed-prescribing#/> . A helpful tool when discussing antibiotic use is the CHESTSSS mneumonic (13). *See Figure 1.*

**Which self-management strategies are recommended?**

Paracetamol (first line) and ibuprofen are recommended by NICE for analgesia (8). Lozenges and throat sprays containing local anaesthetic and/or non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDS) , such as benzydamine (Difflam), may also provide some (limited) pain relief (8).

**What’s the bottom line?**

The majority of cases of acute sore throat in primary care are viral. Even if bacterial, antibiotics are likely to reduce duration of symptoms by less than one day, and the incidence of complications is very low regardless of aetiology or antibiotic use. Prediction scores can help target antibiotic use. If antibiotics are being considered, a delayed prescription strategy is likely to yield similar benefits in terms of symptom relief and patient satisfaction compared with immediate antibiotics, whilst lowering re-consultation rates and overall antibiotic use, and not increasing the risk of complications.

**Figure captions**

*Figure 1*: The CHESTSSS mnemonic to aid discussion of antibiotic use with patients
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