Drug screening identifies tazarotene and bexarotene as therapeutic agents in Multiple Sulfatase Deficiency

Authors: Lars Schlotawa[1*], Karolina Tyka[1], Matthias Kettwig[1], Rebecca C. Ahrens-Nicklas[2], Matthias Baud[3], Tea Berulava[4], Nicola Brunetti-Pierri[5,6], Alyssa Gagne[7,8], Zackary M. Herbst[9], Jean A. Maguire[7,8], Jlenia Monfregula[5,6], Tonatiuh Pena[4,10], Karthikeyan Radhakrishnan[11], Sophie Schröder[4], Elisa A. Waxman[7,8], Andrea Ballabio[5,6,12], Thomas Dierks[11], André Fischer[4,13,14], Deborah L. French[7,8], Michael H. Gelb[9], Jutta Gärtner[1]

Affiliations:
[1]Department of Paediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, University Medical Centre Göttingen, Robert- Koch- Str. 40, 37075 Göttingen, Germany

[2]The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Division of Human Genetics and Metabolism, 3401 Civic Center Blvd., Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA

[3]School of Chemistry and Institute for Life Sciences, University of Southampton, Highfield, SO17 1BJ, Southampton, United Kingdom

[4]Department for Epigenetics and Systems Medicine in Neurodegenerative Diseases, German Centre for Neurodegenerative Diseases, Von-Siebold-Str. 3a, 37075 Göttingen, Germany

[5]Telethon Institute of Genetics and Medicine, Via Campi Flegrei, 34, 80078 Pozzuoli, Italy

[6]Department of Translational Medicine, University of Naples Federico II, Via Pansini, 5, 80131 Naples, Italy

[7]The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Center for Cellular and Molecular Therapeutics, 3401 Civic Center Blvd., Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA

[8]The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, 3401 Civic Center Blvd., Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA

[9]University of Washington, Department of Chemistry, 4000 15th Ave NE, 36 Bagley Hall, Seattle, WA 98195, USA

[10]Bioinformatics Unit, German Centre for Neurodegenerative Diseases, Von-Siebold-Str. 3a, 37075 Göttingen, Germany

[11]Faculty of Chemistry, Biochemistry I, Bielefeld University, Universitätsstr. 25, 33615 Bielefeld, Germany

[12]Department of Molecular and Human Genetics and Neurological Research Institute, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX 77030, USA.

[13]Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University Medical Center Göttingen, Von-Siebold-Str. 5, 37077 Göttingen, Germany

[14]Multiscale Bioimaging Cluster of Excellence, University of Göttingen, University Medical Center Göttingen, Robert-Koch-Str. 40, 37075 Göttingen, Germany

*Corresponding author. Email: lars.schlotawa@med.uni-goettingen.de

Running title: Drug screening in Multiple Sulfatase Deficiency

Word count:

Abstract: 172 words

Main text (introduction, results, discussion, materials and methods): 10631 words

Abstract:

Multiple Sulfatase Deficiency (MSD, MIM #272200) results from pathogenic variants in the SUMF1 gene that impair proper function of the formylglycine-generating enzyme (FGE). FGE is essential for the posttranslational activation of cellular sulfatases. MSD patients display reduced sulfatase activities and clinical signs of single sulfatase disorders in a unique combination. Up to date therapeutic options for MSD are mostly palliative. We performed a screen of FDA-approved drugs using immortalised MSD patient fibroblasts. Recovery of arylsulfatase A activity served as the primary readout. Subsequent analysis confirmed that treatment of MSD fibroblasts with tazarotene and bexarotene led to a correction of MSD pathophysiology. Upon treatment, sulfatase activities increased in a dose- and time-dependent manner, glycosaminoglycan content decreased and lysosomal position and size normalized. Treatment of MSD patient derived induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSC) differentiated into neuronal progenitor cells (NPC) resulted in a positive treatment response. Tazarotene and bexarotene act to ultimately increase the stability of FGE variants. The results lay the basis for future research on the development of a first therapeutic option for MSD patients.

Key words:

Drug screening/ formylglycine-generating-enzyme/ lysosomal disorder/ retinoids/ sulfatase

modifying factor 1

INTRODUCTION

Multiple Sulfatase Deficiency (MSD, MIM #272200) is an ultra-rare lysosomal disorder caused by pathogenic variants in the *SUMF1* gene encoding the formylglycine generating enzyme (FGE) (Cosma *et al*, 2003; Dierks *et al*, 2003). FGE is localized in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and is required for the activation of all newly synthesized sulfatases. FGE oxidises a conserved cysteine in the active site of every sulfatase to formylglycine, which is required for catalytic activity (Dierks *et al*, 2005). Sulfatases are a group of 17 enzymes in humans necessary for the catalytic breakdown of sulfated substrates. The majority are localized in lysosomes, while others are found in the ER, Golgi, and on the cell surface (Diez-Roux & Ballabio, 2005). Most *SUMF1* pathogenic variants are single amino acid substitutions that lead to FGE protein misfolding (Schlotawa *et al*, 2011; Schlotawa *et al*, 2020). Improperly folded FGE protein retains some residual activity, leading to some degree of downstream residual sulfatase activities (Schlotawa *et al.*, 2011). Misfolded FGE variants interact with protein disulfide isomerase (PDI) in the ER where PDI targets non-natural disulfide bridges formed in FGE because of misfolding and determines early degradation of FGE variants. Knockdown or pharmacological inhibition of PDI partially rescues sulfatase activities in MSD patient derived cells (Schlotawa *et al*, 2018).

The combined and variable deficiency of all cellular sulfatases leads to a complex clinical presentation in MSD patients with signs of single sulfatase deficiencies like metachromatic leukodystrophy (MLD), several mucopolysaccharidosis subtypes (MPS II, IIIa, IIId, IVa, VI, X), X-linked recessive chondrodysplasia punctata type 1 (CDPX1), and X-linked ichthyosis (XLI) (Adang *et al*, 2020; Cappuccio *et al*, 2020; Schlotawa *et al.*, 2020; Verheyen *et al*, 2021). MSD is an early-onset progressive disease. Natural disease history data reveal that the mean survival of MSD patients is 13 years. Currently, more than 50 patients are known worldwide and nearly 150

MSD cases have been described in the literature since its first characterization (Adang *et al.*, 2020; Cappuccio *et al.*, 2020; Schlotawa *et al.*, 2020). MSD disease severity correlates with *SUMF1* mutation severity: unstable FGE variants with extremely reduced activity cause severe forms of MSD, whereas variants with higher residual activity and stability result in attenuated phenotypes (Adang *et al.*, 2020; Schlotawa *et al.*, 2020). The most severe cases were found to entirely lack FGE function (Busche *et al*, 2009; Schlotawa *et al*, 2019).

There is currently no disease-modifying therapy for MSD and the only treatment options are symptomatic and palliative (Ahrens-Nicklas *et al*, 2018). One potential drug development strategy in ultra-rare disorders such as MSD is drug repurposing, alternatively called repositioning. Licensed drugs are screened for their potential as a treatment in different diseases beyond their original indication. Because safety and efficacy data have been obtained in previous studies and do not necessarily need to be generated again, drug repurposing is time- but also cost-effective compared to the development of new drugs. This is especially attractive for treating rare diseases with comparatively low commercial interest and devastating diseases without any existing therapy (Pushpakom *et al*, 2019; Strittmatter, 2014).

In this study, we present the results from a high throughput phenotypic screen of 785 FDA-approved drugs on MSD patient cells, resulting in the discovery of two structurally and mechanistically related retinoid drugs reversing the cellular MSD phenotype.

RESULTS

A screen of 785 FDA approved drugs reveals 13 hits that increase arylsulfatase A activity in MSD patient cells

In preparation for our drug screen, we adopted a method developed by Geng et al. based on a diagnostic routine arylsulfatase A (ARSA) activity assay for use in 96-well plates with lysates of MSD patient derived cells (see appendix materials and methods for details, Appendix Fig.S1A) (Baum *et al*, 1959; Geng *et al*, 2011). This spectrophotometric assay detects changes in optical density (OD) at 515 nm when a sulfate from the synthetic substrate p-nitrocatechol sulfate (pNCS) is enzymatically cleaved off by ARSA, resulting in the generation of the coloured p-nitrocatechol (pNC) product as a quantifiable readout. In this assay, an increase in OD indicates higher pNC levels, correlating with an increased ARSA activity (Baum *et al.*, 1959). We used an immortalized MSD patient derived primary fibroblast line (MSDi) with a homozygous *SUMF1* missense mutation (c.463C>T, p.Ser155Pro) (Cosma *et al.*, 2003).

Mean baseline OD of all dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) treated controls was 0.03 (standard deviation (SD) 0.004, median 0.03, minimum 0.01, maximum 0.04, n=108, Appendix Fig. S1B). MSDi cells stably expressing FGE-His wild type protein thereby rescuing ARSA activity served as a positive control and were used to determine the upper OD limit (mean 0.16, SD 0.04, median 0.15, minimum 0.09, maximum 0.25, n=28).

We performed a primary screen using an FDA-approved drug-library that was gifted by LifeArc, London, UK, containing 785 licensed drugs (1 mM stocks in DMSO, see details in the experimental section) at a final concentration of 10 µM (1 % DMSO content). We identified 13 drugs that exceeded the upper limit of baseline OD values in lysates of treated cells (Fig. 1A, Appendix Fig. S1C,D, Appendix Fig S2, Appendix Figure S3)

Drugs that resulted in ODs below baseline impaired cell viability and were screened again at a final concentration of 1 and 0.1 µM but revealed no further hits (Appendix Fig. S4 A,B). All hit drugs were counter screened under standard assay conditions, devoid of cells to detect any

interference with the ARSA assay and artificial OD increase but no such false positive hits were detected (Appendix Fig. S4C). We chose tazarotene, clindamycin, vorinostat, and asenapine as a first selection of hit drugs to be included in follow up experiments.

Tazarotene and bexarotene effectively increase the activity of lysosomal sulfatases in immortalized MSD patient cells

To analyze if the treatment response was reproducible outside of the 96-well format, MSDi cells were treated with fresh stocks of commercially available selected hit drugs at a final concentration of 10 µM of each for 3 and 6 days in cell culture flasks. Samples were analysed by standard diagnostic lysosomal enzyme activity assays in cell lysates (see materials and methods for details). Only tazarotene showed a significant increase of ARSA and N-acetylgalactosamine-6-sulfatase (GALNS) activity assays (Fig. 1B, Fig. EV1A-C). Activities of non-sulfatase lysosomal hydrolases β-hexosaminidase A and B (betaHEXAB) and β-galactosidase (betaGAL) did not significantly change upon drug treatment compared to DMSO only treated controls (Fig. EV1D,E). MSDi cells, treated for 3 days with different tazarotene concentrations, displayed a dose-dependent significant increase of ARSA activities as compared to baseline. Increased activity was noted at a drug concentration as low as 2 µM for ARSA and 5 µM for GALNS (ARSA activity: EC50 4.9 µM; GALNS activity: EC50 2 µM, Fig. 1C,D, Fig. EV1F,G).

Tazarotene belongs to the 3rd generation of retinoids, compounds synthesized from vitamin A (Khalil *et al*, 2017). To analyze the potential of other retinoids to increase ARSA activity in MSDi cells, we used compounds of every retinoid generation applying the same treatment conditions. In addition, tazarotenic acid, the biological active form of tazarotene after first pass metabolism in organisms (Tang-Liu *et al*, 1999), was included. Beside tazarotenic acid, 3rd generation retinoid

bexarotene and 2nd generation retinoid isotretinoin led to a significant ARSA activity increase as compared to control treatment. Other retinoids failed to increase ARSA activity significantly (Fig. 1E). Based on these results, we next tested bexarotene for a dose-dependent effect on ARSA activity in MSDi cells and detected a significant increase (EC50 5.9 µM, Fig. 1F, Fig. EV1H). Tazarotene and bexarotene are well-known cell active agonists of retinoic acid receptors (RAR) and retinoid X receptors (RXR), respectively (Hofmann *et al*, 1999; Miller *et al*, 1997). We investigated the effect of treatment on ARSA activity with a fixed combination of tazarotene and bexarotene at a ratio of 1:2, chosen based on doses that previously increased ARSA activity when given individually. We observed a dose-dependent, significant increase of ARSA activity starting at concentrations as low as 1 µM tazarotene and 2 µM bexarotene. (ARSA activity: EC50 0.9/ 1.8 µM tazarotene/ bexarotene, Fig 1G, Fig. EV1I). Finally, we used 10/20 µM tazarotene/bexarotene for analysing a time-dependent response of ARSA activity. ARSA activity went up 7.6-fold to a maximum of 25.8 nmol/h/mg (SD 2.3) after 9 days of treatment (Fig. 1H).

Tazarotene and bexarotene increase sulfatase activities and reduce LAMP1 staining and GAG storage in primary MSD patient cells

To investigate the effect of tazarotene and bexarotene on primary, non-immortalized MSD fibroblasts, we treated a previously described patient derived fibroblast line with the severe homozygous *SUMF1* mutation (c.739G>C, pGly.247Arg) (Schlotawa *et al.*, 2011) with increasing concentrations of tazarotene and bexarotene at an extended standard treatment time of 6 days. Tazarotene treatment led to a dose-dependent, significant increase of ARSA activity at concentrations as low as 5 µM (EC50 10.1 µM, Fig. 2A, Fig. EV2A). Applying the same experimental conditions, bexarotene increased ARSA activity slightly (EC 50 3.4 µM, Fig. 2B,

Fig. EV2B). However again, the combination of both drugs at a fixed combination 1:2 of tazarotene:bexarotene led to dose-dependent increase of ARSA activity with significant differences against DMSO treated controls as low as 2.5/5 µM tazarotene/bexarotene (EC 50 5.3/10.6 µM, Fig. 2C, Fig. EV2C). To assess time-dependency, we extended treatment times at a concentration of 10 µM tazarotene and 20 µM bexarotene up to 21 days. A maximum ARSA activity was reached after 9 days with no changes up to 21 days of treatment (ARSA activity 9 days: 175.8 nmol/h/mg (SD 25.9 nmol/h/mg), 10.9-fold increase, Fig. 2D). This activity reached 21.6 % of mean ARSA activity determined in five untreated non-LSD primary fibroblast lines (810.9 nmol/h/mg, SD 136,9 nmol/h/mg, Fig. EV2D).

Next, we used lower concentrations of tazarotene and bexarotene ranging from 0.1/0.2 to 2.5/5 µM and extended the treatment time to 21 days to assess the lower range of working concentrations. We still detected a significant increase of ARSA activity at concentrations as low as 0.25/0.5 µM tazarotene/bexarotene (Fig. EV2E). To test the drugs effect on multiple sulfatases we treated cells with 10 µM tazarotene and 20 µM bexarotene for 6 days and saw a significant increase of activities of lysosomal sulfatases arylsulfatase B (ARSB, 2.6-fold) and GALNS (3.3-fold) as well as the non-lysosomal sulfatase steryl-sulfatase (STS, 7.7-fold, Fig. 2E).

To explore whether the activity increase occurs with other *SUMF1* variants, we treated four different homozygous primary MSD patient fibroblast lines under standard conditions (10/20 µM tazarotene/bexarotene, 6 days). Baseline ARSA activities varied between 17.7 nmol/h/mg and 131.2 nmol/h/mg reaching 2 to 16 % of control activities in non-MSD fibroblasts (Fig. 2F, Fig. EV2D). All fibroblast lines showed a significant increase of ARSA activity between 2-fold (line 3, FGE Ala279Val) and 5.5-fold (line 1, FGE Gly247Arg) (Fig. 2F). The highest ARSA activity upon treatment was 324.5 nmol/h/mg, reaching 40 % of control activities. Treatment of seven

different homozygous primary MSD fibroblast lines with 10 µM tazarotene confirmed a mutation independent response also to single treatment (ARSA activity increase 1.3 - 3.3-fold, maximum activity 282.4 nmol/h/mg, 35 % of control activity, Fig. EV2F).

As a side effect, 6-day treatment with tazarotene, bexarotene, and tazarotene/bexarotene resulted in reduced cell proliferation when compared to cells treated with DMSO only. Cell culture conditions (cell density, cultivation time) did not influence endogenous ARSA activity in MSD fibroblasts until 9 days of cultivation (Appendix supplementary results, Appendix Fig. S5A-D, Appendix Fig. S6A-F). To determine if programmed cell death contributed to the observed decrease in cell growth we quantified cleaved Poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) expression levels, a marker of programmed cell death (Duriez & Shah, 1997). No significant differences in PARP levels between tazarotene/bexarotene and DMSO treated MSD- and control fibroblasts were detected (Fig. EV2G).

To evaluate the efficacy of tazarotene and bexarotene on cell types different from fibroblasts, an iPSC line was generated from a MSD patient (compound heterozygous for *SUMF1* mutations c.463T>C, p.Ser155Pro and c.1034G>A, p.Arg345His, Appendix Fig. S7A-F) Control and MSD patient-derived iPSC lines were differentiated into neuronal progenitor cells (NPCs) and treated with 5/5 µM tazarotene/bexarotene for four days. Baseline activities of ARSA and N-sulfoglucosamine sulfohydrolase (SGSH) in MSD NPCs were 11 (SD 0.49) and 0.22 (SD 0.03) nmol/h/mg, reaching 34% and 9.6% of control activities, respectively. Both activities significantly increased upon treatment (ARSA 17.6 nmol/h/mg, SD 0.5, 1.6- fold; SGSH 0.46 nmol/h/mg, SD 0.01, 2.1-fold). Control iPSCs showed a slight increase in ARSA activity (1.2-fold) and slightly reduced SGSH activity (1.3-fold) upon treatment (Fig. 2G,H).

Enlarged lysosomes are a hallmark of cellular pathophysiology in lysosomal disorders including MSD (Xu *et al*, 2014). To analyse the effect of tazarotene and bexarotene treatment on enlarged lysosomes we quantified lysosomal-associated membrane protein 1 (LAMP1) integrated fluorescence density in MSD and control fibroblasts (Fig. 3A,B). Vehicle-treated MSD fibroblasts displayed increased LAMP1 integrated fluorescence density as compared to control fibroblasts. Upon treatment with tazarotene and bexarotene we observed a significant reduction of LAMP1 integrated fluorescence intensity compared to DMSO treated MSD fibroblasts. Fluorescence intensity of tazarotene and bexarotene treated control fibroblasts was unchanged compared to DMSO treated control fibroblasts (integrated fluorescence density control fibroblasts: DMSO 7.4×10^8, Taz/Bex 7.9×10^8; MSD fibroblasts: DMSO 3.7×10^9, Taz/Bex 1.9×10^9, Fig. 3C). In addition, the size of lysosomes in MSD fibroblasts was increased compared to non-MSD fibroblasts under DMSO conditions. We observed a significant reduction in lysosomal size upon treatment with tazarotene and bexarotene as compared to untreated MSD fibroblasts. Again, we detected no differences in tazarotene and bexarotene versus DMSO treated control fibroblasts (control fibroblasts: DMSO: 1.23 µm, ± 0.1 µm, Taz/Bex: 1.14 µm, ± 0.1 µm, MSD fibroblasts: DMSO: 6.9 µm, ± 2.5 µm, Taz/Bex: 2.47 µm, ± 1.2 µm; Fig. 3D).

In MSD patients, glycosaminoglycans (GAGs) and sulfatides accumulate in tissues and organs (Guerra *et al*, 1990; Macaulay *et al*, 1998). Although we did not detect any sulfatides in MSD or control fibroblasts, we detected five different GAG subspecies in control and MSD primary fibroblasts by adapting a mass spectrometry method for GAG detection for its use in lysates from fibroblasts (Fuller *et al*, 2004) (see materials and methods for details). The amount of all five GAG subspecies was increased in one MSD primary fibroblasts line (MSD2, p.Gly247Arg) compared to unaffected control fibroblasts. The amount of four different GAG subspecies was elevated in

two more MSD fibroblast lines compared to control fibroblasts (MSD1, p. Gly247Arg, MSD3 p.Ala279Val; Fig. 3E,F; Table EV1).

To evaluate if tazarotene and bexarotene could reduce GAG accumulation, cells were treated for 21 days to allow sufficient time for clearance of accumulated storage material. We detected a significant reduction of all glycosaminoglycan subspecies in three primary MSD fibroblast lines compared to DMSO treatment (Fig. 3E,F; Table EV1).

Tazarotene and bexarotene work via retinoic acid receptors and induce gene expression in MSD patient cells

Retinoids bind to the retinoic acid receptors RAR and RXR, which, after homo- or heterodimerization, bind to DNA elements in promotors and initiate transcription (di Masi *et al*, 2015). To elucidate, which retinoic acid receptors are involved in the treatment response, MSDi cells were pre-treated with AGN193109, a pan-RAR antagonist (Standeven *et al*, 1996), and HX531, a pan-RXR antagonist (Yotsumoto *et al*, 2005), followed by additional treatment with tazarotene and bexarotene alone or in combination, respectively. Incubation of MSDi cells with increasing concentrations of AGN193109 as single agent did not affect ARSA activity. Tazarotene-induced ARSA activity increase was abolished upon AGN193109 treatment. Bexarotene treatment showed no significant increase of ARSA activity in this experiment and no detectable changes with additional AGN193109 treatment. Tazarotene/bexarotene-induced ARSA activity increase was abolished upon AGN193109 treatment in a dose dependent manner but still higher than tazarotene alone except for the highest AGN193109 concentration (Fig. 4A). HX531 as single agent showed minimal agonistic function and increased ARSA activities at 20 µM concentration. Tazarotene-induced ARSA activity increase was abolished, but only at the highest

HX531 concentration (20 µM). Again, bexarotene only treatment did not increase ARSA activity with no significant changes upon HX531 treatment. However, increasing HX531 doses reduced the ARSA activity increase by tazarotene/bexarotene thereby abolishing the additional ARSA activity increase compared to tazarotene only treatment (Fig. 4B). In summary, blocking of RAR-receptors inhibited ARSA activity increase through tazarotene/ bexarotene and tazarotene, RXR-receptor blocking predominantly inhibited tazarotene/bexarotene response. No significant differences were detected with blocking of both receptors and treatment with bexarotene only.

To explore how tazarotene/bexarotene treatment affected gene expression in MSD cells we subjected treated and untreated MSD and control fibroblasts to RNAseq analysis and were able to analyze the expression of 16385 genes. Selected genes, well-known to react to retinoic acid treatment (Napoli, 2017), showed increased transcription upon tazarotene/bexarotene treatment thereby indicating successful treatment response. RNA-expression of *RARRES1*, *CYP26B1*, and *RARB* was concordantly significantly increased for both MSD and control fibroblasts upon treatment compared to untreated controls. RNA-expression of *RARRES 2* and *3* showed a concordant trend towards increased expression. RNA-expression of other RAR and RXR receptors was unchanged except for *RARA* that was only increased in treated control fibroblasts and *RXRA* that was decreased in treated MSD fibroblasts. No expression of *RXRG* could be detected in either condition (Fig. EV3A).

We assumed that increased transcription of sulfatases genes, known interacting partners of FGE or *SUMF1*, the only known activating factor for sulfatases, could be an underlying cause for the sulfatase activity increase upon tazarotene and bexarotene treatment in MSD cells. However, RNA-expression analysis revealed significantly decreased *SUMF1* transcription in MSD fibroblasts upon treatment and no changes in transcription levels of detectable genes for FGE

interacting partners *SUMF2*, *P4HB, ERP44*, and *FURIN* (Fig. EV3B). From 17 encoded sulfatases

in the human genome, all transcripts except four (*ARSE, ARSF, ARSH, ARSK*) could be detected.

Transcription of those sulfatases that showed increased catalytic activity upon

tazarotene/bexarotene treatment was unchanged (*ARSA, ARSB, GALNS*), while *STS* transcription

was significantly reduced. Among other sulfatases, *ARSI* and *SULF2* showed a trend towards

increased transcription and *SULF1* was the only sulfatase with increased transcription in MSD

fibroblasts upon treatment (Fig. EV3C).

To gain further insights on differential gene expression, we performed weighted correlation

network (WGCN) co-expression analysis from the RNAseq data and identified 16 co-expression

modules. Using the eigen-expression values of these modules for comparison, we detected four

clusters that exhibited significant differences amongst groups, namely the yellow, brown, red and

pink modules. Whereas the red and pink clusters showed concordant expression in untreated

control and MSD cells followed by concordant deregulation upon treatment (Fig. EV4 A,C) the

yellow and brown clusters, most interestingly, showed significant differences in the deregulation

of genes between MSD and control fibroblasts: The yellow cluster was down-regulated when

comparing DMSO treated MSD patient cells (disease condition) to DMSO treated control

fibroblasts (normal condition) and this difference was ameliorated after tazarotene/bexarotene

treatment (Fig. 5A). These data suggest that the tazarotene/bexarotene-treatment helps to reinstate

physiological gene expression. GO-term and pathway analysis showed that genes of the yellow

cluster represent the nuclear and mitochondrial compartment and pathways linked to metabolic

processes and HIF-1 signalling (Fig. 5B). The genes of the brown cluster were significantly down-

regulated in tazarotene/bexarotene-treated patient cells only (Fig. 5C) and also represent

mitochondria, while the functional pathways are mainly linked to pathological conditions (Fig.

5D). Genes in the red cluster were significantly down regulated upon treatment in control and patient cells and were linked to organelle transport and intracellular signalling pathways (Fig. EV4A,B). Genes in the pink cluster showed a significantly increased expression in treated control fibroblasts and MSD fibroblasts. Pathway analysis revealed intracellular signalling pathways, too, in addition to pathologies resulting from infectious diseases and genes representing intra- and extracellular vesicles (Fig. EV4C,D).

In an attempt to further identify genes and pathways that are mediating sulfatase activity restoration upon treatment we compared transcriptional response of tazarotene ("positive" for sulfatase activity restoration) and the response of a retinoid that is incapable ("negative") of restoring sulfatase activities in MSD cells (Fig 1E). Through a set of preparatory experiments, we chose adapalene to serve as a control retinoid that provokes expression of retinoid targets genes in MSD cells without increasing sulfatase activities (please see appendix results for details, Appendix Fig. S8A,B, Appendix Fig. S9A-D, Appendix Fig. S10). We treated seven MSD fibroblast lines with either adapalene, tazarotene, or DMSO (control) in triplicates for six days and referred all samples to total RNA sequencing and differential gene expression analysis (Appendix Fig. S11A). We identified expression of 10992 genes. For quality control, we analysed a subset of retinoid target genes, which were significantly upregulated (RARB, CYP26B1, RARRES1) for both tazarotene and adapalene treatment compared to DMSO conditions, indicating a positive treatment response (Appendix Fig. S11B). Analysing all cell lines we found 1042 genes differentially regulated when we compared gene expression between tazarotene and adapalene treatment (Appendix Fig. S11C). GO biological process pathway analysis of the significantly differentially expressed genes by tazarotene (positive retinoid) revealed sterol synthesis and cholesterol synthesis pathways (Appendix Fig. S11D) whereas pathways regulated by adapalene were mostly

14

developmental pathways (Appendix Fig. S11D). When comparing tazarotene and adapalene induced gene expression against DMSO conditions (untreated), respectively, 2268 genes were regulated upon tazarotene treatment and 2004 upon adapalene treatment compared to DMSO conditions. 1620 genes were identically regulated by both tazarotene or adapalene treatment, whereas 684 genes were exclusively regulated upon tazarotene treatment and 384 genes exclusively upon adapalene treatment (Fig. EV4E, Appendix Fig. S11F,G). GO biological pathway analysis of genes exclusively regulated by adapalene treatment identified phosphatidylinositol mediated signalling pathways (Appendix Fig. S11G). We focused on genes exclusively regulated upon tazarotene treatment because of its positive action on sulfatase activity restoration and identified 313 upregulated and 335 downregulated genes. GO biological process pathway analysis in the group of genes exclusively regulated by tazarotene treatment identified again sterol and cholesterol biosynthesis (Fig. EV4E). Upregulated individual genes in these pathways, among others, were SREBPF1, SREBF2 and INSIG1 (Appendix Fig. S11H) coding for sterol regulatory element-binding proteins 1and 2 (SREBP1, SREBP2) and insulin-induced gene proteins proteins (INSIG). Together with the SREBP cleavage-activating protein (SCAP) these proteins are part of the SREBP-SCAP-INSIG complex in the ER sensing and controlling ER and cellular cholesterol content (Brown et al, 2018; Yang et al, 2002). In order to reveal any functional involvement of the complex in tazarotene mediated sulfatase activity restoration we treated immortalized MSD cells with tazarotene and fatostatin for three days. Fatostatin suppresses SCAP/SREBP translocation (Cheng et al, 2018). Whereas treatment with increasing concentrations of fatostatin did not increase ARSA activity, tazarotene did. Simultaneous treatment with tazarotene (10 µM) and increasing fatostatin concentrations caused a dose dependent ARSA activity decrease (Appendix Fig. S11I).

Tazarotene and bexarotene require residual FGE function and increase the half-life of MSD-causing FGE variants

To further elucidate how tazarotene/bexarotene increase sulfatase activities and improve lysosomal pathology in MSD cells despite no changes in transcription of respective genes, we analysed if treatment led to an increase of sulfatase protein levels. ARSA and GALNS protein levels were unaltered upon treatment of MSD fibroblasts with tazarotene/bexarotene using standard concentrations and treatment times (Fig. 6A, Fig. EV5A, lower panels). However, when we analyzed specific ARSA activity by normalizing sulfatase activity to the ARSA protein amount, specific ARSA activity increased significantly in MSD fibroblasts after six days of tazarotene and tazarotene/bexarotene treatment (Fig. 6A upper panel). Specific GALNS activity showed a trend towards increased activity (Fig. EV5A upper panel). The increase of sulfatase activities without changes in protein expression levels suggests that tazarotene and bexarotene positively influence the activation process of sulfatases and act to boost FGE activity, the only known enzyme to activate cellular sulfatases (Cosma *et al.*, 2003). To explore if FGE mediates the response to tazarotene and bexarotene in MSD cells, we used ARPE19 retinal pigment epithelia cells with CRISPR/Cas9 generated *SUMF1*-gene knock-out (ARPE19 SUMF1 -/-, Appendix Fig. S12) and appropriate controls (ARPE19 wt, MSDi) and treated with increasing concentrations of tazarotene. Remarkably, no increase in ARSA activity was observed when *SUMF1* knock-out cells were treated with tazarotene (Fig. 6B). Treatment with tazarotene and bexarotene at standard concentrations up to 21 days also failed to increase ARSA activity in ARPE19 *SUMF1* -/- cells (Fig. 6C). In addition, treatment of a previously described primary MSD patient derived fibroblast

line with a homozygous stop mutation and no FGE expression (FGE p.Ser64Ter) (Schlotawa *et al.*, 2019) also did not lead to any increase in ARSA activity (Fig. 6D).

The majority of MSD cases are caused by hypomorphic *SUMF1* mutations resulting in instability and early degradation of FGE variants (Schlotawa *et al.*, 2011). Increased intracellular half-life of FGE variants has been shown to correlate with increased sulfatase activities. PDI is a pivotal interacting partner of FGE that preferentially binds misfolded FGE proteins, impairs their residual enzyme activity and determines their fate by early degradation (Schlotawa *et al.*, 2018). We analysed the role of PDI in treated MSD cells. In addition to unchanged PDI transcription (*PH4B*, see above), we could not detect any differences in PDI protein expression upon tazarotene/bexarotene treatment (see appendix results for details, Appendix Fig. S13). (Schlotawa *et al.*, 2018). However, tazarotene/bexarotene treatment decreased a PDI-mediated inhibition of FGE variants residual activity (appendix results, Appendix Fig. S14A-D).

Such increase in FGE activity could be a result of less PDI interaction due to improved FGE variant protein stability. We finally assessed if the FGE half-life changed upon tazarotene and bexarotene treatment performing a previously described pulse-chase experiment with HT1080 cells stably expressing FGE variants as a cell model (Schlotawa *et al.*, 2011; Schlotawa *et al.*, 2018). Because wild type FGE and variant FGE, depending on the type of mutation, is also secreted upon overexpression we assessed levels of intracellular and secreted FGE protein. FGE half-life was determined after three days of treatment with tazarotene/bexarotene 10/20 µM and DMSO controls. All cell lines except HT1080-FGESer155Pro secreted a truncated form of FGE and the protein half-life, calculated from intracellular and secreted FGE protein amounts, significantly increased for FGESer155Pro (2-fold, Fig. 7A) and FGE Gly247Arg (1.5-fold, Fig 7B). The half-

life of FGE Ala279Val showed a trend towards an increase (1.7-fold, Fig 7C). Half-life of FGE wild type was unchanged upon treatment (Fig. EV5B).

DISCUSSION

MSD is a fatal and untreatable disease. To develop treatment approaches for MSD, we performed a screen of FDA-approved drugs and discovered the retinoic acid derivative tazarotene to partially restore ARSA activity in MSD patient cells. Subsequent testing revealed that tazarotene in combination with another retinoic acid derivative, bexarotene, partially but significantly restored different lysosomal and non-lysosomal sulfatase activities in MSD patient derived fibroblasts, independent of the disease-causing *SUMF1* mutation. Treatment effects were both dose and time dependent. Only cell lines with homozygous null alleles and no FGE expression failed to respond to treatment suggesting an FGE-mediated mechanism of drug response (see below). Drug treatment reduced pathologic accumulation of total GAGs, reduced lysosomal size and normalized lysosomal positioning. The treatment effect was also observed in NPCs differentiated from MSD patient derived iPSCs, which indicates a molecular mechanism and treatment response across multiple cell types. Taken together, we provide *in vitro* evidence for the first potential small molecule therapy that could translate into a promising strategy for MSD patients *in vivo* given sustained supportive data in subsequent analysis. Nevertheless, identification of targets, mediating tazarotene and bexarotene response in MSD cells that are amenable to alternative small molecule treatment with more favourable unwanted effects, is desirable.

Molecular mechanisms of tazarotene and bexarotene in MSD

Tazarotene and bexarotene are both retinoids that bind to the retinoic acid receptors RAR and RXR, respectively. Ligands that bind to RXR receptors are also called rexinoids. For each class of receptor, three subtypes α, β and γ with multiple isoforms exist. Upon ligand binding, the majority of RARs hetero-dimerize with RXRs, although formation of homodimers has also been observed. In its canonical mode of action, RARs and RXRs recruit co-activating complexes or co-suppressors and bind to retinoic acid response elements (RARE) in DNA promotor regions thereby regulating transcription. Additionally, RAR/RXR heterodimers activate kinase cascades converging at the nucleus where they regulate transcription through activation of co-activators and -repressors (di Masi *et al.*, 2015).

Among all retinoids tested on MSDi cells, tazarotene was most effective in restoring sulfatase activities. Tazarotene is known to preferentially bind to RAR β and γ thereby initiating heterodimerization with RXR receptors. Bexarotene preferably binds to RXR receptors (Miller *et al.*, 1997). In MSDi cells, bexarotene treatment response was relatively minor compared to tazarotene treatment and nearly absent in MSD primary fibroblasts, but, interestingly, the combination of tazarotene/ bexarotene yielded the highest increase in sulfatase activities. Pre-treatment of cells with the pan-RXR antagonist HX531 did not alter the sulfatase activity response to bexarotene treatment but reduced the tazarotene mediated ARSA activity increase in a dose dependent manner as well as the tazarotene/bexarotene response. However, pre-treatment with a pan-RAR antagonist abrogated the response to tazarotene and tazarotene/bexarotene suggesting that RAR receptors are indispensable for mediating drug-induced sulfatase responses in MSD cells. Based on these results we speculate that sulfatase activation in MSD cells is mediated through RAR/RXR heterodimers. These observations are consistent with previous studies of other systems showing increased efficacy of combination retinoid/rexinoid therapy (Evans &

Mangelsdorf, 2014; le Maire *et al*, 2019). However, specific drug-receptor relationships are complicated by the fact that RAR- and RXR- ligands could be less receptor-subtype specific at high concentrations, antagonists could exert agonistic functions, and receptor subtypes show redundancy (di Masi *et al.*, 2015). Future research on the retinoic acid response in MSD will be critical as well as worthwhile because the identification of receptors and co-regulators could reveal additional or alternative potential downstream targets for therapeutic intervention.

Transcriptome data from this study and cellular compartment analysis of regulated genes identifies mitochondria, vesicles and vesicular and organelle trafficking. All compartments are closely linked to lysosomal function and pathology (Trivedi *et al*, 2020). In addition, genes known to be related to pathological conditions like Alzheimer's, Parkinson's and Huntington's disease, indicative for severe cellular pathology, were downregulated in treated MSD fibroblasts (Lloyd-Evans & Haslett, 2016). Moreover, treatment with tazarotene/bexarotene normalizes expression of deregulated genes in metabolic and signalling pathways in MSD fibroblasts with reinstatement of a physiological expression pattern. In summary, the transcriptome analysis reveals improved cellular pathophysiology upon tazarotene/bexarotene treatment in MSD fibroblasts.

The interesting observation that the restoration of sulfatase activities in MSD cells was not general but restricted to a few retinoids was used to analyse differences between the transcriptional responses of a "positive" and a "negative" retinoid. We performed a set of preparatory experiments to identify adapalene as "negative" retinoid. We validated that the compound used is able to enter the cells, bind to RAR and RXR receptors and provoke a transcription response on established targets. However, we did not assess and compare properties like drug stability in cell culture medium, concentrations of drug metabolites in cells or binding kinetics for the drugs and resulting in an impact on transcriptional response. Cell culture conditions, especially the presence of fetal

calf serum and BSA in media, have been analysed to beneficially influence stability of retinoids from older pharmacological generations (Klaassen *et al*, 1999). Data for 3rd generation retinoids like tazarotene and bexarotene are missing. Although we cannot exclude that our experimental approach reveals non-physiological effects, the preparatory measures for selecting the "negative" retinoid and an identical transcription response on known gene targets of retinoids, we propose that the clear differences in transcriptional response between tazarotene and adapalene treatment are physiological effects rather than artefacts. Focussing the transcriptome analysis on genes that are exclusively upregulated by tazarotene such approach identified genes encoding members of the SREBP-SCAP-INSIG complex. The complex's intrinsic function is the transcriptional regulation of sterol and cholesterol synthesis and sensing of lipid contents in ER membranes (Brown et al., 2018). This function, controlled by feedback mechanisms and cellular transcription pathways could be functionally linked to cholesterol storage in MSD cells (Eid et al, 2017). Cholesterol storage resulting from impaired digestion and redistribution of membrane contents is a known downstream effect in various lysosomal storage disorders (Platt et al, 2012). Details for tazarotene influencing the SREBP-SCAP-INSIG complex in the MSD cellular context could be manifold given the orchestrated self-regulation of the complex (McPherson & Gauthier, 2004) and will be subject of future analysis. Treatment with fatostatin, an inhibitor of SREBP translocation, revealed blocking of the ARSA activity restoration by tazarotene (see appendix results) though details are yet to be elucidated in MSD cells. Beside effects indirectly mediated by increased transcription, direct effects of retinoids on mitochondria, autophagy or intracellular signalling pathways have been described: RAR-β promotes mitochondrial membrane depolarization and transport in neurites through HIF1α (Trigo *et al*, 2019). RARRES1 (retinoic acid response element 1), a primary response protein of tazarotene, induces autophagy in cervical cells through

TMEM192, a lysosomal membrane protein (Shyu *et al*, 2016). Bexarotene treatment showed an effect on autophagy and mitophagy in Presenilin 1 deficient iPSC-derived neural stem cells (Martin-Maestro *et al*, 2019). Furthermore, retinoic acids have been described to facilitate the mannose-6-phosphate receptor dependent intracellular trafficking of lysosomal hydrolases (Kang *et al*, 1998). Direct retinoid effects apart from transcriptional control may therefore contribute to an improved cellular pathology in MSD cells.

Upregulated transcription of *SUMF1*, FGE-interacting proteins, and sulfatases initiated by tazarotene and bexarotene could have been an obvious explanation for downstream drug effects in MSD cells. However, addition of tazarotene/bexarotene did not alter transcription levels of these targets. Furthermore, we found no increase in sulfatase protein levels, suggesting that these drugs do not act by increasing the cellular half-life or intracellular retention of sulfatases. Despite no changes in sulfatase protein amounts, we did detect an increase in sulfatase activities. Such an effect requires FGE function, which is the only protein that is known to activate sulfatases (Cosma *et al.*, 2003; Dierks *et al.*, 2003). Our observations strongly suggest that tazarotene/bexarotene act to increase the activity of FGE. FGE misfolding due to *SUMF1* mutations affects its stability followed by accelerated degradation. Despite residual catalytic activity of FGE variants, accelerated degradation results in impaired sulfatase activities (Schlotawa *et al*, 2013). PDI has been identified to play a pivotal role in mediating FGEs intracellular degradation. Decelerated degradation and prolonged half-life of misfolded FGE results in higher sulfatase activities (Schlotawa *et al.*, 2018). Here, treatment with tazarotene and bexarotene increased the half-life of misfolded FGE, likely enhancing residual FGE activity that partially restores sulfatase activities in MSD cells. This hypothesis is further supported by the observation that tazarotene/bexarotene failed to increase sulfatase activation in cells that lack FGE. Tazarotene/bexarotene- induced

increased FGE variant half-life could result from several factors including impaired protein degradation, improved protein folding, or both. Interestingly, based on our results, PDI seems not be a direct target of tazarotene/bexarotene treatment. However, effects on ER quality control mechanisms like the unfolded protein response or beneficial induction of ER stress (Mollereau *et al*, 2014) could be possible explanations. If existing functional links between ER stress and regulation of lipid metabolism involving the SREBP-SCAP-INISG complex (Moncan *et al*, 2021) connect FGE stabilization and regulation of sterol and cholesterol pathway regulation, both induced by tazarotene treatment in MSD cells, need to be elucidated by future experiments.

Because retinoids mediate a plethora of intracellular actions via a multitude of intracellular pathways, our discoveries, yet to be described mechanistically, are not comprehensive. (di Masi et al., 2015). Direct effects on FGE as well as additional indirect retinoid mechanisms would have likely contributed to the restoration of sulfatase activities in combination with amelioration of cellular pathophysiology in MSD cells. We could demonstrate that cell quantity and cultivation time did not affect ARSA activity in MSD cells and treatment effects were reproducible across different MSD model cell lines and experimental conditions. However, any influence of in vitro conditions to cellular mechanisms cannot entirely be ruled out. Future studies, that aim to delineate the molecular mechanism(s) of retinoid treatment in MSD, will be necessary to identify direct targets for alternative therapeutic intervention.

Potential as a therapy for MSD patients

Tazarotene and bexarotene are both currently used in clinical applications. Bexarotene is approved for treatment of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma, while tazarotene is used for topical skin treatment of psoriasis, acne, and photodamage (Duvic *et al*, 2001a) (Talpur *et al*, 2009). Tazarotene has

successfully passed phase III trials as an oral treatment for psoriasis but has not been approved for this application because of existing treatment alternatives and concerns about possible unwanted side effects intrinsic to the group of retinoids in general (Carlson, 2004).

Reported unwanted side effects include teratogenicity, liver toxicity, hyperlipidaemia, impairment of endocrine, visual and auditory function, and bone changes including mineralization, bone growth, hyperostosis, premature growth plate closure and ligament calcification (David *et al*, 1988).

Nevertheless, the side effects in the completed phase III trials for oral tazarotene and bexarotene have been reported as mild (Duvic *et al*, 2001b; Weindl *et al*, 2006). None of the drugs has been tested in trials on children. *In vitro*, retinoids could affect cell growth, differentiation and death (di Masi *et al.*, 2015). Although we detected reduced cell proliferation of MSD cells at concentrations increasing sulfatase activities in our experiments but we did not detect apoptosis rendering tazarotene and bexarotene treatment safe at least *in vitro*.

This study provides several lines of evidence that tazarotene and the combination tazarotene/bexarotene could be beneficial in MSD. Pharmacokinetics from phase I trial for tazarotene and bexarotene in adult probands with advanced cancer showed no toxicity at plasma concentrations that restored sulfatase activities *in vitro* (Jones *et al*, 2003; Miller *et al.*, 1997). The active form of tazarotene in the systemic circulation, tazarotenic acid, also increased ARSA activity in MSDi cells. The observed time-dependent increase of sulfatase activities upon tazarotene/bexarotene treatment in MSD fibroblasts is promising because even sustained low concentrations of tazarotene/bexarotene could result in meaningful clinical improvement in MSD patients. As a notable example, slightly increasing enzyme stability has proven efficacious in another lysosomal storage disorder, Fabry disease. Specifically, a small-molecule induced increase

in residual activities of alpha-galactosidase A variants by 3% *in vitro* correlated with meaningful results in Fabry patients (Germain *et al*, 2012; Wu *et al*, 2011). Retinoic acid derivatives are able to cross the blood brain barrier (Dos Santos Guilherme *et al*, 2019) and tazarotene and bexarotene increased activities of sulfatases in undifferentiated NPCs in a proof of principle experiment as described above. However, if both drugs would work on neurons and astrocytes in vitro and in vivo and would be able to reach the central nervous system, the organ system predominantly contributing to the clinical presentation of MSD patients (Ahrens-Nicklas *et al.*, 2018), evidence needed to be generated that drug concentrations in the CNS will be high enough to result in effective sulfatase activation. Data from healthy subjects treated with standard concentrations of bexarotene revealed a low penetrance of the drug into the CNS and concentrations of only approximately 20 nM (Ghosal *et al*, 2016).

Based on current knowledge more than ninety-five percent of MSD patients harbour at least one *SUMF1* missense allele and therefore may benefit from tazarotene/bexarotene treatment which, according to our data, could act by stabilisation of hypomorphic FGE variants (Schlotawa *et al.*, 2020). Interestingly, topical tazarotene treatment improved X-linked ichthyosis, caused by deficiency of steroid sulfatase, activated by FGE, and retinoid treatment increased STS activity via RARα and RXR receptors involving PI3 kinase and ERK-MAP kinase pathways in myeloid leukemia cells that has been discussed to evolve from FGE activity increase (Hofmann *et al.*, 1999; Hughes *et al*, 2006). Although further experimental evidence supporting this hypothesis is missing, it may be possible that the intracellular mechanisms mediating the response to retinoic acid treatment in myeloid leukemia cells are similar to the action of tazarotene and bexarotene in MSD cells (Hughes *et al.*, 2006).

While we aimed for identifying licensed drugs through drug screening that could be repurposed to treat MSD time- and effort-efficiently and identified tazarotene and bexarotene, clinical application of these drugs require a careful approach. In vivo studies should reveal the true potential of tazarotene and bexarotene treatment in MSD. Whereas toxicity data for both tazarotene and bexarotene have been generated as a prerequisite for the licensing of either drug the proof of principle that our in vitro data prove true in organisms are yet to be generated. A first step would be pre-clinical proof in suitable MSD animal models. A SUMF1 knock-out mouse model and a SUMF1 knock-out zebrafish model are not amenable to treatment as they both lack hypomorph FGE variants but are recently described new MSD mouse models with hypomorphic SUMF1 variants (Fleming *et al*, 2022; Settembre *et al*, 2007; Sorrentino *et al*, 2022). Assuming a positive outcome of pre-clinical assessment, treatment of MSD patients with tazarotene and bexarotene and especially its combination would require all phases of clinical research involving MSD patients at childhood. In addition, a new formulation of either drug suitable for children and oral treatment would need to be tested. If either drug would be amenable to drug repurposing would mostly rely on further proof-of-principle results.

Conclusion and future perspectives

In conclusion, the data presented here might be a first step towards the development of a future therapy for MSD. Future *in vivo* studies of tazarotene and bexarotene are needed to evaluate systemic efficacy and overall adverse events or toxicity.

Moreover, this study reveals the hitherto unknown role and molecular mechanisms of retinoids in the pathophysiology of MSD and potentially other related LSDs. Identification of more

mechanistic details unravelling alternative treatment targets should be the subject of further research.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture

Cell lines used were grown in cell culture as previously described and regularly checked to exclude mycoplasma contamination (Schlotawa *et al.*, 2011; Schlotawa *et al.*, 2018). Andrea Ballabio kindly provided MSDi cells. Primary fibroblasts were grown from historical MSD patient samples collected for diagnostic purpose and approved for their use in research projects by the local IRB board (IRB board UMG Goettingen, amendments 3/9/17 and 33/2/21). Please see details on the generation of MSD patient derived iPSCs and the origin of ARPE 19 cells further down.

Arylsulfatase A 96-well screening assay

We adapted Geng's protocol for high- throughput screening in MLD for its use in MSD (Geng *et al.*, 2011). MSDi cells (*SUMF1* variant c.463C>T, p.Ser155Pro) were plated out in transparent 96-well plates (Sarstedt, Nürnbrecht, Germany) at a density of 5×10^4 cells in 200 µl cell culture medium per well. In two wells, MSDi cells stably expressing C-terminally 6-his tagged wild type FGE (MSDi-FGEHis) were plated out at the same density and served as a positive control for rescued MSD cells (Schlotawa *et al.*, 2018). After settling for 2 hours 2 µl of each drug from the library (1 mM stocks in DMSO, see above) was added to the cell culture medium (200 µL) towards a final drug concentration of 10 µM and DMSO content of 1 %. Controls were treated with DMSO only (see Appendix Fig. S1A for the plate design). Cells were incubated for 48 hours and then washed twice with Dulbecco PBS (Sigma-Aldrich Merck, Darmstadt Germany). After complete

removal of PBS, 40 µl of lysis buffer (Cell lytic M, Sigma-Aldrich Merck, Darmstadt Germany +

protease inhibitor Roche Complete easypack, Sigma-Aldrich Merck, Darmstadt Germany) was

added, and plates were incubated for 2 hours on ice allowing complete cell lysis. After cell lysis

40 µl of substrate buffer (10 mM p-nitrocatechol sulfate (pNCS, Sigma-Aldrich Merck, Darmstadt

Germany), 0.5 M sodium-acetate pH 5.0, 0.5 mM sodium-pyrophosphate, 1.7 M sodium-chloride)

were added to each well except wells A1-H1 and A12-D12, which were used for generating an

optical density and extinction standard curve. Plates were shaken for 2 hours at 300 rpm on an

orbital shaker and incubated for 16 hours at 37°C, 5% CO^2, and >98% humidity. Finally, 120 µl

of 1 N NaOH were supplied to each well to stop the enzymatic reaction. A pNC product (Sigma-

Aldrich Merck, Darmstadt Germany) dilution series in substrate buffer (A1, B1: no pNC, C1, D1:

pNC 20 µM, E1, F1: pNC 78 µM, G1, H1: pNC 156 µM, A12, B12: 313 µM, C12, D12: 625µM)

was added after supplying 120 µl of 1 N NaOH to prevent pNCS turnover by cell lysates. Plates

were centrifuged at 1160g for 15 minutes. Supernatant (190 µl) from each well was transferred to

a new 96 well plate without touching the bottom of the original 96 well plate to avoid suction of

remaining cell debris. Air bubbles were manually removed, and the optical density and extinction

analysed at 515 nm using a plate reader (Synergy Mx, BioTek, Winooski, USA). All pipetting was

done using calibrated multi-channel pipettes (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany).

Drug treatment

LifeArc (London, UK) supplied a screening library in 96 well plates with 785 licensed drugs

dissolved in 100% DMSO at a concentration of 1 mM of each drug (see source data for details on

drug library). Hit drugs identified from the primary screen and additional drugs were purchased

from commercial suppliers (purity ≥ 98%, appendix table S1) and used as supplied. All drugs were

dissolved in DMSO at 100 or 10 mM stocks according to solubility and stored in aliquots at -80°C until usage. Working concentrations for cellular assays were generated by further dilution with DMSO. The maximum final amount of DMSO in the screening assay was 1%, while all secondary screens and mechanistic studies employed DMSO contents ≤ 0.1%. Drugs in DMSO were applied to the cell culture medium resulting in final concentrations as indicated between 1 and 100 µM. Media supplemented with DMSO alone served as a control treatment. Treatment times varied between a minimum of 24 hours and a maximum of 21 days with renewal of medium and drugs every three days and splitting and plating-out when cells were confluent.

Western blotting

MSDi cells and MSD primary fibroblasts were incubated with 10 µM tazarotene, 20 µM bexarotene or a combination of both for 3 or 6 days. Cells were collected and lysed in ice-cold lysis buffer containing protease inhibitor (50 mM Tris, 300 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 1% NP-40, 1% Protease inhibitor mix, Roche, Mannheim, Germany). After clearing by centrifugation (16000 g, 5 min) at 4°C protein concentration was determined by BCA assay (Interchim, Montluçon, France). Total protein amounts between 10 and 40 µg were resolved by SDS polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (12% gel for FGE and 10% gel for ARSA, GALNS and SGSH protein detection), then transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane (GE Healthcare Life Science, Pittsburgh, USA) and blocked 1h at room temperature in 5% non-fat milk in TBS-T (20 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, 0,1 % Tween 20 (v/v), pH 7.6). Immunodetection was performed by incubation with primary antibodies against ARSA (polyclonal anti-rabbit, HPA005554, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA; dilution 1:1000), GALNS (polyclonal anti-rabbit, PA5-22098, ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, USA; dilution 1:1000), PARP (monoclonal anti-mouse, sc-

74470, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, USA; dilution 1:1000), PARP-cleaved (monoclonal anti-rabbit, mAB #5625, Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, USA; dilution 1:1000), and beta-actin (monoclonal anti-rabbit, mAB #5625, Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, USA; dilution 1:1000) followed by incubation with species specific HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies (goat anti-rabbit, 111-035-003, Jackson Immunoresearch, West Grove, USA; dilution 1:5000;goat anti-mouse, 115-035-146, Jackson Immunoresearch, West Grove, USA; dilution 1:5000). Blots were visualized using the Lumi-Light chemiluminescence detection kit (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) and captured by the chemiluminescence detection system (GE FujiFilm LAS-4000 Luminescent Image Analyzer, GE Healthcare Life Science, Pittsburgh, USA).

Lysosomal enzyme activity assays

Arylsulfatase A, arylsulfatase B, galactose-6-sulfate sulfatase activity and sulfamidase activity were determined following previously published protocols (Baum *et al.*, 1959; Karpova *et al*, 1996; Steckel *et al*, 1983; van Diggelen *et al*, 1990). For arylsulfatase C activity analysis fibroblasts from a confluent 75 cm^2 flask were harvested after washing with PBS and lysed in 100 µl ice-cold NaCl 0.9 % plus 0.1 % (v/v) Triton X-100 and sonication (3 x 10 s). After centrifugation in a tabletop centrifuge at 1000 g at 4° C the protein concentration of the supernatant was determined by BCA assay (see above). Supernatant (40 µg), diluted to a final volume of 50 µl in BSA/NaCl/TX buffer (0,2 % BSA (m/v), 0,9 % NaCl (m/v), 0,1 % Triton X-100 (v/v)), was incubated with 50 µl of substrate buffer (100 mM NaH$_2$PO$_4$, 1 mM K4-MUF (7-hydroxy-4-methyl-coumarin)-sulfate (Sigma-Aldrich Merck, Darmstadt Germany) pH 8) at 37° C for 18 hours in a black 96-well reaction plate (Greiner bio-one, Kremsmünster, Austria). Wells with 50 µl substrate buffer and 50 µl substrate buffer plus 3 mM dehydroepiandosteronsulfate and wells

with substrate buffer and BSA/NaCl/TX solution without cell lysate served as negative controls. A product dilution series of 4-methylumbelliferone (4-MU) (Sigma-Aldrich Merck, Darmstadt Germany) served as standard. All reactions were stopped by adding 120 µl 0.5 M EDTA pH 11.2-12.0 per well. Readout was done using a fluorescent plate reader (Synergy Mx, BioTek, Winooski, USA) with excitation at 360 nm and emission at 460 nm. For β-hexosaminidase A+B activity, cells were prepared as described above. Cell lysates (2 µg) were diluted with substrate buffer (0.1 M citrate-phosphate pH 4.5, 2mM 4-MU-2-acetoamido-2-deoxy-β-D-glucoside, Calbiochem Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) to 40 µl final volume in wells of a black 96-well plate. A standard product dilution serious with 4-MU (Sigma Aldrich Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) diluted in H_2O plus 0.05 M Tris pH 8.0 was added. After incubation of 30 min at 37°C the reaction was stopped with 150 µl stop buffer (0.17 M glycine-carbonate), and plates were centrifuged for 15 min at 1160 g. Readout was done using a fluorescent plate reader (Synergy Mx, BioTek, Winooski, USA) with excitation at 360 nm and emission at 460 nm. The same protocol was used for β-galactosidase activity with a different substrate buffer (0.1 M citrate-phosphate pH 4.5, 2mM 4-MU-β-D-galactopyranoside). Activities were determined referring changes in OD or fluorescence respectively to total protein amounts. For calculation of specific sulfatase activities, the sulfatase amount in cell lysates was determined in Western blots after quantification of the intensities of specific bands using ImageJ software. Activities were expressed as change in OD or fluorescence divided by the amount of protein as determined by quantification of Western blots and incubation time.

Immunofluorescence

Fibroblasts were treated with tazarotene 10 µM and bexarotene 20 µM for 6 days. Cells were plated on cover slips on day 6 in a 24 well plate (Greiner bio-one, Kremsmünster, Austria) and allowed to attach for 24 hours maintaining treatment conditions. Controls were treated with DMSO only. After washing with PBS, cells were fixed with 4% (v/v) PFA (Süsse, Gudensberg, Germany) in PBS for 20 min at 37 °C, washed once again with PBS at 37 °C and incubated for 10 min with 50 mM NH_4Cl. Next, cover slips were washed 3 x 5 min with PBS and incubated for 1 h with 10% horse serum (v/v, Gibco, Carlsbad, USA) and 0.2% saponin (m/v, Sigma-Aldrich Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) in PBS, followed by 2 washes with PBS/0.1% saponin (m/v). Cover slips were incubated for 1 h at room temperature with anti-LAMP1 mouse monoclonal antibody (BD Biosciences, San Jose, USA, 1:500 dilution in PBS/0.1% saponin (m/v)), washed 3x (PBS/ 0.1% saponin (m/v)), and incubated with Alexa Fluor 488 conjugated goat anti-mouse secondary antibody (MoBiTec, Göttingen, Germany (1:1000 in PBS/0.1% saponin (m/v)) for 45 min. Cover slips were finally washed 3x (PBS/0.1% saponin) and 2x with PBS and mounted on slides with prolong gold mountant +/- DAPI (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, USA). Fluorescence microscopy was performed using a Zeiss Definite Focus.2 confocal inverted microscope (Zeiss, Göttingen, Germany). Images were taken with a Plan-APOCHROMAT 63 x 1.4 numerical aperture oil-immersion objective (Zeiss, Göttingen, Germany) using the ApoTome system. For each image, 13 z-series optical sections were collected with a step-size of 0.26 microns. Z-series are displayed as maximum z-projections, and brightness and contrast were adjusted identically for each image set using ZEN Pro software (Zeiss, Göttingen, Germany). The total fluorescence intensity of the cells and the size of the LAMP1-positive particles were analysed from a minimum of 20 cells for each treatment (n=3 independent experiments (biological replicates)).

Glycosaminoglycan quantification

Glycosaminoglycan analysis was performed by adapting a protocol established by Fuller et. al (Fuller *et al.*, 2004). MSD primary fibroblasts and control fibroblasts were grown in T75 cell culture flasks (CellStar, Greiner bio-one, Kremsmünster, Austria) with tazarotene/bexarotene 10/20 µM or DMSO as control for 21 days. Cells from confluent flasks were harvested, and protein concentration was measured by BCA assay after lysis of $1/5^{th}$ of the cells. $4/5^{th}$ of cells were frozen at -20 °C and stored until further processing.

After thawing, cell pellets were resuspended in 50 µl PBS per 120 µg total protein. 50 µl of each sample was dried using a centrifugal concentrator under vacuum and reconstituted in 100 µL of 0.25 M PMP solution (0.25 M 1-phenyl-3-methyl-5-pyrazolone (PMP) in 0.4 M ammonia solution (11.95 mL of MeOH and 2.59 mL of ammonium hydroxide (28-30% ammonia) added to 35.5 mL MilliQ water (pH 9.5-10) containing 1uM of internal standard (chondroitin disaccharide di-4S [CAS 136144-56-4], Carbosynth Ref: OC28898)). Samples were vortexed, sonicated, and mixed prior to 90 min incubation on a PCR thermocycler at 70 °C and cooling for 10 minutes. Samples were acidified with 500 µL of 0.2 M formic acid, and PMP was extracted from the acidified samples by adding 500 µL chloroform and shaking for 1 minute. Samples were centrifuged for 5 min at 13.000 g to separate the layers and the bottom organic layer was discarded. The procedure was repeated 4 times for each sample to completely remove PMP. The remaining aqueous layer (600 µL for each sample) was concentrated to 80 µL using a centrifugal concentrator under vacuum. After centrifugation for an additional 5 min at 13.000 g the supernatant (at least 60 µL) of every sample was referred to LC-MS/MS analysis on an Agilent UPLC system (Agilent Pursuit 3 PFP 2.0 x100 mm 3um Column (Agilent, Santa Clara, USA)) and AB Sciex 6500 TQ Mass Spec System (Sciex, Framingham, USA).

Cell proliferation analysis

MSDi cells and MSD primary fibroblasts were seeded at a concentration of 3.000 and 2.000 cells/well, respectively, in 96-well microplates and allowed to attach for 24 h. Cells were incubated with 10 µM tazarotene, 20 µM bexarotene or a combination of both for 3 days (MSDi) or 6 days (fibroblasts). Cell proliferation during incubation was determined by XTT [sodium 3´- [1-(phenylaminocarbonyl)- 3,4- tetrazolium]-bis (4-methoxy-6-nitro) benzene sulfonic acid hydrate] assay according to the manufacturer's protocol (AppliChem, Darmstadt, Germany). Absorbance was measured at 450 nm (reference wavelength 650 nm). Proliferation was expressed as a percentage of control cells treated with DMSO. Manual counts of cells were performed after trypsinization of cells, centrifugation, and resuspension of cell pellets in 5 ml PBS. 10 µl of the suspension were pipetted onto a Neubauer counting chamber followed by manual cell counting using a light microscope.

iPSC generation

The generation of an iPSC line from a MSD patient was done following a previously published protocol (Maguire *et al*, 2016) . In brief, blood was collected from a patient with MSD harboring compound heterozygote *SUMF1* variants (c.463T>C, p.Ser155Pro / c.1034G>A, p.Arg345His). This research was approved by the Institutional Review Board at the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia (IRB #09-00742) Cellular reprogramming was performed using ficoll-purified mononuclear cells from whole blood that were expanded for transduction with Sendai viral vectors expressing human OCT3/4, SOX2, KLF4, and cMYC according to manufacturer's instructions (ThermoFisher Scientific). Transduced cells were plated on culture dishes containing murine

embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) and maintained in medium containing 10 ng/mL bFGF. The medium was replenished daily for 3 weeks. Cells were maintained in these conditions until uniform colonies were generated and colonies were mechanically isolated for expansion on MEFs. Single colony subcloning was performed at early passages and tested for clearance of the Sendai reprogramming vectors using real time RT-PCR (Appendix Fig. S7A). The authentication of each clone confirming identity to the original patient cells was performed by DNA fingerprinting using PCR (Appendix Fig. S7B). Mutation verification was also performed on genomic DNA by PCR amplification and sequence analyses (Appendix Fig. S7C). Karyotype analysis was performed by Cell Line Genetics (Madison, WI). Stemness surface markers were performed by flow cytometry and mycoplasma was tested by PCR (Appendix Fig. S7D,E).

Differentiation of iPSCs into neural progenitor cells

Differentiation of iPSCs into NPCs was initiated, as previously described (Maguire *et al.*, 2016) with indicated modification. Briefly, cultures were treated with daily media changes containing SB431542 (10uM; Tocris), LDN193189 (1uM, Tocris), and *endo*-IWR1 (1.5uM; Tocris) and supplemented with B27 without vitamin A (Invitrogen) and passaged at days 4 and 8 of differentiation. From days 8 to 14 of differentiation, NPCs were expanded in Invitrogen neural expansion media, containing Neural Induction Supplement in Advanced DMEM/F12 and Neurobasal Medium (Invitrogen, per manufacturer instructions). At Day 14, NPCs were cryopreserved after confirmation of NPC identity with >90% expression of Forse-1.

NPC drug treatment

For drug treatments, NPCs were retrieved into neural expansion media containing 5 uM Y-27632 (Tocris), followed by 4 days of daily media changes containing tazarotene (5 uM) and bexarotene (5 uM) or the equivalent concentration of DMSO (0.01%) in neural expansion media. NPCs were subsequently harvested using accutase, washed with PBS, pelleted, and frozen at -80C until analysis. All conditions were performed in triplicate.

ARPE19 *SUMF1* -/- cell line generation

ARPE19 (ATCC, Manassas, USA, Cat. No. CRL-2302) cells were referred to CRISPR/Cas9 mediated knock-out of the *SUMF1* gene to generate the ARPE19 SUMF1 -/- cell line. The gRNA sequence was determined by using the CRISPOR online tool (http://crispor.tefor.net/crispor.py) (Concordet & Haeussler, 2018) and selected based on the lowest off-target score. The gRNA with the 5'-3' sequence CCCTTGCGGGTTCTTGCGGCTGC was used in an "all-in-one" vector additionally encoding Cas9 linked to green-fluorescent protein (Cas9-GFP) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA). Plasmid-DNA was electroporated into ARPE19 cells using the Amaxa system and a nucleofection kit (Lonza, Basel, Switzerland, Cat. No. VCA-1003) following the manufacturers' instructions. GFP positive cells were sorted by fluorescent-activated-cell-sorting (FACS) into 96-well plates. Single-cell derived colonies were screened for deletion mutations in the *SUMF1* gene after extraction of genomic DNA, amplification of the target region by PCR (forward primer hSUMF1KOup, 5'-3'-sequence: cagcgccaaagaagtacctg, reverse primer hSUMF1KOlow, 5'-3'-sequence: tcggaggaatcgatggagc), followed by Sanger sequencing using the same primers. A cell clone carrying a homozygous deletion in the *SUMF1* gene (c.139delCG, p.Ala47GlyfsTer74) leading to a premature stop codon was selected and expanded. Cells of the respective clone were subjected to cell lysis, protein estimation, and Western Blot analysis as described above using a

SUMF1 antibody (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, USA, Cat No AF3680) to verify absent FGE protein expression (Appendix Fig. S12).

cDNA synthesis and RT-PCR

Total RNA was isolated using NucleoSpin RNA preparation kit (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany). After quality control by optical density (OD) measurement, 1 or 2 µg of RNA was reverse transcribed using SuperScript III First-strand Synthesis system for RT-PCR (Invitrogen, Karlsruhe, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instruction. Real-Time PCR analysis for *CYP26B1, RARB, RARRES1, RARRES2* and *RARRES3* was performed using Quant Studio 3 Real-Time PCR System (ThermoFisher Scientific, Braunschweig, Germany) according to the manufacturer´s recommendations in reactions containing 20 ng cDNA. The gene expression of targeted genes was normalized to housekeeping gene as indicated in the figure legends. Primer sequences are given in supplementary table S2. Relative gene expression was analysed by QuantStudio Design and Anlysis software v1.4.3 (ThermoFisher Scientific, Braunschweig, Germany) and quantified using the ΔΔCt method.

Transcriptome and pathway analysis

For our first experiment (comparison of tazarotene/bexarotene treatment to untreated condition), six different MSD fibroblast lines and five control fibroblast lines were treated with DMSO or tazarotene/ bexarotene 10/20 µM for 6 days in triplicates. Cells were harvested and processed for RNA isolation and RNA sequencing as described (Martinez Hernandez et al, 2018). cDNA libraries were established using a TrueSeq Stranded Total RNA library kit (#20020596, Illumina) and sequenced using a Illumina HiSeq 2000. For expression analysis, reads were mapped to the

human genome (hg38) using STAR aligner (v.2.7.3a). Mapped reads were sorted and indexed with SAMtools (v.1.10) and gene counts were generated with Featurecounts (v.1.5.1). Low quality samples were removed from the analyses. Sequencing data from the first experiment was analysed using co-expression analysis, given our interest on finding clusters of genes that had similar correlation patterns. In specific, so-called WGCNA (weighted-gene co-expression analysis) was performed with the homonimous R-package (v.1.68) and applying the following steps: 1. the analysis was done on normalised expression values obtained with DESeq2 (v.1.68). 2. genes were filtered-out from the analysis if they did not have, on average, at least 20 normalised counts per sample. 3. the power parameter of the network topology was estimated with the function 'pickSoftThreshold'. Finally, gene clusters were inferred with the function 'blockwiseModules' with parameters 'maxBlockSiz=7000, power=14, minModuleSize=60 and mergeCutHeight=0.25'. Gene ontology enrichment analysis on resulting clusters was performed with the ShinyGO online webtool (v.0.61) hosted by the University of South Dakota, US (http://bioinformatics.sdstate.edu/go/).

For our second experiment (comparison of tazarotene to adapalene treatment), seven different MSD fibroblast lines were treated with tazarotene 10 µM or adapalene 5 µM, respectively, for six days in triplicates. DMSO treated cells served as control condition. Sequencing data from the second experiment was analysed using a differential gene expression (DGE) approach and for such purpose, the aforementioned DESeq2 package was used. Samples were quality controlled using PCA analysis on gene count data, with specific gene-counts themselves filtered-out if they had less than 30 reads on average across all samples. The resulting count matrix was normalised and used as input for DGE. The design function took into account that samples came from seven

different cell lines in triplicates. Tazarotene and adapalene treatments were compared using the contrast function embedded within the DESeq2 package.

PDI expression and STS specific activity assay

PDI expression in MSDi cells and inducible expression of STS and FGE for determination of STS specific activity by western blots and STS-activity assays was done as decribed before (Schlotawa *et al.*, 2018) following tazarotene/bexarotene treatment for a total time of three days.

Pulse-Chase Experiments

HT1080 cell lines stably expressing FGE variants Ser155Pro, Gly247Arg and Ala279Val were either treated with 10 µM tazarotene and 20 µM bexarotene or DMSO (as control) for three days prior the start of pulse-chase experiments. Of note, the presence of the drug or DMSO was maintained in all supplemented media throughout the experiment. After starving for 1 h in medium depleted of methionine and cysteine, the cells were pulsed with ^{35}S-methionine/cysteine (Hartmann Analytic) for 30 min. Cells and media were collected after incubation for various time points in unlabeled medium (chase). Cell lysis, FGE immunoprecipitation from cell lysate and media, SDS-PAGE and autoradiography and image analysis using ImageJ software were as previously described (Schlotawa *et al.*, 2018).

Image quantification

Western Blots and immunofluorescence images were not blinded quantitatively analysed using Fiji software (Schindelin *et al*, 2012). Statistical analysis was performed using Prism (GraphPad software, San Diego, USA).

Statistical analysis

Results were calculated from a minimum of three independent experiments (biological replicates) and replicate measurements summarised as mean values in respective calculations. Exemptions in the number of experiments are indicated in respective figure captions. All statistical analysis was performed using Prism (GraphPad software, San Diego, USA). Comparison of two independent experimental conditions was done using an unpaired t-test, paired t-tests were used for dependent variables. Comparison of multiple experimental conditions (> 2) was executed by one-way ANOVA tests followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons test according to the program's settings. Two-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons test was used when results depended on two parameters (treatment vs untreated and time in pulse-chase experiments). For non-linear regression calculation drug concentrations were transformed to log10, manually referring activity responses to DMSO treatment as log -2 concentrations, and calculated using the programs predefined settings. Data were expressed and displayed as mean and standard deviation. Significance levels were displayed as follows: * $p<0.05$, ** $p>0.01$, *** $p<0.001$, **** $p<0.0001$ or # $p<0.05$, ## $p<0.01$, ### $p<0.001$, #### $p<0.0001$, respectively, for differences indicated in the figure legends. Details on p-values of significant differences only for all figures are summarized in appendix tables S3-S46.

Data availability:

RNAseq data are available via the GEO database (GEO accession GSE205555 and GSE205556, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/)

Acknowledgments:

Disclosure statement and competing interests:

LS, MK, JG, TD, KR and MB have filed a patent application based on parts of the results from this study (US patent application number 16591051). AB is co-founder of CASMA Therapeutics and Advisory Board member of Avilar Therapeutics and of Next Generation Diagnostics. MHG

is a consultant for PerkinElmer Corp. and a co-founder of GelbChem LLC. ZMH is a consultant for GelbChem LLC. All other authors declare no competing interests to this study.

The paper explained:

PROBLEM: No curative therapy exists for Multiple Sulfatase Deficiency (MSD), an ultra-rare lysosomal disorder. MSD is caused by a defect of the posttranslational activation of all cellular sulfatases through the formylglycine-generating-enzyme (FGE) in the endoplasmic reticulum. FGE is encoded by the *SUMF1* gene and *SUMF1* mutations in MSD patients lead to impaired function of FGE and reduced or absent sulfatase activities in every cell. MSD patients present with a combination of signs and symptoms of single sulfatase deficiencies like developmental delay, neurodegeneration, skeletal abnormalities, and ichthyosis among others in a progressive, very severe disease. RESULTS: We developed a high-throughput screening assay using MSD patient derived fibroblasts and investigated the rescue of enzymatic function of one defective sulfatase, arylsulfatase A (ARSA), as primary readout. Applying the assay we screened a library of 785 licensed drugs and detected two retiniods, tazarotene and bexarotene to increase ARSA activity. In subsequent analysis, both drugs proved to be effective in reversing cellular pathology in MSD fibroblasts and neuronal progenitor cells. Both drugs work via the stabilization of misfolded FGE proteins to increase sulfatase activities in MSD cells. IMPACT: Our study reveals a new mechanism of retinoids in MSD pathology. Furthermore, we identified the first described agents to correct MSD pathology in vitro. Our data lay the basis for future research on therapeutic approaches for MSD and identification of targets that mediate retinoid treatment response.

For more information:

MSD Action Foundation: www.savingdylan.com; United MSD Foundation: https://curemsd.org

REFERENCES

Adang LA, Schlotawa L, Groeschel S, Kehrer C, Harzer K, Staretz-Chacham O, Silva TO, Schwartz IVD, Gartner J, De Castro M *et al* (2020) Natural history of multiple sulfatase deficiency: retrospective phenotyping and functional variant analysis to characterize an ultra-rare disease. *J Inherit Metab Dis*

Ahrens-Nicklas R, Schlotawa L, Ballabio A, Brunetti-Pierri N, De Castro M, Dierks T, Eichler F, Ficicioglu C, Finglas A, Gaertner J *et al* (2018) Complex care of individuals with multiple sulfatase deficiency: Clinical cases and consensus statement. *Molecular genetics and metabolism* 123: 337-346

Baum H, Dodgson KS, Spencer B (1959) The assay of arylsulphatases A and B in human urine. *Clin Chim Acta* 4: 453-455

Busche A, Hennermann JB, Burger F, Proquitte H, Dierks T, von Arnim-Baas A, Horn D (2009) Neonatal manifestation of multiple sulfatase deficiency. *European journal of pediatrics* 168: 969-973

Cappuccio G, Alagia M, Brunetti-Pierri N (2020) A systematic cross-sectional survey of multiple sulfatase deficiency. *Molecular genetics and metabolism* 130: 283-288

Carlson B (2004) Payers following FDA effort to integrate pharmacogenomics into drug development. *Biotechnol Healthc* 1: 12-14

Concordet JP, Haeussler M (2018) CRISPOR: intuitive guide selection for CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing experiments and screens. *Nucleic Acids Res* 46: W242-W245

Cosma MP, Pepe S, Annunziata I, Newbold RF, Grompe M, Parenti G, Ballabio A (2003) The multiple sulfatase deficiency gene encodes an essential and limiting factor for the activity of sulfatases. *Cell* 113: 445-456

David M, Hodak E, Lowe NJ (1988) Adverse effects of retinoids. *Med Toxicol Adverse Drug Exp* 3: 273-288

di Masi A, Leboffe L, De Marinis E, Pagano F, Cicconi L, Rochette-Egly C, Lo-Coco F, Ascenzi P, Nervi C (2015) Retinoic acid receptors: from molecular mechanisms to cancer therapy. *Mol Aspects Med* 41: 1-115

Dierks T, Dickmanns A, Preusser-Kunze A, Schmidt B, Mariappan M, von Figura K, Ficner R, Rudolph MG (2005) Molecular basis for multiple sulfatase deficiency and mechanism for formylglycine generation of the human formylglycine-generating enzyme. *Cell* 121: 541-552

Dierks T, Schmidt B, Borissenko LV, Peng J, Preusser A, Mariappan M, von Figura K (2003) Multiple sulfatase deficiency is caused by mutations in the gene encoding the human C(alpha)-formylglycine generating enzyme. *Cell* 113: 435-444

Diez-Roux G, Ballabio A (2005) Sulfatases and human disease. *Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet* 6: 355-379

Dos Santos Guilherme M, Stoye NM, Rose-John S, Garbers C, Fellgiebel A, Endres K (2019) The Synthetic Retinoid Acitretin Increases IL-6 in the Central Nervous System of Alzheimer Disease Model Mice and Human Patients. *Front Aging Neurosci* 11: 182

Duriez PJ, Shah GM (1997) Cleavage of poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase: a sensitive parameter to study cell death. *Biochem Cell Biol* 75: 337-349

Duvic M, Hymes K, Heald P, Breneman D, Martin AG, Myskowski P, Crowley C, Yocum RC, Bexarotene Worldwide Study G (2001a) Bexarotene is effective and safe for treatment of refractory advanced-stage cutaneous T-cell lymphoma: multinational phase II-III trial results. *J Clin Oncol* 19: 2456-2471

Duvic M, Martin AG, Kim Y, Olsen E, Wood GS, Crowley CA, Yocum RC, Worldwide Bexarotene Study G (2001b) Phase 2 and 3 clinical trial of oral bexarotene (Targretin capsules) for the treatment of refractory or persistent early-stage cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *Arch Dermatol* 137: 581-593

Evans RM, Mangelsdorf DJ (2014) Nuclear Receptors, RXR, and the Big Bang. *Cell* 157: 255-266

Fleming A, Xuan LZ, Sanchez-Elexpuru G, Williams SV, Windell D, Gelb MH, Herbst ZM, Schlotawa L, Rubinsztein DC (2022) Unexpected Phenotype Reversion and Survival in a Zebrafish Model of Multiple Sulfatase Deficiency. *Front Cell Dev Biol* 10: 843079

Fuller M, Rozaklis T, Ramsay SL, Hopwood JJ, Meikle PJ (2004) Disease-specific markers for the mucopolysaccharidoses. *Pediatr Res* 56: 733-738

Geng H, Whiteley G, Ribbens J, Zheng W, Southall N, Hu X, Marugan JJ, Ferrer M, Maegawa GH (2011) Novel patient cell-based HTS assay for identification of small molecules for a lysosomal storage disease. *PLoS One* 6: e29504

Germain DP, Giugliani R, Hughes DA, Mehta A, Nicholls K, Barisoni L, Jennette CJ, Bragat A, Castelli J, Sitaraman S *et al* (2012) Safety and pharmacodynamic effects of a pharmacological chaperone on alpha-galactosidase A activity and globotriaosylceramide clearance in Fabry disease: report from two phase 2 clinical studies. *Orphanet J Rare Dis* 7: 91

Ghosal K, Haag M, Verghese PB, West T, Veenstra T, Braunstein JB, Bateman RJ, Holtzman DM, Landreth GE (2016) A randomized controlled study to evaluate the effect of bexarotene on amyloid-beta and apolipoprotein E metabolism in healthy subjects. *Alzheimers Dement (N Y)* 2: 110-120

Guerra WF, Verity MA, Fluharty AL, Nguyen HT, Philippart M (1990) Multiple sulfatase deficiency: clinical, neuropathological, ultrastructural and biochemical studies. *Journal of neuropathology and experimental neurology* 49: 406-423

Hofmann B, Stege H, Ruzicka T, Lehmann P (1999) Effect of topical tazarotene in the treatment of congenital ichthyoses. *Br J Dermatol* 141: 642-646

Hughes PJ, Zhao Y, Chandraratna RA, Brown G (2006) Retinoid-mediated stimulation of steroid sulfatase activity in myeloid leukemic cell lines requires RARalpha and RXR and involves the phosphoinositide 3-kinase and ERK-MAP kinase pathways. *J Cell Biochem* 97: 327-350

Jones PH, Burnett RD, Fainaru I, Nadolny P, Walker P, Yu Z, Tang-Liu D, Ganesan TS, Talbot DC, Harris AL *et al* (2003) A phase 1 study of tazarotene in adults with advanced cancer. *Br J Cancer* 89: 808-815

Kang JX, Bell J, Leaf A, Beard RL, Chandraratna RA (1998) Retinoic acid alters the intracellular trafficking of the mannose-6-phosphate/insulin-like growth factor II receptor and lysosomal enzymes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 95: 13687-13691

Karpova EA, Voznyi Ya V, Keulemans JL, Hoogeveen AT, Winchester B, Tsvetkova IV, van Diggelen OP (1996) A fluorimetric enzyme assay for the diagnosis of Sanfilippo disease type A (MPS IIIA). *J Inherit Metab Dis* 19: 278-285

Khalil S, Bardawil T, Stephan C, Darwiche N, Abbas O, Kibbi AG, Nemer G, Kurban M (2017) Retinoids: a journey from the molecular structures and mechanisms of action to clinical uses in dermatology and adverse effects. *J Dermatolog Treat* 28: 684-696

Klaassen I, Brakenhoff RH, Smeets SJ, Snow GB, Braakhuis BJ (1999) Considerations for in vitro retinoid experiments: importance of protein interaction. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1427: 265-275

le Maire A, Teyssier C, Balaguer P, Bourguet W, Germain P (2019) Regulation of RXR-RAR Heterodimers by RXR- and RAR-Specific Ligands and Their Combinations. *Cells* 8

Lloyd-Evans E, Haslett LJ (2016) The lysosomal storage disease continuum with ageing-related neurodegenerative disease. *Ageing Res Rev* 32: 104-121

Macaulay RJ, Lowry NJ, Casey RE (1998) Pathologic findings of multiple sulfatase deficiency reflect the pattern of enzyme deficiencies. *Pediatric neurology* 19: 372-376

Maguire JA, Gagne AL, Jobaliya CD, Gandre-Babbe S, Gadue P, French DL (2016) Generation of human control iPS cell line CHOPWT10 from healthy adult peripheral blood mononuclear cells. *Stem Cell Res* 16: 338-341

Martin-Maestro P, Sproul A, Martinez H, Paquet D, Gerges M, Noggle S, Starkov AA (2019) Autophagy Induction by Bexarotene Promotes Mitophagy in Presenilin 1 Familial Alzheimer's Disease iPSC-Derived Neural Stem Cells. *Mol Neurobiol* 56: 8220-8236

Miller VA, Benedetti FM, Rigas JR, Verret AL, Pfister DG, Straus D, Kris MG, Crisp M, Heyman R, Loewen GR *et al* (1997) Initial clinical trial of a selective retinoid X receptor ligand, LGD1069. *J Clin Oncol* 15: 790-795

Mollereau B, Manie S, Napoletano F (2014) Getting the better of ER stress. *J Cell Commun Signal* 8: 311-321

Moncan M, Mnich K, Blomme A, Almanza A, Samali A, Gorman AM (2021) Regulation of lipid metabolism by the unfolded protein response. *J Cell Mol Med* 25: 1359-1370

Napoli JL (2017) Cellular retinoid binding-proteins, CRBP, CRABP, FABP5: Effects on retinoid metabolism, function and related diseases. *Pharmacol Ther* 173: 19-33

Pushpakom S, Iorio F, Eyers PA, Escott KJ, Hopper S, Wells A, Doig A, Guilliams T, Latimer J, McNamee C *et al* (2019) Drug repurposing: progress, challenges and recommendations. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 18: 41-58

Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch S, Rueden C, Saalfeld S, Schmid B *et al* (2012) Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat Methods* 9: 676-682

Schlotawa L, Dierks T, Christoph S, Cloppenburg E, Ohlenbusch A, Korenke GC, Gartner J (2019) Severe neonatal multiple sulfatase deficiency presenting with hydrops fetalis in a preterm birth patient. *JIMD Rep* 49: 48-52

Schlotawa L, Ennemann EC, Radhakrishnan K, Schmidt B, Chakrapani A, Christen HJ, Moser H, Steinmann B, Dierks T, Gartner J (2011) SUMF1 mutations affecting stability and activity of formylglycine generating enzyme predict clinical outcome in multiple sulfatase deficiency. *European journal of human genetics : EJHG* 19: 253-261

Schlotawa L, Preiskorn J, Ahrens-Nicklas R, Schiller S, Adang LA, Gartner J, Friede T (2020) A systematic review and meta-analysis of published cases reveals the natural disease history in Multiple Sulfatase Deficiency. *J Inherit Metab Dis*

Schlotawa L, Radhakrishnan K, Baumgartner M, Schmid R, Schmidt B, Dierks T, Gartner J (2013) Rapid degradation of an active formylglycine generating enzyme variant leads to a late infantile severe form of multiple sulfatase deficiency. *European journal of human genetics : EJHG* 21: 1020-1023

Schlotawa L, Wachs M, Bernhard O, Mayer FJ, Dierks T, Schmidt B, Radhakrishnan K (2018) Recognition and ER Quality Control of Misfolded Formylglycine-Generating Enzyme by Protein Disulfide Isomerase. *Cell Rep* 24: 27-37 e24

Settembre C, Annunziata I, Spampanato C, Zarcone D, Cobellis G, Nusco E, Zito E, Tacchetti C, Cosma MP, Ballabio A (2007) Systemic inflammation and neurodegeneration in a mouse model of multiple sulfatase deficiency. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 104: 4506-4511

Shyu RY, Wang CH, Wu CC, Chen ML, Lee MC, Wang LK, Jiang SY, Tsai FM (2016) Tazarotene-Induced Gene 1 Enhanced Cervical Cell Autophagy through Transmembrane Protein 192. *Mol Cells* 39: 877-887

Sorrentino NC, Presa M, Attanasio S, Cacace V, Sofia M, Zuberi A, Ryan J, Ray S, Petkovic I, Radhakrishnan K *et al* (2022) New mouse models with hypomorphic SUMF1 variants mimic attenuated forms of Multiple Sulfatase Deficiency. *J Inherit Metab Dis, https://doi.org/10.1002/jimd.12577*

Standeven AM, Johnson AT, Escobar M, Chandraratna RA (1996) Specific antagonist of retinoid toxicity in mice. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 138: 169-175

Steckel F, Hasilik A, von Figura K (1983) Biosynthesis and maturation of arylsulfatase B in normal and mutant cultured human fibroblasts. *J Biol Chem* 258: 14322-14326

Strittmatter SM (2014) Overcoming Drug Development Bottlenecks With Repurposing: Old drugs learn new tricks. *Nat Med* 20: 590-591

Talpur R, Cox K, Duvic M (2009) Efficacy and safety of topical tazarotene: a review. *Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol* 5: 195-210

Tang-Liu DD, Matsumoto RM, Usansky JI (1999) Clinical pharmacokinetics and drug metabolism of tazarotene: a novel topical treatment for acne and psoriasis. *Clin Pharmacokinet* 37: 273-287

Trigo D, Goncalves MB, Corcoran JPT (2019) The regulation of mitochondrial dynamics in neurite outgrowth by retinoic acid receptor beta signaling. *FASEB J* 33: 7225-7235

Trivedi PC, Bartlett JJ, Pulinilkunnil T (2020) Lysosomal Biology and Function: Modern View of Cellular Debris Bin. *Cells* 9

van Diggelen OP, Zhao H, Kleijer WJ, Janse HC, Poorthuis BJ, van Pelt J, Kamerling JP, Galjaard H (1990) A fluorimetric enzyme assay for the diagnosis of Morquio disease type A (MPS IV A). *Clin Chim Acta* 187: 131-139

Verheyen S, Blatterer J, Speicher MR, Bhavani GS, Boons GJ, Ilse MB, Andrae D, Spross J, Vaz FM, Kircher SG *et al* (2021) Novel subtype of mucopolysaccharidosis caused by arylsulfatase K (ARSK) deficiency. *J Med Genet*

Weindl G, Roeder A, Schafer-Korting M, Schaller M, Korting HC (2006) Receptor-selective retinoids for psoriasis: focus on tazarotene. *Am J Clin Dermatol* 7: 85-97

Wu X, Katz E, Della Valle MC, Mascioli K, Flanagan JJ, Castelli JP, Schiffmann R, Boudes P, Lockhart DJ, Valenzano KJ *et al* (2011) A pharmacogenetic approach to identify mutant forms of alpha-galactosidase A that respond to a pharmacological chaperone for Fabry disease. *Hum Mutat* 32: 965-977

Xu M, Liu K, Swaroop M, Sun W, Dehdashti SJ, McKew JC, Zheng W (2014) A phenotypic compound screening assay for lysosomal storage diseases. *J Biomol Screen* 19: 168-175

Yotsumoto T, Naitoh T, Kanaki T, Tsuruzoe N (2005) A retinoid X receptor antagonist, HX531, improves leptin resistance without increasing plasma leptin level in KK-Ay mice under normal dietary conditions. *Metabolism* 54: 573-578

FIGURE LEGENDS

Fig. 1. Drug screen and evaluation of positive hit drugs using immortalized MSD patient derived cells.

(**A**) Indicative plot of one 96-well screening plate of the MSD-ARSA high-throughput screening assay with negative and positive controls included. Individual OD values were given for each well (circles) and indicate ARSA activity (grey area: baseline activity). Three hit drugs exceeded the upper baseline OD range. N = 1 experiment per well and drug treatment, final concentration of each drug 10 µM, treatment time 48 hours.

(**B**) ARSA activity quantification (nmol/h/mg) after treatment of MSDi cells with a selection of four positive hit drugs at a final concentration of 10 µM on MSDi cells in 25cm^2 cell culture flasks for three days. Data represent mean ±SD of 7 independent experiments (biological replicates). One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test for multiple comparisons. Difference against negative control: ** p<0.01, **** p<0.0001. See details on p-values in appendix table S3.

(**C**) ARSA activity quantification (nmol/h/mg) after treatment of MSDi cells with increasing concentrations of tazarotene for 3 days. Data represent mean ±SD of 3-9 independent experiments (biological replicates). One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test for multiple comparisons. Displayed are significance levels for the next significant difference between adjacent concentrations. # p<0.05, ## p<0.01. Difference against 0 µM control: ** p<0.01, **** p<0.0001. See details on p-values in appendix table S4.

(**D**) GALNS activity quantification after treatment of MSDi cells with increasing concentrations of tazarotene for 3 days. Data represent mean ±SD of 4 independent experiments (biological replicates). One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test for multiple comparisons. Difference

against 0 µM control: ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001, **** p<0.0001. See details on p-values in appendix table S5.

(**E**) Analysis of different retinoids' potential to restore ARSA activity in MSDi cells in comparison to tazarotene after treatment for 3 days at a final concentration 10 µM of each drug (Adapalene 1 µM). Data represent mean ±SD of 3 independent experiments (biological replicates). One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test for multiple comparisons. Difference against DMSO control: ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001, **** p<0.0001. See details on p-values in appendix table S6.

(**F**) ARSA activity quantification after treatment of MSDi cells with increasing concentrations of bexarotene for 3 days. Data represent mean ±SD of 3-10 independent experiments (biological replicates). One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test for multiple comparisons. Displayed are significance levels for the next significant difference between adjacent concentrations. # p<0.05. Difference against 0 µM control: * p<0.05, *** p<0.001, **** p<0.0001. See details on p-values in appendix table S7.

(**G**) ARSA activity quantification after simultaneous treatment of MSDi cells with increasing concentrations of tazarotene and bexarotene in a fixed combination of 1:2 for 3 days. Data represent mean ±SD of 3-6 independent experiments (biological replicates). One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test for multiple comparisons. Displayed are significance levels for the next significant difference between adjacent concentrations. #### p<0.0001. Difference against 0/0 µM control: **** p<0.0001. See details on p-values in appendix table S8.

(**H**) Analysis and quantification of a time dependent increase of ARSA activity in MSDi cells simultaneously treated with 10 and 20 µM tazarotene and bexarotene, respectively. Data represent mean ±SD of 3-10 independent experiments (biological replicates). One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test for multiple comparisons. Displayed are significance levels for the next significant

difference between treatment times. # $p < 0.05$, ### $p < 0.001$, #### $p < 0.0001$. Difference against 0 days control: * $p < 0.05$, **** $p < 0.0001$. See details on p-values in appendix table S9.

Fig. 2. Tazarotene and bexarotene increase sulfatase activities in MSD primary fibroblasts and MSD iPSC derived NPCs.

(**A**) ARSA activity quantification after treatment of MSD primary fibroblasts (variant FGE Gly247Arg homozygous) with increasing concentrations of tazarotene for 6 days. Data represent mean ±SD of 3-7 independent experiments (biological replicates). One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test for multiple comparisons. Displayed are significance levels for the next significant difference between adjacent concentrations. #### $p < 0.0001$. Difference against 0 µM control: *** $p < 0.001$, **** $p < 0.0001$. See details on p-values in appendix table S10.

(**B**) ARSA activity quantification after treatment of MSD primary fibroblasts (variant FGE Gly247Arg homozygous) with increasing concentrations of bexarotene for 6 days. Data represent mean ±SD of 3-9 independent experiments (biological replicates). One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test for multiple comparisons. Displayed are significance levels for the next significant difference between adjacent concentrations. #### $p < 0.0001$. Difference against 0 µM control: * $p < 0.05$, **** $p < 0.0001$. See details on p-values in appendix table S11.

(**C**) ARSA activity quantification after simultaneous treatment of MSD primary fibroblasts (variant FGE Gly247Arg homozygous) with increasing concentrations of tazarotene and bexarotene in a fixed combination of 1:2 for 6 days. Data represent mean ±SD of 3-6 independent experiments (biological replicates). One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test for multiple comparisons. Displayed are significance levels for the next significant difference between adjacent

concentrations. #### $p < 0.0001$. Difference against 0/0 µM control: ** $p < 0.01$, **** $p < 0.0001$. See details on p-values in appendix table S12.

(**D**) Analysis and quantification of a time dependent increase of ARSA activity in MSD primary fibroblasts (variant FGE Gly247Arg homozygous) simultaneously treated with 10 and 20 µM tazarotene and bexarotene, respectively, up to 21 days. Data represent mean ±SD of 3-6 independent experiments (biological replicates). One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test for multiple comparisons. Displayed are significance levels for the next significant difference between adjacent treatment times. #### $p < 0.0001$. Difference against 0 days control: * $p < 0.05$, **** $p < 0.0001$. See details on p-values in appendix table S13.

(**E**) Analysis and quantification of increased sulfatase activities different to ARSA, namely ARSB, GALNS, and STS in MSD primary fibroblasts (variant FGE Gly247Arg homozygous) after 6 days of simultaneous treatment with tazarotene/bexarotene 10/20 µM. Data represent mean ±SD of 3-6 independent experiments (biological replicates). One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test for multiple comparisons. *** $p < 0.001$, **** $p < 0.0001$. See details on p-values in appendix table S14.

(**F**) Quantification of ARSA activities in MSD primary fibroblasts with different homozygous *SUMF1* mutations (FGE Gly247Arg, FGE Gly263Val, FGE Ala279Val, FGE Arg349Trp) after 6 days of simultaneous treatment with tazarotene/bexarotene 10/20 µM. Data represent mean ±SD of 3 independent experiments (biological replicates). One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test for multiple comparisons. **** $p < 0.0001$. See details on p-values in appendix table S15.

(**G**) Quantification of ARSA activity in MSD patient-derived iPSCs differentiated into NPCs and unaffected control NPCs controls. Simultaneous treatment with 5 µM tazarotene and 5 µM bexarotene for 4 days. Data represent mean ±SD of 6 independent experiments (biological replicates). Unpaired t-test. **** $p < 0.0001$. See details on p-values in appendix table S16.

(**H**) Quantification of SGSH activity in MSD patient-derived iPSCs differentiated into NPCs and unaffected control NPCs controls. Simultaneous treatment with 5 µM tazarotene and 5 µM bexarotene for 4 days. Data represent mean ±SD of 3 independent experiments (biological replicates). Unpaired t-test. * $p<0.05$, *** $p<0.001$. See details on p-values in appendix table S17.

Fig. 3. Tazarotene and bexarotene reverse lysosomal pathophysiology in MSD primary fibroblasts.

(**A**) Representative confocal images of control and MSD fibroblasts with either tazarotene/bexarotene (10/20 µM, 6 days). Labelling with anti-LAMP1 antibody (green fluorescence) and DAPI (nuclei, blue). Scale bar = 20 µm.

(**B**) Representative confocal images of control and MSD fibroblasts with either tazarotene/bexarotene (10/20 µM, 6 days). Labelling with anti-LAMP1 antibody (green fluorescence) and DAPI (nuclei, blue). Scale bar = 10 µm.

(**C**) Quantification of total intensity of LAMP1- green fluorescence. N = 20 images and 13 z-series optical sections per condition with a step-size of 0.26 microns, displayed at maximum extension and automated equalization of brightness. Data represent mean ±SD of 3 independent experiments (biological replicates). One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test for multiple comparisons. *** $p<0.001$ (DMSO treated MSD cells compared to DMSO treated control cells), # $p<0.05$ (MSD DMSO vs MSD treated). RFU: relative fluorescence units. See details on p-values in appendix table S18.

(**D**) Quantification of LAMP1- green fluorescence vesicle size (µm). N = 20 images and 13 z-series optical sections per condition with a step-size of 0.26 microns, displayed at maximum extension and automated equalization of brightness. Data represent mean ±SD of 3 independent

experiments (biological replicates). One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test for multiple comparisons. *** p<0.001 (DMSO treated MSD cells compared to DMSO treated control cells), ## p<0.01 (MSD DMSO vs MSD treated). See details on p-values in appendix table S19.

(**E**) Representative spectra of the heparansulfate derived oligosaccharide HN-UA(1S) as GAG marker analyzed via mass-spectrometry in DMSO (control) treated MSD primary fibroblasts (variant FGE Gly247Arg homozygous, upper panel) and in the same cell line simultaneously treated with 10 and 20 µM tazarotene and bexarotene for 21 days (lower panel). Integrated peak areas correspond to the amount of HN-UA(1S).

(**F**) Quantification of specific oligosaccharide markers for GAG species in three different MSD primary fibroblast lines with FGE variants as indicated and one control fibroblast line after 21-day treatment with tazarotene/bexarotene. 10/20 µM. Data represent mean ±SD of 3-8 independent experiments (biological replicates). Unpaired t-test comparing DMSO conditions of control and MSD fibroblasts and treated and DMSO control conditions in every MSD cell line for every marker. Details on significance levels for each marker are summarized in table EV1. The lowest significance levels among individual markers for treatment and DMSO condition in MSD fibroblast lines are displayed. * p<0.05, ** p<0.01.

Fig. 4. Tazarotene and bexarotene work through retinoid receptors in MSD fibroblasts.

(**A**) ARSA activity quantification upon treatment of MSDi cells with 10 µM tazarotene, 20 µM bexarotene, and 10 µM tazarotene and 20 µM bexarotene in combination with increasing concentrations of the pan-RAR receptor antagonist AGN 193109 (24 h pretreatment) for 72 hours. Data represent mean ±SD of 3-11 independent experiments (biological replicates). Two-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test for multiple comparisons. Displayed are significance levels for

the next significant difference between adjacent concentrations/conditions. # p<0.05, ## p<0.01, ### p<0.001. Difference against 0 µM DMSO control: ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001, **** p<0.0001. See details on p-values in appendix table S20.

(**B**) ARSA activity quantification upon treatment of MSDi cells with 10 µM tazarotene, 20 µM bexarotene, and 10 µM tazarotene and 20 µM bexarotene in combination with increasing concentrations of the pan-RXR receptor antagonist HX 531 (24 h pretreatment) for 72 hours. Data represent mean ±SD of 4-14 independent experiments (biological replicates). Two-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test for multiple comparisons. Displayed are significance levels for the next significant difference between adjacent concentrations/conditions. # p<0.05, ## p<0.01. Difference against 0 µM DMSO control: **** p<0.0001. See details on p-values in appendix table S21.

Fig. 5. Transcription response of tazarotene and bexarotene treatment in MSD fibroblasts.
(**A**) Eigengene analysis of the yellow gene cluster as determined by WGCN analysis after RNA sequencing of six different MSD primary fibroblast lines and five different control fibroblast lines and treatment with tazarotene/bexarotene 10/20 µM or DMSO only, respectively, for 6 days. Data represent min to max box and whisker blots of eigengene values ±SD of 3 independent experiments (biological replicates). One-way ANOVA test followed by Tukey's test for multiple comparisons. * p<0.05, ** p<0.01. See details on p-values in appendix table S22.

(**B**) GO and KEGG pathway analysis of genes in the yellow cluster and log10 value of p-values.

(**C**) Eigengene analysis of the yellow gene cluster as determined by WGCN analysis after RNA sequencing of six different MSD primary fibroblast lines and five different control fibroblast lines and treatment with tazarotene/bexarotene 10/20 µM or DMSO only, respectively, for 6 days. Data

represent min to max box and whisker blots of eigengene values ±SD of 3 independent experiments (biological replicates). One-way ANOVA test followed by Tukey's test for multiple comparisons. * $p<0.05$, ** $p<0.01$. See details on p-values in appendix table S23.

(**D**) GO and KEGG pathway analysis of genes in the brown cluster and log10 value of p-values.

Fig. 6. Tazarotene and bexarotene treatment response in MSD fibroblasts requires residual FGE function.

 (**A**) ARSA protein amount quantification after treatment of MSD primary fibroblasts (variant FGE Gly247Arg homozygous) with tazarotene, bexarotene, and tazarotene/bexarotene in combination for 6 days referred to β- actin amounts and normalization of ARSA activity based on ARSA protein amount (specific ARSA activity). Data represent mean ±SD of 3 independent experiments (biological replicates). One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test for multiple comparisons. Displayed are significance levels for the next significant difference between adjacent concentrations. # $p<0.05$. Difference against 0/0 µM control: * $p<0.05$, *** $p<0.001$. See details on p-values in appendix table S24.

(**B**) Quantification of ARSA activities in CRISPR/Cas9 generated ARPE19 SUMF1 -/- cells and appropriate controls (ARPE19 wild type, MSDi) after 6 days of simultaneous treatment with increasing concentration of tazarotene. Data represent mean ±SD of 3 independent experiments (biological replicates). One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test for multiple comparisons. Displayed are significance levels for the next significant difference between adjacent concentrations. ## $p<0.01$. Difference against 0 µM control: *** $p<0.001$, **** $p<0.0001$. See details on p-values in appendix table S25.

(**C**) Quantification of ARSA activities in CRISPR/Cas9 generated ARPE19 SUMF1 -/- cells and appropriate controls (ARPE19 wild type, MSD primary fibroblasts (variant FGE Gly247Arg homozygous)) after 6 days of simultaneous treatment with tazarotene/bexarotene 10/20 µM for up to 21 days. Data represent mean ±SD of 3 independent experiments (biological replicates). One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test for multiple comparisons. Displayed are significance levels for the next significant difference between adjacent concentrations. ## $p < 0.01$. Difference against 0 days control: **** $p < 0.0001$. See details on p-values in appendix table S26.

(**D**) ARSA activity quantification after simultaneous treatment of MSD primary fibroblasts (variants FGE Gly247Arg homozygous, FGE Ser64Ter homozygous) with tazarotene and bexarotene. Treatment time 6 days. Data represent mean ±SD of 3 independent experiments (biological replicates). One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test for multiple comparisons. * $p < 0.05$. See details on p-values in appendix table S27.

Fig. 7. Improved FGE variant protein stability after tazarotene and bexarotene treatment.

(**A**) Pulse-chase-experiment in HT1080 FGE Ser155Pro cells after pre-treatment with tazarotene/bexarotene and DMSO (control) for 3 days. Upper panel: representative autoradiogram of intracellular (C) ^{35}S isotope labelled FGE protein in either condition with a chase time of 4 hours. Lower panel: quantification of ^{35}S isotope labelled intracellular FGE protein amounts. Data represent mean ±SD of 3 independent experiments (biological replicates). One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test for multiple comparisons. ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$. See details on p-values in appendix table S28.

(**B**) Pulse-chase-experiment in HT 1080 FGE Gly247Arg cells after pre-treatment with tazarotene/bexarotene and DMSO (control) for 3 days. Upper panel: representative autoradiogram

of intracellular (full length FGE, C) and cleaved and secreted ($\Delta72$ FGE, M) ^{35}S isotope labelled FGE protein in either condition with a chase time of 4 hours. Lower panel: quantification of ^{35}S isotope labelled intracellular and secreted FGE protein amounts. Data represent mean ±SD of 3 independent experiments (biological replicates). One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test for multiple comparisons. * $p<0.05$, *** $p<0.001$. See details on p-values in appendix table S29.

(**C**) Pulse-chase-experiment in HT 1080 FGE Ala279Val cells after pre-treatment with tazarotene/bexarotene and DMSO (control) for 3 days. Upper panel: representative autoradiogram of intracellular (full length FGE, C) and cleaved and secreted ($\Delta72$ FGE, M) ^{35}S isotope labelled FGE protein in either condition with a chase time of 4 hours. Lower panel: quantification of ^{35}S isotope labelled intracellular and secreted FGE protein amounts. Data represent mean ±SD of 3 independent experiments (biological replicates). One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test for multiple comparisons. See details on p-values in appendix table S30.

Expanded View Figure legends

Fig. EV1. Hit drug evaluation on immortalized MSD fibroblasts.

(**A**) ARSA activity quantification (nmol/h/mg) after treatment of MSDi cells in 25cm^2 cell culture flasks with a selection of four positive hit drugs at a final concentration of 10 µM for six days. Data represent mean ±SD of 7 independent experiments (biological replicates). One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test for multiple comparisons. Difference against negative control: **** $p<0.0001$. See details on p-values in appendix table S31.

(**B**) GALNS activity quantification (nmol/h/mg) after treatment of MSDi cells with a selection of four positive hit drugs at a final concentration of 10 µM for three days. Data represent mean ±SD

of 5 independent experiments (biological replicates). One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test for multiple comparisons. Difference against negative control: ** $p<0.001$. See details on p-values in appendix table S32.

(C) GALNS activity quantification (nmol/h/mg) after treatment of MSDi cells with a selection of four positive hit drugs at a final concentration of 10 µM for six days. Data represent mean ±SD of 5 independent experiments (biological replicates). One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test for multiple comparisons. Difference against negative control: * $p<0.05$, ** $p<0.001$. See details on p-values in appendix table S33.

(D) β-galactosidase (betaGAL) activity quantification (nmol/h/mg) after treatment of MSDi cells with a selection of four positive hit drugs at a final concentration of 10 µM for three days. Data represent mean ±SD of 5 independent experiments (biological replicates). One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test for multiple comparisons.

(E) ß-hexosaminidase A and B (betaHEXAB) activity quantification (nmol/h/mg) after treatment of MSDi cells with a selection of four positive hit drugs at a final concentration of 10 µM for three days. Data represent mean ±SD of 5 independent experiments (biological replicates). One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test for multiple comparisons.

(F) Dose response curve of ARSA activity calculated from data displayed in figure 1C (MSDi cells, tazarotene treatment) by non-linear regression analysis. Drug concentrations are displayed after transformation into log10 values and baseline activity (negative control, DMSO only treatment) was manually referred to log-2. Dots and error bars represent mean ±SD.

(G) Dose response curve of GALNS activity calculated from data displayed in figure 1D (MSDi cells, tazarotene treatment) by non-linear regression analysis. Drug concentrations are displayed

after transformation into log10 values and baseline activity (negative control, DMSO only treatment) was manually referred to log-2. Dots and error bars represent mean ±SD.

(**H**) Dose response curve of ARSA activity calculated from data displayed in figure 1F (MSDi cells, bexarotene treatment) by non-linear regression analysis. Drug concentrations are displayed after transformation into log10 values and baseline activity (negative control, DMSO only treatment) was manually referred to log-2. Dots and error bars represent mean ±SD.

(**I**) Dose response curve of ARSA activity calculated from data displayed in figure 1G (MSDi cells, tazarotene/bexarotene treatment) by non-linear regression analysis. Drug concentrations are displayed after transformation into log10 values and baseline activity (negative control, DMSO only treatment) was manually referred to log-2. Dots and error bars represent mean ±SD.

Fig. EV2. Treatment response and toxicity assessment.

(**A**) Dose response curve of ARSA activity calculated from data displayed in figure 2A (variant FGE Gly247Arg homozygous, tazarotene treatment) by non-linear regression analysis. Drug concentrations are displayed after transformation into log10 values and baseline activity (negative control, DMSO only treatment) was manually referred to log-2. Dots and error bars represent mean ±SD.

(**B**) Dose response curve of ARSA activity calculated from data displayed in figure 2B (variant FGE Gly247Arg homozygous, bexarotene treatment) by non-linear regression analysis. Drug concentrations are displayed after transformation into log10 values and baseline activity (negative control, DMSO only treatment) was manually referred to log-2. Dots and error bars represent mean ±SD.

(**C**) Dose response curve of ARSA activity calculated from data displayed in figure 2C (variant FGE Gly247Arg homozygous, tazarotene/bexarotene treatment) by non-linear regression analysis. Drug concentrations are displayed after transformation into log10 values and baseline activity (negative control, DMSO only treatment) was manually referred to log-2. Dots and error bars represent mean ±SD.

(**D**) ARSA activity quantification after treatment of five different control, non-MSD, fibroblast lines with tazarotene, bexarotene, and tazarotene/bexarotene in combination for 6 days referred to β- actin amounts and calculation of ARSA activity based on ARSA protein amount (specific ARSA activity). Data represent mean ±SD of 5 independent experiments (biological replicates) in duplicates to determine the range of normal ARSA activities and treatment response as basis for the calculation of residual activities in MSD fibroblasts.

(**E**) ARSA activity quantification after simultaneous treatment of MSD primary fibroblasts (variant FGE Gly247Arg homozygous) with increasing concentrations of tazarotene and bexarotene in a fixed combination of 1:2 for 21 days. Data represent mean ±SD of 4 independent experiments (biological replicates). One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test for multiple comparisons. Displayed are significance levels for the next significant difference between adjacent concentrations/conditions. # $p < 0.05$, ### $p < 0.001$, #### $p < 0.0001$. Difference against 0/0 µM control: * $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.001$, **** $p < 0.0001$. See details on p-values in appendix table S34.

(**F**) Quantification of ARSA activities in MSD primary fibroblasts with different homozygous *SUMF1* mutations (FGE Gly247Arg, FGE Gly263Val, FGE Ala279Val, FGE Arg349Trp) after 6 days of treatment with tazarotene 10 µM. Data represent mean ±SD of 2-5 independent experiments (biological replicates). One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test for multiple comparisons. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, **** $p < 0.0001$. See details on p-values in appendix table S35.

(**G**) Upper panel: Representative pictures of Western Blot analysis of (PARP) and cleaved PARP in tazarotene/bexarotene treated MSD primary fibroblasts (variant FGE Gly247Arg, FGE Ala279Val, homozygous) and control fibroblasts. β-actin expression served as loading control. Lower panel: Quantification of protein amounts from western blots displayed as ratio cleaved PARP to total PARP expression normalized to β-actin. Data represent mean ±SD of 3-4 independent experiments (biological replicates). Unpaired t-tests. No statistical differences. RU: relative units.

Fig. EV3. Transcriptional response of MSD and retinoic acid gene targets upon tazarotene/bexarotene treatment.

(**A**) Gene expression analysis of genes in relation to retinoic acid receptor signalling of six different MSD primary fibroblast lines and five different control fibroblast lines after six days of treatment with tazarotene/ bexarotene (10/20 μM) and DMSO, respectively. Changes in RPKM (reads per kilobase million) are displayed as mean ± SD of 3 independent experiments (biological replicates). One-way ANOVA test followed by Tukey's test for multiple comparisons. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$, **** $p < 0.0001$. See details on p-values in appendix table S36.

(**B**) Gene expression analysis of *SUMF1* and FGE interacting partners (non-sulfatases) of six different MSD primary fibroblast lines and five different control fibroblast lines after six days of treatment with tazarotene/ bexarotene (10/20 μM) and DMSO, respectively. Changes in RPKM (reads per kilobase million) are displayed as mean ± SD of 3 independent experiments (biological replicates). One-way ANOVA test followed by Tukey's test for multiple comparisons. * $p < 0.05$. See details on p-values in appendix table S37.

(**C**) Gene expression analysis of sulfatases of six different MSD primary fibroblast lines and five different control fibroblast lines after six days of treatment with tazarotene/ bexarotene (10/20 µM) and DMSO, respectively. Changes in RPKM (reads per kilobase million) are displayed as mean ± SD of 3 independent experiments (biological replicates). One-way ANOVA test followed by Tukey's test for multiple comparisons. * $p<0.05$, **** $p<0.0001$. See details on p-values in appendix table S38.

Fig. EV4. Transcriptional response upon tazarotene and bexarotene treatment in MSD and control fibroblasts and differential transcriptional response in MSD fibroblasts upon treatment with tazarotene and adapalene

(**A**) Eigengene analysis of the red gene cluster as determined by WGCN analysis after RNA sequencing of six different MSD primary fibroblast lines and five different control fibroblast lines and treatment with tazarotene/ bexarotene 10/20 µM or DMSO only, respectively, for 6 days. Data represent min to max box and whisker blots of eigengene values ±SD of 3 independent experiments (biological replicates). One-way ANOVA test followed by Tukey's test for multiple comparisons. **** $p<0.0001$. See details on p-values in appendix table S39.

(**B**) GO and KEGG pathway analysis of genes in the red cluster and log10 value of p-values. GO and KEGG pathway analysis of genes in the yellow cluster and their log10 value of p-values as display for changes in gene expression.

(**C**) Eigengene analysis of the red gene cluster as determined by WGCN analysis after RNA sequencing of six different MSD primary fibroblast lines and five different control fibroblast lines and treatment with tazarotene/ bexarotene 10/20 µM or DMSO only, respectively, for 6 days. Data

represent min to max box and whisker blots of eigengene values ±SD of 3 independent experiments (biological replicates). One-way ANOVA test followed by Tukey's test for multiple comparisons. * p<0.05, ** p<0.01. See details on p-values in appendix table S40.

(**D**) GO and KEGG pathway analysis of genes in the red cluster and log10 value of p-values.

(**E**) Differential gene expression analysis after treatment of seven MSD primary fibroblasts lines with tazarotene (sulfatase activity response) and adapalene (no sulfatase activity response) in triplicates for 6 days. Treatment with DMSO served as negative control. Venn diagram and number of exclusively regulated genes for tazarotene treatment (TAZ) versus DMSO condition (left) and adapalene treatment (ADA) versus DMSO (right) as well as number of overlapping genes identically regulated by both tazarotene and adapalene. GO pathway analysis and log10 value of p-values for tazarotene only regulated genes.

Fig. EV5. Sulfatase specific activity and protein expression and protein stability of wildtype FGE upon treatment.

(**A**) GALNS protein amount quantification after treatment of MSD primary fibroblasts (variant FGE Gly247Arg homozygous) with tazarotene, bexarotene, and tazarotene/bexarotene in combination for 6 days referred to β- actin amounts and calculation of GALNS activity based on GALNS protein amount (specific GALNS activity). Data represent mean ±SD 3 independent experiments (biological replicates). One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test for multiple comparisons.

(**B**) Pulse-chase-experiment in HT 1080 FGE wild type (wt) cells after pre-treatment with tazarotene/bexarotene and DMSO (control) for 3 days. Upper panel: autoradiogram of intracellular

(full length FGE, C) and cleaved and secreted (Δ72 FGE, M) ^{35}S isotope labelled FGE protein in

either condition with a chase time of 4 hours. Lower panel: Quantification of the autoradiogram,

n=1 experiment.