

1 **Influence of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* Biofilm on the Mechanical Strength of Soft Tissue**  
2 **Allograft**

3 Hanna H. Sorensen<sup>1,2</sup>, B.S., Robert A. Magnussen<sup>3</sup>, M.D., M.P.H., Alex C. DiBartola<sup>3</sup>, M.D.,  
4 M.P.H, Noah T. Mallory<sup>1</sup>, B.S., Alan S. Litsky<sup>3,5</sup>, M.D., Sc.D., Paul Stoodley<sup>2,3,4</sup>, Ph.D. Steven  
5 D. Swinehart<sup>3</sup>, M.D., Robert A. Duerr<sup>3</sup>, M.D., Christopher C. Kaeding<sup>3</sup>, M.D., and David C.  
6 Flanigan<sup>3</sup>, M.D.

7 1 The Ohio State University College of Medicine Medical Student Research Program

8 2. The Ohio State University Department of Microbial Infection and Immunity

9 3. The Ohio State University Department of Orthopaedics

10 4. National Centre for Advanced Tribology at Southampton (nCATS) and National Biofilm  
11 Innovation Centre (NBIC), Dept. Mechanical Engineering, University of Southampton, UK.

12 5. Ohio State University Department of Biomedical Engineering

13 Disclosures: This work was supported in part by the OSU College of Medicine Samuel J  
14 Roessler research scholarship (HS) and in part by NIH R01 GM124436 (PS). The authors  
15 declare no conflicts of interest.  
16

17 Corresponding author:  
18 David C. Flanigan, MD  
19 OSU Sports Medicine  
20 The Ohio State University  
21 Sports Medicine Research Institute  
22 2835 Fred Taylor Drive  
23 Columbus, OH 43202  
24 Telephone: +1 614-940-4460  
25 Email: David.flanigan@osumc.edu

26

27 **Authorship Contributions**

28 Hanna Sorensen, B.S.

- 29 1. Substantial contributions to the conception and design of the work; the acquisition,  
30 analysis, and interpretation of data for the work; AND  
31 2. Drafting the work and revising it critically for important intellectual content; AND  
32 3. Final approval of the version to be published; AND  
33 4. Agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related  
34 to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and  
35 resolved  
36

37 Robert A. Magnussen, M.D., M.P.H

- 38 1. Substantial contributions to the conception and design of the work and interpretation of  
39 data for the work; AND  
40 2. Revising the work critically for important intellectual content; AND  
41 3. Final approval of the version to be published; AND  
42 4. Agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related  
43 to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and  
44 resolved  
45

46 Alex C. DiBartola, M.D., M.P.H

- 47  
48 1. Substantial contributions to the conception and design of the work and interpretation of  
49 data for the work; AND  
50 2. Revising the work critically for important intellectual content; AND  
51 3. Final approval of the version to be published; AND  
52 4. Agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related  
53 to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and  
54 resolved  
55

56 Noah T. Mallory, B.S.

- 57 1. Substantial contributions to the conception and design of the work and interpretation of  
58 data for the work; AND  
59 2. Revising the work critically for important intellectual content; AND  
60 3. Final approval of the version to be published; AND  
61 4. Agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related  
62 to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and  
63 resolved  
64

65 Alan S. Litsky, M.D., Sc.D.

- 66 1. Substantial contributions to the conception and design of the work and interpretation of  
67 data for the work; AND  
68 2. Revising the work critically for important intellectual content; AND  
69 3. Final approval of the version to be published; AND  
70 4. Agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related  
71 to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and  
72 resolved  
73

74 Paul Stoodley, Ph.D.

- 75 1. Substantial contributions to the conception and design of the work; the acquisition,  
76 analysis, and interpretation of data for the work; AND  
77 2. Drafting the work and revising it critically for important intellectual content; AND  
78 3. Final approval of the version to be published; AND

79 4. Agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related  
80 to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and  
81 resolved  
82

83 Steven D. Swinehart, M.D.

- 84 1. Substantial contributions to the conception and design of the work; the acquisition,  
85 analysis, and interpretation of data for the work; AND
- 86 2. Drafting the work and revising it critically for important intellectual content; AND
- 87 3. Final approval of the version to be published; AND
- 88 4. Agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related  
89 to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and  
90 resolved  
91

92 Robert A. Deurr, M.D.

- 93 1. Substantial contributions to the conception and design of the work and interpretation of  
94 data for the work; AND
- 95 2. Revising the work critically for important intellectual content; AND
- 96 3. Final approval of the version to be published; AND
- 97 4. Agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related  
98 to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and  
99 resolved  
100

101 Christopher C. Kaeding, M.D.

- 102 1. Substantial contributions to the conception and design of the work and interpretation of  
103 data for the work; AND
- 104 2. Revising the work critically for important intellectual content; AND
- 105 3. Final approval of the version to be published; AND
- 106 4. Agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related  
107 to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and  
108 resolved  
109

110 David C. Flanigan, M.D.

- 111 1. Substantial contributions to the conception and design of the work; the acquisition,  
112 analysis, and interpretation of data for the work; AND
- 113 2. Drafting the work and revising it critically for important intellectual content; AND
- 114 3. Final approval of the version to be published; AND
- 115 4. Agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related  
116 to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and  
117 resolved  
118

119

120 **Abstract**

121 We sought to determine the impact of bacterial inoculation and length of exposure on the  
122 mechanical integrity of soft tissue tendon grafts. Cultures of *S. epidermidis* were inoculated on  
123 human tibialis posterior (TP) cadaveric tendon to grow biofilms. A low inoculum in 10% growth  
124 medium was incubated for 30 minutes to replicate conditions of clinical infection. Growth  
125 conditions assessed included inoculum concentrations of 100, 1000, 10000 CFU. Tests using the  
126 MTS Bionix system were performed to assess the influence of bacterial biofilms on tendon  
127 strength. Load-to-failure testing was performed on the tendons, and the ultimate tensile strength  
128 was obtained from the maximal force and the cross-sectional area. Displacements of tendon  
129 origin to maximal displacement were normalized to tendon length to obtain strain values. Tendon  
130 force-displacement and stress-strain relationships were calculated, and Young's modulus was  
131 determined. Elastic modulus and ultimate tensile strength decreased with increasing bioburden.  
132 Young's modulus was greater in uninoculated controls compared to tendons inoculated at 10,000  
133 CFU ( $p=0.0011$ ) but unaffected by bacterial concentrations of 100 and 1,000 CFU ( $p=0.054$ ,  
134  $p=0.078$ ). Increasing bioburden was associated with decreased peak load to failure ( $p=0.043$ ) but  
135 was most significant compared to the control under the 10,000 CFU and 1,000 CFU growth  
136 conditions ( $p=0.0005$ ,  $p=0.049$ ). Presence of *S. epidermidis* increased elasticity and decreased  
137 ultimate tensile stress of human cadaveric tendons, with increasing effect noted with increasing  
138 bioburden.

139 **Clinical Relevance:** Understanding the impact of subclinical and chronic infection on  
140 mechanical strength following ACLR may reduce graft failure and prolong the integrity of the  
141 reconstruction.

142 **Keywords:** ACL reconstruction; infection; *S. epidermis*; graft failure

143

## 144 **Introduction**

145           Due to the high incidence of ACL injuries, increasing numbers of ACL reconstructions  
146 are performed each year making it one of the most common orthopedic procedures<sup>1,2</sup>.  
147 Reconstruction is the most common treatment for ACL rupture and many graft options are  
148 available, including different autograft and allograft tissues<sup>3</sup>. Autograft harvest is the most used  
149 technique for ACL reconstruction with potential graft sites including the hamstring tendons,  
150 patellar tendon, and quadriceps tendon. Allograft reconstruction is used in certain circumstances  
151 and includes the same types of tendons harvested from donors, as well as others, including  
152 hamstring, patellar, quadriceps, Achilles, and anterior/posterior tibialis tendons<sup>4</sup>.

153           ACL reconstruction is generally safe and effective, and infection after ACL  
154 reconstruction is a very rare complication, with current literature suggesting a rate of  
155 approximately 0.14%-1.7%<sup>5</sup>. While rare, the occurrence of a significant postoperative infection  
156 after ACL reconstruction may directly compromise the mechanical strength of the graft.  
157 Infection rates are mostly attributed to members of the *Staphylococcus* species, with *S.*  
158 *epidermidis* being of particular clinical interest, as it has emerged as one of the most common  
159 organisms seen in prosthetic joint infections. Its predominance may be related to its ability to  
160 form biofilm infections on tissue grafts and implants, making antibiotic efficacy and bacteria  
161 removal more difficult<sup>6</sup>.

162           Previous work by this research group has shown that bacterial DNA is commonly found  
163 on failed ACLR grafts in the form of biofilms, as its presence on failed graft tissue and  
164 monofilament suture was visually confirmed with fluorescence microscopy<sup>7</sup>. While bacterial  
165 DNA was detectable in torn graft tissue in most revision ACL cases, the degree to which biofilm  
166 formation affected the mechanical strength and stability of the ACLR graft remained unclear<sup>8</sup>.

167 Furthermore, it is important to note that ACL failure due to subclinical infection may be  
168 underestimated as tissue and synovial cell cultures fail to accurately detect all biofilms. The tube  
169 method and congo red agar methods compared to tissue culture plates methods were associated  
170 with greater false negative rates when detecting clinical biofilm<sup>9</sup>. Also, while the presence of  
171 bacterial DNA does not necessarily cause clinically relevant infection symptoms, biofilm  
172 presence is known to be associated with other issues such as tunnel widening<sup>8</sup>. Previous studies  
173 have shown that increased tunnel widening could lead to graft failure, joint laxity, and increased  
174 revision surgery requirements<sup>10</sup>.

175 Preliminary work in the lab has shown that *Staphylococcus epidermidis* can develop  
176 biofilms on human tendon grafts and that growth conditions for governing bioburden to mimic  
177 clinical infection can be controlled. Increasing incubation time is associated with greater  
178 bioburden and with increased exposure time, greater unraveling of the tendons making up the  
179 graft occur. Mechanical integrity seems to be impacted with increased exposure; however,  
180 inoculated allograft tendons have not been tested to confirm this hypothesis. The impact of  
181 bacterial colonization on the mechanical integrity of soft tissue ACL grafts as a potential cause  
182 of graft failure is concerning and deserves further investigation.

183 The purpose of this study was to assess the influence of *S. epidermidis* bacterial biofilm  
184 presence on tendon mechanical strength of soft tissue tendon allografts used in ACL  
185 reconstruction. We hypothesized that an increase in *S. epidermidis* concentration will  
186 compromise the mechanical strength of the soft tissue tendon allograft. Our approach to explore  
187 this hypothesis was to use mechanical testing protocols to observe a tendons ability to elongate  
188 and fail under axial loads. Quantitative measurements for tendon mechanical strength include an  
189 analysis of Young's modulus, peak stress and strain.

## 190 **Materials and Methods**

### 191 *Strains and biofilm growth*

192 *Staphylococcus epidermidis* ATCC® 35984™, a biofilm forming strain isolated from  
193 catheter sepsis, was cultured overnight in Brain Heart Infusion (BHI [Becton, Dickinson and  
194 Company; Sparks, Maryland]) at 37°C. Human graft tibialis posterior tendons were prepared and  
195 inoculated to form biofilm. Growth conditions assessed included inoculum concentration (100,  
196 1000, 10,000 CFU), medium concentration (10%), and incubation time (30 minutes).

### 197 *Determination of inoculation concentration and exposure duration*

198 *S. epidermidis* ATCC® 35984™, isolated from catheter sepsis, was cultured overnight in  
199 BHI at 37°C. To determine the inoculation conditions a range of relatively low concentrations  
200 were used we considered would be more clinically relevant, human graft semitendinosus tendons  
201 were segmented into thin sections (~2.5 cm x 2 mm x 2 mm). Specimens were submerged in 5-  
202 mL of culture in 6-well plates and incubated statically at 37°C with growth conditions of 10<sup>5</sup> and  
203 10<sup>4</sup> CFU/mL, medium concentration (10 and 50%), and incubation time (0.5, 3, 6, and 24-  
204 hours). Increasing incubation time was associated with greater bioburden. In contrast, starting  
205 inoculum concentration had minimal impact on bioburden (Figure 3). No unraveling was  
206 observed in control BHI specimens. Thus, low inoculum, 10% growth medium, incubated for 30  
207 minutes was recommended to replicate conditions of clinical infection.

### 208 *Tibialis posterior graft preparation*

209 Cadaveric human posterior tibialis tendons were surgically released from surrounding  
210 tissues, maintaining the proximal end of the tendon to its muscular attachment. Fresh frozen  
211 specimens were stored in a -20°C freezer and removed the day prior to mechanical testing. For

212 tendon preparation, tendons were submerged in 50-mL of sterile water in a clear tempered glass  
213 pie dish (dimensions, 9” 23) and trimmed to remove any remaining muscular attachment.  
214 Following graft composition, tendons were imaged, and calculation of surface area was  
215 approximated using the analysis function of NIH ImageJ (<https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/>). The length  
216 of the tendon was measured and six data points along the width of the tendon were averaged, and  
217 cross-sectional area was approximated assuming an elliptical shape (Figure 1).

#### 218 *Inoculation protocol*

219 For biofilm growth, the posterior tibialis tendon allograft specimens were submerged in  
220 75-mL of culture in an airtight, leak resistant tempered glasslock storage container (capacity,  
221 485-mL). Once prepared, tendons underwent submersion in inoculum concentrations of 100,  
222 1000, 10000 CFU with a nutrient medium concentration of 10%. Grafts then underwent shaking  
223 at 37°C and 60 rpm incubation for 30 minutes. A control was run in 75-mL of 10% BHI  
224 following the same experimental conditions in the absence of bacterial inoculation. After  
225 shaking, to remove planktonic cells, samples were washed 3x in 30-mL of sterile Dulbecco's  
226 phosphate-buffered saline (DPBS) and immediately placed in a sterile 50-mL conical centrifugal  
227 tube over ice for transport.

#### 228 *Mechanical testing and data collection*

229 Following biofilm growth, the allograft tendons underwent mechanical testing using a  
230 servohydraulic materials test frame [MTS 858 Bionix, MTS Corp. Eden Prairie, MN]. Testing  
231 parameters were set to apply an axial load at a defined strain rate of 10mm/min. The tendon  
232 specimen was gripped by two custom-fabricated, corrugated cryo-clamps, reinforced using dry  
233 ice (to improve adhesion between the tissue and clamp), and further clamped using two C-



234 clamps to mitigate the risk of slipping (Figure 2A). The texture of the corrugated surface gives a  
235 good method of monitoring slippage of the specimen; displacement was demonstrated to be  
236 tissue extension and not specimen sliding in the grip. Force-deformation curves were generated  
237 during elongation to failure of the tendon.

238 An assessment for graft displacement and load were recorded across the length of the  
239 experiment. To find the greatest stress the tendon could withstand, the ultimate tensile strength,  
240 the maximum load on the tendon was divided by cross-sectional area to obtain stress values. The  
241 displacements of the allograft tendon origin to maximal displacement were normalized to tendon  
242 length to obtain strain values. From the data obtained, the tendon force-displacement and stress-  
243 strain relationships were calculated, and Young's modulus were determined.

#### 244 *Interpretation of stress-strain curves*

245 A total of 40 allograft tibialis tendons (10 with each inoculum concentration) underwent  
246 biomechanical testing undergoing uniaxial loading to failure (rate of 10 mm/sec) using the MTS  
247 858 Bionix Test system. This displacement rate was chosen because the recorded quantitative  
248 data on the characteristics of soft tissue was most favorable to observe tissue behavior prior to  
249 tendon failure, usually about 2 minutes of applied axial load.

250 A general stress-strain relationship for tendons was modelled and included calculations for  
251 ultimate tensile strength, peak tendon strain, and Young's modulus. Young's modulus of tendon  
252 tissue was calculated by dividing yield stress by the corresponding tendon strain.

#### 253 *Environmental Scanning Electron Microscopy (ESEM) preparation*

254 All tendon components were soaked in prefixing agents containing 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.2M  
255 cacodylate buffer (pH 7.4) for 24 hours at room temperature in a 12-well plate. These

256 components were then rinsed with cacodylate buffer twice. After the final rinse, the specimens  
257 were dehydrated by placing in increasing concentrations of ethanol series (70%, 90%, and 100%)  
258 two times each for 5 min. Components were lastly dehydrated in 100% HMDS for 5 minutes,  
259 twice, then depressurized before a sputter coating procedure. These components were dried at  
260 room temperature before imaging via Quanta 200 imaging system.

### 261 *Statistical analysis*

262 Data were analyzed using standard statistical software on Microsoft Word. The  
263 association between bacterial presence and modulus of elasticity (Young's modulus) and peak  
264 stress to failure ( $\sigma$ ) were assessed by ANOVA analysis. To assess for an association  
265 between bacterial concentration and changes in both elasticity and peak stress, paired t-tests  
266 assuming equal variance were conducted across each inoculum concentration. Differences were  
267 considered significant for  $P < 0.05$ .

## 268 **Results**

### 269 *Interpretation of stress-strain curves*

270 The recorded data were observed as a force-displacement curve and a stress strain curve  
271 was calculated. Ultimate tensile strength was dependent on level of inoculation and cross-  
272 sectional area (CSA) of the tendon. Across 10 replicates, the uninoculated experimental control  
273 displayed an increased peak load to failure among tendons. Peak load to failure values ranged  
274 from 1300 N-3000 N. After adjusting to account for the variable CSA of the tendons, stress  
275 values averaged to 20.197 N/mm<sup>2</sup> in the control group. Comparatively, peak load to failure  
276 values for the 10,000 CFU inoculation ranged from 900N-2800N, and stress averaged 14.79  
277 N/mm<sup>2</sup>. Averages for the 100 and 1,000 CFU inoculations were 17.25 N/mm<sup>2</sup> and 16.93 N/mm<sup>2</sup>

278 respectively. Tendon stiffness was approximated using the slope of the stress strain curve at its  
279 most linear segment. Across all conditions, bacterial inoculation did not significantly alter tendon  
280 strain ( $p = 0.73$ ). Tendon stiffness averaged .33-.36 N/mm<sup>2</sup>. Data confirmed that due to varying  
281 levels of crimp within tendon fibrils, the tendon exhibited the expected non-linear stress-strain  
282 response (Figure 4).

### 283 *Association between bioburden and mechanical strength*

284 Young's elastic modulus and ultimate tensile strength decrease with increasing  
285 bioburden. Young's modulus was greater in the uninoculated control group compared to tendons  
286 inoculated at 10,000 CFU ( $p=0.0011$ ) but was unaffected by bacterial concentrations of 100 and  
287 1,000 CFU ( $p=0.054$ ,  $p=0.078$ ) as detected by T-Test: two-sample assuming equal variances  
288 (Figure 5). Increasing bioburden was also associated with a decreased peak load to failure  
289 ( $p=0.046$ , Welch ANOVA). The difference was most significant when compared to the control  
290 under 10,000 CFU and 1,000 CFU conditions ( $p=.0005$ ,  $p=0.049$ ). No significant differences  
291 were observed regarding the experimental control compared to the 100 CFU inoculum  
292 concentrations as assessed by a paired t-test assuming equal variance ( $p=0.072$ ). Therefore, no  
293 adjustment or stratification was performed based on these variables in subsequent analyses.  
294 There was no association between bacterial concentration and strain as measured by the MTS  
295 Bionix testing system.

### 296 **Discussion**

297 While rare, infection after ACLR exists and subclinical bacterial colonization of  
298 orthopaedic graft material has proven to be clinically relevant<sup>11</sup>. Subclinical biofilm formation

299 and its impact on the mechanical integrity and success of soft tissue grafts has rarely been  
300 studied.

301         The most important finding of the present study is that increasing levels of bacterial  
302 colonization are associated with a weakening of the mechanical properties of the ACL soft tissue  
303 allograft. In particular, despite short-term exposure and relatively low inoculation time, the  
304 presence of increasing bioburden showed evidence of increased elasticity and decreased ability  
305 to tolerate axial load.

306         Despite short-term exposure and relatively low inoculation time during our experiments,  
307 we believe that post-surgical infection can harbor an appropriate environment for bacterial  
308 growth and biofilm formation regardless of starting inoculum concentration. Perez et al. found  
309 that *S. epidermidis* was capable of invading osteoblasts and fibroblasts in vitro<sup>12</sup>. It is known that  
310 bacterial colonization is associated with transosseous tunnel widening after ACL reconstruction,  
311 most likely due to the same mechanism observed above<sup>8</sup>. There is evidence that allograft tendons  
312 are safe and effective with no higher risk of infection and equivalent failure rates compared to  
313 autografts<sup>13</sup>. These findings suggest that while initial exposure may contain little bioburden, *S.*  
314 *epidermidis* and other infectious species may persist intracellularly and grow to reach  
315 experimental conditions assessed in this study. If bioburden is sufficient for biofilm growth,  
316 surrounding tissues including bone and soft tissues may be impacted by bacterial presence  
317 regardless of operative graft technique.

318         Clinically, failures of primary ACL reconstructions are mainly due to poor technique  
319 leading to graft laxity, traumatic re-injury, and poor biology that manifests as either failure of  
320 graft ligamentization or subclinical infection<sup>14</sup>. A loose graft at 6 months after ACLR has been  
321 shown to increase the risk of later ACL revision surgery, reduce patient return to sport and

322 ADLs, and cause permanent increased anterior laxity<sup>15</sup>. Evidence from our studies suggest that  
323 an increase in tendon laxity and a decreasing load to failure in the presence of infection may play  
324 a role in graft failure. Increased tendon laxity can lead to re-injury either traumatically or non-  
325 traumatically by altering the biomechanics of the knee.

326         Because of low ACLR infection rates, there is no clear consensus regarding the  
327 appropriate management of infection related complications. Current research suggests that pre-  
328 soaking grafts in vancomycin may lead to decreased deep infection rates<sup>16,17,18</sup>. Some studies,  
329 including a systematic review by Xiao et al, found that soaking ACL tendon grafts with  
330 vancomycin before implantation was associated with a nearly 15 times decrease in infection  
331 compared with grafts not soaked<sup>19</sup>. Another study suggested that through reducing hematoma  
332 occurrence post-surgery using a Hemovac drain, deep surgical site infections could be reduced  
333 when using hamstring tendon allografts<sup>20</sup>. Given the results of our study, it is important to  
334 consider the role of ACL infection prevention given the favorable outcomes presented in several  
335 studies performed.

336         Inoculated tendons stretched to failure show a decrease in their ultimate tensile stress  
337 with an increasing bioburden. Our experiments with varying inoculum concentrations showed  
338 that even a low presence of *S. epidermidis* increased the elasticity of human cadaveric tendons. It  
339 is unclear how decreases in tensile stress before graft rupture affect the kinematics of the  
340 reconstructed knee. Further research is needed to determine how biofilm infiltrates the tendons  
341 structural integrity and if biofilm formation can be reduced using decontamination protocols.

#### 342 *Future Directions*

343         Mechanical integrity of the tendonous materials seems to be impacted with increased  
344 exposure; however, inoculated tendons will need to be tested to confirm this hypothesis. The

345 exact physiologic mechanism mediating a reduction in mechanical strength remains to be  
346 elucidated. Future studies will aim to assess bioburden quantitatively using CFU counts (possible  
347 contamination during this experiment) and observe unravelling of soft tissue specimens by  
348 *Staphylococcus epidermidis*. On a subset of tendons, we plan to complete SEM imaging to get  
349 finer resolution of the unraveling and if it is related to the presence of biofilm.

### 350 *Limitations*

351         There are several limitations to the current study. There is no prior reported evidence of  
352 impaired mechanical strength of soft tissue allografts inoculated with *S. epidermidis*; due to a  
353 lack of available data on the topic, we could not perform a power analysis. The current study  
354 could potentially be underpowered which would increase the risk of beta error (i.e., failure to  
355 detect a relationship that does exist). The current study demonstrates a link between bacterial  
356 colonization and a decrease in mechanical strength of soft tissue allografts, but it does not prove  
357 causation between bacterial colonization and graft failure. Our choice of control included a  
358 cadaveric posterior tibialis tendon which effectively controlled for an absence of bacterial  
359 colonies, mimicking ideal surgical conditions. However, the possibility of contamination and  
360 bacterial colonization during mechanical testing was not controlled for on the surface of the MTS  
361 clamps. Whether bacterial colonization occurred outside of the inoculation protocol cannot be  
362 determined by the current study design. Additionally, although the measurement of peak stress  
363 was recorded, the measurement of cross-sectional area needed to be approximated to account for  
364 differences in tendon thickness. This could have an impact on the calculated values for stress and  
365 thereby Young's elastic modulus.

366

### 367 **Conclusions**

368           The presence of *S. epidermidis* increased the elasticity and decreased the ultimate tensile  
369 stress of human cadaveric tendons, with increasing effect noted with increasing bioburden.

370

## 371 **References**

372 1. Mall NA, Chalmers PN, Moric M, Tanaka MJ, Cole BJ, Bach BR Jr, Paletta GA Jr.

373 Incidence and trends of anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction in the United States. *Am J*

374 *Sports Med.* Oct 2014;42(10):2363-70. doi: 10.1177/0363546514542796

375 2. Herzog MM, Marshall SW, Lund JL, Pate V, Mack CD, Spang JT. Trends in Incidence of

376 ACL Reconstruction and Concomitant Procedures Among Commercially Insured Individuals in

377 the United States, 2002-2014. *Sports Health.* Nov/Dec 2018;10(6):523-531. doi:

378 10.1177/1941738118803616

379 3. Evans S, Shaginaw J, Bartolozzi A. ACL reconstruction - it's all about timing. *Int J Sports*

380 *Phys Ther.* Apr 2014;9(2):268-73.

381 4. Widner M, Dunleavy M, Lynch S. Outcomes Following ACL Reconstruction Based on Graft

382 Type: Are all Grafts Equivalent? *Curr Rev Musculoskelet Med.* Dec 2019;12(4):460-465. doi:

383 10.1007/s12178-019-09588-w

384 5. Hantes ME, Raoulis VA, Doxariotis N, Drakos A, Karachalios T, Malizos KN. Management

385 of septic arthritis after arthroscopic anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction using a standard

386 surgical protocol. *Knee.* Jun 2017;24(3):588-593. doi: 10.1016/j.knee.2017.02.007

- 387 6. Koch JA, Pust TM, Cappellini AJ, et al. Staphylococcus epidermidis Biofilms Have a High  
388 Tolerance to Antibiotics in Periprosthetic Joint Infection. *Life*. Oct 2020;10(11):253.  
389 doi:10.3390/life10110253
- 390 7. Everhart JS, DiBartola AC, Dusane DH, et al. Bacterial Deoxyribonucleic Acid Is Often  
391 Present in Failed Revision Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstructions. *Arthroscopy*. Nov  
392 2018;34(11):3046-3052. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2018.06.033
- 393 8. Flanigan DC, Everhart JS, DiBartola AC, et al. Bacterial DNA is associated with tunnel  
394 widening in failed ACL reconstructions. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. Nov  
395 2019;27(11):3490-3497. doi:10.1007/s00167-019-05405-6
- 396 9. Hassan A, Usman J, Kaleem F, Omair M, Khalid A, Iqbal M. Evaluation of different  
397 detection methods of biofilm formation in the clinical isolates. *Braz J Infect Dis*. Jul-Aug  
398 2011;15(4):305-11.
- 399 10. Beyaz S, Güler ÜÖ, Demir Ş, Yüksel S, Çınar BM, Özkoç G, Akpınar S. Tunnel widening  
400 after single- versus double-bundle anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a randomized 8-  
401 year follow-up study. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg*. Nov 2017;137(11):1547-1555.  
402 doi:10.1007/s00402-017-2774-z
- 403 11. Gobbi A, Karnatzikos G, Chaurasia S, Abhishek M, Bulgherhoni E, Lane J. Postoperative  
404 Infection After Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction. *Sports Health*. Mar-Apr  
405 2016;8(2):187-9. doi:10.1177/1941738115618638



- 406 12. Perez K, Patel R. Survival of Staphylococcus epidermidis in Fibroblasts and  
407 Osteoblasts. *Infect Immun*. Oct 2018;86(10)doi:10.1128/iai.00237-18
- 408 13. Condello V, Zdanowicz U, Di Matteo B, Spalding T, Gelber PE, Adravanti P, Heuberer P,  
409 Dimmen S, Sonnet-Cottet B, Hulet C, Bonomo M, Kon E. Allograft tendons are a safe and  
410 effective option for revision ACL reconstruction: a clinical review. *Knee Surg Sports*  
411 *Traumatol Arthrosc*. Jun 2019. doi.org/10.1007/s00167-018-5147-414
- 412 14. Wright RW, Huston LJ, Spindler KP, et al. Descriptive epidemiology of the Multicenter  
413 ACL Revision Study (MARS) cohort. *Am J Sports Med*. Oct 2010;38(10):1979-86.  
414 doi:10.1177/0363546510378645
- 415 15. Lindanger L, Strand T, Mølster AO, Solheim E, Inderhaug E. Effect of Early Residual  
416 Laxity After Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction on Long-term Laxity, Graft Failure,  
417 Return to Sports, and Subjective Outcome at 25 Years. *Am J Sports Med*. Apr  
418 2021;49(5):1227-1235. doi:10.1177/0363546521990801
- 419 16. Baron JE, Shamrock AG, Cates WT, et al. Graft preparation with intraoperative  
420 vancomycin decreases infection after ACL reconstruction: a review of 1,640 cases. *J Bone*  
421 *Joint Surg Am*. Dec 2019;101-A(24):2187–2193. doi: 10.2106/JBJS.19.00270.
- 422 17. Offerhaus C, Balke M, Hente J, Gehling M, Blendl S, Höher J. Vancomycin pre-soaking of  
423 the graft reduces postoperative infection rate without increasing risk of graft failure and  
424 arthrofibrosis in ACL reconstruction. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. Sep  
425 2019;27(9):3014–302. doi: 10.1007/s00167-018-5323-6

- 426 18. Pérez-Prieto D, Torres-Claramunt R, Gelber PE, Shehata TMA, Pelfort X, Monllau JC.  
427 Autograft soaking in vancomycin reduces the risk of infection after anterior cruciate ligament  
428 reconstruction. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* Sep 2016;24(9):2724-2728.  
429 doi:10.1007/s00167-014-3438-y
- 430 19. Xiao M, Sherman SL, Safran MR, Abrams GD. Significantly Lower Infection Risk for  
431 Anterior Cruciate Ligament Grafts Presoaked in Vancomycin Compared With Unsoaked  
432 Grafts: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Arthroscopy.* May 2021;37(5):1683-1690.  
433 doi: 10.1016/j.arthro.2020.12.212.
- 434 20. Sever GB. Can infection after anterior cruciate ligament surgery be prevented by inserting a  
435 Hemovac drain into graft donor site? *Jt Dis Relat Surg.* Mar 2020;31(1):143-148.  
436 doi:10.5606/ehc.2020.71334  
437

438 **Legend for Figures**

439

440 **Figure 1. Tibialis posterior graft preparation.** For tendon preparation, tendons were  
441 submerged in sterile water in a clear tempered glass dish and trimmed to remove any remaining  
442 muscular attachment.

443 **Figure 2. Mechanical Testing of the tibialis posterior tendon.** The tendon specimen was  
444 gripped by two clamps, reinforced using dry ice, and further clamped using two C-clamps to  
445 mitigate the risk of slipping (A). Specimens underwent an axial strain until peak load was  
446 obtained or until the graft snapped (B). To account for tendon size differences, initial length and  
447 tension values were included in calculations (C). Tendons underwent mechanical testing using  
448 the MTS 858 Bionix Test system (D).

449

450 **Figure 3. Semitendinosus bioburden by incubation time and initial inoculum concentration.**  
451 Increasing incubation time was associated with greater bioburden. In contrast, initial inoculum  
452 concentration had minimal effect on bioburden.

453

454 **Figure 4. Stress strain curves.** Tendons underwent an axial load at a defined strain rate of  
455 10mm/min until tendon failure. Representative stress-strain curves were generated across each  
456 experimental replicate. Peak load to failure of the control specimen was significantly greater than  
457 that of the 10000 CFU inoculum. This trend was observed when comparing the control to the  
458 100, 1000, and 10,000 CFU concentration but was statistically significant in comparison to both  
459 the 1,000 and 10000 CFU inoculum.

460

461 **Figure 5. Box and whisker plots of calculated values for Young's modulus (5A) and peak**  
462 **stress (5B).** **A** There was no difference in bacterial concentration and change in elasticity when  
463 comparing the experimental control to 100 and 1,000 CFU. There were statistically lower values  
464 for Young's modulus among tendons inoculated under 10,000 CFU. **B** There was no statistical  
465 difference between increasing bioburden and peak load to failure when comparing the  
466 experimental control to 100 CFU. However, there were statistically lower values for peak stress  
467 among tendons inoculated under 10,000 and 1,000 CFU.

468

469

470