Further evidence that galaxy age drives observed type Ia supernova luminosity differences

P. Wiseman,^{1 *} M. Sullivan,¹ M. Smith² and B. Popovic³

¹ School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, SO17 1BJ, UK

² Univ Lyon, Univ Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS, IP2I Lyon / IN2P3, IMR 5822, F-69622 Villeurbanne, France

³ Department of Physics, Duke University, Durham, NC 27708, USA

Accepted XXX. Received YYY; in original form ZZZ

ABSTRACT

arXiv:2302.05341v1 [astro-ph.GA] 10 Feb 2023

Type Ia supernovae (SNe Ia) are explosions of white dwarf stars that facilitate exquisite measurements of cosmological expansion history, but improvements in accuracy and precision are hindered by observational biases. Of particular concern is the apparent difference in the corrected brightnesses of SNe Ia in different host galaxy environments. SNe Ia in more massive, passive, older environments appear brighter after having been standardized by their light-curve properties. The luminosity difference commonly takes the form of a step function. Recent works imply that environmental characteristics that trace the age of the stellar population in the vicinity of SNe show the largest steps. Here we use simulations of SN Ia populations to test the impact of using different tracers and investigate promising new models of the step. We test models with a total-to-selective dust extinction ratio R_V that changes between young and old SN Ia host galaxies, as well as an intrinsic luminosity difference between SNe from young and old progenitors. The data are well replicated by a model driven by a galaxy-age varying R_V and no intrinsic SN luminosity difference, and we find that specific star-formation rate measured locally to the SN is a relatively pure tracer of this galaxy age difference. We cannot rule out an intrinsic difference causing part of the observed step and show that if luminosity differences are caused by multiple drivers then no single environmental measurement is able to accurately trace them. We encourage the use of multiple tracers in luminosity corrections to negate this issue.

Key words: supernovae: general - cosmology: observations - dust, extinction - distance scale

1 INTRODUCTION

Type Ia supernovae (SNe Ia) are routinely used as standardizable candles to directly probe the expansion history of the Universe, a technique that led to the discovery of the accelerated cosmic expansion (Riess et al. 1998; Perlmutter et al. 1999). These measurements are facilitated by empirical relationships between the optical brightness of a SN Ia and its decline rate (commonly known as 'stretch'; Phillips 1993) and a SN Ia brightness and its colour (Riess et al. 1996; Tripp 1998). When combined, these two relationships can reduce the dispersion in SN Ia distances to 7 per cent ($\simeq 0.15$ mag), enabling modern analyses to measure the dark energy equation-of-state parameter to a precision of two per cent (Scolnic et al. 2018; Abbott et al. 2019; Brout et al. 2022). Key to achieving an accuracy that matches this precision is the comprehensive modelling of both SN Ia selection biases in large surveys (e.g. Kessler et al. 2009; Perrett et al. 2010; Betoule et al. 2014; Kessler et al. 2019; Vincenzi et al. 2021) and astrophysical processes affecting the observed SN Ia properties.

In the latter case, significant effort has been dedicated to explaining and accounting for the observed relationships between the standardized (i.e., stretch- and colour-corrected) SN Ia luminosities and their host galaxy parameters, such as stellar mass (Kelly et al. 2010; Lampeitl et al. 2010; Sullivan et al. 2010), star-formation rate (SFR; Sullivan et al. 2010), morphology (Sullivan et al. 2003; Hakobyan et al. 2020), stellar age (Rose et al. 2019, 2021; Millán-Irigoyen et al. 2022), metallicity (Gallagher et al. 2008; Childress et al. 2013; Moreno-Raya et al. 2016; Millán-Irigoyen et al. 2022), or galaxy rest-frame colour (Roman et al. 2018; Jones et al. 2018; Kelsey et al. 2021, 2022). The relationships usually take the form of a 'step', where the mean standardized luminosities of SNe Ia on different sides of a threshold in a given host galaxy parameter (e.g., a stellar mass of $\log(M_*/M_{\odot}) = 10$) differ by a fixed amount.

SN Ia stretch also correlates with various global (i.e., measurements of the entire galaxy) host galaxy parameters (e.g., Hamuy et al. 1995; Sullivan et al. 2006), and in particular those that trace stellar population age. Rigault et al. (2013), Rigault et al. (2020) and Nicolas et al. (2021) show evidence that there are at least two modes of SN stretch,one of which is dominant for young white dwarfs and the other in older progenitor systems. There is also evidence that the luminosity step is more significant when computed for a 'locally'measured¹ property (Rigault et al. 2020; Roman et al. 2018; Rose et al. 2019; Kelsey et al. 2021, 2022), particularly properties linked to age, suggesting that SNe Ia belonging to each stretch population may also have differing mean intrinsic luminosities. Briday et al. (2022,

 ^{*} E-mail: p.s.wiseman@soton.ac.uk (PW)

¹ Measured in a small aperture centered at the SN location.

hereafter B22) showed that the magnitude of the step is directly related to the ability of a given host galaxy measurement to trace the age of the stellar population near the SN: locally measured specific SFR (the SFR normalised by stellar mass; Guzmán et al. 1997) displays the largest step, while the step in global galaxy morphology (which has a far less direct connection to the stellar population age at the SN Ia position) is smallest. B22 propose that there should be a linear relationship between the step size and how directly the host galaxy parameter traces local sSFR, and their observed data agree with the prediction.

An alternative explanation is that the stellar mass step is a result of different average dust properties in low- and high-mass galaxies (Brout & Scolnic 2020). For example, a difference in the mean totalto-selective extinction ratio (R_V) such that mean $R_V = 2.0$ in lowmass galaxies and $R_V = 3.0$ in high-mass galaxies can reduce the mass step to ~ 0.02 mag (Popovic et al. 2021a). Such a difference in R_V can explain the observed difference in the colour–luminosity coefficient β between SNe Ia in low and high mass host galaxies (Sullivan et al. 2011; González-Gaitán et al. 2021; Chen et al. 2022; Kelsey et al. 2022), and also account for the observed increase in the step size as a function of SN colour (Brout & Scolnic 2020; Popovic et al. 2021a; Kelsey et al. 2022) as well as the increase in SN Ia luminosity dispersion as a function of SN colour (Brout & Scolnic 2020; Popovic et al. 2021a).

Wiseman et al. (2022, hereafter W22) explored variations of the Brout & Scolnic (2020) model by simulating the stellar age distributions of galaxies and the delay-time distributions (DTDs) of SNe Ia. The DTD is the probability distribution describing the 'delay' between the star formation event in which the SN progenitor was formed and the epoch when the SN progenitor explodes. This is commonly modelled as a power law of form t^{λ} (e.g., Maoz et al. 2014)², with $\lambda \sim -1$ favoured by observations. By incorporating the DTD with the evolution of stellar ages within simulated galaxies, W22 trace the connection between the intrinsic properties of stellar age and SN Ia progenitor age, with measurable galaxy properties such as stellar mass, SFR, and rest-frame colour, and how these link to SN Ia distance measurements.

W22 find that model with a mean R_V of a SN Ia population $(\overline{R_V})$ that changes with galaxy age (rather than stellar mass) best reproduces the observed step and its evolution with SN colours – in particular when this model is compared to observations of both host galaxy stellar mass and host galaxy colour. This is a complex, multi-dimensional parameter space and several permutations of the model can explain the broad trends in the data equally well, although no model is able to reproduce the data in both host parameters simultaneously. In particular, models with and without an intrinsic SN Ia luminosity step perform equally well, with different observed luminosity step sizes accounted for by varying differences in the R_V population means. A model where R_V changes with respect to the age of the SN Ia progenitor itself (e.g., the extinction is caused by circumstellar material that is affected by the astrophysics of the white dwarf and its companion) does not provide good fits to the data.

Other analyses have found conflicting results. By measuring R_V for individual SNe Ia using a hierarchical Bayesian model, Thorp et al. (2021) and Thorp & Mandel (2022) found no significant difference in the R_V population means between SNe in low and high mass galaxies. There is also some evidence that the step remains when measuring distances using near infra-red (NIR) light curves,

where the effects of dust should have a smaller impact (Ponder et al. 2021; Uddin et al. 2020; Jones et al. 2022), although, by contrast, Johansson et al. (2021) found the step was removed in both the NIR and when fitting each SN Ia for its R_V .

Evidence for a large difference between the dust laws in the general population of old/massive galaxies and young/low-mass galaxies is also limited, hindered by the difficulty in measuring dust laws for large samples of galaxies typically only observed with optical and sometimes NIR photometry. Salim et al. (2018) showed that the R_V values for star-forming galaxies increase with stellar mass, opposite to the inference of the Brout & Scolnic (2020) model. This increase corresponds to an increase in the dispersion of the R_V parameter and an increase in the optical depth (which correlates with stellar mass). However, Salim et al. (2018) also show that the R_V of passive galaxies is $\sim 0.5-1$ smaller than star-forming galaxies, indicating that the dust is dependent on age/star-formation history in the direction matching the W22 model. A similar effect has been observed in SN Ia host galaxies by Meldorf et al. (2022) and Duarte et al. (2022). The increasing fraction of passive galaxies (and also of passive SN Ia hosts) with stellar mass may thus explain the inferred decrease in R_V with stellar mass inferred by Brout & Scolnic (2020), Popovic et al. (2021b) and W22.

Overall, dust-based models can explain the optical SN Ia data, but it is unclear which host galaxy parameter is the key driver of a changing dust law and whether dust can account for the full step. The tests conducted by B22 provide a framework to disentangle the problem: a successful model must reproduce both the trend of luminosity step as a function of SN Ia colour as well as the relationship between step magnitude and tracer contamination.

In this work, we test the dust-based models explored in W22 in the framework of B22, which we outline in Section 2. Using a simulated sample of SNe Ia, we assume each potential astrophysical driver of a changing dust law as a 'truth' and assess the resulting relationship between step size and contamination between the two SN Ia populations traced by each host galaxy property, which we present in Section 3. In Sections 4 and 5 we asses and review the implications of our findings. Where appropriate, we assume a reference cosmology described by a spatially flat Λ CDM model with $H_0 = 70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ and $\Omega_M = 0.3$.

2 METHOD

In W22 a framework was introduced for tracing the effects of galaxyscale astrophysical effects through to SN Ia distance measurements in a simulation. The simulation comprises two core components described in detail in previous works and summarised in this section: firstly, we generate a library of potential host galaxies (Wiseman et al. 2021), and then we generate samples of SN Ia light-curve parameters associated with those galaxies (W22). The simulations are optimised to best reproduce SN samples from the Dark Energy Survey supernova program (DES-SN; Bernstein et al. 2012), but in principle they can be adapted to mimic any SN survey. Unless otherwise stated, we use identical model parameters to W22, shown in Table 1.

2.1 Galaxy models

To track stellar populations through the evolution of galaxies, we use the galaxy mass assembly prescriptions of Wiseman et al. (2021) based upon the work of Childress et al. (2014). These simulations begin with seed galaxies at a range of look-back times and follow

² Usually the power-law index is represented by β . Here we use λ to avoid confusion with the SN colour – luminosity relation.

 $\overline{R_{V,1}}$ $\overline{R_{V,2}}$ Model Name Y Χ γ_X SN step only 2.5 2.5 0.2 SN progenitor age Age R_V Host stellar age 1.5 3.0 0.0 Age R_V + SN step 1.75 2.5 0.15 Host stellar age SN progenitor age

Table 1. SN Ia luminosity models tested. Y is the environmental property on which R_V varies between $\overline{R_{V,1}}$ and $\overline{R_{V,2}}$. X is the astrophysical driver of



Sim Model: R_V step on galaxy age + luminosity step on SN progenitor age Reference tracer: SN progenitor age Reference tracer: Galaxy age



Figure 1. The measured SN Ia luminosity step γ (Section 2.3) as a function of the contamination of environmental tracers, using two different reference tracers. The simulations have a constant R_V and a 0.2 mag luminosity step on SN progenitor age. The solid line (the 'model') illustrates a direct relationship between the true step at zero contamination and a zero step at 100 per cent contamination. Circles correspond to the simulation from this work, diamonds are observational measurements taken from B22. Open circles are intrinsic galaxy properties taken from the simulation, while filled markers are galaxy properties estimated from spectral energy distribution fitting (either of data or of simulations outputs). Simulated properties are shown without uncertainties since in the simulation they are known exactly. The dashed line and shaded region show a least-squares fit to the simulated steps (forced through the point [100%, 0]) and 1 σ uncertainties respectively. Left: contamination computed assuming SN progenitor age as the reference tracer; Right: contamination computed incorrectly assuming galaxy age as the reference tracer.

them as they evolve along the star-forming main sequence according to an empirical relation between stellar mass, SFR, and redshift. The simulations also include the quenching of star formation. Here we follow the updated prescription of W22, where each seed galaxy is replicated 100 times, with the time of quenching onset drawn from a probability distribution. Small bursts of star formation happening at random times are drawn in a similar fashion. The output of the simulation is a representative library of galaxies across a large range of stellar mass and redshift, for which the full stellar age distribution is known at a resolution of 0.5 Myr. This star-formation history for each galaxy is convolved with a SN Ia DTD to produce a probability distribution for the age of SN Ia progenitors at the time of explosion. We use the power-law DTD from Wiseman et al. (2021) with $\lambda =$ -1.13.

additional luminosity steps that have magnitude γ_X .

2.2 Supernova models

SNe Ia in the simulation are represented by their light-curve parameters and corresponding uncertainties. We parametrise light curves in the SALT framework (Guy et al. 2007), with SN colour represented by the parameter c and SN stretch by the parameter x_1 . We assign intrinsic c and x_1 to each SN by drawing from probability distributions that relate to their host galaxy or their progenitor age. In this work we use the two-component colour distribution of Brout

& Scolnic (2020), with an intrinsic component following a Gaussian distribution and an external reddening component drawn from an exponential distribution. We draw x_1 from a Gaussian mixture introduced by Nicolas et al. (2021), with the component weights determined by SN progenitor age, using identical parameters to W22.

In cosmological SN Ia samples, SN absolute magnitudes show scatter around their mean. Various models have been introduced in order to account for this scatter, which are summarised in Brout & Scolnic (2020) and Popovic et al. (2021b). In the Brout & Scolnic (2020) framework used in this work, the intrinsic scatter is caused by SN to SN variation in the intrinsic colour-luminosity coefficient $\beta_{\rm SN}$ and dust extinction R_V . In our simulations, the SN Ia intrinsic luminosity M_B is fixed to -19.365 mag. This intrinsic brightness is modified according to the intrinsic stretch using a linear relationship with a coefficient fixed to $\alpha_{SN} = 0.15$, and by the intrinsic colourluminosity relationship drawing the coefficient β_{SN} from a Gaussian distribution with mean 2.0 and standard deviation 0.35, which were the best-fitting values for the scatter model in Brout & Scolnic (2020). This adjusted absolute magnitude is then converted to an apparent magnitude m_B using a distance modulus computed from a fixed reference cosmology. The brightness is reduced by Δm_B , which is determined according to the extinction via

$$\Delta m_B = (R_V + 1) \times E(B - V), \qquad (1)$$

where R_V is the total-to-selective extinction ratio and reddening E(B-V) is drawn from an exponential distribution.

The W22 models draw the R_V value for a given SN Ia from one of two Gaussian distributions with different means but identical standard deviations, with the means depending on a given host galaxy parameter Y: those SNe Ia hosted in galaxies above a specific value of Y are drawn from a distribution with a different mean than those SNe Ia hosted in galaxies below that value of Y. In this work, we focus only on using the host galaxy stellar-mass-weighted mean stellar age (which we refer to simply as stellar age) for Y as that was the best matching parameter in W22. Additionally, we allow for an intrinsic luminosity step driven by differences: this luminosity step $\gamma_{X,sim}$ is added or subtracted to the distance modulus of the SNe Ia directly, rather than as a modification to the peak brightness m_B . Here, we use the SN progenitor age for X. A summary of the models we test is presented in Table 1.

We simulate 2000 SNe Ia in the redshift range $0 \le z \le 1.2$. To mimic the effect of observational survey selection effects, we apply efficiency corrections following the DES host galaxy redshift detection efficiency as modelled by Vincenzi et al. (2021), such that SNe in fainter host galaxies are less likely to be included in the final sample. We add Gaussian noise to each of m_B , c and x_1 according to the SN Ia brightness and redshift using empirical relations measured from DES-SN data (W22).

2.3 Supernova distances and residual steps

Using the 'observed' parameters modelled in the previous section, distance moduli μ_{obs} of the simulated SNe are computed using a form of the Tripp estimator:

$$\mu_{\rm obs} = m_B - M_B + \alpha x_1 - \beta c + \gamma_{X,\rm obs} \Gamma_X \,, \tag{2}$$

where α , β^3 , $\gamma_{X,obs}$, M_B are nuisance parameters, and Γ_X represents the step for some environmental property X:

$$\Gamma_X = \begin{cases} 0.5, & X < X_{\text{split}} \\ -0.5, & X \ge X_{\text{split}} \end{cases}, \tag{3}$$

where X_{split} is the location of the step for that property. To find the values of the nuisance parameters α , β and γ_X , we minimize the χ^2 statistic for the observed distance moduli μ_{obs} compared to the fixed cosmological model μ_{mod} , given the distance uncertainties and their covariance.

2.4 Step drivers, tracers, and contamination

To measure the accuracy of environmental tracers of SN Ia luminosity variations we follow the method of B22. This prescription is based on the assumption that SNe Ia are divided into two populations (a and b) that have a dependency on some physical property that we denote the *driver*. Different environmental measurements, *tracers*, map to those populations with differing levels of accuracy: a tracer that is closely related to the true driver of the population split will accurately divide the populations, while a tracer less closely linked to the SN populations will not split the populations well. The degree of accuracy with which an environmental tracer recovers the true driver of the population split is parametrised by *contamination* (C), which

is defined as the fraction of SNe Ia that are classified as belonging to population a, but truly belong to b, plus the opposite: SNe classified as b but truly a, which we define briefly below.

For a given tracer, N_a represents objects that truly belong to the class *a* while N^a is the number of objects classified as *a*. Thus the contamination can be defined as the fraction of objects classified as belonging to *a* that truly belong to $b: C^a \equiv N_b^a/N^a$. Similarly there is contamination C_b : objects classified as *b* but truly $a: C^b \equiv N_a^b/N^b$. Total contamination *C* is then defined as $C \equiv C^a + C^b$. A tracer that perfectly separates the two SN populations has C = 0, while a random tracer carrying no information about the SN populations has C = 100 per cent.

The framework presented in B22 predicts that there is a direct relationship between the measured step size and contamination: a tracer with no contamination has the largest step, while that with 100 per cent contamination has no step. Observations should lie directly on the line that connects these points but are dispersed by observational noise. We call this line the B22 *step* – *contamination relation*. While the true driver is not known astrophysically, B22 define a *reference tracer* to split the SNe, for which the contamination is defined to be zero. Hypothesising that the SN Ia populations are separated by their progenitor age, they use spectroscopic local sSFR as a reference tracer, against which all other tracers display some contamination. Local sSFR itself may be – and probably is – an imperfect tracer: therefore against the perfect reference tracer, local sSFR will also show some contamination.

In this analysis, there are two key differences to the work of B22. Firstly, for each simulation, we know the driver(s) of the SN Ia luminosity steps in that simulation. For simulations where these steps are caused by a single driver, we can use that driver as a reference tracer knowing it has zero contamination, but also test other reference tracers to simulate the real-world effects of choosing an incorrect reference tracer.

Secondly, not only can we use observable properties such as stellar mass, galaxy colours, and sSFR as tracers, but we can also use intrinsic properties such as SN Ia progenitor age and galaxy age, which cannot be observed directly. Thus, by simulating SN Ia samples with luminosity steps driven by SN Ia progenitor age and galaxy age, measuring their steps and contamination from observable properties, and comparing to the model of B22, we can break the degeneracies between the models of W22. In our analysis we investigate the following tracers: global host-galaxy rest-frame U - R colour, global host-galaxy sSFR (as would be measured by a photometric spectral energy distribution fitting method), and the global host-galaxy stellar mass. Note that our simulations are not resolved, i.e., our simulations cannot estimate local tracers (e.g., local sSFR).

To compare step sizes measured in data to those in our simulation, we include the data used by B22. Our simulations do not provide the measurements of local sSFR that B22 use as a reference tracer in the data, so we calibrate the contamination measurements by fixing the measured contamination of global stellar mass from B22 to be the same as in our simulation, and use the relative differences between the contamination of stellar mass and the other tracers used by B22 to include in our analysis. The B22 contaminations and steps were measured on data, and so the relative differences are only valid given the true underlying model. However, we show them against all of our simulations: the agreement between our simulated and the measured contamination and steps for each tracer and in each model provides additional information on which model is most realistic.

³ Note that β here accounts for all colour–luminosity dependence, different from β_{SN} in the simulations which only corresponds to intrinsic variation and not dust.



Figure 2. The SN Ia luminosity step as a function of the contamination of environmental tracers for the model where galaxy age is the astrophysical driver: an R_V difference of 1.5 between old and young galaxies and no intrinsic luminosity step on SN Ia progenitor age. *Left*: contamination computed assuming, incorrectly, SN Ia progenitor age as the reference tracer; *Right*: contamination computed correctly assuming galaxy age as the reference tracer.



Figure 3. The same as Fig. 2, but where galaxy age and SN progenitor age are both astrophysical drivers: an R_V difference of 0.75 between old and young galaxies and a 0.15 mag intrinsic luminosity step on SN Ia progenitor age. *Left*: contamination computed assuming SN Ia progenitor age as the reference tracer; *Right*: contamination computed assuming galaxy age as the reference tracer.

3 RESULTS

B22 demonstrated that, under the assumption that SNe Ia can be split into two populations with different mean luminosities, there is a linear relationship between the ability of an environmental tracer to divide the SNe between those two populations and the size of the recovered luminosity step. In that analysis, low-redshift samples of SNe Ia followed the step–contamination relationship when using local sSFR as a reference tracer. Any model of SN Ia populations that seeks to explain the luminosity step should display a similar trend to that observed in the data. We validate this method by testing a simulation with a simple luminosity step on SN Ia age (Section 3.1). We then construct step–contamination relationships for the two bestmatching models to the step versus SN Ia colour relationships from W22 in Section 3.2.

3.1 SN Ia progenitor age step only

We begin with a simulation with fixed R_V and a 0.2 mag step in the intrinsic SN Ia luminosity at a progenitor age of 0.75 Gyr. We then use the progenitor age as a reference tracer – in the simulation this is the true driver, so it has a true contamination of zero. The contamination and step measurements measured for the other tracers are shown in the left-hand panel of Fig. 1. The 0.2 mag step is almost fully recovered when fitting for γ_{SNage} – a slight reduction in the step is caused by SN Ia progenitor age affecting both γ and x_1 simultaneously.

The other environmental tracers are found at higher contaminations and lower step sizes, as expected from the B22 step – contamination relationship (shown as a solid line). We show a least-squares regression line between the points (the dashed line and shaded uncertainty), forcing the line through the point of [100% contamination, zero step]. The small uncertainty in this best-fitting line illustrates the validity of using progenitor age as the reference tracer given this SN model, and the B22 measurements lying close to the predicted step – contamination line provides reassurance that our ad-hoc connection between the simulated and observed measurements is reasonable. The exception is stellar mass, which shows a large discrepancy between the observed and simulated step size. For the correct model, the recovered contamination and step size should be consistent between observations and the simulation. The implication is thus that an SN-progenitor-age – luminosity step does not explain the full observed effect. Indications that this model is not a full description of the effect are also apparent in step size versus SN colour relationships analysed Kelsey et al. (2022) and W22.

Our second test is to incorrectly use galaxy age as a reference tracer for the simulation that is driven by an SN Ia progenitor-age step (Fig. 1, right). As expected, the agreement is worse than when using SN Ia progenitor age as the reference tracer. SN progenitor age has the largest contamination (60 per cent) but (as expected) the largest step. The points all lie above the B22 step - contamination relationship, indicating that the chosen reference tracer is not the true driver: the actual contamination of that tracer should be non-zero, which would increase the gradient of the step - contamination line and allow it to be more consistent with the other points. The observed local measurements of spectroscopic sSFR and u - r colour show less contamination here than when SN progenitor age is used as a reference tracer. These lower contamination measurements, along with the high contamination for SN progenitor age, imply that local spectroscopic sSFR and local u - r are more closely related to galaxy age than they are to SN progenitor age. This is a consequence of the SN Ia DTD which decouples the observed stellar population age with that of SN progenitors: roughly half of SNe Ia explode over 1 Gyr since the star formation event that formed the progenitor star.

There are some notable discrepancies between the observed and simulated contaminations, whichever reference tracer is used. A clear example is that the contamination for galaxy colour is much larger in the simulations than in the observations. Part of this difference can be attributed to the simulations only tracing global galaxy colour while the observations are measured locally to the SN: the inability of our simulations to predict locally-measured properties is a limitation that will be rectified with future developments.

A second discrepancy is the relative lack of dynamic range in the contamination of simulated tracers, whereas the contaminations of observed tracers show greater dispersion. For example, using SN progenitor age as the reference tracer, the other simulated tracers show a range of 55-70 per cent contamination; using galaxy age as the reference tracer the other simulated tracers cover a 40-60 per cent range. A specific example is that both stellar mass and U - R colour (both routinely used in the literature) are ~ 60 per cent contaminated with SN progenitor age as the reference tracer, and around 40 per cent contaminated with galaxy age as the reference tracer. This results from the strong covariance between SNe in calculating the contamination of these tracers. For example, when using galaxy age as a reference tracer, 89 per cent of the SNe misclassified by stellar mass are also misclassified by U - R colour. This strong correlation between the simulated tracers may indicate oversimplifications in the galaxy evolution model, such as the nature of starbursts, the quenching of star formation, ultraviolet ionising continuum, dust attenuation, or active galactic nucleus activity.

3.2 Galaxy age, dust, and SN progenitor age steps

Having introduced the method using a single driver (a fixed luminosity step driven by SN Ia progenitor age), we next investigate models that have previously been shown to best reproduce the variation of SN distance moduli as a function of host and SN properties. W22 showed that a SN progenitor-age –luminosity step alone cannot explain the observed trend of step size increasing with SN colour. We therefore introduce the two successful models from that paper that are built upon a dust law that varies with galaxy age, as introduced in Section 2.2. First, we use only that varying dust law (Section 3.2.1), and then we combine it with the intrinsic SN progenitor-age – luminosity step (Section 3.2.2).

3.2.1 Galaxy age R_V step only

Fig. 2 shows the relationships between step size and contamination for a model with a large $\overline{R_V}$ difference of 1.5 between old and young host galaxies, and no intrinsic SN luminosity step. As expected, using SN progenitor age as a reference tracer (left panel) does not result in a good agreement between prediction and either simulations or data: all of the points lie above the B22 step – contamination relationship. Assuming galaxy age as the reference tracer performs much better (right panel): the dispersion on the best-fitting line is small and in agreement with the model prediction.

As also expected, local spectroscopic sSFR displays the largest step, as found by Rigault et al. (2020) and B22. Interestingly, SN progenitor age is the most contaminated and has the smallest step of all tracers tested. As with the model with the SN progenitorage step only, the simulated host stellar mass step is smaller than the observed one, meaning that the model does not account for the full luminosity difference observed in galaxies of different masses, despite adequately reproducing the step sizes for the other tracers considered.

3.2.2 Galaxy age R_V step plus SN progenitor-age – luminosity step

In Fig. 3 we show a model where the difference between R_V in young and old galaxies is smaller, and an additional intrinsic luminosity step as a function of SN progenitor age is added. With this model, neither using SN progenitor age nor galaxy age as reference tracers results in good agreement between the step – contamination prediction (solid line) and the data/simulations. This is because neither is the sole driver of the step in the model and so assuming their contamination to be zero is not valid. In fact, given that there are multiple drivers that are related but distinct from each other, there is no one-dimensional space in which the SN populations can be perfectly separated. It follows that for such a model, a single γ_X for any tracer X can never remove the full step.

4 DISCUSSION

Identifying the underlying driver of the SN Ia luminosity step is important for precision cosmological measurements, as well as in the physical understanding of SN Ia explosions. This driver is unlikely to be a direct observable (e.g., a magnitude or colour) or a simple physical property that can be directly inferred from observations (e.g., global stellar mass), hence the search for the best indirect tracer has seen increasing focus in recent work. Here we discuss the implications of our simulations in two aspects: first, we discuss the contamination of tracers and their relation to physical parameters, and then we discuss our work in the context of cosmological distance measurements.

4.1 What drives the step and what is the best tracer of it?

B22 find that spectroscopic local sSFR is the best environmental tracer of the SN Ia luminosity step: using it as a reference tracer minimises the dispersion around the best-fitting step versus contamination relationship. The local sSFR measurement itself is unlikely to relate directly to SN Ia luminosities. Instead, local sSFR presumably acts as a tracer of some fundamental underlying properties of the local stellar population or SN Ia progenitor. These might include their respective ages, which trace relationships between galaxy evolution and feedback, dust creation and destruction, metallicity, and white dwarf mass, which all have differing levels of importance. By testing purely SN Ia progenitor-age-driven models (Section 3.1), galaxy-age-driven models (Section 3.2.1), and a combination of the two (Section 3.2.2), we have been able to test both the underlying drivers and how well they are traced by measurable parameters.

4.1.1 Contamination

We first consider tracer contamination. The contamination measurements presented in B22 are derived directly from observations but are only relative and depend upon tracer choices, while those measured in our simulations are connected directly to step drivers but depend upon the relationships built into the galaxy evolution model of Wiseman et al. (2021). By comparing both observed and simulated contamination for given observables against our synthetic reference tracers, no tracer particularly closely follows the SN progenitor age (see left-hand panels of Figs. 1-3). Galaxy age is 55 per cent contaminated with reference to SN progenitor age. This is because of the declining power-law nature of the DTD: roughly half of SNe Ia explode within 1 Gyr of progenitor system formation and half beyond 1 Gyr. This smooths out the relationship between the age of a stellar population and the SNe Ia that explode within it. The best tracer (of all observed and simulated) of SN Ia progenitor age is spectroscopic local sSFR, as identified in Rigault et al. (2020) and B22. Interestingly it is a better tracer of SN progenitor age than the overall galaxy age: the fact that the measurement is local carries more information about the progenitor than is lost by using a tracer (sSFR) instead of knowing the property directly.

When choosing galaxy age as a reference instead of SN progenitor age, the measurable properties of spectroscopic local sSFR, (local) galaxy colours, and stellar mass are much less contaminated. This is because they are more closely related to the age of the stellar population than they are to an individual SN progenitor age. Spectroscopic local sSFR can still be used to broadly trace SN progenitor age spectroscopic local sSFR separates the SN Ia stretch population well (Nicolas et al. 2021), and SN stretch is much more likely to be linked to progenitor age than galaxy age. Nevertheless, our results show that neither spectroscopic local sSFR nor any other tracer should be expected to separate progenitor populations based on their age any better than with ≥ 30 per cent contamination. On the other hand, our results indicate that spectroscopic local sSFR can separate stellar population ages with $\simeq 10$ per cent contamination. At low redshift, where galaxies can be more easily resolved and this tracer is therefore measurable, we encourage observations to obtain spectroscopic local sSFR in SN host galaxies in order to trace the step driver accurately.

At higher redshifts where it is not currently possible to obtain spectroscopy with 1 kpc resolution, local photometric and global measurements are the only available tracers. In such cases, if it is possible to measure local galaxy colour then this property traces either SN progenitor age or galaxy age best. At high redshift (roughly $z \ge 0.6$ in DES, although this limit will be higher with better seeing in the Legacy Survey of Space and Time; Ivezić et al. 2019), where the imaging cannot resolve local regions smaller than a few kpc, local measurements of colour and sSFR are not possible. In this case, the specific choice of global tracer makes little difference when tracing SN progenitor age.

Photometric sSFR is marginally disfavoured when tracing galaxy age. This result itself is slightly counter-intuitive because sSFR is usually assumed to be a strong discriminator of galaxy SFHs and thus ages. We find the large contamination is due to the relatively broad range of stellar mass at which galaxy quenching can occur in our simulations, which was chosen to best reproduce observations of galaxy populations. This range translates to a range of ages at which quenching occurs, hence blurring out the correlation.

The lack of range between the contamination of the simulated tracers compared to the much larger respective range for the observed data is likely a combination of two factors. Firstly, the observations are local measurements, while the simulation does not carry spatial information so only global measurements are possible. Future work will improve the model to include spatial dimensions in the galaxy evolution model. A second reason for the lack of range is that the simulations suffer from oversimplifications that lead to missing or washed-out relationships, or a lack of diversity in the galaxy population. Again, these issues will be addressed in future versions of the simulations.

4.1.2 The true driver of the step

So far we have shown that measurable galaxy properties are better tracers of galaxy age than of SN progenitor age, but that doesn't necessarily mean that galaxy age is the true step driver. Instead, a model representing the true driver should result in a tight correlation between step magnitudes and contamination for each tracer. In Section 3.2 we find a good match between the simulated and measured steps and tracer contamination assuming a model with a large R_V difference and no intrinsic luminosity step, using galaxy age as the reference tracer. Such a result indicates that a galaxy-age varying R_V is consistent with causing the full step independent of the choice of tracer and that there is no need for an additional difference in the luminosity of SNe as a function of their age. The model with a small R_V difference plus an intrinsic luminosity step shows a worse agreement than the no-intrinsic step model, using either SN progenitor age or galaxy age as reference tracers. Of these, SN progenitor age provides a better match but with all other tracers displaying steps larger than predicted.

Taken at face value this would add further weight to the argument for a single galaxy-age R_V step as the sole driver. The reality is less clear cut: in the model with both R_V and intrinsic steps, we know that neither SN progenitor age nor galaxy age are the sole true driver since the luminosity varies as a combination of the two. Thus, neither has a true contamination of zero rendering the step–contamination diagram inaccurate. We thus do not rule out that model as being the true driver of the observed steps, but that a one-dimensional step (or two-dimensional contamination–step parameter space) is an inadequate discriminator for a model with multiple drivers of luminosity variations. It has been shown in previous work that a combination of environmental tracers can provide a better standardization than a single tracer (e.g., Rose et al. 2021; Kelsey et al. 2022). The development of a statistical discriminator between multi-driver models is left for future work.

4.2 Impact on cosmological measurements

Since their discovery (Kelly et al. 2010; Lampeitl et al. 2010; Sullivan et al. 2010), host galaxy–SN luminosity correlations have usually been removed from SN Ia distance measurements via a single standardization parameter, γ (Eq. 2). In parallel, the last decade has seen vast improvements in the methodology for correcting Malmquist-like biases, or selection biases, in SN Ia samples. From simple redshiftonly corrections (e.g., Betoule et al. 2014) the frameworks are now in place to perform bias corrections in many dimensions, including based upon parameters of the SN light curves and host galaxies (Scolnic & Kessler 2016; Popovic et al. 2021a,b). At this point, the standardization parameters and bias correcting for a bias involving the light-curve stretch is also host galaxy dependent (because of stretch– host galaxy relationships) and thus affects the measured value of γ (Smith et al. 2020).

A recent bias correction model, 'BBC4D' (Popovic et al. 2021b), is based directly on the R_V models used in this work. BBC4D corrects for dust-related luminosity bias by assuming different values of R_V in hosts below and above a threshold in some tracer, nominally stellar mass. The results of this work demonstrate that if galaxy age is the true driver of the R_V difference, populations split by stellar mass are nearly 40 per cent contaminated, meaning using stellar mass as the host parameter in BBC4D may not fully account for the bias/step. On the other hand, we show that other global measurements, particularly host colour, are no less contaminated. We thus predict, assuming galaxy age as the driver, that SN distances inferred using BBC4D may still display a residual luminosity step or may not have their intrinsic scatter reduced as much as would be achievable with a less contaminated measurement such as spectroscopic local sSFR. Similar, if not worse, biases are expected for an analysis not implementing R_V -based bias corrections but using simple γ nuisance parameters. Future work will focus on estimating and reducing the bias on cosmological measurements introduced by tracer contamination.

5 CONCLUSION

In this work we have investigated the astrophysical driver of the SN Ia environmental luminosity step, by comparing the size of steps inferred by different environmental tracers in a simulated sample of SNe Ia, and how contaminated those tracers are with respect to the driver of the step. We first validated the method by inputting a simple SN-progenitor-age – luminosity step and testing the resulting relationship between the measured step and contamination of each environmental tracer. We then tested a model where the entire step is caused by a large difference in dust extinction slope R_V between young and old galaxies, and one where the R_V difference is smaller and there is an additional, intrinsic step in SN luminosity as a function of the SN progenitor age. We find:

(i) in our simulation of a simple SN progenitor age step, we recover the expected linear relationship between step size and tracer contamination which matches the trend seen in observations.

(ii) modelling SNe Ia with an R_V that changes with host galaxy age as the sole driver of luminosity differences, and fixing galaxy age as the reference tracer, results in the best correlation between step size and contamination.

(iii) local sSFR is less contaminated when assuming galaxy age as a reference tracer than when SN progenitor age is the reference.

(iv) that the step versus contamination relationship is looser for a model with both a galaxy-age $-R_V$ step and an intrinsic SN luminosity step, regardless of whether the reference is SN progenitor age or galaxy age.

(v) that the simple tracer contamination versus step parameter space is not adequate to constrain models with multiple parameters driving the step.

(vi) that the implication of point (v) is that using a single γ or a single tracer in a host-dependent bias correction will not remove the full effect of the step.

The choice of tracer is deeply woven into the measurement of cosmological parameters. At face value, we confirm the result of B22 that spectroscopic local sSFR is the closest representation of the driver and should be used to correct distances where available. At high redshift, where that measurement is not possible, both stellar mass and U - R colour are the best, non-ideal, tracers. We caution against performing cosmological measurements using a simplistic γ correction based on a single tracer and encourage the modelling of the step alongside selection effects.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

PW acknowledges support from the Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC) grant ST/R000506/1.

DATA AVAILABILITY

This work is based on simulations from publicly available code (https://github.com/wisemanp/des_sn_hosts) and data from a published paper (B22).

REFERENCES

- Abbott T. M. C., et al., 2019, ApJ, 872, L30
- Bernstein J. P., et al., 2012, ApJ, 753, 152
- Betoule M., et al., 2014, A&A, 568, A22
- Briday M., et al., 2022, A&A, 657, A22
- Brout D., Scolnic D., 2020, ApJ, 909, 26
- Brout D., et al., 2022, ApJ, 938, 110
- Chen R., et al., 2022, ApJ, 938, 62
- Childress M., et al., 2013, ApJ, 770, 108
- Childress M. J., Wolf C., Zahid H. J., 2014, MNRAS, 445, 1898
- Duarte J., et al., 2022, eprint arXiv:2211.14291
- Gallagher J. S., Garnavich P. M., Caldwell N., Kirshner R. P., Jha S. W., Li W., Ganeshalingam M., Filippenko A. V., 2008, ApJ, 685, 752
- González-Gaitán S., de Jaeger T., Galbany L., Mourão A., Paulino-Afonso A., Filippenko A. V., 2021, MNRAS, 508, 4656
- Guy J., et al., 2007, A&A, 466, 11
- Guzmán R., Gallego J., Koo D. C., Phillips A. C., Lowenthal J. D., Faber S. M., Illingworth G. D., Vogt N. P., 1997, ApJ, 489, 559
- Hakobyan A. A., Barkhudaryan L. V., Karapetyan A. G., Gevorgyan M. H., Mamon G. A., Kunth D., Adibekyan V., Turatto M., 2020, MNRAS, 499, 1424
- Hamuy M., Phillips M. M., Maza J., Suntzeff N. B., Schommer R. A., Aviles R., 1995, Astron. J., 109, 1
- Ivezić Ž., et al., 2019, ApJ, 873, 111
- Johansson J., et al., 2021, ApJ, 923, 237
- Jones D. O., et al., 2018, ApJ, 867, 108
- Jones D. O., et al., 2022, ApJ, 933, 172

- Kelly P. L., Hicken M., Burke D. L., Mandel K. S., Kirshner R. P., 2010, ApJ, 715, 743
- Kelsey L., et al., 2021, MNRAS, 501, 4861
- Kelsey L., et al., 2022, MNRAS, 519, 3046
- Kessler R., et al., 2009, ApJS, 185, 32
- Kessler R., et al., 2019, MNRAS, 485, 1171
- Lampeitl H., et al., 2010, MNRAS, 401, 2331
- Maoz D., Mannucci F., Nelemans G., 2014, Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys., 52, 107
- Meldorf C., et al., 2022, MNRAS, 518, 1985
- Millán-Irigoyen I., del Valle-Espinosa M. G., Fernández-Aranda R., Galbany L., Gomes J. M., Moreno-Raya M., López-Sánchez Á. R., Mollá M., 2022, MNRAS, 517, 3312
- Moreno-Raya M. E., Mollá M., López-Sánchez Á. R., Galbany L., Vílchez J. M., Rosell A. C., Domínguez I., 2016, ApJ, 818, L19
- Nicolas N., et al., 2021, A&A, 649, A74
- Perlmutter S., et al., 1999, ApJ, 517, 565
- Perrett K., et al., 2010, Astron. J., 140, 518
- Phillips M. M., 1993, ApJ, 413, L105
- Ponder K. A., Wood-Vasey W. M., Weyant A., Barton N. T., Galbany L., Liu S., Garnavich P., Matheson T., 2021, ApJ, 923, 197
- Popovic B., Brout D., Kessler R., Scolnic D., 2021a, eprint arxiv:2112.04456
- Popovic B., Brout D., Kessler R., Scolnic D., Lu L., 2021b, ApJ, 913, 49
- Riess A. G., Press W. H., Kirshner R. P., 1996, ApJ, 473, 88
- Riess A. G., et al., 1998, Astron. J., 116, 1009
- Rigault M., et al., 2013, A&A, 560, A66
- Rigault M., et al., 2020, A&A, 644, A176
- Roman M., et al., 2018, A&A, 615, A68
- Rose B. M., Garnavich P. M., Berg M. A., 2019, ApJ, 874, 32
- Rose B. M., Rubin D., Strolger L., Garnavich P. M., 2021, ApJ, 909, 28
- Salim S., Boquien M., Lee J. C., 2018, ApJ, 859, 11
- Scolnic D., Kessler R., 2016, ApJ, 822, L35
- Scolnic D. M., et al., 2018, ApJ, 859, 101
- Smith M., et al., 2020, MNRAS, 494, 4426
- Sullivan M., et al., 2003, MNRAS, 340, 1057
- Sullivan M., et al., 2006, ApJ, 648, 868
- Sullivan M., et al., 2010, MNRAS, 406, 782
- Sullivan M., et al., 2011, ApJ, 737, 102
- Thorp S., Mandel K. S., 2022, MNRAS, 517, 2360
- Thorp S., Mandel K. S., Jones D. O., Ward S. M., Narayan G., 2021, MNRAS,
- 508, 4310
- Tripp R., 1998, A&A, 331, 815
- Uddin S. A., et al., 2020, ApJ, 901, 143
- Vincenzi M., et al., 2021, MNRAS, 505, 2819
- Wiseman P., et al., 2021, MNRAS, 506, 3330
- Wiseman P., et al., 2022, MNRAS, 515, 4587

This paper has been typeset from a TEX/LATEX file prepared by the author.