1	Resolution of, and risk of incident non-alcoholic fatty liver disease with changes in
2	serum 25-hydroxy vitamin D status
3	Short title: Serum 25(OH)D and NAFLD
4	
5	Yejin Kim, MHS <sup>1</sup> , Yoosoo Chang, MD, PhD <sup>1,2,3</sup> , Seungho Ryu, MD, PhD <sup>1,2,3</sup> , In Young Cho,
6	MD <sup>1,4</sup> , Min-Jung Kwon, MD, PhD <sup>1,5</sup> , Won Sohn, MD, PhD <sup>6</sup> , Mi Kyung Kim, MD, PhD <sup>7</sup> ,
7	Sarah H. Wild, MB, BChir, PhD <sup>8</sup> , Christopher D Byrne, MB, BCh, PhD <sup>,10</sup>
8	
9	<sup>1</sup> Center for Cohort Studies, Total Healthcare Center, Kangbuk Samsung Hospital,
10	Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea
11	<sup>2</sup> Department of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Kangbuk Samsung Hospital,
12	Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea
13	<sup>3</sup> Department of Clinical Research Design & Evaluation, SAIHST, Sungkyunkwan University,
14	Seoul, Republic of Korea
15	<sup>4</sup> Department of Family Medicine, Kangbuk Samsung Hospital, Sungkyunkwan University
16	School of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea
17	<sup>5</sup> Department of Laboratory Medicine, Kangbuk Samsung Hospital, Sungkyunkwan
18	University School of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea
19	<sup>6</sup> Department of Preventive Medicine, College of Medicine, Hanyang University.
20	<sup>7</sup> Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Department of Internal Medicine, Kangbuk
21	Samsung Hospital, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea.
22	<sup>8</sup> Usher Institute, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, U.K.
23	<sup>9</sup> Nutrition and Metabolism, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, Southampton,
24	U.K.
25	<sup>10</sup> National Institute for Health Research Southampton Biomedical Research Center,

1

26	University Hospital Southampton, Southampton, U.K.
27	Address for correspondence: Seungho Ryu, MD, PhD, Kangbuk Samsung Hospital,
28	Samsung Main Building B2, 250, Taepyung-ro 2ga, Jung-gu, Seoul, South Korea 04514
29	E-mail: <u>sh703.yoo@gmail.com</u> . Telephone: 82-2-2001-5137. Fax: 82-2-757-0436.
30	Co-corresponding author: Yoosoo Chang, MD, PhD, Kangbuk Samsung Hospital, Samsung
31	Main Building B2, 250, Taepyung-ro 2ga, Jung-gu, Seoul, South Korea 04514
32	E-mail: <u>yoosoo.chang@gmail.com</u> . Telephone: 82-2-2001-5139. Fax: 82-2-757-0436.
33	
34	Word count: Abstract, 250; Manuscript, 4,600 (Text only)
35	Number of figures and tables: 2 Figures, 5 Tables
36	
37	Financial support statement: This paper was supported by the SKKU Excellence in
38	Research Award Research Fund, Sungkyunkwan University, 2020.
39	CDB is supported in part by the Southampton NIHR Biomedical Research Center (IS-BRC-20
40	004), UK
41	
42	Conflict of interest statement: The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.
43	
44	Author Contributions:
45	Yejin Kim: interpretation of data, drafting and critical revision of the manuscript
46	Yoosoo Chang: study concept and design, acquisition of data, interpretation of data, and
47	drafting and critical revision of the manuscript
48	Seungho Ryu: study concept and design, acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation of
49	data, and critical revision of the manuscript

50	In Young Cho: interpretation of data and critical revision of the manuscript
51	Min-Jung Kwon: acquisition of data and critical revision of the manuscript
52	Won Sohn: interpretation of data, and critical revision of the manuscript
53	Mi Kyung Kim: interpretation of data, and critical revision of the manuscript
54	Sarah H. Wild: interpretation of data and critical revision of the manuscript
55	Christopher D Byrne: interpretation of data and critical revision of the manuscript
56	
57	Abbreviation list
58	25(OH)D, 25-hydroxyvitamin D; BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure; CI, confidence
59	interval; CVD, cardiovascular disease; HEPA, health-enhancing physical activity; HOMA-IR,
60	homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; HR, hazard ratio; hs-CRP, high-
61	sensitivity C-reactive protein; NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; PY, person-years
62	
63	Keywords: non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; cohort study; serum 25-hydroxy vitamin D;

64 incidence; resolution

ABSTRACT 65 **Context:** A protective or causative role of vitamin D status on the risk of non-alcoholic fatty 66 liver disease (NAFLD) remains inconclusive. 67 Objective: To evaluate the association between changes in serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D 68 [25(OH)D] status during follow-up and the risk of incident NAFLD and resolution of pre-69 existing NAFLD 70 **Design:** A retrospective cohort study 71 Setting: Kangbuk Samsung Health Study based on routine health screening examinations 72 Participants: Korean adults (mean age, 36.8 years; range, 18-96 years) who underwent 73 comprehensive health examinations including assessment of serum 25(OH)D levels 74 Main Outcome Measures: The main outcomes were a) incidence and b) resolution of 75 NAFLD assessed by liver ultrasound. Cox proportional hazard models were used to estimate 76 hazard ratios (HRs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for outcomes according to serum 77 25(OH)D levels. 78 **Results:** Among 139,599 participants without NAFLD at baseline, 27,531 developed NAFLD 79 during follow-up. Serum 25(OH)D levels were significantly and inversely associated with 80 NAFLD development. Among 48,702 participants with NAFLD at baseline, 13,449 showed 81 NAFLD resolution. Multivariable-adjusted HR (95% CI) for NAFLD resolution comparing 82 25(OH)D 10–<20, 20–<30, and  $\geq$ 30 ng/mL to <10 ng/mL were 1.09 (1.03–1.15), 1.13 (1.06– 83 1.21), and 1.21 (1.09–1.35), respectively. Additionally, an increase in 25(OH)D levels 84 between baseline and the subsequent visit (median, 1.8 years) was associated with decreased 85 NAFLD incidence, while persistently adequate 25(OH)D levels over time was associated 86 with decreased incidence and increased resolution of NAFLD. 87 Conclusions: Maintaining adequate serum 25(OH)D concentrations may be beneficial for 88 both prevention as well as resolution of NAFLD. 89

4

**INTRODUCTION** 

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is considered a multisystem disease that is positively associated with cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk factors, CVD mortality, and all-cause mortality (1,2). Despite its burden and impact, the absence of approved pharmaceutical treatment indicates that management of NAFLD consists of lifestyle modifications, which are effective in ameliorating the early stages of liver disease and improving the associated cardiometabolic risk factors (3).

A growing body of evidence has suggested a link between vitamin D deficiency, 97 characterized by low serum levels of 25-hydroxyvitamin D [25(OH)D], and increased risk of 98 various cardiometabolic diseases, including metabolic syndrome (4), coronary artery disease 99 (5), chronic liver disease (6-8), and mortality (9). The therapeutic potential of vitamin D 100 supplementation in NAFLD has been investigated in clinical trials; however, the findings are 101 conflicting and limited by small sample sizes and the short duration of follow-up. A meta-102 analysis of mainly cross-sectional and case-control studies has demonstrated an association 103 between low vitamin D levels and the presence of NAFLD (10,11). However, we have not 104 identified any previous cohort studies that have investigated the role of vitamin D levels in 105 the development of incident NAFLD, or in the resolution of NAFLD. 106

107 The present study aimed to evaluate the association between repeated measurements 108 of serum 25(OH)D levels and both the risk of incident NAFLD and the resolution of pre-109 existing NAFLD.

110

111

#### **METHODS**

112 Study participants

113 The present study was conducted as part of the Kangbuk Samsung Health Study 114 which is a cohort study of Korean men and women aged  $\geq 18$  years who underwent 115 comprehensive annual or biennial examinations at Kangbuk Samsung Hospital Total 116 Healthcare Center in Seoul and Suwon, South Korea, as previously described. The present 117 cohort study included participants who underwent a comprehensive health examination 118 including serum vitamin D levels between January 2011 and December 2018 and had at least 119 one follow-up visit before 31 December 2019 (n = 251,687)

A total of 112,088 subjects were excluded based on the following criteria shown in 120 Figure 1. Exclusion criteria included: excessive alcohol consumption, liver steatogenic 121 medication, medication for hepatitis, history of hepatitis, serologic positivity for hepatitis B 122 virus and hepatitis C virus, liver cirrhosis based on ultrasound, history of cancer, and missing 123 information on alcohol consumption, fatty liver, or serum 25(OH)D levels. Some participants 124 satisfied more than one exclusion criterion, and a total of 139,599 participants with no 125 NAFLD were included in the NAFLD-free cohort, and 48,702 participants with NAFLD were 126 included in the NAFLD cohort. In the analyses regarding the association of 25(OH)D level 127 changes with the risk of incident NAFLD, and with the resolution of existing NAFLD, those 128 who had an initial baseline and subsequent visit and who did not have at least one follow-up 129 visit were further excluded (in addition to the aforementioned exclusion criteria). In addition, 130 subjects with missing data on 25(OH)D, as well as subjects who were diagnosed with 131 NAFLD (for assessing incident NAFLD) and who had NAFLD resolution (for assessing 132 NAFLD resolution) on the second visit were also excluded. 133

The study was approved by the institutional review board of Kangbuk Samsung Hospital (IRB No. KBSMC 2021-08-045), which waived the requirement for informed consent since de-identified retrospective data from routine health screening were used.

137

#### 138 Measurement

139

Standardized, self-administered questionnaires, diet, physical measurements,

abdominal ultrasonography, and serum biochemical measurements were collected at each 140 visit as part of the basic health check-up program (12,13). The current average alcohol 141 consumption per day was assessed using the frequency of alcohol consumption per week and 142 the amount of alcohol consumed per drinking day. Physical activity levels were assessed 143 using the validated Korean version of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire Short 144 Form (14). Physical activity levels were classified into three categories: inactive, minimally 145 active, and health-enhancing physical activity (HEPA). HEPA was defined as follows: (1) 146 vigorous activity  $\geq 3$  days/week with  $\geq 1,500$  accumulated metabolic equivalent (MET)-147 min/week, or (2) a combination of walking, moderate, or vigorous-intensity activities for 148 seven days and accumulating  $\geq$ 3,000 MET-min/week (14). 149

Sitting blood pressure (BP), height, weight, and waist circumference were measured by trained nurses. Obesity was defined as a body mass index (BMI)  $\ge 25$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>, the proposed cut-off for the diagnosis of obesity in Asians (15). Hypertension was defined as a systolic BP  $\ge 140$  mmHg, diastolic BP  $\ge 90$  mmHg, or current use of antihypertensive medications.

Blood and urine specimens were collected after at least 10 h of fasting. Fasting blood 154 sample measurements included: total cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, high-155 density lipoprotein cholesterol, triglycerides, aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine 156 aminotransferase (ALT), gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT), glucose, hs-CRP, albumin, and 157 platelet count. The serum total cholesterol and triglyceride concentrations were determined 158 using an enzymatic colorimetric assay. High-density lipoprotein and low-density lipoprotein 159 cholesterol levels were directly measured using a homogenous enzymatic colorimetric assay. 160 AST, ALT, and GGT were measured using the modified IFCC method, and serum fasting 161 glucose levels were measured using the hexokinase method on Modular DPP systems (Roche 162 Diagnostics, Tokyo, Japan) until 2015, and the Cobas 8000 c702 (Roche Diagnostics) 163 thereafter. Hemoglobin A1c levels were determined using a turbidimetric inhibition 164

immunoassay on the Cobas Integra 800 (Roche Diagnostics) until January 2018 and the 165 Cobas 8000 c513 (Roche Diagnostics) thereafter (RRID: AB 2909460 and AB 2909459). 166 Serum insulin levels were measured using an electrochemiluminescence immunoassay with 167 the sandwich principle on the Modular E170 (Roche Diagnostics, Tokyo, Japan) until April 168 2015, and the Cobas 8000 e801 (Roche Diagnostics) thereafter (RRID: AB 2756877 and 169 AB 2909455). The homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) index 170 was calculated as follows: fasting blood insulin (mU/mL) × fasting blood glucose 171 (mmol/L)/22.5.172

Total 25(OH)D measurement using the Elecsys Vitamin D Total assay demonstrated 173 acceptable performance compared to using liquid chromatography-tandem mass 174 spectrometry, the reference standard for 25(OH)D measurement (16-19). When the analytical 175 performance for precision was evaluated according to CLSI-EP15-A2 guidelines (20), the 176 inter-assay coefficients of variation for quality control specimens of lower and higher levels 177 of total 25(OH)D were 2.01-5.94% and 2.69%-5.03%, respectively, during the study period. 178 The detection limit was determined according to the CLSI EP17-A2 guidelines (21) and was 179 reported to be <3 ng/mL. 180

To assess serum 25(OH)D status, total 25(OH)D levels, including 25(OH)D<sub>2</sub> and 25(OH)D<sub>3</sub>, were measured with a competitive immunoassay using an Elecsys Vitamin D Total assay on the Modular E170 (Roche Diagnostics, Tokyo, Japan) until April 2015 and Cobas e801 (Roche Diagnostics) thereafter (18). (RRID: <u>AB\_2909604</u> and <u>AB\_2909456</u>)

Serum 25(OH)D levels were categorized as <10, 10-<20, 20-<30, and  $\geq$ 30 ng/mL (For conversion to SI units: ng/mL×2.5=nmol/L; e.g., <25, 25-<50, 50-<75, and  $\geq$ 75 nmol/L) (22). Vitamin D insufficiency is defined as serum 25(OH)D level <20 ng/mL; serum 25(OH)D levels  $\geq$ 20 ng/mL were considered vitamin D sufficient, according to the recommendation for the healthy general population (23-27). The change in 25(OH)D status

8

from baseline to the second visit was analyzed in the following four groups based on the presence/absence of insufficient serum 25(OH)D (defined as serum 25(OH)D level <20 ng/mL [50 nmol/l]): a) insufficient 25(OH)D level at baseline and follow-up (persistently low); b) insufficient 25(OH)D level at baseline but no insufficiency at follow-up (increased); c) no insufficiency at baseline but insufficiency at follow-up (decreased); and d) no 25(OH)D insufficiency at baseline and also follow-up (persistently adequate).

196

### 197 Diagnosis of hepatic steatosis

Diagnosis of fatty liver was made based on an abdominal ultrasound performed by an 198 experienced radiologist using standard criteria, including a diffuse increase in fine echoes in 199 the liver parenchyma in comparison with the kidney or spleen, deep beam attenuation, and 200 bright vessel walls (28). NAFLD was defined as the presence of mild to severe fatty liver in 201 the absence of excessive alcohol use (<20 and <30 g/day for women and men, respectively) 202 or any other identifiable cause (29). The inter-observer and intra-observer reliability values 203 for hepatic steatosis diagnosis were substantial (kappa statistic of 0.74) and excellent (kappa 204 statistic of 0.94), respectively (13). The severity of hepatic steatosis was also recorded as 205 mild, moderate, or severe steatosis on sonography. 206

207

## 208 Statistical analyses

Descriptive statistics were used to summarise the participants' characteristics according to 25(OH)D levels as follows: <10, 10–19, 20–29 and  $\geq$ 30 ng/mL (<25, 25–50, 50– 75, and  $\geq$ 75 nmol/L) based on categories of 25(OH)D levels with adequate levels defined as  $\geq$ 20 ng/mL ( $\geq$ 50 nmol/L) (22,30). To describe potential linear trends in NAFLD incidence, the four categories were treated as a continuous variable in regression models.

214 We examined the association between serum 25(OH)D levels and the development

and resolution of NAFLD. The primary endpoints were a) the development of NAFLD and b) 215 NAFLD resolution. The follow-up duration for each participant extended from the baseline 216 examination until the development of the endpoint or the last health examination conducted 217 prior to 31 December 2019; whichever came first. Incidence rates were calculated as the 218 number of incident cases divided by person-years of follow-up. Cox proportional hazard 219 models were used to estimate the hazard ratios (HRs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for 220 the development of incident NAFLD, or resolution of existing NAFLD. We initially adjusted 221 for age and sex. Model 1 was further adjusted for the study center (Seoul, Suwon), year of 222 screening examination, alcohol consumption, smoking, physical activity, total energy intake, 223 education level, and BMI. Model 2 was further adjusted for medications for hyperlipidemia, 224 medications for diabetes, multi-vitamin supplements, 25(OH)D supplements, and calcium 225 supplements. To evaluate the effects of changes in serum 25(OH)D levels and other 226 covariates during the follow-up period, we performed additional analyses by introducing 227 serum 25(OH)D levels, season, BMI, and other factors as time-varying covariates in the 228 models. For each analysis, we further adjusted for HOMA-IR, glucose, and waist 229 circumference, in addition to the variables considered in model 2, and tested the effects of 230 serum 25(OH)D and its change on incident NAFLD or resolution of existing NAFLD. The 231 proportional hazards assumption was assessed via estimated log (-log) survival curves, and no 232 violation of the assumption was found. 233

To assess the relationship between the serum 25(OH)D as a continuous variable and NAFLD risk, we modelled the serum 25(OH)D as restricted cubic splines with knots at the 5<sup>th</sup>, 35<sup>th</sup>, 65<sup>th</sup>, and 95<sup>th</sup> percentiles of the sample distribution to provide a flexible estimate of the concentration-response relationship between the serum 25(OH)D concentration and incident NAFLD. Models were adjusted for age, sex, center, year of screening exam, alcohol consumption, smoking, physical activity, total energy intake, education level, medication for 240 hyperlipidemia, glucose-lowering medication, multi-vitamin supplements, vitamin D
241 supplements, calcium supplements, season, and BMI.

- 242 Statistical analyses were performed using STATA version 16.0 (StataCorp LP, College 243 Station, TX, USA). Statistical significance was set at P < 0.05.
- 244
- 245

### RESULTS

The baseline characteristics of the study participants are presented according to 246 25(OH)D levels at baseline and subsequent visits (Table 1, eTables 1–3) (31). The mean (SD) 247 age of the subjects was 36.8 (7.3) years. The 25(OH)D levels were positively associated with 248 age, alcohol intake, HEPA, education level, medication use for hyperlipidemia, use of multi-249 vitamin supplements, vitamin D supplements, calcium supplements, BP, total cholesterol, and 250 ALT (Table 1). The baseline characteristics of participants according to NAFLD status are 251 described in eTables 4 and 5 (31). Compared to those who did not develop NAFLD, those 252 who developed NAFLD were older, more likely to be male, alcohol drinkers, current smokers, 253 obese, have a history of diabetes, hypertension, or CVD, receive glucose-lowering medication 254 or hyperlipidemia medication, and take multi-vitamin supplements; these individuals also had 255 higher BP, total cholesterol, glucose, GGT, ALT, HOMA-IR, and total energy intake (eTable 256 **4)** (31). 257

Compared to those with no NAFLD resolution, individuals with NAFLD resolution were more likely to be: older, female, alcohol drinkers, regular exercisers, have higher education levels, take multi-vitamin supplements, and have a higher total energy intake (**eTable 5**) (31).

Table 2 shows the risk of NAFLD development according to 25(OH)D levels among the cohort of people without NAFLD at baseline (n=139,599). Within 581,021 person-years of follow-up (median, 4.1 years; interquartile range, 2.1–6.0 years), 48,702 subjects

developed NAFLD (incidence rate, 45.9 per 1,000 person-years). The median (interquartile 265 range) follow-up frequencies for the NAFLD-free and NAFLD cohorts were 4 visits (3-5) and 266 4 visits (3-6), respectively. Overall, baseline 25(OH)D levels were inversely associated with 267 the risk of incident NAFLD. After adjusting for age, sex, center, year of screening exam, 268 alcohol consumption, smoking, physical activity, total energy intake, education level, and 269 BMI (Model 1), the HRs (95% CI) for incident NAFLD at baseline 25(OH)D levels of 10-270 <20, 20–<30, and  $\geq$ 30 ng/mL compared to <10 ng/mL (reference group) were 0.91 (0.88– 271 0.94), 0.85 (0.81-0.88) and 0.75 (0.70-0.81), respectively. The associations remained 272 significant when the model was further adjusted for medications for hyperlipidemia, 273 medications for diabetes, multi-vitamin supplements, vitamin D supplements, and calcium 274 supplements (Model 2), as well as when 25(OH)D levels, BMI and other potential 275 confounders were treated as time-varying covariates. After further adjustment for glucose and 276 HOMA-IR (eTable 6) (31) and waist circumference (eTable 7) (31), all associations 277 remained statistically significant. In spline regression models, the NAFLD risk decreased 278 across the range of the 25(OH)D levels (Figure 2). 279

Table 3 presents the association between 25(OH)D levels and resolution of NAFLD. 280 Among 48,702 participants with NAFLD at baseline, 13,449 had resolution of NAFLD. The 281 multivariable-adjusted HRs (95% CI) in subjects with 25(OH)D levels of 10-<20, 20-<30, 282 and  $\geq$ 30 ng/mL for NAFLD resolution were 1.09 (1.03–1.15), 1.13 (1.06–1.21), and 1.21 283 (1.09–1.35), respectively, as compared with the reference group (Model 2). All associations 284 were similar after further adjustment for glucose and HOMA-IR (eTable 6) (31) and waist 285 circumference (eTable 7) (31) as well as when 25(OH)D levels, BMI and other potential 286 confounders were treated as time-varying covariates. When participants were stratified by 287 vitamin D supplement use status, we found significant associations between higher serum 288 25(OH)D and NAFLD resolution only in vitamin D supplement non-users, whereas the 289

associations were non-significant among vitamin D supplement users (eTable 8) (31).

The associations of the changes in 25(OH)D levels from baseline to the second visit 291 with the risk of incident NAFLD are presented in Table 4. The mean interval between the 1<sup>st</sup> 292 and 2<sup>nd</sup> visits was 1.8 years (interguartile range, 1.1–2.1 years). The multivariable-adjusted 293 HRs (95% CI) for "decreased", "increased", and "persistently adequate" groups versus 294 "persistently low" group for NAFLD development were 0.92 (0.87-0.98), 0.87 (0.82-0.91), 295 and 0.76 (0.76–0.85), respectively (Model 2). For the association between 25(OH)D level 296 change with NAFLD resolution in subjects with NAFLD at baseline (Table 5), the 297 multivariable-adjusted HRs (95% CI) for "decreased", "increased", and "persistently 298 adequate" 25(OH)D groups versus the "persistently low" group for NAFLD development 299 were 0.97 (0.88–1.07), 1.02 (0.94–1.11), and 1.10 (1.01–1.19), respectively. All associations 300 remained materially unchanged after further adjustment for glucose and HOMA-IR (eTable 9) 301 (31) and waist circumference (eTable 10) (31). In the analysis of the effect of the changes in 302 25(OH)D levels on NAFLD resolution by vitamin D supplement use status, persistently 303 adequate serum 25(OH)D levels were significantly associated with NAFLD resolution only in 304 the supplement non-users (eTable 11) (31). 305

We also performed subgroup analyses stratified by glucose-lowering medication 306 status (no medication usage vs. medication usage) and assessed the association between 307 serum 25(OH)D levels and both NAFLD development and resolution. In this analysis, we 308 found a similar inverse association between 25(OH)D levels and incident NAFLD, and a 309 significant positive association with NAFLD resolution in the "no medication use" group; the 310 trends of these associations remained similar in the "medication use" group, and no 311 significant differences were found between the two groups (P = 0.674 for incident NAFLD; P312 = 0.152 for NAFLD resolution) (eTable 12) (31). Similarly, the trends of associations 313 observed in the original analyses were mostly retained for both groups when the effects of 314

315 25(OH)D changes were assessed, with no significant differences between the groups (P =

316 0.944 for incident NAFLD; P = 0.490 for NAFLD resolution) (eTable 13) (31).

In analyses after further exclusion of individuals with abnormally high ALT levels 317 (ALT > 35 IU/L (32,33)), as the upper limit of normal is usually regarded as 35 IU/L), the 318 main results were similar, showing a significant decrease in the risk of incident NAFLD and 319 an increase in NAFLD resolution with increasing 25(OH)D levels (eTable 14) (31). 320 Consistent trends were observed when we assessed the changes in 25(OH)D levels (eTable 321 15) (31). In addition, when the outcome was defined as high ALT level instead of an 322 ultrasonographic diagnosis of NAFLD, the trends were similar, showing decreased risks of 323 high ALT and increased resolution of high ALT with increasing categories of 25(OH)D levels 324 (eTable 16) (31). Regarding the changes in 25(OH)D levels, persistently adequate serum 325 25(OH)D levels were associated with a significantly lower risk of elevated ALT; no 326 significant associations were found between the changes in serum 25(OH)D levels and high 327 ALT (eTable 17) (31). 328

- 329
- 330

#### DISCUSSION

In this large cohort study of 139,599 individuals without NAFLD at baseline, serum 331 25(OH)D levels were inversely associated with the development of NAFLD, while in those 332 with NAFLD at baseline, serum 25(OH)D levels were positively associated with the 333 resolution of NAFLD in a dose-response manner. Importantly, an increase in 25(OH)D from 334 an insufficient level (<20 ng/mL) at baseline to adequate levels (≥20 ng/mL) at subsequent 335 visits; as well as persistently adequate levels of 25(OH)D at both visits, were both associated 336 with a decreased risk of incident NAFLD. In addition, persistently adequate levels of  $\geq 20$ 337 ng/mL were also associated with the resolution of NAFLD in subjects with pre-existing 338 NAFLD at baseline. Our study results suggest that maintaining sufficient serum 25(OH)D 339

levels may be an effective approach to both primary and secondary prevention of NAFLD; a
strategy that could be easily achieved by the use of supplements (or potentially also by
increased sun exposure).

The protective or causative role of vitamin D in the risk of NAFLD has been highly 343 controversial with conflicting results. It is relatively well established that vitamin D 344 deficiency is prevalent among patients with NAFLD (6,10,34). A recent meta-analysis 345 suggested that low serum vitamin D levels might play a role in NAFLD pathogenesis (11). 346 Although data on the potential effect of vitamin D to prevent NAFLD are scarce, in a recent 347 cross-sectional study, higher levels of serum vitamin D were associated with a decreased 348 prevalence of controlled attenuation parameter-defined NAFLD, compared to low levels of 349 serum vitamin D (35). However, not only are existing studies limited by small sample sizes 350 and a cross-sectional study design, but there is also considerable heterogeneity between 351 existing studies with respect to the prevalence of comorbidities. Our study, the largest cohort 352 study to date, supports the idea that higher serum 25(OH)D levels are prospectively 353 associated with a reduced risk of incident NAFLD in relatively healthy young adults whose 354 mean age was 36.8 years (interquartile range, 31.3-40.5 years), with a much lower prevalence 355 of comorbid conditions than in previous studies. Although outdoor activities and sunlight 356 exposure were not specifically measured in our study, our population predominantly 357 consisted of urban office workers who were likely to spend most of the daytime indoors and 358 whose sun exposure was likely to be particularly insufficient even in the summer (36-38). 359 Moreover, the association of serum 25(OH)D with the risk of NAFLD was not significantly 360 altered and remained significant when we accounted for other, possibly more relevant, factors, 361 such as BMI, physical activity, vitamin D, or calcium supplementation, season during the 362 venesection, and the changing status of 25(OH)D. However, owing to the observational 363 nature of our study, we were not able to establish causality, though our study findings align 364

with a recent Mendelian randomization study of three populations of European descent that reported a significant inverse correlation between genetically predicted serum 25(OH)D levels and NAFLD (39).

We also observed that higher serum 25(OH)D concentration was associated with 368 resolution of NAFLD in people with pre-existing NAFLD at baseline; and to date, there have 369 been no comparable studies. Several clinical trials have examined the benefits of vitamin D 370 supplementation in individuals with NAFLD, with conflicting results (40-45). These studies 371 are mostly small clinical trials with a short follow-up duration ranging from 4 to 48 weeks. 372 Also, due to the limitations such as heterogeneity of NAFLD at diagnosis, the presence of 373 comorbidities, and the use of biochemical parameters to define NAFLD, such as liver enzyme 374 levels, with sub-optimal specificity and sensitivity, it is difficult to draw a conclusion from 375 these findings (46,47). Large statistical power and longer duration of follow-up in our study 376 allowed us to account for potential confounders and observe the incidence and resolution of 377 hepatic steatosis, and these data suggest potential temporality of the association between 378 25(OH)D status and NAFLD. Although there remains a possibility of residual confounding 379 due to the observational nature of our study, based on our findings, we suggest that vitamin D 380 may confer therapeutic benefits for those with established NAFLD. Thus, we suggest that our 381 study results highlight that further longer-term studies are still needed to confirm (or refute) 382 whether there are causal associations between serum 25(OH)D levels and NAFLD. 383

In the present study, both groups of participants whose serum 25(OH)D levels increased from low to adequate levels and those with persistently adequate 25(OH)D levels over time showed a reduced risk of NAFLD development compared to the group with persistently low levels. As many of the previous clinical trials examining the effects of vitamin D did not assess vitamin D levels by repeat measurements (48), the effect of the changes in vitamin D status on NAFLD risk has been uncertain. A strength of our study is

16

that we incorporated the changes in serum measurements of vitamin D status based on 390 repeated measurements at each follow-up visit. Another important consideration is that a 391 substantial proportion (approximately 77%) of our study cohort had sub-optimal 25(OH)D 392 levels (<20 ng/mL) at baseline. This proportion is markedly higher than the prevalence range 393 of 24%–40% in the US and European countries (48). That said, the prevalence of sub-optimal 394 25(OH)D levels in our cohort is similar to the nationwide prevalence of suboptimal 25(OH)D 395 levels, reported from the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (38,49). 396 The reason for the disparity might be a substantially higher number of indoor office workers 397 or differences in nutrition or patterns of supplement use with respect to other populations. 398 This is likely to be clinically relevant since it underlines the benefit of improving 25(OH)D 399 status in the prevention of NAFLD in a population with a high prevalence of 25(OH)D 400 deficiency. We also observed that people with persistently adequate serum 25(OH)D levels 401 were more likely to experience resolution of NAFLD than those with persistently low levels, 402 whereas those in the "increased group" who had insufficient 25(OH)D levels at baseline but 403 no insufficiency at follow-up, did not. The implication of this observation is not clear, but a 404 previous meta-analysis of randomized-controlled trials has suggested that sufficient duration 405 of vitamin D supplementation is essential in achieving vitamin D levels that can have 406 therapeutic effects on NAFLD (50). Based on our study results, it may be speculated that 407 having an adequate level of serum 25(OH)D, even within a low-normal range, at any point in 408 time may be beneficial in protecting against incident NAFLD, but maintaining the levels for a 409 prolonged duration of time would be needed in order to reverse the disease course in patients 410 with pre-existing NAFLD. Further large-scale observational and interventional studies are 411 warranted to confirm our findings. 412

The mechanisms by which serum 25(OH)D exerts benefits in NAFLD are not fully understood. Numerous studies have suggested that a pivotal pathway whereby vitamin D

improves liver parameters, involves the resolution of insulin resistance and reduction in blood 415 glucose levels (45,51). However, in our study, the associations between 25(OH)D levels and 416 NAFLD were not fully attenuated by adjustment for glucose or insulin resistance. An 417 alternative explanation may involve the anti-inflammatory and immunomodulatory properties 418 of 25(OH)D. In pre-clinical studies in rats, low 25(OH)D levels led to the exacerbation of 419 hepatic steatosis and lobular inflammation (52). Low serum 25(OH)D levels are implicated in 420 upregulating the hepatic inflammatory response via the effects of adipocytokines, such as 421 adiponectin, leptin, and resistin; which can directly affect the pathogenesis of NAFLD (53). 422 Low serum 25(OH)D levels may also activate the Toll-like receptor signaling pathway as well 423 as downstream inflammatory signaling molecules, subsequently leading to the accumulation 424 of hepatic fat (52). Importantly, it has been reported that activation of vitamin D receptors in 425 liver macrophages by vitamin D ligands ameliorated liver inflammation as well as hepatic 426 steatosis in a mouse model, which may partly explain the resolution of NAFLD (54). Further 427 studies are required to elucidate the mechanisms involved in the benefits of vitamin D in 428 NAFLD pathophysiology. 429

Several limitations of our study must be considered. First, ultrasonography was used 430 instead of liver biopsy and histology, which is still the reference standard for the diagnosis of 431 NAFLD. However, the use of liver ultrasound to diagnose liver fat is an accepted proxy in 432 large epidemiological studies, and the use of liver biopsy was not feasible or ethically 433 acceptable in the routine health screening setting involving repeat measurements in our cohort 434 study. Second, although we had information on the use of vitamin D or multivitamin 435 supplements, we did not have detailed information on dose, type, frequency of 436 supplementation, outdoor activities, or sunlight exposure, and therefore, the potential for 437 residual confounding remains. Nevertheless, we directly measured serum 25(OH)D levels, 438 which are considered to reflect the overall vitamin D status in the body and the cumulative 439

effect of sunlight exposure and dietary intake of vitamin D. Third, our study participants 440 mainly represent a relatively young and healthy Korean working population. Although this 441 could be perceived as a limitation, it also represents a strength of our study, since relatively 442 few study participants had existing comorbidities that are known to be associated with low 443 levels of serum 25(OH)D. Fourth, detailed information on glucose-lowering medications, 444 such as specific types of medication and their treatment duration, was not collected. Finally, 445 the generalizability of our findings to other populations with different sociodemographic 446 characteristics and other ethnic groups is limited. The body's capacity to synthesize vitamin 447 D, vitamin D status, and even optimal vitamin D levels may differ across different ethnicities. 448 In addition, genetic polymorphisms, dietary and sociocultural factors, and geographic 449 locations can also influence vitamin D status (55-57). Future investigations should focus on 450 extending our findings to large populations comprising different ethnicities in diverse 451 geographical locations. 452

Our study has several notable strengths. The longitudinal, prospective design enabled 453 us to examine temporal associations of serum 25(OH)D status and changes in 25(OH)D levels 454 with the risk of incident NAFLD and NAFLD resolution. In addition, we were able to control 455 for the effects of changes with different covariates during follow-up in our time-dependent 456 model in which 25(OH)D levels and other covariates were treated as time-dependent 457 variables. Also, the large sample size, the use of carefully standardized clinical, imaging, and 458 laboratory procedures, the inclusion of lifestyle factors, and repeated measurements allowed 459 us to account for possible confounders such as supplementation use in investigating the 460 associations between change in vitamin D status and both incident NAFLD and resolution of 461 NAFLD. 462

In conclusion, in this large cohort study, we demonstrated that serum 25(OH)D levels were inversely associated with the risk of incident NAFLD and were positively associated

19

with NAFLD resolution. In addition, maintaining sufficient serum levels of 25(OH)D, even at 465 a low-normal range, had favorable effects not only in prevention but also in the resolution of 466 NAFLD. With the recent prevalence of vitamin D deficiency occurring in parallel with the 467 rising incidence of NAFLD, our findings highlight that improved serum 25(OH)D levels may 468 be beneficial in NAFLD prevention and treatment. These data also emphasize that better-469 designed, longer term, vitamin D intervention trials are required, in order to prove 470 unequivocally whether inexpensive vitamin D supplements are beneficial in the primary and 471 secondary prevention of NAFLD, in patients with low levels of vitamin D. 472

473

474 Acknowledgements: The authors thank the staff members of the Kangbuk Samsung Health
475 Study for their hard work, dedication, and continuing support.

476

477 Data availability statement: The data will not be made available to other researchers for
478 purposes of reproducing the results. However, analytical methods are available from
479 corresponding author on reasonable request.

### REFERENCES

- Byrne CD, Targher G. NAFLD: a multisystem disease. J Hepatol. 2015;62(1 Suppl):S47-64.
- 2. Armstrong MJ, Adams LA, Canbay A, Syn WK. Extrahepatic complications of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Hepatology*. 2014;59(3):1174-1197.
- Thoma C, Day CP, Trenell MI. Lifestyle interventions for the treatment of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in adults: a systematic review. *J Hepatol*. 2012;56(1):255-266.
- Strange RC, Shipman KE, Ramachandran S. Metabolic syndrome: A review of the role of vitamin D in mediating susceptibility and outcome. *World J Diabetes*. 2015;6(7):896-911.
- 5. El Mokadem M, Boshra H, Abd El Hady Y, Abd El Hameed AS. Relationship of serum vitamin D deficiency with coronary artery disease severity using multislice CT coronary angiography. *Clin Investig Arterioscler*. 2021.
- 6. Nelson JE, Roth CL, Wilson LA, Yates KP, Aouizerat B, Morgan-Stevenson V, Whalen E, Hoofnagle A, Mason M, Gersuk V, Yeh MM, Kowdley KV. Vitamin D Deficiency Is Associated With Increased Risk of Non-alcoholic Steatohepatitis in Adults With Non-alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease: Possible Role for MAPK and NFkappaB? *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2016;111(6):852-863.
- Zhang Y, Jiang X, Li X, Gaman MA, Kord-Varkaneh H, Rahmani J, Salehi-Sahlabadi
   A, Day AS, Xu Y. Serum Vitamin D Levels and Risk of Liver Cancer: A Systematic
   Review and Dose-Response Meta-Analysis of Cohort Studies. *Nutr Cancer*. 2020:1-9.
- Kitson MT, Roberts SK. D-livering the message: the importance of vitamin D status in chronic liver disease. *J Hepatol*. 2012;57(4):897-909.
- 9. Heath AK, Kim IY, Hodge AM, English DR, Muller DC. Vitamin D Status and Mortality: A Systematic Review of Observational Studies. *Int J Environ Res Public*

Health. 2019;16(3).

- Eliades M, Spyrou E, Agrawal N, Lazo M, Brancati FL, Potter JJ, Koteish AA, Clark JM, Guallar E, Hernaez R. Meta-analysis: vitamin D and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2013;38(3):246-254.
- Liu T, Xu L, Chen FH, Zhou YB. Association of serum vitamin D level and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a meta-analysis. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2020;32(2):140-147.
- Chang Y, Jung HS, Cho J, Zhang Y, Yun KE, Lazo M, Pastor-Barriuso R, Ahn J, Kim CW, Rampal S, Cainzos-Achirica M, Zhao D, Chung EC, Shin H, Guallar E, Ryu S. Metabolically Healthy Obesity and the Development of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2016;111(8):1133-1140.
- Chang Y, Ryu S, Sung KC, Cho YK, Sung E, Kim HN, Jung HS, Yun KE, Ahn J, Shin H, Wild SH, Byrne CD. Alcoholic and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and associations with coronary artery calcification: evidence from the Kangbuk Samsung Health Study. *Gut.* 2019;68(9):1667-1675.
- Craig CL, Marshall AL, Sjostrom M, Bauman AE, Booth ML, Ainsworth BE, Pratt M, Ekelund U, Yngve A, Sallis JF, Oja P. International physical activity questionnaire: 12-country reliability and validity. *Medicine and science in sports and exercise*. 2003;35(8):1381-1395.
- WHO Western Pacific Region, IASO and IOTF. The Asia-Pacific Perspective: Redefining Obesity and Its Treatment. Health Communications Australia Pty Limit: Sdyney, Australia. 2000.
- 16. Elsenberg E, Ten Boekel E, Huijgen H, Heijboer AC. Standardization of automated
  25-hydroxyvitamin D assays: How successful is it? *Clin Biochem*. 2017;50(18):11261130.

- Heijboer AC, Blankenstein MA, Kema IP, Buijs MM. Accuracy of 6 routine 25hydroxyvitamin D assays: influence of vitamin D binding protein concentration. *Clin Chem.* 2012;58(3):543-548.
- Shin SY, Kwon MJ, Song J, Park H, Woo HY. Measurement of serum total vitamin D (25-OH) using automated immunoassay in comparison [corrected] with liquid chromatography tandem-mass spectrometry. *J Clin Lab Anal*. 2013;27(4):284-289.
- Freeman J, Wilson K, Spears R, Shalhoub V, Sibley P. Performance evaluation of four
   25-hydroxyvitamin D assays to measure 25-hydroxyvitamin D2. *Clin Biochem*.
   2015;48(16-17):1097-1104.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. EP15-A2: User Verification of Performance for Precision and Trueness; Approved guideline. 2nd ed. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. EP17-A2: Evaluation of detection capability for clinical laboratory measurement procedures; Approved guideline. 2nd ed. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute.
- In: Ross AC, Taylor CL, Yaktine AL, Del Valle HB, eds. Dietary Reference Intakes for Calcium and Vitamin D. Washington (DC)2011.
- Stokes CS, Lammert F, Volmer DA. Analytical Methods for Quantification of Vitamin D and Implications for Research and Clinical Practice. *Anticancer Res.* 2018;38(2):1137-1144.
- 24. Ross AC, Taylor CL, Yaktine AL, Del Valle HB. Committee to review dietary reference intakes for vitamin D and calcium. *Food and Nutrition Board*. 2011.
- 25. Giustina A, Adler RA, Binkley N, Bouillon R, Ebeling PR, Lazaretti-Castro M, Marcocci C, Rizzoli R, Sempos CT, Bilezikian JP. Controversies in Vitamin D: Summary Statement From an International Conference. J Clin Endocrinol Metab.

2019;104(2):234-240.

- Slomski A. IOM endorses vitamin D, calcium only for bone health, dispels deficiency claims. *JAMA*. 2011;305(5):453-454, 456.
- 27. Munns CF, Shaw N, Kiely M, Specker BL, Thacher TD, Ozono K, Michigami T, Tiosano D, Mughal MZ, Mäkitie O, Ramos-Abad L, Ward L, DiMeglio LA, Atapattu N, Cassinelli H, Braegger C, Pettifor JM, Seth A, Idris HW, Bhatia V, Fu J, Goldberg G, Sävendahl L, Khadgawat R, Pludowski P, Maddock J, Hyppönen E, Oduwole A, Frew E, Aguiar M, Tulchinsky T, Butler G, Högler W. Global Consensus Recommendations on Prevention and Management of Nutritional Rickets. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2016;101(2):394-415.
- 28. Mathiesen UL, Franzen LE, Aselius H, Resjo M, Jacobsson L, Foberg U, Fryden A, Bodemar G. Increased liver echogenicity at ultrasound examination reflects degree of steatosis but not of fibrosis in asymptomatic patients with mild/moderate abnormalities of liver transaminases. *Dig Liver Dis*. 2002;34(7):516-522.
- 29. Chalasani N, Younossi Z, Lavine JE, Diehl AM, Brunt EM, Cusi K, Charlton M, Sanyal AJ. The diagnosis and management of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: practice Guideline by the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases, American College of Gastroenterology, and the American Gastroenterological Association. *Hepatology*. 2012;55(6):2005-2023.
- Cashman KD. Vitamin D Deficiency: Defining, Prevalence, Causes, and Strategies of Addressing. *Calcif Tissue Int*. 2020;106(1):14-29.
- 31. Kim Y, Chang Y, Ryu S, Cho IY, Kwon M-J, Sohn W, Kim M-K, Wild S, Byrne C. Supplementary Material (Resolution of, and risk of incident non-alcoholic fatty liver disease with changes in serum 25-hydroxy vitamin D status). Figshare repository. 2022. <u>http://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.19500359.v1</u>.

- 32. Chang Y, Ryu S, Sung E, Jang Y. Higher concentrations of alanine aminotransferase within the reference interval predict nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Clin Chem*. 2007;53(4):686-692.
- 33. Chang Y, Ryu S, Sung E, Woo HY, Cho SI, Yoo SH, Ahn HY, Choi NK. Weight gain within the normal weight range predicts ultrasonographically detected fatty liver in healthy Korean men. *Gut.* 2009;58(10):1419-1425.
- 34. Wang X, Li W, Zhang Y, Yang Y, Qin G. Association between vitamin D and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease/non-alcoholic steatohepatitis: results from a metaanalysis. *Int J Clin Exp Med*. 2015;8(10):17221-17234.
- 35. Heo NJ, Park HE, Yoon JW, Kwak MS, Yang JI, Chung SJ, Yim JY, Chung GE. The Association between Vitamin D and Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease Assessed by Controlled Attenuation Parameter. *J Clin Med.* 2021;10(12).
- 36. Cinar N, Harmanci A, Yildiz BO, Bayraktar M. Vitamin D status and seasonal changes in plasma concentrations of 25-hydroxyvitamin D in office workers in Ankara, Turkey. *Eur J Intern Med.* 2014;25(2):197-201.
- 37. Jeong H, Hong S, Heo Y, Chun H, Kim D, Park J, Kang MY. Vitamin D status and associated occupational factors in Korean wage workers: data from the 5th Korea national health and nutrition examination survey (KNHANES 2010-2012). Ann Occup Environ Med. 2014;26:28.
- Park JH, Hong IY, Chung JW, Choi HS. Vitamin D status in South Korean population: Seven-year trend from the KNHANES. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2018;97(26):e11032.
- Yuan S, Larsson SC. Inverse Association Between Serum 25-Hydroxyvitamin D and Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2022.
- 40. Barchetta I, Del Ben M, Angelico F, Di Martino M, Fraioli A, La Torre G, Saulle R, Perri L, Morini S, Tiberti C, Bertoccini L, Cimini FA, Panimolle F, Catalano C,

Baroni MG, Cavallo MG. No effects of oral vitamin D supplementation on nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in patients with type 2 diabetes: a randomized, doubleblind, placebo-controlled trial. *BMC Med.* 2016;14:92.

- 41. Dabbaghmanesh MH, Danafar F, Eshraghian A, Omrani GR. Vitamin D supplementation for the treatment of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: A randomized double blind placebo controlled trial. *Diabetes Metab Syndr*. 2018;12(4):513-517.
- 42. Geier A, Eichinger M, Stirnimann G, Semela D, Tay F, Seifert B, Tschopp O, Bantel H, Jahn D, Marques Maggio E, Saleh L, Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Mullhaupt B, Dufour JF. Treatment of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis patients with vitamin D: a double-blinded, randomized, placebo-controlled pilot study. *Scand J Gastroenterol*. 2018;53(9):1114-1120.
- 43. Lorvand Amiri H, Agah S, Mousavi SN, Hosseini AF, Shidfar F. Regression of Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver by Vitamin D Supplement: A Double-Blind Randomized Controlled Clinical Trial. *Arch Iran Med.* 2016;19(9):631-638.
- 44. Sharifi N, Amani R, Hajiani E, Cheraghian B. Does vitamin D improve liver enzymes, oxidative stress, and inflammatory biomarkers in adults with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease? A randomized clinical trial. *Endocrine*. 2014;47(1):70-80.
- 45. Barchetta I, Cimini FA, Cavallo MG. Vitamin D and Metabolic Dysfunction-Associated Fatty Liver Disease (MAFLD): An Update. *Nutrients*. 2020;12(11).
- Zhang Z, Thorne JL, Moore JB. Vitamin D and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care*. 2019;22(6):449-458.
- 47. Moore JB. From sugar to liver fat and public health: systems biology driven studies in understanding non-alcoholic fatty liver disease pathogenesis. *Proc Nutr Soc.* 2019;78(3):290-304.
- 48. Amrein K, Scherkl M, Hoffmann M, Neuwersch-Sommeregger S, Kostenberger M,

Tmava Berisha A, Martucci G, Pilz S, Malle O. Vitamin D deficiency 2.0: an update on the current status worldwide. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 2020;74(11):1498-1513.

- 49. Park HY, Lim YH, Park JB, Rhie J, Lee SJ. Environmental and Occupation Factors Associated with Vitamin D Deficiency in Korean Adults: The Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES) 2010-2014. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020;17(24).
- Guo XF, Wang C, Yang T, Li S, Li KL, Li D. Vitamin D and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Food Funct*. 2020;11(9):7389-7399.
- 51. Kitson MT, Pham A, Gordon A, Kemp W, Roberts SK. High-dose vitamin D supplementation and liver histology in NASH. *Gut.* 2016;65(4):717-718.
- 52. Roth CL, Elfers CT, Figlewicz DP, Melhorn SJ, Morton GJ, Hoofnagle A, Yeh MM, Nelson JE, Kowdley KV. Vitamin D deficiency in obese rats exacerbates nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and increases hepatic resistin and Toll-like receptor activation. *Hepatology*. 2012;55(4):1103-1111.
- 53. Kwok RM, Torres DM, Harrison SA. Vitamin D and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD): is it more than just an association? *Hepatology*. 2013;58(3):1166-1174.
- 54. Dong B, Zhou Y, Wang W, Scott J, Kim K, Sun Z, Guo Q, Lu Y, Gonzales NM, Wu H, Hartig SM, York RB, Yang F, Moore DD. Vitamin D Receptor Activation in Liver Macrophages Ameliorates Hepatic Inflammation, Steatosis, and Insulin Resistance in Mice. *Hepatology*. 2020;71(5):1559-1574.
- 55. Hsu S, Hoofnagle AN, Gupta DK, Gutierrez OM, Peralta CA, Shea S, Allen NB, Burke G, Michos ED, Ix JH, Siscovick D, Psaty BM, Watson KE, Kestenbaum B, de Boer IH, Robinson-Cohen C. Race, Ancestry, and Vitamin D Metabolism: The Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2020;105(12):e4337-4350.

- 56. Levin GP, Robinson-Cohen C, De Boer IH, Houston DK, Lohman K, Liu Y, Kritchevsky SB, Cauley JA, Tanaka T, Ferrucci L. Genetic variants and associations of 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations with major clinical outcomes. *Jama*. 2012;308(18):1898-1905.
- 57. Yeum K-J, Song BC, Joo N-S. Impact of Geographic Location on Vitamin D Status and Bone Mineral Density. *International journal of environmental research and public health*. 2016;13(2):184-184.

# Figure 1. Flow chart for the selection of the study participants

# Figure 2. Multivariable-adjusted hazard ratios for NAFLD

Curves represent adjusted hazard ratios for NAFLD based on restricted cubic splines with knots at the 5<sup>th</sup>, 35<sup>th</sup>, 65<sup>th</sup>, and 95<sup>th</sup> percentiles of serum 25(OH)D distribution.

Characteristics	Vitamin D levels (ng/mL)							
Characteristics	<10	10-19	20-29	≥30	- <i>p</i> -trend			
Number of participants	25 975	81 269	26 348	6 007				
Age (years)	35.2 (35.2–35.3)	35.9 (35.8–35.9)	37.1 (37.0–37.2)	39.2 (39.0-39.3)	< 0.001			
Male (%)	23.0 (22.5–23.5)	42.3 (42.0-42.7)	53.8 (53.2–54.4)	48.0 (46.7–49.3)	< 0.001			
Alcohol intake (%) <sup>b</sup>	22.6 (22.1–23.2)	24.8 (24.5–25.1)	27.3 (26.8–27.7)	27.5 (26.4–28.5)	< 0.001			
Current smoker (%)	11.7 (11.2–12.2)	12.6 (12.4–12.9)	14.2 (13.9–14.6)	13.8 (13.1–14.6)	< 0.001			
HEPA (%)	12.3 (11.9–12.7)	14.5 (14.2–14.7)	17.3 (16.9–17.8)	19.1 (18.1–20.1)	< 0.001			
Education level (%) °	80.6 (80.1-81.1)	84.5 (84.3-84.8)	86.2 (85.7–86.6)	86.5 (85.6–87.3)	< 0.001			
History of diabetes (%)	0.6 (0.5–0.7)	0.7 (0.6–0.7)	0.7 (0.6–0.8)	0.7 (0.6–0.9)	< 0.001			
History of hypertension (%)	3.7 (3.4–3.9)	3.4 (3.3–3.5)	3.6 (3.4–3.8)	3.3 (2.9–3.6)	< 0.001			
History of CVD (%)	0.6 (0.5–0.7)	0.5 (0.5–0.6)	0.6 (0.5–0.7)	0.6 (0.5–0.8)	< 0.001			
Glucose-lowering medication (%)	0.4 (0.3–0.5)	0.4 (0.4–0.5)	0.4 (0.3–0.5)	0.4 (0.3–0.5)	0.635			
Anti-lipid medication use (%)	0.9(0.8-1.1)	1.0 (0.9–1.0)	1.0 (0.9–1.1)	1.4 (1.2–1.6)	< 0.001			
Multi-vitamin supplement (%)	6.7 (6.4–7.0)	11.5 (11.3–11.7)	17.9 (17.5–18.4)	22.7 (21.7–23.8)	< 0.001			
Vitamin D supplement (%)	0.4 (0.3–0.5)	0.9 (0.8–1.0)	2.4 (2.2–2.6)	6.3 (5.7–6.9)	< 0.001			
Calcium supplement (%)	0.3 (0.2–0.4)	0.7 (0.6–0.8)	1.5 (1.4–1.7)	2.9 (2.5–3.4)	< 0.001			
Season								
Spring	38.3 (37.7–38.9)	26.9 (26.6–27.2)	16.4 (15.9–16.8)	17.5 (16.5–18.4)	< 0.001			
Summer	22.1 (21.6–22.6)	31.2 (30.9–31.5)	39.6 (39.0-40.2)	38.4 (37.2–39.7)	< 0.001			
Fall	20.9 (20.4–21.4)	30.2 (29.9–30.5)	36.5 (36.0–37.1)	34.1 (32.9–35.3)	< 0.001			
Winter	19.1 (18.6–19.6)	11.7 (11.5–12.0)	7.7 (7.4–8.1)	10.2 (9.3–10.8)	< 0.001			
Obesity (%) <sup>d</sup>	12.2 (11.7–12.6)	12.8 (12.6–13.0)	13.6 (13.2–14.0)	12.0 (11.2–12.7)	< 0.001			
BMI $(kg/m^2)$	21.8 (21.8–21.9)	22.0 (21.9–22.0)	22.0 (22.0-22.0)	21.8 (21.7–21.8)	< 0.001			
SBP (mmHg)	104.3 (104.1–104.4)	104.6 (104.5–104.7)	104.8 (104.7–104.9)	104.9 (104.7–105.2)	< 0.001			
DBP (mmHg)	66.6 (66.5-66.7)	66.8 (66.8–66.9)	66.9 (66.9–67.0)	66.9 (66.7–67.1)	< 0.001			
Glucose (mg/dl)	91.4 (91.3–91.5)	91.5 (91.4–91.6)	91.4 (91.3–91.5)	91.1 (90.9–91.3)	0.075			
Total cholesterol (mg/dl)	183.4 (183.1–183.8)	186.8 (186.6–187.1)	188.0 (187.6–188.3)	188.3 (187.5–189.0)	< 0.001			
GGT (U/L)	19.5 (19.2–19.7)	20.6 (20.4–20.7)	21.7 (21.5–21.9)	21.2 (20.8–21.7)	< 0.001			
ALT (U/L)	16.6 (16.4–16.7)	17.2 (17.2–17.3)	18.0 (17.8–18.1)	18.5 (18.2–18.8)	< 0.001			
HOMA-IR	1.21 (1.20–1.22)	1.20 (1.19–1.20)	1.19 (1.18–1.20)	1.18 (1.16–1.20)	< 0.001			

**Table 1.** Estimated<sup>a</sup> mean values (95% confidence intervals) and adjusted<sup>a</sup> proportion (95% confidence intervals) of baseline characteristics by baseline25(OH)D levels among participants without NAFLD at baseline (n = 139 599)

Total energy intake (kcal/d) <sup>f</sup>	1502 (1494–1511)	1505 (1500–1510)	1471 (1463–1480)	1415 (1396–1433)	< 0.001

<sup>a</sup>Adjusted for age and sex; <sup>b</sup>  $\geq$  10 g/day; <sup>c</sup>  $\geq$  college graduate; <sup>d</sup>BMI  $\geq$  25 kg/m<sup>2</sup>; <sup>e</sup> waist circumference  $\geq$ 90 cm for men and  $\geq$ 85 cm for women; <sup>f</sup> among 103,514 participants with plausible estimated energy intake levels (within three standard deviations from the log-transformed mean energy intake). Abbreviations: ALT, alanine aminotransferase; BMI, body mass index; CVD, cardiovascular disease; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; GGT, gamma-glutamyl transferase; HEPA, health-enhancing physical activity; hs-CRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

25(OH)D levels	Person years (PV)	Incident	Incidence density (/ 10 <sup>3</sup> PY)	Age sex-adjusted	Multivariable (95%	HR (95% CI) <sup>b</sup> in a model with	
(ng/mL)	reison-years (r r)	cases		HR (95% CI)	Model 1	Model 2	time-dependent variables
<10	114 688.6	4310	37.6	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
10-19	343 136.6	16 487	48.0	0.95 (0.92–0.99)	0.91 (0.88–0.94)	0.89 (0.86–0.92)	0.86 (0.83–0.89)
20-29	102 627.3	5740	55.9	0.91 (0.88–0.95)	0.85 (0.81–0.88)	0.81 (0.78–0.85)	0.74 (0.71–0.77)
≥30	20 569.0	994	48.3	0.76 (0.71–0.82)	0.75 (0.70–0.81)	0.72 (0.67–0.77)	0.60 (0.56–0.64)
<i>p</i> -trend				< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001

Table 2. Development of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) by 25(OH)D levels among participants without NAFLD at baseline (n = 139 599)

<sup>a</sup> Estimated from Cox proportional hazards models. Multivariable model 1 was adjusted for age, sex, center, year of screening examination, alcohol consumption, smoking, physical activity, total energy intake, education level, and BMI; model 2: model 1 plus adjustment for medication for hyperlipidemia, glucose-lowering medication, multi-vitamin supplements, vitamin D supplements, and calcium supplements.

<sup>b</sup> Estimated from Cox proportional hazard models with quintiles of vitamin D levels, smoking, alcohol consumption, physical activity, total energy intake, BMI, medication for hyperlipidemia, medication for hyperlipidemia, glucose-lowering medication, multi-vitamin supplements, season, vitamin D supplements, and calcium supplements as time-dependent variables, baseline age, center, year of screening exam, and education level as time-fixed variables.

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio

25(OH)D levels	Person-years (PY)	Resolution	Resolution rate $(/10^3)$	Age sex-adjusted	Multivariable (95%	HR (95% CI) <sup>b</sup> in a model with	
(ng/mL)		cases	PY)	HR (95% CI)	Model 1	Model 2	time-dependent variable
<10	25 318.4	1819	71.8	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
10-19	118 651.4	8202	69.1	1.12 (1.06–1.18)	1.09 (1.03–1.14)	1.09 (1.03–1.15)	1.07 (1.01–1.12)
20-29	41 262.9	2929	71.0	1.17 (1.10–1.24)	1.13 (1.06–1.20)	1.13 (1.06–1.21)	1.07 (1.01–1.14)
≥30	6140.4	499	81.3	1.23 (1.12–1.36)	1.20 (1.08–1.33)	1.21 (1.09–1.35)	1.03 (0.93–1.13)
<i>p</i> -trend				< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.265

Table 3. Resolution of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) by 25(OH)D levels among subjects with NAFLD at baseline (n = 48 702)

<sup>a</sup> Estimated from Cox proportional hazards models. Multivariable model 1 was adjusted for age, sex, center, year of screening examination, alcohol consumption, smoking, physical activity, total energy intake, education level, and BMI; model 2: model 1 plus adjustment for medication for hyperlipidemia, glucose-lowering medication, multi-vitamin supplements, vitamin D supplements, and calcium supplements.

<sup>b</sup> Estimated from Cox proportional hazard models with quintiles of vitamin D levels, smoking, alcohol consumption, physical activity, total energy intake, BMI, medication for hyperlipidemia, medication for hyperlipidemia, glucose-lowering medication, multi-vitamin supplements, season, vitamin D supplements, and calcium supplements as time-dependent variables, baseline age, center, year of screening exam, and education level as time-fixed variables.

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio

25(OH)D concentrations (ng/mL)		Person-years	Person-years Incident		Age sex-adjusted HR	Multivariable-adjusted HR <sup>a</sup> (95% CI)	
Visit 1	Visit 2	(PY)	cases	PY)	(95% CI)	Model 1	Model 2
<20	<20	215 164.6	8419	39.1	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
≥20	<20	30 123.8	1390	46.1	0.96 (0.90–1.01)	0.94 (0.89–1.00)	0.92 (0.87–0.98)
<20	≥20	45 558.3	1678	36.8	0.89 (0.84–0.94)	0.87 (0.82–0.91)	0.87 (0.82–0.91)
≥20	≥20	35 618.6	1656	46.5	0.87 (0.82–0.92)	0.82 (0.78–0.87)	0.80 (0.76–0.85)

**Table 4.** Development of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) by binary categories of 25(OH)D concentration at two examinations, in subjects without NAFLD at baseline (n = 92 792)

<sup>a</sup> Estimated from Cox proportional hazards models. Multivariable model 1 was adjusted for age, sex, center, year of screening examination, alcohol consumption, smoking, physical activity, total energy intake, education level, and BMI; model 2: model 1 plus adjustment for medication for hyperlipidemia, glucose-lowering medication, multi-vitamin supplements, vitamin D supplements, and calcium supplements. Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio

25(OH)D concentrations (ng/mL)		Person-years	Resolution	Resolution rate	Age sex-adjusted HR	Multivariable-adjusted HR <sup>a</sup> (95% CI)		
Visit 1	Visit 2	(PY)	cases	(/ 10 <sup>3</sup> PY)	(95% CI)	Model 1	Model 2	
<20	<20	68 831.8	3352	48.7	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	
≥20	<20	11 386.1	521	45.8	0.98 (0.90–1.08)	0.98 (0.89–1.07)	0.97 (0.88–1.07)	
<20	≥20	12 970.5	620	47.8	1.02 (0.93–1.11)	1.02 (0.94–1.11)	1.02 (0.94–1.11)	
≥20	≥20	14 786.6	772	52.2	1.11 (1.02–1.20)	1.10 (1.02–1.20)	1.10 (1.01–1.19)	

**Table 5.** Resolution of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) by binary categories of 25(OH)D levels at two visits, among subjects with NAFLD at baseline (n = 30 848)

<sup>a</sup> Estimated from Cox proportional hazards models. Multivariable model 1 was adjusted for age, sex, center, year of screening examination, alcohol consumption, smoking, physical activity, total energy intake, education level, and BMI; model 2: model 1 plus adjustment for medication for hyperlipidemia, glucose-lowering medication, multi-vitamin supplements, vitamin D supplements, and calcium supplements. Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio