



Article

Differential inflammatory responses in cultured endothelial cells exposed to two conjugated linoleic acids (CLAs) under a pro-inflammatory condition

Carina A. Valenzuela ^{1,2,*}, Ella J. Baker ¹, Elizabeth A. Miles ¹ and Philip C. Calder ^{1,4}

¹ School of Human Development and Health, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK; E.Baker@soton.ac.uk (E.J.B.); e.a.miles@soton.ac.uk (E.A.M.); pcc@soton.ac.uk (P.C.C.)

² School of Nutrition, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Valparaíso, Playa Ancha, Valparaíso, Chile

⁴ NIHR Southampton Biomedical Research Centre, University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust and University of Southampton, Southampton, UK

* Correspondence: carina.valenzuela@uv.cl

Citation: Valenzuela, C. A.; Baker, E. J.; Miles, E. A.; Calder P. C. Differential inflammatory responses in cultured endothelial cells exposed to two conjugated linoleic acids under a pro-inflammatory condition. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2022**, *23*, x. <https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxxx>

Academic Editor: Firstname Last-name

Received: date

Accepted: date

Published: date

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Abstract: Conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) isomers have been shown to possess anti-atherosclerotic properties, which may be related to the downregulation of inflammatory pathways in different cell types, including endothelial cells (ECs). However, whether different CLA isomers have different actions is not entirely clear, with inconsistent reports to date. Furthermore, in cell culture studies, CLAs have often been used at fairly high concentrations. Whether lower concentrations of CLAs are able to affect EC responses is not clear. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effects of two CLAs (*cis*-9, *trans*-11 (CLA9,11) and *trans*-10, *cis*-12 (CLA10,12)) on inflammatory responses of ECs. ECs (EA.hy926 cells) were cultured under standard conditions and exposed to CLAs (1 to 50 μ M) for 48 h. Then, the cells were cultured for a further 6 or 24 h with tumour necrosis factor alpha (TNF- α , 1 ng/mL) as an inflammatory stimulant. ECs remained viable after treatments with 1 and 10 μ M of each CLA, but not after treatment with 50 μ M CLA10,12. CLAs were incorporated into ECs in a concentration-dependent manner. CLA10,12 increased the levels ICAM-1, IL-6 and RANTES in the culture medium, while CLA9,11 had null effects. Both CLAs (1 μ M) decreased the appearance of NF κ B1 mRNA, but only CLA9,11 maintained this downregulation at 10 μ M. CLA10,12 had no effect on THP-1 cell adhesion to ECs while decreasing significantly the % of ECs expressing ICAM-1 and also levels of ICAM-1 expression per cell when used at 10 μ M. Although CLA9,11 did not have any effect on ICAM-1 cell surface expression, it reduced THP-1 cell adhesion to the EA.hy926 cell monolayer at both concentrations. In summary, CLA10,12 showed some pro-inflammatory effects, while CLA9,11 exhibited null or anti-inflammatory effects. The results suggest that each CLA has different effects in ECs under a pro-inflammatory condition, highlighting the need for evaluating the effects of CLA isomers independently.

Keywords: conjugated fatty acids; inflammation; endothelial cells; atherosclerosis

1. Introduction

Conjugated linoleic acids (CLAs) are a mixture of positional and geometric isomers of linoleic acid. The main CLA isomer found in the human diet is *cis*-9, *trans*-11 linoleic acid (CLA9,11). CLA9,11, together with several other CLA isomers, is produced naturally in the rumen of cattle and other ruminants by bacterial biohydrogenation of linoleic acid [1]. The milk, dairy products and meat of these animals are a source of CLAs, with CLA9,11 accounting for 70–80% of the total CLA content in dairy and meat products [2, 3]. Consequently, CLA9,11 is the main CLA present in the human diet. It has been reported that humans can also endogenously produce the CLA9,11 through desaturation of *trans* vaccenic acid, the main ruminant *trans* fatty acid [4, 5]. *Trans*-10, *cis*-12 linoleic acid

(CLA10,12) is a more minor constituent of foods than CLA9,11. However, CLA supplements, which are mainly produced from sunflower oil, which is rich in linoleic acid, typically contain a 50:50 mixture of CLA9,11 and CLA10,12. Both these CLA isomers are bioactive and since the 1980s, different studies have frequently shown positive effects of CLAs in cancer, inflammation, obesity, glucose homeostasis, atherosclerosis, among others. These studies are mainly performed *in vitro* and in animal models [6–10]. However, effects of CLA isomers, alone or together, in humans are inconsistent and the mechanisms of action are still unclear [2, 11].

Atherosclerosis is the disease that results from the formation of atheromatous plaques within the arterial wall causing thickening or hardening [12]; it plays a key role in the progression of cardiovascular diseases including coronary heart disease and stroke, which are still among the leading causes of death globally [13]. Inflammation of the vascular endothelium is an important contributor to the initiation and progression of atherosclerosis [14–16].

The vascular endothelium can be modulated by components of the diet such as fatty acids and this modulation has implications for vascular-related diseases such as atherosclerosis. Some *in vitro* studies have reported anti-inflammatory effects of CLAs in endothelial cells (ECs) such as the commonly used human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) [17–19]. In contrast, studies in mice show that dietary CLA10,12 increases the expression and circulating levels of inflammatory mediators and macrophage infiltration into adipose tissue [20, 21]. Clinical trials also show inconsistent results, with some studies reporting that CLA10,12 may have pro-inflammatory effects, compared to CLA9,11 [22–25], while other studies show no effects and no differences between the CLAs [26, 27].

There is some evidence suggesting that CLA9,11 and CLA10,12 have different effects on inflammation, but this is uncertain and *in vitro* studies have used high concentrations of the CLAs. Whether lower concentrations of CLAs are able to affect inflammatory responses of ECs is not clear. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effects of two CLAs (CLA9,11 and CLA10,12) used at lower concentrations than in many previous *in vitro* studies on inflammatory responses by cultured ECs.

2. Results

2.1. Viability of EA.hy926 cells incubated with TNF- α and FAs

Viability was assessed using the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay which assesses metabolic integrity. Neither TNF- α (1 ng/mL for 24 h) nor any of the three FAs tested at concentrations of 1 and 10 μ M affected the viability of EA.hy926 cells (Figure 1). However, at a concentration of 50 μ M, CLA10,12 reduced viability. Therefore, further experiments did not use FAs at concentrations above 10 μ M.




Figure 1. Viability of EA.hy926 cells after preincubation for 48 h with supplemented DMEM containing 0.1% of ethanol (Control) or different concentrations (1, 10 or 50 μ M) of linoleic acid (A), *cis*-9, *trans*-11 linoleic acid (B), *trans*-10, *cis*-12 linoleic acid (C), followed by incubation with (+) or without (-) TNF- α (1 ng/mL) for 24 h: TNF- α (-) (black bars) refers to cells cultured in the absence of TNF- α while TNF- α (+) (hatched bars) refers to cells cultured with TNF- α . Bars are mean \pm SD of 9 samples from 3 experiments. Data were analysed using two-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-hoc test. ****p<0.0001 vs Control.

2.2. FA incorporation into EA.hy926 cells

Incorporation of each of the studied FAs into EA.hy926 cells increased as their concentration in the culture medium increased from 1 to 10 μM (Figure 2). CLA10,12 was incorporated in a higher amount (at least 50% more) than CLA9,11.




Figure 2. Incorporation of FAs into EA.hy926 cells incubated for 48 h with DMEM containing 0.1% of ethanol (Control) or different concentrations (1 or 10 μM) of linoleic acid (A), *cis*-9, *trans*-11 linoleic acid (B), *trans*-10, *cis*-12 linoleic acid (C). Bars are mean \pm SD of 6 to 9 samples from 3 experiments. Data were analysed using one-way ANOVA with Tukey's post hoc test and represent the total amount of each fatty acid in the cells across all lipids present. * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; **** $p < 0.0001$; where asterisks are shown immediately above a bar they refer to difference from Control and where asterisks are shown above a horizontal line they refer to differences between the two groups indicated by that line.

2.3. Effects of FAs on the levels of inflammatory mediators produced by ECs

Pre-incubation of EA.hy926 cells with any of the FAs tested prior to TNF- α stimulation did not induce changes in the supernatant levels of monocyte chemoattractant protein (MCP)-1 compared to control (i.e. TNF- α without any FA pre-incubation) (Figure 3(A)).

Pre-incubation with CLA10,12 at 1 μM produced a significant increase ($p < 0.01$) in the supernatant levels of intercellular adhesion molecule (ICAM)-1, compared to control (Figure 3(B)).

Pre-incubation with LA at 1 μM decreased supernatant IL-6 levels, behaving significantly different from CLA10,12, while at 10 μM , CLA10,12 produced a significant increase in IL-6 levels in the supernatant compared to control, LA and CLA9,11 ($p < 0.0001$, $p < 0.001$ and $p < 0.0001$, respectively) (Figure 3(C)).

CLA pre-treatments did not induce significant changes in supernatant IL-8 levels compared to control, although pre-treatment with LA at 1 μM resulted in a significant increase in IL-8 levels in the supernatant compared to control and 10,12CLA (both $p < 0.05$) (Figure 3(D)).

For regulated upon activation, normal T cell expressed and presumably secreted (RANTES), pre-incubation with CLA10,12 at both concentrations induced a significant increase compared to control ($p < 0.0001$ and $p < 0.001$, respectively), behaving differently from LA and CLA9,11 (Figure 3(E)).




Figure 3. Concentrations (% of control) of MCP-1 (A), ICAM-1 (B), IL-6 (C), IL-8 (D) and RANTES (E) in the medium of EA.hy926 cells preincubated for 48 h with DMEM containing 0.1% of ethanol (Control) or FA at 1 or 10 μM, followed by incubation with TNF-α (1 ng/mL) for 24 h. Bars are mean ± SD of 9 samples from 3 experiments. Data were analysed using one-way ANOVA with Tukey's post hoc test. *p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001; ****p<0.0001; where asterisks are shown immediately above a bar they refer to difference from Control and where asterisks are shown above a horizontal line they refer to differences between the two groups indicated by that line. LA = linoleic acid, CLA9,11 = *cis*-9, *trans*-11 linoleic acid, CLA10,12 = *trans*-10, *cis*-12 linoleic acid.

2.4. Effects of FAs on the expression of inflammation-related genes

Pre-incubation with both CLAs at 1 μM induced a significant reduction in the relative expression of NFκB1 gene compared to control (p<0.01), acting differently from LA (p<0.05 and p<0.01, respectively) (Figure 4(A)). When used at 10 μM only CLA9,11 sustained this effect (p<0.01).

None of the FAs used, at either concentration, induced significant changes in the relative gene expression of IκBα, in comparison to control. However, when used at 1 μM, LA behaved significantly different from CLA10,12 (Figure 4(B)).

Pre-incubation with CLA10,12 increased the mRNA levels of IκK-β when used at 1 μM. LA had the opposite effect at both concentrations used, decreasing the expression of IκK-β gene. CLA9,11 had no effect at either concentration (Figure 4(C)).

Pre-incubation with the FAs tested did not induce significant changes in PPAR- α gene expression following TNF- α stimulation, although CLA10,12 tended to increase it, behaving significantly differently than CLA9,11 and LA at 10 μ M (Figure 4(D)).

Both CLAs at 1 and 10 μ M, but particularly CLA9,11, tended to increase the mRNA levels of PTGS2 the gene encoding COX-2, although the effects were not statistically significant (Figure 4(E)).

Pre-incubation with the CLAs did not induce changes in IL-6 gene expression (Figure 4(F)).




Figure 4. Expression of NFκB1 (A), NFκBIA (for IκBα, B), IκBKB (for IκB-β, C), PPAR-α (D), PTGS2 (E) and IL-6 (F) genes in EA.hy926 cells preincubated for 48 h with 1 and 10 μ M of FA in DMEM containing 0.1% of ethanol (Control) followed by incubation with TNF- α (1 ng/mL) for 6 h. Cq values were normalized by the geometric mean of reference targets (RPL13A and CYC1 genes). Bars are mean \pm SD of 9 samples from 3 experiments. Data were analysed using one-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-hoc test. * p <0.05, ** p <0.01; where asterisks are shown immediately above a bar they refer to difference from Control and where asterisks are shown above a horizontal line they refer to differences between the two groups indicated by that line. LA = linoleic acid, CLA9,11 = *cis*-9, *trans*-11 linoleic acid, CLA10,12 = *trans*-10, *cis*-12 linoleic acid.

2.5. Effects of FAs on THP-1 adhesion to EA.hy926 cells

Pre-incubation of EA.hy926 cells with either LA or CLA9,11 at 1 μ M decreased adhesion of THP-1 cells compared to stimulated control cells (p <0.05) (Figure 5(A)), with a more pronounced effect when used at 10 μ M (Figure 5 (B), p <0.0001 and p <0.01, respectively). CLA10,12 had no effect on THP-1 adhesion.




Figure 5. Adhesion of THP-1 cells (% of control) to EA.hy926 cells incubated for 48 h with DMEM containing 0.1% of ethanol (Control) or different concentrations (1 µM (A), 10 µM (B)) of FA, followed by incubation with TNF-α (1 ng/mL) for 24 h and then 1 h co-incubation with THP-1 cells. Bars are mean ±SD of 9 samples from 3 experiments. Data were analysed using one-way ANOVA with Tukey post-hoc test. *p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001; ****p<0.0001; where asterisks are shown immediately above a bar they refer to difference from Control and where asterisks are shown above a horizontal line they refer to differences between the two groups indicated by that line. LA = linoleic acid, CLA9,11 = *cis*-9, *trans*-11 linoleic acid, CLA10,12 = *trans*-10, *cis*-12 linoleic acid.

Images taken under the fluorescence microscope agree with the quantitative results, showing a lower number of THP-1 monocytes (green spots) when ECs were pre-incubated with LA and CLA9,11 (Figure 6(B) and 6(C)).




Figure 6. Images of THP-1 cell adhesion to EA.hy926 cells. Adhesion of THP-1 cells to EA.hy926 cells without pre-incubation with FA (control (A)) or with 48 h prior exposure to 10 µM linoleic acid (B), *cis*-9, *trans*-11 linoleic acid (C), *trans*-10, *cis*-12 linoleic acid (D), followed by incubation with TNF-α (1 ng/mL) for 6 h and then 1 h co-incubation with calcein labelled THP-1 cells. Attached THP-1 cells were visualised by fluorescence microscopy (Nikon Elipse Ti) at a magnification of 100 × under transmitted light.

2.6. Effects of FAs on the expression of ICAM-1 on the surface of EA.hy926 cells

Incubation of EA.hy926 cells with TNF-α significantly up-regulated cell surface ICAM-1 expression [28].

Pre-incubation with CLA9,11 at either 1 or 10 µM produced no change in either % of ECs expressing ICAM-1 or in the levels of ICAM-1 expression per cell (Figure 7), behaving

similar to control. CLA10,12 induced an increase in the % of cells expressing ICAM-1 when used at 1 μ M (Figure 7(A), $p < 0.01$), behaving significantly differently from CLA9,11 and LA at that concentration ($p < 0.001$), while inducing a significant reduction both in % of ECs expressing ICAM-1 and in the levels of ICAM-1 expression per cell when used at 10 μ M (Figure 7(B) and 7(D), $p < 0.05$ and $p < 0.01$, respectively).




Figure 7. Cell surface expression of ICAM-1 as % of EA.hy926 cells gated (Δ change, % of control) (A and B) and as median fluorescence intensity (MFI, % of control) (C and D) after preincubation for 48 h with DMEM containing 0.1% ethanol (Control) or different concentrations (1 μ M and 10 μ M) of FA, followed by incubation with TNF- α (1 ng/mL) for 6 h. Bars are mean \pm SD of 9 samples from 3 experiments. Data were analysed using one-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-hoc test. * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; where asterisks are shown immediately above a bar they refer to difference from Control and where asterisks are shown above a horizontal line they refer to differences between the two groups indicated by that line. LA = linoleic acid, CLA9,11 = *cis*-9, *trans*-11 linoleic acid, CLA10,12 = *trans*-10, *cis*-12 linoleic acid.

3. Discussion

This study examined the effects of CLA9,11 and CLA10,12 at concentrations of 1 and 10 μ M on inflammatory responses of ECs in comparison to their all-*cis*-isomer, linoleic acid, and a control with no additional FA. The two CLA isomers were shown to have different effects on EC responses to an inflammatory stimulant when they were used at these low concentrations. CLA10,12 had a number of pro-inflammatory effects while CLA9,11 had either null or anti-inflammatory effects. CLAs were dissolved in ethanol prior to addition to cultures: the final ethanol concentration in all cultures was 0.1%. This concentration of ethanol had no effect on any of the outcomes reported from this study (data not shown).

The involvement of different *trans* fatty acids, including *trans* vaccenic acid and CLA isomers, in the modulation of inflammatory processes is not fully understood [29, 30]. In the current study, CLA10,12 increased the concentrations of ICAM-1, RANTES and IL-6 in the supernatant of ECs after TNF- α stimulation, suggesting that CLA10,12 increases the production of those inflammatory mediators. CLA9,11 had no effect on any of the inflammatory mediators measured. In terms of gene expression, both CLAs decreased the mRNA appearance of NF κ B1 after TNF- α stimulation when used at 1 μ M, but only

CLA9,11 maintained this downregulation at 10 μ M. This could be considered as an anti-inflammatory effect, if decreased gene expression resulted in less NF κ B protein being produced. Paradoxically, at a concentration of 1 μ M, CLA10,12 also induced increased expression of the gene encoding IkK β , which may lead to the activation NF κ B pathway if it translates into more IkK β protein being produced. The levels of these proteins were not assessed in the current study. CLA10,12 pre-treatment of ECs had no effect on THP-1 adhesion, although it significantly decreased the % of ECs expressing the adhesion molecule ICAM-1 and also levels of ICAM-1 expression per cell when used at 10 μ M. This observation suggests that EC ICAM-1 is not involved in adhesion of monocytes. The observations that CLA10,12 promotes some inflammatory responses but not others suggest that CLA10,12 has a complex modulatory role in the inflammatory response of ECs, probably through different and maybe opposing mechanisms. The lower expression of ICAM-1 on the EC surface after treatment with CLA10,12 may be linked to the higher concentration of (soluble) ICAM-1 observed in the culture medium of those cells. CLA10,12 may act to promote cleavage of ICAM-1 from the cell surface meaning that ICAM-1 is released from the cell surface into the culture medium.

In the case of CLA9,11, some anti-inflammatory effects were observed including decreased NF κ B1 gene expression and decreased adhesion of THP-1 cells to ECs. CLA9,11 did not affect ICAM-1 expression on ECs. Since CLA9,11 decreased adhesion but not ICAM-1 expression, this again indicates that ICAM-1 is not involved in THP-1 binding to ECs. The effect of CLA9,11 on expression of other candidate adhesion molecules such as vascular cell adhesion molecule 1 (VCAM-1) should be explored in future research.

Differential effects of CLA isomers have also been reported by others. In healthy postmenopausal women, supplementation with CLA10,12 caused higher plasma levels of C-reactive protein (CRP), fibrinogen, and plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (PAI-1) and higher concentrations of a urinary marker of lipid peroxidation, compared to CLA9,11 and the olive oil, although, plasma levels of IL-6, sVCAM-1, sICAM-1, MCP-1, and TNF- α were not different between the groups [22]. Other studies have also reported increased CRP after CLA10,12 or a mix of CLAs including CLA10,12, in obese men with metabolic syndrome [23], obese adults [24] or healthy adults [25]. Nevertheless, the evidence for pro-inflammatory effects of CLA10,12 in humans is not consistent. A supplementation study in healthy young adults with CLA9,11 or CLA10,12 for 8 weeks showed that neither of the isomers affected lymphocyte subpopulations, serum concentrations of CRP or *ex vivo* cytokine production by peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) in response to different inflammatory stimuli [31, 32]. Only some differences in blood lipids and in the expression of ICAM-1 on monocytes were observed [31, 32]. In the latter study, there was a suggestion of fewer ICAM-positive monocytes in the blood after CLA10,12, which parallels the effects seen in the current study for CLA10,12 and EC ICAM-1. Ramakers et al. found no effects on *ex vivo* cytokine production by isolated PBMCs or by PBMCs present in whole blood when stimulated with lipopolysaccharide (LPS) from a small sample of moderately overweight subjects at increased risk for coronary heart disease, after daily consumption of 3 g of CLA9,11 or CLA10,12 in an enriched dairy product for 13 weeks [26]. Another study testing a CLA-enriched butter for 5 weeks showed increases in lipid peroxidation but no effects on plasma total-, LDL-, and HDL-cholesterol and triglycerides, or inflammatory and haemostatic risk markers, nor in fasting insulin and glucose concentrations, in healthy young men [33].

In an animal model of ApoE^{-/-} mice, the development of atherosclerotic lesions was reduced by a CLA9,11 supplemented diet, while a CLA10,12 supplemented diet resulted in pro-atherogenic effects [34]. The authors also reported that the mice fed CLA9,11 had stabilized plaques with higher content of smooth muscle cells and collagen than the CLA10,12 fed group. In the animals fed with CLA10,12, the fibrous cap was replaced by an acellular mass with higher macrophage content and activation underneath the plaques. The authors suggested that the two isomers displayed a different capacity to repress or accelerate the progress of atherosclerosis in that animal model [34]. It appears from the

observations that these effects were based around inflammation. In contrast, a recent study in ApoE^{-/-} mice fed with a high fat and high cholesterol diet showed that a 80/20 CLA blend (CLA9,11 and CLA10,12, respectively) limited atherosclerosis progression by promoting an increase in the anti-inflammatory M2 macrophage phenotype [35]. The high proportion of CLA9,11 vs CLA10,12 resembles the ratio in which they are naturally produced.

In C57BL/6J female mice, the administration of CLA10,12 by gavage at a dose of 20 mg/day for 7 days led to the upregulation of TNF- α , MCP-1 and IL-6 gene expression in white adipose tissue (WAT) without affecting their plasma levels, together with macrophage infiltration into WAT, upregulation of SOCS3 and downregulation of PPAR- γ gene expression in WAT [21]. Another study, using diets enriched with a mix of CLA10,12 with linoleic acid (50/50), a mix of CLA10,12 with CLA9,11 (50/50) or linoleic acid alone as a control in young male mice for 6 weeks, showed that the intermediate and higher intakes of CLA10,12 reduced adiposity, increased plasma levels of MCP-1 and IL-6 and increased liver steatosis [20]. Again, these animal studies strongly suggest that CLA10,12 has pro-inflammatory effects, as seen in the current study. Some *in vitro* studies also agree with this conclusion. Goua et al. [14] showed that CLA10,12 and a CLA mix (CLA10,12 and CLA9,11) reduced ICAM-1 and VCAM-1 expression on HUVECs, although only the CLA mix (with CLA9, 11) used at 25 μ M was able to decrease NF κ B activity (by 30%) in both HUVECs and smooth muscle cells treated with TNF- α . Poirier et al. [17] showed that when 3T3-L1 adipocytes were exposed to CLA10,12, there was increased nuclear localization of the p65 subunit of NF κ B, upregulation of IL-6 gene expression and secretion, downregulation of the PPAR- γ gene and protein and upregulation of SOCS3 mRNA levels. Once again, this study suggests pro-inflammatory effects of CLA10,12.

In terms of the mechanism of action of the CLAs, it seems feasible that the NF κ B pathway is involved, together with PPAR- α and - γ . CLA10,12 tended to upregulate PPAR- α gene expression and decreased NF κ B1 gene expression in the current study. It is known that PPAR- α can negatively regulate pro-inflammatory gene expression by antagonizing the activities of other transcription factors, including NF κ B [36]. However, these effects on the NF κ B or PPAR- α pathways seem unlikely to explain how CLA10,12 resulted in increased production of ICAM-1, RANTES and IL-6. CLA9,11 also decreased NF κ B1 gene expression, although this did not link with decreased production of inflammatory cytokines. It might however link to the reduced adhesion of THP-1 monocytes, especially if altered expression of one or more unidentified adhesion molecules was involved in that effect.

FAs can affect inflammatory processes through multiple candidate mechanisms [37, 38]. They can act directly through the PPAR system, since PPARs are cytosolic FA receptors, and this mechanism of action has been reported for some CLAs [39, 40]. As described earlier, PPARs can antagonize NF κ B [36]. However FAs can also influence the signalling pathway that activates NF κ B; this is most well described for omega-3 FAs. Eicosapentaenoic acid and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) are both described to inhibit activation of NF κ B in several cell types including dendritic cells [41, 42], monocytes [43, 44], macrophages [45] and ECs [46], so decreasing its nuclear translocation. Detailed studies with DHA in dendritic cells and macrophages identified that the inhibition of NF κ B activation in response to LPS, which involved decreased phosphorylation of I κ B, stemmed from membrane-mediated events [41, 42, 45]. DHA was shown to prevent the formation of lipid rafts in the plasma membrane in response to LPS stimulation [42, 45], the result of which was an inability to assemble the earliest signaling platforms that ultimately activate I κ BK and NF κ B. This research indicates that actions exerted in the plasma membrane ultimately can have their effects in the nucleus. DHA seems to be quite potent as a raft disrupter because of its unique physical structure that results from its long carbon chain (22 carbons) and high degree of unsaturation (6 double bonds) [47]. Hence, incorporation of DHA into the plasma membrane induces physical changes that influence membrane-mediated responses to cell stimulation. Interestingly some saturated fatty acids seem to have

the opposite effect in macrophages where they act to promote raft formation in response to LPS, so increasing inflammatory responses [42,45]. By definition CLAs have an unusual structural feature: the presence of a conjugated double bond. This may give CLAs membrane modifying properties, with the two CLAs (and LA) having different effects because of the subtle differences in their structures. Subbaiah et al. [48] reported different patterns of incorporation of LA and different CLAs into phospholipids in cultured Chinese hamster ovary cells and HepG2 cells, with significant incorporation of CLAs into lipid rafts. CLA10,12 but not CLA9,11 increased the cholesterol content of lipid rafts. Another study [49] identified that CLA9,11 altered membrane cholesterol distribution and raft formation in colorectal adenocarcinoma HT-29 cells and that this was linked with decreased nuclear translocation of the epidermal growth factor receptor. The authors concluded that CLA9,11 modified membrane structure altering cell signalling, effects associated with lipid raft modification. These studies suggest that biological activities of CLAs might be driven by membrane-mediated actions. Modulation of lipid rafts has been suggested to be one mechanism by which *trans* FAs affect inflammation [50, 51]. Given the findings of the current study (i.e. differential effects of CLA9,11 and CLA10,12 on inflammatory responses in ECs) it seems important to explore the effects of different CLAs on membranes, including lipid rafts, further.

Regarding the limitations of this study, some are inherent with the use of an *in vitro* model to mimic a (patho)physiological process. Even though it is not possible to confidently extrapolate the observations made in this EC model to what happens in the complexity of interactions resulting in a health outcome (atherosclerosis) in humans, *in vitro* models are useful for understanding the mechanisms involved in the biological effects of compounds such as FAs. In this case, HUVECs have been widely used as a model to study the inflammatory response of the endothelium and the effects of FAs. These cells respond to inflammatory stimuli in a very similar way to other human ECs, such as those derived from the aorta and coronary artery [52]. Other limitations of the current work include that proteins of the NF κ B signalling pathway, EC membrane properties and adhesion molecules other than ICAM-1 were not measured.

On the other hand, the study has several strengths. One of these is the consistent checking of FA concentrations in the culture medium before performing every set of experiments. This is rarely done, but is important when PUFAs, especially those with conjugated double bonds, are used because they can be unstable and prone to oxidation. Consistently checking the concentration gave confidence that the desired FA concentrations were used. Other strengths include the use of low (physiological) concentrations of FAs. FAs were added to the culture medium as non-esterified fatty acids (NEFAs). In healthy humans the fasting plasma or serum NEFA concentration is typically about 600 μ M. Burdge et al. [53] reported the contribution of CLA9,11 and CLA10,12 to the plasma NEFA pool in healthy young men prior to and after using supplements of CLA9,11 and CLA10,12. They showed that CLA9,11 contributes on average 0.35% of FAs in the fasting NEFA pool. This would be an approximate concentration of 2 μ M. After supplementation with 2.4 g CLA9,11 daily for 8 weeks the contribution increased to 0.69% which would be an approximate concentration of 4 μ M. Hence the concentrations of 1 and 10 μ M CLA9,11 used in the current study are relevant to the human setting. CLA10,12 contributed 0.03% and 0.28% of NEFAs before and after CLA10,12 supplementation, respectively [53]. Those contributions would be approximate concentrations of 0.2 and 1.7 μ M, respectively, so again the concentrations of CLA10,12 used in the current study have some relevance to the human setting. Most other *in vitro* studies of CLAs use much higher concentrations than used in the current study (e.g. 12.5, 25 and 50 μ M in [14]; 50 μ M in [17]; 100 μ M in [15]), these concentrations having less relevance to the human situation. Thus our findings are an important contribution to the literature since we used more physiological concentrations of CLAs. It is important to note that CLAs also circulate in the bloodstream in esterified form such as in triglycerides, phospholipids and cholesteryl esters [53]; thus the total plasma or serum concentration of CLAs, especially CLA9,11, is much higher than the concentrations

used in the current study. The current study used the MTT test to allow the identification of FA concentrations that were able to induce loss of viability of the ECs being used. Finally, incorporation of both CLAs being used into the ECs was confirmed; this incorporation was concentration dependent for both CLAs.

In conclusion, the results of the current study suggest differential effects of CLA9,11 and CLA10,12 on inflammatory responses of ECs when the fatty acids are used at physiologically-relevant concentrations. CLA10,12 showed several pro-inflammatory effects but also displayed some effects that could be interpreted as anti-inflammatory. On the other hand, CLA9,11 had null or anti-inflammatory effects. These findings suggest a complex modulatory role of CLAs in regulating EC inflammation. The exact signalling pathways affected by these two CLA isomers need further exploration.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Endothelial Cell Model

EA.hy926 cells (American Type Culture Collection, LGC Standards, Teddington, UK) were cultured in high glucose Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 1% L-glutamine-penicillin-streptomycin solution, and 1% HAT (100 μ M hypoxanthine, 0.4 μ M aminopterin and 16 μ M thymidine); medium and supplements were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Gillingham, UK). Cultures were maintained at 37 °C in humidified 95% air and 5% CO₂. Before their use in experiments, cells were grown in T-175 flasks (Corning TM, Corning, NY) until confluent.

4.2. Fatty Acid (FA) Treatment

Cis-9, *trans*-11 linoleic acid (CLA9,11), *trans*-10, *cis*-12 linoleic acid (CLA10,12), and linoleic acid (all from Cayman Chemicals, Cambridge, UK) were prepared as 1, 10, and 50 mM stock solutions in 100% ethanol. Before each experiment, the stock solutions were diluted in warm complete culture medium to yield final concentrations of 1, 10, and 50 μ M. The corresponding control was a 0.1% ethanol solution diluted in complete medium. For the experiments, EA.hy926 cells were seeded in 96-well plates (for MTT assay, ELISA and adhesion assay), 6-well plates (for RT-PCR, flow cytometry), or T25 flasks (for gas chromatography), cultured in complete medium and exposed to different FAs for 48 h. Based on the conditions optimised for studying inflammatory responses of cultured EA.hy926 cells (Figure 8), after the FA exposure period, cells were incubated with TNF- α (1 ng/mL; 20 units/mL) for 6 or 24 h, depending on the assay to be performed.




Figure 8. Effect of stimulation of EA.hy926 cells with different concentrations of TNF- α on concentrations of interleukin-8 (IL-8; A) and monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1; B) in the culture medium. EA.hy926 cells were cultured with different concentrations of TNF- α (0.1 to 100 ng/mL) for different times (2, 4, 6, 12, 24, 48 h). Concentrations of IL-8 and MCP-1 were measured by ELISA (see section 4.5). Bars are mean \pm SD of 3 replicates.

4.3. MTT Assay for Cell Viability

Cell viability was assessed as metabolic integrity using the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay which measures cellular mitochondrial activity. After the treatments, supernatant was removed and replaced with DMEM containing 0.05 mg/mL MTT (Sigma-Aldrich) (100 μ L/well) and samples incubated at 37 °C for 4 h. Supernatants (75 μ L) were removed and 75 μ L of dimethylsulphoxide (Sigma-Aldrich) added. Absorbance was measured at 540 nm on a plate reader. The effects of FAs and TNF- α on cell viability were normalized to control (i.e., no FA or TNF- α , 0.1% ethanol) cultures (100%).

4.4. Gas Chromatography

The FA concentrations and the FA composition of EA.hy926 cells after culture with the FAs of interest were determined using gas chromatography. For FA concentration testing, each FA was diluted in full warm medium from the respective stock in 100% ethanol. For FA incorporation, cells were seeded in T25 flasks (Corning TM, Corning, NY) (5×10^5 cells/mL) and incubated for 48 h with each FA at different concentrations. Afterwards, the cells were inspected under the microscope, scraped off, and counted with a Beckman Coulter cell counter. EA.hy926 cells were resuspended to have 1×10^6 cells/800 μ L of 0.9% NaCl solution.

Total lipid was extracted from resuspended cell pellets and culture medium, after adding an internal standard (C21:0), using chloroform/methanol (2:1 *vol/vol*) and NaCl (1 M). Lipid extracts were dried under nitrogen at 40 °C and then resuspended in toluene. FAs were released from the isolated lipids and simultaneously methylated by heating with 2% sulphuric acid in methanol at 50 °C for 2 h. The resulting FA methyl esters (FAMES) were extracted into hexane and then separated and analysed by gas chromatography using conditions described by Fisk et al. [54]. FAME histograms produced were analysed with Agilent ChemStation software. Thirty-seven FAMES were used as standard to identify FAs according to retention time and for software calibration. FAs are expressed as μ g/ 10^6 cells.

4.5. Multiplex Magnetic ELISA

Cell culture supernatants were assayed by Human Magnetic Luminex Screening Assay ELISA (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA) to measure the concentration of inflammatory factors monocyte chemoattractant protein (MCP)-1, interleukin (IL)-6, IL-8, regulated upon activation, normal T cell expressed and presumably secreted (RANTES), and intercellular adhesion molecule (ICAM)-1. EA.hy926 cells were incubated with the FAs in 96-well flat-bottomed plates (Corning TM, Corning, NY) (1×10^4 cells/100 μ L per well) for 48 h and then incubated with TNF- α for a further 24 h. Before the supernatants of each well were collected and stored at -80 °C until analysis, the cells were checked under the microscope. Assays were conducted in accordance with the instructions from the manufacturer. Plates were analysed on a calibrated Bio-Plex 200 analyser using Bio-Plex software (version 6.1, Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., Berkeley, CA). Lower limits of detection (pg/mL) were IL-6, 1.7; IL-8, 1.8; MCP-1, 9.9; RANTES, 1.8; ICAM-1, 87.9. Due to differences in the ranges of fluorescence values among experiments, the results are presented as % of control.

4.6. RNA Isolation, cDNA Synthesis, and Real-Time PCR

Changes in relative gene expression were analysed by RT-PCR. EA.hy926 cells were cultured in 6-well plates (Corning TM, Corning, NY) (cell density of 6×10^5 cells/mL) with FAs for 48 h followed by incubation with TNF- α (1 ng/mL) for 6 h. Taqman Gene Expression Primers (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) were used to determine the expression of nuclear factor kappa B subunit 1 (NF κ B1) (Hs00765730_m1), inhibitor subunit of kappa B, alpha (I κ B α) (Hs00355671_g1), inhibitor of nuclear factor kappa B kinase

subunit beta (IkK β) (Hs00233287_m1), cyclooxygenase (COX)-2 (Hs00153133_m1), and IL-6 (Hs00985639_m1). Total RNA was extracted from the cells using the ReliaPrep RNA cell Miniprep System (Promega, Southampton, UK). RNA quantity and quality were analysed by NanoDrop. Analysis of RNA using an Agilent Bioanalyzer (RNA Total Eukaryote 2100 Nano) was performed to determine RNA integrity through RIN scores. cDNA was synthesised from total RNA using GoScript Reverse Transcriptase (Promega). Housekeeping reference genes were determined using a geNorm Kit (Primerdesign, Camberley, UK). Quantification of relative gene expression was analysed using YWHAZ, (Hs01122445_g1), CYC1 (Hs00357717_m1), and RPL13A (Hs04194366_g1) as housekeeping genes.

4.7. THP-1 Monocyte Adhesion Assay

The adhesion of THP-1 monocytes to EA.hy926 cells was determined using the Vybrant Cell Adhesion Assay Kit (ThermoFisher Scientific). EA.hy926 cells were seeded in 96-well flat-bottomed plates (Corning TM, Corning, NY) (density of 2×10^5 cells/mL, 1×10^5 cells per well). After incubation with FAs for 48 h and then with TNF- α for 6 h, calcein-labelled THP-1 cells (5×10^4 cells in 100 μ L) were incubated with EA.hy926 cells for 1 h at 37 °C. The co-cultures were carried out in high glucose DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% L-glutamine-penicillin-streptomycin solution. Non-adherent THP-1 cells were removed by gentle washing, 100 μ L PBS added to each well and co-cultures read on the Glomax Discover System (Promega). THP-1 monocyte adhesion was measured as a percentage of control (cells incubated with TNF- α , but without prior incubation with FAs, and then with calcein-labelled THP-1 cells). Images of fluorescence-labelled THP-1 monocytes bound to EA.hy926 cells were taken with a Nikon Elipse Ti using NIS elements software (version 4.30).

4.8. Flow Cytometry

The expression of ICAM-1 (CD54) on the surface of EA.hy926 cells was determined through flow cytometry. EA.hy926 cells were seeded in 6-well plates (Corning TM, Corning, NY) (density of 6×10^5 cells/mL). After incubation with FAs for 48 h and then with TNF- α for 6 h, the cells were detached, centrifuged, and stained with PE-CyTM5-conjugated monoclonal anti-human CD54 (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA) diluted in staining solution (2% bovine serum albumin in PBS) for 30 min at 4 °C in darkness. Mouse IgG1 κ (PE-CyTM5) isotype was used as a negative control. After staining, cells were analysed by flow cytometry using a FACSCalibur flow cytometer (BD Biosciences). A total of 10,000 events were collected. Percentage of positive cells and median fluorescence intensity (MFI) were measured.

4.9. Data Analysis

Data are presented as mean \pm SD and were analysed by two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) or one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's post hoc test for pairwise differences. Analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 6.0. Differences were considered significant when $p < 0.05$.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, C.A.V. and P.C.C.; methodology, C.A.V. and E.J.B.; formal analysis, C.A.V.; investigation, C.A.V. and E.J.B.; writing—original draft preparation, C.A.V.; writing—review and editing, P.C.C. and E.A.M.; supervision, E.A.M. and P.C.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Data Availability Statement: Data can be made available by contacting the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments: C.A.V. was supported by CONICYT (Comisión Nacional de Investigación Científica y Tecnológica, Gobierno de Chile) through its scholarship program Becas Chile. E.J.B. was supported by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council under the Food Security Doctoral Training Programme and by the Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton.

Conflicts of Interest: P.C.C. acts as a consultant to BASF AS, Smartfish, DSM, Cargill, Danone/Nutricia, and Fresenius-Kabi. All other authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- Ganguly, R. and G.N. Pierce, *The toxicity of dietary trans fats*. Food Chem Toxicol, 2015. **78**: p. 170-176.
- Kuhnt, K., C. Degen, and G. Jahreis, *Evaluation of the impact of ruminant trans fatty acids on human health: important aspects to consider*. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr, 2016. **56**: p. 1964-1980.
- Wallace, R.J., et al., *Isomers of conjugated linoleic acids are synthesized via different mechanisms in ruminal digesta and bacteria*. J Lipid Res, 2007. **48**: p. 2247-2254.
- Mosley, E.E., et al., *Cis-9, trans-11 conjugated linoleic acid is synthesized from vaccenic acid in lactating women*. J Nutr, 2006. **136**: p. 2297-2301.
- Turpeinen, A.M., et al., *Bioconversion of vaccenic acid to conjugated linoleic acid in humans*. Am J Clin Nutr, 2002. **76**: p. 504-510.
- Ha, Y.L., N.K. Grimm, and M.W. Pariza, *Anticarcinogens from fried ground beef: heat-altered derivatives of linoleic acid*. Carcinogenesis, 1987. **8**: p. 1881-1887.
- Ip, C., J.A. Scimeca, and H.J. Thompson, *Conjugated linoleic acid. A powerful anticarcinogen from animal fat sources*. Cancer, 1994. **74**(3 Suppl): p. 1050-1054.
- Lee, K.N., D. Kritchevsky, and M.W. Pariza, *Conjugated linoleic acid and atherosclerosis in rabbits*. Atherosclerosis, 1994. **108**: p. 19-25.
- Yu, Y., P.H. Correll, and J.P. Vanden Heuvel, *Conjugated linoleic acid decreases production of pro-inflammatory products in macrophages: evidence for a PPAR γ -dependent mechanism*. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Mol Cell Biol Lipids, 2002. **1581**: p. 89-99.
- Park, Y., et al., *Evidence that the trans-10,cis-12 isomer of conjugated linoleic acid induces body composition changes in mice*. Lipids, 1999. **34**: p. 235-241.
- Tricon, S., et al., *The effects of conjugated linoleic acid on human health-related outcomes*. Proc Nutr Soc, 2005. **64**: p. 171-182.
- Jebari-Benslaiman, S. et al., *The pathophysiology of atherosclerosis*. Int J Mol Sci, 2022. **23**: p. 3346.
- WHO, *Global Health Estimates 2019: Deaths by Cause, Age, Sex, by Country and by Region, 2000-2019*. Geneva, World Health Organization. 2020.
- Ross, R., *Atherosclerosis — An inflammatory disease*. N Engl J Med, 1999. **340**: p. 115-126.
- Viola, J. and O. Soehnlein, *Atherosclerosis — A matter of unresolved inflammation*. Semin Immunol, 2015. **27**: p. 184-193.
- Hansson, G.K., *Inflammation, atherosclerosis, and coronary artery disease*. N Engl J Med, 2005. **352**: p. 1685-1695.
- Sneddon, A.A., et al., *Cytokine-induced monocyte adhesion to endothelial cells involves platelet-activating factor: suppression by conjugated linoleic acid*. Biochim Biophys Acta, 2006. **1761**: p. 793-801.
- Goua, M., et al., *Regulation of adhesion molecule expression in human endothelial and smooth muscle cells by omega-3 fatty acids and conjugated linoleic acids: involvement of the transcription factor NF-kappaB?* Prostaglandins Leukot Essent Fatty Acids, 2008. **78**: p. 33-43.
- Stachowska, E., et al., *Conjugated linoleic acid isomers may diminish human macrophages adhesion to endothelial surface*. Int J Food Sci Nutr, 2012. **63**: p. 30-35.
- Shen, W., et al., *Conjugated linoleic acid reduces adiposity and increases markers of browning and inflammation in white adipose tissue of mice*. J Lipid Res, 2013. **54**: p. 909-922.
- Poirier, H., et al., *Nutritional supplementation with trans-10, cis-12-conjugated linoleic acid induces inflammation of white adipose tissue*. Diabetes, 2006. **55**: p. 1634-1641.
- Tholstrup, T., et al., *An oil mixture with trans-10, cis-12 conjugated linoleic acid increases markers of inflammation and in vivo lipid peroxidation compared with cis-9, trans-11 conjugated linoleic acid in postmenopausal women*. J Nutr, 2008. **138**: p. 1445-1451.

23. Riserus, U., et al., *Supplementation with conjugated linoleic acid causes isomer-dependent oxidative stress and elevated C-reactive protein: a potential link to fatty acid-induced insulin resistance*. *Circulation*, 2002. **106**: p. 1925-1929. 576
577
24. Steck, S.E., et al., *Conjugated linoleic acid supplementation for twelve weeks increases lean body mass in obese humans*. *J Nutr*, 2007. **137**: p. 1188-1193. 578
579
25. Smedman, A., et al., *Conjugated linoleic acid increased C-reactive protein in human subjects*. *Br J Nutr*, 2005. **94**: p. 791-795. 580
26. Ramakers, J.D., et al., *Effects of the individual isomers cis-9,trans-11 vs. trans-10,cis-12 of conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) on inflammation parameters in moderately overweight subjects with LDL-phenotype B*. *Lipids*, 2005. **40**: p. 909-918. 581
582
27. Tricon, S., et al., *Effects of dairy products naturally enriched with cis-9,trans-11 conjugated linoleic acid on the blood lipid profile in healthy middle-aged men*. *Am J Clin Nutr*, 2006. **83**: p. 744-753. 583
584
28. Valenzuela, C.A., et al., *Differential effects of ruminant and industrial 18-carbon trans-monounsaturated fatty acids (trans vaccenic and elaidic) on the inflammatory responses of an endothelial cell line*. *Molecules*, 2021. **26**: 5834. 585
586
29. Gebauer, S.K., et al., *Effects of ruminant trans fatty acids on cardiovascular disease and cancer: a comprehensive review of epidemiological, clinical, and mechanistic studies*. *Adv Nutr*, 2011. **2**: p. 332-354. 587
588
30. Viladomiu, M., R. Hontecillas, and J. Bassaganya-Riera, *Modulation of inflammation and immunity by dietary conjugated linoleic acid*. *Eur J Pharmacol*, 2015. **785**: p. 87-95. 589
590
31. Tricon, S., et al., *Opposing effects of cis-9,trans-11 and trans-10,cis-12 conjugated linoleic acid on blood lipids in healthy humans*. *Am J Clin Nutr*, 2004. **80**: p. 614-620. 591
592
32. Tricon, S., et al., *Effects of cis-9,trans-11 and trans-10,cis-12 conjugated linoleic acid on immune cell function in healthy humans*. *Am J Clin Nutr*, 2004. **80**: p. 1626-1633. 593
594
33. Raff, M., et al., *A diet rich in conjugated linoleic acid and butter increases lipid peroxidation but does not affect atherosclerotic, inflammatory, or diabetic risk markers in healthy young men*. *J Nutr*, 2008. **138**: p. 509-514. 595
596
34. Arbones-Mainar, J.M., et al., *Selective effect of conjugated linoleic acid isomers on atherosclerotic lesion development in apolipoprotein E knockout mice*. *Atherosclerosis*, 2006. **189**: p. 318-327. 597
598
35. Bruen, R., et al., *Different monocyte phenotypes result in proresolving macrophages in conjugated linoleic acid-induced attenuated progression and regression of atherosclerosis*. *FASEB J*, 2019. **33**: p. 11006-11020. 599
600
36. Pontis, S., et al., *Macrophage-derived lipid agonists of PPAR- α as intrinsic controllers of inflammation*. *Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol*, 2016. **51**: p. 7-14. 601
602
37. Calder, P.C., *Functional roles of fatty acids and their effects on human health*. *JPEN J Parent Ent Nutr*, 2015. **39 (1 Suppl)**: p. 18S-32S. 603
38. Calder, P.C., *n-3 PUFA and inflammation: from membrane to nucleus and from bench to bedside*. *Proc Nutr Soc*, 2020. **79**: p. 404-416. 604
39. Evans, N.P., et al., *Conjugated linoleic acid ameliorates inflammation-induced colorectal cancer in mice through activation of PPARgamma*. *J Nutr*, 2010. **140**: p. 515-521. 605
606
40. Reynolds, C.M., et al., *A conjugated linoleic acid-enriched beef diet attenuates lipopolysaccharide-induced inflammation in mice in part through PPARgamma-mediated suppression of toll like receptor 4*. *J Nutr*, 2009. **139**: p. 2351-2357. 607
608
41. Weatherill, A.R., et al., *Saturated and polyunsaturated fatty acids reciprocally modulate dendritic cell functions mediated through TLR4*. *J Immunol*, 2005. **174**: p. 5390-5397. 609
610
42. Wong, S.W., et al., *Fatty acids modulate Toll-like receptor 4 activation through regulation of receptor dimerization and recruitment into lipid rafts in a reactive oxygen species-dependent manner*. *J Biol Chem*, 2009. **284**: p. 27384-27392. 611
612
43. Babcock, T.A., et al., *Modulation of lipopolysaccharide-stimulated macrophage tumor necrosis factor- α production by ω -3 fatty acid is associated with differential cyclooxygenase-2 protein expression and is independent of interleukin-10*. *J Surg Res*, 2002. **107**: p. 135-139. 613
614
44. Novak, T.E., et al., *NF-kappa B inhibition by omega-3 fatty acids modulates LPS-stimulated macrophage TNF-alpha transcription*. *Am J Physiol*, 2003. **284**: L84-L89. 615
616

-
45. Lee, J.Y., et al., *Saturated fatty acids, but not unsaturated fatty acids, induce the expression of cyclooxygenase-2 through Toll-like receptor*. J Biol Chem, 2001. **276**: 16683-16689. 617 618
46. Baker, E.J., et al., *Comparative anti-inflammatory effects of plant- and marine-derived omega-3 fatty acids explored in an endothelial cell line*. Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Cell Biol Lipids, 2020. **1865**: p. 158662. 619 620
47. Calder, P.C., *Docosahexaenoic acid*. Ann Nutr Metab, 2016. **69 (suppl. 1)**: p. 8-21. 621
48. Subbaiah, P.V., Gould, I.G., Lal, S., Aizezi, B., *Incorporation profiles of conjugated linoleic acid isomers in cell membranes and their positional distribution in phospholipids*. Biochim Biophys Acta, 2011. **1811**: p. 17-24. 622 623
49. Grądzka, I., et al., *Cis-9,trans-11-conjugated linoleic acid affects lipid raft composition and sensitizes human colorectal adenocarcinoma HT-29 cells to X-radiation*. Biochim Biophys Acta, 2013. **1830**: p. 2233-2242. 624 625
50. Pan, Y., et al., *Lipid rafts promote trans fatty acid-induced inflammation in human umbilical vein endothelial cells*. Lipids, 2017. **52**: p. 27-35. 626 627
51. Li, J., et al., *Linolelaidic acid induces apoptosis, cell cycle arrest and inflammation stronger than elaidic acid in human umbilical vein endothelial cells through lipid rafts*. Eur J Lipid Sci Technol, 2017. **119**: p. 1600374. 628 629
52. Baker, E.J., et al., *Omega-3 fatty acids and leukocyte-endothelium adhesion: Novel anti-atherosclerotic actions*. Mol Aspects Med, 2018. **64**: p. 169-181. 630 631
53. Burdge, G.C., et al., *Incorporation of cis-9,trans-11 or trans-10,cis-12 conjugated linoleic acid into plasma and cellular lipids in healthy men*. J Lipid Res, 2004. **45**: p. 736-741. 632 633
54. Fisk, H.L., et al., *The use of gas chromatography to analyze compositional changes of fatty acids in rat liver tissue during pregnancy*. J Vis Exp, 2014. **85**: e51445. 634 635 636