De novo putative loss-of-function variants in *TAF4* are associated with a neuro-developmental disorder
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# Abstract

TATA-binding protein associated factor 4 (TAF4) is a subunit of the Transcription Factor IID (TFIID) complex, a central player in transcription initiation. Other members of this multimeric complex have been implicated previously as monogenic disease genes in human developmental disorders. *TAF4* has not been described to date as a monogenic disease gene.

We here present a cohort of eight individuals, each carrying *de novo* putative loss-of-function (pLoF) variants in *TAF4* and expressing phenotypes consistent with a neuro-developmental disorder (NDD). Common features include intellectual disability, abnormal behavior, and facial dysmorphisms. We propose *TAF4* as a novel dominant disease gene for NDD, and coin this novel disorder ‘TAF4-related NDD’ (T4NDD). We place T4NDD in the context of other disorders related to TFIID subunits, revealing shared features of T4NDD with other TAF-opathies.
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# Main text

TATA-binding protein associated factor 4 (*TAF4*; MIM# 601796) encodes for a subunit of Transcription Factor IID (TFIID). This multimeric protein complex acts as a central player in transcriptional regulation by directing the assembly of the pre-initiation complex (PIC) to specific promoters. Besides TATA-binding Protein (TBP) and TAF4, the complex is made up of more than ten other TBP-associated factors (TAFs) (Patel et al., 2018).

The *TAF4* gene comprises 15 exons, encoding for a 1085 amino acid protein which contains two conserved domains. The first is the TAF-homology domain (TAFH), which binds several different transcriptional regulators and thereby plays an essential role in the co-activating function of TAF4 (Brunkhorst et al., 2005; Kazantseva et al., 2013; Kazantseva, Tints, Neuman, & Palm, 2015; Wang et al., 2007). The TAF4 domain is located at the C-terminus of the protein and is encoded by exons 10 to 15. This domain facilitates the binding of TAF4 to TAF12, which creates a heterodimer that in turn forms part of a subcomplex required for the assembly of the TFIID complex (Patel et al., 2018; Thuault et al., 2002; Werten et al., 2002; Wright, Marr, & Tjian, 2006). At the distal C-terminal end of TAF4, within the TAF4-domain, resides a short-conserved C-terminal domain (CCTD), a region described to be important for optimal TAF4-TAF12 dimerization (Thuault et al., 2002). The TAF4-TAF12 heterodimer forms a subcomplex completed by TAF5, -6 and -9. The TFIID complex consists of three main lobes (A B and C), two of which (A and B) contain the TAF4/5/6/9/12 complex, and thus TFIID contains two copies of TAF4 (Bieniossek et al., 2013; Patel et al., 2018; Sanders, Garbett, & Weil, 2002).

TFIID and *TAF4* have been widely implicated in organismal development, consistent with their central role in transcriptional regulation (Langer et al., 2016; Pijnappel et al., 2013). *TAF4* expression fluctuates during neural development and cellular differentiation is regulated by different isoforms (Brunkhorst et al., 2005; Kazantseva et al., 2013; Kazantseva, Sadam, Neuman, & Palm, 2016; Kazantseva et al., 2015). Moreover, mouse embryonic stem cells deficient for *Taf4* fail to differentiate (Bahat et al., 2013) and *Taf4* knock-out results in embryonic lethality in mice (Langer et al., 2016).

Several TAFs have been identified as monogenic disease genes, including *TAF1*, *TAF2*, *TAF6* and *TAF13* (Alazami et al., 2015; Gudmundsson et al., 2019; Halevy et al., 2012; Hellman-Aharony et al., 2013; Hurst et al., 2018; O'Rawe et al., 2015; Tawamie et al., 2017; Yuan et al., 2015). In general, pathogenic variants in these genes cause neurodevelopmental disorders (NDDs), typically associated with intellectual disability. To date, *TAF4* has not yet been causally implicated as a monogenic disease gene.

We present a cohort of eight individuals carrying heterozygous, *de novo* putative loss-of-function (pLoF) *TAF4* variants. The individuals presented with NDD and facial dysmorphism, consistent with *TAF4* as a novel TFIID NDD disease gene.

All variants were identified through clinical trio-exome or -genome sequencing efforts. This study is performed within the ethical framework of the University Medical Centre Utrecht (details in Suppl. Material).

The present study was initiated after the identification of a *de novo* c.2185C>T [p.(Gln729\*)] variant in *TAF4* (NM\_003185.3) by clinical trio-exome sequencing (trio-ES) testing in proband 3. *TAF4* presented as an outstanding candidate disease gene based on three main arguments. Firstly, *TAF4* is well conserved against pLoF variants in the general population as no pLoF variants are reported in the gnomAD database and the LoF observed/expected upper bound fraction (LOEUF) is 0.08, which suggests heterozygous pLoF variants in *TAF4* might cause disease (Karczewski et al., 2020). Utilizing the LOEUF metric in gnomAD, we have previously identified *TAF4* as a putative novel dominant disease gene in an independent analysis (Seaby et al., 2022). Secondly, several other TFIID complex members are known monogenic disease genes in NDDs (see below), consistent with TFIID malfunction resulting in NDDs. Finally, *TAF4* function has been implicated in cellular differentiation and organismal development. Most notably, *Taf4a* knock-out is embryonically lethal in mice and respective embryonic stem cells fail to differentiate (Langer et al., 2016).

We thus suspected *TAF4* to be a monogenic disease gene and initiated the formation of the present cohort by patient matchmaking through the Genematcher platform (Sobreira, Schiettecatte, Valle, & Hamosh, 2015). Consultation of other commonly used patient databases (e.g., Decipher and ClinVar) did not result in additional cases.

The present cohort comprises eight unrelated probands (henceforth referred to as P1-8), who all had clinical genetic testing that led to the identification of a heterozygous, *de novo* pLoF variant in *TAF4* as the prime candidate to explain the phenotypes (Figure 1). No relevant family history or other candidate gene variants were reported in any of the probands. In four probands (P1-3 and 5), nonsense variants were identified, including three single base substitutions (P1: c.1348C>T [p.(Gln450\*)]; P2: c.2029C>T [p.(Gln677\*)]; P3: c.2185C>T [p.(Gln729\*)]) and one single base deletion (P5: c.2570\_2571del [p.(Ser857\*)]). The remaining four variants were indel variants leading to a frameshift (P4: c.2453dup [p.(Asn818Lysfs\*2)]; P6: c.2664delA [p.(Lys888Asnfs\*4)]; P7: c.3096\_3097insCGAC [p.(Gly1033Argfs\*39)]; P8: c.3101\_3102del [p.(Pro1034Argfs\*36)]) (Figure 1A). All variants were expected to result in nonsense-mediated decay (NMD), except for the two frameshifts in exon 15, and were thus expected to produce no functional gene product. Whilst the two frameshifts located in the last exon may escape NMD, the resulting products are expected to disrupt the architecture of the C-terminus of TAF4 and thereby the CCTD motif. This motif is known to facilitate the binding of TAF4 to TAF12 (Thuault et al., 2002), which in turn is essential for the function of the TFIID complex (Patel et al., 2018; Thuault et al., 2002). We thus expect these variants to have a profound impact on gene function even in the absence of NMD, either by interfering with the normal function of the TAF4/CCTD domain, or by impacting protein stability. In summary, eight *de novo* pLoF variants in *TAF4* were identified in eight unrelated individuals (Figure 1A).

The cohort comprises six females and two males, ranging in age from two to 18 years old. Individual phenotypes are presented in Supplementary Table S1 and clinical histories for five probands is available as supplementary data as well. Summarized findings are presented in Table 1. Seven probands were diagnosed with intellectual disability (7/8), and seven probands have delayed speech development (7/8), although P2’s speech showed improvement over time. Interestingly, P2 is also the only proband in the cohort that has no ID. Other commonly reported phenotypes include behavioral abnormalities (6/8) (e.g., shy behavior in 3/8), joint laxity (4/8), and spine anomalies (3/8). An MRI of the brain was performed for five (5/8) probands and detected anomalies in 3/5 probands (Supp. Table S1).

Mild facial dysmorphisms were reported for all probands (8/8), however no recognizable facial gestalt could be identified. Photographs of selected probands are provided (P1-4, 6 & 7; Figure 1B-G). Recurrent dysmorphic features, including a prominent nose or nasal bridge (6/8), arched eyebrows (5/8) and prominent midface (2/8) were present.

 The phenotypes associated with pLoF variants in *TAF4* thus appear non-specific, consisting of ID, behavioral abnormalities, skeletal anomalies, and variable facial dysmorphologies. We propose to refer to this newly identified disorder as ‘TAF4 related NDD’ (T4NDD).

Given the central role of TAF4 in the TFIID complex, we investigated if the reported disorders associated with other complex members showed similar phenotypes. Therefore, we searched the current literature for TFIID-associated disorders (TAF-opathies) and compared the phenotypes with T4NDD (Table 1). We found congenital disorders associated with four other TFIID complex members: *TAF1* (Intellectual developmental disorder, X-linked syndromic 33; MIM# 300966) (Cheng et al., 2019; Gudmundsson et al., 2019; Hurst et al., 2018; Morton et al., 2020; O'Rawe et al., 2015), *TAF2* (Intellectual developmental disorder, autosomal recessive 40; MIM# 615599) (Halevy et al., 2012; Hellman-Aharony et al., 2013), *TAF6* (Alazami-Yuan syndrome; MIM# 617126) (Alazami et al., 2015; Yuan et al., 2015) and *TAF13* (Intellectual developmental disorder, autosomal recessive 60; MIM# 617432) (Tawamie et al., 2017). When comparing the phenotypes of all five reported TAF-opathies, some commonalities were noted, but many differences as well. First, according to the central role of TFIID in neuronal development, all TAF-opathies are associated with ID. Three out of five (i.e., *TAF1*, *4* and *6*) TAF-opathies are reported with some form of craniofacial dysmorphology. We conclude that each TAF-opathy is associated with ID/NDD but additional shared features could not be identified.

We present a cohort of eight probands each harboring heterozygous, *de novo* pLoF variants in *TAF4*. Disruptive variants in *TAF4* are expected to be pathogenic since the gene is highly constrained for pLoFs in the general population (Karczewski et al., 2020; Seaby et al., 2022). Furthermore, other TAF-opathies have been associated with NDDs before. Common phenotypes observed in this cohort are ID, skeletal anomalies, facial dysmorphologies and behavioral abnormalities. The *TAF4* related phenotypes however appear nonspecific, exemplified by P2, who is the only individual with normal intellectual ability. We propose to refer to this novel disorder as ‘TAF4 related NDD’ (T4NDD).

Given the limited number of probands included in the present cohort, it is possible that more common characteristics will present when more individuals with pLoF *TAF4* variant are identified. As our cohort consist exclusively out of pLoF variants, copy number variants (CNV) leading to disruption or deletion of *TAF4* might be relevant for future collection of patient cohorts as well. In order to provide a more comprehensive description of T4NDD, we encourage anyone with relevant cases to contact us.

Through its role in regulating TFIID function, *TAF4* is a central player in cellular differentiation and organismal development. Accordingly, knock-out of *Taf4a* in mice is embryonically lethal at E9.5 and the embryos show severe developmental retardation (Langer et al., 2016). This study furthermore showed that *Taf4a-/-* embryonic stem cells are unable to differentiate properly, most notably into glutamatergic neurons. These phenotypes are most likely to result from the improper formation of the PIC, which is compensated by the inclusion of the *TAF4* paralogue *TAF4B*. Whereas *TAF4* has been reported to promote differentiation, *TAF4B* acts to retain stemness of pluripotent stem cells (Brunkhorst et al., 2005; Brunkhorst et al., 2004; Gazit et al., 2009; Kazantseva et al., 2013; Kazantseva & Palm, 2014; Kazantseva et al., 2015; Langer et al., 2016; Pijnappel et al., 2013). Within this model, one could hypothesize that imbalances in TAF4/TAF4B gene dosage, such as resulting from disruptive *TAF4* variants, will impact on the regulation of cellular differentiation by relative increase of TAF4B incorporation in the TFIID complex.

As an alternative hypothesis, NMD-related mechanisms resulting from premature stopcodons in *TAF4* might shift the expression profile of different *TAF4* splicing products. Since different alternative splicing variants of *TAF4* have been reported to direct cellular proliferation (Kazantseva et al., 2013; Kazantseva et al., 2016; Kazantseva et al., 2015), such a mechanism is also expected to impact on development. Future studies will have to unravel the mechanism by which *TAF4* pLoF variants cause NDD.

Variants in other members of the TFIID complex have been reported previously as monogenic causes for congenital NDDs. We compared reported phenotypes for *TAF1*, *TAF2*, *TAF6* and *TAF13*-related disorders with T4NDD. This revealed no commonalities other than ID, and the *TAF4*-related disorder is so far the only disorder that presents with an autosomal dominant inheritance; this is in keeping with its much lower LOEUF score when compared to other known TAF-opathy genes. Future insights into the patho-molecular mechanisms underlying the different TAF-opathies will be needed to explain the differences in inheritance and clinical presentation. In general, the phenotypes observed across and within TAF-opathies are diffuse and non-specific. This is likely to be the result of the broad functions of the TFIID complex and expression of specific phenotypes is likely to be influenced by secondary, modifying factors such as genetic variants affecting other TFIID members, other interacting genes or the DNA-binding sites for the TFIID complex. However, the current limited number of patients with TAF-opathies precludes determining contributing factors. Therefore, in order to provide patients with a clearer prognosis, future studies involving larger patient cohorts and in-depth analyses of geno-phenotype relationships will be needed to determine the range and severity of phenotypes encompassing T4NDD and other TAF-opathies.

# Web Resources

Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man: <https://omim.org/>

Genome Aggregation Database: <https://gnomad.broadinstitute.org/>

Genematcher: https://genematcher.org/
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# Tables

**Table 1:** Phenotypic comparison of known TAF-opthies. The table summarizes the published characteristics of patients with known TAF-opathies (Alazami et al., 2015; Halevy et al., 2012; Hurst et al., 2018; Najmabadi et al., 2011; O'Rawe et al., 2015; Tawamie et al., 2017; Yuan et al., 2015). The characteristics identified in the current cohort are presented for reference and contain the same data as presented throughout this report. Abbreviations and symbols: HPO = Human Phenotype Ontology; + = present.

**Supplementary Table S1:** Clinical characteristics of individual probands. This table shows the genetic and clinical characteristics of each individual in the cohort.

# Figure legends

**Figure 1: *De novo* pLoF variants in *TAF4* and associated dysmorphologies.** A) Schematic representation of *TAF4*.The *TAF4* gene comprises 15 exons (separated by dashed lines). The protein contains two domains, TAFH and TAF4-domain, depicted by grey boxes below the gene. All variants are annotated at the respective location with the predicted amino acid changes. All annotations are based on transcript NM\_003185.3.B-G) Photographs of probands 1 (B), 2 (C), 3 (D), 4 (E), 6 (F) and 7 (G).
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