Number of references: 58

Abstract

36	Background: Quality of life (QoL) is an important measure of disease burden and general health
37	perception. The relationship between early chronic kidney disease (CKD) and QoL remains poorly
38	understood. The Oxford Renal Study (OxRen) cohort comprises 1063 adults aged ≥60 years from UK
39	primary care practices screened for early CKD, grouped according to existing or screen-detected CKD
40	diagnoses, or biochemistry results indicative of reduced renal function (referred to as transient
41	estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) reduction).
42	Objectives: This study aimed to compare QoL in participants known to have CKD at recruitment to
43	those identified as having CKD through a screening programme.
44	Methods: Health profile data and multi-attribute utility scores were reported for two generic
45	questionnaires: 5-level EuroQol-5 Dimension (EQ-5D-5L) and ICEpop CAPability measure for
46	Adults (ICECAP-A). QoL was compared between patients with existing and screen-detected CKD;
47	those with transient eGFR reduction served as the reference group in univariable and multivariable
48	linear regression.
49	Results: Mean and standard deviation utility scores were not significantly different between the
50	subgroups for EQ-5D-5L (screen-detected:0.785±0.156, n=480, transient:0.779±0.157, n=261,
51	existing CKD: 0.763 ± 0.171 , n=322, p=0.216) or ICECAP-A (screen-detected: 0.909 ± 0.094 ,
52	transient:0.904±0.110, existing CKD:0.894±0.115, p=0.200). Age, smoking status, and number of
53	comorbidities were identified as independent predictors of QoL in this cohort.
54	Conclusion: QoL of participants with existing CKD diagnoses was not significantly different from
55	those with screen-detected CKD or transient eGFR reduction and was similar to UK mean scores for
56	the same age, suggesting that patient burden of early CKD is minor. Moreover, CKD-related
57	comorbidities contribute more significantly to disease burden in earlier stages of CKD than renal
58	function per se. Larger prospective studies are required to define the relationship between QoL and
59	CKD progression more precisely. These data also confirm the essentially asymptomatic nature of
60	CKD, implying that routine screening or case finding are required to diagnose it.

Introduction

Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD) is characterised by persistent decreased kidney function, defined by
an estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) of less than 60mL/min/1.73m ² (1), measured on at least
2 occasions at least 3 months apart, or by other evidence of kidney damage. CKD prevalence is
increasing steadily with increasing prevalence of CKD risk factors such as obesity(2), diabetes(3),
hypertension(4), and age(5). In England, CKD prevalence is 18% for individuals aged over 60 years
and costs the NHS an estimated £1.4 billion annually(6,7). Despite rising prevalence, CKD is often
asymptomatic(8) and disease awareness remains alarmingly low(9). Early CKD detection may
facilitate timely intervention to prevent kidney function deterioration and reduce the overall burden of
CKD-related kidney failure(10,11).
Quality of life (QoL) measures the individual and societal burden of chronic diseases(12), and may
serve as a surrogate measure of disease severity and progression. Health-related QoL (HRQoL) is a
more focused assessment of general health perception(12–15). Since both are measured in this study
by the individual questionnaires, QoL is used as a generic term throughout.
Studies consistently indicate loss of function and well-being in people with CKD relative to the
general population(12-14,16-24), and reveal impaired QoL in people with more moderate renal
insufficiency, some of whom lack formal diagnoses(14,20,25). However, among people with CKD,
no association has been reported between CKD severity and QoL; rather, QoL is poorer at all CKD
stages compared to healthy individuals(13).
Nonetheless, it is likely that QoL may differ between participants with existing CKD diagnoses and
those detected through screening. Since patients with comorbid conditions, including diabetes and
hypertension, are routinely screened for CKD and renal impairment it is intuitive that these patients
enriched for other comorbidities causative of organ damage would have a lower QoL than those
detected at screening(26–28).
There are various measures of QoL. Studies using the Medical Outcomes Study Short Form-36 (SF-
36) questionnaire report disproportionate impairment of physical QoL domains compared to mental

QoL domains in adult people with CKD(12,20). The two outcome measures used in this study were collected as specific health economics outcome measures, used to value HRQoL and generic wellbeing and for use in economic evaluation when valued using their respective value weighted tariffs. By using multiple QoL scales, the suitability of either questionnaire for a CKD cohort can be evaluated and functional capability, well-being and general health perception of participants can be described in detail.

The 5-level EuroQol-5 Dimension (EQ-5D-5L) instrument measures HRQoL and comprises a health

status classification system and a separate visual analogue scale (EQ-VAS) quantifying overall health perception(29,30). The ICEpop CAPability measure for Adults (ICECAP-A)(31) measures broader wellbeing than the EQ-5D-5L and other profile instruments focused on health status. The two questionnaires measure separate constructs and provide largely different, complementary information(32). The ICECAP-A has not been widely used in the existing literature and, to our knowledge, never for a CKD cohort.

The primary aim of this investigation was to assess the relationship between QoL and early CKD in a screened cohort for the first time, by comparing people with existing CKD diagnoses with those identified through screening. Further interesting questions included whether any reduction in QoL is seen in people with transient changes to renal function and whether any observed changes in QoL were associated with reduced eGFR or comorbidity.

Method

The Oxford Renal Study (OxRen) recruited individuals aged over 60 years from primary care practices in Oxfordshire, UK(7). Baseline data from 1063 participants of the 3207 OxRen study invitees who had an established CKD diagnosis or a reduced eGFR measurement attended a baseline assessment until October 2020 were used. The sample size exceeds the population of 861 individuals recruited until July 2017 and included in the study by Hirst et al.(7) because continued follow-up and re-screening of the cohort meant that additional participants had one or more abnormal kidney function tests and thus became eligible for inclusion in this analysis.

Participants entered the cohort following existing CKD diagnoses in their general practice (GP) records (existing CKD) and screening tests of assessed serum creatinine to calculate eGFR using the older Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI) formula, which corrects for ethnicity, and the urinary albumin: creatinine ratio (UACR). Positive results were as follows: CKD diagnosis in medical records, eGFR <60 ml/min/1.73m², UACR >3mg/mmol; participants with 2 or more positive results were invited to baseline assessment. Those with two positive OxRen screening tests at least 12 weeks apart and no existing CKD diagnosis were classified as having screen-detected CKD(33). Those with only a single positive result in either of the two screening tests did not meet the full definition for CKD but were classified as having transient eGFR reduction, attended baseline assessment and represent the control group, since individuals who did not have an eGFR <60ml/min/1.73m² at first screening were not followed up. The 'transient eGFR reduction' group therefore includes participants with transient (likely subclinical) AKI, but no background of chronic renal impairment in addition to any spurious abnormal results on first testing. The study protocol was approved by South Central Oxfordshire Research Ethics Committee B and registered with the UK Clinical Research Network. All study participants gave written informed consent prior to recruitment. At the baseline visits, participants were comprehensively characterised: QoL, clinical and demographic data were obtained at baseline assessment using two QoL questionnaires, clinical testing, and detailed medical histories. The case report form used at the baseline assessment to collect these data and define relevant comorbid conditions is included (Appendix S1). 'Number of comorbidities' was calculated as a cumulative score of comorbid conditions identified at baseline visit for each participant. Each of the five dimensions of health status in the EQ-5D-5L questionnaire were scored on five levels (from 1 to 5): no, slight, moderate, severe, and extreme problems. Participants also gave one number indicative of their overall health on the separate EQ-VAS scale anchored at 0 (worst imaginable health) and 100 (best imaginable health). Participants were required to both indicate their score on the

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

scale and write their response in a box. Where there was a discrepancy between these scores, the number in the box was given priority.

Responses to the EQ-5D-5L descriptive system were valued using the cross-walk mapping algorithm onto the EQ-5D-3L value set in accordance with NICE's position statement(34), with values indexed at 0 (equivalent to death) and 1 (perfect health). EQ-5D-5L utility scores were analysed as the primary outcome measure since they are a comprehensive measure of overall QoL, incorporating scores for all EQ-5D-5L domains and EQ-VAS.

For each of the five items of capability in the ICECAP-A scoring comprises four response levels (from 1 to 4): no, little, much, and full capability. ICECAP-A scores for all attributes were also combined into utility scores anchored at 0 (no capability) and 1 (full capability) using a UK general population tariff(35).

Statistical Analysis

primary set of confounding variables (Model 1).

All data management and analyses were performed using R (version 4.0.3)(36). Data were tabulated for the full cohort and stratified by the three prespecified CKD subgroups (existing CKD, screen-detected CKD, transient GFR reduction). Categorical variables, summarised as frequencies and percentages, were compared between subgroups using Pearson's chi-squared test. Means and standard deviations were used to summarise all continuous variables, and subgroups compared using ANOVA. Where these data were non-normally distributed, logarithmic transformation of the data and Kruskal-Wallis non-parametric testing was used.

Multiple linear regression was used to estimate beta coefficients (difference in mean QoL utility scores) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for the association of CKD subgroups and pre-specified demographic clinical variables with QoL utility score. Only those comorbidities shown to be relevant to QoL of CKD patients in existing literature(18,32) were adjusted for initially; Model 1 adjusted for

age, sex, BMI, diabetes, hypertension, CVD, smoking status, eGFR, and number of comorbidities as a

Model 2 adjusted for all demographic and clinical data collected in OxRen (primary variables plus ethnicity, obesity, hip circumference, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, alcohol consumption, history of heart failure, atrial fibrillation, cerebral and peripheral vascular diseases, previous renal disease such as renal stones or cysts (which are separate from, but may contribute to, CKD), urinary tract infection, thyroid problems, anaemia, osteoporosis, osteopenia, and education status). Number of comorbidities was excluded from Model 2 due to multicollinearity with each of the comorbid conditions included as individual covariates. Obesity, anaemia, and hypertension were dichotomised such that participants either 'had' or 'did not have' the condition according to clinically relevant thresholds. QoL scores were also adjusted for CKD stage in an independent regression model. CKD stage was defined according to the 2012 KDIGO guidelines(38).

Missing QoL values were reported but excluded from further analysis; there were no missing data for demographic covariates. Multicollinearity was assessed with the variance inflation factor. The significance threshold was defined as 0.05 throughout.

Results

Descriptive analyses

Of the 1063 participants assessed at baseline assessment, 322 participants had existing CKD diagnoses (30.3%), 480 participants were diagnosed through screening (45.2%) and 261 participants had transient eGFR reduction (24.5%). 926 completed the EQ-5D-5L questionnaire, including EQ-VAS (87.1% completion) and 888 individuals answered all dimensions of the ICECAP-A questionnaire (83.5% completion rate). 857 participants had data for both questionnaires (**Figure S1**). **Table 1** characterises the 857 participants at baseline assessment with complete QoL data, stratified by CKD subgroup. **Table S1** summarises the entire OxRen cohort, including those participants with missing or incomplete QoL data.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the OxRen cohort of older adults from Oxfordshire primary care practices with complete QoL data. ^a Variables compared between CKD subgroups; *p<0.05

	Entire cohort (n=857) Existing CKD (n=272)		Screen-detected CKD (n=358)	Transient eGFR reduction (n=227)			
Characteristics	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	p-value ^a		
Age (years)	, ,		74.28 (6.37)	73.51 (7.02)	0.001*		
Weight (kg)	77.81 (16.74)	77.49 (16.56)	77.83 (16.93)	78.15 (16.71)	0.906		
Height (m)	1.67 (0.10)	1.67 (0.10)	1.68 (0.10)	1.67 (0.10)	0.283		
Waist circ. (cm)	96.80 (14.53)	97.21 (13.67)	96.26 (15.20)	97.14 (14.50)	0.662		
Hip circ. (cm)	106.23 (10.43)	107.05 (10.25)	105.37 (10.60)	106.60 (10.31)	0.113		
BMI (kg/m ²)	27.70 (5.27)	27.77 (5.02)	27.54 (5.60)	27.86 (5.04)	0.750		
eGFR (ml/min/1.73m ²)	63.79 (15.46)	56.15 (14.80)	67.64 (14.38)	66.89 (14.57)	<0.001*		
Number of comorbidities	2.37 (1.46)	3.07 (1.37)	2.00 (1.41)	2.13 (1.37)	<0.001*		
	_						
Sex	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)			
Male	400 (46.67)	119 (43.75)	184 (51.40)	97 (42.73)	0.067		
Female	456 (53.21)	153 (56.25)	174 (48.60)	129 (56.83)			
Ethnicity					ı		
White	98.60%	98.90%	98.60%	98.24%	0.947		
Other	1.28%	1.10%	1.40%	1.32%	1 3 3 7 7		
Comorbid disease		T	T	T	T 0 001*		
CKD stage II	506 (61.19)	108 (46.75)	261 (69.60)	137 (61.99)	<0.001*		
CKD stage IIIa	277 (33.49)	111 (48.05)	94 (25.07)	72 (32.58)	<0.001*		
CKD stage IIIb - IV	44 (5.32)	12 (5.19)	20 (5.33)	12 (5.43)	0.497		
Hypertension	503 (58.69)	180 (66.18)	193 (53.91)	130 (57.27)	0.007^{*}		
Diabetes	127 (14.82)	61 (22.43)	35 (9.78)	31 (13.66)	<0.001*		
Ischaemic Heart Disease	154 (17.97)	55 (20.22)	56 (15.64) 43 (18.94)		0.302		
Heart failure	37 (4.32)	15 (5.51)	15 (4.19)	7 (3.08)	0.408		
Atrial Fibrillation	107 (12.49)	41 (15.07)	39 (10.89)	27 (11.89)	0.277		
Cerebrovascular disease	72 (8.40)	26 (9.56)	32 (8.94)	14 (6.17)	0.354		
Peripheral vascular disease	Peripheral 31 (3.62) 8 (2.04)		14 (3.91)	9 (3.96)	0.770		
Previous Renal Disease	Previous Renal 341 (39 79) 236 (86 7)		71 (19.83)	34 (14.98)	<0.001*		
Anaemia	99 (11.55)	34 (12.50)	38 (10.61)	27 (11.89)	0.751		
Osteoporosis	72 (8.40)	24 (8.82)	32 (8.94)	16 (7.05)	0.692		
Osteopenia	47 (5.48)	17 (6.25)	22 (6.15)	8 (3.52)	0.318		
Smoking status							
Never	461 (53.79)	139 (51.10)	191 (53.35)	131 (57.71)			
Former	357 (41.66)	121 (44.49)	147 (41.06)	89 (39.21)	0.429		
Current	39 (4.55)	12 (4.41)	20 (5.59)	7 (3.08)			
Educational status							
No qualifications	322 (37.57)	105 (38.60)	138 (38.55)	79 (34.80)			
GCSE/O-levels	148 (17.27)	45 (16.54)	65 (18.16)	38 (16.74)			
A-levels	168 (19.60)	48 (17.65)	66 (18.44)	54 (23.79)	0.656		
University/ Postgraduate	219 (25.55)	74 (27.21)	89 (24.86)	56 (24.67)			

The subset of participants with complete QoL (**Table 1**) had a female majority (53.2%) and was predominantly white (98.6%). Hypertension (58.7%) and previous renal disease (39.8%) were the most common previously known comorbidities in the cohort. Participants with existing CKD diagnoses were older (75.7±6.9 years) than those with screen-detected (74.3±6.4 years) and transient GFR reduction (73.5±7.0years) (p=0.001). Hypertension (p<0.01), diabetes (p<0.001), and previous renal disease (p<0.001) were more common in participants with existing CKD diagnoses compared with the other subgroups. While these subgroup differences are all statistically significant, further post-hoc analysis is required to visualise the specific differences between subgroups. As expected, participants with existing CKD diagnoses had more comorbid conditions (3.07±1.37) than other participants (screen-detected: 2.00±1.37, transient GFR reduction: 2.13±1.37, p<0.001). eGFR stratified similarly: individuals with existing CKD had significantly worse eGFR at baseline (56.15±14.80ml/min/1.73m²) than individuals in the other CKD subgroups (screen-detected: 67.64±14.38ml/min/1.73m², transient GFR reduction: 66.89±14.57ml/min/1.73m², p<0.001). These differences in eGFR likely underlie the significant differences in CKD stages II and IIIa between subgroups. Table S2 compares participants with incomplete and complete QoL data. Only previous renal disease and number of comorbidities differed between the subsets; this, in combination with further analysis of only those participants with complete QoL data, reassures against systematic differences and

Quality of life domains

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

Figure 1 presents EQ-5D-5L (**Fig 1a**) and ICECAP-A (**Fig 1b**) QoL data stratified by questionnaire dimension and by CKD subgroup. These data are tabulated in **Table S3**. EQ-5D-5L highlighted self-care as the least affected ('no problem' for 90.8% of entire cohort), and pain/discomfort the most affected QoL domain ('no problem' for 30.5% of entire cohort). Participants with transient GFR reduction reported the lowest impact on pain/discomfort ('no problem' for 32.2% compared to 30.5% and 29.2% for those with screen-detected and existing CKD respectively). Screen-detected

justifies the generalisation of subsequent analyses to include those with incomplete QoL data.

participants had the highest proportion reporting no problems for mobility (54.8%) and self-care (92.2%) compared with transient eGFR reduction (mobility 49.2% and self-care 90.0%) and existing CKD (mobility 43.6% and self-care 89.6%).

ICECAP-A highlighted Enjoyment and Attachment as the two attributes most impacted in this CKD cohort (**Figure 1b, Table S3**). Only 30.0% of participants reported full Enjoyment capability (level 4), with this domain was consistently impaired across subgroups. Individuals with transient eGFR reduction were the least impaired in the Enjoyment and Attachment domains (47.9% of this subgroup reported full Attachment capability compared to 44% for the entire cohort). Achievement was shown to be the least affected dimension (96.9% full or much capability), and was most affected in those

Figure 1. EQ-5D-5L (a) and ICECAP-A (b) questionnaire responses stratified by dimension

with existing CKD, while remaining dimensions (Stability 65.9% and Autonomy 50.2% full

capability) were not notably impacted by CKD in any subgroup.

Table 2 shows mean questionnaire scores for the three QoL questionnaires, stratified by CKD subgroup. Mean (±SD) EQ-VAS score for the CKD cohort was 79.2±15.3; there was no statistically significant difference between the subgroups in ANOVA testing (p=0.78), non-parametric testing (p=0.83), and logarithmic transformation of questionnaire scores (p=0.91). There were also no significant differences between CKD subgroups in QoL scores combining all dimensions for either EQ-5D-5L or ICECAP-A questionnaires.

Table 2. Mean questionnaire scores in the entire OxRen cohort of older adults from Oxfordshire primary care practices and stratified by CKD subgroups

	Entire cohort (n=1063)	Existing CKD (n=322)	Screen-detected CKD (n=480)	Transient eGFR reduction (n=261)	p-value
EQ-VAS Mean (SD)	79.2 (15.3)	79.0 (15.7)	79.6 (15.2)	78.7 (14.9)	0.781
EQ-5D-5L Utility Mean (SD)	0.776 (0.161)	0.763 (0.171)	0.785 (0.156)	0.779 (0.157)	0.216
ICECAP-A Utility Mean (SD)	0.903 (0.105)	0.894 (0.115)	0.909 (0.094)	0.904 (0.110)	0.200

Association of CKD subgroups and other predictors with quality

of life utility scores

Table 3 shows differences in mean EQ-5D-5L and ICECAP-A utility scores by CKD subgroup (existing, screen-detected, or transient) and adjustment variables. There were no significant differences in mean QoL between CKD subgroups, regardless of the level of adjustment of type of QoL questionnaire.

Table 3. Unadjusted and adjusted estimates of the association of CKD subgroup and other covariates with QoL utility score. ^aUnadjusted: simple linear regression; ^bModel 1: multiple linear regression, partially adjusted for primary covariates; ^cModel 2: multiple linear regression, fully adjusted for all clinical and demographic variables. *p<0.05

		Mean	Unadjusteda			
		Utility	analysis	Model 1 ^b	Model 2 ^c	
	N	Score	Coefficient (CI)	Coefficient (CI)	Coefficient (CI)	
EQ-5D-5L						
Existing CKD diagnosis	480	0.763	0 Reference	0 Reference	0 Reference	
			0.022	0.007	0.003	
Screen-detected CKD	322	0.785	(-0.003, 0.046)	(-0.017, 0.031)	(-0.028, 0.034)	
Transient eGFR			0.016	0.001	-0.001	
reduction	261	0.779	(-0.011, 0.044)	(-0.026, 0.027)	(-0.035, 0.032)	
			-0.004*	-0.004*	-0.004*	
Age (per 1 year)	1063	0.776	(-0.005, -0.002)	(-0.006, -0.003)	(-0.006, -0.002)	
			-0.017	-0.036*	-0.046*	
Female vs male sex	488	0.785	(-0.038, 0.004)	(-0.057, -0.015)	(-0.084, -0.009)	
_			-0.005*	-0.005*	-0.009	
BMI (per 1 kg/m²)	1063	0.776	(-0.007, -0.003)	(-0.007, -0.003)	(-0.021, 0.004)	
			-0.057*	-0.038*	-0.032*	
Diabetes	163	0.728	(-0.086, -0.028)	(-0.067, -0.008)	(-0.063, -0.001)	
		0.740	-0.019	0.001	0.002	
Hypertension	615	0.768	(-0.041, 0.002)	(-0.020, 0.023)	(-0.021, 0.024)	
	404		-0.035*	-0.021	-0.005	
Ischaemic heart disease	186	0.747	(-0.063, -0.008)	(-0.048, 0.006)	(-0.034, 0.024)	
Never smoked	579	0.796	0 Reference	0 Reference	0 Reference	
			-0.041*	-0.038*	-0.023*	
Former smoker	438	0.755	(-0.063, -0.020)	(-0.059, -0.017)	(-0.046, -0.000)	
		0.721	-0.076*	-0.099*	-0.097*	
Current smoker	46	0.721	(-0.013, -0.024)	(-0.150,0485)	(-0.150, -0.043)	
eGFR	40.40	0.774	0.001*	0.001	0.000	
(per 1 ml/min/1.73m ²)	1063	0.776	(0.001, 0.002)	(0.000, 0.001)	(-0.001, 0.001)	
Number of	10.60	0.776	-0.028*	-0.029*		
comorbidities	1063	0.776	(-0.034, -0.021)	(-0.039, -0.018)		
ICECAP-A						
Existing CKD diagnosis	480	0.895	0 Reference	0 Reference	0 Reference	
		0.00-	0.015	0.010	0.008	
Screen- detected CKD	322	0.909	(-0.001, 0.031)	(-0.007, 0.026)	(-0.015, 0.030)	
Transient eGFR		0.001	0.010	0.005	0.002	
reduction	261	0.904	(-0.008, 0.028)	(-0.013, 0.024)	(-0.022, 0.026)	
Age		0.000	-0.001*	-0.001*	-0.002	
(per 1 year)	1063	0.903	(-0.002, 0.000)	(-0.001, -0.002)	(-0.002, -0.001)	

			-0.014*	-0.022*	-0.021
Female vs male sex	488	0.911	(-0.028, 0.000)	(-0.036, 0.007)	(-0.047, 0.004)
BMI			-0.001*	-0.001*	-0.005
(per 1 kg/m ²)	1063	0.903	(-0.003, 0.000)	(-0.001, -0.003)	(-0.010, 0.001)
			-0.010*	-0.003	-0.007
Diabetes	163	0.895	(-0.030, 0.009)	(-0.023, 0.018)	(-0.029 to 0.015)
			-0.008	-0.002	0.000
Hypertension	615	0.900	(-0.023, 0.006)	(-0.017, 0.012)	(-0.016, 0.024)
			-0.023*	-0.021*	-0.005
Ischaemic heart disease	186	0.885	(-0.041, -0.005)	(-0.039, 0.002)	(-0.034, 0.016)
Never smoked	576	0.911	0 Reference	0 Reference	0 Reference
			-0.015*	-0.016*	-0.012
Former smoker	438	0.896	(-0.030, -0.001)	(-0.030, -0.001)	(-0.028, 0.004)
			-0.036*	-0.045*	-0.042*
Current smoker	46	0.875	(-0.071, -0.002)	(-0.080, -0.010)	(-0.079, -0.005)
eGFR			0.001*	0.000	0.000
(per 1 ml/min/1.73m ²)	1063	0.903	(0.000, 0.001)	(0.000, 0.001)	(0.000, 0.001)
Number of			-0.010*	-0.008	
comorbidities	1063	0.903	(-0.015, -0.005)	(-0.015, 0.000)	

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

Older age, female sex, former and current smoking status were associated with lower mean EQ-5D-5L utility score in unadjusted and adjusted analyses. Increased eGFR and BMI, and a history of ischaemic heart disease were associated with lower mean EQ-5D-5L score in unadjusted but not adjusted analyses. The results for ICECAP-A were consistent for current smoking status only (-0.044, CI:-0.079 to -0.009). All other covariates were not associated with mean ICECAP-A score across models with different degrees of adjustment. Increased number of comorbidities was associated with decreased mean EQ-5D-5L utility score in both unadjusted (-0.028, CI: -0.034 to -0.021) and partially adjusted (-0.029, CI: -0.039 to -0.018) analysis. Number of comorbidities was similarly associated with ICECAP-A utility score in unadjusted (-0.010, CI: -0.015 to -0.005), but not adjusted analysis. Adjustment for CKD stage in a separate regression model (Table S5) showed CKD stages IIIa and IIIb were independently associated with EQ-5D-5L utility score. There were no other statistically significant associations between CKD stage and QoL stage. Sensitivity analyses (Table S6) revealed strong collinearity for height, weight, and waist circumference, marking these factors as redundant in the presence of other variables; they were removed from the regression model with BMI maintained as an index of these variables. All regression assumptions were satisfied for all analyses, barring normality of the residuals. Logarithmic and logistic transformation improved normality and corroborated the statistical significance of untransformed QoL utility scores.

Discussion

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

Overall, this population-based cohort study of English primary care patients screened for CKD found no statistically significant difference in QoL, as measured by two different questionnaires, between participants with existing CKD diagnoses, screen-detected CKD, and a transient eGFR reduction. The finding that number of comorbidities was associated with QoL in adjusted regression analyses of EQ-5D-5L scores is the most important finding in this study. Other factors independently associated with impaired QoL included increased age and current smoking status. Neither eGFR nor BMI, which was in the overweight range for all subgroups, were associated with QoL impairment, regardless of the questionnaire used. This is the first study, to our knowledge, to examine QoL in a primary care population taking part in a CKD screening programme and the first to use ICECAP-A for a CKD cohort. It is interesting that neither eGFR nor CKD subgroup were independently associated with QoL in this study, and that there was only limited association between CKD stage and QoL score. It is possible that the statistical power of this study was insufficient to detect any difference between the CKD subgroups since the cohort was generally healthy and disease progression is typically asymptomatic. It is also notable that mean eGFR for the screen-detected CKD subgroup is greater than the 60ml/min/1.73m² diagnostic cut-off; it suggests that CKD as defined by UACR constitutes a substantial proportion of CKD diagnoses made in OxRen. Overall, these findings suggest that subtle differences in CKD status between participants are not manifested in differential health perception. Mean EQ-5D-5L utility score (0.776±0.161) for this cohort aged over 60 years corroborates population averages(15), reported as 0.856 for the UK general population, reduced to 0.785 for individuals aged 65-74 years and 0.734 over 75 years(15). The EQ-VAS UK population norm is given as 80.0, reduced to 77.3 and 73.8 for 65-74 and ≥75 years respectively(15). Mean EQ-VAS score in

this study (79.2±15.3) indicates no significant impairment in people with early stages of CKD compared to the general population at the same age.

The distribution of both EQ-5D-5L and ICECAP-A scores showed a ceiling effect, whereby a high proportion of participants have a maximum utility score (1.00). This combined with the high mean utility score for ICECAP-A (0.903±0.105) suggest this questionnaire is inadequately sensitive to subtle QoL impairments. Since early CKD is typically asymptomatic, it is intuitive that capability would not be impaired in this cohort.

In this study, number of comorbidities was associated with QoL impairment in adjusted analyses of EQ-5D-5L but not ICECAP-A scores. The two questionnaires are not directly comparable since they have different theoretical bases and measure qualitatively different aspects of QoL. Several studies in elderly populations support the complementary use of these questionnaires in combination(32,39–41). Since ICECAP-A captures more QoL dimensions than traditional HRQoL instruments in Type 2 Diabetes patients(42) and is more suitable than EQ-5D-5L for assessing adults with psychiatric conditions(43), it may be that ICECAP-A becomes more relevant for this CKD cohort with disease

Strengths and Limitations

progression.

This study assessed multiple QoL scales, permitting evaluation of questionnaire suitability for a CKD cohort and detailed description of functional capability, well-being, and general health perception. It is the first study, to our knowledge, to use ICECAP-A for this disease cohort and to assess QoL in a screening programme of early, pre-dialysis CKD. Reporting both dimension-by-dimension and utility scores, the study maximises opportunity for future analysis of the OxRen cohort and overcomes the limitations of either questionnaire used in isolation. Extensive baseline demographic and clinical data collected in this study facilitated adjustment for a range of potentially confounding variables.

Reporting data at baseline prevented precise investigation of the relationship between CKD progression and QoL impairment in the present study. There is also potential for residual confounding by variables neither measured nor adjusted for in this study; number and type of medications is a

relevant missed covariate since it is likely to affect both physical and mental aspects of QoL and be differentially distributed between CKD subgroups(44). The significant impact dialysis is known to have on QoL(45) has also not been considered, nor has marital status. Additionally, since many of the covariates tested in this study were binary (participants either 'had' or 'did not have' comorbid conditions such as anaemia, obesity, and hypertension) any difference in severity between individuals and CKD subgroups is not accounted for. Selection bias is also possible since OxRen recruitment relied on volunteers following an invitation. OxRen did not collect QoL data for screen-positive patients prior to being told their diagnosis and it is likely that individuals with better QoL are more able, and more likely, to participate in a study; this may have confounded the lack of correlation between neither eGFR nor CKD with QoL in this cohort. The impact of chronic disease diagnoses on patients is important: one hypertension screening programme similar to OxRen saw a significant increase in absenteeism in the year immediately after screening as a result of participants knowing their diagnosis(46). Non-white ethnic groups were under-represented in our study (2% vs 5% in the 2011 census for individuals over 60 years(47)). EQ-VAS, but not EQ-5D-3L, scores were found to be statistically significantly lower among south Asians with diabetes compared to a white European subgroup in one study(48). Although no significant relationship between ethnicity and EQ-5D-5L utility score was identified in the present investigation, it is possible that the ethnicity of the cohort may have biased the results, improving QoL scores to higher than is representative of the general population. However, this over-representation of Caucasians in the study population was not deemed significant enough to

Comparison with existing literature

undermine comparison with UK population averages.

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

QoL impairments in CKD patients relative to healthy individuals are consistently reported(12–14,16–23) However, no association has been observed between CKD severity and overall QoL in the present study; this is at odds with existing studies showing an association between eGFR and QoL(16,18,20). Although the finding that physical EQ-5D-5L dimensions were disproportionately impaired

corroborates existing conclusions (14,22,24,39), this may be explained by the finding that physical QoL deteriorates over time while mental QoL remains stable over a longer period(45). The SF-36 questionnaire is most commonly used in studies of CKD(13,14,16,49,50), especially of paediatric(23,51–54) and kidney transplant populations(23,26,44). Studies investigating QoL in predialysis CKD individuals(13,22) have focused on the relationship between QoL and CKD progression, identifying low QoL as an independent risk factor of CKD progression(22). Overall, the disparities in patient cohort, QoL questionnaire, stage of disease, and research focus between this study and the existing literature have not contributed to a significant difference in findings, and this study largely supports existing conclusions. Age, sex, history of cardiovascular event, diabetes, anaemia, obesity, and hypertension are consistently reported as independent risk factors of QoL in both adult(13,37,56) and elderly(16) CKD patients. Predictors of QoL identified in this study included age and smoking status; neither hypertension, obesity nor anaemia were independently associated with QoL. This contrasts findings that decreased haemoglobin concentrations (12,13,16) and obesity (56-58) independently predict low QoL in CKD, although it remains unclear whether the anaemia and obesity here are equivalent to existing literature. Nevertheless, the total number of comorbidities was independently associated with lower QoL, suggesting that it is medical conditions associated with CKD rather than low eGFR per se that contribute to lower QoL in persons with early stage CKD. This is important because CKD typically occurs in the context of multimorbidity. The observation that pain/discomfort and self-care were the EQ-5D-5L dimensions most and least affected by CKD in this cohort respectively corroborates existing observations. In a 2013 study, 48.3% of the UK population reported 'problems' in pain/discomfort, compared to just 3.7% for selfcare(15). It is possible that the present results may reflect qualities inherent to EQ-5D questionnaire design rather than specific characteristics of the CKD cohort. Future studies utilising other QoL scales are required to verify the extent to which results may have been influenced by the questionnaires themselves.

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

Clinical implications

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

Overall, QoL impairments in this cohort are slight relative to population norms, indicating that patient burden of early CKD is minor. Nevertheless, it remains possible that QoL declines with eGFR in individuals, therefore prospective studies are required to investigate changes in QoL with CKD progression within individuals; continued data collection in OxRen represents a good opportunity to do this. Ultimately, it is likely that CKD-related comorbidities contribute more significantly to disease burden in earlier stages of CKD than renal function per se. The finding that number of comorbidities was associated with QoL in adjusted regression analyses of EQ-5D-5L scores, and that eGFR had very little effect, is the most important finding in this study. However, that there was no difference in OoL between the CKD subgroups in a fully adjusted model, despite eGFR and number of comorbid conditions differing between the subgroups, suggests that any observed QoL impairment in these individuals with pre-dialysis CKD is more complex than may be attributable to any one variable. It is also notable that current smoking status was identified as an important predictor of QoL in this patient group as this represents a modifiable means by which individuals with renal impairment may improve their QoL and overall health perception. These data reinforce the importance of routine screening for renal functional decline, such as in the monitoring of hypertension, since patients will not only be largely asymptomatic but are also unlikely to experience any subtle changes to health status.

Conclusion

The present study has found no difference in QoL between individuals with existing CKD diagnoses, screen-detected CKD, and a transient GFR reduction. Age, smoking status and number of comorbid conditions predicted QoL in this CKD cohort, within which EQ-5D-5L detected more impairment in physical QoL domains than mental. Continued follow-up and larger prospective studies are required to define the relationship between QoL and CKD progression more precisely.

387 Acknowledgements

- We would like to acknowledge the GP practices and the study participants who made this research
- possible by taking part in this study.

References

- Webster AC, Nagler EV., Morton RL, Masson P. Chronic Kidney Disease. Vol. 389, The
 Lancet. Lancet Publishing Group; 2017, p. 1238–52.
- Kramer H. Diet and Chronic Kidney Disease. Vol. 10, Advances in Nutrition. Oxford
 University Press; 2019, p. S367–79.
- 39. Shen Y, Cai R, Sun J, Dong X, Huang R, Tian S, et al. Diabetes mellitus as a risk factor for incident chronic kidney disease and end-stage renal disease in women compared with men: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Endocrine. 2017 Jan 1;55(1):66–76.
- Weldegiorgis M, Woodward M. The impact of hypertension on chronic kidney disease and end-stage renal disease is greater in men than women: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Nephrology. 2020 Dec 1;21(1):506.
- Ng J. K. C., Li P. K. T. Chronic kidney disease epidemic: How do we deal with it?. Vol. 23,
 Nephrology. Blackwell Publishing; 2018. p. 116–20.
- 402 6. Kerr M. Chronic Kidney Disease in England: The Human and Financial Cost. 2012; Available from: https://www.england.nhs.uk/improvement-hub/wp-content/uploads/sites/44/2017/11/
 404 Chronic-Kidney-Disease-in-England-The-Human-and-Financial-Cost.pdf (Accessed: 3rd
 405 November 2021)
- Hirst J. A., Ordóñez Mena J. M., Taylor C. J., Yang Y, Richard Hobbs F. D., O'Callaghan C.
 A., et al. Prevalence of chronic kidney disease in the community using data from OxRen: A
 UK population-based cohort study. British Journal of General Practice. 2020 Apr 1; 70(693):
 E285–93
- 410 8. Gaitonde D. Y. Chronic Kidney Disease: Detection and Evaluation. American family physician. 2017; 776–83.
- Hsiao L. L. Raising awareness, screening, and prevention of chronic kidney disease: It takes
 more than a village. Nephrology. 2018 Oct 1; 23:107–11.
- 414 10. Rysz J, Franczyk B, Cialkowska-Rysz A, Gluba-Brzózka A. The effect of diet on the survival of patients with chronic kidney disease. Vol. 9, Nutrients. MDPI AG; 2017
- Goraya N, Wesson D. E. Dietary management of chronic kidney disease: Protein restriction
 and beyond. Vol. 21, Current Opinion in Nephrology and Hypertension. Curr Opin Nephrol
 Hypertens; 2012. p. 635–40.
- Perlman R. L., Finkelstein F. O., Liu L, Roys E, Kiser M, Eisele G, et al. Quality of life in
 Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD): A cross-sectional analysis in the Renal Research Institute CKD study. American Journal of Kidney Diseases. 200; 45(4):658–66.

- 422 13. Kefale B, Alebachew M, Tadesse Y, Engidawork E. Quality of life and its predictors among
- patients with chronic kidney disease: A hospital-based cross sectional study. PLoS ONE. 2019
- 424 Feb 1; 14(2).
- 425 14. Chow F. Y. F., Briganti E. M., Kerr P. G., Chadban S. J., Zimmet P. Z., Atkins R. C. Health-
- related quality of life in Australian adults with renal insufficiency: A population-based study.
- American Journal of Kidney Diseases. 2003 Mar 1; 41(3):596–604.
- 428 15. Janssen B, Szende A. Population norms for the EQ-5D. In: Self-Reported Population Health:
- 429 An International Perspective Based on EQ-5D. Springer Netherlands; 201. p. 19–30.
- 430 16. Chin H. J., Song Y. R., Lee J. J., Lee S. B., Kim K. W., Na K. Y., et al. Moderately decreased
- renal function negatively affects the health-related quality of life among the elderly Korean
- population: A population-based study. Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation. 2008 Sep;
- 433 23(9):2810–7.
- 434 17. Park J. I., Baek H, Jung H. H. CKD and health-related quality of life: The Korea national
- health and nutrition examination survey. American Journal of Kidney Diseases. 2016 Jun
- 436 1;67(6):851–60.
- 437 18. Gorodetskaya I, Zenios S, McCulloch C. E., Bostrom A, Hsu C. Y., Bindman A. B., et al.
- Health-related quality of life and estimates of utility in chronic kidney disease. Kidney
- 439 International. 2005 Dec; 68(6):2801–8.
- 440 19. Cooper J. T., Lloyd A, Sanchez J. J. G., Sörstadius E, Briggs A, McFarlane P. Health related
- quality of life utility weights for economic evaluation through different stages of chronic
- kidney disease: A systematic literature review. Vol. 18, Health and Quality of Life Outcomes.
- 443 BioMed Central Ltd; 2020. p. 310.
- 444 20. Wyld M. L. R., Morton R. L., Clayton P, Wong M. G., Jardine M, Polkinghorne K, et al. The
- impact of progressive chronic kidney disease on health-related quality-of-life: a 12-year
- community cohort study. Quality of Life Research. 2019 Aug 15; 28(8):2081–90.
- 447 21. Wyld M, Morton R. L., Hayen A, Howard K, Webster A. C. A Systematic Review and Meta-
- 448 Analysis of Utility-Based Quality of Life in Chronic Kidney Disease Treatments. PLoS
- 449 Medicine. 2012 Sep; 9(9).
- 450 22. Oh T. R., Choi H. S., Kim C. S., Bae E. H., Oh Y. K., Kim Y. S., et al. Association between
- health related quality of life and progression of chronic kidney disease. Scientific Reports.
- 452 2019 Dec 1; 9(1):1–9.
- 453 23. Francis A, Didsbury M. S., van Zwieten A, Chen K, James L. J., Kim S, et al. Quality of life of
- 454 children and adolescents with chronic kidney disease: A cross-sectional study. Archives of
- 455 Disease in Childhood. 2019 Feb 1; 104(2):134–40.
- 456 24. Jesky M. D., Dutton M., Dasgupta I., Yadav P., Ng K. P., Fenton A., et al. Health-Related
- Quality of Life Impacts Mortality but Not Progression to End-Stage Renal Disease in Pre-
- 458 Dialysis Chronic Kidney Disease: A Prospective Observational Study. PloS one. 2016 Nov 1
- 459 11(11).
- 460 25. Harris L. E., Luft F. C., Rudy D. W., Tierney W. M. Clinical Correlates of Functional Status in
- Patients With Chronic Renal Insufficiency. American Journal of Kidney Diseases. 1993 Feb
- 462 1;21(2):161–6.

- 463 26. Wexler D. J., Grant R. W., Wittenberg E., Bosch J. L., Cagliero E., Delahanty L., et al.
- Correlates of health-related quality of life in type 2 diabetes. Diabetologia 2006 Jul
- 465 29;49(7):1489–97.
- 466 27. Jing X., Chen J., Dong Y., Han D., Zhao H., Wang X., et al. Related factors of quality of life
- of type 2 diabetes patients: A systematic review and meta-analysis Health and Quality of Life
- 468 Outcomes. 2018 Sep 19, 16(1):1–14.
- 469 28. Solli O., Stavem K., Kristiansen I. S. Health-related quality of life in diabetes: The
- associations of complications with EQ-5D scores. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes. 2010
- 471 Feb 4; 8(1):1–8.
- 472 29. van Reenen M, Janssen B, Stolk E, Boye K. S., Herdman M, Kennedy-Martin M, et al. EQ-
- 5D-5L User Guide Basic information on how to use the EQ-5D-5L instrument. Version 3.0.
- 474 Available from: www.eurogol.org (Accessed: 18th December 2020)
- 475 30. EuroQol a new facility for the measurement of health-related quality of life. (1990). *Health*
- 476 *Policy*, 16(3), 199–208.
- 477 31. ICECAP-A University of Birmingham. Available from: https://www.birmingham.ac.uk/
- 478 research/activity/mds/projects/HaPS/HE/ICECAP/ICECAP-A/index.aspx (Accessed: 18th
- 479 December 2020)
- 480 32. Keeley, T., Coast, J., Nicholls, E., Foster, N. E., Jowett, S., & Al-Janabi, H. (2016). An
- analysis of the complementarity of ICECAP-A and EQ-5D-3 L in an adult population of
- patients with knee pain. *Health and Quality of Life Outcomes*, 14(1), 1–5.
- 483 33. CKD Evaluation and Management KDIGO. Available from: https://kdigo.org/guidelines/ckd
- *-evaluation-and-management* (Accessed 18th December 2020)
- 485 34. Position statement on use of the EQ-5D-5L value set for England (updated October 2019)
- NICE technology appraisal guidance | NICE guidance | Our programmes | What we do | About
- 487 | NICE; Available from: https://www.nice.org.uk/about/what-we-do/our-programmes/nice-
- 488 *guidance/technology-appraisal-guidance/eq-5d-5l* (Accessed 18th December 2020)
- 489 35. Flynn T. N., Huynh E, Peters T. J., Al-Janabi H, Clemens S, Moody A, et al. Scoring the
- 490 ICECAP-A capability instrument. Estimation of a UK general population tariff. Health
- 491 Economics (United Kingdom). 2015 Mar 1; 24(3):258–69.
- 492 36. R Core Team (2020). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation
- for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. https://www.R-project.org/.
- 494 37. Mujais S. K., Story K, Brouillette J, Takano T, Soroka S, Franek C, et al. Health-related
- 495 quality of Life in CKD patients: Correlates and evolution over time. Clinical Journal of the
- 496 American Society of Nephrology. 2009; 4(8):1293–301.
- 497 38. Stevens, P. E., & Levin, A. (2013). Evaluation and management of chronic kidney disease:
- 498 Synopsis of the kidney disease: Improving global outcomes 2012 clinical practice guideline.
- 499 Annals of Internal Medicine, 158(11), 825–830.
- 500 39. Davis J. C., Liu-Ambrose T., Richardson C. G., Bryan S. A comparison of the ICECAP-O
- with EQ-5D in a falls prevention clinical setting: are they complements or substitutes? Quality
- of life research: an international journal of quality of life aspects of treatment, care, and
- rehabilitation. 2013 Jun; 22(5):969–77.

- Milte R., Crotty M., Miller M.D., Whitehead C., Ratcliffe J. Quality of life in older adults
 following a hip fracture: an empirical comparison of the ICECAP-O and the EQ-5D-3 L
 instruments. Health and quality of life outcomes. 2018 Sep 5;16(1).
- 507 41. Couzner L., Crotty M., Norman R., Ratcliffe J. A comparison of the EQ-5D-3L and ICECAP-508 O in an older post-acute patient population relative to the general population. Applied health 509 economics and health policy. 2013 Aug; 11(4):415–25.
- 510 42. Xiong Y., Wu H., Xu J. Assessing the reliability and validity of the ICECAP-A instrument in Chinese type 2 diabetes patients. Health and quality of life outcomes. 2021 Dec 1; 19(1).
- Mitchell P. M., Al-Janabi H, Byford S, Kuyken W, Richardson J, Iezzi A, et al. Assessing the
 validity of the ICECAP-A capability measure for adults with depression. BMC Psychiatry.
 2017 Feb 2; 17(1).
- Artzi-Medvedik R, Kob R, Fabbietti P, Lattanzio F, Corsonello A, Melzer Y, et al. Impaired
 kidney function is associated with lower quality of life among community-dwelling older
 adults: The screening for CKD among older people across Europe (SCOPE) study. BMC
 geriatrics. 2020 Oct 2; 20
- Legrand K., Speyer E., Stengel B., Frimat L., Ngueyon Sime W., Massy Z. A., et al. Perceived
 Health and Quality of Life in Patients With CKD, Including Those With Kidney Failure:
 Findings From National Surveys in France. American journal of kidney diseases: the official
 journal of the National Kidney Foundation. 2020 Jun 1; 75(6):868–78.
- Haynes R. B., Sackett D. L., Taylor D. W., Gibson E. S., Johnson A. L. Increased Absenteeism
 from Work after Detection and Labeling of Hypertensive Patients. 1978 Oct 5 299(14):741–4
- 525 47. Ethnic groups by sex and age from the 2011 Census Office for National Statistics. Available 526 from: https://www.ons.gov.uk/aboutus/transparencyandgovernance/freedomofinformationfoi 527 /ethnicgroupsbysexandagefromthe2011census (Accessed: 18th December 2020)
- 528 48. Jhita T, Petrou S, Gumber A, Szczepura A, Raymond NT, Bellary S. Ethnic differences in 529 health related quality of life for patients with type 2 diabetes. Health and Quality of Life 530 Outcomes. 2014 Jun 5; 12(1):83.
- 49. Wong M. G., Ninomiya T, Liyanage T, Sukkar L, Hirakawa Y, Wang Y, et al. Physical
 component quality of life reflects the impact of time and moderate chronic kidney disease,
 unlike SF-6D utility and mental component SF-36 quality of life: An AusDiab analysis.
 Nephrology. 2019 Jun 1; 24(6):605–14.
- 535 50. Alves K. B., Guilarducci N. V., Santos T. D. R., Baldoni A. O., Otoni A, Pinto S. W. L, et al. Is quality of life associated with compliance to pharmacotherapy in patients with chronic kidney disease undergoing maintenance hemodialysis? Einstein (Sao Paulo, Brazil). 2018; 16(1): eAO4036.
- 539 51. Pardede S. O., Rafli A, Gunardi H. Quality of Life in Chronic Kidney disease children using 540 assessment Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory. Saudi journal of kidney diseases and 541 transplantation: an official publication of the Saudi Center for Organ Transplantation, Saudi 542 Arabia. 2019 Jul; 30(4):812–8.
- 543 52. Heath J, Norman P, Christian M, Watson A. Measurement of quality of life and attitudes 544 towards illness in children and young people with chronic kidney disease. Quality of Life 545 Research. 2017 Sep 1; 26(9):2409–19.

- 53. Dotis J, Pavlaki A, Printza N, Stabouli S, Antoniou S, Gkogka C, et al. Quality of life in children with chronic kidney disease. Pediatric Nephrology. 2016 Dec 1; 31(12):2309–16.
- 548 54. Baek H. S., Kang H. G., Choi H. J., Cheong H il, Ha I. S., Han K. H., et al. Health-related
 549 quality of life of children with pre-dialysis chronic kidney disease. Pediatric Nephrology. 2017
 550 Nov 1; 32(11):2097–105.
- 55. Liem Y. S., Bosch J. L., Myriam Hunink M. G. Preference-based quality of life of patients on renal replacement therapy: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Value in Health. 2008;
 553 11(4):733-41.
- 56. Porter A. C., Lash J. P., Xie D., Pan Q., Deluca J., Kanthety R., et al. Predictors and Outcomes
 of Health-Related Quality of Life in Adults with CKD. Clinical journal of the American
 Society of Nephrology. 2016; 11(7):1154–62.
- 57. Fraser SDS, Barker J, Roderick PJ, Yuen HM, Shardlow A, Morris JE, et al. Health-related quality of life, functional impairment and comorbidity in people with mild-to-moderate chronic kidney disease: a cross-sectional study. BMJ Open. 2020 July 6; 10(8)
- 560 58. Schweitzer M. L., Stengel B., Legrand K., Briançon S., Jacquelinet C., Combe C., et al.

 561 Obesity phenotype and patient-reported outcomes in moderate and severe chronic kidney

 562 disease: a cross-sectional study from the CKD-REIN cohort study. Quality of life research: an

 563 international journal of quality of life aspects of treatment, care and rehabilitation. 2019 Jul 15;

 564 28(7):1873–83.

Supporting Information

Appendix S1. Case report form

Figure S1. Flowchart of study design and participant subgroups

Table S1. Baseline characteristics of the OxRen cohort of older adults from Oxfordshire primary care practices stratified by CKD subgroup

Table S2. Baseline characteristics of the OxRen cohort of older adults from Oxfordshire primary care practices stratified by availability of complete QoL data

Table S3. QoL in the entire OxRen cohort of older adults from Oxfordshire primary care practices stratified by type of questionnaire, QoL dimension, and CKD subgroup

Table S4. Secondary linear regression model, fully adjusted for all clinical and demographic variables

Table S5. Adjusted estimates of the association of CKD stage with QoL utility score

Table S6. Sensitivity analyses for QoL questionnaire data