

Estimating the incidence and key risk factors of cardiovascular disease in patients at high risk of imminent fracture using routinely collected real-world data from the UK

Authors:

Marta Pineda-Moncusí¹ (0000-0003-0567-0137) (MSc, PhD)*, Leena El-Hussein¹ (0000-0001-7908-1912) (MSc)*, Antonella Delmestri¹ (0000-0003-0388-3403) (PhD), Cyrus Cooper^{1,2} (0000-0003-3510-0709) (MD, PhD, Professor), Moayyeri Alireza³ (0000-0002-9143-2161) (MD, PhD), Cesar Libanati³ (0000-0003-1427-7839) (MD), Emese Toth³ () (MSc, PhD), Daniel Prieto-Alhambra² 1,2,4,5</sup> (0000-0002-3950-6346) (MD, PhD, Professor), Sara Khalid¹ (0000-0002-2845-5731) (MSc, PhD)

*Joint first authors, \square Corresponding author

Affiliations

Accepted Articl

1. Centre for Statistics in Medicine, Nuffield Department of Orthopaedics, Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences, Nuffield Orthopaedic Centre, University of Oxford, UK.

2. MRC Lifecourse Epidemiology Unit, University of Southampton, Southampton General Hospital, Southampton

3. UCB Biopharma Sprl, Brussels, Belgium

4. Fundació Institut Universitari per a la recerca a l'Atenció Primària de Salut Jordi Gol i Gorina (IDIAPJ Gol), CIBERFES, Barcelona, Spain.

5. Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Bellaterra (Cerdanyola del Vallès), Spain.

Running Title: MACE in imminent-fracture-risk patients

Corresponding author

Daniel Prieto-Alhambra

Botnar Research Centre, Old Road, Headington, Oxford OX3 7LD

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1002/jbmr.4648

daniel.prietoalhambra@ndorms.ox.ac.uk

Word count: 2620

Abstract

The objective of this work was to estimate the incidence rate of cardiovascular disease (CVD) events (myocardial infarction, stroke or CVD-death) at 1 year among 3 cohorts of patients at high risk of fracture (osteoporosis; previous fracture; and antiosteoporosis medication), and to identify the key risk factors of CVD events in these three cohorts. To do so, this prospective cohort study used data from the Clinical Practice Research Datalink, a primary care database from United Kingdom. Major Adverse Cardiovascular Events (MACE: a composite outcome for the occurrence of either myocardial infarction (MI), stroke or CVD death) were identified in patients aged fifty or over at high or imminent fracture risk identified in three different cohorts (not mutually exclusive): recently diagnosed with osteoporosis (OST, n=65,295), incident fragility fracture (IFX, n=67,065), and starting oral bisphosphonates (OBP, n=145,959). About 1.90%, 4.39% and 2.38% of the participants in OST, IFX and OBP cohorts, respectively, experienced MACE events. IFX was the cohort with the higher risk: MACE incidence rates (cases/1000 person-years) were 19.63 (18.54;20.73) in OST, 52.64 (50.7,54.5) in IFX, and 26.26 (25.41;27.12) in OBP cohorts. Risk of MACE events at 1-year was predicted in the 3 cohorts. Models using a set of general, CVD, and fracture candidates selected by lasso regression had a good discrimination (≥70%) and internal validity, and generally outperformed the models using only the CVD risk factors of general population listed in QRISK tool. Main risk factors common in all MACE models were sex, age, smoking, alcohol, atrial fibrillation, antihypertensive medication, prior MI/stroke, established CVD, glomerular filtration rate, systolic blood pressure, cholesterol levels, and number of concomitant medicines.

Identified key risk factors highlight the differences of patients at high risk of fracture versus general population. Proposed models could improve prediction of CVD events in patients with osteoporosis in primary care settings.

Keywords: Osteoporosis, MACE, Cardiovascular risk assessment, Incidence rates, Prognostic model

Introduction

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) and osteoporosis are both worldwide leading causes of morbidity and mortality¹² and their prevalence increases with age.³⁴ Several prediction tools have been developed for cardiovascular events (heart attacks or stroke), including the Framingham Heart Study,⁵⁻⁷ CHADS2 tool,⁸⁻¹⁰ and QRISK tool.¹¹ ¹² These tools have been developed in general, usually younger, populations and have not been validated in patients with osteoporosis.

Identification of CVD risk factors is particularly challenging for patients with osteoporosis since the association between fracture risk or anti-osteoporosis treatment and cardiovascular events remains unclear.¹³ Some established risk factors for osteoporosis and fractures¹⁴ such as female gender and low weight have been found to be protective against CVD. Conversely, some other risk factors including age, low bone mineral density,¹⁵ prior fracture, obesity¹⁶⁻¹⁸ or type 2 diabetes¹⁹ are associated with an increased risk of CVD. Patients with a history of CVD have been shown to be at increased risk of osteoporotic fractures,²⁰ while higher risk of stroke and coronary artery disease is observed among patients with osteoporotic fracture or low bone mineral density.²¹

The effects of anti-osteoporosis medications on CVD risk is inconclusive: despite no evidence from clinical trials that oral bisphosphonates (BP) have an impact on cardiovascular risk,^{22,23} some publications suggest a protective effect.²⁴ Meanwhile, the European Medicines Agency (EMA) has advised contraindications to patients with a history of prior myocardial infarction (MI) or stroke regarding romosozumab, the most recent medication option for osteoporosis²⁵, in addition to the pre-existing restrictions to menopausal hormone therapy²⁶ and strontium ranelate²⁷. This variable impact of anti-osteoporotic medication on CVD risk highlights the clinical utility of identifying patients who are being considered for osteoporosis treatment and might be at elevated risk of CVD.

To address this issue, our overarching aim was to assess the absolute risk of CVD experienced by elderly patients at higher fracture risk in the UK, as well as to identify key CVD risk factors (both generic and specific ones) for these patients. We estimate incidence rates of major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) among cohorts newly diagnosed with osteoporosis, first recorded fracture, and oral BP therapy initiators obtained from the UK general population; and developed and internally validated models that predict 1-year MACE in these cohorts of high-risk patients. Additionally, a secondary analysis of 2-year MACE and a sensitivity analysis of MI/stroke prediction is reported.

Methods

Data Source

Data for this study were obtained from the Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD) GOLD, which contains anonymised electronic primary care records for the UK (www.cprd.com/primarycare). In addition to demographic information, the data

Accepted Artic

included medication prescriptions, clinical events, tests, referrals, and hospital admissions along with their major outcomes in a sample of >16 million patients (including deceased and transferred out; 2.3 million are current patients, covering approximately 3.6% of UK population).^{28 29} For this study, an extract from January 1, 1995 to January 31, 2017 was used. We used CPRD GOLD data linked to the Hospital Episode Statistics (HES) Admitted Patient Care, which contains clinical diagnoses during hospital admissions in England, to the Office for National Statistics (ONS) mortality records, and to the Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD) dataset. We reconciled CPRD GOLD and ONS mortality dates of death following published guidelines.³⁰

Participants

The study population included patients at high or imminent fracture risk as identified from literature, divided into three cohorts:

1. Patients with an incident diagnosis of osteoporosis (read or ICD-10 codes). We refer to this group as the osteoporosis cohort (OST).

2. Patients with a first incident fracture at an osteoporotic site (all except face, skull and digits), diagnosed either through read codes or ICD-10 codes. This cohort is referred to as the imminent fracture risk cohort (IFX).

3. Incident users of oral bisphosphonates (BP) without BP use in the prior year, referred to as the oral BP treatment cohort (OBP).

Index date was defined as time of recorded incident diagnosis, first incident fracture, and incident use of BP, for the OST, IFX, and OBP cohorts, respectively. Participants were followed from index date up to a maximum of two years. We

censored participants at the earliest of first: study outcome, death, transfer out of practice, or the end of follow-up period. Included patients were at least 50 years old and had at least one year of data available prior to index date. Participants could potentially be present in more than one of the cohorts above, with different index dates. For OBP cohort, subjects with use of any anti-osteoporotic drug (except calcium and vitamin D supplements) in the previous year were excluded.

In the IFX cohort, high risk of imminent fracture was defined following *Kanis JA, et al. (2018)* designation: imminent risk period is the following 2 years period after a fracture.³¹

Candidate Risk Factors

The overall set of variables considered for inclusion in the prediction model contained risk factors from the QRISK model,¹² as well as additional risk factors identified in the literature as being potentially associated with CVD.^{15-17 20 21 32-40} These included socio-demographic and lifestyle factors, laboratory measurements, medications, and co-morbidities (Table 1).

Outcomes

The main outcome of the study was one-year occurrence of MACE:

MACE: a composite outcome of the first occurrence of either stroke, MI or death due to CVD (recorded as the primary cause of death in ONS).

Additionally, secondary analysis of two-year occurrence of MACE and sensitivity analysis excluding death (MI/stroke) at one- and two-year was reported in supplementary data:

MI/stroke: a composite outcome of the first occurrence of either stroke or MI.

Statistical analysis

Baseline characteristics of all three cohorts were described.

Estimation of incidence rates

Incidence rates (IR) and their 95% confidence interval of each outcome at one and two years after index date were calculated (cases/1000 person-years) through ERIC Notebook person-time methodology.⁴¹

Construction of the prediction models

Performance of QRISK variables to estimate the one-year risk of MACE was assessed (QRISK variables are listed in Table S1). Finally, all the available candidate risk factors described in the above were combined into a prediction model (henceforth referred to as "ALL"). Lasso regression selected the key risk factors which were then entered into a final logistic regression equation. Model performance was evaluated for this final equation and model coefficients and intercept terms reported. Missing data was handled using multiple imputation and combined using Rubin's rules as required.⁴² Figure S1 in the supplements describes the steps used to build the final model. Further explanations of the prediction model development are described in *supplementary material A*.

The same steps were repeated for two-year MACE (secondary analysis), for one- and two-year MI/stroke outcomes (sensitivity analysis), and for gender-based models, included in the *supplementary material A*.

Models Performance

We assessed the models internally using the validation datasets. Discrimination was evaluated by calculating the area under the curve (AUC). The AUC was produced for all 20 validation datasets then pooled using Rubin's rules. Calibration was

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

assessed by producing calibration plots of observed versus predicted probabilities, in tenths of predicted risk. Calibration plots were also produced for 10-year age groups and gender.

All statistical analyses took place in R version 3.6.0, including MICE, glmnet, rpart, gbm, caret, flextable, pROC and officer packages.

Patient and public involvement

Used data was previously collected and all participant records were linkedanonymised. Hence, no patients or members of the public were directly implicated in the design or analysis of the reported data.

Results

A total of 65,295, 67,065 and 145,959 participants were included in the OST, IFX and OBP cohorts, respectively (Fig 1). Most of the cohorts were populated by women (OST: 86.80%, IFX: 76.7%, OBP: 79.8%). The IFX cohort had the older population (mean age (years [standard deviation]: 79.52 [11.01]), followed by the OBP (74.35 [10.88]) and OST (73.05 [10.67]). Baseline characteristics of each cohort are shown in Table 1. Baseline characteristics stratified by outcome for the development and validation datasets are provided in the supplements (Table S2a-c).

At one-year follow-up, MACE IR (95% CI, in units of cases/1000 person-years) was 19.6 (18.5, 20.7) in OST, 26.3 (25.4, 27.1) in OBP, and 52.6 (50.7,54.5) in the IFX cohort (Fig 2). IFX cohort had the highest incidences, also when stratified by age groups (Figure S2). Those who experienced MACE were older in general (higher proportion aged >75) with higher comorbidity (Charlson score), and higher prevalence of drug use (e.g., anti-hypertensives and beta-blockers).

Figure S3 display the IRs of two-year MACE, one- and two-year stroke/MI, and MACE and stroke/MI outcomes stratified by gender. Overall, despite the considerably lower proportion of males in this study, they suffered higher incidence rates of both outcomes in the one and two-year follow-up periods.

Development and performance of the prediction model

Predictive models using risk factors identified from lasso reach AUC values above 70% for the three models, and these equated or outperformed the models using QRISK factors (Fig S4). MACE models for OST and OBP populations had higher discrimination values compared to the stroke/MI models (Fig S5), while AUC values in IFX model were better for the stroke/MI outcomes. Differences between one and twoyear prediction models were minimal with no apparent pattern, however, genderbased models (Fig S5b-c) shows lower AUC values for men-only models. It could be related to the considerably lower sample size of those cohorts.

Figures S6 and S7 reports one-year MACE calibration plots by age, and by age and gender, respectively. Figures S8-10 presents the calibration plots of two-year MACE, and MI/stroke models. Generally, models were well-calibrated, with an overpredicting risk for the population <60 years old and under-predicting for those >80, probably caused by the lower proportion of participants belonging to either category.

Selected risk factors for patients at high risk of fracture

Table 2 displays the risk factors selected from lasso for the overall models of one-year MACE along with their odd ratios (OR) and confidence intervals, and table S3 lists its beta coefficients. Gender, age, smoking and drinking in the prior year, atrial fibrillation diagnosis, use of anti-hypertensive medication, prior MI or stroke, CVD

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

history, number of concomitant medicines in the prior year, and eGFR, SBP and cholesterol measurements in the prior year, appeared in all models. Predictors common in two of the three cohort models were BMI, beta-blocker use, number of GP visits, number of GP emergency visits, and DBP measurements in the year prior to start.

Table S4a-b summarise risk factors selected from lasso and ORs for two-year MACE and for MI/stroke models, respectively, and Table S5a-b list its beta coefficients. Table S6a-c reports all gender-based models and Table S7a-c lists its beta coefficients.

Detailed explanation and an example of how to obtain an estimate for an individual is reported in *supplementary material A*.

Discussion

In this study, we evaluated the incidence of major adverse cardiovascular events though a composite outcome, MACE,⁴³ and assessed risk factors of CVD to predict this outcome at one-year in three different cohorts. The IFX cohort can be used for a secondary fracture prevention program, the OBP cohort has the potential to be used in primary prevention since it approximates patients newly diagnosed and treated for osteoporosis, while the OST cohort can be used as a general screening in primary care.

We observed that patients' incidence of MACE was slightly higher at one year than at two years, especially for IFX cohort. When stratifying by gender, men had higher incidence rates than women, which goes along with the results published by the British Health Foundation, where male incidences at UK in 2017 were higher than female's.⁴⁴ Prior study in CPRD show that general population 70+ years had an IR of

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

MACE of 15.1 (per 1000 person-years),³¹ while our study populations including younger individuals (i.e., 50+ patients) have higher IR. In this line, IFX cohort had the highest incidence reported for MACE (51.1 /1000 person-years) which could be explained by this cohort having an older age (71% were older than 75 years old) and the biggest proportion of men (23.3%) among the three cohorts, followed by OBP (26.3, 20.2%) and OST (19.6, 13.2%) cohorts. The observation of higher incidences in IFX cohort was consistent when IR of each study cohort was stratified by age groups.

Fitting the list of risk factors from QRISK into a prediction model for one-year MACE events, we obtained an AUC of 0.73, 0.67 and 0.71 in OST, IFX and OBP cohorts, respectively. However, starting from ALL risk factors list of CVD available in CPRD and selecting the most important through lasso regression, we obtained model equations that exceed QRISK (AUC in selected risk factors from ALL set: 0.75 in OST, 0.70 in IFX, and 0.75 in OBP). This list included generic features and those specific to the study population, and all of them can be found readily in primary care data. Among them, age had the largest statistically significant effect size.

In order to compare our models to the existing cardiovascular prediction tools, we need to state that performance of Framingham and QRISK studies were higher in general population: AUC >0.76 and >0.86, respectively.^{5 11} In this line, Framingham equations had been validated and recalibrated multiple times using different populations,⁴⁵ while QRISK has a higher accuracy for UK population than the Framingham tool.⁴⁶ However, both tools were not developed for the osteoporotic/fracture risk population, and they do not include specific risk factors for these particular patients (e.g., prior fractures and alcohol consumption), in whom short-term cardiovascular risk might be over- or underestimated. In fact, Framingham

and QRISK tools only permit risk calculation over long periods and there are no studies extrapolating them to shorter risk intervals.

The need of specific CVD tools for populations at higher fracture risk and at short-term can be observed in the lower performance of the models using the QRISK list (i.e., using the predictors selected for general population). And this is particularly interesting to observe in our sensitivity analysis, which uses an outcome closer to the QRISK tool: AUC values of MI/Stroke models drops into a range of 0.62 to 0.70 when applying the QRISK factors to osteoporotic/fracture risk population.

The proposed predictive models have good predictive power and internal validity (discrimination and calibration) in OBP and OST cohorts for one-year MACE events (the obtained equations are included in this article), and the IFX models reach the 70% AUC threshold, considered as the minimum acceptable discrimination.³¹ Secondary and sensitivity analysis show no differences using 2-years models and better performance of MACE than or MI/Stroke models.

Strengths and limitations of this study

The proposed study is observational in nature, and hence cannot address causality but rather describe associations. There is no guarantee that all possible risk factors are included, but for all those factors that are, multivariable regression ensures that they are adjusted for (and hence reducing the risk of confounding). The three presented cohorts are not mutually exclusive but encompass the diversity of the population at high risk of fracture, and the different criteria used to evaluate them. Another limitation is the lack of external validity, which can be assessed in future studies to ensure the validity of the models across different populations. The enhanced performance observed in female population were expected due to the higher

representation of them in our cohorts. The main strengths of this study are the large sample size and the application of machine learning for risk prediction.⁴⁷

Conclusions

Accepted Article

To summarise, incidence rate of MACE events in the studied populations ranged from 19.6 to 52.6, with IFX as the cohort with the higher risk. Efforts in predicting the study events outline the differences between general and the osteoporotic/fracture risk population. The resulting algorithms include risk factors specific to the study population as well as more generic features that can be found easily in primary care data. Further work will focus on validating these models in external cohorts.

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge Mahkameh Mafi for project management; CPRD for their support; and Amgen and UCB Pharma for funding this study. A subsection of an earlier version of information reported in this manuscript was presented as a poster abstract (ID: P1129) by LE, SK, MA, ET, CL and, DPA at the WCO-IOF-ESCEO 2020 congress; available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s00198-020-05696-3.

Authors' roles

Study design: DPA, SK, MA, CL, ET. CPRD data management and advanced curation: AD. Data analysis: LE, SK. Data interpretation: MPM, LE, DPA, SK. Drafting manuscript: MPM, LE, CC, MA, CL, SK. Revising manuscript content: all authors. Approving final version of manuscript: all authors. SK takes responsibility for the integrity of the data analysis.

Funding

The study was sponsored by Amgen and UCB Pharma. The study funders play a role in the conceptualisation, study design, and preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests

All authors have completed the ICMJE disclosure form at http://www.icmje.org/disclosure-of-interest/ and declare the following interests: MPM, LE, AD, CC, and SK have no conflict to declare. DPA reports institutional grant from NIHR, grants from Chesi-Taylor and Novartis, grants and other supports from Amgen and UCB Biopharma, and other supports from Astellas, AstraZeneca, Johnson and Johnson, Janssen – on behalf of IMI-funded EHDEN and EMIF consortiums –, and Synapse Management Partners. MA, CL, and ET are current employees of UCB

Biopharma and hold stock shares of the company.

Ethical approval

Accepted Articl

The study was approved by the Independent Scientific Advisory Committee with protocol number 18_116R.

Data sharing

Data that supports the findings of this study was provided by UK CPRD database. Availability of data is subject to protocol approval by CPRD's Research Data Governance Process.

Transparency Statement

The lead authors (MPM, LE and SK) affirm that the manuscript is an honest, accurate, and transparent account of the study being reported; that no important aspects of the study have been omitted; and that any discrepancies from the study as planned have been explained.

References

- 1. Benjamin EJ, Blaha MJ, Chiuve SE, et al. Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics-2017 Update: A Report From the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2017;135(10):e146-e603. doi: 10.1161/CIR.000000000000485 [published Online First: 2017/01/27]
- 2. collaborators NC. NCD Countdown 2030: worldwide trends in non-communicable disease mortality and progress towards Sustainable Development Goal target 3.4. *Lancet* 2018;392(10152):1072-88. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31992-5 [published Online First: 2018/09/29]
- Bhatnagar P, Wickramasinghe K, Wilkins E, et al. Trends in the epidemiology of cardiovascular disease in the UK. *Heart* 2016;102(24):1945-52. doi: 10.1136/heartjnl-2016-309573 [published Online First: 2016/08/24]
- Svedbom A, Hernlund E, Ivergard M, et al. Osteoporosis in the European Union: a compendium of country-specific reports. *Archives of osteoporosis* 2013;8:137. doi: 10.1007/s11657-013-0137-0 [published Online First: 2013/10/12]
- Wilson PW, D'Agostino RB, Levy D, et al. Prediction of coronary heart disease using risk factor categories. *Circulation* 1998;97(18):1837-47. doi: 10.1161/01.cir.97.18.1837 [published Online First: 1998/05/29]
- 6. Wilson PWF. Framingham Risk Score for Hard Coronary Heart Disease MDCalc [Available from: <u>https://www.mdcalc.com/framingham-risk-score-hard-coronary-heart-disease2021</u>.
- 7. Andersson C, Johnson AD, Benjamin EJ, et al. 70-year legacy of the Framingham Heart Study. *Nature reviews Cardiology* 2019;16(11):687-98. doi: 10.1038/s41569-019-0202-5 [published Online First: 2019/05/09]
- Gage BF, Waterman AD, Shannon W, et al. Validation of clinical classification schemes for predicting stroke: results from the National Registry of Atrial Fibrillation. *Jama* 2001;285(22):2864-70. doi: 10.1001/jama.285.22.2864 [published Online First: 2001/06/13]
- Gage BF, van Walraven C, Pearce L, et al. Selecting patients with atrial fibrillation for anticoagulation: stroke risk stratification in patients taking aspirin. *Circulation* 2004;110(16):2287-92. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.0000145172.55640.93 [published Online First: 2004/10/13]
- 10. Gage B. CHADS2 Score for Atrial Fibrillation Stroke Risk MDCalc [Available from: <u>https://www.mdcalc.com/chads2-score-atrial-fibrillation-stroke-risk2021</u>.
- Hippisley-Cox J, Coupland C, Brindle P. Development and validation of QRISK3 risk prediction algorithms to estimate future risk of cardiovascular disease: prospective cohort study. *BMJ* 2017;357:j2099. doi: 10.1136/bmj.j2099 [published Online First: 2017/05/26]
- 12. QRISK®3-2018 risk calculator. 2018
- Garcia-Gomez MC, Vilahur G. Osteoporosis and vascular calcification: A shared scenario. *Clin* Investig Arterioscler 2020;32(1):33-42. doi: 10.1016/j.arteri.2019.03.008 [published Online First: 2019/06/22]
- 14. Yedavally-Yellayi S, Ho AM, Patalinghug EM. Update on Osteoporosis. *Prim Care* 2019;46(1):175-90. doi: 10.1016/j.pop.2018.10.014 [published Online First: 2019/02/02]
- 15. Tanko LB, Christiansen C, Cox DA, et al. Relationship between osteoporosis and cardiovascular disease in postmenopausal women. *Journal of bone and mineral research : the official journal* of the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research 2005;20(11):1912-20. doi: 10.1359/JBMR.050711 [published Online First: 2005/10/20]
- 16. Prieto-Alhambra D, Premaor MO, Fina Aviles F, et al. The association between fracture and obesity is site-dependent: a population-based study in postmenopausal women. *Journal of bone and mineral research : the official journal of the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research* 2012;27(2):294-300. doi: 10.1002/jbmr.1466 [published Online First: 2011/11/19]
- Premaor MO, Compston JE, Fina Aviles F, et al. The association between fracture site and obesity in men: a population-based cohort study. *Journal of bone and mineral research : the official journal of the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research* 2013;28(8):1771-7. doi: 10.1002/jbmr.1878 [published Online First: 2013/02/02]
- Prieto-Alhambra D, Premaor MO, Aviles FF, et al. Relationship between mortality and BMI after fracture: a population-based study of men and women aged >/=40 years. *Journal of bone and mineral research : the official journal of the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research* 2014;29(8):1737-44. doi: 10.1002/jbmr.2209 [published Online First: 2014/03/13]
- 19. Martinez-Laguna D, Tebe C, Javaid MK, et al. Incident type 2 diabetes and hip fracture risk: a population-based matched cohort study. Osteoporosis international : a journal established as result of cooperation between the European Foundation for Osteoporosis and the National

Osteoporosis Foundation of the USA 2015;26(2):827-33. doi: 10.1007/s00198-014-2986-9 [published Online First: 2014/12/10]

- Collins TC, Ewing SK, Diem SJ, et al. Peripheral arterial disease is associated with higher rates of hip bone loss and increased fracture risk in older men. *Circulation* 2009;119(17):2305-12. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.108.820993 [published Online First: 2009/04/22]
- 21. Laroche M, Pecourneau V, Blain H, et al. Osteoporosis and ischemic cardiovascular disease. *Joint bone spine* 2017;84(4):427-32. doi: 10.1016/j.jbspin.2016.09.022 [published Online First: 2016/11/14]
- Sharma A, Einstein AJ, Vallakati A, et al. Risk of atrial fibrillation with use of oral and intravenous bisphosphonates. *The American journal of cardiology* 2014;113(11):1815-21. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2014.03.008 [published Online First: 2014/05/20]
- Huang BT, Huang FY, Gui YY, et al. Association Between Bisphosphonates Therapy and Incident Myocardial Infarction: Meta-analysis and Trial Sequential Analysis. *Journal of cardiovascular pharmacology* 2015;66(5):468-77. doi: 10.1097/FJC.000000000000298 [published Online First: 2015/07/30]
- 24. Kranenburg G, Bartstra JW, Weijmans M, et al. Bisphosphonates for cardiovascular risk reduction: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Atherosclerosis* 2016;252:106-15. doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2016.06.039 [published Online First: 2016/08/12]
- 25. EMA. Evenity (romosozumab) 2020 [Available from: <u>https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/medicines/human/EPAR/evenity</u>.
- 26. EMA. Clinical investigation of medicinal products for hormone replacement therapy of oestrogen deficiency symptoms in postmenopausal women 2005 [Available from: <u>https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/clinical-investigation-medicinal-products-hormone-replacement-therapy-oestrogen-deficiency-symptoms</u>.
- 27. EMA. Recommendation to restrict the use of Protelos / Osseor (strontium ranelate) 2013 [Available from: <u>https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/news/recommendation-restrict-use-protelos-osseor-strontium-ranelate</u>.
- 28. CPRD. Release Notes: CPRD GOLD October 2018 2018 [Available from: https://cprdcw.cprd.com/_docs/Release Notes_October2018.pdf.
- 29. CPRD. Data highlights [updated Page last reviewed 29 March 2021. Available from: <u>https://cprd.com/data-highlights</u> accessed 14 April 2021.
- Delmestri A, Prieto-Alhambra D. CPRD GOLD and linked ONS mortality records: Reconciling guidelines. International journal of medical informatics 2020;136:104038. doi: 10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2019.104038 [published Online First: 2020/02/23]
- Debray TP, Damen JA, Snell KI, et al. A guide to systematic review and meta-analysis of prediction model performance. *BMJ* 2017;356:i6460. doi: 10.1136/bmj.i6460 [published Online First: 2017/01/07]
- 32. Lewington S, Clarke R, Qizilbash N, et al. Age-specific relevance of usual blood pressure to vascular mortality: a meta-analysis of individual data for one million adults in 61 prospective studies. *Lancet* 2002;360(9349):1903-13. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(02)11911-8 [published Online First: 2002/12/21]
- 33. Lewington S, Whitlock G, Clarke R, et al. Blood cholesterol and vascular mortality by age, sex, and blood pressure: a meta-analysis of individual data from 61 prospective studies with 55,000 vascular deaths. *Lancet* 2007;370(9602):1829-39. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(07)61778-4 [published Online First: 2007/12/07]
- Mach F, Baigent C, Catapano AL, et al. 2019 ESC/EAS Guidelines for the management of dyslipidaemias: lipid modification to reduce cardiovascular risk. *European heart journal* 2020;41(1):111-88. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehz455 [published Online First: 2019/09/11]
- 35. Jha P, Ramasundarahettige C, Landsman V, et al. 21st-century hazards of smoking and benefits of cessation in the United States. *The New England journal of medicine* 2013;368(4):341-50. doi: 10.1056/NEJMsa1211128 [published Online First: 2013/01/25]
- Rosengren A, Smyth A, Rangarajan S, et al. Socioeconomic status and risk of cardiovascular disease in 20 low-income, middle-income, and high-income countries: the Prospective Urban Rural Epidemiologic (PURE) study. *The Lancet Global health* 2019;7(6):e748-e60. doi: 10.1016/S2214-109X(19)30045-2 [published Online First: 2019/04/28]
- 37. Cosman F, de Beur SJ, LeBoff MS, et al. Clinician's Guide to Prevention and Treatment of Osteoporosis. Osteoporosis international : a journal established as result of cooperation between the European Foundation for Osteoporosis and the National Osteoporosis Foundation of the USA 2014;25(10):2359-81. doi: 10.1007/s00198-014-2794-2 [published Online First: 2014/09/04]

- Pisani P, Renna MD, Conversano F, et al. Major osteoporotic fragility fractures: Risk factor updates and societal impact. World journal of orthopedics 2016;7(3):171-81. doi: 10.5312/wjo.v7.i3.171 [published Online First: 2016/03/24]
- Barzilay JI, Davis BR, Pressel SL, et al. The Impact of Antihypertensive Medications on Bone Mineral Density and Fracture Risk. *Current cardiology reports* 2017;19(9):76. doi: 10.1007/s11886-017-0888-0 [published Online First: 2017/07/29]
- 40. Hofbauer LC, Henneicke H. β-Blockers and bone health. *The Journal of Clinical Investigation* 2018;128(11):4745-47. doi: 10.1172/jci122992
- Alexander LK LB, Ricchetti-Masterson K, Yeatts KB. Calculating person-time. ERIC Notebook: Chapel Hill, North Carolina: NCIPH Training Website; University of North Carolina; 2015 [2nd ed.:[Available from: <u>https://sph.unc.edu/files/2015/07/nciph_ERIC4.pdf</u> accessed 28 Sept 2021.
- 42. Rubin DB. Underlying Bayesian Theory. Multiple Imputation for Nonresponse in Surveys: New York: John Wiley & Sons 1987:75-112.
- Wilcox R, Kupfer S, Erdmann E. Effects of pioglitazone on major adverse cardiovascular events in high-risk patients with type 2 diabetes: results from PROspective pioglitAzone Clinical Trial In macro Vascular Events (PROactive 10). *American heart journal* 2008;155(4):712-7. doi: 10.1016/j.ahj.2007.11.029 [published Online First: 2008/03/29]
- 44. British Heart Foundation in collaboration with the Institute of Applied Health Research at the University of Birmingham. Heart and Circulatory Disease Statistics 2020: 2020 Statistics Compendium (Tables) 2020. <u>https://www.bhf.org.uk/what-we-do/our-research/heart-statistics/heart-statistics-publications/cardiovascular-disease-statistics-2020</u>.
- 45. Bitton A, Gaziano TA. The Framingham Heart Study's impact on global risk assessment. *Progress in cardiovascular diseases* 2010;53(1):68-78. doi: 10.1016/j.pcad.2010.04.001 [published Online First: 2010/07/14]
- 46. Collins GS, Altman DG. An independent and external validation of QRISK2 cardiovascular disease risk score: a prospective open cohort study. *BMJ* 2010;340:c2442. doi: 10.1136/bmj.c2442 [published Online First: 2010/05/15]
- 47. Li Y, Sperrin M, Ashcroft DM, et al. Consistency of variety of machine learning and statistical models in predicting clinical risks of individual patients: longitudinal cohort study using cardiovascular disease as exemplar. *BMJ* 2020;371:m3919. doi: 10.1136/bmj.m3919 [published Online First: 2020/11/06]

Tables

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of patients in the development set and the validation set

Variable	OST	IFX	OBP
	n=65295	n=67065	n=145959
Sex = Male (%)	8616 (13.2)	16386 (24.4)	29547 (20.2)
Age>75	31105 (47.6)	48726 (72.7)	77199 (52.9)
Age Group (%)	· · ·		
50-59	8315 (12.7)	4764 (7.1)	16388 (11.2)
60-69	15949 (24.4)	7646 (11.4)	31665 (21.7)
70-79	21165 (32.4)	15573 (23.2)	45679 (31.3)
80-89	16586 (25.4)	27546 (41.1)	42053 (28.8)
>89	3280 (5.0)	11536 (17.2)	10174 (7.0)
SES (%)			
1	15953 (24.4)	14980 (22.3)	35837 (24.6)
2	15643 (24.0)	15990 (23.8)	35738 (24.5)
3	13794 (21.1)	14322 (21.4)	30877 (21.2)
4	11921 (18.3)	12892 (19.2)	26566 (18.2)
5	7918 (12.1)	8820 (13.2)	16828 (11.5)
Smoking** (%)	7010(12.1)	0020 (10.2)	10020 (11.0)
Ex	20487 (31.4)	21484 (32.0)	50162 (34.4)
No	34049 (52.1)	35272 (52.6)	75838 (52.0)
Yes	· · · ·		
	10759 (16.5)	10309 (15.4)	19959 (13.7)
Drinking** (%)		2045 (5.0)	7000 (5.0)
Ex	3564 (5.5)	3945 (5.9)	7226 (5.0)
No	17062 (26.1)	21092 (31.5)	41791 (28.6)
Yes	44669 (68.4)	42028 (62.7)	96942 (66.4)
Diabetes type I* (%)	152 (0.2)	164 (0.2)	297 (0.2)
Diabetes type II* (%)	3311 (5.1)	4171 (6.2)	8340 (5.7)
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease* (%)	4939 (7.6)	3745 (5.6)	11251 (7.7)
Chronic kidney disease* (%)	5790 (8.9)	8117 (12.1)	13396 (9.2)
Rheumatoid arthritis* (%)	5045 (7.7)	2891 (4.3)	19746 (13.5)
Lupus* (%)	144 (0.2)	55 (0.1)	328 (0.2)
Systemic heart disease** (%)	2341 (3.6)	563 (0.8)	5222 (3.6)
Anti-osteoporosis use** (%)	11752 (18.0)	5356 (8.0)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Heparin use** (%)	372 (0.6)	282 (0.4)	1035 (0.7)
Beta-blocker use** (%)	10564 (16.2)	10392 (15.5)	22753 (15.6)
Hypertension** (%)	5091 (7.8)	3334 (5.0)	9514 (6.5)
Deep vein thrombosis or pulmonary embolism** (%)	522 (0.8)	439 (0.7)	1334 (0.9)
Anticoagulant use** (%)	3285 (5.0)	3536 (5.3)	7546 (5.2)
Antidepressants TCA** (%)	7039 (10.8)	5352 (8.0)	14451 (9.9)
Antidepressants SSRI** (%)	5990 (9.2)	7293 (10.9)	12651 (8.7)
Hypercholesterolemia** (%)	1561 (2.4)	667 (1.0)	2543 (1.7)
Statin use** (%)	15571 (23.8)	14084 (21.0)	33988 (23.3)
Osteoporosis history*	NA		46215 (31.7)
· · · · ·	INA	5521 (8.2)	40213 (31.7)
Family history of cardiovascular disease (%)	6755 (10.3)	4036 (6.0)	13204 (9.0)

	OST	IFX	OBP
Variable	n=65295	n=67065	n=145959
Family history of cardiovascular	99 (0.2)	47 (0.1)	170 (0.1)
disease before age 60 (%)	, <i>,</i> ,	<i>47</i> (0.1)	170 (0.1)
Heart failure* (%)	2323 (3.6)	3405 (5.1)	5040 (3.5)
Migraine* (%)	10103 (15.5)	6294 (9.4)	19961 (13.7
Severe mental illness* (%)	10203 (15.6)	8836 (13.2)	19256 (13.2
Vascular Disease* (%)	839 (1.3)	1078 (1.6)	1765 (1.2)
Atrial fibrillation* (%)	3664 (5.6)	4784 (7.1)	8022 (5.5)
On anti-hypertensive drug (%)	37053 (56.7)	38036 (56.7)	79044 (54.2
Antipsychotic use** (%)	371 (0.6)	867 (1.3)	773 (0.5)
Steroid use** (%)	9367 (14.3)	5181 (7.7)	37201 (25.5
Erectile dysfunction** (%)	871 (1.3)	1065 (1.6)	2797 (1.9)
Charlson score (%)	- (- /		- (- /
0	37782 (57.9)	40024 (59.7)	80717 (55.3
1	13274 (20.3)	11209 (16.7)	31132 (21.3
2	7960 (12.2)	7388 (11.0)	18141 (12.4
≥3	6279 (9.6)	8444 (12.6)	15969 (10.9
Cardiovascular disease (%)		0111(12.0)	
No	58034 (88.9)	58381 (87.1)	128516 (88.
Ever >1 year before index date	5616 (8.6)	6996 (10.4)	13474 (9.2
1 year before index	719 (1.1)	743 (1.1)	1551 (1.1)
6 months before index	713 (1.1)	767 (1.1)	1763 (1.2)
1 month before index	203 (0.3)	178 (0.3)	655 (0.4)
	203 (0.3)	170 (0.3)	055 (0.4)
MI or Stroke (%) No	61250 (04.0)	60046 (90 E)	125225 (02
	61350 (94.0)	60046 (89.5)	135335 (92.
Ever >1 year before index date	2850 (4.4)	5083 (7.6)	7481 (5.1)
1 year before index	1095 (1.7)	1936 (2.9)	3143 (2.2)
Established CVD *= Ever (%)	7428 (11.4)	11506 (17.2)	18774 (12.9
Any fracture history (%)		07005 (400)	444000 (70
No	49542 (75.9)	67065 (100)	114386 (78.
Ever >1 year before index date	5813 (8.9)	0	10598 (7.3
1 year before index	9940 (15.2)	0	20975 (14.4
Hip fracture history (%)			
No	62040 (95.0)	67065 (100)	136610 (93.
Ever >1 year before index date	1118 (1.7)	0	1720 (1.2)
1 year before index	2137 (3.3)	0	7629 (5.2)
Shoulder fracture history (%)			
No	64836 (99.3)	67065 (100)	145199 (99.
Ever >1 year before index date	232 (0.4)	0	333 (0.2)
1 year before index	227 (0.3)	0	427 (0.3)
Spine fracture history (%)			
No	63880 (97.8)	67065 (100)	143554 (98.
Ever >1 year before index date	326 (0.5)	0	356 (0.2)
1 year before index	1089 (1.7)	0	2049 (1.4)
Wrist fracture history (%)			
No	60237 (92.3)	67065 (100)	137118 (93.
Ever >1 year before index date	2363 (3.6)	0	4040 (2.8)
1 year before index	2695 (4.1)	0	4801 (3.3)
BMI** (%)			
<18.5	5615 (8.6)	9265 (13.8)	10877 (7.5
18.6 - 24.9	32564 (49.9)	33554 (50.0)	66542 (45.6

	OST	IFX	OBP
Variable	n=65295	n=67065	n=145959
25 - 29.9	18343 (28.1)	16720 (24.9)	44109 (30.2)
30 - 39.9	8164 (12.5)	6983 (10.4)	22452 (15.4)
>=40	609 (0.9)	543 (0.8)	1979 (1.4)
No. of GP visits** (%)			
0	3219 (4.9)	15017 (22.4)	15177 (10.4)
1-5	16176 (24.8)	15528 (23.2)	36213 (24.8)
6-10	17452 (26.7)	13677 (20.4)	32344 (22.2)
11-15	11938 (18.3)	9140 (13.6)	24466 (16.8)
>=16	16510 (25.3)	13703 (20.4)	37759 (25.9)
No. of GP emergency visits** (%)		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , , ,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
0	53095 (81.3)	53221 (79.4)	119698 (82.0)
1	6570 (10.1)	6392 (9.5)	14083 (9.6)
2	2439 (3.7)	2844 (4.2)	5282 (3.6)
3-5	2282 (3.5)	3163 (4.7)	4993 (3.4)
>=6	909 (1.4)	1445 (2.2)	1903 (1.3)
eGFR** (%)			
<=29	708 (1.1)	1974 (2.9)	1777 (1.2)
30 - 44	3470 (5.3)	7099 (10.6)	9749 (6.7)
45 - 59	11950 (18.3)	15335 (22.9)	30290 (20.8)
60 - 89	45497 (69.7)	39774 (59.3)	96838 (66.3)
>=90	3670 (5.6)	2883 (4.3)	7305 (5.0)
SBP** (%)		2000 (1.0)	1000 (0.0)
<120	9076 (13.9)	9184 (13.7)	18549 (12.7)
120 - 139	26605 (40.7)	25872 (38.6)	57685 (39.5)
140 - 159	22588 (34.6)	23213 (34.6)	51589 (35.3)
>=160	7026 (10.8)	8796 (13.1)	18136 (12.4)
DBP** (%)	1020 (10.0)	0700 (10.1)	10100 (12.4)
<80	33729 (51.7)	36247 (54.0)	75132 (51.5)
80 - 89	24505 (37.5)	23468 (35.0)	53798 (36.9)
90 - 99	5764 (8.8)	5732 (8.5)	13678 (9.4)
>=100	1297 (2.0)	1618 (2.4)	3351 (2.3)
No. of concomitant medicines** (%)	1237 (2.0)	1010 (2.4)	5551 (2.5)
	5171 (7.9)	16072 (24.0)	20662 (14.2)
1 - 3	12858 (19.7)	10222 (15.2)	26686 (18.3)
4 - 6	14446 (22.1)	12572 (18.7)	29125 (20.0)
7 - 9	12375 (19.0)	10930 (16.3)	26049 (17.8)
10 - 12	8788 (13.5)		18868 (12.9)
>=13	11657 (17.9)	7675 (11.4) 9594 (14.3)	24569 (16.8)
Cholesterol measurement**	11037 (17.9)	9394 (14.3)	24309 (10.0)
(HDL/LDL) (%)			
<=3.5	39143 (59.9)	42892 (64.0)	86247 (59.1)
3.6 - 5	20792 (31.8)	18630 (27.8)	46654 (32.0)
>5	5360 (8.2)	5543 (8.3)	13058 (8.9)
No. of previous fractures* (%)			
0	46551 (71.3)	NA	112120 (76.8)
1	9763 (15.0)	NA	18129 (12.4)
>=2	8981 (13.8)	NA	15710 (10.8)

Abbreviations: OST, patients with incident diagnosis of osteoporosis; IFX, patients with incident fragility fracture; OBP, incident users of oral bisphosphonates; * ever; ** in the year prior to start; SES, socio-economic status; MI, myocardial infarction; BMI, body mass index;

Variable	OST	IFX	OBP	
	n=65295	n=67065	n=145959	
eGFR, estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate; SBP, cholesterol, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure.				

Table 2 Predictors of one-year MACE overall models (risk factors selected by

lasso regression)

Predictor	OST OR (95%CI)	IFX OR (95%CI)	OBP OR (95%CI)
Sex = Male (%)	1.61 (1.3, 2)	1.29 (1.12, 1.49)	1.42 (1.25, 1.61)
Age Group (%)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
50-59	ref	ref	ref
60-69	1.36 (0.79, 2.35)	7.66 (3.05, 19.23)	1.58 (1.14, 2.19)
70-79	3.07 (1.85, 5.11)	,	2.46 (1.79, 3.36)
		12.56 (5.01, 31.5)	
80-89	4.9 (2.9, 8.28)	20.39 (7.84, 53)	4.26 (3.09, 5.87)
>89	7.88 (4.44, 13.99)	27.17 (9.92, 74.38)	5.79 (4.06, 8.24)
SES (%)	x	X	
1	X	X	ref
2	X	X	1.17 (1.02, 1.36)
3 4	X	X	1.28 (1.11, 1.48) 1.34 (1.15, 1.56)
5	x x	X	1.29 (1.08, 1.54)
Smoking**	*	X	1.29 (1.00, 1.34)
Ex	ref	ref	ref
No	0.93 (0.73, 1.18)	1 (0.85, 1.18)	0.98 (0.79, 1.23)
Yes	1.42 (1.02, 1.98)	1.16 (0.89, 1.5)	1.14 (0.89, 1.47)
Drinking**	= (=,		
Ex	ref	ref	ref
No	1.19 (0.7, 2)	1.14 (0.85, 1.54)	1.03 (0.71, 1.49)
Yes	0.84 (0.51, 1.39)	1.11 (0.79, 1.57)	0.92 (0.65, 1.31)
Diabetes type I*	X	x	X
Diabetes type II*	x	x	1.11 (0.9, 1.36)
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease*	х	x	1.05 (0.87, 1.27)
Chronic kidney disease*	x	X	0.81 (0.61, 1.06)
Rheumatoid arthritis*	X	x	0.88 (0.74, 1.04)
_upus*	X	x	Х
Systemic heart disease**	X	x	0.62 (0.37, 1.03)
Anti-osteoporosis use**	X	x	Х
leparin use**	X	X (1.1.1.00)	X
Beta-blocker use**	X	1.11 (0.95, 1.29)	1.16 (1.02, 1.33)
Hypertension**	X	X	X
Deep vein thrombosis or pulmonary	х	x	х
Anticoagulant use**	X	X	0.93 (0.75, 1.14)
Antidepressants TCA**	X	X	X
Antidepressants SSRI**	X	X	1.28 (1.09, 1.49)
Hypercholesterolemia**	X	x	X
Statin use**	Х	x	Х
Osteoporosis history*	Х	x	0.74 (0.66, 0.83)
Family history of cardiovascular disease	Х	x	0.94 (0.78, 1.14)
Family history of cardiovascular disease before age 60	x	x	x
leart failure*	Х	x	1.03 (0.84, 1.27)
Aigraine*	Х	x	x
Severe mental illness*	Х	x	Х
/ascular Disease*	Х	x	Х
Atrial fibrillation*	1.61 (1.27, 2.05)	1.2 (1.01, 1.43)	1.29 (1.08, 1.55)
On anti-hypertensive drug	1.23 (0.97, 1.55)	1.08 (0.87, 1.33)	1.05 (0.9, 1.22)
Antipsychotic use**	Х	X	Х
Steroid use**		X	Х
Erectile dysfunction**	Х	X	X
Charlson score	X		-
0	X	x	ref
1	X	X	1.01 (0.87, 1.17)

Predictor	OST OR (95%Cl)	IFX OR (95%CI)	OBP OR (95%CI)
2	x	×	0.94 (0.79, 1.13)
≥3	X	X	1.06 (0.85, 1.31)
Cardiovascular disease	x	x	
No	x	x	ref
Ever >1 year before index date	x	X	1.16 (1, 1.36)
1 year before index	x	X	1.18 (0.84, 1.66)
6 months before index	x	X	1.52 (1.14, 2.03)
1 month before index	X	X	2.18 (1.49, 3.21)
MI or Stroke			
No	ref	ref	ref
Ever >1 year before index date	0.99 (0.7, 1.4)	1.23 (0.98, 1.55)	1.34 (1.09, 1.64)
1 year before index	2.03 (1.38, 2.99)	1.56 (1.19, 2.03)	2.52 (2, 3.18)
Established CVD *	1.9 (1.46, 2.48)	1.7 (1.42, 2.04)	1.49 (1.25, 1.79)
Any fracture history	X	X	X
No	X	X	X
Ever >1 year before index date	X	X	X
1 year before index	X	X	X
Hip fracture history No	X	X	X
Ever >1 year before index date	X X	x	x
1 year before index	X	X	X
Shoulder fracture history	X	X	X
No	X	X	X
Ever >1 year before index date	X	X	X
1 year before index	^ X	X	X
Spine fracture history	X	X	X
No	X	X	x
Ever >1 year before index date	x	x	x
1 year before index	x	X	x
Wrist fracture history	x	X	x
No	X	X	x
Ever >1 year before index date	x	x	x
1 year before index	X	X	x
BMI**		X	
<18.5	ref	X	ref
18.6 - 24.9	0.72 (0.49, 1.05)	X	0.74 (0.57, 0.96)
25 - 29.9	0.56 (0.35, 0.9)	X	0.58 (0.41, 0.81)
30 - 39.9	0.42 (0.2, 0.85)	X	0.55 (0.36, 0.84)
>=40	0.66 (0.22, 1.93)	X	0.39 (0.17, 0.91)
No. of GP visits**	rof	¥	rof
1-5	ref 1.01 (0.61, 1.66)	X	ref
6-10	0.94 (0.56, 1.59)	x	0.9 (0.73, 1.1) 0.78 (0.61, 1.01)
11-15	0.95 (0.55, 1.62)	X	0.78 (0.6, 1.01)
>=16	1.07 (0.63, 1.81)	X	0.86 (0.67, 1.12)
No. of GP emergency visits**	X	X	
0	x	ref	ref
1	x	1.25 (1.05, 1.47)	1.15 (0.99, 1.35)
2	x	1.43 (1.15, 1.78)	1.4 (1.13, 1.74)
3-5	x	1.21 (0.97, 1.5)	1.47 (1.2, 1.8)
>=6	x	1.13 (0.82, 1.56)	2.53 (1.96, 3.26)
eGFR**			
<=29	ref	ref	ref
30 - 44	1.11 (0.44, 2.81)	0.87 (0.61, 1.24)	0.91 (0.56, 1.5)
45 – 59	0.89 (0.37, 2.15)	0.7 (0.38, 1.27)	0.83 (0.49, 1.4)
60 - 89	0.76 (0.31, 1.86)	0.59 (0.17, 2.01)	0.58 (0.27, 1.27)
>=90	0.79 (0.3, 2.07)	0.52 (0.13, 2.13)	0.5 (0.21, 1.22)
SBP**		raf	n-f
<120	ref	ref	ref
120 - 139 140 - 159	1.19 (0.88, 1.61) 1.32 (0.98, 1.77)	1.04 (0.85, 1.28) 1.2 (0.95, 1.51)	1.09 (0.92, 1.31) 1.24 (1.02, 1.52)
>=160	1.32 (0.98, 1.77)	1.54 (1.11, 2.14)	1.39 (1.02, 1.52)
DBP**	1.32 (0.91, 1.69) X	1.34 (1.11, 2.14)	1.35 (1.08, 1.77)
<80	X	ref	ref
80 - 89	X	1.04 (0.89, 1.21)	0.94 (0.82, 1.08)
90 - 99	X	1.18 (0.91, 1.54)	1.05 (0.84, 1.31)
>=100	×	1.13 (0.71, 1.79)	1.31 (0.89, 1.93)
No. of concomitant medicines**		, (. (,,
0	ref	ref	ref

Predictor	OST	IFX	OBP
	OR (95%CI)	OR (95%CI)	OR (95%CI)
1 – 3	0.69 (0.42, 1.12)	1.14 (0.9, 1.44)	0.87 (0.7, 1.07)
4 – 6	0.83 (0.51, 1.36)	1.29 (1.03, 1.63)	0.75 (0.59, 0.96)
7 – 9	1.01 (0.61, 1.66)	1.12 (0.87, 1.43)	0.88 (0.68, 1.14)
10 – 12	1.12 (0.67, 1.87)	1.26 (0.96, 1.65)	1 (0.76, 1.31)
>=13	1.11 (0.66, 1.88)	1.25 (0.95, 1.66)	0.98 (0.74, 1.31)
Cholesterol measurement** (HDL/LDL)		x	
<=3.5	ref	ref	ref
3.6 – 5	1.34 (0.92, 1.95)	1.07 (0.56, 2.04)	1.2 (0.98, 1.46)
>5	1.74 (0.94, 3.2)	1.54 (0.38, 6.31)	1.35 (0.89, 2.03)
No. of previous fractures*			х
0	ref	X	х
1	1.24 (1.01, 1.53)	X	x
>=2	0.99 (0.79, 1.25)	X	X

Abbreviations: OST, patients with incident diagnosis of osteoporosis; IFX, patients with incident fragility fracture; OBP, incident users of oral bisphosphonates; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence intervals; MACE, composite outcome for the occurrence of either myocardial infarction, stroke or cardiovascular disease death; * ever; ** in the year prior to start; SES, socio-economic status; MI, myocardial infarction; BMI, body mass index; eGFR, estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate; SBP, cholesterol, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Study flow chart. Abbreviations: OST, patients with incident diagnosis of osteoporosis; IFX, patients with incident fragility fracture; OBP, incident users of oral bisphosphonates; CPRD, Clinical Practice Research Datalink.
Figure 2. Incidence rates of MACE after one-year of follow up. Incidence rate is

reported with 95% confidence intervals. Abbreviations: OST, patients with incident diagnosis of osteoporosis; IFX, patients with incident fragility fracture; OBP, incident users of oral bisphosphonates; MACE, composite outcome for the occurrence of either myocardial infarction, stroke or cardiovascular disease death.



