

Higher K' -Groups of Integral Group Rings

BERNHARD KÖCK

Math. Institut II der Universität Karlsruhe, Englerstr. 2, D-7500 Karlsruhe 1
e-mail: ac07@dkauni2.bitnet

Abstract: For any finite group G , which is a split extension with a nilpotent group, we prove a splitting formula for $K'_q(\mathbb{Z}[G])$. Applying it to the group of upper (3×3) -matrices over a finite field we obtain the formula conjectured by Hambleton, Taylor and Williams in [4].

Key words: group ring, nilpotent group, group of triangular matrices over a finite field.

Introduction

In this note we give a new approach towards a calculation of higher K' -groups of integral group rings initiated for K'_0 by the fundamental work [5] of H. Lenstra. The idea in its simplest nontrivial form is the following:

The spectrum of the group ring $\mathbb{Z}[G] \cong \mathbb{Z}[X]/(X^p - 1)$ associated with the cyclic group $G = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ is the disjoint union of the closed subset $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[X]/(X - 1))$ and of the open subset

$$\text{Spec} \left(\mathbb{Z}[X] \left[(X - 1)^{-1} \right] / (X^p - 1) \right) \cong \text{Spec} \left(\mathbb{Z} \left[\frac{1}{p} \right] [\zeta_p] \right).$$

Because of the splitting $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[G] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, the localization sequence associated with this situation splits and we obtain the well-known formula: $K'_q(\mathbb{Z}[G]) \cong K'_q(\mathbb{Z}) \oplus K'_q(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}][\zeta_p])$.

We will generalize this observation to the case of a finite group $G = \pi \rtimes \Gamma$, which is a split extension of an arbitrary group Γ with a nilpotent group π , thereby obtaining the result of T. Mitsuda ([7]) for $q = 0$ and the results of D. Webb ([13], [14], [16]) and of I. Hambleton, L. R. Taylor and E. B. Williams ([4]) for nilpotent groups. The theorem we will prove decomposes the K' -groups of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ into a direct sum of K' -groups of twisted group rings $\mathbb{Z}\langle \Gamma \rho \rangle \# \Gamma$ as follows:

$$K'_q(\mathbb{Z}[\pi \rtimes \Gamma]) \cong \bigoplus_{\Gamma \rho} K'_q(\mathbb{Z}\langle \Gamma \rho \rangle \# \Gamma);$$

here the direct sum is indexed by the orbits $\Gamma \rho$ of the action of Γ on rational representations of π and the ring $\mathbb{Z}\langle \Gamma \rho \rangle$ is defined to be the product $\prod_{\rho' \in \Gamma \rho} \mathbb{Z}\langle \rho' \rangle$ of the maximal $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{\# \ker(\rho)}{\#\pi}]$ -orders $\mathbb{Z}\langle \rho' \rangle$ in the Wedderburn component $M_{n_{\rho'}}(K_{\rho'})$ of $\mathbb{Q}[\pi]$.

Finally applying the theorem to the group B of upper triangular (3×3) -matrices over the finite field \mathbb{F}_p we obtain:

$$K'_q(\mathbb{Z}[B]) \cong \bigoplus_{d|p-1} \left[K'_q \left(\mathbb{Z} \left[\frac{1}{d} \right] [\zeta_d] \right)^{m_{3,d}} \oplus K'_q \left(\mathbb{Z} \left[\frac{1}{p \cdot d} \right] [\zeta_d] \right)^{1+3m_{2,d}} \right];$$

here $m_{2,d}$ respectively $m_{3,d}$ denotes the number of cyclic subgroups of order d of $\mathbb{F}_p^\times \times \mathbb{F}_p^\times$ respectively $\mathbb{F}_p^\times \times \mathbb{F}_p^\times \times \mathbb{F}_p^\times$.

§1 Notations and some General Facts

Let R be a (not necessary commutative) ring with 1 and let G be a (multiplicative) finite group. We will denote the group ring associated with R and G by $R[G]$ and the canonical basis elements of $R[G]$ by $[g]$, $g \in G$. For any normal subgroup N of G the ideal I_N is defined to be the kernel of the canonical ring epimorphism $R[G] \rightarrow R[G/N]$.

Lemma 1: The two-sided ideal I_N is generated by the elements $[g] - [1]$, $g \in G$, as left- and as right ideal. In particular: If N_1, N_2 are elementwise commuting normal subgroups of G , then: $I_{N_1} \cdot I_{N_2} = I_{N_2} \cdot I_{N_1}$.

Proof: well-known.

The $(\#G)$ -fold product $\prod_{g \in G} R$ of R is denoted by $R^{(G)}$ and the canonical basis elements of $R^{(G)}$ are denoted by e_g , $g \in G$. If G acts on a ring S by ring automorphisms, then $S\#G$ denotes the twisted group ring. Here the group G is embedded by $g \mapsto \{g\}$ and the multiplication is defined by $(s_1 \cdot \{g_1\}) \cdot (s_2 \cdot \{g_2\}) := s_1 \cdot g_1(s_2) \cdot \{g_1 \cdot g_2\}$.

We recall that a ring extension S/R is called a **Galois extension with group G** if S is a finitely generated projective R -module and if G acts on S by ring automorphisms, such that the fixed ring is R and the canonical map $S\#G \rightarrow \text{End}_R(S)$ is bijective.

Examples:

- a) If the prime p is a unit in R , then the extension $R[\zeta_p]$ of R by a primitive p -th root of unity is a Galois extension with Galois group \mathbb{F}_p^\times . In particular the twisted group ring $R[\zeta_p]\#\mathbb{F}_p^\times$ is isomorphic to the ring $M_{p-1}(R)$ of $(p-1) \times (p-1)$ -matrices.
- b) The ring $R^{(G)}$ is a Galois extension of R (diagonally embedded) with Galois group G . In particular we have $R^{(G)}\#G \cong M_{\#G}(R)$.

Lemma 2: Let G be a finite commutative group and Γ a finite group, which acts on G by group automorphisms. Then the map

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma \times R^{(G)}\#G &\rightarrow R^{(G)}\#G \\ (\gamma, e_g\{h\}) &\mapsto e_{\gamma g}\{\gamma h\} \end{aligned}$$

defines an action of Γ on $R^{(G)}\#G$ by ring automorphisms and there is a canonical isomorphism

$$(R^{(G)}\#G)\#\Gamma \cong R[\Gamma]^{(G)}\#G$$

of R -algebras.

Proof: The first statement is clear. For the second statement we write G additively and Γ multiplicatively. We define an R -module-homomorphism $\alpha : R[\Gamma]^{(G)}\#G \rightarrow (R^{(G)}\#G)\#\Gamma$ by $[\gamma]e_g\{h\} \mapsto e_g\{\gamma h - \gamma g + g\}\{\gamma\}$. Then obviously α takes an R -basis to an R -basis and hence α is bijective. Furthermore we have

$$\alpha(1) = \alpha\left(\sum_{g \in G} [1]e_g\{0\}\right) = \sum_{g \in G} e_g\{0\}\{1\} = 1$$

Finally the following calculation shows that α preserves products:

$$\alpha([\gamma_1]e_{g_1}\{h_1\}) \cdot \alpha([\gamma_2]e_{g_2}\{h_2\})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (e_{g_1}\{\gamma_1 h_1 - \gamma_1 g_1 + g_1\}\{\gamma_1\}) \cdot (e_{g_2}\{\gamma_2 h_2 - \gamma_2 g_2 + g_2\}\{\gamma_2\}) \\
&= e_{g_1}\{\gamma_1 h_1 - \gamma_1 g_1 + g_1\}e_{\gamma_1 g_2}\{\gamma_1 \gamma_2 h_2 - \gamma_1 \gamma_2 g_2 + \gamma_1 g_2\}\{\gamma_1\}\{\gamma_2\} \\
&= e_{g_1}e_{\gamma_1 g_2 + \gamma_1 h_1 - \gamma_1 g_1 + g_1}\{\gamma_1 h_1 - \gamma_1 g_1 + g_1\}\{\gamma_1 \gamma_2 h_2 - \gamma_1 \gamma_2 g_2 + \gamma_1 g_2\}\{\gamma_1 \gamma_2\} \\
&= \delta_{g_1, g_2 + h_1}e_{g_1}\{\gamma_1 h_1 - \gamma_1 g_1 + g_1 + \gamma_1 \gamma_2 h_2 - \gamma_1 \gamma_2 g_1 + \gamma_1 \gamma_2 h_1 + \gamma_1 g_1 - \gamma_1 h_1\}\{\gamma_1 \gamma_2\} \\
&= \delta_{g_1, g_2 + h_1}e_{g_1}\{\gamma_1 \gamma_2 (h_1 + h_2) - \gamma_1 \gamma_2 g_1 + g_1\}\{\gamma_1 \gamma_2\} \\
&= \alpha(\delta_{g_1, g_2 + h_1}[\gamma_1 \cdot \gamma_2]e_{g_1}\{h_1 + h_2\}) \\
&= \alpha([\gamma_1]e_{g_1}[\gamma_2]e_{g_2 + h_1}\{h_1\}\{h_2\}) \\
&= \alpha([\gamma_1]e_{g_1}\{h_1\} \cdot [\gamma_2]e_{g_2}\{h_2\}).
\end{aligned}$$

Now let \mathcal{M} be an abelian category and let $\mathcal{M}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ be a nonempty full subcategory. We recall that \mathcal{M}_1 is called a **Serre subcategory**, if for each exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$ in \mathcal{M} the following condition is fulfilled: the object M is in \mathcal{M}_1 if and only if the objects M' and M'' are in \mathcal{M}_1 . For any Serre subcategory \mathcal{M}_1 of \mathcal{M} the **quotient category** $\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{M}_1$ is defined as follows (see [3]): Its objects are those of \mathcal{M} and the homomorphisms from M to N are defined to be

$$\lim_{M', N'} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(M', N/N'),$$

the inductive limit being taken over all subobjects $M' \subseteq M$ respectively $N' \subseteq N$ such that $M/M' \in \mathcal{M}_1$ respectively $N' \in \mathcal{M}_1$.

Lemma 3:

a) Let $\mathcal{M}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{M}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ be Serre subcategories. Then $\mathcal{M}_1/\mathcal{M}_2$ is a Serre subcategory of $\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{M}_2$ and the canonical functor

$$(\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{M}_2) / (\mathcal{M}_1/\mathcal{M}_2) \xrightarrow{\text{can}} \mathcal{M}/\mathcal{M}_1$$

is an equivalence of categories.

b) Let $\mathcal{M}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ be a Serre subcategory and let $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ be a nonempty, full subcategory, closed under taking subobjects, quotients, and finite products (in particular \mathcal{N} is an abelian subcategory). Then $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{M}_1$ is a Serre subcategory of \mathcal{N} and $\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{M}_1$ canonically is a full subcategory of $\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{M}_1$ being closed under taking subobjects, quotients, and finite products.

Proof: straightforward.

§2 The Theorem

Let π be a finite group. We will denote the set of isomorphism classes of simple $\mathbb{Q}[\pi]$ -modules by $C(\pi)$. Let

$$\mathbb{Q}[\pi] = \prod_{\rho \in C(\pi)} M_{n_\rho}(K_\rho)$$

be the decomposition of $\mathbb{Q}[\pi]$ into simple algebras according to the structure theorem of Wedderburn-Artin, and for any $\rho \in C(\pi)$ let $e_\rho \in \mathbb{Q}[\pi]$ be the idempotent associated with this decomposition. It is well-known that e_ρ is an element of the subring $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{\#\pi}][\pi]$ (see for instance [2]). So, if $\omega_\rho \subseteq \pi$ denotes the kernel of the representation ρ , the ring

$$\mathbb{Z}\langle \rho \rangle := \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{\#\omega_\rho}{\#\pi}\right][\pi/\omega_\rho]e_\rho$$

is well-defined. For any subset $C \subseteq C(\pi)$ we set

$$\mathbb{Z}\langle C \rangle := \prod_{\rho \in C} \mathbb{Z}\langle \rho \rangle$$

and for any ring R we set

$$R\langle C \rangle := R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}\langle C \rangle = \prod_{\rho \in C} R\left[\frac{\#\omega_\rho}{\#\pi}\right][\pi/\omega_\rho]e_\rho.$$

Theorem: Let π be a finite nilpotent group and let Γ be an arbitrary finite group, which acts on π by group automorphisms. Then for any ring R and any $q \geq 0$ there is a group isomorphism

$$K'_q(R[\pi \rtimes \Gamma]) \cong \bigoplus_{\rho \in C(\pi)/\Gamma} K'_q(R\langle \Gamma\rho \rangle \# \Gamma).$$

(Here $\pi \rtimes \Gamma$ denotes the semidirect product, $\Gamma\rho$ denotes the orbit of $\rho \in C(\pi)$ under the induced action of Γ on $C(\pi)$ and $C(\pi)/\Gamma$ denotes the set of orbits.)

Proof: Let $\pi = \bigoplus_p \pi_p$ be the decomposition of π into its p -Sylow-subgroups and let $P(\pi)$ be the set of primes p with $\pi_p \neq 0$. For any $l \in P(\pi)$ we set ${}_l\pi := \bigoplus_{p \neq l} \pi_p \cong \pi/\pi_l$ and

$$I_l := I_l(R, \pi) := \ker(R[\pi \rtimes \Gamma] \xrightarrow{\text{cap}} R[{}_l\pi \rtimes \Gamma]).$$

Further for any subset $L \subseteq P(\pi)$ the ideal $I_L = I_L(R, \pi)$ is defined to be $\prod_{l \in L} I_l(R, \pi)$, which is well-defined by Lemma 1.

Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(R, \pi)$ be the abelian category of finitely generated $R[\pi \rtimes \Gamma]$ -modules and let \mathcal{M}_L be the Serre subcategory of \mathcal{M} consisting of those objects which are annihilated by some power of I_L . We define \mathcal{C}_L to be the quotient category $\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{M}_L$. Now the theorem is the special case $L = \emptyset$ of the more general

Theorem': For any subset L of $P(\pi)$ and any $q \geq 0$ there is a group isomorphism

$$K'_q(\mathcal{C}_L) \cong \bigoplus_{\rho \in (C(\pi) - \cup_{l \in L} C({}_l\pi))/\Gamma} K'_q(R\langle \Gamma\rho \rangle \# \Gamma)$$

Lemma 4: For any subset L of $P(\pi)$ the canonical functor

$$\mathcal{C}_L(R, \pi) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_L(R[\frac{1}{l}, l \in L], \pi)$$

is an equivalence of categories.

Proof: Let \mathcal{H}_L be the Serre subcategory of \mathcal{M} consisting of those objects which are annihilated by some power of $\prod_{l \in L} l$. We claim that \mathcal{H}_L is a subcategory of \mathcal{M}_L . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_L(R, \pi) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{M}(R, \pi)/\mathcal{M}_L(R, \pi) = \\ &\cong (\mathcal{M}(R, \pi)/\mathcal{H}_L(R, \pi)) / (\mathcal{M}_L(R, \pi)/\mathcal{H}_L(R, \pi)) && \text{(Lemma 3a)} \\ &\cong \mathcal{M}(R[\frac{1}{l}, l \in L], \pi)/\mathcal{M}_L(R[\frac{1}{l}, l \in L], \pi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{C}_L(R[\frac{1}{l}, l \in L], \pi). \end{aligned}$$

For the last equation note that the well-known equivalence $\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{H}_L \cong \mathcal{M}(R[\frac{1}{l}, l \in L], \pi)$ induces an equivalence $\mathcal{M}_L/\mathcal{H}_L \cong \mathcal{M}_L(R[\frac{1}{l}, l \in L], \pi)$, because the ideal $I_L(R[\frac{1}{l}, l \in L], \pi)$ arises from

$I_L(R, \pi)$ by inverting the primes $l \in L$.

There remains to prove the above claim. Let M be an object in \mathcal{H}_L . We may assume that $l \cdot M = 0$ for some $l \in L$, because obviously M possesses a filtration by submodules, such that the successive quotients are annihilated by some prime $l \in L$. Now we proceed by induction on $\#\pi_l$. The case $\pi_l = \{1\}$ being clear, we may assume that the center $Z(\pi_l)$ of π_l is not trivial. By Lemma 1 the ideal $I_{Z(\pi)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \ker(R[\pi \rtimes \Gamma] \rightarrow R[\pi/Z(\pi_l) \rtimes \Gamma])$ is generated by the elements $[\sigma] - [1]$, $\sigma \in Z(\pi_l)$. Hence some power of $I_{Z(\pi)}$ is contained in the principal ideal (l) and therefore by the inductive hypothesis

$$M \supseteq I_{Z(\pi_l)} M \supseteq \dots \supseteq I_{Z(\pi_l)}^n M \supseteq 0 \quad (n \gg 0)$$

is a filtration of M by submodules, such that the successive quotients are annihilated by some power of $I_l/I_{Z(\pi_l)}$ and in particular by some power of $I_L \subseteq I_l$.

Proof of Theorem': If the order of π is a unit in R , then for each $\rho \in C(\pi)$ the component $R[\pi]e_\rho$ of $R[\pi]$ is isomorphic to $R\langle \rho \rangle$ and the category \mathcal{C}_L obviously is equivalent to the category of $(\prod_{\rho \in (C(\pi) - \cup_{l \in L} C(l\pi))/\Gamma} R\langle \Gamma\rho \rangle \# \Gamma)$ -modules. This shows the theorem in this trivial case.

For the general case we proceed by induction on $\#P(\pi)$. If $\#P(\pi) = 0$, π is trivial and there is nothing to prove. If $\#P(\pi) > 0$, we consider first the case $L = P(\pi)$. By Lemma 4 the category $\mathcal{C}_{P(\pi)}(R, \pi)$ is equivalent to the category $\mathcal{C}_{P(\pi)}(R[\frac{1}{\#\pi}], \pi)$. Further for each $\rho \in C(\pi) - \cup_{l \in P(\pi)} C(l\pi)$ we have $P(\pi/\omega_\rho) = P(\pi)$ and in particular $R\langle \Gamma\rho \rangle = R[\frac{1}{\#\pi}]\langle \Gamma\rho \rangle$. Now the above special case proves the theorem for $L = P(\pi)$. If L is a proper subset of $P(\pi)$, we can choose a prime $p \in P(\pi) - L$ and by descending induction on $\#L$ we can assume that the theorem is already proved for $L \cup \{p\}$.

By Lemma 3a we have

$$\mathcal{C}_{L \cup \{p\}} = \mathcal{C}_L / (\mathcal{M}_{L \cup \{p\}} / \mathcal{M}_L)$$

and by Quillen's localization sequence (see [10]) there is a long exact sequence of groups

$$\dots \rightarrow K'_q(\mathcal{M}_{L \cup \{p\}} / \mathcal{M}_L) \xrightarrow{i_*} K'_q(\mathcal{C}_L) \xrightarrow{j^*} K'_q(\mathcal{C}_{L \cup \{p\}}) \rightarrow K'_{q-1}(\mathcal{M}_{L \cup \{p\}} / \mathcal{M}_L) \rightarrow \dots$$

Now the theorem easily follows from the two inductive hypotheses and the following two claims:

a) There is an isomorphism of groups

$$K'_q(\mathcal{M}_{L \cup \{p\}} / \mathcal{M}_L) \cong K'_q(\mathcal{C}_L(R, {}_p\pi))$$

b) For each $q \geq 0$ there is a homomorphism

$$\varepsilon_* : K'_q(\mathcal{C}_L) \rightarrow K'_q(\mathcal{M}_{L \cup \{p\}} / \mathcal{M}_L)$$

such that $\varepsilon_* \circ i_* = \text{id}$.

To prove claim a) let \mathcal{N} be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{M}_{L \cup \{p\}} / \mathcal{M}_L$ consisting of those objects M such that $I_p M = 0$. Then the equivalence $\mathcal{M}(R, {}_p\pi) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{N}$ induces an equivalence

$$\mathcal{C}_L(R, {}_p\pi) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{N} / (\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{M}_L),$$

because $I_L(R, {}_p\pi) = (I_L(R, \pi) + I_p(R, \pi)) / I_p(R, \pi)$. By Lemma 3b) $\mathcal{N} / (\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{M}_L)$ is a full subcategory of $\mathcal{M}_{L \cup \{p\}} / \mathcal{M}_L$ closed under taking subobjects, quotients, and finite products. Further for each $M \in \mathcal{M}_{L \cup \{p\}} / \mathcal{M}_L$

$$M \supset I_p M \supset \dots \supset I_p^n M = 0 \quad (n \gg 0)$$

is a finite filtration of M by submodules, such that the successive quotients are in $\mathcal{N}/(\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{M}_L)$. Now Quillen's devissage theorem ([10]) yields the desired isomorphism

$$K'_q(\mathcal{C}_L(R, {}_p\pi)) \cong K'_q(\mathcal{N}/(\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{M}_L)) \xrightarrow{\sim} K'_q(\mathcal{M}_{L \cup \{p\}}/\mathcal{M}_L).$$

Finally the canonical injection ${}_p\pi \rightarrow \pi$ yields an exact functor $\varepsilon_* : \mathcal{C}_L(R, \pi) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_L(R, {}_p\pi)$, such that the composition

$$\mathcal{C}_L(R, {}_p\pi) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{N}/(\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{M}_L) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{L \cup \{p\}}/\mathcal{M}_L \xrightarrow{i_*} \mathcal{C}_L(R, \pi) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_*} \mathcal{C}_L(R, {}_p\pi)$$

is isomorphic to the identical functor. This proves claim b).

Remarks:

- 1) If Γ is trivial and π is a p -group the above approach is a slight simplification of [4].
- 2) If π is abelian and if the Γ -action on π stabilizes each cocyclic subgroup of π , the theorem was established by D. Webb in Proposition (3.1) of [14].
- 3) In the same way an analogous result for the K' -groups of the category of coherent $(\pi \rtimes \Gamma)$ -modules on a (noetherian) scheme can be proved.
- 4) In order to generalize the above proof to more general groups G note that it relies on following facts:
 - a) The existence of a normal subgroup of prime power order gives the first step in the induction.
 - b) If there are several normal p -subgroups commuting elementwise, the induction can be continued.
 - c) To obtain a decomposition of $K'(\mathbb{Z}[G])$ into a direct sum, a decomposition of G into a product is necessary.

If only assumption a) or b) is fulfilled, we obtain one or more localization sequences, which indeed yield some information about $K'(\mathbb{Z}[G])$ (for instance Lemma 4 remains true) but which perhaps don't split.

§3 Example

In this section we apply the theorem of §2 to the group of upper triangular (3×3) -matrices over a finite field \mathbb{F}_p . So we fix a prime p and define B to be the group

$$B := B_3(\mathbb{F}_p) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & x & y \\ & b & z \\ & & c \end{pmatrix} : a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times, x, y, z \in \mathbb{F}_p \right\}.$$

For any divisor d of $p - 1$ and for any $k > 0$ let $m_{k,d}$ be the number of cyclic subgroups of order d of $(\mathbb{F}_p^\times)^k$. An easy calculation shows that

$$m_{k,d} = \prod_i q_i^{(k-1)(r_i-1)} \cdot \left(\frac{q_i^k - 1}{q_i - 1} \right),$$

if $d = \prod_i q_i^{r_i}$ is the decomposition of d into prime factors.

Theorem: For any $q \geq 0$ there is a group isomorphism

$$K'_q(\mathbb{Z}[B]) \cong \bigoplus_{d|p-1} \left[K'_q \left(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{d}][\zeta_d] \right)^{m_{3,d}} \oplus K'_q \left(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p \cdot d}][\zeta_d] \right)^{1+3m_{2,d}} \right].$$

Proof: Let $T \cong (\mathbb{F}_p^\times)^3$ be the subgroup of B consisting of diagonal matrices and let

$$U := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & y \\ & 1 & z \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} : x, y, z \in \mathbb{F}_p \right\} \subset B$$

be the unipotent subgroup. Then B is the semidirect product $U \rtimes T$ and

$$N := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & y \\ & 1 & 0 \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} : y \in \mathbb{F}_p \right\} \cong \mathbb{F}_p$$

is a T -equivariant normal subgroup of U , such that U/N is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_p \times \mathbb{F}_p$. Let $\varepsilon, \rho_0, \dots, \rho_p, \omega$ be the following simple $\mathbb{Q}[U]$ -algebras (ζ_p denotes a primitive p -th root of unity):

$$\begin{array}{lclclcl} \varepsilon : & \mathbb{Q}[U] & \xrightarrow{\text{can}} & \mathbb{Q} & & \\ \rho_\alpha : & \mathbb{Q}[U] & \xrightarrow{\text{can}} & \mathbb{Q}[U/N] & \rightarrow & \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p) \quad (\alpha \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}) \\ & & & [(x, z)] & \mapsto & \zeta_p^{x-\alpha z} \\ \rho_p : & \mathbb{Q}[U] & \xrightarrow{\text{can}} & \mathbb{Q}[U/N] & \rightarrow & \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p) \\ & & & [(x, z)] & \mapsto & \zeta_p^z \\ \omega : & \mathbb{Q}[U] & \rightarrow & \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)^{(\mathbb{F}_p)} \# \mathbb{F}_p & \cong & M_p(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)) \\ & \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & y \\ & 1 & z \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] & \mapsto & \zeta_p^y \{z\} \sum_{x' \in \mathbb{F}_p} \zeta_p^{x'x} e_{x'} & & \end{array}$$

One easily checks that ω is multiplicative and surjective and that $\varepsilon, \rho_0, \dots, \rho_p, \omega$ are the Wedderburn components of $\mathbb{Q}[U]$, i. e. $C(U) \cong \{\varepsilon, \rho_0, \dots, \rho_p, \omega\}$.

Lemma 5:

- 1) $C(U)/T = \{\{\varepsilon\}, \{\rho_0\}, \{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{p-1}\}, \{\rho_p\}, \{\omega\}\}$
- 2) One has the following five isomorphisms of rings:

- a) $\mathbb{Z}\langle \varepsilon \rangle \# T \cong \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{F}_p^\times \times \mathbb{F}_p^\times \times \mathbb{F}_p^\times]$
- b) $\mathbb{Z}\langle \rho_0 \rangle \# T \cong M_{p-1} \left(\mathbb{Z} \left[\frac{1}{p} \right] [\mathbb{F}_p^\times \times \mathbb{F}_p^\times] \right)$
- c) $\mathbb{Z}\langle \{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{p-1}\} \rangle \# T \cong M_{(p-1)^2} \left(\mathbb{Z} \left[\frac{1}{p} \right] [\mathbb{F}_p^\times] \right)$
- d) $\mathbb{Z}\langle \rho_p \rangle \# T \cong M_{p-1} \left(\mathbb{Z} \left[\frac{1}{p} \right] [\mathbb{F}_p^\times \times \mathbb{F}_p^\times] \right)$
- e) $\mathbb{Z}\langle \omega \rangle \# T \cong M_{p(p-1)} \left(\mathbb{Z} \left[\frac{1}{p} \right] [\mathbb{F}_p^\times \times \mathbb{F}_p^\times] \right)$

Applying the theorem of §2 to $B = U \rtimes T$ and using Lemma 5 and Morita equivalence we obtain

$$K'_q(\mathbb{Z}[B]) \cong K'_q \left(\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{F}_p^\times \times \mathbb{F}_p^\times \times \mathbb{F}_p^\times] \right) \oplus K'_q \left(\mathbb{Z} \left[\frac{1}{p} \right] [\mathbb{F}_p^\times \times \mathbb{F}_p^\times] \right)^3 \oplus K'_q \left(\mathbb{Z} \left[\frac{1}{p} \right] [\mathbb{F}_p^\times] \right).$$

Applying the theorem of §2 again we obtain the desired calculation of $K'_q(\mathbb{Z}[B])$.

Proof of Lemma 5: Obviously T fixes ε and ω . Using the identity

$$(*) \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & & \\ & b & \\ & & c \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & y \\ & 1 & z \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a & & \\ & b & \\ & & c \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & ab^{-1}x & ac^{-1}y \\ & 1 & bc^{-1}z \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

we easily see that T fixes ρ_0 and ρ_p and permutes $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{p-1}$ transitively. This proves the first statement.

Ad a): This is clear.

Ad b): By definition we have $\mathbb{Z}\langle \rho_0 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}][\zeta_p]$ and because of (*) the element $(a, b, c) \in T$ acts on $\mathbb{Z}\langle \rho_0 \rangle$ by $\zeta_p \mapsto \zeta_p^{ab^{-1}}$. Hence the subgroup $T_1 := \{(a, 1, 1) : a \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times\} \subset T$ acts as Galois group on $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}][\zeta_p]$ and $T_{2,3} := \{(b, b, c) : b, c \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times\}$ acts trivially. This yields the desired isomorphism

$$\mathbb{Z}\langle \rho_0 \rangle \# T = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}][\zeta_p] \# (T_1 \times T_{2,3}) \cong M_{p-1} \left(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}] \right) [T_{2,3}] \cong M_{p-1} \left(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}][\mathbb{F}_p^\times \times \mathbb{F}_p^\times] \right).$$

Ad c): By definition we have $\mathbb{Z}\langle \{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{p-1}\} \rangle \cong \left(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}][\zeta_p] \right)^{(\mathbb{F}_p^\times)}$ and because of (*) the element $(a, b, c) \in T$ acts on $\mathbb{Z}\langle \{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{p-1}\} \rangle$ by $\zeta_p e_\alpha \mapsto \zeta_p^{ab^{-1}} e_{ab^{-2}c\alpha}$. Hence the subgroup $\Delta := \{(a, a, a) : a \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times\}$ acts trivially, $T_2 := \{(1, b, b^2) : b \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times\}$ acts as Galois group on each factor and $T_3 := \{(1, 1, c) : c \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times\}$ acts by permuting the factors. This yields the desired isomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Z}\langle \{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{p-1}\} \rangle \# T &= \left(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}][\zeta_p]^{(\mathbb{F}_p^\times)} \right) \# (T_2 \times T_3 \times \Delta) \\ &\cong \left(M_{p-1} \left(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}] \right)^{(\mathbb{F}_p^\times)} \right) \# (T_3 \times \Delta) \cong M_{p-1} \left(M_{p-1} \left(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}] \right) \right) [\Delta] \cong M_{(p-1)^2} \left(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}][\mathbb{F}_p^\times] \right) \end{aligned}$$

Ad d): This can be proved in the same way as b).

Ad e): Because $\det \left((\zeta_p^{xx'})_{x, x' \in \mathbb{F}_p} \right)$ is a unit in $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}][\zeta_p]$ (Vandermonde), the restricted map $\omega : \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}][U] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}][\zeta_p]^{(\mathbb{F}_p)} \# \mathbb{F}_p$ remains surjective and we have $\mathbb{Z}\langle \omega \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}][\zeta_p]^{(\mathbb{F}_p)} \# \mathbb{F}_p$. Because of (*) the element $(a, b, c) \in T$ acts on $\mathbb{Z}\langle \omega \rangle$ by $\zeta_p e_x \{z\} \mapsto \zeta_p^{ac^{-1}} e_{bc^{-1}} \{bc^{-1}z\}$. Hence the subgroup Δ acts trivially, T_1 acts as Galois group on $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}][\zeta_p]$ and the element $(c^{-1}, 1, c^{-1}) \in T'_3 := \{(c, 1, c) : c \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times\}$ acts by $\zeta_p e_x \{z\} \mapsto \zeta_p e_{cx} \{cz\}$. By Lemma 2 this yields the desired isomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Z}\langle \omega \rangle \# T &\cong \left(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}][\zeta_p]^{(\mathbb{F}_p)} \# \mathbb{F}_p \right) \# (T_1 \times T'_3 \times \Delta) \cong \left(\left(M_{p-1} \left(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}] \right) \right)^{(\mathbb{F}_p)} \# \mathbb{F}_p \right) \# (T'_3 \times \Delta) \\ &\cong \left(\left(M_{p-1} \left(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}] \right) [T'_3] \right)^{(\mathbb{F}_p)} \# \mathbb{F}_p \right) [\Delta] \cong M_{p(p-1)} \left(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}][\mathbb{F}_p^\times \times \mathbb{F}_p^\times] \right). \end{aligned}$$

Remark: One easily checks that this example confirms the conjecture of Hambleton, Taylor and Williams ([4]).

Acknowledgement

I would like to thank C. Greither and C.-G. Schmidt for many helpful discussions especially concerning the example in section 3.

References

- [1] Bass, H.: Lenstra's calculation of $G_0(R\pi)$, and applications to Morse-Smale diffeomorphisms, in *Integral Representations and Applications*, Springer-Verlag, LNM 882 (1981), 287-318.
- [2] Curtis, C. W. and Reiner, I.: *Methods of Representation Theory*, Wiley, New York (1981).
- [3] Gabriel, P.: Des catégories abéliennes, *Bull. Soc. math. France* **90** (1962), 323-448.
- [4] Hambleton, I., Taylor, L. R., and Williams, E. B.: On $G_n(RG)$ for G a finite nilpotent group, *J. Algebra* **116** (1988), 466-470.
- [5] Lenstra, H. L.: Grothendieck groups of abelian group rings, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **20** (1981), 173-193.
- [6] Liu, M.: The group $G_1(R\pi)$ for π a finite abelian group, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **24** (1982), 287-291.
- [7] Mitsuda, T.: The Grothendieck group of a finite group which is a split extension by a nilpotent group, *Tokyo J. Math.* **7** (1984), 215-219.
- [8] Miyamoto, M.: Grothendieck group of integral nilpotent group rings, *J. Algebra* **91** (1984), 32-35.
- [9] Miyamoto, M.: The group $G_1(RG)$ for a nilpotent group G , *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **29** (1983), 151-153.
- [10] Quillen, D.: Higher algebraic K -theory I, in *Algebraic K-Theory I*, Springer-Verlag, LNM 341 (1973), 85-147.
- [11] Reiner, I.: *Maximal Orders*, Academic Press, London (1975).
- [12] Webb, D. L.: Grothendieck groups of dihedral and quaternion group rings, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **35** (1985), 197-223.
- [13] Webb, D. L.: Quillen G -theory of abelian group rings, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **39** (1986), 177-195.
- [14] Webb, D. L.: The Lenstra map on classifying spaces and G -theory of group rings, *Invent. Math.* **84** (1986), 73-89.
- [15] Webb, D. L.: G -theory of groups rings for groups of square-free order, *K-Theory* **1** (1987), 417-422.
- [16] Webb, D. L.: Higher G -theory of nilpotent group rings, *J. Algebra* **116** (1988), 457-465.